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**Abstract**

The present paper summarizes the experience obtained by NACA and NASA concerning the low-speed lateral-directional aerodynamic derivatives due to the rate of change of sideslip \( \dot{\beta} \), and includes a comprehensive bibliography on this and related subject matter. The results presented show that the magnitudes of the aerodynamic stability derivatives due to rate of change of sideslip become quite large at high angles of attack for swept- and delta-wing configurations, and that such derivatives have large effects on the calculated dynamic stability of these configurations at high angles of attack.

The paper also summarizes the wind-tunnel test techniques used to measure the \( \dot{\beta} \) derivatives and discusses various approaches used to predict them. Both the conventional oscillating-airfoil theory and the lag-of-the-sidewash theory are shown to be inadequate for predicting the vertical-tail contribution to the acceleration-in-sideslip derivative \( C_n \dot{\beta} \); however, a flow-field-lag theory, which is discussed, appears to give qualitative agreement with experimental data for a current twin-jet fighter configuration.
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SUMMARY

The present paper summarizes the experience obtained by NACA and NASA concerning the low-speed aerodynamic stability derivatives due to the rate of change of sideslip $\dot{\beta}$. The report also includes a comprehensive bibliography on this and related subject matter.

The data show that large values of the acceleration-in-sideslip derivatives $C_{n\dot{\beta}}$ and $C_{l\dot{\beta}}$ are obtained for swept- and delta-wing configurations at high angles of attack. The physical flow phenomenon responsible for the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives is associated with (1) the establishment of leading-edge vortex sheets and flow separation on such wings at high angles of attack; and (2) an increment in the aerodynamic moments, produced by the separated flow, which lags the motion of the configuration. The $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives are found to be very dependent on the frequency of oscillation, with the larger values obtained for the lower frequency.

The paper also shows that the conventional use of rotary forced-oscillation data in the equation of motion to represent derivatives due to pure angular rates is erroneous at high angles of attack, where the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives are of significant magnitude. In addition, it is shown that the conventional oscillating-airfoil theory and the lag-of-the-sidewash theory are inadequate for predicting the contribution of the vertical tail to $C_{n\dot{\beta}}$. However, a flow-field-lag theory, devised in NACA RM L55H05 and extended herein, is found to yield values of $C_{n\dot{\beta}}$ and $C_{l\dot{\beta}}$ which appear to be in qualitative agreement with experimental data for a current twin-jet fighter configuration.

INTRODUCTION

Recently, concern has arisen over the poor lateral-directional stability and control characteristics exhibited by many current high-performance military airplanes at high
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angles of attack. These poor characteristics have resulted in an alarming number of inadvertent stalls, post-stall gyrations, and spins, which have produced a significant number of losses of aircraft and aircrews as well as severe operational restrictions. In view of this problem, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration is currently engaged in a broad research program designed to provide fundamental information regarding the aerodynamic characteristics of fighter configurations at high angles of attack. It is intended that such information will serve as a basis for the design of future aircraft which will be either inherently spin resistant or which will use avionics and control-system concepts for automatic spin prevention.

Research conducted by NACA in the 1950's (refs. 1 to 5) indicated that at high angles of attack, the magnitudes of the aerodynamic stability derivatives due to rate of change of sideslip \( \dot{\beta} \) became quite large for swept- and delta-wing configurations, and that such derivatives had large effects on the dynamic stability of these configurations. Unfortunately, this research was conducted during a period of time when it was generally believed that future fighter aircraft would be standoff missile launchers, with no requirements for severe maneuvering or the attendant requirement for flight at high angles of attack. Because of an apparent lack of application of this research, the results of the past investigations were fragmented and are therefore generally not considered in current analysis techniques for flight at high angles of attack. Recent military experience, however, has indicated a renewal of close-in, air-to-air combat involving strenuous maneuvering at angles of attack near the stall. The current stall/spin problems reflect this change in operational requirements, and it appears that a reconsideration of past research is required if future stall/spin problems are to be avoided.

The purpose of the present report is to summarize, in some detail, pertinent information produced by NACA and NASA with regard to the derivatives due to rate of change of sideslip, and to emphasize the significance of these derivatives on the dynamic lateral-directional stability characteristics of high-performance swept-wing aircraft at high angles of attack. The report includes (1) a description of wind-tunnel test techniques used to measure the \( \dot{\beta} \) derivatives; (2) illustrations of typical results; (3) a discussion of the effects of \( \dot{\beta} \) derivatives on dynamic stability characteristics; and (4) a brief description of concepts which might be used to predict the values of such derivatives.

A chronological listing of publications, not included in the reference section, which pertain to the low-speed, lateral-directional dynamic stability derivatives of aircraft is presented.

**SYMBOLS**

All longitudinal aerodynamic data are presented with respect to the wind system of axes. The lateral-directional aerodynamic data are presented with respect to the body
system of axes, as shown in figure 1, unless otherwise noted. Dimensional values are presented herein in the International System of Units (SI) with equivalent values given parenthetically in the U.S. Customary Units.

- **a** nondimensional tail length, \( \left( \frac{l_v}{c_v/2} + 0.5 \right) \)
- **b** wing span, \( m \) (ft)
- **c** mean aerodynamic chord, \( m \) (ft)
- **\( c_v \)** mean aerodynamic chord of vertical tail, \( m \) (ft)
- **\( C_D \)** drag coefficient, \( \frac{F_D}{\rho \infty \overline{S}} \)
- **\( C_L \)** lift coefficient, \( \frac{F_L}{\rho \infty \overline{S}} \)
- **\( C_l \)** rolling-moment coefficient, \( \frac{M_X}{\rho \infty \overline{S} \bar{c}} \)
- **\( C_m \)** pitching-moment coefficient, \( \frac{M_Y}{\rho \infty \overline{S} \bar{c}} \)
- **\( C_n \)** yawing-moment coefficient, \( \frac{M_Z}{\rho \infty \overline{S} \bar{b}} \)
- **\( C_Y \)** side-force coefficient, \( \frac{F_Y}{\rho \infty \overline{S}} \)
- **\( F_D \)** drag force, \( N \) (lbf)
- **\( F_L \)** lift force, \( N \) (lbf)
- **\( F_Y \)** side force, \( N \) (lbf)
- **\( G \)** unsteady circulation function
- **\( I_X \)** moment of inertia about longitudinal body axis, \( kg \cdot m^2 \) (slug-ft\(^2\))
- **\( I_Z \)** moment of inertia about normal body axis, \( kg \cdot m^2 \) (slug-ft\(^2\))
- **\( I_{XZ} \)** product of inertia, \( kg \cdot m^2 \) (slug-ft\(^2\))
- **\( J \)** unsteady circulation function
k reduced frequency of oscillation, $\omega_b/2V$

$k_v$ vertical-tail reduced frequency, $\omega \bar{c}_v/2V$

$l_v$ distance from origin of axis to $\bar{c}_v/4$, m (ft)

$M_X$ rolling moment, m-N (ft-lbf)

$M_Y$ pitching moment, m-N (ft-lbf)

$M_Z$ yawing moment, m-N (ft-lbf)

$p$ rolling velocity, rad/sec

$q_\infty$ free-stream dynamic pressure, $N/m^2$ (lbf/ft^2)

$r$ yawing velocity, rad/sec

$S$ wing area, $m^2$ (ft^2)

$S_v$ vertical-tail area, $m^2$ (ft^2)

$t_{1/2}$ time required for oscillation to reach half-amplitude, sec

$V$ velocity, m/sec (ft/sec)

$y_0$ amplitude of lateral oscillation, m (ft)

$\alpha$ angle of attack, deg or rad

$\beta$ angle of sideslip, deg or rad

$\dot{\beta}$ rate of change of sideslip angle, rad/sec

$\lambda$ taper ratio

$\sigma$ sidewash angle (positive when effective sideslip at the vertical tail is reduced), deg or rad

$\phi_l, \phi_n$ phase angles associated with separation effects, rad
\( \omega \) frequency of oscillation, rad/sec

\((\Delta C_{n\beta})_v\) increment of \( C_{n\beta} \) due to vertical tail

\((\Delta C_{Y\beta})_v\) increment of \( C_{Y\beta} \) due to vertical tail

Subscripts:

- \( k \) quantity measured under oscillatory conditions
- \( s \) stability-axes data
- \( \text{exp} \) experimental values obtained under static conditions
- \( \text{theo} \) theoretical

Stability derivatives:

\[
C_{\ell\beta} = \frac{\partial C_{\ell}}{\partial \beta}
\]

\[
C_{n\beta} = \frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial \beta}
\]

\[
C_{Y\beta} = \frac{\partial C_{Y}}{\partial \beta}
\]

\[
C_{\ell p} = \frac{\partial C_{\ell}}{\partial \frac{\beta b}{2V}}
\]

\[
C_{n p} = \frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial \frac{\beta b}{2V}}
\]

\[
C_{Y p} = \frac{\partial C_{Y}}{\partial \frac{\beta b}{2V}}
\]

\[
C_{\ell r} = \frac{\partial C_{\ell}}{\partial \frac{\beta b}{2V}}
\]

\[
C_{n r} = \frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial \frac{\beta b}{2V}}
\]

\[
C_{Y r} = \frac{\partial C_{Y}}{\partial \frac{\beta b}{2V}}
\]

\[
C_{\ell \beta} = \frac{\partial C_{\ell}}{\partial \frac{\beta b}{2V}}
\]

\[
C_{n \beta} = \frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial \frac{\beta b}{2V}}
\]

\[
C_{Y \beta} = \frac{\partial C_{Y}}{\partial \frac{\beta b}{2V}}
\]

**BACKGROUND**

In the analysis of dynamic stability and control characteristics of airplanes, the lateral-directional stability derivatives due to \( \dot{\beta} \) have traditionally been neglected because (1) the magnitudes of such derivatives were estimated to be small for the low values of angle of attack associated with the conventional flight envelope; and (2) little information was available regarding the magnitudes of the derivatives at high angles of attack. As will
be discussed, it was recognized that a lag of the sidewash at the vertical-tail location tended to increase aerodynamic damping in a manner similar to the lag of downwash for the longitudinal case; however, the lag of the sidewash did not appear to have large effects at low angles of attack for configurations under consideration at that time.

As pointed out in reference 6, in the early 1950's, several cases arose in which total disagreement existed between theoretical predictions of lateral-directional stability characteristics of certain swept-wing configurations and experimental results obtained during flight tests of dynamically scaled free-flight models and actual airplanes at high angles of attack. In these cases, theoretical methods generally predicted very unstable Dutch roll motions whereas flight tests showed highly damped stable motions. Subsequently, wind-tunnel test techniques devised to measure dynamic stability derivatives identified large values of \( \dot{\beta} \) derivatives at high angles of attack, which, when used in the equations of motion, produced good agreement with flight tests.

These wind-tunnel studies identified two principal sources of the \( \dot{\beta} \) derivatives at high angles of attack: lag of sidewash at the vertical-tail location and lag of flow separation and attachment on highly swept wings. In both situations, the time lags involved in flow over the vehicle resulted in large values of rolling and yawing moments which were not in phase with the motion. The derivatives became quite large at angles of attack near the stall, where sensitivity of the flow to small motions became severe and large areas of stalled flow were in evidence.

**WIND-TUNNEL MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUES FOR \( \dot{\beta} \) DERIVATIVES**

Accurate analysis of the dynamic stability and control characteristics of airplanes depends directly on accurate aerodynamic inputs for computing time histories of aircraft motions and the stability of these motions. Theoretical prediction methods for the dynamic derivatives at high angles of attack have not advanced to the level of sophistication currently reflected in such methods for low angles of attack. Most of the information pertaining to these dynamic derivatives has therefore been generated by specialized wind-tunnel test techniques.

**Rotary Forced-Oscillation Technique**

The most common wind-tunnel method in current use for obtaining dynamic stability derivatives is the rotary forced-oscillation technique illustrated in figure 2. In this technique, a wind-tunnel model is forced to oscillate in roll or yaw at predetermined constant values of frequency and amplitude. A detailed discussion of the test setup and instrumentation required for a typical forced-oscillation technique is given in references 7 and 8.
It should be noted that because of kinematic constraints produced by this type of test, the measured dynamic stability parameters are a combination of the dynamic stability derivatives. For example, forced-oscillation tests in yaw produce a parameter $C_{n_r} - C_{n\dot{\beta}} \cos \alpha$ in body axes, or $(C_{n_r})_S - (C_{n\dot{\beta}})_S$ in stability axes. Unfortunately, the combined derivatives cannot be separated or individually identified by using rotary forced-oscillation techniques.

**Linear Forced-Oscillation Techniques**

In addition to the rotary-type forced-oscillation technique, several devices have been employed to provide a linear sidewise oscillatory motion for the measurement of the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives. The linear-oscillation technique permits the measurement of "pure" $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives over a wide range of angle of attack. A sketch of the setup involved for such tests is given in figure 3, and detailed descriptions of the apparatus and instrumentation used may be found in references 2 and 4.

**Curved- and Rolling-Flow Techniques**

A unique wind tunnel was designed and constructed by the NACA at Langley in the early 1940's to permit the measurement of derivatives due to "pure" angular rates. This tunnel, known as the Langley stability tunnel, was acquired by the Virginia Polytechnic Institute in 1958, and is currently in operation at that institute. The wind tunnel functions in a conventional manner for the measurement of static stability and control derivatives; however, it also incorporates interchangeable circular and square test sections which permit specialized tests for the measurement of dynamic derivatives. The circular test section is equipped with a motor-driven rotor which imparts a helical motion to the airstream and thus subjects a stationary model to a flow field similar to that which exists about an airplane in rolling flight. In this manner it is possible to measure aerodynamic derivatives due to "pure" steady-state roll rates. A more detailed discussion of the rolling-flow technique is given in reference 9.

The square test section of the wind tunnel has a unique capability in that the vertical sidewalls are designed with sufficient flexibility so that they may be deflected into a curve, thus creating a curved airflow past the model. Jackscrews are positioned at regular intervals along each wall to allow the curvature to be set at predetermined values. In order to simulate flight in a curved path, it is necessary to redistribute the velocity profile in the radial direction in the tunnel. This need is accomplished by installing vertical wire screens in the flow upstream of the test section. These screens vary in mesh across the wind tunnel, with the most dense portion of the screens located nearer the center of curvature. A sketch showing a typical curved-flow test arrangement is shown in figure 4 and a
complete description of the tunnel and its operation is given in reference 10. With this test setup, it is possible to measure aerodynamic derivatives due to "pure" steady-state yaw rates.

Pure-Yawing-Oscillation Technique

In addition to the abovementioned techniques, an apparatus which superimposed both a yawing motion and a sidewise oscillatory motion was developed for the stability tunnel. With this apparatus, the resultant motion of the model was a pure yawing oscillation. A more detailed description of this test setup may be found in references 11 and 12.

By using results obtained from the pure-yawing, rolling-flow, and rotary forced-oscillation tests, it is theoretically possible to add or subtract the magnitudes of the various test results and obtain values of the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives.

ILLUSTRATION OF TYPICAL RESULTS

Isolated Wings

Several investigations have been conducted to determine the physical causes of the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives. In particular, tests conducted on isolated wings have provided some insight in this area.

Static considerations.- Shown in figure 5 are an unswept wing, a $45^\circ$ swept wing, and a $60^\circ$ delta wing, which were investigated in reference 3. The static longitudinal characteristics of the wings are presented in figure 6(a). These data show that the unswept wing stalled at an angle of attack of about $16^\circ$, the swept wing stalled at an angle of attack of about $25^\circ$, but the delta wing was not stalled at the maximum angle of attack ($30^\circ$) reached in the tests. The effective dihedral derivative $C_{\ell\beta}$ as determined over the sideslip-angle range of $\pm 10^\circ$ is presented in figure 6(b) for each of the wings. The data show that the unswept wing experienced a large increase in positive dihedral effect near the stall, whereas the swept and delta wings exhibited large unstable values of $(C_{\ell\beta})_s$ near the stall. These characteristics are typical results for unswept and swept wings and may be interpreted in a physical sense from the flow patterns indicated in figure 6(c). In addition, the mechanism which produces large $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives for isolated wings may be anticipated from these flow patterns.

As shown in figure 6(c), the swept and delta wings shed strong vortices at high angles of attack, whereas the unswept wing does not. When subjected to sideslip, the advancing wing panel for the swept and delta wings becomes stalled, and there results a complete loss of dihedral effect. For the unswept wing the leading panel does not experience stall, but rather the trailing panel stalls, and a large increase in dihedral effect occurs as a result.
The foregoing characteristics are indicators of flow conditions which give rise to large values of the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives during dynamic motions.

Results of dynamic tests.- The basic phenomenon responsible for large $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives for swept and delta wings is the time lag required for the previously discussed vortex flows of these wings to follow the dynamic motions of the wing. This characteristic has been shown for a delta wing in reference 13. In a static condition at high angles of attack and zero sideslip, the delta wing sheds two strong vortices which emanate from the apex of the wing and remain symmetrically displaced over the wing. Motion-picture photographs presented in reference 13 show that when the wing is subjected to oscillatory motions, the vortex flow field lags behind the model motion. Because of the flow lag the vortices are asymmetrically displaced at a time when the model is at zero sideslip. The resulting flow field thus creates a rolling moment which is due to the rate of change of sideslip. The foregoing discussion is a brief description of the mechanism wherein large values of $C_{\ell\dot{\beta}}$ are produced by swept and delta wings at high angles of attack.

Examples of the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives measured for a 60° delta wing and a 45° swept wing are reported in reference 5 and presented in figure 7. The static longitudinal characteristics of the wings (tested in refs. 5, 11, 12, and 14) are presented in figure 8 and the static lateral-directional characteristics are presented in figure 9 for reference. Presented in figures 10 and 11 are representative data pertaining to the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives for these wings.

Figure 10 gives a comparison of data for the delta wing obtained by the forced-oscillation-in-yaw technique (ref. 14) and the linear-oscillation technique (ref. 5) at two values of reduced frequency $k$. Also shown are data obtained from steady-state yawing tests (ref. 11) and the pure-yawing-oscillation tests (ref. 12). It should be noted that the results from the steady-state yawing tests and the pure-yawing-oscillation tests are in disagreement. The reason for the discrepancy is unknown; however, it should be noted that for either case the magnitudes of the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives are larger than those of the derivatives due to pure yawing at high angles of attack, and the results of the forced-oscillation test technique provide a qualitative measure of these derivatives for isolated wings. The magnitudes of the derivatives are seen to be highly dependent on the value of $k$, with the larger values obtained for the lower value of $k$.

Similar results obtained for the 45° swept wing are presented in figure 11. The data show trends similar to those exhibited by the delta wing; however, agreement between results of the forced-oscillation and linear-oscillation techniques is not as good as the agreement obtained for the delta wing. In both cases it should be noted that the results indicate large values of $(C_{n\dot{\beta}})_s$ and $(C_{\ell\dot{\beta}})_s$; and it may be anticipated that in equations of motion, the use of forced-oscillation results as "pure" yawing derivatives would be completely erroneous for the configurations tested.
It might be expected that the magnitude of the \( \dot{\beta} \) derivatives near the stall would be highly dependent on the amplitude and frequency of motion used in the forced-oscillation test technique. Although not presented herein, the results of several studies indicate that these derivatives may have large dependence on amplitude and frequency, and large non-linear effects may be present at high angles of attack. In addition, because of the nature of the flow field associated with the \( \dot{\beta} \) derivatives, Reynolds number effects may be significant.

The past research has also indicated that the \( \dot{\beta} \) derivatives of unswept wings may be much smaller than those of swept wings at high angles of attack. For example, forced-oscillation test results (from ref. 3) for the unswept, the 45° swept, and the 60° delta wings of figure 5 are presented in figure 12 for two values of \( k \). These data show that the magnitudes of \( \left( C_{n_{\beta}} \right)_s - \left( C_{n_{\beta}} \right)_{\infty} \) and \( \left( C_{l_{\beta}} \right)_s - \left( C_{l_{\beta}} \right)_{\infty} \) are much smaller for the unswept wing at high angles of attack. This result serves to emphasize the importance of wing sweep in the formation of \( \dot{\beta} \) derivatives at high values of angle of attack.

**Contribution of Vertical Tail**

The second major contributor to the \( \dot{\beta} \) derivatives at high angles of attack is the vertical tail. This contribution is a result of the lag of sidewash at the vertical-tail location. The lag of the sidewash, and its effect on the damping in yaw of the tail, is analogous to the lag of downwash and its effect on the damping in pitch of a horizontal tail, which was first discussed by Cowley and Glauert in reference 15. The lag of downwash was treated in the past as an additional angle of attack of the horizontal tail which was due to the time required for the wing disturbance to travel the distance between the wing and the horizontal tail. The case for the lag of sidewash at the vertical tail follows from the same physical mechanism, but the characteristic length involved is not as well defined.

Typical results of forced-oscillation-in-yaw tests for fighter configurations at high angles of attack indicate that the vertical tail may add considerable damping at high angles of attack, even though the tail may make no significant contribution to static directional stability. For example, shown in figure 13(a) are results obtained during static wind-tunnel tests for a current fighter configuration which is subject to loss of directional stability at high angles of attack. The data show that the contribution of the vertical tail to \( C_{n_{\beta}} \) was markedly reduced above \( \alpha = 30^\circ \). This result is usually attributed to a combination of reduced dynamic pressure and adverse sidewash at the vertical-tail location. However, as shown in figure 13(b), the combination of derivatives measured during forced-oscillation-in-yaw tests shows an increased vertical-tail contribution at high angles of attack. As indicated previously, this result may be attributed to the vertical-tail contribution to \( C_{n_{\beta}} \), which is associated with a lag of the sidewash. Analytical and exper-
EFFECT OF $\dot{\beta}$ DERIVATIVES ON DYNAMIC LATERAL-DIRECTIONAL STABILITY CHARACTERISTICS

The results of reference 1 show that the inclusion or omission of the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives in theoretical equations of motion can produce large differences in the calculated dynamic stability characteristics. In particular, reference 1 shows that when data obtained from forced-oscillation tests — which represent a combination of derivatives — are used as a total value for the pure angular-rate derivatives and the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives are assumed to equal zero, the results vary significantly from the results obtained when all pure derivatives are used. In addition, reference 16 has shown that the frequency effects of stability derivatives can cause considerable changes in predicted aircraft motion. In order to illustrate these results, calculations are made for a hypothetical delta-wing fighter configuration.

The mass and inertial properties used in the calculations are presented in table I and the aerodynamic characteristics for the configuration, obtained from references 4, 17, and 18, are presented in figure 14. It should be noted that the static lateral-directional characteristics of this configuration (fig. 14(b)) are typical of those exhibited by many current fighter configurations. In particular, the configuration exhibits a marked reduction in both directional stability and dihedral effect at high angles of attack. Experience has shown that these conditions usually result in a directional divergence, or "nose slice." The yawing and rolling derivatives (fig. 14(c)) were obtained by the rolling- and curved-flow test techniques previously discussed. The derivatives due to rate of change of sideslip (fig. 14(d)) were obtained from wind-tunnel tests in reference 4, and the magnitude of $C_{Y\dot{\beta}}$ was assumed to be zero for the calculations. The dynamic lateral-directional stability characteristics were calculated by means of classical three-degree-of-freedom linearized equations for the configuration in trimmed flight at angles of attack of 20° and 28° at an assumed altitude of 7620 m (25 000 ft). The condition of $\alpha = 20^\circ$ represents a case for which the static and dynamic data indicate a stable configuration with relatively small values of the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives. At $\alpha = 28^\circ$, the configuration exhibits static instability (negative value of $C_{n\dot{\beta}}$), a marked reduction in magnitude of $C_{l\dot{\beta}}$ and large values of the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives.

The results of the calculations are presented in table II in terms of the time to halve amplitude $t_{1/2}$ and the nondimensional reduced frequency $k$ of the various lateral-directional modes of motion. Positive values of $t_{1/2}$ represent damped (dynamically stable) modes of motion, whereas negative values represent undamped (dynamically
unstable) modes of motion. Case 1 represents the results of calculations in which the true values of the angular-rate derivatives were used and the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives were omitted. Cases 2 to 4 represent the results of calculations in which the angular-rate derivatives were used, and the experimental values of the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives based on data obtained for three different values of $k$ were included. Cases 5 to 7 represent results for which the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives were summed with the pure rate derivatives to form combinations similar to those obtained from conventional forced-oscillation tests. For these cases, the resulting sums were used as values for the rate derivatives and the $\dot{\beta}$ terms in the equations were set equal to zero.

In table II(a), the results obtained from the calculations for $\alpha = 20^0$ are presented. The results show that including the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives (cases 2 to 4) increased the damping of the Dutch roll mode but had essentially no effect on the frequency of the Dutch roll mode or the damping of the roll and spiral modes. Combining the derivatives (cases 5 to 7) had little additional effect on the Dutch roll and roll modes; however, the spiral mode became unstable. The foregoing results, obtained for relatively small values of the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives, indicate relatively minor effects caused by neglect or misuse of the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives at low angles of attack. For $\alpha = 28^0$ (table II(b)), the data show pronounced differences caused by the relatively large $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives. Use of the angular-rate derivatives and omission of the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives (case 1) resulted in a stable Dutch roll mode, a virtually neutral stable roll mode, and a very unstable spiral mode. However, when the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives were included in the calculations (cases 2 to 4) the Dutch roll mode ceased to exist, and two additional aperiodic modes were formed. In addition, it is seen that the magnitude of the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives used in the calculations has an effect on the computed values of the time to halve amplitude of the spiral and aperiodic modes. When the angular-rate and $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives were used in combination (cases 5 to 7), the damping of the entire system was redistributed, resulting in highly stable spiral and roll modes and a highly unstable Dutch roll mode. The foregoing results illustrate that in equations of motion, the conventional use of the rotary forced-oscillation data to represent derivatives due to pure angular rates is erroneous at high angles of attack, where the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives are of significant magnitude.

In addition to having effects on dynamic stability, it would be expected that the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives would also have a large effect on the design of control systems for flight conditions at high angles of attack and on related analysis techniques. For example, a recent study (ref. 19) has shown that omission of the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives may produce considerable errors in parameter-identification techniques.

**PREDICTION METHODS FOR $\dot{\beta}$ DERIVATIVES**

The previous discussion has emphasized the large effects caused by conventional use of forced-oscillation data in equations of motion at high angles of attack. Unfortunately,
no analytical estimation method currently exists for prediction of the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives, and the subject has received little attention in the literature. At the very least, a method is required to permit a realistic qualitative separation of the combined derivatives measured in conventional forced-oscillation tests. Such methods should consider the contributions of both the vertical tail and the wing to the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives.

### Vertical-Tail Contribution

**Oscillating-airfoil theory.** Expressions for the lift and pitching moment arising from the unsteady circulation about a two-dimensional airfoil subjected to small sinusoidal oscillations were developed in reference 20. This analysis was extended to include the case of finite-span wings in reference 21 and applied to the case of an oscillating vertical tail in references 22 and 23. From the methods presented in references 22 and 23, the following expression for $\left(\Delta C_{n_\beta}\right)_V$ is developed:

$$
\left(\Delta C_{n_\beta}\right)_V = -\frac{2}{2} \left(\frac{c_v}{b}\right)^2 \frac{S_v}{S} \left(\frac{J}{k_v} + a + \frac{2aG}{k_v}\right)
$$

where the unsteady circulation functions $J$ and $G$ are tabulated in reference 23 as a function of the aspect ratio and reduced-frequency parameter $k_v$ of the vertical tail. Although the oscillating-airfoil theory is frequency dependent, its application to the present subject is extremely limited because it does not consider sidewash or separated-flow effects.

**Lag of sidewash.** The following expression for $\left(\Delta C_{n_\beta}\right)_V$ is developed in reference 24 and is based on the hypothesis that the sidewash at the vertical tail affects the yawing moment through a time lag $\tau$, where $\tau = \lambda V$:

$$
\left(\Delta C_{n_\beta}\right)_V = -2 \left(\frac{C_v}{b}\right)^2 \left(\Delta C_{Y_\beta}\right)_V \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \beta}
$$

(The negative sign has been introduced for consistency with the present notation for sidewash.) Essentially, this development assumed that the sidewash originates at the center of gravity of the configuration and requires a finite time for the flow field to impinge on the vertical tail. For a simple fuselage-vertical-tail model, with auxiliary fins placed at the center of gravity to generate sidewash, reference 24 shows that equation (2) yields results which correlate fairly well with experimental data.

Figure 15 shows the vertical-tail contribution to $C_{n_\beta}$ for the delta-wing fighter configuration of reference 4. The vertical-tail contribution was determined by subtracting
the experimental wing-fuselage data from the data for the complete configuration. Figure 15 also presents the vertical-tail contribution calculated with the oscillating-airfoil theory (eq. (1)) and the lag-of-the-sidewash theory (eq. (2)). In these calculations, the geometric characteristics were obtained from table I, and the unsteady circulation functions were obtained from reference 23; the increment \( \Delta C_{Y_\beta}/V \) due to the vertical tail and the sidewash parameter \( \partial C_{\theta}/\partial \beta \) were obtained from reference 17.

From figure 15 it is seen that both the theories are completely inadequate for predicting the vertical-tail contribution to \( C_{n_\beta} \). The lack of correlation of the oscillating-airfoil theory is probably due to the neglect of the sidewash generated by the wings; the lack of correlation of the lag-of-the-sidewash theory is probably due to the fact that the sidewash at the vertical tail is not necessarily generated at the center of gravity, as was assumed in the development of that theory.

Wing Contribution

As discussed previously, reference 13 has shown that under oscillatory conditions the flow field of a 60° delta wing lags behind the model motions. This lag has been investigated in detail (see ref. 3) by means of oscillograph traces of the output of the yawing-moment channel of a strain-gage balance. Additionally, reference 3 considers the discrepancy between the theoretical static lateral-directional stability derivatives \( C_{n_\beta} \) and \( C_{\ell_\beta} \) (see ref. 25) and those measured in both conventional static force tests and in forced-oscillation tests. On the basis of these considerations, reference 3 has shown that the large values of \( (C_{n_\beta})_{s} - (C_{n_\beta})_{exp} \) measured for delta wings at high angles of attack can be attributed to an incremental yawing moment which is produced by separated flow and which lags the motion by a roughly constant time interval. In addition, the increase in the magnitude of \( (C_{n_\beta})_{s} - (C_{n_\beta})_{exp} \) with increasing angle of attack is not caused by an increase in the time lag and is therefore probably attributable solely to the increased strength of the vortex flow and separation at the higher angles of attack. This result has led to the development of the following expression for \( C_{n_\beta} \) (see ref. 3):

\[
C_{n_\beta} = \left[ (C_{n_\beta})_{\text{theo}} - (C_{n_\beta})_{\text{exp}} \right] \frac{\sin \phi_n}{k} \tag{3}
\]

where \( \phi_n \) is the phase angle associated with separation effects.

Since equation (3) is presented in reference 3 without a detailed development, it is derived in the appendix. A similar expression for \( C_{\ell_\beta} \) is obtained:
\[ C_{\ell\beta} = \left[ (C_{\ell\beta})_{\text{theo}} - (C_{\ell\beta})_{\text{exp}} \right] \frac{\sin \phi_l}{k} \]  

where \( \phi_l \) is the phase angle associated with separation effects. Additionally, since the values of \( \phi_n \) and \( \phi_l \) are obtained by examination of oscillograph traces in reference 3, expressions for \( \phi_n \) and \( \phi_l \) are developed in the appendix and presented below:

\[ \phi_n = \cos^{-1} \left[ \frac{(C_{n\beta})_{\text{theo}} - (C_{n\beta})_k}{(C_{n\beta})_{\text{theo}} - (C_{n\beta})_{\text{exp}}} \right] \]  

and

\[ \phi_l = \cos^{-1} \left[ \frac{(C_{\ell\beta})_{\text{theo}} - (C_{\ell\beta})_k}{(C_{\ell\beta})_{\text{theo}} - (C_{\ell\beta})_{\text{exp}}} \right] \]  

The use of the flow-field-lag theory has been shown in reference 3 to yield results which correlate well with experimental results of a 60° delta wing over the range of frequency considered.

As an illustration of the applicability of this technique to complete configurations, the twin-jet fighter airplane studied in references 7, 26, and 27 is considered. Figure 16 shows the variation of the lateral-directional stability derivatives \( C_{n\beta} \) and \( C_{\ell\beta} \) with angle of attack. These data were obtained in reference 7 from conventional static force tests and from the in-phase data obtained for forced-oscillation-in-yaw tests (assuming that \( k^2C_{n\beta} \) and \( k^2C_{\ell\beta} \) are negligible) at a reduced frequency \( k \) of 0.156. Also presented in figure 16 are approximate theoretical values of \( C_{n\beta} \) and \( C_{\ell\beta} \). These approximate values are developed by first obtaining the theoretical variation of \( (C_{n\beta})_s \) and \( (C_{\ell\beta})_s \) with lift coefficient for the wing-fuselage combination. (See ref. 25.) These values were then transferred to the body-axis system, and the experimentally determined increments to \( C_{n\beta} \) and \( C_{\ell\beta} \) due to the tail (evaluated at \( \alpha = 0 \)) were added. The approximate theoretical values therefore represent reference values which would be obtained if separation effects were not present.

Figure 17 presents the calculated values of the acceleration-in-sideslip derivatives \( C_{n\beta} \) and \( C_{\ell\beta} \) obtained by applying the flow-field-lag theory (eqs. (3) to (6)) to the data of figure 16. Also presented in figure 17 are values of \( C_{n\beta} \) and \( C_{\ell\beta} \) obtained by subtraction of the data measured under conditions of pure yawing flow (ref. 27) from the data.
measured with the forced-oscillation-in-yaw technique (ref. 7) at a reduced frequency of oscillation \( k \) of 0.156. Figure 17 shows that the flow-field-lag theory yields values of \( C_{n\dot{\beta}} \) and \( C_{l\dot{\beta}} \) which are in reasonable agreement with the data obtained from the combination of tests with yawing flow and forced oscillation in yaw. Although the foregoing method could be carried out for the present configuration only over a limited angle-of-attack range because equations (5) and (6) yield values of the cosine function greater than unity, it offers some promise for future development of predictive techniques.

CONCLUSIONS

From the present summary of experimental and theoretical results pertaining to the aerodynamic stability derivatives due to the rate of change of sideslip \( \dot{\beta} \) the following conclusions are made:

1. The \( \dot{\beta} \) derivatives \( (C_{n\dot{\beta}} \text{ and } C_{l\dot{\beta}}) \) are large for swept- and delta-wing configurations at high angles of attack.

2. The physical flow phenomenon responsible for the \( \dot{\beta} \) derivatives is associated with the establishment of leading-edge vortex sheets and flow separation on the wings at high angles of attack. Additionally, the large values of the \( \dot{\beta} \) derivatives can be attributed to an increment in the aerodynamic moments produced by the separated flow, which lags the motion of the configuration.

3. The \( \dot{\beta} \) derivatives are very dependent on the frequency of oscillation, with the larger values obtained for the lower frequency.

4. In equations of motion, the conventional use of the rotary forced-oscillation data to represent derivatives due to pure angular rates is erroneous at high angles of attack, where the \( \dot{\beta} \) derivatives are of significant magnitude.

5. Both the oscillating-airfoil theory and the lag-of-the sidewash theory are inadequate for predicting the contribution of the vertical tail to \( C_{n\dot{\beta}} \).

6. The flow-field-lag theory, devised in NACA RM L55H05 and extended herein, is found to yield results which are in reasonable agreement with experimental data for a current twin-jet fighter aircraft.
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APPENDIX

DEVELOPMENT OF EQUATIONS FOR $C_{n\beta}$ AND $C_{l\beta}$
BASED ON FLOW-FIELD-LAG THEORY (REF. 3)

The equations developed herein for $C_{n\beta}$ and $C_{l\beta}$ are based on the flow-field-lag theory (ref. 3) and are developed for the case of a pure sidewise oscillation. However, as will be discussed, they are applicable to the data obtained under conditions of forced oscillation in yaw.

For the pure-sidewise-oscillation technique, discussed in references 2 and 4, the yawing velocity and yawing acceleration are identically zero and the model undergoes continuous changes in sideslip and rate of change of sideslip. Therefore, the yawing-moment signal $C_n(t)$ may be expressed as

$$C_n(t) = \left( C_{n\beta} \right)_k \beta(t) + \left( C_{n\beta} \right) \dot{\beta}(t) \quad (A1)$$

where, for harmonic oscillation (see ref. 4),

$$\beta(t) = \frac{y_o \omega}{V} \cos \omega t \quad (A2)$$

Introducing the nondimensional frequency parameter $k = \omega b/2V$ yields

$$\beta(t) = \frac{2y_o}{b} k \cos \omega t \quad (A3)$$

Differentiating equation (A3) with respect to time and introducing the nondimensional form of $\dot{\beta}$ (that is, $\dot{\beta} = \dot{\beta}b/2V$) yields

$$\dot{\beta} = -\frac{2y_o}{b} k^2 \sin \omega t \quad (A4)$$

Substituting equations (A3) and (A4) into equation (A1) yields

$$C_n(t) = \left( C_{n\beta} \right) \frac{2y_o}{b} k \cos \omega t - \left( C_{n\beta} \right) \frac{2y_o}{b} k^2 \sin \omega t \quad (A5)$$

Multiplying equation (A5) by $\cos \omega t$ and integrating over the period yields

$$\left( C_{n\beta} \right)_k = \frac{b}{2y_o k \pi} \int_0^{2\pi} C_n(t) \cos \omega t \omega dt \quad (A6)$$
Similarly, multiplying equation (A5) by $\sin \omega t$ and integrating over the period yields

$$\left( \frac{C_{n_{\beta}}}{k} \right) = -\frac{b}{2y_0 k^2 \pi} \int_0^{2\pi} C_n(t) \sin \omega t \, dt$$  \hspace{1cm} (A7)

Adding and subtracting a theoretical value of $C_{n_{\beta}}$ (which would be obtained in the absence of flow-separation effects, see ref. 25) to equation (A6) yields

$$\left( \frac{C_{n_{\beta}}}{k} \right) = \left( \frac{C_{n_{\beta}}}{k} \right)_{\text{theo}} + \frac{b}{2y_0 k^2 \pi} \int_0^{2\pi} C_n(t) \cos \omega t \, dt - \left( \frac{C_{n_{\beta}}}{k} \right)_{\text{theo}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A8)

Since the $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives are assumed to arise from flow-separation effects, a theoretical yawing-moment coefficient may be developed in terms of the theoretical values of $C_{n_{\beta}}$. This theoretical yawing-moment coefficient assumes no flow separation and may be written as

$$\left[ C_n(t) \right]_{\text{theo}} = \left( \frac{C_{n_{\beta}}}{k} \right)_{\text{theo}} \frac{2y_0 k}{b} \cos \omega t$$  \hspace{1cm} (A9)

Multiplying equation (A9) by $\cos \omega t$ and integrating over the period yields

$$\left( \frac{C_{n_{\beta}}}{k} \right)_{\text{theo}} = \frac{b}{2y_0 k^2 \pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ C_n(t) \right]_{\text{theo}} \cos \omega t \, dt$$  \hspace{1cm} (A10)

Substituting equation (A10) into equation (A8) yields

$$\left( \frac{C_{n_{\beta}}}{k} \right) = \left( \frac{C_{n_{\beta}}}{k} \right)_{\text{theo}} + \frac{b}{2y_0 k^2 \pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( C_n(t) - \left[ C_n(t) \right]_{\text{theo}} \right) \cos \omega t \, dt$$  \hspace{1cm} (A11)

Assuming

$$C_n(t) - \left[ C_n(t) \right]_{\text{theo}} = \left( \frac{C_{n_{\beta}}}{k} \right)_{\text{exp}} - \left( \frac{C_{n_{\beta}}}{k} \right)_{\text{theo}} \frac{2y_0 k}{b} \cos (\omega t - \phi_n)$$  \hspace{1cm} (A12)

where $\phi_n$ is the phase angle associated with separation effects, and substituting equation (A12) into (A11) yield, upon carrying out the integration,

$$\left( \frac{C_{n_{\beta}}}{k} \right) = \left( \frac{C_{n_{\beta}}}{k} \right)_{\text{theo}} + \left[ \left( \frac{C_{n_{\beta}}}{k} \right)_{\text{exp}} - \left( \frac{C_{n_{\beta}}}{k} \right)_{\text{theo}} \right] \cos \phi_n$$  \hspace{1cm} (A13)
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Rearranging equation (A13) and solving for \( \phi_n \) yields

\[
\phi_n = \cos^{-1} \left[ \frac{(Cn_\beta)_{\text{theo}} - (Cn_\beta)_k}{(Cn_\beta)_{\text{theo}} - (Cn_\beta)_{\text{exp}}} \right]
\]

(A14)

Substituting equation (A9) into equation (A12) and substituting the resulting expression for \( C_n(t) \) into equation (A7) yield upon carrying out the integration,

\[
C_n^\beta = \left[ (Cn_\beta)_{\text{theo}} - (Cn_\beta)_{\text{exp}} \right] \frac{\sin \phi_n}{k}
\]

(A15)

where \( \phi_n \) is given by equation (A14).

In a similar fashion it can be shown that

\[
C_{l_\beta} = \left[ (C_{l_\beta})_{\text{theo}} - (C_{l_\beta})_{\text{exp}} \right] \frac{\sin \phi_l}{k}
\]

(A16)

where \( \phi_l \) is the phase angle associated with separation effects and is given by the expression

\[
\phi_l = \cos^{-1} \left[ \frac{(C_{l_\beta})_{\text{theo}} - (C_{l_\beta})_k}{(C_{l_\beta})_{\text{theo}} - (C_{l_\beta})_{\text{exp}}} \right]
\]

(A17)

In the development of these equations, a sideward oscillatory motion was assumed; however, it should be noted that the expressions for \( C_{n_\beta} \) and \( C_{l_\beta} \) are functions of the in-phase derivatives \( (Cn_\beta)_k \) and \( (C_{l_\beta})_k \). Although the in-phase data obtained from the forced-oscillation-in-yaw tests are a combination of derivatives, such as \( C_{n_\beta} + k^2 C_{n_\gamma} \) and \( C_{l_\beta} + k^2 C_{l_\gamma} \), experience has shown that the magnitudes of the \( \beta \) derivatives are usually negligible and the total in-phase components may be considered as pure derivatives \( (Cn_\beta)_k \) and \( (C_{l_\beta})_k \). Therefore, the method in this appendix for evaluating \( C_{n_\beta} \) and \( C_{l_\beta} \) is also applicable to the data obtained from the forced-oscillation-in-yaw technique. Additionally, it should be noted that there is no restriction as to the use of the stability or body system of axes.
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### TABLE I - MASS AND GEOMETRIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE DELTA-WING AIRPLANE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass, kg (slugs)</td>
<td></td>
<td>17 727 (1215)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moments of inertia, kg-m² (slug-ft²):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iₓ</td>
<td></td>
<td>40 606 (29 950)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iᵧ</td>
<td></td>
<td>229 859 (169 538)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iₓᵧ</td>
<td></td>
<td>7106 (5241)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall length, m (ft)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.90 (52.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wing:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Span, m (ft)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.75 (35.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area, m² (ft²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>50.00 (538.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean aerodynamic chord, m (ft)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.21 (20.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspect ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taper ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihedral, deg</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence, deg</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leading-edge sweep, deg</td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airfoil section</td>
<td></td>
<td>NACA 65A003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical tail:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Span, m (ft)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.53 (11.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area, m² (ft²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.72 (61.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root chord, m (ft)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.24 (10.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taper ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leading-edge sweep, deg</td>
<td></td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airfoil section</td>
<td></td>
<td>NACA 65-006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean aerodynamic chord, m (ft)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.16 (7.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area ratio, Sᵥ/S</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tail-length ratio, lᵥ/b</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspect ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tail length, m (ft)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.33 (20.77)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE II. - CALCULATED DYNAMIC STABILITY CHARACTERISTICS

#### (a) $\alpha = 20^\circ$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case k</th>
<th>$C_{Y\beta}$</th>
<th>$C_{n\beta}$</th>
<th>$C_{l\beta}$</th>
<th>$C_{Y\beta}$</th>
<th>$C_{n\beta}$</th>
<th>$C_{l\beta}$</th>
<th>$C_{Yp}$</th>
<th>$C_{np}$</th>
<th>$C_{lp}$</th>
<th>$C_{Yr}$</th>
<th>$C_{nr}$</th>
<th>$C_{lr}$</th>
<th>$t_{1/2}^*$</th>
<th>$t_{1/2}^*$</th>
<th>$t_{1/2}^*$</th>
<th>$t_{1/2}^*$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.510</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>-0.155</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>-0.062</td>
<td>-0.168</td>
<td>0.513</td>
<td>-0.332</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>11.99</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>16.03</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>-0.130</td>
<td>-0.357</td>
<td>-0.239</td>
<td>-0.306</td>
<td>-0.107</td>
<td>-0.290</td>
<td>-0.210</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>19.63</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>-0.239</td>
<td>-0.306</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>-0.258</td>
<td>-0.144</td>
<td>-0.273</td>
<td>-0.107</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>15.46</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>-0.258</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.158</td>
<td>-0.256</td>
<td>-0.069</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>15.49</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b_5</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.107</td>
<td>-0.290</td>
<td>-0.210</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>19.63</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b_6</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.144</td>
<td>-0.273</td>
<td>-0.107</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>15.46</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b_7</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.158</td>
<td>-0.256</td>
<td>-0.069</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>15.49</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Reduced frequency at which $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives were measured.
- $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives combined with pure angular-rate derivatives.

#### (b) $\alpha = 20^\circ$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case k</th>
<th>$C_{Y\beta}$</th>
<th>$C_{n\beta}$</th>
<th>$C_{l\beta}$</th>
<th>$C_{Y\beta}$</th>
<th>$C_{n\beta}$</th>
<th>$C_{l\beta}$</th>
<th>$C_{Yp}$</th>
<th>$C_{np}$</th>
<th>$C_{lp}$</th>
<th>$C_{Yr}$</th>
<th>$C_{nr}$</th>
<th>$C_{lr}$</th>
<th>$t_{1/2}^*$</th>
<th>$t_{1/2}^*$</th>
<th>$t_{1/2}^*$</th>
<th>$t_{1/2}^*$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.195</td>
<td>-0.121</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>-0.214</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>-0.260</td>
<td>-0.171</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>51.14</td>
<td>-1.15</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>.452</td>
<td>-1.940</td>
<td>-0.239</td>
<td>-0.306</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>-0.258</td>
<td>-0.158</td>
<td>-0.256</td>
<td>-0.069</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>15.49</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>.387</td>
<td>-.998</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.201</td>
<td>-1.125</td>
<td>-.659</td>
<td>1.542</td>
<td>-2.44</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>.336</td>
<td>-.858</td>
<td>-0.144</td>
<td>-0.273</td>
<td>-0.147</td>
<td>-0.617</td>
<td>-0.557</td>
<td>.586</td>
<td>-2.33</td>
<td>.013</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b_5</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.201</td>
<td>-1.125</td>
<td>-.659</td>
<td>1.542</td>
<td>-2.44</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b_6</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.171</td>
<td>-.683</td>
<td>-.602</td>
<td>.710</td>
<td>-2.36</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b_7</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.147</td>
<td>-.617</td>
<td>-.557</td>
<td>.586</td>
<td>-2.33</td>
<td>.013</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Reduced frequency at which $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives were measured.
- $\dot{\beta}$ derivatives combined with pure angular-rate derivatives.
Figure 1.- Body system of axes.
Figure 2.- Sketch of test setup for rotary forced-oscillation tests.
Figure 3.- Sketch of test setup for linear sidewise oscillation test.
Figure 4.- Diagram of curved-flow test section of wind tunnel. Dimensions are given in centimeters and parenthetically in inches.
Figure 5.- Sketch showing geometric characteristics of wings tested in reference 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Sweep</th>
<th>Aspect Ratio</th>
<th>λ</th>
<th>Airfoil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>60° (L.E.)</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NACA 65-006.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swept</td>
<td>45° (c/4)</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>NACA 0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unswept</td>
<td>0° (c/2)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>NACA 0012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(a) Static longitudinal characteristics.

Figure 6.- Variation of aerodynamic characteristics with angle of attack for three wings tested in reference 3.
Figure 6.- Continued.

(b) Effective dihedral derivative.
(c) Upper-surface flow characteristics in sideslip.

Figure 6.- Concluded.
Figure 7. - Derivatives due to rate of change of sideslip for wings tested in reference 5. Amplitude of oscillation, ±2°.
Figure 8.- Variation with angle of attack of static longitudinal characteristics of wings tested in references 5, 11, 12, and 14.
Figure 9. - Variation with angle of attack of static lateral-directional stability derivatives of wings tested in references 5, 11, 12, and 14.
Figure 10.- Comparison of data obtained by various test techniques for 60° delta wing.
Figure 11.- Comparison of data obtained by various test techniques for 45° swept wing.

(a) Low frequency.  
(b) High frequency.
Figure 12.- Variation of $(C_{nr})_s - (C_{n\beta})_s$ and $(C_{l_r})_s - (C_{l_{\beta}})_s$ with angle of attack for wings tested in reference 3.

(a) $k = 0.04$.  
(b) $k = 0.08$.  

Wing

- Delta
- Swept
- Unswept
(a) Static directional stability derivative.

(b) Damping in yaw from forced-oscillation tests.

Figure 13.- Effect of vertical tail on yawing derivatives of a current fighter configuration.
(a) Static longitudinal data (from ref. 17).

Figure 14.- Variation of aerodynamic characteristics with angle of attack for $60^\circ$ delta-wing fighter configuration.
(b) Static lateral-directional stability derivatives (from ref. 17).

Figure 14.- Continued.
(c) Yawing and rolling stability derivatives (from refs. 17 and 18).

Figure 14.- Continued.
Reduced frequency

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{C}_{n\beta} & = 0.066 \\
\text{C}_{l\beta} & = 0.109 \\
\text{C}_{n\beta} & = 0.132
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 14.- Concluded.

(d) Derivatives due to rate of change of sideslip (from ref. 4).
Figure 15.- Variation with angle of attack of vertical-tail contribution to $C_{n\beta}$ for delta-wing fighter configuration.
Figure 16.- Variation of static lateral-directional stability derivatives with angle of attack for twin-jet fighter airplane.
Figure 17.- Derivatives due to rate of change of sideslip for twin-jet fighter airplane.
"The aeronautical and space activities of the United States shall be conducted so as to contribute . . . to the expansion of human knowledge of phenomena in the atmosphere and space. The Administration shall provide for the widest practicable and appropriate dissemination of information concerning its activities and the results thereof."

—National Aeronautics and Space Act of 1958
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