General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.

- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.

- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.

- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.

- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)
A SURFACE-WAVE INVESTIGATION OF THE RUPTURE MECHANISM OF THE
GOBI-ALTAI (4 DECEMBER 1957) EARTHQUAKE.

Emile A. Okal

Seismological Laboratory, California Institute of Technology,
Pasadena, California 91125
Abstract:

Long-period records of multiple Love waves from the 1957 earthquake in Mongolia (Ms = 8.0) at Pasadena are analyzed and compared to synthetic seismograms, generated by the method of Kanamori (1970a,b). A fit in the time domain shows that the records are not consistent with the previous solution, achieved through a frequency-domain analysis of directivity by Ben-Menahem and Toksoz (1962). The solution asks for a shorter rupture of 270 km at a velocity of 3.5 km/s. The focal parameters are constrained by updating all the reported first-motion and are found to be: Strike = 103°, Dip = 53°, Slip = 32°. A seismic moment of 1.8 $10^{28}$ dynes-cm is obtained. These figures are also consistent with a time-domain analysis of Love waves at Palisades and Strasbourg, and of Rayleigh waves at Pasadena, with a directivity study of Love waves at Pasadena, and with static deformation and isoseismal data. A discussion is given of the relation between moment, magnitude and rupture area, and a comparison is made with other events in the same region: It is concluded that this earthquake does not exhibit an "intra-plate" behavior, but rather compares better with "inter-plate" events, such as the great Assam earthquake.
Introduction:

At 03:37:45.0 TU, on December 4, 1957, a major earthquake ($M_s = 8.0$) occurred along the Bogdo fault in central Mongolia. The epicenter was subsequently computed at $45.3 \pm 0.2 ^\circ$N by $99.3 \pm 0.15 ^\circ$E (Florensov and Solonenko, 1963). Although this event, known as the Gobi-Altai earthquake, did little civilian damage, due to its location under desert mountain ranges (Fig. 1), it was soon recognized as one of the major earthquakes in recent times, and an extensive field investigation was conducted by a team of Russian and Mongolian scientists (Florensov and Solonenko, 1963).

Furthermore, in view of the recent advent of plate tectonics, this earthquake could be regarded as the largest recent expression of intra-plate seismicity. It is therefore challenging to thoroughly investigate its mechanism. The only such attempt had been made by Ben-Menahem and Toksoz (1962) [hereafter referred to as BMT62], who studied multiple Rayleigh waves $R_3$ to $R_6$ recorded at Pasadena. However, at the time, the theory of surface-wave excitation was not fully developed and their study was focused on an investigation of directivity in the frequency domain. Their results asked for a fault rupture of 560 km, striking N$100^\circ$E, at a rupture velocity of 3.5 km/s. The development of long-period surface-wave synthetic seismograms (Kanamori, 1970a,b) allows a time-domain investigation of the rupture mechanism and warrants a complete reassessment of the focal and rupture process of the Gobi-Altai event.

In Section 1, we discuss the focal solution of the earthquake, before determining the rupture process in section 2. A discussion of directivity effects is given in section 3. A computation of the seismic
moment is given in section 4, and this figure is discussed with respect to general intra-plate tectonics.

1. Focal process.

The focal process of the Gobi-Altai earthquake has long been a challenge. At the time of the BMT62 paper, the solution could only be inferred from surface deformation data. Hodgson et al. (1962) noted a high degree of inconsistency among the first-motion reports. They proposed a mechanism shown on Figure 2a, with strike (\(\phi\)), dip (\(\delta\)) and slip (\(\lambda\)) angles of respectively 125.5, 55, and 24.3 degrees. Florensov and Solonenko (1963) show that their solution \([\phi = 107^\circ, \delta = 41^\circ, \lambda = 40^\circ]\) is rather poorly constrained, the azimuths of the planes, for example, being allowed to vary 35° around the chosen values (Figure 2b). They also report inconsistencies in first-motion data. In view of the location of the station PAS (its azimuth from the direction of the fault varies from 60° to 95° depending on the model), it is crucial to achieve a better solution, if one is build synthetic seismograms. This is made possible by including mostly dilatational data from Indian stations (BOM, HYB, CCU, KOD), which were not used by Florensov and Solonenko, and mostly compressional data from Central Asia (DSB, KIR, AND, LAH), which were not used by Hodgson et al.

Inclusion of these data points yields a better-constrained solution: \(\phi = 103^\circ, \delta = 53^\circ\) and \(\lambda = 32^\circ\), shown on Figure 2c. The rather large number of inconsistencies, mostly from European stations, may be due to the fact that most European stations lie in the direction opposite to faulting, and therefore give rise to emergent records. The long-period Galitsin record at DBN clearly shows a compression on Z, and a SW first motion on the horizontal instruments. Similar records at FIR also agree, as do both short- and long-period records at STR. Records
at UCC show a self-inconsistent (Down, South, West) first motion. However, the shape of the signal is very similar to that at DBN (the two stations are only 150 km apart) and it is believed that the polarity of the Z instrument at UCC was wrong. This set of data clearly puts Western Europe into a compressional quadrant. The data from India and Central Asia constrains the solution to within a few degrees. It should be noted that the proposed solution, which represents a left-lateral strike-slip with a fair amount of thrust (see figure 2d), is consistent with the surface-rupture studies quoted from Florensov (1958) in BMT62. The solution is also basically consistent with the general pattern of Asian Tectonics, as described by Molnar and Tapponnier (1975).

2. Rupture process from time-domain surface wave studies.

Records used in this study were principally those obtained at PAS, by the high-pass "30-90" 3-component seismometer (Press et al., 1958), and by the low-gain strainmeter. Additional Press-Ewing records from PAL and Galitsin records from STR were also used. Table 1 lists some parameters of the stations and records used in this study. The particular azimuthal location of PAS with respect to the fault elements is favorable to Love rather than Rayleigh waves.

**Love waves at Pasadena:** Records of the three components of the 30-90 instrument were digitised from 06:44 to 14:00 TU, and the horizontal ones were rotated into SH polarization. The phases G3, G4, and G5 were isolated and equalised to a station distance of 90 degrees. Components with periods lower than 60 sec. were at the same time removed. No attempt to process G6 and G7 was made, although these phases were readily identifiable on the records (see figure 3). The computation of the synthetic
Seismograms followed the procedure described by Kanamori and Cipar (1975). Several lengths of rupture were used, varying from 200 km to 560 km, this upper limit being the rupture length proposed by BMT62. A rupture velocity of 3.5 km/s, similar to that used in BMT62, was used throughout the study. A step-function type of source was chosen, and no attenuation of the source along the rupture was assumed. In section 3, we will discuss part of this last hypothesis on the basis of some directivity data. The azimuth of propagation of the faulting was taken to coincide with the trace of the fault plane \( \phi = 103^\circ \).

Synthetics for \( G_3 \), \( G_4 \) and \( G_5 \) are shown on Figure 4, for fault lengths of 560 km (suggested by BMT62) and 270 km (which turned out to give the best fit to our data), and are compared with the observed traces. It is readily seen that a fault length of 560 km cannot account for the observed wave shapes: The frequency content of the synthetic \( G_3 \) and \( G_5 \) is clearly different from that of the observed phases, and the shape of the synthetic \( G_4 \) exhibits a doublet, due to a rupture propagation backwards from the departing wave. On the other hand, a length of 270 km gives a most satisfactory fit to \( G_3 \), and also to \( G_5 \) and \( G_4 \), although the amplitude of \( G_4 \) remains somewhat too large.

Rayleigh waves at Pasadena.

Synthetics were computed for the phase \( R_3 \) at PAS. It was found that the length of the rupture has a rather limited influence on its waveshape and that \( R_3 \) cannot help in discriminating between various rupture lengths. The horizontal component of the phase \( R_2 \), recorded on the low-gain strain instrument, was also used: This system is equivalent to a mechanical seismometer with \( T_s = 90 \text{ sec} \). Figure 5 compares the observed
wave, filtered at $T = 50$ sec., with synthetics for both 270 and 560 km. As can easily be seen, the shape of $R_2$ at PAS is inconsistent with the 560 km fault length. This is important, because Rayleigh waves were the only data used in BMT62.

Other records.

Figure 6 shows a similar comparison between observed and synthetic seismograms for $G_3$ at PAL. The other records obtained were those at DBN, FIR, UCC, and STR; these stations are located at an azimuth extremely unfavorable to Rayleigh waves, and, indeed, those are not easily observed on the records. The best record was that of $G_2$ at Strasbourg (Figure 7).

Unfortunately, it does not help between rupture lengths, because of station azimuth. This point will be discussed in the next section.

As a partial conclusion to this section, it can be stated that most of the records of both G and R waves are incompatible with a rupture length of 560 km, whereas all agree with a shorter length of 270 km at 3.5 km/s.

3. Frequency-domain investigation.

Our results conflict with those from BMT62, which were obtained in the frequency domain. In order to examine the cause of this discrepancy, we analysed our data in the frequency domain, and made a directivity plot similar to that of BMT62, for $G_3/G_4$ at PAS. The theoretical aspects of the problem of the directivity function of a moving source have been described by Ben-Menahem (1961), who showed that a spectral component of frequency $f$, leaving the source at an angle $\theta$ from the rupture direction, is attenuated by an amount:
\[
\begin{align*}
(1) \quad D(\theta) &= \frac{\sin \frac{\pi \ell f}{c} (\frac{c}{v} - \cos \theta)}{\frac{\pi \ell f}{c} (\frac{c}{v} - \cos \theta)} \\
&= \frac{\sin \frac{\pi \ell f}{c} (\frac{c}{v} - \cos \theta)}{\frac{\pi \ell f}{c} (\frac{c}{v} - \cos \theta)} \\
end{align*}
\]

where \( \ell \) is the rupture length, \( v \) the rupture velocity and \( c \) the surface-wave phase velocity at frequency \( f \). For two successive multiple surface waves, such as \( G_3 \) and \( G_4 \), at the same station, equalised to an identical distance, the spectral ratio is then given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
(2) \quad D(\theta) &= \frac{\sin \frac{\pi \ell f}{c} (\frac{c}{v} - \cos \theta)}{\frac{\pi \ell f}{c} (\frac{c}{v} + \cos \theta)} \\
D(\theta + \pi) &= \frac{\sin \frac{\pi \ell f}{c} (\frac{c}{v} + \cos \theta)}{\frac{\pi \ell f}{c} (\frac{c}{v} - \cos \theta)} \\
end{align*}
\]

The experimental value of this ratio for \( G_3/G_4 \) at PAS is shown on Figure 8 and is compared with theoretical models for both fault lengths. Should the fault be 560 km long, a peak in the directivity function would be expected around 200 sec., for which there is no evidence in the data. On the other hand, a reasonably good agreement is found with the theoretical spectrum ratio for 270 km. This indeed is a conclusion similar to that in BMT62, in which the authors noticed the disappearance of the expected first Rayleigh wave directivity peak. Although the gain of the instrument is rather low at these frequencies, both the present investigation and Figures 6 & 7 in BMT62 show that the amplitude of the spectrum of \( R_3, R_4, G_3 \) and \( G_4 \) is indeed maximum around 200 sec. It is therefore not possible to account for the non-existence of the 200 sec. peaks through errors introduced by the instruments.
Similarly, Figure 9 shows the spectrum of the $R_2$ record at PAS, on the long-period strain instrument. There is no evidence for the hole at $T = 195$ sec. by the 560 km solution. The hole for 270 km falls in a rather rugged portion of the spectrum, for which no definite comment can be made.

In the case of stations lying close to the azimuth opposite to the fault, such as most of the European stations (see Table 1), formula (1) shows easily that even-order waves, leaving the source in the direction of rupture will be affected by directivity only in the higher frequency part of their spectrum. The only odd-order wave record of good quality from Europe was that of $G_1$ at STR. However, its Fourier spectrum is rather rugged and does not indicate any definite directivity effect.

It is thus apparent that in the frequency domain, the analysis of directivity effects on Love and Rayleigh waves is not always a very powerful method of investigation of rupture mechanism. Difficulties in using this method were originally pointed out by Aki (1966). However, even in the Fourier space, most of the results from this study do agree better the shorter (270 km) length of the fault rather than with the longer (560 km) length suggested by BMT62. We were not able to find any evidence for the fundamental hole expected around 200 sec. in $G_{2n}$ or $R_{2n}$, in a 560 km-length model. We would also like to point out that BMT62 used a data set of 2160 sec, which they extended to 20,000 sec. by adding zeroes. Therefore, their interval of sampling in the frequency domain cannot be shorter than $4.6 \times 10^{-4}$ Hz = $1/(2160 \text{ sec.})$, and any feature of their spectra not extending over such an interval has no real physical meaning. It should be noted that many of the spikes in Figure 11 of BMT62 do not meet this criterion. Our analysis used a FFT over data samples of 2048 sec., and no substantial number of zeroes were added.
It therefore appears that the first peak of the directivity function, which Ben-Menahem and Toksoz identified as the #2-harmonic for a 560 km rupture, is in fact the fundamental for a 270 km fault.

As a conclusion to this section, it should be noted that the directivity plot on Figure 8 clearly shows strong spikes, extending over 3 orders of magnitude. This restricts the rupture process and helps reject both a bilateral fault and an attenuating source function, as was discussed in BMT62. Also, the aftershock pattern does not suggest a bilateral faulting. However, there does remain a trade-off between velocity of rupture and length of fault, which can be resolved neither in frequency nor in time domain. The discussion of some static data will put some further constrains on the possible solution.

4. Seismic moment and discussion:

The comparison of amplitudes between synthetic and observed seismograms allows a computation of the seismic moment of the earthquake. G3 records at PAS yield a value of \(1.8 \times 10^{28}\) dynes-cm; R3 at PAS yields \(1.4 \times 10^{28}\) dynes-cm; records at PAL ask for \(2.3 \times 10^{28}\) dynes-cm, although an accurate value of the gain of the instrument at this time was not available; the G2 record at STR yields \(1.4 \times 10^{28}\) dynes-cm. A reasonable value is therefore \((1.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{28}\) dynes-cm. It is interesting to compare this figure with the static data reported in Florensov and Solonenko (1963). These authors report average static dislocations along the fault on the order of 8 m. The depth of the hypocenter is estimated by them at 16 km. Continuous surface breakage asks for a vertical extent of at least 16 km, but probably not greater than 40 km. The width of the fault is then 20 to 50 km, due to the inclination of the fault plane, and the static moment \(M = \mu SD\) is found to be 1.3 to 3.2 times \(10^{28}\) dynes-cm, for a fault
length of 270 km, in agreement with the long-period seismic data.

Figure 10 is adapted from Florensov and Solonenko (1963) [their figure 89, p. 251 of the English translation]. It shows the distribution of the major aftershocks of the earthquake, and the line of isoseismic degree 5. Superimposed on it, we have plotted the 270 km and the 550 km faults. As can easily be seen, the 560 km fault would go well out of the isoseismic line. Although the data about this line was obtained in desert land and no continuation of it is available into China, its significance is not to be underestimated, in view of the extensive field work reported by Florensov and Solonenko (1963). On the same figure, it is easy to see that the repartition of aftershocks is confined to a length of about 300 km along the fault. Again, this is an indication supporting the 270 km hypothesis.

The magnitude of the Gobi-Altai earthquake has been computed by a number of agencies. The Bulletin of the Bureau International de Sismologie (Strasbourg) (1958) summarizes the surface-wave magnitudes reported by various observatories. A mean-value of $M_s = 8.04 \pm 0.45$ results. A redetermination from 20-sec. surface waves at PAS yields 7.98. A value of 8.0 has therefore been adopted here. It then becomes possible to compare the parameters of this earthquake with those of others, in the way of the study by Kanamori and Anderson (1975). The preceding sections suggest the following values: Magnitude $M_s = 8.0$; moment $M_o = 1.8 \times 10^{28}$ dynes-cm; rupture surface $S = 10,000$ km$^2$

From these figures, it is possible to compute a stress drop $\Delta \sigma = 26$ bars, and an apparent stress $\eta \sigma = 10.5$ bars. Although this last figure is not very accurate (and could probably vary from 3 to 30 bars), due to uncertainties in the magnitude-energy relation, it is still way under the average "intra-plate" apparent stress of 60 bars, determined
by Kanamori and Anderson (1975). In this respect, the Gobi-Altai earthquake plots among the inter-plate rather than intra-plate family on Figure 4 of Kanamori and Anderson's paper. Its next neighbors on the plot are the 1968 Tokachi-Oki, 1969 Kurile, 1970 Peru, 1944 Tonankai and 1946 Nankaido events, all major interplate earthquakes. The closest intraplate events are the 1952 Kern County and 1969 Portuguese earthquakes, which have much higher apparent stresses.

A similar result may be obtained from the stress drop $\Delta \sigma$.

It should be emphasized that the relation between $M_o$ and $S$, used in the determination of the stress drop, holds even for very large earthquakes, as pointed out by Kanamori and Anderson. On Figure 2 of their paper, the Gobi-Altai earthquake is comparable to the 1952 and 1968 Tokachi-Oki, 1966 Peru, 1969 Kurile, and 1944 Tonankai events. Again, intraplate events of comparable importance exhibit larger stress drops, and there seems to exist a major difference in terms of source parameters between the Gobi-Altai earthquake and other intra-plate events. In order to investigate this point further, we studied two other large events, which occurred in Mongolia and Sin-Kiang (Okal, 1976, to be published): The Urumtchi earthquake of 13 November 65, and the Khangai earthquake of 5 January 67 (see figure 1). The parameters of these events are listed on Table 2. Purposely, they were chosen so that the seismotectonics involved in them are different from that of the Gobi-Altai earthquake: The Urumtchi earthquake is a thrust event between the Tien-Shan fold belt and the Dzungarian block; the Khangai event is a pure strike-slip presumably along a North-South fault (Moinar and Tapponnier, 1975), and possibly linked with the Lake Baikal rift system (Florencesov, 1968). We also include in Table 2 the
results of Ben-Menahem et al.'s (1974) study of the great Assam earthquake of 15 August 50. Unfortunately, no data on fault dimensions is available for the Khangai and Urumtchi events, and only an apparent stress study can be made. Nevertheless, as can be seen, only the Khangai event clearly qualifies for intra-plate behavior. The Urumtchi earthquake has intermediate properties, and the Gobi-Altai event joins the Assam earthquake into the inter-plate family.

The interpretation of this feature is probably that the Bogdo fault, on which the Gobi-Altai event took place, is a major expression of present Eurasian tectonism, along which a major left-lateral slip of China towards the Pacific Ocean is taking place, as a result of the Himalayan collision, as proposed by Molnar et al. (1973) and Molnar and Tapponnier (1975), and possibly giving birth to a new "Chinese" plate.

This indeed raises the question whether there can exist any major ($M_s > 9.0$) intra-plate event, or whether such an event is large enough as to make the two sides of its fault separate entities on a world-wide scale. A very challenging project in this respect would be the investigation of the huge 1905 Mongolian events ($M_s = 8.3$ and $8.7$ (?) along the Bolnai fault. This might be done in the future, although the quality of the records involved is poor and a lot of uncertainty reigns over the characteristics of some of the instruments used at that time.
Conclusions:

We have shown that a time-domain analysis of surface waves from the Gobi-Altai earthquake suggests a fault length of 270 km, which is much shorter than previously determined. Results in the frequency domain suggest the same. The focal parameters ($M_o = 1.8 \times 10^{28}$ dynes·cm; $M_s = 8.0$) obtained from this study indicate that this earthquake has the characteristics of an inter-plate event, this being compatible with the present understanding of Chinese tectonics.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Name and Country</th>
<th>Distance from epicenter (degrees)</th>
<th>Azimuth from epicenter (degrees)</th>
<th>Instruments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAS</td>
<td>Pasadena, Calif.</td>
<td>93.71</td>
<td>30.23</td>
<td>Press-Ewing (N,E,Z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low-gain strainmeter (N,E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL</td>
<td>Palisades, N.Y.</td>
<td>93.96</td>
<td>354.83</td>
<td>Press-Ewing (N,E,Z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBN</td>
<td>De Bilt, Netherlands</td>
<td>58.21</td>
<td>313.7</td>
<td>Galitsin (N,E,Z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIR</td>
<td>Firenze, Italy</td>
<td>59.57</td>
<td>303.04</td>
<td>Galitsin (N,E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCC</td>
<td>Uccle, Belgium</td>
<td>59.37</td>
<td>312.83</td>
<td>Galitsin (N,E,Z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STR</td>
<td>Strasbourg, France</td>
<td>58.32</td>
<td>309.24</td>
<td>Galitsin (N,E,Z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Short-Period.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 2

Source parameters of Asian Earthquakes used in the present study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Epicenter (N,E)</th>
<th>Ms</th>
<th>Mo (dynes-cm)</th>
<th>Apparent stress (bars)</th>
<th>Stress drop (bars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gobi-Altai</td>
<td>4 Dec 57</td>
<td>Strike-Slip and thrust.</td>
<td>45.21 99.24</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.8 10</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khangai</td>
<td>5 Jan 67</td>
<td>Strike-slip</td>
<td>48.24 102.90</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>3.2 10^{26}</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urumtchi</td>
<td>13 Nov 65</td>
<td>Thrust</td>
<td>43.85 87.74</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>8.3 10^{25}</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assam (*)</td>
<td>15 Aug 50</td>
<td>Thrust</td>
<td>28.30 96.70</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>2.5 10^{29}</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(* Data from Ben-Menahem et al. (1974)
Figure Captions.

Figure 1. Map of Mongolia, showing locations and focal mechanisms of the Gobi-Altai (4 Dec 57) earthquake and of the two events mentioned in Section 4.

Figure 2. (a) Focal sphere plot of first-motion data obtained for the Gobi-Altai event by Hodgson et al. Black circles are compressions, open ones dilatations.
   (b) Focal mechanism achieved by Florensov and Solonenko. Heavy lines indicate probable solution; dashed lines show possible uncertainty range. Black and open circles as in (a).
   (c) Focal mechanism obtained in this study. Black and open circles as in (a).
   (d) Scheme of focal dislocation. The arrow shows direction of movement of the hanging wall relative to the foot wall.

Figure 3. Transverse (SH-polarized) trace of the Press-Ewing record at PAS.

Figure 4. Observed and synthetic records of Love waves at PAS.
   (a) Synthetic seismograms for a 560 km rupture length
   (b) Synthetic seismograms for a 270 km rupture length
   (c) Observed signals. The 560km G4 synthetic has been shifted upwards to enhance clarity.

Figure 5. Observed and synthetic seismograms for the horizontal component of R2 at PAS. (a),(b) and (c) as in figure 4.

Figure 6. Observed and synthetic seismograms for G3 at PAL.
   (a),(b), and (c) as in figure 4.

Figure 7. Observed and synthetic seismograms for G2 at STR.
   (a),(b) and (c) as in figure 4.
Figure 8. Spectral ratio $G_3/G_4$ at PAS. (a) Theoretical curve for a 560 km rupture; (b) Theoretical curve for a 270 km rupture; (c) Experimental curve.

Figure 9. Spectral amplitude of observed $R_2$ trace at PAS. No hole in $R_2$ is observed at 195 sec., as would be required by the 560 km solution.

Figure 10. Map of the epicentral area of the Gobi-Altai earthquake. The big star shows the epicenter; small dots indicate aftershocks; the rack line represents the isoseismic line of degree 5; the light trace is the 560km rupture fault suggested by BMT62; the heavier trace (displaced South to enhance clarity) is the 270 km fault proposed here.
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