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1. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

Since 1970 TRW has been engaged in activities related to precision attitude determination systems (PADS) for spacecraft applications under company, NASA, and USAF funding. In addition to extensive analyses of such systems, developmental efforts have been undertaken in the areas of star trackers and software algorithms. Engineering model gimbal and strapdown star trackers were developed and tested along with computer simulations of the effectiveness of various algorithm approaches.

In 1974 NASA/LaRC awarded TRW a contract to perform a laboratory test of the performance capability of an engineering model PADS. The test objective which evolved subsequently was to test two such systems, one using a strapdown star tracker, the other using a single-axis gimbal star tracker. The simulated orbit configuration was to be that of a PADS aboard a three-axis stabilized, earth-pointed satellite in geosynchronous orbit. The performance goal was to demonstrate 0.001 degree ($0.1^\circ$) per axis accuracy for both the strapdown and gimbal PADS. A secondary program objective was the fabrication, assembly, and unit test of the strapdown star tracker for the system test. The majority of the design of this tracker had already been accomplished.

A functional block diagram of PADS is presented in Figure 1-1. PADS, mounted aboard a spacecraft, provides estimates of the inertial attitude of the spacecraft axes. The PADS sensors are:

- A star tracker which provides periodic updates of the spacecraft attitude relative to identified stars.
- Gyros which provide a continuous indication of the relative inertial attitude of the spacecraft.

In addition to the sensors, a digital computer (either on-board or ground-based) implements the software algorithms which combine the redundant sensor signals and compute the spacecraft inertial attitude.
The PADS engineering model hardware components tested in the laboratory are shown in Figure 1-2. These components consist of:

- A strapdown star tracker developed by TRW
- A single-axis gimbal star tracker also developed by TRW
- A three-axis inertial reference unit furnished by NASA.

The PADS software algorithms were implemented offline in a CDC 6500 digital computer.

In orbit the strapdown star tracker uses the orbit rate of the satellite for star availability. The developed strapdown star tracker has a star magnitude sensitivity requirement of 8 $M_V$ in order to provide frequent star updates at geosynchronous rate. A small 1° by 1° field of view resulted from the accuracy requirement of 0.001 degree.

The single-axis gimbal star tracker is a modification of a two-axis tracker developed by TRW on a USAF program. In its modified state it has a 1/2° by 1/2° field of view which can be gimbaled through ±45°. In view of the larger effective field of view of the tracker, its star magnitude sensitivity requirement is only 3.5 $M_V$.

The original intent was that NASA would furnish an engineering model of a three-axis inertial reference assembly using the Bendix 64 PM RIG gyro. However, schedule difficulties precluded delivery of this assembly, and the engineering model Inertial Reference Unit (IRU) from the Orbiting Astronomical Observatory program was substituted. Although nominally a higher performance unit, a somewhat anomalous behavior of the IRU gyros resulted in it being roughly equivalent to the Bendix specified performance.
Figure 1-2. PADS Hardware Components
Since the primary emphasis of the laboratory evaluation was on the accuracy of the star trackers and the effectiveness of the software algorithms in processing actual sensor signals, it was considered adequate to implement the algorithms offline in a CDC 6500 digital computer. The sensor signals were time-tagged and stored on magnetic tape during the test, and then processed post-test using FORTRAN coded algorithms.

The precision test facility in which the PADS tests were performed is shown in Figure 1-3. A ground-fixed test configuration was implemented both because of the geosynchronous orbit simulation requirement and the extremely high accuracy demanded from the laboratory instrumentation. In this configuration the PADS reference axes were stationary in the laboratory axes and the collimated star beams were moved relative to the laboratory, or PADS, axes. Knowledge of the inertial motion of the laboratory, plus measurement of the attitude of the star beams relative to the laboratory axes by laboratory instrumentation, provided the reference coordinates against which the PADS attitude estimates were compared.

Both the strapdown and gimbal PADS laboratory tests were successfully completed. In each case a ten day test was performed following an initial alignment procedure. During the ten days four evenly spaced attitude determination test runs were made. Each run was four to five hours long.

Post-test data processing and evaluation showed that both systems met the performance goal of 0.001 degree (1σ) per axis. Based on star availability data, 20 minute star update intervals were selected to minimize gyro induced errors while still providing a high probability (>97%) of star acquisition. The rms accuracy obtained with each system, normalized to equal components for all axes, was:

- Strapdown PADS: 2.3 arc second (rms) per axis
- Gimbal PADS: 3.6 arc second (rms) per axis.

These results were obtained without any limitations in star separation geometry.

In view of the test results obtained, the program was considered very successful, not only because a difficult laboratory evaluation was completed as planned, but because both PADS met their performance goals.
Fig. 1-3. PADS Precision Test Facility.
2. TEST OBJECTIVE

The purpose of the PADS system tests was to measure the performance capability of each of two PADS, one using a strapdown star tracker, the other using a single-axis gimbal star tracker. The performance evaluation was conducted in the laboratory under simulated orbit conditions. These conditions consisted of the stellar optical stimuli and inertial attitude rates which would be experienced on a three-axis stabilized, earth-pointed satellite in geosynchronous orbit.

The primary focus of the evaluation was on the contribution to the total system accuracy by the star trackers, and the effectiveness of the software algorithms in functioning with actual sensor signals.

The performance criteria for the laboratory tests was the accuracy with which the PADS estimated its attitude throughout the test period relative to the inertial attitude of the laboratory at the start of the test. A ground-fixed laboratory test was performed in which the PADS axes remained stationary in the laboratory coordinates. Star stimuli were simulated by moving the star line of sight relative to the laboratory coordinates. A direct means of evaluating the PADS performance was obtained from: 1) true knowledge of the laboratory inertial attitude as a function of time, and 2) precise measurement of the star line of sights relative to the laboratory, and hence inertial, coordinates.

The performance goal for PADS was a steady state accuracy of 0.001 degree (1σ) per axis. For the purposes of this program the one sigma two-axis or three-axis goal is defined as the RSS of the single axis goals.

The laboratory environment was benign in terms of temperature and vibration. No bright object sources were simulated. Satellite attitude control rates were not simulated. Evaluation of these effects was considered more properly relegated to the component test or design simulation level.
3. DESCRIPTION OF PADS

Brief descriptions of the PADS hardware and software are given in this section. Detailed design information for the system components has been documented during previous efforts and programs and is referenced in the following descriptions.

PADS provides estimates of the inertial attitude of its reference axes. A functional block diagram of PADS is shown in Figure 1-1. The star trackers provide periodic absolute attitude updates. The gyros provide continuous relative attitude data. The computer implements the software algorithms which combine these redundant sensor signals and estimate inertial attitude.

The following paragraphs describe in detail the specific PADS components which were tested. Descriptions of the strapdown star tracker, the gimbal star tracker, and the gyros are given along with comments regarding their origin and level of development. In view of the offline data processing which was performed, a description of a flight suitable digital computer was not relevant. The software algorithms are described, but their adaptability to a flight computer is not discussed, again because it was not relevant to this test program. This latter topic has been covered, however, in previous studies described in the foreword.

3.1 HARDWARE

The PADS hardware which was tested in the laboratory is illustrated in Figure 1-2. Two PADS configurations were tested, one using a strapdown star tracker, the other a gimbal star tracker. The same inertial reference unit was used for each configuration. This unit contained three gyros which had their input axes mounted orthogonal to each other. Since the emphasis of the test program was directed toward the performance capability of the star trackers and the effectiveness of the software algorithms, a flight-suitable computer was not used. Instead, the sensor data was collected on magnetic tape during the test using a CDC 1700 computer, and then processed post-test using a CDC 6500 computer.
3.1.1 Strapdown Star Tracker

An extensive description of the strapdown star tracker (SST) is presented in Volume I of this report. Detailed descriptions of the design are given along with extensive design analyses and test results.

The star tracker is a high accuracy, high sensitivity sensor with the performance characteristics listed in Table 3-1. The tracker has been designed to meet the PADS requirement to track 8 $M_V$ stars with 3 arc seconds accuracy. Engineering model level of development has been achieved.

The star tracker uses an image dissector tube as its basic detector because the high sensitivity and resolution available from this instrument is consistent with the PADS requirements. The ITT F4012 image dissector was selected because of its proven reliability in space applications.

Table 3-1. SST Design Parameters

| Field of View | $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ |
| Sensitivity | 10 nV $8000^\circ$K |
| Photodetector | ITT F4012 |
| Type | 12.7 mm |
| Usable Photocathode Area | 0.254 mm |
| Aperture Diameter | Cassagran |
| Optics | 50.8 cm |
| Type | 60 cm² |
| Focal Length | 103 arc sec |
| Aperture | |
| Instantaneous Field of View | |
| Electronics | 12 bit serial word |
| Position Output | 10 bit serial word |
| Magnitude Output | 0.1 sec |
| Update Time | |
| Functional Performance | 13.6 sec |
| Maximum Acquisition Time | 0.02 $^\circ$/sec |
| Maximum Track Rate | |
| Accuracy (with FOV and Tens. Comp.) | |
| Line of Sight to EO Axes | 3.0 arc sec ($8 M_V$) |
| Noise (1σ| single sample) | 2.0 arc sec |
| Fixed (1σ) | 5.1 arc sec |
| EO Axes to Optical Reference | 1.3 arc sec |
| Bias (3σ) | 12.7 x 12.7 x 56 cm |
| Bias Stability (3σ) | 5 kg |
| Size | 4.6 watts |
| Weight | |
Photon counting is used as a detection technique because it provides superior sensitivity over that achievable with conventional analog techniques.

The search, track, and mode control electronics are completely digital, not only to complement the photon counting technique, but to eliminate those errors associated with the conventional analog implementations.

The entire electro-optical structure has been made from graphite/epoxy composite material in order to minimize all thermal distortions. This material has a linear coefficient of thermal expansion which is 100 times less than that of aluminum.

A functional block diagram of the SST is shown in Figure 3-1. An explanation of the diagram is facilitated by considering four functional groupings:

- Signal generation
- Acquisition
- Track
- Control.

**Signal Generation.** Photons received from the star or target are focused by the optics to a spot on the photocathode of the image dissector. The resulting signal from the anode of the tube is processed by the video detector. For weak signals, the output of the video detector will be logic level pulses corresponding to the emission of electrons from the photocathode. For strong signals, in the presence of the cruciform spatial modulation pattern, the output will be a squarewave at the modulation frequency.

**Acquisition.** Acquisition is achieved by scanning the $1^\circ$ by $1^\circ$ FOV with a discrete raster pattern produced by the acquisition scan generator. For normal acquisition the pattern is a 64 x 64 dot matrix which is completed in less than 14 seconds. Proximity to a star is detected by the star presence circuit. This circuit counts the number of photoelectrons at each dwell point in the scan matrix. In the presence of a star, a dwell count significantly higher than the background noise count will be measured. By commanding the differential count necessary to indicate a star, stars equal to or greater than selected magnitudes may be acquired and tracked.
Figure 3-1. Star Tracker Block Diagram
Track. Track is initiated by a signal from the star presence circuit. The track sweep generator starts eight continuous cruciform patterns at the position at which track was entered. If the star magnitude and track loss circuit does not indicate that a star is being tracked, then the acquisition scan is continued. The pulse synchronization circuit is the key element in enabling the tracker to track either bright or dim stars. In the case of dim stars, the circuit will produce pulses on a one-to-one basis with photoelectron pulses. By counting pulses in synchronization with the cruciform track sweep, the percentage duty cycle of the pulse width modulated (PWM) information is computed in the error detector circuit. On the other hand, bright stars will produce a squarewave at the video detector output which reflects the PWM information. By gating this signal with a high frequency clock, the information content is retained in the form of clock pulses instead of photoelectron pulses, and the percent duty cycle is again computed in the error detector circuit. By timesharing the error detector only one circuit is needed to compute the error for both axes. At the end of each cruciform pattern the computed error for each axis is summed with the number in the accumulator for that axis. Each accumulator drives a DAC which in turn drives the deflection amplifiers. Star magnitude is measured by the star magnitude and track loss circuit.

Control. The tracker mode control is implemented by the mode control logic. The inputs to this circuit are:

1) Star presence
2) Track loss
3) Search
4) Zero.

The last two signals are manual controls. A manual switch to disable the track loss signal is also provided.

3.1.2 Gimbal Star Tracker

The PADS requirement is for a single-axis gimbal star tracker. However, programmatic considerations necessitated the use of a two-axis star/landmark tracker developed under an Air Force contract. A detailed design description of this unit is given in reference. (1) To make the tracker compatible to
the PADS requirements, the outer gimbal was mechanically locked and the landmark function was inhibited. The remaining star tracker functions represent the same technology developed previously by TRW for a single-axis gimbal star tracker. The following description applies only to the modified tracker.

The PADS gimbal star tracker (GST) consists of a Star Sensor Unit (SSU) mounted within a single degree of freedom Sensor Gimbal Unit (SGU). The SSU incorporates the optics, detector, and supporting electronics. The SGU is an exceptionally precise and stable mount providing gimbal drive for null tracking stars and precision angular readout of the gimbal. The GST interfaces with the Sensor Electronics Assembly (SEA) which provides the gimbal angle processing and servo drive signals. The performance characteristics of the GST are shown in Table 3-2.

3.1.2.1 Star Sensor Unit

The SSU is a high accuracy star sensor designed to track 3.5 $M_V$ stars with arc second accuracy. The SSU uses an image dissector tube together with conventional analog search and track electronics.

The optical design results from a tradeoff between the desire for a short focal length to obtain small size and weight, and the requirement to maintain arc-second level accuracy. The selected design is a Cassegrain telescope utilizing aluminum optics.

A bright object sensor and shutter assembly are incorporated in the sensor along with the high voltage power supply. The high voltage power supply will be incapacitated by the bright object sensor when the sun is within the 45 degree field, and also, a rotary solenoid will be actuated which will close the shutter in front of the photocathode.

The sensor assembly has been designed with great care for thermal symmetry to provide maximum thermal-mechanical stability. In particular, the electronics are equally spread around the tube assembly. The high voltage power supply and line voltage converter, behind the tube assembly and the flange mounting, provide symmetrical heat transfer through the structure into the mounting plate.
Table 3-2. GST Design Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field of View</td>
<td>0.5 x 0.5 deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gimbal Freedom</td>
<td>Up to ±60 deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>+3.5 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth</td>
<td>25 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal Length</td>
<td>84 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aperture</td>
<td>54 cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFOV</td>
<td>84 arc sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector</td>
<td>F4012 RP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Sun Angle</td>
<td>45 deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy (1σ, per axis)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic Bias</td>
<td>0.14 arc sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermo-Mechanical (Random)</td>
<td>0.46 arc sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise Equivalent Angle</td>
<td>1.2 arc sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gimbal and Encoder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment</td>
<td>0.71 arc sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermo-Mechanical Stability</td>
<td>0.28 arc sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductosyn</td>
<td>0.86 arc sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readout Electronics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bias</td>
<td>0.42 arc sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random</td>
<td>0.42 arc sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise and Quantization</td>
<td>0.3 arc sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>30 x 51 x 16 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>13.6 kgm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>17 W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The image dissector, deflection yoke, focus coil, and high voltage biasing network are all contained in a single, integral, encapsulated assembly. The outer structure of this assembly consists of the necessary magnetic shielding to prevent stray fields, or the earth's field, from affecting the image dissector, and is mechanically indexed directly to the optics. The electronics are contained on four flex-print interconnected printed circuit boards which are installed in the housing as a subassembly around the tube assembly.

A functional block diagram of the SSU is shown in Figure 3-2. The following paragraphs explain the sensor operation.

**Video Processor.** The video processor performs the following functions. During the search mode, automatic gain and threshold control circuits select only the largest video pulse present and send it to the mode control logic. When in the track mode of operation, the image dissector video is shaped into a digital pulsewidth-modulated signal and is fed to the demodulator. A digital star-presence signal and an analog star-magnitude signal are also developed.
Mode Control Logic. The mode control logic establishes the mode of operation: search or track. The timing of the search cycle, the gating of the tracking loop, and search-scan waveforms are also controlled by the mode logic.

Demodulator. The demodulator circuits consist of timing gates to process the pulsewidth-modulated video and precision voltage switches which develop the tracking loop correction error voltage at the input to the tracking integrator.

Tracking Integrators. This circuit consists of an integration stage (op-amp) which provides correcting dc voltages to the deflection generator to keep the star image centered in the image-dissector aperture by taking the integral of the error demodulator output.

Scan Generator. The scan generator provides three separate circuit functions. A triangular waveform is generated by a counter-integrator combination and is used as the track mode scan waveform. Two binary counters and D/A converters are used to develop the stairstep-type search mode scan waveforms. The above scan waveforms and the dc-correcting voltage from the tracking integrator are summed in current dividers to provide the deflection coil current required.

Error Amplifier. The dc component of the deflection coil current is determined by a current sampling resistor and the corresponding voltage is then amplified and filtered to give the required output pointing error gradient.

3.1.2.2 Sensor Gimbal Unit

The single degree of freedom gimbal assembly utilizes a proven single ball/flexure suspension system and Inductosyn readout. The gimbal is formed by identical drive housing assemblies attached on each side of the star sensor unit. The drive housings incorporate the suspension/bearing system, drive motors, Inductosyn encoders, and data link.

The use of identical drives on both ends of each axis is motivated by the desire to obtain mechanical and thermal symmetry. The star sensor mass is centered between the supporting bearings of the gimbal. Nearly identical
power dissipation in each housing minimizes thermal gradient variations. Further, zero structural slope mounting points are chosen so that one-g distortions result in translation only — not in angular rotations. With this approach, testing can be accomplished with negligible errors due to gravity induced droop.

Gimbal Suspension

The gimbal design features a one-ball bearing configuration. The geometry of this scheme provides self-alignment features and facilitates the fabrication of the gimbal system by providing inherent reference for the establishment of the rotational axis of the gimbal.

The desired axis is established by a line of contact of the balls with the cone surfaces of their retaining cups. The accuracy of the gimbal axis is set by the cups radii of contact and the ball sphericity. Since the ball sphericity can be obtained to two parts in a million and the cups radius of contact is established by lapping, and since all other critical surfaces are indexed to the payloads balls, the achievement of very accurate alignment is possible. The suspension consists of two single spherical balls supporting the payload. Each ball locates itself via two cone type cups. One cup (rotating retainer) is located on each side of the payload and the other cup (stationary retainer) is inclined 40 minutes of arc with respect to the center line passing through the centers of the spherical balls; the stationary cup cone is eccentrically offset with respect to the centerline of the cylindrical shaft containing the cone. The shaft element is housed in the bore of the flexure, which is an intermediate element between the retainer and the gimbal frame.

Drive Motors

Each gimbal motor drive assembly is identical in performance and general configuration. It consists of a two phase permanent magnet motor and appropriate support structure. The motor has 24 poles and 4 skewed slots between pole spaces. The commutation to the motor is provided by the Inductosyn resolver signal which is conditioned to provide the required power in terms of sine and cosine functions with periods satisfying the number of poles (12 speed). Interconnecting of the sine and cosine inputs to the respective motor winding results in a brushless motor exhibiting dc torquer characteristics.
**Inductosyn Encoders**

The angular rotation of each gimbal is measured using a precision Inductosyn. The Inductosyn is a pair of discs, in this case 5 inches in diameter, which are mounted such as to rotate coaxially with respect to one another with facing surfaces in close proximity. The facing surfaces have printed conductors, forming winding circuits which may be flux linked, disc-to-disc. One disc, designated the rotor, has a single winding; the other, the stator, has two windings. The winding geometry is arranged so that the transformation coupling from rotor to stator varies trigonometrically with relative disc rotation. The two stator windings are in mechanical quadrature to one another. Thus, the device is electrically identical to a synchro resolver, except for a very low coupling efficiency and for a larger number of poles than are normally found in a conventional resolver.

**Data Link**

The data link provides transmission of electrical signals across the gimbals without excessive restraint torques. The design utilizes an "S" folded conductor strip which is attached at the ends of and slides between a cylindrical stationary member attached to the housing sleeve and a smaller diameter cylindrical component (the rotating member) attached to the motor shaft. The inner and the outer members are lined with nylon rings to provide electrical insulation and mechanical guide for the rolamite action of a 6 conductor strip. There are four such strips providing the capability of ±60° motion with restraints not exceeding 36 gm-cm. Both the stationary and the rotating members of this assembly are made from beryllium. Appropriate electrical connectors (miniature) are attached to the periphery of the rotating and stationary component. The data link itself is so designed that it can be removed from the system without disturbing the various electrical interconnections.
3.1.2.3 Sensor Electronics Assembly

The Sensor Electronics Assembly provides encoding of the Inductosyn gimbal angle signals and implements the controller/drive for the gimbal motors. Figure 3-3 shows the SEA functional block diagram for one of the two gimbal axes. The Inductosyn Electronics has as its function the excitation and processing of both multi-speed and single-speed Inductosyn output signals to derive the precision measure of gimbal angle. The Drive Electronics provides for loop compensation and appropriate commutation, and and motor p.u.e drive functions.

Inductosyn Electronics

An Inductosyn is analogous to a resolver. It has a primary, the rotor, which is sinusoidally excited at some frequency, 10.3 kHz in this case. It has two secondary (stator) output signals of the same frequency, whose amplitudes are trigonometrically amplitude-related to the device's mechanical angle:

\[ V_s = kV_e \sin \phi \sin \omega_e t \]
\[ V_c = kV_e \cos \phi \sin \omega_e t \]

where \( \phi \) is the mechanical angle, \( V_e \) is the rotor excitation amplitude, and \( \omega_e \) is its frequency. The transformation ratio, \( k \), is extremely small for an Inductosyn, such that the output signal is only a few millivolts. Each Inductosyn has a single-speed and a 256 speed set of windings. The speed ratio, \( n \), is unity for the single-speed section and 256 for the multi-speed section. Thus, the single-speed outputs advance one electrical revolution for each mechanical revolution, while the multi-speed signals advance 256 electrical revolutions for the same mechanical rotation. By processing both pairs of signals an extremely high resolution can be achieved.
Figure 3-3. Sensor Electronics Assembly Functional Block Diagram
The Inductosyn signals are amplified by special preamplifiers located on the gimbal, and the resultant signals transmitted to the SEA for further processing. Within the SEA, the signals are converted from amplitude to phase format. Each pair, as described above is converted to a phase pair:

\[ V_A = V \sin (\omega_t + n\theta) \]
\[ V_B = V \sin (\omega_t - n\theta) \]

Thus, gimbal angle information now resides in the relative phase of the signals, in "double-angle" form, i.e., the relative phase between \( V_A \) and \( V_B \) changes twice as fast as \( n\theta \). These signals are then converted to standard logic signals, A and B, for use by the encoder logic. The amplitude-to-phase converters are specially designed to preserve the inherent Inductosyn accuracy. A computer analysis has shown a worst-case error of \( \pm 0.03 \) degree electrical for the converter itself, excluding pre-amplifier gain variations and zero-crossing detector propagation delay variations.

The Encoder section is used to digitally measure the relative phases of the A and B signals from the amplitude-to-phase converters, and thus, to develop a digital quantity representing the gimbal angles.

**Gimbal Control Electronics**

The control electronics has two modes which are commanded by an external computer:

1) Slew
2) Track.

In the slew mode a servo summing junction is implemented in the computer using the desired position profile and the SEA inductosyn readout. The error signal from this junction is used to drive the gimbal servo electronics. A rate signal can also be commanded by the computer to augment the gimbal response performance. Operationally, this mode is used to position the gimbal so that a star will be in the field of view of the SSU.
If the SSU acquires a star, it will send a track signal to the computer. The computer in turn will command the gimbal control electronics into the track mode. Here the vertical deflection signal from the SSU is used as the error signal to the gimbal servo electronics. The electronics will drive the gimbal motor until the SSU signal is nulled, i.e., the star is positioned midway between the top and bottom of the field of view.

The servo design consists of a proportional plus integral mechanization which eliminates gimbal hangoff due to static bearing friction. In both modes the servo is damped by a rate signal derived from the inductosyn information. The rate loop is also implemented with a proportional plus integral design to compensate for running bearing friction.

3.1.3 Inertial Reference Assembly

The original plan for the PADS program was to receive a gyro assembly for the laboratory tests from NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC). This assembly would have used the Bendix 64 PM RIG gyro in a configuration similar to that for the International Ultraviolet Explorer Satellite. However, procurement difficulties experienced by GSFC prevented use of this gyro assembly on the PADS program. In its place GSFC substituted an engineering model version of the Orbiting Astronomical Observatory Inertial Reference Unit (OAO/IRU). A detailed design description of this unit is given in reference (3). The following description is extracted from this reference and is limited to only those aspects relevant to the PADS testing.

3.1.3.1 General Description

The IRU used in the laboratory tests consists of two packages: an Inertial Package (IP) and an Electronics Package (EP). A test console was also supplied by GSFC which provided an electronic interface capability with the IRU.
The test console provided all the necessary commands and power to operate the IRU. Aside from the start-up and shut-down procedures, the only interfaces with the test console during the PADS tests were manual changes to the R-Term compensation for all three gyros. These changes simplified the quick look display of the gyro performance during the testing.

A data interface unit was added to the test console by GSFC to transmit the gyro outputs to the PADS data collection system. Each output consists of a 16 bit word representing the inertial rotation about the gyro input axis. The scaling is nominally 0.075 arc sec/count. The 16 bit word turns over after approximately 1.4 degrees.

**IP**

The IP is a temperature controlled cube 9 inches on a side. It weighs 18 kg and contains three precision gyros, their control and readout electronics, a frequency source assembly which provides the precision frequency for all the electronics in the IRU and temperature control electronics. Attached to the IP are radiator plates which conduct heat away from the IP. Each radiator is approximately 7.6 x 22.9 cm in area.

The gyros mounted in the IP were fabricated at MIT. They are MIT designed adaptations of the inertial grade 2FBG-6F floated gyro. The 2FBG-6F gyro was modified for the OAO mainly by simplifying its construction and by improving its data bandwidth capability. The gyros are operated with binary pulse restrained torque loops. Torque data from the gyros are processed as rate integrals (i.e., angles) in the EP and converted to digital signals. The IRU's reference position about each axis can either be reset or changed incrementally. The resets are enabled by programmed commands. Incremental changes in position are limited to one axis at a time.

For the PADS tests the IRU was operated only in the HOLD mode. During this mode, the rate capability is 15 arc sec/sec, or earth rate. The indicated rate of each gyro output can be digitally compensated in the EP (referred to as R-Term compensation).
The gyro electronics, temperature control, and frequency source electronics consist of potted cordwood modules and discrete components, assembled into the following subassemblies: Frequency Source Assembly, Temperature Control Assembly, Auxiliary Temperature Control Assembly, Torque Generator End Assembly (TGEA) and Signal Generator End Assembly (SGEA). Each gyro has a TGEA and SGEA mounted with it, and tuned to it, to provide proper gyro parameters. A total of 21 cordwood modules is used in each IP.

EP

The EP is a rectangular assembly, 22.9 x 38.1 x 15.2 cm weighing 17.3 kgm, made up of 3 electronic trays and an annex attached to tray 1. Contained in the 3 trays and annex are 63 potted cordwood modules and a number of discrete components, power transistors, transformers etc.

The EP contains analog, digital and hybrid electronics. There are 17 major electronics blocks including: power supplies, control units, input/output units, logic and conditioning units.

3.1.3.2 IRU Performance

The IRU was tested at GSFC by personnel from Charles Stark Draper Laboratory prior to delivery to TRW for the PADS tests. This testing is described in Appendix C of this report. In summary, the tests showed that the performance of all three gyros was degraded. The z(yaw) gyro was especially bad.

Following setup and integration at TRW, the IRU performance was again observed and found to be different from that measured at GSFC. This encouraged efforts to improve the IRU. Two actions undertaken did improve the performance:

1) A rewiring of the power interface
2) A change in the thermal configuration.

The performance was again measured by CSDL and found to be significantly improved. These results are also described in Appendix C.
The gyro performance is described in Appendix C in terms of power spectral density (PSD) curves. Another method which was used to characterize the random drift of the gyros is to plot the standard deviation of the gyro attitude as a function of sample time. Figure 3-4 illustrates the approach which was taken. The standard deviation was calculated as:

\[ S = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \theta_i - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \theta_j \right)^2} \]

where

- \( N \) = the total number of samples
- \( \theta \) = the attitude change over a sample time \( \Delta t \).

Figures 3-5 through 3-7 show the standard deviation plotted as a function of sample time for the three gyros. The data was derived from two runs taken on 5 September 1975 and 19 September 1975. The first run duration was three hours and gyro attitude was recorded every one second. The second run duration was 60 hours and the data was recorded every 100 seconds. When available, 50 samples were used to compute the standard deviation.
Figure 3-5. X Gyro Random Drift

Figure 3-6. Y Gyro Random Drift
The figures indicate that the standard deviations after 20 minutes for the x and y gyros are 0.8 and 1.0 arc seconds, respectively. By coincidence, these values are remarkably close to the value measured for the originally considered Bendix gyros, namely, 0.4 arc seconds.\(^4\) Also by coincidence, both the x and y gyros and the Bendix gyros have a slope of plus one (log-log plot) for 20 minute and longer samples. These similarities between the malfunctioning IRU x and y gyros and the Bendix gyro confirmed the decision to run the PADS tests with the IRU.

The standard deviation for the z gyro is 4 arc seconds at the 20 minute sample time, and its slope is erratic. While this performance is not ideal, it is close enough to the desired level for the gyro to be used in the system tests. In the evaluations of the system tests results in Sections 9 and 10, the effect of the poor performance of the z gyro on system accuracy is pointed out.

A final comment is appropriate regarding the performance of the x and y gyros. The time plots of gyro drift rate in Appendix C clearly indicate that the dominant error characteristic is a squarewave variation with a
period of approximately 17 hours. It is this characteristic which produces the slope of plus one in Figures 3-5 and 3-6. Although unknown in origin, this error is definitely deterministic in appearance.

3.2 SOFTWARE

The software design is, in general, characterized by achieving desired system performance with the minimum of equation complexity. Throughout the equation development, for example, models derived to compensate for systematic errors in the on-board system are simplified to the level consistent with desired performance. In most cases, for example, this resulted in only first-order terms being retained.

The software is designed to perform executive functions (program control, self-test, system test, etc.), attitude determination, and pointing control in the case of the gimbal star tracker. The attitude determination software derives inertial attitude by integration of the gyro outputs, and employs a Kalman filter for periodic updates to bound the errors. Development of the attitude determination equations required a variety of tradeoffs to determine the appropriate algorithms and design approach. On the other hand, development of equations for transformations, star selection, and gimbal pointing is straightforward, although algebraically complex.

Choice was available for selection of the kinematic variables used to propagate rate through the required numerical integration. Euler symmetric parameters were selected, as opposed to direction cosines, based upon the use of a four, rather than nine, parameter system of equations; also, the periodic renormalization that must be performed to combat computer roundoff error is much simpler. A closed form solution is utilized, under the constraint that the vehicle rate can be assumed constant over each integration interval. The closed form solution tends to inhibit the truncation error that would normally exist in the power series representation. Design analysis was also conducted to establish the integration step size and the effect of computer (roundoff and truncation) errors.

The Kalman filter state vector was limited to six elements (three attitude variables and three gyro biases) to minimize the problem dimensionality while achieving desired performance. Additional states (such as alignment/scale factor parameters in gyros and trackers) were found
not to be justified based upon inherent accuracy/stability and/or relative sensitivity. The extended Kalman filter formulation is utilized where linearization takes place about the previous attitude estimate.

The Kalman filter requires a priori definition of the initial state error covariance matrix, the measurement noise covariance matrix, and the state noise covariance matrix. The initial error covariance matrix reflects the initial uncertainty of the state estimates, and the elements are selected a priori based upon the expected initial attitude uncertainty. There appeared to be little influence of the initial estimate of error covariance, but good design practice indicated selection of values larger than the actual expected initial attitude errors.

State noise arises principally from the gyro random drift, and the elements of the state noise covariance matrix are derived a priori based upon the estimated (measured) gyro noise statistics. The influence of state noise on performance was determined by evaluating the relative error as a function of state noise parameters. A value of the elements of the state noise covariance matrix can be determined which corresponds analytically to the value of gyro noise assuming a white noise gyro model. The tradeoffs indicate that a good design procedure is to utilize the analytically derived values for the state noise covariance matrix which correspond to a conservative estimate of gyro noise.

The elements of the measurement noise covariance matrix are based upon a priori estimates (measurements) of tracker noise. Tradeoffs were made for selection of elements of the measurement noise covariance matrix utilizing a parameter variation study employing various values of tracker noise and measurement noise covariance values. This tradeoff led to the indication that performance can be best achieved through selection of elements of the measurement noise covariance matrix which are, in fact, optimistic.

The PADS software is configured in a modular structure which will permit operational flexibility, capability for growth, and ease of modification. The software makes use of state-of-the-art techniques so far as possible, consistent with the capability of present generation spaceborne computer technology. The software is segmented into modules to be executed under control of the PADS executive according to a preassigned interactive
cycle. Individual program module interfaces have been allocated based upon cycle requirements of the main computation loops.

The following two sections explain those aspects of the PADS software which are peculiar to the strapdown and gimbal systems and their respective star trackers.

3.2.1 Strapdown System Test Associated Software

The sensor information provided by the Inertial Reference Unit (IRU) and the Strapdown Star Tracker (SST) are inputs to the strapdown algorithm, whose purpose is to establish system (spacecraft) attitude and estimate the IRU drift rates. A top level program flow diagram is illustrated in Figure 3-8. Its first task is to read Tape 1 which contains quantitative parameter values associated with the IRU and SST as well as initial estimates (and their statistics) associated with both attitude and gyro drift rates. Table 9-1 in Section 9 specifies a typical list of such inputs. Next a block of IRU and SST data is read into the computer via Tape 4, with successive blocks read thereafter as the data is utilized. For the PADS laboratory setup, the air bearing table angle is also input via Tape 4, as it establishes the reference star line-of-sight to be utilized by the SST. Next the attitude and drift state transition matrices are initialized, and a time tagged slice of sensor data read from the computer memory. If it is not time to terminate the run, the algorithm forms angular rate estimates, applying appropriate drift rate compensations. Next the actual attitude is estimated based upon the previous estimate and the intervening angular rates. The system state can then be printed on the output tape (Tape 2), if desired, and unless there is SST data associated with the present time slice, control returns to \( \alpha \) for reading the next time tagged data entry.

When SST data does appear, a countdown begins until that data is actually utilized since the azimuth output of the SST becomes more accurate towards the center of its field of view. Once the data is utilized, the appropriate star is first identified, through use of the air bearing table angle, and the SST output corrected to compensate for nonlinearities off its boresight. The a priori estimate of expected SST output based upon the estimated rather than actual attitude is next formed, and subtracted from...
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Figure 3-8. PADS Strapdown Software Flow Diagram
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the actual readings to establish azimuth and elevation measurement residuals. The Kalman Filter algorithm is then applied resulting in both attitude and RGA drift rate updates. Also derived is an update of the state error covariance matrix, which establishes to what extent the algorithm believes its estimates. Control then returns to β for a new filter cycle. A detailed listing of this computer program appears in Appendix A.

3.2.2 Gimbal System Test Associated Software

The computer program associated with the GST version of PADS is almost identical to that associated with the SST version. The only significant difference involves the replacement of the SST subroutine forming estimated star tracker readings in Figure 3-8 by an equivalent GST subroutine. A detailed listing of the GST version is presented in Appendix B.
4. TEST CONFIGURATION AND ACCURACY

This section describes the laboratory test configuration in relationship to the simulated geosynchronous orbit environment. In addition the potential laboratory error sources are detailed.

4.1 SIMULATED ORBIT CONFIGURATION

The objective of the PADS system tests was to determine the performance capability of a PADS system in geosynchronous orbit through laboratory simulation. Two PADS systems were tested, one using a strapdown star tracker, the other using a single-axis gimbal star tracker. The simulated orbit conditions were the stellar optical stimuli and inertial angular rates which would be experienced on a three-axis stabilized, earth-pointed satellite in geosynchronous orbit.

Before hypothesizing the orbit configuration of both PADS systems, a number of practical test limitations had to be considered. The most important limitation was that only one strapdown star tracker could be developed under the scope of the program. Since practically no geometric leverage is obtained about the boresight of a 10 by 10 field of view, this meant that system performance would be achieved only about the two axes normal to the boresight: in essence, a two-axis test for the strapdown system.

Another limitation was the fact that it was technically impossible within the scope of the program to accurately simulate bright object interference to the performance of the star trackers. In view of this, the assumed orientations of the tracker fields of view in orbit were picked with the objective to make sensor errors as nearly as possible one-to-one with system errors.

With these considerations in mind the simulated orbit configurations which were arrived at are shown in Figure 4-1. It is seen that the strapdown system is a two-axis system consisting of one narrow field of view and two gyro input axes orthogonal to the field and to each other. For maximum star availability on the assumed uniformly distributed celestial sphere, the field of view points at the celestial equator. On the other hand, the gimbal system is a three-axis system since the 90 degree sweep of the tracker field of view does give adequate geometric leverage for three-axis tracker information, supplemented by the three orthogonal gyro axes. The center of the sweep is also pointed to the celestial equator for maximum star availability.

4-1
The driving function for star update frequency is the random drift of the gyro's. For the class of gyro considered on PADS a nominal update period of 20 minutes has been chosen in order to result in a total system accuracy of 0.001 degree. Using the equations developed in Volume I, Section 2.2, a 20 minute period in the case of the strapdown star tracker gives a probability of at least one star occurring in the field of view of:

\[ p = 0.9963 \]

For the gimbal star tracker a 20 minute period gives a probability of

\[ p = 0.9736 \]

To make the probability for the gimbal tracker equal to that of the strapdown tracker the update period must be extended to 32 minutes.

For the PADS testing the decision was made to make the star update period 20 minutes for both strapdown and gimbal cases. This is equivalent to acquiring a star every 5 degrees of right ascension in geosynchronous orbit. In the analysis of the test data a parametric analysis of the effect of update period was obtained by skipping updates. In this manner 20, 40, and 60 minute update periods were obtained. This approach was taken since
it simplified test procedures and avoided longer test runs in the case of the gimbal system. Comparison between the two systems was made on the basis of the parametric analyses.

Three different star declinations were used in the case of either system. For the strapdown system the purpose of multiple declinations was to exercise the accuracy of the tracker at more than one place in the field of view. The three declinations were:

- Star 1: 911.6 arc sec (0.3°)
- Star 2: 0.0 arc sec (0.0°)
- Star 3: -326.0 arc sec (-0.1°)

For the gimbal system the motivation for three declinations was to obtain three-axis star tracker information as well as to exercise the accuracy of the gimbal readout. Here the three declinations were:

- Star 1: 53814.9 arc sec (14.9°)
- Star 2: -14564.8 arc sec (-4.0°)
- Star 3: -82958.0 arc sec (-23.0°)

4.2 LABORATORY TEST CONFIGURATION

The key distinguishing feature of the laboratory test configurations for both the strapdown and gimbal system tests is that a ground-fixed test is implemented. The earth itself is used to simulate the inertial motion of an earth-pointed geosynchronous satellite. This is accomplished by mounting the PADS sensors stationary to the laboratory floor, which itself is a seismic pad isolated from building vibrations. The stellar optical stimuli are simulated by sweeping collimating star beams past the stationary PADS sensors. Ideally, the star beams, which are revolving at earth rate relative to the laboratory, are stationary in inertial coordinates since their rotation relative to the laboratory cancels the rotation of the laboratory, or the earth, relative to inertial space. In practice, since it was more practical to have the rotation axis of the stars vertical in the laboratory, and since the laboratory location was in Manhattan Beach, California, the star line-of-sights actually were rotating in inertial space. However, since the stars were revolved at earth rate
relative to the star trackers, the test configuration still satisfied the objective of the tests, namely, to evaluate the performance of the PADS systems.

Isometric representations of the strapdown and gimbal configurations are shown in Figures 4-2 and 4-3, respectively. Table 5-1 in Section 5 identifies the numbered equipment. Photographs of the actual configurations are shown in Figures 4-4 through 4-17. Figures 4-4 through 4-10 show details of the star stimulus of the strapdown star tracker. Stimulus of the gimbal star tracker is shown in Figures 4-11 through 4-16. The inertial reference assembly is shown in Figure 4-17.

The ground-fixed test provided two major advantages over the conventional approach of rotating the PADS on a rate table in the center of a room, and placing multiple star sources around the room which point at the rotating PADS. The first advantage is that the ground-fixed approach is compatible with the parallel beam star stimulus technique described in the following section. This technique is essential to providing laboratory instrumentation knowledge of star locations with one arc second accuracy. The technique is not compatible with the rate table and multiple stars approach. The second advantage is that the artificial subtraction of earth rate from the gyro outputs is not necessary in the ground-fixed approach. In fact, it becomes technically dubious in the rate table approach since a vector of the same magnitude as that produced by the rate table must be subtracted from the gyro outputs.

In the ground-fixed test approach, the PADS axes are identical to the laboratory axes. Both are physically defined by optical surfaces on the star trackers. The strapdown star tracker uses the normals to two faces of an optical cube to define the axes. The gimbal star tracker uses an orthogonal set of axes whose orientation is defined relative to three mirror surfaces on the tracker base. Star orientation relative to the laboratory (and PADS) axes is measured by laboratory instrumentation.

The PADS output is a continuous estimate throughout the length of the test run of the attitude of the PADS axes relative to their inertial attitude at the start of the test run. For performance evaluation this output is compared to the continuous inertial attitude of the physically defined
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PADS axes. This attitude is derived from the inertial attitude of the laboratory axes at the start of the test and from knowledge of the rate of the earth's rotation. The star orientation is measured relative to the laboratory axes. It is important that the star orientation in laboratory axes be known very accurately using laboratory instrumentation. An error in knowledge of the star position will result directly in an error in the performance evaluation of the PADS.

4.3 PARALLEL BEAM STAR STIMULUS TECHNIQUE

The key test configuration accuracy requirement is to know the orientation of the star line-of-sight relative to the laboratory axes, which in this case are also the PADS axes. Since the PADS performance requirement is 3.6 arc seconds per axes, knowledge of the star orientation must be significantly better than this value. By using a parallel beam star stimulus technique an accuracy approaching one arc second was obtained.

The parallel beam technique is perhaps best illustrated by the top view of the strapdown system test configuration shown in Figure 4-18. Functionally, the performance of a star transit (defined as a sweep of a star through the field of view of the tracker) is straightforward. The collimated star beam from the star source is reflected off the rotating mirror mounted on top of the air bearing table. The table is turned at half earth rate so that the reflected star beam sweeps past the star tracker at earth rate. In order to measure where the star beam is relative to the PADS axes, i.e., the optical cube on the right side of the star tracker, the collimated beam from an automatic autocollimator is transmitted parallel to the star beam. The normal to the front face of the cube is the defined boresight axis of the tracker. When the star beam (Star 2) is coincident with the boresight axis, the autocollimator beam will be normal to the front face, and the horizontal and vertical output of the two-axis autocollimator will be zero.

This use of the automatic autocollimator is suitable for a static indication of the star orientation in a small region around the boresight axis. However, its limited range (±50 arc sec) and dynamic response (0.13 arc sec per arc sec/sec) make it unsuitable for a star sweeping through
Figure 4-18. Strapdown Item Test Configuration
the field of view at earth rate during a star transit. For this case the air bearing table readout is used. The zero reference for the readout is obtained by making a static table reading at the boresight position indicated by the automatic autocollimator.

So far the discourse has been limited to measuring the location of the center star (Star 2) relative to the PADS axes. By independently measuring the relative locations of the other two stars to the center star, their locations relative to the PADS axes can also be determined by the parallel beam technique. The independent measurements were made with a theodolite. The gravity vector was used as a reference for rotations about the boresight axis.

The key to the successful implementation of the parallel beam method is the ability to recalibrate as frequently as necessary the parallelism between the collimated center star and autocollimator beams. This is facilitated by use of the theodolite and double-sided mirror shown in Figure 4-18. The double-sided mirror is positioned half way in front of the aperture of the theodolite. The backside mirror is positioned perpendicular to the theodolite boresight by using the autocollimator feature of the theodolite. Since the frontside mirror is parallel to the backside mirror, the normal to the frontside is then parallel to the telescope boresight of the theodolite. Both can now be used to calibrate the parallelism between the two collimated beams. The air bearing table is rotated until the star beam is along the boresight of the theodolite. When this condition exists, the automatic autocollimator will adjust its beam normal to the frontside mirror. Any deviations from zero of the autocollimator horizontal and vertical outputs represent its null bias errors. The utility of this recalibration method is that it can be performed at any time during a test period.

The operational procedure which was developed during the test phase was to recalibrate in two stages. The strapdown or gimbal test consisted of four runs, four hours or longer in duration, which were performed over a ten day period. Since the star source beam would drift relative to the theodolite up to a couple of arc seconds per day, and since the air bearing table readout zero position would also shift from turn-on to turn-on, the
two collimated beams were realigned parallel at the start of each run. This was accomplished by driving the table to the reference (theodolite) position, adjusting the theodolite so that the center star was on boresight, adjusting the double-sided mirror face normal to the boresight, and then adjusting the autocollimator mirror to give zero horizontal and vertical output for the automatic autocollimator. The alignment of the star and double-sided mirror to the boresight was also checked at the end of each run to verify that no detectable misalignment occurred. This was found to always be the case. During the four or five hour test run, however, the automatic autocollimator would drift up to three arc seconds. Therefore, before the start of each star transit (20 minutes apart), the table would be driven to the reference position and the autocollimator zero position recalibrated.

By using this operational procedure the only temporal stability requirements necessary for the entire test configuration were:

1) A four or five hour stability of the star source relative to the theodolite

2) Approximately a five minute stability of the autocollimator beam relative to the star beam. Stabilities better than one arc second were easily obtained by using a thermally passive star source and the Davidson Model 696 autocollimator.

4.4 LABORATORY ERROR SOURCES

The PADS system axes are defined by the optical cube. The computer axes are an analytical entity and represent the computed estimate of the orientation of the system axes relative to a set of reference axes. The computed estimate is derived from gyro and star tracker information. The reference axes are defined to be the inertial attitude of the system axes at the start of the test period.

The test purpose was to compare the attitude of the computer axes with that of the system axes, where both are expressed relative to the reference axes. The system attitude error is indicated by

\[ \hat{A}_{xz} \cdot A_{xz}^T \]

where \( \hat{A}_{xz} \) and \( A_{xz} \) are the direction cosine matrices of the computer and system axes, respectively, relative to the reference axes.
The matrix $\hat{A}_{XZ}$ is computed from the initial estimate of its orientation relative to the reference axes (which are also the system axes at test start), and from the output signals of the gyros and the star trackers. The matrix $A_{XZ}$ is computed from knowledge of the initial orientation of the system axes at test start relative to the earth rate vector, and from time.

Figure 4-19 provides a useful representation of the coordinate axes and star vectors involved. Misalignment between computer and system axes is indicated at a star update time as the difference between:

1) Where the star should be in computer axes

2) Where the star is actually measured in system axes by the star sensor.

Where the star should be in computer axes is calculated as a function of:

1) The direction cosine matrix (or quaternion) relating the computer axes to the reference axes

2) A star catalog which describes where the star is in the reference axes.

This difference is then used (via a Kalman filter) to correct the direction cosine matrix. In between star update times, the incremental attitude change measured by the gyros is used to change the direction cosine matrix.

In the PADS laboratory test configuration the star catalog information is partly measured and partly analytical. The autocollimator directly measures where the star is in system axes, but the orientation of the system axes to the reference axes is an analytical relationship established by definition and the laboratory measurement of time.

Thus, laboratory measurement accuracies can be divided into two links:

1) The attitude of the system axes relative to reference axes

2) Star position relative to system axes.

The following sections describe the errors associated with both.
4.4.1 PADS System Attitude Uncertainties

Consider first an error in the matrix $A_{XZ}$ at the start of the test due, for example, to incorrect knowledge of the latitude of the laboratory. This error will not evidence at a star update since $A_{XZ}$ is used in both those quantities which are differenced and input to the Kalman filter. The error will cancel itself. On the other hand, it will appear initially in the gyro outputs. But, after a number of star updates, the filter will attribute the error to a gyro bias and thereafter compensate for it. Since the PADS system experiences a constant rate vector (earth rate) throughout the test, this error is indistinguishable from actual gyro bias error, scale factor errors, or input axis misalignments. However, separately identifying these error sources was not considered essential to the test objective of determining the PADS performance capability.

Seismic disturbances of the laboratory during a four or five hour test run will directly affect the laboratory evaluation of PADS. These disturbances will evidence as a random drift component in the gyro outputs.
However, from the measurements of the OAO/IRU performance both at GSFC and TRW it is clear that the gyro errors will completely predominate over possible seismic motions of the isolated laboratory floor.

Summarizing, neither static nor dynamic errors in describing the orientation of the PADS axes relative to inertial space significantly affect the laboratory evaluation of the PADS accuracy.

4.4.2 Star Position Measurement Errors

Determination of star position relative to the PADS system axes represents the major laboratory measurement error affecting the laboratory evaluation of the PADS accuracy. Since the PADS accuracy goal is 3.6 arc sec ($1\sigma$), the goal for this error source arbitrarily was selected to be 0.36 arc seconds ($1\sigma$), or 1.1 arc seconds ($3\sigma$). The ground rule was established that no attempt would be made to improve the measured PADS accuracy results by subtracting out either a statistical or deterministic measure of the laboratory errors.

Accuracy in knowledge of star line-of-sight position relative to the PADS axes is limited by three types of errors:

1) **Absolute Errors.** This term refers to systematic error sources which are present for all test runs.

2) **Repeatability Errors.** These are errors due to the realignment of the parallel beams prior to each test run. These errors are random from run to run, but constant for any one run.

3) **Random Errors.** These errors are random for any sequence of star measurements made either during a star transit or from transit to transit.

A further distinction which has been made is whether or not an error affects all three stars in the same manner, or if it is uncorrelated from one star to the next.

The error sources for the PADS test configurations are shown in Table 4-1. Since the purpose of the test program was to determine the performance capability of PADS, the systematic error of 3.3 arc seconds for all three stars, during all test runs, was not considered relevant. This error will not show up in the test results since both star trackers
Table 4-1. Star Measurement Errors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Error Source</th>
<th>Strapdown System Error – Arc Sec</th>
<th>Gimbal System Error – Arc Sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All Stars (3σ)</td>
<td>Star to Star (3σ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Absolute Errors – All Runs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star Position Measurement</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star Beam Collimation</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star Intensity Uniformity</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double-Sided Mirror Nonparallelism</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theodolite Bore Sight Alignments</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theodolite Spherical Aberrations</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theodolite Circle Accuracy</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theodolite Level Accuracy</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table Readout Accuracy</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSS</td>
<td>3.3^1</td>
<td>3.1^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Repeatability – Run-to-Run</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theodolite FOV Precision</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Random – During Run</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autocollimator Noise</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autocollimator Drift</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autocollimator Scale Factor Error</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table Readout Quantization</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Not relevant to PADS test.
2. Eliminated by field of view calibration and compensation.
are initially aligned using the same test configuration. It would surface, however, if the same PADS hardware, aligned using the laboratory configuration, were operated in orbit. The star to star absolute error of 3.1 arc seconds for the strapdown system also was eliminated by performing a strapdown star tracker field of view calibration and compensation using the same test configuration. As described in Volume I, Section 7, this was necessitated by inconsistencies discovered in the initial calibration data for the strapdown star tracker. All the remainder of the errors do affect the PADS performance results, and in combination (RSS) give 0.9 arc seconds (3σ) for the strapdown system and 1.6 arc seconds (3σ) for the gimbal system.

The most significant fact regarding the errors listed in Table 4-1 is that no significant error source due to temporal instabilities or drifts is present. As previously mentioned, this is a result of use of the parallel beam technique.

The following sections describe in detail the error sources listed in Table 4-1.

4.4.2.1 Star Source

The primary requirement for the star source was that it be stable to within an arc second throughout a four or five hour test run. This requirement was met by constructing a thermally passive source consisting of a Davidson (Model D-278) reflective bench and low power LED point sources. Since every part of the source was essentially at room temperature, no thermal deformations were possible.

The optics consist of a parabolic primary mirror with a focal length of 272 cm, and a flat secondary mirror. The aperture diameter is 40.6 cm with a center obscuration of less than 5.1 cm diameter.

The LED point sources are mounted in an aluminum plate which has a glass photographic plate bonded to its front side. The star images are formed by the photographic plate. Figure 4-20 illustrates the angular size and spacing of the three stars used in the strapdown system test. The vertical reference in the figure is the gravity vector with the plate in position for the strapdown test, and the stars as seen looking into the star source. The measurements were made with the Kern DKM3 theodolite.
Figure 4-20. Angular Measurements of the LED Sources
The theodolite was placed in the same position in the collimated beam occupied by the strapdown star tracker. Each angle is the average of three measurements. Of the six angles the maximum standard deviation about the mean is 0.4 arc second; the peak deviation from the mean is 0.9 arc second. Using the maximum standard deviation, the standard deviation of the mean is 0.2 arc second.

For the gimbal test the center star was used together with a number of mirrors to produce the three different star elevations. A stationary mirror and three rotating mirrors were used to deflect the star beam. The mirrors were adjusted so that the star beam was normal to each of the three reference mirrors on the side of the gimbal star tracker base. This was accomplished by first adjusting the star beam parallel to the automatic autocollimator beam, and then adjusting the rotating mirrors so that the autocollimator beam was normal to each reference mirror face. Immediately following this adjustment, the tracker was removed, the theodolite inserted in its position, and the three star angles were measured. Figure 4-21 illustrates the measured angles. The reference axes are the gravity vector and an arbitrary azimuth. Each angle is the average of three measurements. The maximum standard deviation of the six angles is 0.7 arc second; the peak deviation from the mean is 1.0 arc second. Using the maximum standard deviation, the standard deviation of the mean is 0.4 arc second. The increase in measurement error over that obtained for the LED sources was due to two effects:

1) A drift trend in the measurements, probably due to motion of the deflection mirrors

2) Less precision in centering the theodolite crosshairs over the square star which was tilted for the gimbal tests.

The stars were made square to improve the repeatability of the field of view positioning of the theodolite. Figure 4-22 shows the vertical crosshairs of the DKM3 positioned over a star. The star was made large enough so that a strip approximately 2 arc seconds wide appeared outside of the crosshairs. The operator would position the instrument so that both strips appeared equal in width. A 0.5 arc second error in centering would produce an easily discernable 60 percent difference in strip widths.
Figure 4-21. Angular Measurements of the Gimbal Star Sources
The drawback in making such large stars is the susceptibility to non-uniformities in the illumination within the 28 arc second star. Although the stars appeared uniform visually, there is no assurance that the centroid in the spectral bandwidth of the star tracker will be at the geometrical center of the star. Based on experience gained from the unit calibrations of the strapdown star tracker (Volume II, Section 7), a 4 percent error, 1.0 arc second (1σ), was attributed to this source. Although the error could be measured by noting the star tracker output before and after rotating the star 180 degrees, this was not done because of the irrelevance of the error to the PADS test results.

Again, because of the objective of the PADS tests, it was technically justifiable to use LED sources instead of light with a spectrum more nearly approaching that of starlight. The intensity of the LED was adjusted to give the same anode current from the image dissector in the star tracker that the convolution of starlight (G0, 6000K) with the nominal S20 photocathode response would produce. For the strapdown tracker, 8 Mv stars were
simulated; the gimbal tracker used $3.5 \ M_v$ stars. The tracker performance, and hence the PADS performance, is a function of the anode current, and is insensitive to the spectrum of the light producing the current, providing the intensity is such as to always produce the same current.

The collimation accuracy of the reflective bench is specified to be 2 arc seconds over the entire 40.6 cm aperture. Using the center star (on the focal axis), the collimation was checked around the area of the tracker and theodolite apertures shown in Figure 4-23. The theodolite together with a separate reference mirror mounted on the granite table was used. No collimation error, significant relative to the repeatability of the DKM3, was observed.

In the case of the strapdown test, a collimation error is caused by the top and bottom stars not being on the focal axis of the parabolic mirror. However, this did not cause a test error since the relative star positions were measured with the theodolite in the same position occupied by the star tracker.

![Figure 4-23. Aperture and Mirror Geometry](image_url)
4.4.2.2 Double-Sided Mirror

The double-sided mirror is a Davidson (Model D-616) adjustable reference mirror. By manufacturer specification the two first-surface mirrors are parallel to within one arc second.

Non-parallelism between the two mirror surfaces will result in a one-to-one non-parallelism between the center star beam and the automatic autocollimator beam. This will appear as an absolute error common to all stars. Since this type of error is not relevant to determining the performance of the PADS systems, the parallelism was not checked in the laboratory.

4.4.2.3 Theodolite

The theodolite serves two functions:

1) Alignment of the center star and automatic autocollimator beams to a parallel condition

2) Measurement of the relative angles between the three stars used in both the strapdown and gimbal system tests.

These functions are the limiting factors in the accuracy of the laboratory instrumentation for the PADS tests. Consequently, the Kern Model DKM3 theodolite was used throughout the testing since it provides significantly better readout precision than other theodolites.\(^5\)

Alignment of the star and autocollimator beams involves two steps:

1) Centering of the star in the telescope crosshairs

2) Adjustment of the double-sided mirror to center the autocollimating reticule return image in the crosshairs.

Although the micrometer readout was used to facilitate the first step, final verification of the alignment was through the telescope eyepiece and was independent of readout repeatability errors.

Repeatability in centering either the square star image or the reticule image in the crosshairs was measured indirectly by making two sets of measurements:

1) Sixteen micrometer readings wherein only the micrometer was moved after each reading

2) Sixteen micrometer reading wherein both the micrometer and the telescope were moved.
No statistically significant difference in the standard deviations of the two sets of readings was observed. The conclusion reached was that the centering repeatability is better than the readout repeatability. The latter falls within the range 0.2 to 0.4 arc second \((1\sigma)\), depending on the ability and disposition of the observer. Arbitrarily, the centering repeatability was assigned the value 0.2 arc second \((1\sigma)\).

A bias error in the alignment of the two beams can be introduced by the autocollimation technique used in the DKM3. A cube beamsplitter is used and the crosshair pattern and the autocollimating negative reticle are fixed to the sides of the cube. Coincidence of the telescope and autocollimating boresights was measured by first aligning the star and double-sided mirror, and then plunging and reversing the theodolite and measuring any indicated deviation. The misalignment between boresights was found to be 0.7 arc seconds for the horizontal axis and negligible relative to the readout repeatability for the vertical axis.

A final error source which must be considered in the alignment of the two beams is the spherical aberration of the DKM3. This error arises because half the aperture of the telescope is covered by the double-sided mirror. It was minimized by adjusting the telescope focus so that no discernable star motion was observed with either a full aperture or half-covered aperture. Once set, the focus was not moved throughout the ten day system test.

Measurement of the angular position of the top and bottom stars relative to the center star is subject to three types of errors:

1) Micrometer readout repeatability
2) Horizontal and vertical circle accuracy
3) Horizontal axis runout (gimbal test only).

The first error can be minimized by repeated readings. No instrumentation was available to measure the second error. However, circle accuracy for the DKM3 instrument has previously been measured\(^6\) as 0.2 to 0.3 arc second \((1\sigma)\). Arbitrarily, 0.2 arc seconds \((1\sigma)\) was assumed. Since the three stars for the gimbal system test are approximately 20 degrees apart, bearing runout in the horizontal axis of the theodolite is a factor. Unfortunately, no information is available for this error source.
Leveling of the theodolite can easily be accomplished within one or two arc second. In the case of the three stars for the strapdown system test, where the maximum star separation is 911 arc seconds, this is a negligible error source. For the three stars in the gimbal system test this error source is irrevant since only the relative star positions are measured with the theodolite. Their absolute alignment relative to the gimbal star tracker axes is determined using the star sensor boresight as explained in Section 10.2.

4.4.2.4 Air Bearing Table Readout

The air bearing table and readout electronics are made by Fecker Systems Division, Owens-Illinois. The performance parameter critical to the PADS system tests is the stability of the angle readout. This readout is a 21 bit digital word with a scaling of $360^\circ / 2^{21}$ counts, or approximately 0.6 arc second/count.

Following a four hour warmup period, the stability of the readout was checked by positioning the table so that an equal motion in either direction was required to change the readout by one bit. No change in the readout was noted thereafter for periods up to 24 hours.

For the strapdown system test the table readout is used over a $1/2^\circ$ interval to provide a laboratory measurement of the horizontal location of a star in the tracker $1^\circ$ by $1^\circ$ field of view. Consequently, the readout was calibrated over this range using two different makes of theodolites to provide independent references. A Kern DKM3 and a Wild T3 were the theodolites used in the calibration.

Five calibrations were made using the T3 over a period of 12 days. Two months later three calibrations were made with the DKM3. A different section of a theodolite circle was used for each calibration with that instrument.

The results of the T3 and DKM3 calibrations are shown in Figure 4-24. The agreement between theodolites is excellent. The temporal stability of the error is also high since the curves were taken two months apart.
Figure 4-24. Data Results over 1/2° Interval
A 256 cycle error is expected in the readout since a 512 pole Inductosyn is used. Consequently, the data in Figure 4-24 was modeled as

\[ y_i = x_1 + \left[ \sin 2\pi \left( i - \frac{N + 1}{2} \right) \frac{\Delta\theta}{\theta_p} \right] x_2 + \left[ \cos 2\pi \left( i - \frac{N + 1}{2} \right) \frac{\Delta\theta}{\theta_p} \right] x_3, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots 11 \]

where

\[ N = 11 \]
\[ \Delta\theta = 188 \text{ arc seconds} \]
\[ \theta_p = 5062.5 \text{ arc seconds} \]

The observation equation for all data points representing the average of the two curves in Figure 4-24 is

\[ y = Ax \]

The best fit in the least squares sense is

\[ \hat{x} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T y \]

Solution of this equation using a computer program gave the parameters

\[ x_1 = -0.8 \]
\[ x_2 = 1.2 \]
\[ x_3 = 0.8 \]

The standard deviation of the residues between the model and the 11 data points was 0.1 arc second.
In the case of the strapdown system test a total of three measurements in the field of view were made per star transit. Consequently, the optical angle (twice the table angle) quantization is

\[ Q_s = \frac{3 \ 2(0.6)}{\sqrt{3} \ \sqrt{12}} \]

\[ Q_s = 0.6 \text{ arc second (3\sigma)} \]

For the gimbal system test a single measurement is taken per transit. In addition, the optical and table angles are the same. The quantization is then

\[ Q_g = \frac{3 \ 0.6}{\sqrt{12}} \]

\[ Q_g = 0.5 \text{ arc second (3\sigma)} \]

The response of the table readout to a constant rate is 1 arc sec per rad/sec. Since the maximum table rate during testing was 15 arc seconds/second, the lag in the angle readout is negligible.

4.4.2.5 Automatic Autocollimator

The two-axis autocollimator used in the PADS tests was a Davidson (Model 696) Automatic Autocollimator. The excitation of the output potentiometer was adjusted to give a scaling of 0.15 v/arc second.

For all of the test runs the output of either axis of the autocollimator never exceeded ten arc seconds. Since the excitation voltage was controlled to better than 1 percent, the scale factor error never exceeded 0.1 arc second. Of greater importance was a nonlinearity in the potentiometer output for the horizontal axis near null. This error amounted to 0.3 arc seconds deviation from an otherwise linear output.

The noise in the autocollimator output was filtered through a 20 second RC time constant mechanized in the digital computer. This reduced the noise level to 0.2 arc second (peak).
With the parallel beam star stimulus technique the bias drift of the autocollimator is calibrated before each star transit. This is accomplished by first directing the star and autocollimator beams at the theodolite and double-sided mirror and measuring the autocollimator bias. Next the beams are directed at the star tracker. A change in output between positions indicates the angle between the star beam and the normal to the reference mirror surface on the tracker.

The maximum drift of the autocollimator output observed during any of the eight test runs was 2 arc seconds for a four hour period. Since it took approximately 5 minutes of data to measure the steady-state output values at the reference and star positions, the effect of the drift was negligible.
5. TEST FACILITY DESCRIPTION

A general description of the PADS precision test facility is presented in this section. The facility layout, test equipment, and electronics are discussed.

5.1 FACILITY LAYOUT

The PADS precision test facility is located in TRW Building M5, Room 0222, Manhattan Beach, California. The test facility consists of four areas: 1) a laboratory, 2) a control platform, 3) a computer area, and 4) a work area. Figure 5-1 is a floor plan of the facility.

![Test Facility Floor Plan](image)

Figure 5-1. Test Facility Floor Plan

The laboratory is a dark room which is located on a seismic pad isolated from building vibrations. The pad rests on a sand base. The laboratory has its own air conditioning system which controls the air
temperature to 0.6°C. Whenever it was practical, all heat generating test equipment was located on the control platform to minimize thermal gradients within the laboratory. Equipment installation settling times greater than 60 days were allowed for both rotary tables, the granite tables, and the star source.

All electronic equipment necessary to monitor and control the system tests was located on the control platform. This area functioned as the center of activity throughout a test run.

The computer area contained the CDC 1700 digital computer and two computer control terminals. Although this computer implemented the control of various equipment during a test run, control of the computer itself was exercised from switches on the control platform. The terminals were used to initialize the program before a test run and for debugging.

A photographic montage of the facility is shown in Figure 1-3. The top photograph (a split photo) shows the inertial reference unit on the left together with its console and the rate table console. Since a ground-fixed test method was used, the rate table console was inoperative during all test runs. The star trackers and their associated test equipment are shown on the right. The test console shown on the rear wall is for unit testing of the strapdown star tracker and was also inoperative during the test runs. In addition to the two electronic consoles on the control platform, two stripchart recorders were present to display quick look data, an oscilloscope for troubleshooting electronic failures, and a desk and bookcase for data storage.

5.2 LABORATORY TEST EQUIPMENT

The test equipment used in the laboratory for both the strapdown and gimbal system tests is listed in Table 5-1. The item numbers refer to the balloon numbers in Figures 4-2 and 4-3 which are isometric drawings of the laboratory configurations. Items 28 through 31 are not shown in the figures. Item 28 is a shutter to block the autocollimator light beam from entering the star tracker aperture. Items 29 through 31 were used for initial alignment of the laboratory equipment. Item 32 monitored the laboratory temperature.
### Table 5-1. Laboratory Equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Relevant Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Star Source</td>
<td>Davidson Reflective Bench Model D-278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>LED Point Sources</td>
<td>TRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Table</td>
<td>TRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Autocollimator Stand</td>
<td>TRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Automatic Autocollimator</td>
<td>Davidson Model D-606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Adjustable Mirror</td>
<td>Davidson Model D-616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Rotating Mirror</td>
<td>Ransom 10 in. diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Mirror Mount</td>
<td>TRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Air Bearing Table</td>
<td>Fecker Dmg 256-001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Theodolite</td>
<td>Kern Model DKM3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Adjustable Mirror</td>
<td>Davidson Model D-616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Mirror Stand, Strapdown Test</td>
<td>TRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Granite Table</td>
<td>Collins Microflat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Granite Slab</td>
<td>Collins Microflat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Strapdown Star Tracker</td>
<td>TRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Optical Cube</td>
<td>Mark Optical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Star Tracker Stand</td>
<td>TRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Rate Table</td>
<td>Inland Model 712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Inertial Reference Assembly</td>
<td>NASA/GSFC 040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Deflection Mirrors</td>
<td>Ransom 10 in. diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Rotating Mirror Fixture</td>
<td>TRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Stationary Mirror Fixture</td>
<td>TRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Reference Mirrors</td>
<td>Rolyn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Gimbal Star Tracker</td>
<td>TRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Granite Riser</td>
<td>Collins Microflat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Granite Block</td>
<td>Collins Microflat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Mirror Stand, Gimbal Test</td>
<td>TRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Shutter Assembly</td>
<td>TRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Theodolite</td>
<td>Wild T3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Vertical Mirror</td>
<td>Farrand No. 88504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Bubble Level</td>
<td>Starrett No. 199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Temperature and Humidity Gauge</td>
<td>Honeywell</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3 ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT

The general approach to the design of the electronics was to provide redundancy and to avoid interdependence of equipment. The intent of both of these ground rules was to avoid delays during the equipment integration phase. Redundancy was provided for both the strapdown star tracker and the gyro readouts by having their unit test consoles on hand. This allowed their integration in the laboratory without dependence on the facility electronics. The facility electronics had the capability to duplicate the computer commands, thereby allowing operation of the laboratory equipment while the computer programs were being debugged. Operation of the equipment without either of the star trackers, or the gyros, was provided in order to avoid delays in the case of a malfunction of any of the units. Since it was a critical element, a backup automatic autocollimator was on hand. A complete supply of electronic components was maintained in the facility.

A block diagram of the electronic equipment in the PADS test facility is shown in Figure 5-2. An explanation of the acronyms used is given in Table 5-2. Figures 5-3 through 5-9 are photographs of the electronics located on the control platform. Functional descriptions of the panels in the two consoles are given in the following paragraphs.

AA/CP. This panel, supplied by Davidson Optronics, controls the automatic autocollimator unit in the laboratory. After intially setting the track loop gains, the panel was used only to indicate acquisition of an optical flat by the autocollimator.

ABP. This panel performs two functions: 1) it provides the servo electronics to drive the ABT, and 2) it supplies the excitation and buffer amplifiers for the AA/C potentiometers.

The computer supplies two analog voltage commands, position and rate, to the servo electronics. The electronics implements a proportional plus integral drive to the ABT power amplifiers. A potentiometer is available on the panel to sum a voltage in with the computer position command. This capability allows manual positioning of the table.
Figure 5-2. Electronic Interface Diagram
Table 5-2. Electronic Interface Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AA/C</td>
<td>Automatic Autocollimator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA/CP</td>
<td>AA/C Control Panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABP</td>
<td>Analog Buffer Panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABT</td>
<td>Air Bearing Table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUF</td>
<td>Buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDU</td>
<td>Control Room Display Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMD</td>
<td>Command</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP</td>
<td>Console Monitor Panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDU</td>
<td>Darkroom Display Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEA</td>
<td>Digital Electronics Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTU</td>
<td>Data Transfer Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVM</td>
<td>Digital Voltmeter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOA</td>
<td>Electro-Optical Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI</td>
<td>Fast Input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRA</td>
<td>Gyro Reference Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST</td>
<td>Gimbal Star Tracker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDUC</td>
<td>Inductosyn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRU</td>
<td>Inertial Reference Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEDA</td>
<td>Light Emitting Diode Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTR</td>
<td>Motor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSC</td>
<td>Oscilloscope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSU</td>
<td>Optical Sensor Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-S</td>
<td>Parallel to Serial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCP</td>
<td>Power Control Panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWR</td>
<td>Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCDR</td>
<td>Recorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R/D</td>
<td>Readout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPP</td>
<td>Remote Patch Panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Shutter Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S Box</td>
<td>Speaker Box (Intercom)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-P</td>
<td>Serial to Parallel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA</td>
<td>Sensor Electronics Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>Slow Input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO</td>
<td>Slow Output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>Star Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSCP</td>
<td>Star Source Control Panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSU</td>
<td>Star Sensor Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTY</td>
<td>Teletypewriter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP</td>
<td>Utility Panel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The excitation to the AA/C potentiometers is independently adjustable. A scale factor of 0.15 volt/arc second was used. The buffer amplifiers send the potentiometer outputs to the computer.

CMP. This panel monitors the gimbal motor current, the SST analog deflection signals, and eight DAC channels from the computer. The SST deflection signals were displayed on the oscilloscope. The DAC channels were displayed on the stripchart recorder via the UP.

UP. This panel provides direct connection to the two stripchart recorders. An eight channel and a six channel recorder are available. The eight channel was used for quick look information during a test run. The six channel was for long term monitoring of the gyro performance because it could be operated at a very slow chart rate.
Figure 5-3. Control Platform View — Right

Figure 5-4. Control Platform View — Left
Figure 5-5. AA/CP to Up Panels

Figure 5-6. Up to PCP Panels
Figure 5-7. PCP Panel and Power Supplies

Figure 5-8. SCP to DTU Panels
PCP. This panel provides independent switching of the dc power supplies to the other panels and equipment. The purpose is to avoid power supply turn-on transients.

SCP. This panel operates the shutter in front of the AA/C. Since it was essential to avoid having the AA/C light beam enter the strapdown star tracker, a fail-safe light indicator is inserted in the panel to signal shutter closure.

DTU. This panel performs four functions: 1) it transfers all digital signals from the ABT, GST, SST, and IRU to the computer, and 2) it transfers digital signals from the computer to the ABT electronics, GST, and SST, 3) it displays digital information from the computer and from the ABT, GST, SST, and IRU, and 4) it provides commands to the computer program.
Digital data transfer into the computer is accomplished at two rates: 1) 6 msec/word (FI), and 2) 50 msec/word (SI). The ABT and GST gimbal angles are sent at the fast rate. SST deflection and magnitude, three gyro outputs, and a status word are sent at the slow rate.

Data transfer from the computer is accomplished on one channel (SO) at the rate of 50 msec/word. A command word and two memory peek words are transmitted. Individual bits of the command word are sent to the ABT electronics, the GST, and the SST. The memory peek words display program selected memory locations in the computer.

Any of the above digital words can be shown on the LED display on the panel by using the selector switches. This information can also be duplicated on a similar LED display in the laboratory.

In order to minimize operating personnel, the computer program was designed to be operated from the DTU by the test conductor. This is implemented from eight switches on the panel which set the state of eight bits in the status word sent on the SI channel.

An optional capability of the DTU is the ability to function without the computer. This allowed display of the ABT, GST, GRA, and SST signals while the computer was being used for other tasks.

OSU. This panel displays the status of the SSU. It also has a switch to enable the SSU high voltage supplies. The SSU analog deflection signals were displayed on the oscilloscope.

ACT ENCODER ELECTRONICS. This panel, supplied by Fecker, provides a visual display of the 21 bit digital word indicating the table angle. It also sends this word in parallel to the DTU.

ABT MOTOR ELECTRONICS. This panel functions as a power amplifier to drive the ABT motor.

In addition to the above described panels a MODEM panel in the CDC 1700 computer was designed. This panel functions both as a signal buffer and as a serial/parallel digital word converter.

The CDC 1700 computer has the capability of transmitting information to the Varian V73 computer. This capability was used to store the PADS test run data using the magnetic tape unit on the V73.
6. DATA HANDLING AND DISPLAY

Data was generated during the PADS system test from two sources: the PADS sensors and the laboratory instrumentation. This is illustrated in Figure 6-1. The data was collected for two purposes: 1) to provide the basis for the evaluation of the performance of the PADS system under test, and 2) to provide real time diagnostic data to indicate the validity of the test.

All data was transmitted to the CDC 1700 computer via the data transfer electronics. The data necessary for the evaluation of the PADS system was stored on magnetic tape. This data was transmitted to the V73 computer over data lines for storage on the tape since the CDC 1700 did not have a magnetic tape unit. The real time diagnostic data was displayed during a test run on an eight channel stripchart recorder via the test display electronics.

The following sections describe in detail the test performance and real time diagnostic data which was collected.

![Figure 6-1. Data Handling and Display](image-url)
6.1 TEST PERFORMANCE DATA

Three types of performance data were stored on magnetic tape for both the strapdown and gimbal system tests. These are listed in Table 6-1. PADS data consists of the gyro and star tracker signals. This information is used in the PADS algorithms to estimate the inertial attitude of the PADS axes. Lab data consists of the air bearing table angle and automatic autocollimator differences. This information, together with pretest measurements, identifies where the star is relative to the PADS axes, and, ultimately, the true inertial attitude of the PADS axes. Boresight data consists of filtered star tracker and automatic autocollimator signals. In essence it measures the static boresight stability of the tracker.

Table 6-1. Types of Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Word</th>
<th>PADS Data</th>
<th>Lab Data</th>
<th>Boresight Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strapdown System Test</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAX</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAY</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAX</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSTX</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSTY</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABTA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAA/CX</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAA/CY</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSTX</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSTY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gimbal System Test</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAX</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAY</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAZ</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTX</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTY</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABTA</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAA/CX</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAA/CY</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The first two data types provide the basis for the evaluation of the PADS performance; namely, the difference between the estimated PADS attitude from the sensor information and the true attitude from the laboratory instrumentation data. The third data type was included for two reasons: 1) to provide a check on the validity of the lab data accuracy, and 2) to measure the boresight stability of the star trackers throughout the test period.

Data was collected every second for both system tests. The word $T$ is the time tag. The three-axis gyro information, $\text{GRAX}$, $\text{GRAY}$, and $\text{GRAZ}$, was collected continuously throughout the test run. Every 20 minutes, during a star transit, the star tracker information was collected. For the strapdown tracker the horizontal and vertical positions of the star in the field of view, $\text{SSTX}$ and $\text{SSTY}$, were stored. The same signals for the gimbal star tracker, $\text{GSTY}$ and $\text{GSTX}$, were collected, along with the gimbal angle, $\text{GSTA}$. The air bearing table angle, $\text{ABTA}$, was also collected during a star transit. The duration of the transit was approximately four minutes for the strapdown test and two minutes for the gimbal test. As described in Section 4.3 the autocollimator bias was calibrated prior to each star transit by pointing the parallel star and autocollimator beams at the theodolite and double-sided mirror. Following this calibration the static orientation of the star relative to the PADS axes was checked by pointing the parallel beams at the star tracker and its reference mirror surface. The star orientation was indicated by the autocollimator outputs, minus the bias errors, namely, $\overline{\Delta \text{AA/CX}}$ and $\overline{\Delta \text{AA/CY}}$. With the star pointed at the tracker, the output signals from the tracker were also collected: $\overline{\text{SSTX}}$ and $\overline{\text{SSTY}}$ for the strapdown tracker, and $\overline{\text{GSTY}}$, $\overline{\text{GSTX}}$, and $\overline{\text{GSTA}}$ for the gimbal tracker. The bar over these signals indicates that they are filtered to minimize their noise content. These filtered signals were stored once at the start of each star transit.

It is noted that the horizontal star position is indicated by the signals $\text{SSTX}$, $\text{GSTY}$, and $\overline{\Delta \text{AA/CX}}$; the vertical by $\text{SSTY}$, $\text{GSTX}$, and $\overline{\Delta \text{AA/CY}}$. 
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All data was converted into engineering units in the V73 computer before storage on the magnetic tape. Time was carried to a precision of 0.001 second, and all angles were carried out to 0.1 arc second. For a four hour run, with 12 star transits, the number of words stored on tape was approximately 78,000 for the strapdown system, and 69,000 for the gimbal system.

In the case of the gyro data the accumulated attitude was transmitted from the gyros and stored on tape. The advantage of this approach, compared to transmitting the incremental attitude, was that a random transmission error would not invalidate the long term knowledge of gyro attitude change.

6.2 REAL TIME DIAGNOSTIC DATA

The real time diagnostic data provided a continuous indication throughout a test run that the functional operation of the hardware was proper and that, with a high degree of probability, the test data being collected was valid in terms of indicating the PADS performance. This information was considered necessary in view of the length (4 to 5 hours) of the test runs. It eliminated any time which would have been wasted by continuing to run invalid tests.

The different types of diagnostic data that were used are listed in Table 6-2. All of the performance data signals listed in Table 6-1 were monitored by the stripchart recorder, the ABT readout, and the DTU LED display. The teletypewriter and the CRT display provided error messages indicating either a procedural error by the test conductor or a data transmission error.
Table 6-2. Types of Realtime Diagnostic Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stripchart Recorder</th>
<th>strapdown Test</th>
<th>Gimbal Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Channel</td>
<td>Δ GRAX</td>
<td>Δ GRAX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Δ GRAY</td>
<td>Δ GRAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Δ GRAZ</td>
<td>Δ GRAZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SSTX</td>
<td>GSTX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SSTY</td>
<td>GSY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AA/CX</td>
<td>AA/CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>AA/CY</td>
<td>AA/CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ERROR</td>
<td>ERROR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Air Bearing Table Readout
- ABTA

DTU Led Display
- GSTA

CDC 1700 Teletypewriter
- Operator Error Message

V73 CRT Display
- Transmission Error Message
The stripchart recordings for the four strapdown system test runs and the four gimbal system test runs are included in Appendix D. The gyro signal, \( \Delta \text{GRA} \), is the attitude change over a 100 second time period. The filtered horizontal and vertical autocollimator signals are \( \overline{\text{AA}}/\text{CX} \) and \( \overline{\text{AA}}/\text{CY} \), respectively. The signal \( \text{ERROR} \) is the filtered difference between the star tracker horizontal axis signal and the air bearing table angle, biased to zero at the center of the tracker field of view. This signal occurred only during a star transit. The square wave discernible in the autocollimator signals reflects the two positions, one with the parallel beams pointed at the theodolite, the other with the beams pointed at the star tracker. The majority of the time between star transits was spent at the latter position. A peculiarity in the gyro data is that occasionally the digital to analog converter in the CDC 1700 will turn over if the signal is near \( \pm 10 \) volts. The result is a discrete change of \( 10 \) volts in the recorder output. This can be observed, for example, in the GRAZ signal in the first strapdown system test on 1 October 1975.

The air bearing table angle was observed during the test directly from the Fecker readout display. The gimbal angle was observed on the DTU LED display.

If the test operator followed an incorrect sequence in switching between program modes, the computer program printed an error message at the teletypewriter.

To provide a final data check before storage of the data on magnetic tape, the V73 computer program performed a check on the time word, \( T \), to verify that one second occurred between successive words.
7. LABORATORY COMPUTER PROGRAMS

Two categories of computer programs were written for the CDC 1700 computer in the PADS test facility. The first provided for a step-by-step integration and checkout of the laboratory and system equipment. The second category consisted of the system test programs for the strapdown and gimbal system tests. These two programs are described in detail in this section since they are essential to a complete understanding of the tests.

The purpose of either system test program was twofold: 1) to provide star stimuli to the star tracker; and 2) to collect, store, and display the signals from the PADS sensors and the laboratory instrumentation. The star stimuli consisted of individual star transits in which a collimated star beam was moved past the field of view of the star tracker at earth rate. The frequency of the star transits as well as the length of the test were determined by the test conductor. All data was time-tagged and stored on magnetic tape. Separate programs were written for the strapdown and gimbal system tests due to the differences in operation of the star trackers. The programs were named SUE and GWEN, for the strapdown and gimbal tests, respectively.

Flow diagrams of the two programs appear in Appendix E.

7.1 STRAPDOWN SYSTEM TEST PROGRAM

The following sections describe the modes, data displays, and data collection capabilities of the strapdown system test program SUE.

7.1.1 Program Modes

There were three main program modes in SUE:

MODE 1 — REFERENCE POSITION. The star source (SS) and automatic autocollimator (AA/C) beams were pointed at the theodolite and reference mirror by the 1700 computer closing a servo loop about the ABT R/O to drive the ABT to a reference position, ABTO = 7710000. The difference between the readout, ABTA, and ABTO was output as a position signal, POS, to the servo electronics by a 1700 DAC channel every 3 milliseconds.
Expressed in equation form,

$$\begin{align*}
\text{POS} &= \text{ABTO} - \text{ABTA}, \ |\text{POS}| < 2047 \text{ bits} \\
\text{POS} &= 2047 \times \text{sign (POS)}, \ |\text{POS}| > 2047 \text{ bits}
\end{align*}$$

where

$$1 \text{ bit} = 0.617981 \text{ arc sec}$$

Although a rate command channel was available, it was set to zero.

**MODE 2 — ZERO POSITION.** The SS and AA/C beams were pointed at the strapdown star tracker (SST) by the 1700 closing a servo loop around the ABT R/O to drive the ABT to 0010000. Position and rate were commanded as in MODE 1.

**MODE 3 — STAR TRANSIT.** The purpose of this mode was to drive the ABT at half earth rate to sweep a simulated star across the field of view of the star tracker. Before starting this sweep, the ABT was driven from the ZERO position of MODE 2 to the starting position which places the star outside the field of view. The complete ramp function generated in the 1700, using its clock as a time reference, is defined graphically in Figure 7-1. The ramp is continued until the mode is manually exited by the test conductor. The servo position command, computed and output every 3 milliseconds was:

$$\text{POS} = (\text{RAMP} + \text{ZEROP}) - \text{ABTA}$$

where

- ZEROP is the ZERO position of MODE 2
- RAMP is the function in Figure 7-1.

There were two submodes in SUE:

**RUNNING AVERAGES.** This submode, when exercised, computed running averages of both AA/C outputs and, if the star tracker was in track, both SST digital outputs. This submode could only be entered from MODE 1 or MODE 2. When exited the last values of the running averages were stored in memory, one pair for each mode in the case of the AA/C. Since SST outputs were available only in MODE 2, only one pair was stored.
7.1.2 Mode Control

The teletypewriter was used only to start and stop the program. All other control was from four manual switches on the DTU. The switch logic for mode control is shown in Table 7-1. Switch No. 3 controlled the RUNNING AVERAGES submode. Switch No. 4 controlled the DATA COLLECTION submode. The switch positions were transmitted to the 1700 computer via the lower order four bits of the slow input status word. A one corresponded to the "UP" switch position.
Table 7-1. SUE Mode Control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Switch Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No. 1* Bit 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Reference Position</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Zero Position</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Star Transit</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Slow input status word

7.1.3 Program Discrete Commands

The program set the discrete commands from the digital output channel to the states indicated in Table 7-2.

7.1.4 Real Time Diagnostic Data

Table 7-3 lists the parameters that were sent to the stripchart recorder through the DAC channels of the 1700 computer, for display during the test.

Table 7-2. Discrete Command States

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slow Output Bilevel Command Word Bit Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SST Search</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ABT Integ Enable</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ABT MTR Inhibit</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Others</td>
<td>Spares</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7-3. DAC Output Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DAC</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Update Period</th>
<th>Scaling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ΔGRAX</td>
<td>Incremental Count</td>
<td>100 sec</td>
<td>0.1 V/count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ΔGRAY</td>
<td>Incremental Count</td>
<td>100 sec</td>
<td>0.1 V/count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ΔGRAZ</td>
<td>Incremental Count</td>
<td>100 sec</td>
<td>0.1 V/count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SSTX</td>
<td>Running Average</td>
<td>50 msec</td>
<td>0.5 V/count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>SSTY</td>
<td>Running Average</td>
<td>50 msec</td>
<td>0.5 V/count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>AA/CX</td>
<td>Running Average</td>
<td>1 sec</td>
<td>0.25 V/arc sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>AA/CY</td>
<td>Running Average</td>
<td>1 sec</td>
<td>0.25 V/arc sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>ERROR</td>
<td>Running Average</td>
<td>50 msec</td>
<td>0.5 V/count</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An explanation of each data type is given below:

ΔGRA. These are the differences in the 16 bit gyro word at a 100 second interval. The scaling (1 meru = 0.015°/hr) is:

0.05 meru = 1 count/100 sec

Since the maximum difference (at full earth rate) would be 20000 counts, less than half the capacity of a 16 bit word, differences could be taken without accounting for turnover of the gyro word. On the other hand, the DAC word, scaled for 0.1 V/count and limited to ±10 V, could turn over.

SST. These are the running averages of the 12-bit star tracker outputs. They are computed every 50 millisecond by the equation:

$$\overline{SST}_{N+1} = (1 - e) \overline{SST}_N + e (SST_{N+1})$$

where

e = 0.001

These averages were computed only in MODE 2, and were stored upon exit of the RUNNING AVERAGE submode.
**AA/C.** These are the running averages of the autocollimator outputs. They were computed every one second by the equation:

\[
\bar{AA/C}_{N+1} = (1 - e) \bar{AA/C}_N + e (AA/C_{N+1})
\]

where

\[ e = 0.05 \]

These averages were computed in MODE 1 and MODE 2, and were stored separately upon exit of the RUNNING AVERAGES submode.

**ERROR.** This is the running average of the difference between the SST horizontal axis output and the ABT readout. It is computed every 50 millisec by the equations:

\[
ERROR = SSTX - 1.236 (ABTA)
\]

\[
ERROR_{N+1} = (1 - e) ERROR_N + e (SST_{N+1})
\]

where

\[ e = 0.04 \]

This average was computed only in MODE 3 when the star tracker was in track.

7.1.5 Data Collection

Collection of data to be transmitted by the 1700 computer to the V73 is initiated by DTU switch 4 in the up position. There are three different data formats. Table 7-4 lists the data to be sent for each format.

Note that "Track" actually implies two conditions: 1) that the SST Search/Track signal indicates track, and 2) that the positive ramp in MODE 3 be present. "Start of track" is the first time the 1 sec counter changes after entry into track as defined above. "Non-track" is all the rest of the time.
### Table 7-4. Data Stored on Magnetic Tape

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Nominal Scaling</th>
<th>Bits Required</th>
<th>Non-Track</th>
<th>Start of Track</th>
<th>Track</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/Sec</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>1/Sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>1 msec/cnt</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAX</td>
<td>0.075 sec/cnt</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAY</td>
<td>0.075 sec/cnt</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAZ</td>
<td>0.075 sec/cnt</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SST X/Y Status</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSTX</td>
<td>1.0 sec/cnt</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSTY</td>
<td>1.0 sec/cnt</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABTA</td>
<td>0.618 sec/cnt</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSTX</td>
<td>1.0 sec/cnt</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSTY</td>
<td>1.0 sec/cnt</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[AA/CX]</td>
<td>0.15 sec/volt</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[AA/CY]</td>
<td>0.15 sec/volt</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments regarding the data are:

- **TIME AND STATUS.** Time must have at least 21 bits to record 4 hours of data at 10 msec/count. Time will be zero at the start of data collection. The three status bits are:
  1) Search/Track (SI, Status, Bit 5)
  2) X/Y Data (SI, Status, Bit 6)
  3) Initial Track (True at start of track).

- **ΔGRA.** The gyro words were corrected for their own turnovers. At a maximum rate of 200 counts/sec, only 21 bits are actually needed.

- **SST.** The X and Y words were sent alternately every 50 msec to the 1700 computer. The data transmitted to the magnetic tape always included the X word corresponding to the nearest time-tag.

- **ABTA.** The range of the table movement in SUE required only 13 of the 21 bits available.
• **SST.** These 2 words were the stored values from the last time the RUNNING AVERAGES submode was entered in MODE 2.

• **AAA/C.** These two words were computed as:

\[ \text{AAA/C} = \text{AA/C}_{\text{mode 3}} - \text{AA/C}_{\text{mode 2}} \]

where

\[ \text{AA/C}_{\text{mode 3}} = \text{stored values from the last time the RUNNING AVERAGES submode was entered in MODE 2} \]

\[ \text{AA/C}_{\text{mode 2}} = \text{stored values from the last time the RUNNING AVERAGES submode was entered in MODE 1.} \]

7.2 GIMBAL SYSTEM TEST PROGRAM

The following sections describe the modes, data displays, and data collection capabilities of the gimbal system test program GWEN.

7.2.1 Program Modes

There were three main program modes in GWEN.

**MODE 1 — REFERENCE POSITION.** This mode positioned the ABI such that the SS and AA/C are pointed at the theodolite and reference mirror, respectively, and pointed the GST to a nominal angle. The mode was used:

1) To align the SS and reference mirror before the test
2) To define the AA/C boresight during the test
3) To measure any misalignment between the SS and the reference mirror after the test.

Servo loops were closed around the ABT and GST using their readouts. Constant angles, ABTO and GSTO, were commanded. The difference between the readouts and the command angles were output unscaled as position signals to the servo electronics. To hasten acquisition, rate signals were commanded whenever the corresponding position signal saturated. Expressed in equation form,

\[ \text{TPOS} = \text{ABTO-ABTA}, \quad |\text{TPOS}| \leq 2047 \text{ bits} \]

\[ \text{TPOS} = 2047 \text{ sign (TPOS)}, \quad |\text{TPOS}| > 2047 \text{ bits} \]
\[
\text{GPOS} = \text{GSTO} - \text{GSTA}, \ |\text{GPOS}| \leq 2047 \text{ bits}
\]
\[
\text{GPOS} = 2047 \text{ sign} (\text{GPOS}), \ |\text{GPOS}| > 2047 \text{ bits}
\]

and

\[
\text{TRATE} = 0, \ |\text{TPOS}| < 2047 \text{ bits}
\]
\[
\text{TRATE} = 2047 \text{ sign} (\text{TPOS}), \ |\text{TPOS}| \geq 2047 \text{ bits}
\]
\[
\text{GRATE} = 0, \ |\text{GPOS}| < 2047 \text{ bits}
\]
\[
\text{GRATE} = -\text{sign} (\text{GPOS}), \ |\text{GPOS}| > 2047 \text{ bits} \text{ (equivalent to } 1^0 \text{ sec)}
\]

These equations were updated every 3 msec.

**MODE 2 — ZERO POSITION.** This mode positioned the ABT such that the SS and AA/C are pointed at the GST via one of the three rotating mirrors on the ABT, and moved the GST gimbal to search for and lock on to the star. The mode was used immediately prior to each star transit to measure:

1) Small rotations of the GST with respect to the AA/C boresight which may occur during the test

2) Drifts in the GST static pointing angle during the test.

The same servo loops were closed about the ABT and, during search, about the GST as in MODE 1. When the star was acquired (track), upon command of the computer, the hardware switched to a second servo loop which drove the gimbal to null the OSU vertical deflection signal.

For the computer-closed loops, the same equations as in MODE 1 applied except that ABTO and GSTO were a function of the 3 star positions which were selected manually from two switches on the DTU. The servos were updated every 3 msec.

**MODE 3 — STAR TRANSIT.** This mode drove the ABT in a ramp at earth rate to sweep a simulated star across the field of view strip of the GST. The ramp described in Figure 7-2 was commanded to the ABT servo loop which was identical to the loops in MODES 1 and 2. The GST gimbal was driven in the same way as in MODE 2 to search and track the star. Exit from MODE 3 terminated the ABT ramp and also the GST search if a star had not been acquired. As in MODES 1 and 2 both servos were updated every 3 msec.
There were two submodes in GWEN:

**RUNNING AVERAGES.** This submode, when exercised, and depending on the main program mode, computed running averages of both AA/C axes, the gimbal readout, the GST x and y deflections, and the differences between the star tracker x deflection and table angle. The last computed running average for all but the latter signal, was stored for transmittal to magnetic tape upon exit from the submode. The running averages were each computed by the equation:

\[
\bar{x}_{n+1} = (1 - e) \bar{x}_n + e y_{n+1}
\]

where

\( \bar{x} \) = running average

\( y \) = observation

\( e \) = weighting factor

The details for each running average including scaling, update interval and weighting constant are given in Table 7-8.

**DATA COLLECTION.** The remarks for the submode in SUE apply.
7.2.2 Mode Control

The teletypewriter was used only to start and stop the program. All other control was from six manual switches on the DTU. The switch logic for mode control is shown in Table 7-5. The logic for star selection is shown in Table 7-6. Switch No. 3 controlled the RUNNING AVERAGES submode, and switch No. 4 the DATA COLLECTION submode. For all switches "up" corresponded to a "one" state which in turn corresponded to the affirmative state of the switch function name.

Table 7-5. GWEN Mode Control Logic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Switch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bit 0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>REF POS</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ZERO POS</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>STAR TRANSIT</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Slow input channel, status word bits

Table 7-6. GWEN Star Selection Logic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star</th>
<th>n Value</th>
<th>Switch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No. 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bit 10*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Slow input channel, status word bits
7.2.3 Program Discrete Commands

The program sets the bits of the slow output channel, bilevel command word to the states indicated in Table 7-7. Bit 0, SEA TRACK/SEARCH commanded the GST to switch from the gimbal servo loop implemented about the gimbal readout and the computer to the loop using the star tracker vertical output signal. The former loop used the computer to supply a nominal star position and a summing junction; the latter was implemented entirely in the GST electronics. Since it was desired to switch to the tracker loop as soon as a star is acquired, bit 0 was made identical to bit 4 of the slow input channel status word, GST TRACK/SEARCH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slow Output Channel Command Word</th>
<th>Mode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bit</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.2.4 Real Time Diagnostic Data

Key signals were sent to the 8 channel stripchart recorder via the CDC 1700 DACs for display during the test. In addition the memory "peek," a LED display on the DU of selected words in the 1700 memory, was used to display the running average of the gimbal readout angle. The
signals displayed are described in Table 7-8. The description of the DATA REAL TIME DISPLAY for SUE in the previous section applies to GWEN except for the weighting constants, e, and the equation for ERROR which, for GWEN, was:

\[
\text{ERROR} = \frac{\text{GSTX}}{6.7} - (0.618)(\text{ABTA-ABTO})
\]

where GSTX is the GST x deflection in millivolts and (ABTA-ABTO) is the difference between the ABT angle and its nominal angle for each star in counts.

Table 7-8. GWEN Real Time Display

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DAC Channel</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Update Interval</th>
<th>Scaling</th>
<th>Output Display Maximum</th>
<th>Running Average e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAX</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100 sec</td>
<td>Direct 0.1 V/cnt</td>
<td>20,000 counts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAY</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100 sec</td>
<td>Direct 0.1 V/cnt</td>
<td>20,000 counts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAZ</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100 sec</td>
<td>Direct 0.1 V/cnt</td>
<td>20,000 counts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTX</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>50 msec</td>
<td>6.7 mV/sec 0.25 V/sec</td>
<td>40 sec 0.0125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTY</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50 msec</td>
<td>6.7 mV/sec 0.25 V/sec</td>
<td>40 sec 0.0125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA/CX</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1 sec</td>
<td>0.15 V/sec 0.25 V/sec</td>
<td>40 sec 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA/CY</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1 sec</td>
<td>0.15 V/sec 0.25 V/sec</td>
<td>40 sec 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERROR</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>50 msec</td>
<td>(see text) 0.25 V/sec</td>
<td>200 sec 0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTA</td>
<td>on DTU</td>
<td>50 msec</td>
<td>digital display</td>
<td>full 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.2.5 Data Collection

Collection of data on magnetic tape in the V73 computer was initiated and terminated by switch No. 4 on the DTU panel. There were three data formats:

1) Non-track
2) Start of track
3) Track.
Three conditions were necessary for the track state: 1) MODE 3; 2) a track signal from the GST (SI channel STATUS word, bit 4); and 3) a positive ramp be present. During the nontrack state three time-tagged gyro signals were stored on tape every one second:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{GRAX} &= x \text{ gyro angle, arc seconds} \\
\text{GRAY} &= y \text{ gyro angle, arc seconds} \\
\text{GRAZ} &= z \text{ gyro angle, arc seconds.}
\end{align*}
\]

At the start of track, running averages calculated and stored during MODE 1 and MODE 2 were stored on tape:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{GSTA} &= \text{Last average gimbal angle calculated in MODE 2 before switch No. 3 was turned off, arc seconds} \\
\text{GSTX} &= \text{Last average star tracker horizontal angle calculated in MODE 2 before switch No. 3 was turned off, arc seconds} \\
\text{GSTY} &= \text{(Same as GSTX, but for vertical angle)} \\
\text{ΔAA/CX} &= \text{Last average AA/C horizontal angle calculated in MODE 2 before switch No. 3 was turned off minus the last average AA/C horizontal angle calculated in MODE 1 before switch No. 3 was turned off, arc seconds} \\
\text{ΔAA/CY} &= \text{(Same as ΔAA/CX, but for vertical angle).}
\end{align*}
\]

During track, time tagged information from the gyros, the GST, and the ABT were stored every one second:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{GRAX} &= \text{(see above)} \\
\text{GRAY} &= \text{(see above)} \\
\text{GRAZ} &= \text{(see above)} \\
\text{GSTA} &= \text{Gimbal angle, arc second} \\
\text{GSTX} &= \text{Star tracker horizontal angle, arc seconds} \\
\text{GSTY} &= \text{Star tracker vertical angle, arc seconds} \\
\text{ABTA} &= \text{ABT angle with zero corresponding to the GST horizontal boresight position, arc seconds.}
\end{align*}
\]

Time tags were accurate to 1 msec. Scaling for the signals corresponded to Table 7-8. Angles in arc seconds were given to one significant place.
The performance evaluation of the PADS test data was performed offline on a CDC 6500 digital computer via a timeshare terminal. The offline computer programs were coded in FORTRAN. Their input consisted of the magnetic tape data from the V73 computer and certain initialization and control parameters which were inserted from the timeshare terminal. Their output consisted of the three-axis attitude errors in the PADS estimate of its inertial attitude plus other data which facilitated interpretation of the attitude errors. Two offline programs were used: one for the strapdown tests, and one for the gimbal tests.

A functional block diagram applicable to either computer program is shown in Figure 8-1. The program is divided into two main sections: 1) the PADS algorithms, and 2) the laboratory algorithms. In the ground-fixed test configuration the PADS axes are identical to the laboratory axes, and are physically defined by the optical cube on the strapdown star tracker, or the three reference mirrors on the gimbal star tracker. The PADS algorithms estimate the attitude of the PADS axes relative to their inertial attitude at the start of the test run. In a four hour test, for example, the PADS axes will rotate 60° due to the rotation of the earth. The orthogonal transformation matrix $\hat{A}$ will reflect the PADS estimation of the rotation given continuous gyro information and periodic star tracker transits. The laboratory algorithms compute the true inertial attitude of the laboratory, expressed by the matrix $A$, using the precisely known value of earth rate. The agreement between these two matrices is a direct measure of the PADS performance.

The PADS algorithms are identical to those described in Section 3.2 with the exception of the star catalog information. In the laboratory test the star catalog information is formed by the laboratory algorithms. These algorithms compute the orientation of the collimated star beams relative to the true laboratory or PADS axes.

In addition to the two main sections the computer programs also contain data formatting and attitude error generation algorithms. The latter determine the small angle three-axis attitude errors from the nondiagonal elements of the matrix product $\hat{A}A^T$. 
Figure 8-7. PADS Offline Data Evaluation Program
The derivation of the fundamental equations for the offline computer programs is given in Reference 7. Specific comments regarding the application of these equations to the PADS strapdown and gimbal system tests are given in the following sections.

8.1 STRAPDOWN SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

8.1.1 True Laboratory Attitude

The laboratory configuration of the PADS subsystem is illustrated in Figure 8-2. The SST optical cube defines both the PADS and the laboratory axes. The orientation of this optical cube can be described by a right-handed, orthogonal coordinate set \((x_1, x_2, x_3)\) in which each axis is normal to a cube face as in Figure 8-2. To definitize this coordinate set \(x_3\) is taken to point opposite the gravity vector, and \(x_1\) is selected such that it experiences no component of the earth's angular velocity, \(\omega_e\), i.e., \(\omega_e \cdot x_1 = 0\). The optical cube with its above defined coordinate set is thus taken to be the laboratory reference, and the term "laboratory attitude" will be taken to mean the orientation of the axes \((x_1, x_2, x_3)\) relative to an inertial set \((z_1, z_2, z_3)\). This latter set of axes will be taken to be identical to axes \((x_1, x_2, x_3)\) at the start of any particular subsystem test run. The laboratory attitude at any subsequent run time is then given by

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  x_1 \\
  x_2 \\
  x_3
\end{bmatrix} = A_{xz}(t) \begin{bmatrix}
  z_1 \\
  z_2 \\
  z_3
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(8-1)

where

\[
A_{xz}(t) = \begin{bmatrix}
  1 - \beta \left( \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 \right) & \alpha \lambda_3 & \lambda_1 \lambda_2 & -\alpha \lambda_2 + \beta \lambda_1 \lambda_3 \\
  -\alpha \lambda_3 + \beta \lambda_1 \lambda_2 & 1 - \beta \left( \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_3^2 \right) & \alpha \lambda_1 & \beta \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \\
  \alpha \lambda_2 + \beta \lambda_1 \lambda_2 & -\alpha \lambda_1 + \beta \lambda_2 \lambda_3 & 1 - \beta \left( \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 \right)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(8-2)

\[
\lambda_i = \frac{\omega_e \cdot x_i}{\omega_e}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3
\]

(8-3)
Figure 8-2. PADS Laboratory Setup
\( \alpha = \sin \omega_e t \quad \omega_e = \left| \overline{\omega} \right| \quad (8-4) \)

\( \beta = 1 - \cos \omega_e t \quad (8-5) \)

The \( \lambda_i \) depend, of course, upon the orientation of the laboratory relative to the earth rate, \( \overline{\omega} \). This is largely a function of its latitude, taken to be 33.90323 deg north. It should be mentioned that inaccuracies in this figure (or in the alignment of the optical cube), while influencing the true knowledge of \( A_{xz}(t) \), will have no bearing upon the subsystem performance measure, as the estimated laboratory attitude provided by PADS will contain exactly the same effect. This will be discussed more fully in Section 8.1.2.

### 8.1.2 PADS Estimate of the Laboratory Attitude

The PADS subsystem must estimate the laboratory attitude without being privy to latitude and a priori earth rate information. The SST/optical cube alignment is such that the tracker's boresight lies along \( x_2 \), with its azimuth and elevation outputs occurring, respectively, about \( x_3 \) and \( x_1 \).

Now the actual orientation of \( (x_1, x_2, x_3) \) relative to \( (z_1, z_2, z_3) \) is unknown to the PADS subsystem, as this information can only be established through knowledge of \( \omega_e \), latitude, and run time, \( t \). Thus PADS can only provide estimates of the \( x_i \), say \( \hat{x}_i \), by effective use of the SST and IRU.

The PADS output is then an estimated laboratory attitude matrix, \( \hat{A}_{xz}(t) \), defined by

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{x}_1 \\
\hat{x}_2 \\
\hat{x}_3 \\
\end{bmatrix} = \hat{A}_{xz}(t) \begin{bmatrix}
z_1 \\
z_2 \\
z_3 \\
\end{bmatrix} \tag{8-6}
\]

Combining Equations (8-1) and (8-6)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{x}_1 \\
\hat{x}_2 \\
\hat{x}_3 \\
\end{bmatrix} = \hat{A}_{xz}(t) A_{xz}(t) ^{-1} \begin{bmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2 \\
x_3 \\
\end{bmatrix} \tag{8-7}
\]
The degree to which \( \hat{A}_{xz} A_{xz}^{-1} \) matches the identity matrix is a direct measure of the effectiveness of the PADS subsystem as an attitude estimator.

To be effective as a three-axis attitude estimator, the SST must be sensitive to attitude motions about each of its three axes. A single PADS tracker fails in this respect due to its extremely small field-of-view, and thus a complete PADS subsystem must employ two such trackers, with optical boresights separated by more than 30 deg or so. Since the laboratory test involved only a single tracker, the system prediction of attitude about the \( x_2 \) axis will be ignored, and performance measured solely upon its success in pointing the \( x_2 \) axis. In essence, the system will thus be treated as a two-axis estimator.

This two-axis estimator employs a three-axis gyro package, whose gyro input axes \((x, y, z)\) are illustrated by Figure 8-2 and satisfy

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  x \\
  y \\
  z
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
  -0.707 & -0.707 & 0 \\
  0.707 & -0.707 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  x_1 \\
  x_2 \\
  x_3
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Unfortunately, the gyro whose input axis is \( z \) (or \( x_3 \)) is much poorer in performance than the other two, and thus causes very pessimistic predictions of laboratory attitude about the \( x_3 \) axis. In fact, such results can be termed Worst Case. Best Case results would be obtained if the \( z \) gyro were aligned, instead, along the \( x_2 \) axis, whose attitude is not effectively estimated anyway. Thus two types of performance results will be presented in Section 9. Worst case results will deal with the actual laboratory gyro configuration given by Equation (8-8), and best case results will simply transform the actual gyro outputs to the values that they would have experienced had the \( z \) gyro been aligned along \( x_2 \). The only assumption made in this process is that the gyro errors are invariant to rotation, an assumption that is not really germane to the evaluation of the PADS.

8.1.3 The Star Catalog

The PADS estimation algorithm has been previously described in Section 3.2. Key to its operation is its usage of fixed star locations as
attitude benchmarks in an inertial reference frame. In analogy, the location of comparable stars in the inertial set \((z_1, z_2, z_3)\) must be established. This effectively then becomes the estimator's star catalog.

Figure 8-2 illustrates the means whereby a star line of sight is formed using the star simulator and air bearing table mirror. A fixed separate light source for each of three stars is housed in the star simulator. The light emanating from the \(j^{th}\) such source is defined by

\[
\overline{u}_s^j = \sum_{i=1}^{3} u_{si}^j \overline{x}_i
\]  

(8-9)

where the \(u_{si}^j\) are time invariant. The table mirror normal, on the other hand is defined by

\[
\overline{n} = \sin \theta(t) \overline{x}_1 + \cos \theta(t) \overline{x}_2
\]  

(8-10)

The input star line-of-sight to the SST is then given by

\[
\overline{u}^j = \sum_{i=1}^{3} u_{j}^i \overline{x}_i = \overline{u}_s^j - 2 \overline{n} (\overline{n} \cdot \overline{u}_s^j)
\]  

(8-11)

The inertial coordinates of this star at time \(t\), namely the benchmark attitude reference used by PADS, are then given by the three direction cosines

\[
a_i^j = \overline{u}^j \cdot \overline{z}_i \quad i = 1, 2, 3
\]  

(8-12)

where clearly

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
a_1^j \\
a_2^j \\
a_3^j
\end{bmatrix} = \hat{A}_{xz}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix}
u_1^j \\
u_2^j \\
u_3^j
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(8-13)

The method whereby PADS causes \(\hat{A}_{xz}\) to converge toward \(A_{xz}\) is then basically dependent upon adjusting the attitude estimate until its estimate of the \(u_{i}^j\), say \(\hat{u}_{i}^j\), coincides with the \(u_i^j\) as obtained by measurement. Clearly
As mentioned before, errors in providing exact truth model earth rate or latitude data will influence $A_{xz}$, but not the PADS performance measure $\hat{A}_{xz}^{-1}$. This is because the same error effect will appear in $\hat{A}_{xz}$ due to the fact that the inertial star direction cosines, $a_i^j$, are directly influenced by $A_{xz}$ through Equation (8-13). Likewise, the apparent drift rates of the three gyros will shift slightly should inexact values of $\omega_e$ or latitude be used. A similar comment can be made should the gyros not precisely satisfy the transformation of Equation (8-8). In neither event is the performance measure of PADS degraded.

8.2 GIMBAL SYSTEM TEST

In parallel to the system test employing a body fixed tracker, the purpose of the gimbaled system test is to establish the performance of the gimbaled star tracker (SST) and the gyro assembly when combined with a suitable data reduction algorithm as an attitude estimation subsystem. This subsystem will be used to estimate the attitude of the laboratory relative to an inertial set of coordinates. As before, the true attitude of this earth-fixed laboratory is essentially known as a function of time, providing a direct means of evaluating system performance.

8.2.1 True Laboratory Attitude

The laboratory configuration of the PADS subsystem is illustrated in Figure 8-3. The laboratory fixed axes are defined as in Section 8.1; a right-handed, orthogonal, coordinate set for which $x_3$ is taken to point opposite the gravity vector, and $x_1$ is selected such that it experiences no component of the earth's angular velocity, $\bar{\omega}_e$, i.e., $\bar{\omega}_e \cdot \bar{x}_1 = 0$. The term "laboratory attitude" will be taken to mean the orientation of the axes $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ relative to an inertial set $(z_1, z_2, z_3)$. This latter set of axes will be taken to be identical to axes $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ at the start of any particular subsystem test run. The laboratory attitude at any subsequent time is then given by Equations (8-1) to (8-5).
Figure 8-3. Gimballed PADS Laboratory Setup
8.2.2 Gimbal PADS Estimate of the Laboratory Attitude

The PADS subsystem must estimate the laboratory attitude without being privy to latitude and a priori earth rate information.

Consequently, the actual orientation of \((x_1, x_2, x_3)\) relative to \((z_1, z_2, z_3)\) cannot be established by the PADS subsystem, as this information can only be obtained through knowledge of \(\omega_e\), latitude, and time, \(t\). Thus PADS can only provide estimates of the \(x_i\), say \(\hat{x}_i\), by effective use of the GST and IRU data. The PADS output is then an estimated laboratory attitude matrix, \(\hat{A}_{xz}(t)\), defined by Equation (8-6), with Equation (8-7) again providing a measure of the system's effectiveness.

Unlike the body fixed tracker, the gimbal star tracker provides attitude estimates about all three axes (rather than two axes). However, since the gimbal motion between the two outer laboratory "stars" is only about 38 deg, the anticipated accuracy about the \(x_1\) axis is less than that about either \(x_2\) or \(x_3\). Thus the results will be presented in two ways: as a full three-axis estimator, and as a two-axis estimator which ignores errors about \(x_1\). This latter figure of merit will provide a more direct comparison to the results obtained for the body fixed tracker.

Also, since three-axis estimates are the primary goal of this test, there is no great advantage in any particular alignment of the IRU. Thus, the actual laboratory orientation of this unit given by Equation (8-8) will be assumed throughout the test runs.

8.2.3 The Star Catalog

The gimbal PADS estimation algorithm has been previously described in Section 3.2. Key to its operation is its usage of fixed star locations as attitude benchmarks in an inertial reference frame. In analogy, the location of comparable stars in the inertial set \((z_1, z_2, z_3)\) must be established. This effectively then becomes the estimator's star catalog.
Figure 8-4 illustrates the means whereby a star line of sight is formed using the star simulator and air bearing table mirror. A single fixed light source emanates from the star simulator and is deflected downward along \(-x_3\) by a fixed mirror mounted above the air bearing table. A tilted mirror mounted to the table top then deflects this light beam (when the table top is in position) toward the tracker. By utilizing the table mirrors, three such stars of differing elevation angles can be simulated. This establishes the direction cosines (in lab axes) of each star, and its equivalent inertial coordinates are then given, as before, by Equations (8-12) and (8-13). Likewise, estimates of the star direction cosines (in estimated lab axes) are given by Equation (8-14), and the comments following this equation apply here as well.
9. STRAPDOWN SYSTEM TEST

This section describes the strapdown system test which was run from 1 October 1975 to 10 October 1975. The orbit scenario on which the test was based is described along with comments regarding initial alignment of the PADS axes. All of the four test runs which were conducted during the ten day test period are analyzed using several performance measures. Two of the test runs were selected for detailed analysis to illustrate the effect of individual error sources on the PADS attitude estimates. A parametric analysis is made to evaluate the effect of star update interval on the PADS accuracy. Lastly, a summary of the PADS accuracy is presented.

9.1 TEST DESCRIPTION

The spacecraft configuration postulated for the PADS system test is that of a three-axis stabilized, earth-pointed satellite in geosynchronous orbit. An experiment on board, a telescope, for example, requires precise information regarding the inertial attitude of its sensitive axis or axes. The PADS is used to provide this information.

A calibration of the PADS star tracker boresight axis relative to the experiment axes is allowed once every ten days. Following a calibration the experiment may require the PADS attitude information for any length of time, for any number of times, during the next ten days.

By way of configuring a practical laboratory test, four test runs, nearly evenly spaced in time, were conducted during a ten day test period. Each run was four hours in duration. During each run a star update was made every 20 minutes. This corresponded to a star being available every 50 in right ascension. Three different star declinations were used. All stars were 8 M* 0 (6000°K).

The test period was limited to ten days by the scope of the PADS contract and not by any limitation in the stability of the star tracker. Four runs during the ten day period were adequate to measure the day-to-day stability of the tracker, while a four hour run was sufficient to ensure steady-state behavior for star updates up to 60 minutes apart. The minimum star update time of 20 minutes was determined by the gyro performance (see Section 4.1). Three star declinations were considered adequate to exercise
the tracker field of view linearity accuracy. Making all stars 8 Mv presented a worst case situation in terms of tracker noise and simplified test equipment design.

9.2 INITIAL ALIGNMENT/CALIBRATION

The PADS reference axes were defined physically by the optical cube on the strapdown star tracker. The PADS experienced a fixed input rate, i.e., earth rate, during a test run. Consequently, no effort was made to precisely determine the gyro input axes orientations relative to the cube since an axis misalignment would be indistinguishable from a fixed drift rate error.

The original intent was to align the tracker electro-optical boresight to the normal to the front face of the optical cube, and to adjust the field of view alignment about the boresight so that a zero vertical output resulted for a star on the negative x axis at the edge of the field of view. The field of view nonlinearities were to be calibrated from a component test performed in a separate facility from that of the system test.

Unfortunately, the calibration data from the star tracker component test contained unacceptable errors which were not recognized at the time the data was collected. These errors are described in Volume I, Section 7. As a result it was necessary to recalibrate the field of view using the three stars in the system test configuration. This recalibration took place immediately prior to the ten day system test. The overall result was the invalidation of the independent measure of the accuracy of the field of view linearity. The recalibration did not affect the basis for the alignment, albeit indirect, of the boresight to the optical cube, namely, the ground-rule that the boresight would be calibrated relative to the experiment axes every ten days.

9.3 SYSTEM TEST RESULTS

The following system test results were obtained using the computer performance evaluation programs described in Section 8.
9.3.1 Pass Parameters

Four test runs, each of approximately four hours in duration, were conducted between 1 October 1975 and 10 October 1975. This time tagged sensor data was then utilized by the Estimation Algorithm to predict both laboratory attitude and the gyro drift rates. Aside from the time tagged sensor data, the algorithm requires a number of additional inputs dealing primarily with statistical quantities that describe initial uncertainties in both attitude and gyro drift rates, as well as uncertainties in both gyro and star tracker noise parameters. In general, the attitude estimation performance of the Estimation Algorithm is dependent upon the values of assumed noise. Also of significance is the degree of filtering applied to the output of the tracker, as longer time constants tend to reduce the impact of tracker noise. Taking such parameter variations into account, a total of 31 attitude estimation computer passes were made based upon the four test runs previously mentioned. Table 9-1 lists the conditions for these 31 passes.

9.3.2 Performance Measures

The effectiveness of the Attitude Estimator is based primarily upon three things:

1) The degree to which the estimator accurately predicts the attitude of the \( x_2 \) axis. If \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_3 \) represent the estimation errors about, respectively, the \( x_1 \) and \( x_3 \) axis, then the boresight \( (x_2) \) attitude error is given by

\[
\theta = \sqrt{\theta_1^2 + \theta_3^2}
\]

(9-1)

2) The degree to which the boresight attitude error, which of course is unknown operationally, is reflected by the estimator's error covariance prediction. Observation of the latter should give a strong indication of the actual errors. Comparing the attitude error covariance prediction with the SST measurement residuals will check estimator "consistency."

3) The speed with which the attitude estimates converge from sizeable initial estimation errors (e.g., 100 sec) to errors that are close to "steady state."
Table 9-1. Conditions and Parameters of Computer Passes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pass Conditions</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Run Duration - 14800 sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star Update Interval - 20 min/40 min/60 min*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each star utilized 3 times at 55 sec intervals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyro Orientation - Best Case/Worst Case*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial Conditions</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial Attitude Estimate - 100 sec error about ( x_1 ) and ( x_3 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Attitude Uncertainty ( (1\sigma) ) 100 sec about ( x_1 ) and ( x_3 ); 10 sec about ( x_2 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial gyro drift rate uncertainty - .02 sec/sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor Parameters</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gyro:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Drift Rates. Gyro ( x ) - .833 sec/sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( y ) - .478 sec/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( z ) -1.055 sec/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyro noise ( \sigma_x ) - .02 to .08 sec/(sec) ( 1/2 )*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyro noise ( \sigma_y ) - .2 ( 10^{-4} ) to .6 ( 10^{-4} ) sec/(sec) ( 3/2 )*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyro noise ( \sigma_z ) - .1 sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star Tracker:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calibration coefficients as specified in Volume I, Section 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time constant (both axes) - .0466 sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital output filter (both axes) - 0 to 3 sec*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output noise (both axes) - 1.5 to 3 sec*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Specified in Test Run
Items 1) and 2) are time variant and require additional qualification if clear figures of merit are to be adopted. Figure 9-1 illustrates a typical sequence of \( \theta \) values, a value being plotted every 300 sec and also at times just prior and just subsequent to an attitude update.

For the merit figures, the discrete points are connected by straight lines. The mean pointing error and its standard deviation are then computed as

\[
\mu_\theta = \frac{1}{(t_N - t_n)} \sum_{i=n}^{N} \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\theta}_{i+1} + \tilde{\theta}_i) (t_{i+1} - t_i) \quad (9-2)
\]

\[
\sigma_\theta = \left\{ \frac{1}{(t_N - t_n)} \sum_{i=n}^{N} \frac{1}{3} \left[ \tilde{\theta}_{i+1}^2 + \tilde{\theta}_i^2 + \tilde{\theta}_{i+1} \tilde{\theta}_i \right] (t_{i+1} - t_i) \right\}^{1/2} \quad (9-3)
\]

where

\[
\tilde{\theta}_i = \theta (t_i +) \quad (9-4)
\]

\[
\tilde{\theta}_i = \theta (t_i -) \quad (9-5)
\]

with \( t_N \) and \( t_n \) representing, respectively, the final time and time at which the effect of initial estimates have disappeared. A similar approach is also used in computing the mean and standard deviation, \( \mu_\theta \) and \( \sigma_\theta \), of the attitude estimator's guess (based upon its covariance matrix) as to the reliability of its output. Lastly, \( \mu_y \) and \( \sigma_y \) have similar interpretations regarding the measurement residual. The six merit figures will be used extensively in what follows.

9.3.3 Detailed Analyses of Passes No. 8 and No. 9

Pass No. 8 met the general conditions of Table 9-1 under the additional specifications:

- Tracker noise (1\( \sigma \)) - 1.5 sec
- Tracker output filter time constant - 3 sec
- Tracker update interval - 20 min
- Gyro noise \( \sigma_v \) - .04 sec/sec\(^{1/2}\) in \( x \) and \( y \); .08 in \( z \)
- Gyro noise \( \sigma_u \) - .6 x 10\(^{-4}\) sec/sec\(^{3/2}\) in \( x \), \( y \), and \( z \)
- Gyro Orientation - Best Case
Figure 9-1. Pass No. 8
Figure 9-1 illustrates the convergence of the estimation error, $\theta$, of the boresight ($x_2$) attitude. A dramatic correction in attitude estimation error is made after just one star sighting. However, the gyro drift rates retain sufficient error to cause considerable divergence until the second star sighting at about 2600 sec. The drift rates are then also corrected and fairly benign performance (which we shall call "steady state") occurs thereafter. Figure 9-2 illustrates, on a larger scale, the estimation error from about 5000 sec onward. An average pointing error of about 2 sec is experienced, which corresponds to a 1.4 sec error per axis.

![Figure 9-2. Pass No. 8 Expanded](image-url)
When the conditions of Pass No. 8 are altered by assuming a worst case rather than best case gyro orientation, the convergence of $\theta$ remains about the same. Steady state behavior is considerably degraded, however, as Pass No. 9 in Figure 9-3 clearly shows. In this case an average pointing error of about 3.2 sec is evident, corresponding to 2.3 sec per axis. This is clearly a result of the z gyro drift rate fluctuation, which now directly influences the pointing estimate. If $\omega_g(t)$ represents a gyro's rate output, then Figure 9-4 presents the rate variation $\omega'_g(t)$ for each of the three gyros over the run duration $[0,T]$ where $T = 14800$ sec. $\omega'_g(t)$ is of the form

$$\omega'_g(t) = \omega_g(t) - \bar{\omega}_g(t)$$

(9-6)

where

$\bar{\omega}_g(t)$ is the average drift rate for the run duration.

Figure 9-3. Pass No. 9
It is clear that the z gyro experiences severe drift rate changes at both 6100 sec and 12730 sec. This is consistent with the results of Figure 9-3, which shows the start of a severe fluctuation in the attitude estimate at about these times.

9.3.4 PADS Steady State Parametric Test Results

The results for all four test runs are shown in Figure 9-5, in terms of the previously defined performance criteria \( \mu_0, \sigma_0, \mu_\theta, \sigma_\theta, \mu_y, \sigma_y \). In general, the system results are excellent, with the following conclusions evident:

- All of the 13 passes indicate an RMS pointing error, \( \sigma_0 \), of better than 4 sec. In fact, the average \( \sigma_\theta \) over the 13 passes is 3.08 sec for a per axis error of 2.2 sec.

- The performance of the best gyro orientation exceeds that for the worst orientation by 0.4 - 1.0 sec.

- Filtering the tracker output through a 3 sec time constant filter shows significant improvement over a 1 sec filter.
Gyro data base B results in filter covariance estimates that agree more closely than A with actual performance.

Passes 5, 6, 8, 17, 23, and 18 show excellent agreement between actual performance, filter predicted performance, and the actual measurement residuals.

Passes 1, 7, 28, and 16 indicate poorer agreement. In Passes 1 and 7 too high a value of tracker noise was assumed. Pass 28 is plagued by tracker bias, which was independently established. Pass 16 is also somewhat plagued by such bias, but in addition is optimistic in its assessment of gyro noise.

Figure 9-5. PADS Test Results — 20 Min. Updates
Additional examples of steady state performance are shown in Figure 9-6 to 9-10.

Figure 9-6. Pass No. 17
Figure 9-11 presents results similar to Figure 9-5, but for systems with less frequent star updates. In each case rapid initial convergence was obtained as the first two star updates were taken 20 min apart. Thereafter, the update interval was as noted, either 40 or 60 minutes. As anticipated, these results are somewhat poorer. Specifically:

- The peak 40 min RMS error, $\sigma_e$, is 7 sec, with the average $\sigma_e$ given by 4.32 sec, or 3.06 sec, per axis.
- The peak 60 min RMS error, $\sigma_e$ is 13 sec, with the average $\sigma_e$ given by 7.72 sec, or 5.46 sec, per axis.
- The influence of worst case over best case gyro alignment is now much more severe, as much longer time intervals exist between gyro bias corrections.
- Test runs 1 and 4 indicate much better results than either 2 or 3. Test run 2 is particularly poor due to the aforementioned tracker bias.
- Passes 11, 13, 25, 26, 14, and 15 show fairly good agreement between actual and filter predicted performance.
- Passes 10, 30, and 31 show quite a difference between actual and predicted results. The latter two are influenced by the unobservable tracker bias, whereas Pass 10 uses gyro noise parameters which are pessimistic for these longer filtering durations. In practice, when the update intervals vary, the gyro noise parameters must assume some compromise values.

Figures 9-12 to 9-15 illustrate typical steady state behavior patterns for these increased update duration runs.
Figure 9-11. PADS Test Results - 40 and 60 Minute Updates.
Figure 9-12. Pass No. 11

Figure 9-13. Pass No. 12
Figure 9-14. Pass No. 14

Figure 9-15. Pass No. 15
9.3.5 PADS Accuracy

The criteria for the accuracy of the PADS is the RMS of the difference between the PADS estimate of the inertial attitude of its reference axes and the true attitude of these axes as indicated by:

1) Laboratory instrumentation
2) A priori knowledge of earth rate and the inertial attitude of the laboratory at the start of a test run.

This criteria is designated $\sigma_6$ in Figures 9-5 and 9-11.

The parameters selected for the nominal PADS algorithm for the 20 minute updates are:

1) Filter time constant: 3 sec
2) Gyro noise (x and y gyros): $\sigma_v = 0.04$ arc sec/sec$^{1/2}$
   $\sigma_u = 0.6 \times 10^{-4}$ arc sec/sec$^{3/2}$
3) SST noise: 1.5 arc sec.

The parameters for the 40 and 60 minute updates were the same except for the gyro noise sigmas which were reduced by 1/2 and 1/3 for $\sigma_v$ and $\sigma_u$, respectively. The passes representative of the values in Figure 9-5 are numbers 8, 28, 17, and 23, or test runs 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. In Figure 9-11 the 40 minute update representative passes are numbers 11, 30, 20, and 25, or test runs 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. The 60 minute update representative passes are numbers 14, 31, 22, and 27, or test runs 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively.

Table 9-2 summarizes the value of $\sigma_6$ for the nominal PADS algorithm with the best gyro orientation. Values from all four test runs are available for each update interval. The rms of the values for the four runs is the PADS two-axis error for a given update interval. This rms, divided by $\sqrt{2}$, is the PADS single-axis error.

The PADS design goal is 3.6 arc seconds (1$\sigma$) per axis for 20 minute star updates. The measured error of 2.3 arc seconds is well within the design goal.
Table 9-2. PADS RMS Error

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Run</th>
<th>20 Minute Updates</th>
<th>40 Minute Updates</th>
<th>60 Minute Updates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rms (2-axis)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rms (1-axis)</td>
<td>2.3 arc sec</td>
<td>3.6 arc sec</td>
<td>6.1 arc sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The increase in measured error with increased update interval is also indicated in Table 9-2. Although the increase appears well behaved, examination of the individual test runs reveals a large variation in values, indicating caution should be applied in generalizing these results.
10. GIMBAL SYSTEM TEST

This section describes the gimbal system test which was run from 6 February 1976 to 16 February 1976. The orbit scenario on which the test was based is described along with comments regarding initial alignment of the PADS axes. All of the four test runs which were conducted during the ten day test period are analyzed using several performance measures. Two of the test runs were selected for detailed analysis to illustrate the effect of individual error sources on the PADS attitude estimates. A parametric analysis is made to evaluate the effect of star update interval on the PADS accuracy. Lastly, a summary of the PADS accuracy is presented.

10.1 TEST DESCRIPTION

The spacecraft configuration postulated for the PADS system test is that of a three-axis stabilized, earth-pointed satellite in geosynchronous orbit. An experiment on board, a telescope, for example, requires precise information regarding the inertial attitude of its sensitive axis or axes. The PADS is used to provide this information.

A calibration of the PADS gimbal star tracker axes relative to the experiment axes is allowed once every ten days. Following a calibration the experiment may require the PADS attitude information for any length of time, for any number of times, during the next ten days.

By way of configuring a practical laboratory test, four test runs, nearly evenly spaced in time, were conducted during a ten day test period. The first three runs were four hours in duration, the last was five hours. During each run a star update was made every 20 minutes. This corresponded to a star being available every 50 in right ascension. Three different star declinations over approximately a 40° span were used. All stars were 3.5 M_v GO (60000K).

The test period was limited to ten days by the scope of the PADS contract and not by an assumed limitation in the stability of the star tracker. Four runs during the ten day period were adequate to measure the day-to-day stability of the tracker. Four hour runs were sufficient to ensure steady-state behavior for star updates up to 40 minutes apart. The five hour run was made to provide data for star updates 60 minutes apart. The minimum

10-1
star update time of 20 minutes was determined by the gyro performance (see Section 4.1). The maximum star separation in declination of 40° was considered adequate to provide three-axis information with the single star tracker. Making all stars $3.5 \, M_v$ presented a worst case situation in terms of tracker noise and simplified test equipment design.

10.2 INITIAL ALIGNMENT

Alignment of the gimbal star tracker presented considerably more complexity than that encountered with the strapdown star tracker. In the case of either tracker the objective was to determine the alignment of the electro-optical (EO) axes relative to optical surfaces on the tracker base. For the strapdown tracker the only critical EO axis was the EO boresight, i.e., that position in the field of view at which a star produced zero electronic output in two axes. Using the parallel beam star stimulus approach, it was an easy task to align the boresight normal to the front face of the optical cube within an arc second. However, the gimbal tracker has two EO axis which are critical: 1) the EO boresight zero position, defined as that position of a star wherein both optical sensor field of view outputs are zero, and the gimbal angle readout is also zero, and 2) the normal to that plane for which the angle of incidence between the plane and the EO boresight remains constant for all gimbal angles. The second axis is the effective gimbal axis, purposely defined in an electro-optical rather than a mechanical sense. The obliqueness of the definition is necessary to account for a possible nonorthogonality between the EO boresight and the effective gimbal axis. Determining the orientation of both these EO axes relative to the three mirrors attached to the base of the tracker to within one arc second entailed a significant activity.

The first step undertaken was identification of the effective gimbal axis. Ideally this should have been accomplished electro-optically, but no practical technique was available to do this with an arc second accuracy. Consequently, recourse was made to an optical-mechanical technique. This consisted of mounting a mirror on the end of the gimbal axis with its surface normal to the gimbal axis. Normality was observed by autocollimating off the mirror with a theodolite and adjusting the mirror orientation until no deflection was observed with the theodolite as the gimbal and attached
mirror were rotated. Performance of this task led to two observations: 1) the gimbal axis sagged such that the mirror on the end of the axis tilted 34 arc seconds from the effective gimbal axis, and 2) the rate of change of this sag was 4 arc seconds for a gimbal motion of 30°. Both of these effects are shown in Figure 10-1. The sag was determined by leveling the gimbal axis using the optical cube on the optical sensor. With the theodolite viewing the back face (negative boresight axis) of the cube, the tracker base fixture was shimmed until no horizontal deflection was measured as the gimbal was turned through 20°. In view of the method used, the accuracy of the measured sag is probably no better than 5 arc seconds. On the other hand, the relative change of the sag with gimbal angle was measured directly with the theodolite, and its accuracy is better than one arc second.

The gimbal axis sag theoretically should have no significant effect on the performance of the star tracker. However, it did invalidate accurate determination of the vertical alignment of the effective gimbal axis through optical-mechanical techniques. In view of the symmetry of the curve in Figure 10-1 about the ordinate, and the mechanical symmetry of the plus and minus 30° gimbal positions relative to the gravity vector, it was assumed that the horizontal component of the mirror normal was within an arc second of the effective gimbal axis.

![Figure 10-1. Gimbal Axis Sag](image-url)
The next step in the alignment procedure was to adjust the EO boresight normal to the effective gimbal axis. This was accomplished using two theodolites as shown in Figure 10-2. The tracker base was turned until the sum of the two angles indicated in the figure was $90^\circ$. The optical sensor was then rotated in the gimbal until zero horizontal output was obtained. Using both theodolites in this manner gave an accuracy of one or two arc seconds for this step.

With the EO boresight now aligned normal to the effective gimbal axis, the relative orientations of the three reference mirrors were determined through the use of the parallel beam star stimulus technique. With the tracker in place the stars were adjusted via the rotating mirror assembly so that the three star beams were normal to the three tracker reference mirrors. Immediately following this the star tracker was removed and the theodolite inserted in its place. The theodolite was then used to measure the relative orientations of the star beams. The results were previously shown in Figure 4-21 and are repeated in Table 10-1.

![Diagram](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

Figure 10-2. Alignment of the EO Boresight to the Effective Gimbal Axis
The last alignment step was to determine the orientation of the three mirror normals relative to the tracker axes. Immediately prior to the system test, with the tracker in place, the orientation of the tracker axes relative to the stars was measured using the tracker outputs, and the orientation of the stars relative to the mirror normals was measured using the parallel beam technique and the automatic autocollimator outputs. Combining the two sets of measurements gave the results shown in Table 10-2. The numbers in parenthesis are the relative orientations.

**Table 10-2. Reference Mirror Positions in Tracker Axes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mirror No.</th>
<th>Vertical (arc sec)</th>
<th>Horizontal (arc sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>+68380.2</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-68392.8</td>
<td>-4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison between Tables 10-1 and 10-2 leads to two observations. First, if the coordinates in Table 10-1 are rotated 4.5 degrees as shown in Figure 10-3, then the agreement between the relative horizontal measurements from the two tables is within 0.5 arc second. This indicates that: 1) the boresight axis was accurately aligned normal to the effective gimbal axis, and 2) the angles between the mirror normals and plane orthogonal to the gimbal axis are accurately known. Secondly, the agreement between the relative vertical measurements indicates that the gimbal readout, at least for the three positions measured, does not have to be calibrated.
Figure 10-3. Relative Locations of Mirror Normals
10.3 SYSTEM TEST RESULTS

The following system test results were obtained using the computer performance evaluation programs described in Section 8.

10.3.1 Pass Parameters

Four test runs, each of approximately four hours in duration, were conducted between 6 February 1976 and 16 February 1976. The time-tagged sensor data was then utilized by the Estimation Algorithm to predict both laboratory attitude and the gyro drift rates. As before, the algorithm requires a number of additional inputs dealing primarily with statistical quantities that describe initial uncertainties in both attitude and gyro drift rates, as well as uncertainties in both gyro and GST noise parameters. These parameters are listed in Table 10-3. Varying these parameters, a total of 31 attitude estimations passes were made based upon the four test runs mentioned above.

10.3.2 Performance Measures

The effectiveness of the Attitude Estimator as a three axis device is based primarily upon three things:

1) The degree to which the estimator accurately predicts the attitude of the laboratory. If $\theta_1$, $\theta_2$, and $\theta_3$ represent the estimation errors about, respectively, the $x_1$, $x_2$, and $x_3$ axes, then the attitude error is defined by

$$\theta = \sqrt{\theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 + \theta_3^2} \quad (10-1)$$

2) The degree to which the laboratory attitude error, which of course is unknown operationally, is reflected by the estimator's error covariance prediction. Observation of the latter should give a strong indication of the actual errors. In practice, the operator will compare the attitude error covariance prediction with the GST measurement residuals in order to check estimator "consistency."

3) The speed with which the attitude estimates converge from sizeable initial estimation errors (e.g., 100 sec), to errors that are close to "steady state."

Items 1) and 2) are time variant and require additional quantification if clear figures of merit are to be adopted. In this regard, the nor axis mean pointing error and its standard deviation are computed as described in Section 9.3.2.
Table 10-3. Conditions and Parameters of Computer Passes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pass Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Run Duration - 14500 to 18370 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star Update Interval - 20 min/40 min/60 min*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each star utilized once near the azimuth center of the GST field of view</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial Attitude Estimate - 100 sec error about $x_1$, $x_2$, and $x_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Attitude Uncertainty (1σ) 100 sec about $x_1$, $x_2$, and $x_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial gyro drift rate uncertainty - 0.02 sec/sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gyros:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Drift rates. Gyro x $-0.840 \text{sec/sec}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y$ $-0.422 \text{sec/sec}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z$ $-1.165 \text{sec/sec}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyro noise $v$ - 0.02 to 0.08 $\text{sec/(sec)}^{1/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyro noise $u$ - $0.2 \times 10^{-4}$ to $0.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{sec/(sec)}^{3/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyro noise $e$ - 0.1 $\text{sec}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gimbal Tracker:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time constant (both axes) - 0.0466 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital Output filter (both axes) - 3 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output noise (both axes) - 1.5 $\text{sec}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Specified in Test Run
10.3.3 Detailed Analyses of Passes No. 11 and No. 21

Pass No. 11 corresponds to the general conditions of Table 10-3 and utilizes the PADS data of 9 February, the gyro being updated every 20 minutes by the gimbaled star tracker. Figures 10-4 and 10-5 show, respectively, the corresponding two and three axis convergence of the laboratory attitude estimation errors. A total of 12 star updates are employed, in the order

Star Number: 1,3,3,3,1,3,1,2,1,3,1,2,3,3

(A)

where, noting Figure 8-4, the tracker input star line-of-sight has a large negative projection on $x_3$ for star No. 1, a slight positive projection for star No. 2, and a large positive projection for star No. 3. The particular sequence noted above was chosen to insure the differing geometric conditions inherent in sighting different stars for the more demanding convergence conditions associated with 40 and 60 minute updates. Thus when 40 minute update passes are made, the star sequence is

Star Number: 1,3,1,2,3,2,3

(B)

and for 60 minute updates passes

Star Number: 1,3,2,1,3

(C)

The sequence (A) is not optimally beneficial in assuring rapid convergence for 20 minute update passes, as star No. 3 is used 3 successive times. This is the price paid for better results when the updates are less frequent.

For Pass No. 11, the first five updates occur at times 329, 1365, 2649, 3850, and 5044 sec, corresponding to stars 1,3,3,3,1 respectively. As the results of Figures 10-4 and 10-5 indicate, the first two updates (providing 4 pieces of independent azimuth-elevation star data) considerably reduce the initial error, but are not adequate to establish the six unknowns defined by the three attitude and three gyro drift rates. Additional updates by star No. 3 at 2649 and 3850 sec provide only slight improvement as the geometric conditions are not much different than at 1365 sec. Once star No. 1 is again used (at 5044 sec) a dramatic improvement occurs, and the filter can subsequently be considered to be close to its "steady state" operation. The reuse of star No. 1 proved geometrically beneficial as its previous use occurred sufficiently far in the past. This same convergence pattern appeared in all of the 20 minute update passes, regardless of which PADS test run was used.
Figure 10-4. Pass No. 11 - Two Axis

Figure 10-5. Pass No. 11 - Three Axis
Figure 10-6 shows a comparable three-axis run for the test data of 6 February. Convergence behavior is essentially the same as described above, but there appears a dramatic temporary degradation in the attitude estimates at about 8000 sec, long after the filter has supposedly reached "steady state." This is caused by a severe shift in the z gyro drift rate at about the same time, as indicated by the gyro data of Figure 10-7. Of significance is the fact that the filter is only temporarily confused by this event, providing better and better estimates of the new z gyro drift rates as additional star updates appear.
10.3.4 PADS Steady State Parametric Test Results

The results for the runs taken on the 9th, 11th, and 16th of February are shown in Figure 10-8, for 20 minute updates. The statistics presented in each case cover the time interval subsequent to the fifth star update to the end of the run. The results of the PADS data taken on 6 February are not included as the severe jump in the z gyro drift rate provides untypically pessimistic answers. The following conclusions are evident from Figure 10-8.

- Passes 11 and 13 provide two-axis estimates between 3 and 4 sec and show good agreement to both the corresponding filter estimates and the measurement residuals.

- Pass 12 indicates poorer results than either 11 or 13. This can be traced to anomalous drift rate behavior of both the x and y gyros as each gyro's drift rate suddenly shifts by 0.0014 deg/hr at one point in the run. The effect is not major, but has sufficient impact to negatively influence a short test run of only 4 hours.
Figure 10-8  Gimbaled PADS Test Results (20 Minute Updates)
Figures 10-9 to 10-12 show typical convergence responses of the 20 minute update results.

Figure 10-13 shows results for 40 and 60 minute update intervals. Only test run 4 is shown for the latter, as this somewhat lengthened run alone allows an adequate number of updates necessary for "steady state" behavior. Even at that only the two axis results of Pass 18 have reached what can be considered final values. Errors about $x_2$ would have continued to reduce had the run time been longer.
Figure 10-10. Pass No. 12 - Three Axis

Figure 10-11. Pass No. 13 - Two Axis
Figure 10-12. Pass No. 13 - Three Axis
Figure 10-13. Gimbaled PADS Test Results -
40 and 60 Min. Updates
Of the 40 minute update passes, Passes 15 and 17 perform very well, although the three-axis filter confidence has clearly not settled to its final value as errors about $x_1$ inherently require more star data. The performance of Pass 15 is somewhat worse, again being plagued by the drift rate change of the x and y gyros noted before. Figures 10-14 to 10-17 illustrate these 40 and 60 minute updating runs.

Figure 10-14. Pass No. 17 - Two Axis
Figure 10-15. Pass No. 17 - Three Axis

Figure 10-16. Pass No. 18 - Two Axis
Figure 10-17. Pass No. 18 - Three Axis
10.3.5 PADS Accuracy

The criteria for the accuracy of the PADS is the mean difference between the PADS estimate of the inertial attitude of its reference axes and the true attitude of these axes as indicated by the laboratory instrumentation, and a priori knowledge of earth rate and the inertial attitude of the laboratory at the start of a test run. This criteria is designated $\sigma_\theta$ in Figures 10-8 and 10-13.

Tables 10-4 and 10-5 summarize the values of $\sigma_\theta$ for the two-axis and three-axis results. As mentioned previously, the first test run contained a z gyro malfunction and was not used. Also, only the fourth run was long enough to obtain a steady-state result for 60 minute updates. The n-axis RMS error divided by $\sqrt{n}$ is the PADS single-axis error.

The PADS design goal is 3.6 arc seconds (1σ) per axis for 20 minute star updates. The value of 3.6 arc seconds calculated from the two-axis results meets this goal, but the corresponding value of 4.8 arc seconds from the three-axis results does not. The poorer accuracy from the three-axis results is expected since the maximum angle between the three available stars was only 38 degree as compared to the optimum of 90 degrees. For the purpose of a comparison with the strapdown PADS, which did not have such a geometry constraint, the errors from the gimbal two-axis results will be used.

The two tables also show the increase in measured error with increased update interval. The inconsistency between the two-axis and three-axis trends is probably due to insufficient data points. The 23.3 arc seconds in Table 10-5 is definitely an anomalous point. No generalizations are apparent.
Table 10-4. PADS RMS Error $\mu_0$ for Two-Axis Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Run</th>
<th>20 Minute Updates</th>
<th>40 Minute Updates</th>
<th>60 Minute Updates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rms (2-axis)</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rms (1-axis)</td>
<td>3.6 arc sec</td>
<td>3.8 arc sec</td>
<td>4.6 arc sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10-5. PADS RMS Error $\mu_0$ for Three-Axis Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Run</th>
<th>20 Minute Updates</th>
<th>40 Minute Updates</th>
<th>60 Minute Updates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rms (3-axis)</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rms (1-axis)</td>
<td>4.8 arc sec</td>
<td>8.2 arc sec</td>
<td>8.7 arc sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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11. COMPONENT ACCURACIES

As a by-product of the system tests certain measurements of the performance of the individual star trackers and -yros were obtained. These measurements are presented in this section.

11.1 STRAPDOWN STAR TRACKER

As described in Section 4.3 the automatic autocollimator null bias was calibrated immediately before each star transit during a test run for the strapdown system test. This was accomplished by first pointing the parallel star and autocollimator beams at the theodolite, and then pointing them at the star tracker. While in the latter position, the tracker outputs as well as the autocollimator signals were stored on magnetic tape. Thus a measure of the boresight stability of the tracker was obtained before each star transit, i.e., every 20 minutes. Figure 11-1 is a plot of these measurements for the 12 star transits in the 1 October 1975 test run. Figure 11-2 is a plot of the mean of a run's measurements for the four runs made during the ten day test period. The fifth measurement in the plot was obtained from the initial data of a test run on 10 October 1975. This run was aborted due to an electronics failure in the data transmission equipment. The error shown in Figure 11-1 is probably due to the laboratory instrumentation errors. However, the error shown in Figure 11-2 does reflect the drift of the boresight over a ten day period since the run-to-run repeatability of the laboratory instrumentation is 0.6 arc second (3σ) (see Table 4-1).

In addition to the static boresight measurements, dynamic measurements of the star tracker accuracy were obtained for each star transit. The magnetic tape data from a test run was processed in an offline timeshare computer program. This program compared the compensated tracker outputs with the air bearing table compensated readout and prior knowledge of the star positions. The resulting error signal was passed through an RC filter with a 20 second time constant in order to smooth the tracker noise. Figures 11-3 through 11-14 are plots of the error signal for the 12 star transits of the 1 October 1975 test run. The beginning of the transit occurred on the right side of a plot, and the signal moved from right to left. This is evident in the vertical axis error signal of Figure 11-6 which shows two
sudden jumps in the data and their subsequent decays. The dynamic lag of the star tracker track loop is apparent in the horizontal axis error signal for all of the transits. The analytical calculation of this lag (Volume I) was 0.8 arc second, which is in good agreement with the plots. The star sequence for the 12 transits was 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, etc. Examination of the four plots for any particular star does not reveal a clear indication of a systematic error resulting from the polynomial compensation of the tracker output. This error is indistinguishable from the filtered tracker noise.

Figure 11-1. Static Boresight Error for 1 October 1975 Test Run
Figure 11-2. Mean Boresight Errors for Strapdown System Test

Figure 11-3. Star Transit Number 1
Figure 11-4. Star Transit Number 2

Figure 11-5. Star Transit Number 3
Figure 11-6. Star Transit Number 4

Figure 11-7. Star Transit Number 5
Figure 11-8. Star Transit Number 6

Figure 11-9. Star Transit Number 7
Figure 11-10. Star Transit Number 8

Figure 11-11. Star Transit Number 9
11.2 GIMBAL STAR TRACKER

The static boresight measurements recorded during the gimbal system test differed from those of the strapdown test in that each measurement was for one of the three different stars, not all for the middle star. The measured star positions immediately prior to the ten day system test are given in Section 4.1. The average deviations throughout a test run from these values are shown in Table 11-1 under the column absolute error. The table also lists the errors in the locations of the upper and lower stars relative to the center star.

Table 11-1. Static Boresight Errors for the Gimbal System Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Run</th>
<th>Star</th>
<th>Absolute Error</th>
<th>Relative Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>EL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Feb 76</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Feb 76</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Feb 76</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Feb 76</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The relative errors are presented to indicate the accuracy of the laboratory instrumentation. These errors will be independent of bias shifts in either the optical sensor or the gimbal readout. With one exception, these errors support the accuracy assumed for the laboratory instrumentation. The exception is for star 3 azimuth during the 9 February 1976 run. Both the absolute and the relative errors appear off by 2.5 arc seconds. No explanation for this discrepancy was found. In general the absolute elevation
errors are small, while the azimuth errors are in the region of one to two arc seconds. These errors are believed to be indicative of the boresight stability of the tracker.

11.3 GYROS

The magnetic tape data for all eight test runs of both system tests was processed to indicate the drift stability of the gyros throughout a particular test run. Figures 11-15 through 11-22 show the attitude output stability of the three gyro axes for the eight test runs. The actual gyro output was a ramp function when plotted against time. In order to clearly show the ramp irregularities, the figures plot the output minus the ramp defined by the start and end points of the data.

In general the plots verify the performance measured previously for the gyros and presented in Appendix C. An exception, however, was the performance of the z gyro for the last three runs of the gimbal system test. Unexplainably, but fortuitously in view of the three-axis requirement of the test, the performance was much improved.

![Figure 11-15. 10/01/75 Run](image)
Figure 11-16. 10/03/75 Run

Figure 11-17. 10/06/75 Run
Figure 11-18. 10/08/75 Run

Figure 11-19. 02/06/76 Run
Figure 11-20. 02/09/76 Run

Figure 11-21. 02/11/76 Run
Figure 11-22. 02/16/76 Run
12. CONCLUSION

Both the strapdown and gimbal PADS met the accuracy goal of 3.6 arc seconds (1σ) per axis as demonstrated by the laboratory tests. The strapdown PADS accuracy of 2.3 arc second actually exceeded the goal by a convincing margin. The gimbal PADS accuracy of 3.6 just equaled the goal. It is noted that the gimbal accuracy was derived from the gimbal two-axis results which did not include the detrimental effect of the limited 38° separation between stars.

The laboratory tests have demonstrated that either system, under laboratory controlled temperature and vibration environments, will meet the design goal.

In a comparative sense, the performance of the strapdown system exceeds that of the gimbal system even more than the 20 minute update accuracies indicate. As pointed out in Section 4.1, for equal probabilities of star acquisition the gimbal tracker update interval should be 32 minutes if the strapdown tracker interval is 20 minutes. Although the quantitative effect of the longer update is not clear from the parametric results in Section 10.3.4, it is certain that the accuracy of the gimbal system will be even less for the longer update.

Also in a comparative manner, the alignment complexities associated with a test evaluation of the gimbal system, specifically the gimbal star tracker, are more numerous than those of a comparable strapdown system with two star trackers. These complexities arise primarily from the inability to directly identify the gimbal axis with an optical flat in the presence of a 1 g field. In another aspect, the hardware connected difficulties encountered with the gimbal star tracker provided a reminder of the comparative complexity of that unit relative to the strapdown star tracker.

The substitution of the OAO gyros for the originally intended Bendix gyros turned out well in that the anomalous behavior of the normally higher performance OAO gyros made their random drift rates comparable to those expected from the Bendix gyros. On the other hand, the drift rate variations of the x and y gyros were decidedly deterministic, displaying a
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squarewave variation in rate which did not fit the assumed statistical models. Also, the performance of the z gyro was much poorer than either the z or y gyros, necessitating an artificial rotation of the gyro axes in the strapdown test to eliminate its effect from the two-axis results. It also invalidated one of the four gimbal test runs.

The attitude error convergence plots for both systems indicate that the limiting factor for the 20 minute update intervals is the star tracker error. The independent evaluations of the tracker noise and bias errors led to the conclusion that the software algorithms, and the Kalman filter in specific, did not add significantly to the total error. In this area the primary emphasis of the laboratory evaluations, namely, the star trackers and the algorithms, was fulfilled. However, the 40 and 60 minute update intervals presented a different case. Here the anomalous behavior of the gyros became dominant, and the Kalman filter understandably was unable to compensate for the sudden drift rate changes between star updates.

In terms of development status then, both star trackers have proven their performance capabilities and appear ready to proceed to flight level designs and environmental testing. The one reservation, however, involves the strapdown star tracker hysteresis described in Volume I. In many applications this effect is undesirable, and efforts are now underway to identify and eliminate it.

The results obtained in the area of minimizing the laboratory instrumentation errors justify the ground-fixed test configuration chosen for the system tests. The predicted instrumentation errors of 0.9 and 1.6 arc seconds for the strapdown and gimbal tests, respectively, most probably were achieved in view of the overall test results. The advantage of presenting PADS attitude errors as computed, without qualifications for hypothesized instrumentation errors, outweighs the subtle aspects involved with the ground-fixed configuration.

While the ground-fixed test configuration did minimize the instrumentation errors, it also made clear that the limit in test accuracy for star trackers and attitude determination systems was reached using commercially-available instrumentation. The most obvious limitation was the theodolite.
While the Kern DKM3 is one of the best, if not the best, such instrument available, obtaining better than 0.5 arc second accuracy with the instrument is doubtful. Another limitation is the parallelism and perpendicularity accuracies of mirror surfaces. Procurement of such mirrors with specifications below one arc second is not only costly but often beyond the capabilities of most optical suppliers. Stability and collimation accuracy of star sources is another limitation. Even with the thermally passive approach used in this program, material creep and long-term deformations will often require months of settling time after initial installation to achieve better than 1 arc second stability.

It seems clear that if star trackers or attitude determination systems with sub-arc second accuracies are developed, a significant increase in the cost of testing such units or systems will be experienced. A large part of the test cost will be the development and certification of the precision test instrumentation required.
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APPENDIX A

DATA ANALYSIS PROGRAM LISTING FOR THE STRAPDOWN SYSTEM TEST
PROGRAM PADS(INPUT,OUTPUT,TAPE1,TAPE2,TAPE4,TAPE5)

COMMON/NG,KDEL,N(N)
COMMON/TIME,T0,TF,DEL,DEL2,X(4),X1,L4,KSKP
COMMON/P(12),TAU(3,6),KM(6),DRFT(6),G(9,6)
COMMON/PH1(4,4),PH2(4,3),Q(7,7),Q(4,6),WR(3,2),RP(4,4)
COMMON/SSV(27,2),PP(3),B(3,3)
COMMON/NTP,ICAT,NO,YL(2,2)
COMMON/YD(2,2),Y(2,2),U(1),A(3,3),STR(3,2)
COMMON/RP(7,7),R(2,2),AK(6,2),HMAT(2,7)
DIMENSION GYDAT(500,12),GX1(12),G(12),MSTR(12)

KTyp=0 — REAL LAB ; KTyp=1 — GYROS REORIENTED
KTyp=2 — IDEAL GYROS

DATA KTyp/1/

MSTR(I) DENOTES WHETHER THE I TH VISIBLE STAR WILL BE USED FOR FILTERING; IF 50, MSTR(I)=1

FORMAT(X,7HKTYP = ,I1)/

CONTINUE

N7=3

REWIND TAPES
REWIND 1
REWIND 2
REWIND 4
REWIND 5

WRITE(2,5) KTyp

EFFECTIVELY ELIMINATE THE FIRST DATA LINE

READ(4,7) (GYDAT(I,J),J=1,12)

NN1=3

READ IN AND PRINT OUT INITIAL VALUES, SYSTEM PARAMETERS AND RUN

CONTROL CONSTANTS
CALL INPUT
CALL ICOUNTS AND FLAGS

PARAMETERS AND RUN

CALL NAME/KPR=NE=0

IGY=500
MT=0
NO=1

READ(4,2) (CX1(J),J=1,12)
BEGINNING OF FILTER LOOP

BEGINNING OF FILTER LOOP

CONTINUE

CALL ZERO

CONTINUE
INDEX, THEN TEST FOR WHETHER NEW FLIGHT GYRO DATA SHOULD BE READ

00500 C TGY=1GY+1
00510 IF(IGY,1.E-500) GO TO 23
00520 IGY=1
00530 DD ?4 T=1.E500
00540 PFA=(4,7,) (GXZ(J),J=1,12)
00550 DLT=GX2(1)-GX1(1)
00560 GYDAT(I,1)=GX1(1)
00570 DD 17 K=2.4
00580 17 GYDAT(T,K)=(GX2(K)-GX1(K))/DLT*4.844366E-6
00590 IF(KTYP.EQ.0) GO TO 25
00592 IF(KTYP.EQ.1) GO TO 26
00600 GYDAT(T,2)=4.66164026E5
00610 GYDAT(T,3)=4.49498700E5
00620 GYDAT(T,4)=4.57512760E5
00622 GO TO 25
00623 26 CONTINUE
00624 GYDAT(I,2)=GYDAT(I,2)+4.26794875E-5
00625 GYDAT(I,3)=GYDAT(I,3)+2.1157158E-6
00626 GYDAT(I,4)=GYDAT(I,4)+1.97941384E-5
00630 25 CONTINUE
00640 DD 18 K=5.1?
00650 18 GYDAT(T,K)=GX1(K)
00660 DD 19 K=1.12
00670 19 GX1(K)=GX2(K)
00680 IF(FPPF,4) 23,24
00690 24 CONTINUE
00700 C FORMAT 2 IS BELSKYS TAPE FORMAT
00710 2 FORMAT(X,F10.3,3(F12.1),3(F7.1),4(F5.1,X))
00720 C FORM TIME AND INTEGRATION STEP
00730 23 CONTINUE
00740 DLT=GYDAT(IGY,1)-TIME
00750 TIME=GYDAT(IGY,1)
00760 DD 33 ?=1,NG
00770 33 WM(T)=GYDAT(IGY,I+1)
00780 C TEST FOR END OF RUN
00790 IF(TIME.LT.TF) GO TO 43
00800 STOP
00810 43 CONTINUE
00820 C ADVANCE STATE PRINT COUNTER
00830 NXC=NXC+1
00840 C COMPUTE ESTIMATED SPACECRAFT BODY ANGULAR RATES
00850 A-4
CALL GYPCK

COMPUTE ESTIMATED SPACECRAFT ATTITUDE

CALL ATT

TIME TO PRINT STATE

IF(NXC.LT.NDEL) 44, 81

CONTINUE

FROM ESTIMATED SPACECRAFT ATTITUDE DIRECTION COSINE MATRIX

CALL TPM

PRINT ESTIMATED STATE

CALL YIPNT

NXC=0

IF(KPP.EQ.1), 48, 44

CONTINUE

TEST IF TRACKER DATA IS PRESENT

IF(GYDAT(IGY,5).GT.0.5) 60, 52

TEST IF THIS PRINT FOLLOWED A FILTER OPERATION

IF(KPR.EQ.1), 48, 44

CONTINUE

GO TO 15

CONTINUE

IF(GYDAT(IGY,5).LT.1.5) GO TO 601

IF(NF.EQ.1) GO TO 20

CONTINUE

MT=MT+1

DV1=GYDAT(IGY,11)

DV2=GYDAT(IGY,12)

NF=NF+1

IF(NF.EQ.1) GO TO 20

TEST WHETHER THIS STAR IS ACCEPTABLE

IF(MSTR(MT).NE.1) GO TO 52

TU=GYDAT(IGY,6)-DV1

TV=GYDAT(IGY,7)-DV2

TA7=-.0327268874+SSV(4,1)*TU +SSV(5,1)*TV*TV +SSV(6,1)*TU**3

1+SSV(7,1)*TV+SSV(9,1)*TV**3

2+SSV(10,1)*TU+TV+SSV(12,1)*TV+TV**2

TFL=-.4026901705+SSV(13,1)*TV+SSV(15,1)

1+SSV(16,1)*TU+SSV(17,1)*TV+SSV(18,1)*TV**3

2+SSV(19,1)*TV+TFL+SSV(20,1)*TV+TV**2+SSV(21,1)*TV+TL**2

Y(L,?)=Y(1,?)

Y(L,?)=Y(?,2)

Y(1,?)=-TA7*4.64E1360E-6

Y(?,2)=TFL*4.64E1360E-6
AIR BEARING TABLE ANGLE COMPUTATION

TH = 0.29561512E-4 * GY (GY)

FP1 = 0.0 + 1.2 * SIN(TD) + COS(CUS(TD))

T11 = GY / 2. - ER1 * 4.8E13681E-6 - 2.3064449

TF(MF, F0.1) 0, 2

CALL STPOMK (IBL)

CONTINUE

C COMPUTE TMEPTIAL STAR DIR. COS.

CALL STAPDC (STR(1,1), 1CAT, IBL)

C COMPUTE EST. TRACKER OUTPUT

CALL TPAC

CALL TPCFIL

TP(FN, LT, 10) GO TO 20

C START FILTERING

C COMPUTE TRACKER MEASUREMENT RESIDUAL NO M

W1 = SQRT((YD(1,2) - YD(1,1))^2 + (YD(2,2) - YD(2,1))^2)

C DOES IT FALL WITHIN AN ALLOWABLE ERROR

IF(W1 > Lt.) GO TO 70

PRINT ?, W1

3 FORMAT(20HMEASUREMENT ERROR 15, 2X, E13.6, 6H, PUNT)

STOP

CONTINUE

WRITE(5,4) TIME, W1, N7

4 FORMAT(2X, E13.6, 2X, I1)

CALL XIPNMT

NF = - KSUP

C FORM STATE NOISE ERROR COVARIANCE MATRIX

CALL QMAT

C ALTER STATE TRANSITION MATRIX TO DEAL WITH 3 RATHER THAN 4

C ATTITUDE VARIABLES

CALL PRFIL

C PROPAGATE STATE ERROR COVARIANCE MATRIX

CALL FILT

CALL PRH(1)

C FORM MEASUREMENT MATRIX

CALL HMTRX

C FORM MEASUREMENT NOISE COVARIANCE MATRIX

CALL PMAT

C APPLY KALMAN ALGORITHM

C CALL FFILT

C UPDATE STATE

CALL PROFIL

A-6
01880 C ADVANCE FILTER COUNTER
01890 NPF=NPF+1
01900 C TIME TO PREVIOUS STATE ERROR COVARIANCE MATRIX
01910 IF(NPF-NPF) 1566,80
01920 80 CONTINUE
01930 CALL PRINT(1)
01940 KPR=1
01950 CN TO A1
01960 END
01970 C
01980 C
01990 C SUBROUTINE TANS
02000 C COMPUTE DIRECTION COSINES OF SPACECRAFT ATTITUDE RELATIVE TO
02010 C ATTITUDE REFERENCE AXES (ECI COORDINATES)
02020 C COMMON/P/TIME, TC, TP, DELT, KOELT, XI(4), XI(4)
02030 C COMMON/5/CSV(27,2), PP(3), P(3,3,2)
02040 DIMENSION T(4,4)
02050 C TRANSFORM EULER SYMMETRIC PARAMETERS TO DIRECTION COSINES RELATIVE
02060 C T0 ECI AXES
02070 DO 10 T = 1, 3
02080 T(T,J) = XI(4)
02090 10 CONTINUE
02100 T(1,2) = XI(3)
02110 T(1,3) = -XI(2)
02120 T(1,4) = XI(1)
02130 T(2,3) = XI(1)
02140 T(2,4) = XI(2)
02150 T(3,4) = XI(3)
02160 T(2,1) = -T(1,2)
02170 T(3,1) = -T(1,3)
02180 T(3,2) = -T(2,3)
02190 T(4,1) = T(1,4)
02200 T(4,2) = T(2,4)
02210 T(4,3) = T(3,4)
02220 T(4,4) = -XI(4)
02230 DO 40 I = 1, 3
02240 DO 40 J = 1, 3
02250 R(T,J+1) = 0.
02260 DO 40 K = 1, 4
02270 P(T,J+1) = -T(I,J+1)*T(I,K)*T(K,J)
02280 40 CONTINUE
02290 RETURN
SUBROUTINE X1PRINT

PRINTS ESTIMATED STATE VECTOR AND S/C ATTITUDE MATRIX

COMMON/1/NEN, DELTA/N
COMMON/2/DELTA, X1(4), X1L(4)
COMMON/3/PIU(T), TAU(3, 6), W(6), DRFT(6), GY(9, 6)
COMMON/5/SSV(27, 2), PP(3), B(3, 3, 2)

DIMENSION C(3, 3), D(4)

CA = 206264, A1
N7 = 1

WRITE(2, 30) TIME
CALL TARAT
DO 60 J = 1, 3
   CT(J) = 0.
DO 60 K = 1, 3
  DO 60 L = 1, 3
   C(I, J) = C(I, J) + B(I, K, 1) * B(J, K, 2)
  D(1) = CA * C(2, 3)
  D(2) = CA * C(3, 1)
  D(3) = CA * C(1, 2)
  D(4) = SORT(0(1)**2 + D(3)**2)

WRITE(7, 20) I, X1(1), B(I, 1), B(I, 2, 1), B(I, 3, 1)
WRITE(8, 22) X1(4), D(4)
WRITE(5, 23) TIME, D(4), N7
FORMAT(2(2X, E13.6), 2X, 11)
WRITE(7, 50)
DO 45 I = 1, NN1
   PIU1A = CA * PIU1U
   WRITE(2, 21) I, PIU1A
CONTINUE
FORMAT(16.9/30X,
150HESTIMATED SPACECRAFT ATTITUDE RELATIVE TO ECI AXES//X,
23HATTITUDE ESTIMATE, 27X,
37HATTITUDE, 12X, 12HR, P, Y, Z//)
FORMAT(12, X, F12.9, x, 3(X, F12.9), 2X, 3(X, F12.9), 2X, F9.2)
FORMAT(12, X, F12.9, x, 3(X, F12.9), 2X, F9.2)
03650 5G FORMAT(*/14X,24)HESTIMATED_GYRO_BIAS_VECTOR/)
03660 21 FORMAT(15X,i11,4X,(12.5))
03670 END

03690 C
03700 C
03710 SUBROUTINE PRNT(K1)
03720 COMMON/1/NG, NDELP, NN1
03730 COMMON/2/TIME, TG, TF, DELT, KDELT, X1(4), X1L(4)
03740 COMMON/6/MT, IGAT, NG, YL(2,2)
03750 COMMON/7/YU(2,2), Y(2,2), U(15), AST(3,3), STR(3,2)
03760 COMMON/8/P(7,7), R(2,2), AK(8,2), HMAT(2,7)
03770 DIMENSION PT(3,3), F(3,3), TP(3,3)
03775 N7=

03780 C PRINTS THE ERROR COVARIANCE MATRIX, UPPER LEFT 3 BY 3 IN
03790 C SMALL ANGLE FORM
03800 C
03810 DO 40 I=1,3
03820 DO 40 J=1,3
03830 40 PT(T,J)=P(T,J)
03840 C CONVERT UPPER 3 BY 3 OF P MATRIX TO SMALL ANGLE FORM
03850 F(1,1)=2.*(X1(4) +X1(1)**2/X1(4))
03860 F(1,2)=2.*(X1(3)+X1(1)*X1(2)/X1(4))
03870 F(1,3)=-2.*(X1(2)-X1(1)*X1(3)/X1(4))
03880 F(2,1)=2.*(X1(3)-X1(2)**2/X1(4))
03890 F(2,2)=2.*(X1(4) +X1(2)**2/X1(4))
03900 F(2,3)=-2.*(X1(1)-X1(2)*X1(3)/X1(4))
03910 F(3,1)=2.*(X1(2)+X1(1)*X1(3)/X1(4))
03920 F(3,2)=-2.*(X1(1)-X1(2)*X1(3)/X1(4))
03930 F(3,3)=2.*(X1(4) +X1(3)**2/X1(4))
03940 DO 70 I=1,3
03950 DO 70 J=1,3
03960 TP(T,J)=0.
03970 DO 70 K=1,3
03980 70 TP(T,J)=TP(T,J)+P(T,K)*F(K,J)
03990 DO 75 T=1,3
04000 DO 75 J=1,3
04010 P(T,J)=0.
04020 DO 75 K=1,3
04030 75 P(T,J)=P(T,J)+P(T,K)*TP(K,J)
04040 MA = NN1+3

04100 C PRINT HEADING

A-9
04110 10 WRITE(2,1)
04120 1 FORMAT(1H150,5X,EHP MATRIX)
04130   I I=1,NA
04140   WRITE(?3,1)
04150   3 FORMAT(1H3X,4HROW ,12/)
04160   C PRINT P MATRIX
04170   20 WRITE(?30) (P(1,J),J=1,NA)
04180   30 FORMAT(3X,6F17.6)
04190   IF(K1,F0,0) GOTO 44
04200   C PRINT STAR NUMBER
04210   50 WRITE(2,45) ICAT
04220   45 FORMAT(1H7X,14HSTAR NUMBER = ,I3)
04230   TST? = ATAN2(YD(2,2),1.)
04240   TST1 = ATAN2(YD(1,2)*COS(TST2),1.)
04250   TT = ATAN2(YD(2,1),1.)
04260   DEL1 = (TST2-TT)*206264.81
04270   DEL1 = (TST2-ATAN2(YD(1,1)*COS(TT),1.)*206264.81
04280   WRITE(2,60) TST1,DEL1,TST2,DEL2
04290   60 FORMAT(3X,37HTRACKER OUTPUT ANGLES AND MEAS. EKPCF/
04300   15X,7HATIMUTH,2X,E11.4,5H RAD,3X,F10.3,
04310   27H APCSCF/6X,5HELEV,3X,E11.4,5H RAD,3X,F10.3,
04320   37H APCSCF)
04330   44 CONTINUE
04340   AT = SQRT(P(1,1) + P(3,3))*206264.81
04350   WRITE(2,39) AT
04360   39 FORMAT(26H MRT OF POINTING TRAC E = ,F10.3,9H ARC SEC.)
04370   WRITE(?,8) TIME,AT,N?
04380   8 FORMAT(?(2X,E13.6),2X,11)
04390   DTSPLAY AT
04400   C PFSTORE P MATRIX
04410   D 43 I=1,3
04420   D 43 J=1,3
04430   P(T,J)=PT(T,J)
04440   C 43 RETURN
04450   C 43 END
04460   C SUBROUTINE TPUT
04470   C READS IN AND PRINTS INITIAL VALUES, SYSTEM PARAMETERS, RUN CONTROL
04480   C CONSTANTS. AND CONVERTS SPECIFIED ANGLES TO RADIIANS
04490   C COMMON/1/NG,NDLPS,NN
04500   C COMMON/2/TIME,TC,TF,DELT,KDELT,X1(4),X1L(4),KS
A-10
COMMON/3/PTKU(12), TAU(3,6), WM(6), DRFT(6), GY(9,6)
COMMON/4/PHI(4,4), RH12(4,3), Q(7,7), GQ(4,6), WR(3,2), RP(4,4)
COMMON/5/SSV(27,2), PP(3), B(13,3,2)
COMMON/6/NTP, ICAT, YL(2,2)
COMMON/7/YD(2,2), Y(2,2), U(15), AST(3,3,2), STR(3,2)
COMMON/P/P(7,7), R(2,2), AK(8,2), HMAT(2,7)
DIMENSION MSV(4,32), C(3,3), T(3)

05050 NN=NNI+3
05060 P(I,J)=0, I=1, NN
05070 P(J,J)=0, J=1, NN
05080 C READ INPUT FLAGS DEFINING TYPE OF RUN AND PRINT OPTIONS
05100 C READ(I,2) NTK, NG
05110 2 FORMAT(11)
05120 C READ(I,3) NELP, KSKP
05130 3 FORMAT(13)
05150 C READ NOMINAL STAR TRACKER TRANSFORMATION MATRIX
05160 DO 20 K=1, NTR
05170 20 READ(1,4) ((AST(I,J,K), J=1,3), I=1,3)
05180 4 FORMAT(F9,6)
05200 C READ STAR SENSOR PARAMETERS
05210 DO 24 J=1, NTR
05220 24 READ(I,6) (SSV(I,J), I=1,21)
05230 24 READ(I,5) (SSV(I,J), I=22,27)
05240 5 FORMAT(F9,3)
05250 C READ GYR PARAMETERS
05260 DO 25 J=1, NG
05270 25 READ(I,6) (G(I,J), I=1,9)
05280 C READ ESTIMATED INITIAL RATES
05300 DO 27 I=1,3
05310 27 READ(I,6) PP(I)
05330 6 FORMAT(F9,6)
05340 C READ INITIAL 4 PARAMETER ATTITUDE
05360 24 CONTINUE
05370 C READ INITIAL AND FINAL TIMES AND INTEGRATION STEP SIZE
05380 C READ(I,6) TO, TFE, DELT
05390 C READ FORM COVARIANCE INITIAL DIAGONAL ELEMENTS
05400 C READ(I,6) PP(I,1,1)
05410 DO 29 I=1, NN
05420 29 READ(I,6) P(1,1)
05470 C
TEMPORARY INPUT PRINT ROUTINE

WRITE(?,?)

WRITE(?,7) MIRING,NDIR

WRITE(?,?) (GY(I,J),I=1,9)

WRITE(?,10)

WRITE(?,?) (TX(I),I=1,9)

WRITE(?,10)

WRITE(?,?) (.SSV(I,J)=SSV(24,J)*CF

WRITE(?,?) (.SSV(I,J)=SSV(24,J)*CF

INITIALIZE PROBLEM TIME

TIME = TO

WRITE(?,15)

WRITE(?,15)

CONVERT ANGLES TO RADIANS

CF = 4.*PI*1306E-06

CFSQ = CF*CF

D = 190 J=1,10

SSV(24,J)=SSV(24,J)*CF

SSV(25,J)=SSV(25,J)*CF

CONTINUE

CONTINUE

J=1,45
GY(I,J) = GY(I,J) * CF

---

GY(T,J) = GY(T,J) * CF

---

CALL INITIAL
CALL PRNT(0)
CALL PRNT INITIAL STATE VECTOR
CALL TPNS
CALL XPNT
RETURN

---

SUBROUTINE ZERO

INITIALIZES STATE TRANSITION MATRICES PHI1 AND PHI2 AFTER EACH FILTER UPDATE

COMMON/LNG,NDLP,NN1
COMMON/?,TIME,T0,TF,DELT,KDELT,X1(4),X1L(4)
COMMON/X,PHI1(4,4),PHI2(4,3),O(7,7),Q(4,4),WR(3,2),RP(4,4)

---

OBTAIN FILTER UPDATED VALUES OF EULER PARAMETERS FOR NEXT FILTER

OPERATION

DO 21 I=1,4
   X1L(I)=X1(I)

SET PHI1 ELEMENTS EQUAL TO ZERO

DO 22 J=1,4
   PHI1(I,J)=0.

22 CONTINUE

SET PHI2 ELEMENTS EQUAL TO ZERO

DO 23 J=1,NN1
   PHI2(I,J)=0.

23 CONTINUE

SET PHI1 DIAGONAL ELEMENTS EQUAL TO ONE

PHI1(T,T)=1.

CONTINUE

SET O MATRIX COMPUTATION INTERVAL COUNTER TO ZERO

KDELT=0

SET C MATRIX ELEMENTS TO ZERO

CONTINUE

DO 10 I=1,7
   10 O(I,J)=0.

RETURN
SUBROUTINE INITIAL

COMMON/1/NG, NDELP, NN1
COMMON/2/TIME, T0, TFD, DEL1, KDEL1, X1(4), X1L(4)
COMMON/3/PMU(12), TAU(3, 6), WM(6), DRFT(6), GY(9, 6)
COMMON/4/PH1(4, 4), PH2(4, 3), Q(7, 7), Q0(4, 6), WR(3, 2), RP(4, 4)
COMMON/5/SSV(27, 2), PP(3), B(3, 3, 2)
COMMON/6/NTP, ICAT, NO, YL(2, 2)
COMMON/8/D(7, 7), R(2, 2), AK(8, 2), HMAT(2, 7)
DIMENSION TP1(3, 3), TP2(3, 3), TP3(3, 12), TP4(6, 3)

COMPUTATION WEIGHTING MATRIX, TAU

DON 12 I = 1, NG
DON 12 J = 1, 3

12 TP4(I, J) = (1 + GY(2, I)) * GY(3, J)

DON 10 I = 1, 3
DON 10 J = 1, 3
DON 10 K = 1, NG

DON 10 CONTINUE

DEL = TP1(I, 1) * TP1(2, 2) * TP1(3, 3) + TP1(I, 2) * TP1(2, 3) * TP1(3, 1)

I + TP1(1, 3) * TP1(2, 1) * TP1(3, 2) - TP1(1, 3) * TP1(2, 2) * TP1(3, 1)

DEL = TP1(1, 1) * TP1(2, 3) * TP1(3, 2) - TP1(1, 2) * TP1(2, 1) * TP1(3, 3)

DL = 1.0

TP2(I, 1) = (TP1(2, 2) * TP1(3, 3) - TP1(3, 2) * TP1(2, 3)) * DL
TP2(I, 2) = (TP1(3, 2) * TP1(1, 3) - TP1(1, 2) * TP1(3, 3)) * DL
TP2(I, 3) = (TP1(1, 3) * TP1(2, 3) - TP1(2, 1) * TP1(3, 3)) * DL
TP2(2, 1) = (TP1(3, 1) * TP1(2, 3) - TP1(2, 1) * TP1(3, 3)) * DL
TP2(2, 2) = (TP1(1, 1) * TP1(3, 3) - TP1(1, 3) * TP1(3, 1)) * DL
TP2(2, 3) = (TP1(2, 1) * TP1(1, 3) - TP1(1, 1) * TP1(2, 3)) * DL
TP2(3, 1) = (TP1(2, 1) * TP1(3, 2) - TP1(3, 1) * TP1(2, 2)) * DL
TP2(3, 2) = (TP1(3, 1) * TP1(1, 2) - TP1(1, 3) * TP1(2, 2)) * DL
TP2(3, 3) = (TP1(1, 1) * TP1(2, 2) - TP1(2, 1) * TP1(1, 2)) * DL

DON 15 I = 1, 3
DON 15 J = 1, NG
TAU(I, J) = 0.
DON 1 K = 1, 3
TAU(I, J) = TAU(I, J) + TP2(I, K) * TP4(J, K)
DON 15 CONTINUE
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06930    20   I=1,NG
06960    20   J=1,2
06990 20   OPST(I)=GY(I,1)
06960    30   I=1,3
06960    30   WP(I,1)=WP(I,2)=PP(I)
06990 C   COMPUTE INITIAL GYRUPACK PARAMETERS, PIMU
07000    DO   I=1,3
07000    40   PIMU(I)=0.
07000    60   CONTINUE
07010    DO   J=1,NG
07010    40   PIMU(J)=PIMU(J)+TAU(I,J)*(1.+GY(2,J))*GY(I,J)
07040    40   CONTINUE
07050 40   PIMU(I+1)=PIMU(I+1)-1.
07060 40   CONTINUE
07070 L=3
07090    DO   J=1,3
07100    L=L+1
07110    DO   K=1,NG
07120    DO   K=1,NG
07130    DO   K=1,NG
07140    60   CONTINUE
07150    60   CONTINUE
07170 C   COMPUTE TAU=TAU-T*SIG-SO, FOP, USE IN Q
07180    DO   55 J=1,NG
07180    70   TP3=SQRT(GY(7,J)**2+100.00*DEL+GY(9,J)**2) /100.*DEL
07220    70   TP(I,J+6)=TAU(I,J)*GY(E,J) *SQRT(100.*DEL)
07320   65   TP(I,J)=TAU(I,J)*TP3
07230    DO   70 J=1,3
07240    70   QT(I,J)=0.
07290 70   QT(I,J+3)=QT(I,J+3)+TP(I,K)*TP(J,K)*2500.*DEL*DEL
07370 C   CONVERT UPPER LEFT 3 BY 3 OF P MATRIX TO EULER SYMMETRIC
07380 C   PARAMETER FOR, ORIGINAL P ASSUMED DIA: IAL.
07390 71=P(1,1)
07400 72=P(2,1)
07410 73=P(3,1)
07420 74=P(1,2)=P(2,1)=25*(11-22)*X1(4)*X1(3)*X1(1)*X1(2)**2
07430 74=P(1,2)=P(2,1)=25*(11-22)*X1(4)*X1(3)*X1(1)*X1(2)**2
07440 74=P(1,3)=P(3,1)=25*(11-22)*X1(4)*X1(3)*X1(1)*X1(2)**2

A-15
07450 \( P(3,3) = 0.0 (41*1(1) + Z2*X1(14)) + 2*Z3*1(1)**2 + Z3*X1(1)**2 \)
07460 \( P(3,3) = 0.0 (12-23)*X1(14) - 1*X1(2)*1(3) \)
07470 \( P(3,3) = 0.0 (41*1(2) + 2*Z2*X1(14)) + 2*Z3*1(1)**2 + Z3*X1(1)**2 \)
07600 OPTION
07610 END
07620 C
07630 C
07640 C SUBROUTINE CHK(TBL)
07650 COMMON/N, NG, NDEL, NKL1
07660 COMMON/T, DEL, DELT, KDEL, X1(4), X1(4)
07670 COMMON/5, SV(27, 2), PP(3), B(3, 3, 2)
07680 COMMON/6, TP, ICA, N0, YL(2, 2)
07690 COMMON/7, YD(2, 2), Y(2, 2), U(15), AT(3, 3, 2), STR(3, 2)
07700 DIMENSION TP(3), A(3, 3)
07710 T=0
07720 CP=10
07730 40 I=I+1
07740 IF(I.GT.3) GO TO 100
07750 C FORM STAP I INERTIAL DIR, CS.
07760 CALL STAPDC(SIR(1, 1), I, TBL)
07770 C FORM STAP DIR, CS IN STA AXES
07780 DO 50 K=1, 3
07790 U(K)=0
07800 DO 50 J=1, 3
07810 50 U(K)=U(K)+B(K, J, 1)*STR(J, 1)
07820 C FORM MFAS RESIDUAL
07830 F=0 (Y(1, 7) + U(11)/U(2))**2 + (Y(2, 2) - U(3)/U(2))**2
07840 C IF(PF, GT, CP) GL TL 40
07850 C
07860 ICAT=T
07870 GO TO 40
07880 10C CONTINUE
07890 YL(1, 1)=YL(1, 2)=Y(1, 1)=YD(1, 1)=YD(1, 2)=Y(1, 2)
07900 YL(2, 1)=YL(2, 2)=Y(2, 1)=YD(2, 1)=YD(2, 2)=Y(2, 2)
07910 RETURN
07920 END
07930 C
07940 C SUBROUTINE STAPDC(C, ISTK, TBL)
07950 COMMON/2, T, TG, TP, DEL, KDEL, X1(4), X1(4)
07960 COMMON/5, SV(27, 2), PP(3), B(3, 3, 2)
07970 COMMON/6, TP, ICA, N0, YL(2, 2)
07980 COMMON/7, YD(2, 2), Y(2, 2), U(15), AT(3, 3, 2), STR(3, 2)
07990 DIMENSION C(3), TP(3, 3), KN(3), G(3)
07990 C DEFINE STAR U1K. COS. IN LAB(STA) AXES
08000   TP(1,1)=1.
08010   TP(1,2)=TP(1,3)=0.
08020   TP(2,1)=.9999902
08030   TP(2,2)=2.424E-6
08040   TP(2,3)=4.41567E-3
08050   TP(3,1)=.9999986
08060   TP(3,2)=-2.424E-6
08070   TP(3,3)=-1.530493E-3
08080   CALL TPMS
08090 C Form mtrpror outward normal
08100 C TBL=0 assumfs normal along X2
08110   RN(1)=-SIN(TBL)
08120   RN(2)=COS(TBL)
08130   R3(3)=0.
08140 C Form input to STA in lab axes using reflection formula
08150   T1=RN(1)*TP(ISTR,1)+RN(2)*TP(ISTR,2)+RN(3)*TP(ISTR,3)
08160   DO 10 I=1,3
08170  10 D(I)=TP(ISTR,1)-2.*T1*RN(I)
08180 C Form lab inertial attitude
08190 CALL L4PATT
08200 C Convert to inertial coord.
08210   DO 20 J=1,3
08220  20 C(I)=C(I)+D(J)*D(J)
08230   RETURN
08240 END
08250 C
08260 C
08270 C
08280 C
08290 C
08300 C
08310 C
08320 BTA=1.-COS(7.27220522E-5*TIME)
08330 P1=0.
08340 P2=0.9998941
08350 R3=5.57791899
08360 AL=SIN(7.27220522E-5*TIME)
08370 R(1,1,?)=1.-BTA*(R2**2+R3**2)
08380 R(1,2,?)=AL*R3+BTA*R1*R2
08390 R(1,3,2)=-AL*R2+BTA*R1*R3
08400 R(2,1,2)=-AL*R1+BTA*R3*R2
08410 C
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OE410
R(2, 3, 2) = A1 * R1 + BTA * K2 * R3
R(3, 1, 2) = A1 * K2 + BTA * R1 * R3
R(3, 2, 2) = -A1 * K1 + BTA * K2 * R3
R(3, 3, 2) = 1 - BTA * (R1 * R2 + R2 * R3)
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE GYCK
COMMON /1/ MG, NDEL, PNL
COMMON /2/ TAU(3,6), WM(6), DRFT(6), GY(9,6)
COMMON /3/ PIMU(12), TAU(3,6), WM(6), DRFT(6), GY(9,6)
COMMON /4/ PHI1(4,4), PHI2(4,3), Q(7,7), GQ(4,6), WR(3,2), RP(4,4)
DIMENSION TP(3)

PROVIDES ESTIMATED S/C RATES BASED UPON COMPENSATED GYROS.

SHIFT PREVIOUS RATE DATA BACK ONE STEP
WR(I,2) = WR(I,1)

COMPUTE NEW GYRO PACKAGE RATE ESTIMATE
TP(I) = PIMU(I)
DO 10 J = 1, MG
TP(I) = TP(I) + IAU(I,J) * WM(J)

10 CONTINUE
L = 3
DO 20 I = 1, 3
WP(I,1) = TP(I)
DO 20 J = 1, 3
L = L + 1
DO 20 J = 1, 3
WR(I,1) = WR(I,1) - PIMU(L) * TP(J)
20 CONTINUE
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE ATT
PERFORMS NUMERICAL INTEGRATION TO DETERMINE SPACECRAFT ATTITUDE
AS REPRESENTED BY EULER SYMMETRIC PARAMETERS

COMMON /1/ TIME, TG, IF, DELT, KDEL, XL(4), XL(4)
COMMON /2/ PHI1(4,4), PHI2(4,3), Q(7,7), GQ(4,6), WR(3,2), RP(4,4)
DIMENSION T(5), TP(3)
DO 20 I = 1, 3
20 TP(I) = .5 * (WP(I,1) + WR(I,2))
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**Program Description**

This program computes the state transition matrices **Phi1** and **Phi2** for a given input. The program Flow Chart is depicted below:

1. **Compute S(0) for use in RP Matrix**
   - \( T(2) = (T(1) \times 2 + T(2) \times 2 + T(3) \times 2) \times \Delta T \times 2 / 4 \)
   - \( T(1) = \cos(T(1)) \)
   - \( T(3) = T(1) / (2 \times T(1)) \)
   - If \( ARS(T(1)) \leq 1 \times 10^{-20} \) go to 6
   - \( T(3) = \sin(T(1)) \)
   - \( T(3) = T(3) / (2 \times T(1)) \)

2. **Form RP Matrix for Propagating Euler Parameters**
   - \( RP(1, 2) = T(3) \times T(3) \times \Delta T \)
   - \( RP(1, 3) = -T(3) \times T(3) \times \Delta T \)
   - \( RP(1, 4) = T(3) \times T(3) \times \Delta T \)
   - \( RP(2, 3) = RP(1, 3) \)
   - \( RP(3, 4) = RP(1, 3) \)

3. **Propagate Euler Parameters**
   - \( RP(1, 2) = RP(1, 2) \times x(1) \)
   - \( RP(1, 3) = RP(1, 3) \times x(1) \)

4. **Normalize Euler Parameters**
   - \( T(5) = \sqrt{T(1)^2 + T(2)^2 + T(3)^2 + T(4)^2} \)
   - \( X(1) = T(1) / T(5) \)

5. **Call PHI**

6. **Propagate Euler Parameters**
   - \( RP(1, 2) = RP(1, 2) \times X(1) \)
   - \( RP(1, 3) = RP(1, 3) \times X(1) \)

7. **Normalize Euler Parameters**
   - \( T(5) = \sqrt{T(1)^2 + T(2)^2 + T(3)^2 + T(4)^2} \)
   - \( X(1) = T(1) / T(5) \)

8. **Return**

**Program Output**

The program computes the state transition matrices **Phi1** and **Phi2** for a given input. The output format is as follows:

- **Phi1** and **Phi2**
- **Phi1** and **Phi2**
- **Phi1** and **Phi2**
- **Phi1** and **Phi2**
- **Phi1** and **Phi2**
- **Phi1** and **Phi2**

**Program Variables**

- \( T(1), T(2), T(3), T(4) \)
- \( \Delta T \)
- \( ARS(T(1)) \)
- \( X(1) \)
- **Phi1**
- **Phi2**

**Program Logic**

The program logic is as follows:

1. **Compute S(0)**
   - Calculates \( T(2) \) using the given formula.
   - Computes \( T(1) \) using the \( \cos \) function.
   - Calculates \( T(3) \) using the formula \( T(3) = T(1) / (2 \times T(1)) \).
   - Checks if \( ARS(T(1)) \leq 1 \times 10^{-20} \)
   - If true, goes to 6.
   - Otherwise, calculates \( T(3) \) using the \( \sin \) function.
   - Calculates \( T(3) \) using the formula \( T(3) = T(3) / (2 \times T(1)) \).

2. **Form RP Matrix**
   - Forms the RP matrix using the calculated values of \( T(1), T(2), T(3), T(4) \).

3. **Propagate Euler Parameters**
   - Propagates the calculated values using the RP matrix.

4. **Normalize Euler Parameters**
   - Normalizes the propagated values using the calculated values of \( T(5) \).

5. **Call PHI**
   - Calls the PHI subroutine for further processing.

6. **Propagate Euler Parameters**
   - Propagates the calculated values using the RP matrix.

7. **Normalize Euler Parameters**
   - Normalizes the propagated values using the calculated values of \( T(5) \).

8. **Return**
   - Returns the final values of **Phi1** and **Phi2**.

**Program Subroutines**

- **Compute S(0)**
- **Form RP Matrix**
- **Propagate Euler Parameters**
- **Normalize Euler Parameters**
- **Call PHI**
DIMENSION W(4), T1(3,12), PK(4,3), PHI2T(4,12), TP1(7,7), TP2(7,7)

C

COMPUTE PHI1

DO 10 J=1,4

DO 11 I=1,4

W(I)=0.

DO 11 K=1,4

W(I)=W(I)+PP(I,K)*PHI1(K,J)

CONTINUE

DO 10 J=1,4

PHI1(I,J)=W(I)

CONTINUE

C

COMPUTE PHI2

DO 42 I=1,4

DO 42 J=1,4

PHI2T(I,J)=0.

DO 42 K=1,4

PHI2T(I,J)=PHI2T(I,J)+RP(I,K)*PHI2(K,J)

CONTINUE

DO 14 I=1,3

PK(I,T)=X1(4)

CONTINUE

PK(1,?)=X1(3)

PK(1,3)=X1(2)

PK(2,3)=X1(1)

PK(2,1)=X1(3)

PK(3,1)=-X1(2)

PK(3,2)=X1(1)

PK(4,1)=-X1(1)

PK(4,2)=-X1(2)

PK(4,3)=-X1(3)

DO 30 T=1,3

DO 31 J=1,3

T1(I,J)=0.

T1(T,T)=-1.

J=1

DO 19 J = 1,3

DO 22 I=1,4

TZ = 0.

DO 23 K=1,3

T7 = T7+PK(T,K)*T1(K,J)

CONTINUE

23 CONTINUE

23 CONTINUE

PHI2(T,J1) = PHI2T(I,J1)+TZ
10310 22 CONTINUE
10320 J1=J1+1
10330 19 CONTINUE
10350 C UPDATE C TF TIME IS APPROPRIATE
10360 KDEL=KDEL+1
10370 IF(KDEL.LT.100) GO TO 50
10380 KDEL=0
10390 DN 55 I=1,4
10400 DN 55 J=1,3
10410 TP2(I,J)=0.
10420 DN 55 K=1,3
10430 55 TP2(I,J)=TP2(I,J)+PK(I,K)*Q0(K,J)
10440 DN 60 I=1,4
10450 DN 60 J=1,4
10460 TP1(I,J)=0.
10470 DN 60 K=1,3
10480 60 TP1(I,J)=TP1(I,J)+TP2(I,K)*PK(J,K)
10490 DN 61 I=5,7
10500 DN 61 J=1,4
10510 61 TP1(I,J)=TP1(J,1)=0.
10520 DN 62 T=5,7
10530 DN 62 J=5,7
10540 62 TP1(I,J)=Q0(I-4,J-1)
10550 T9=PP(T9)*2+RP(3,1)*2+RP(4,1)*2
10560 T9=SOR(T9)**2+10000.T9)
10570 DN 63 T=1,4
10580 DN 64 J=1,4
10590 RO(I,J)=PP(T9)*100.
10600 64 RO(T+3,J)=0.
10610 DN 65 J=1,3
10620 RO(T,J+4)=DELK*PK(I,J)*50.
10630 65 RO(T+3,J+4)=0.
10640 RO(T+3,J+3)=1.
10650 RO(T,T)=T9
10660 63 CONTINUE
10670 DN 66 T=1,7
10680 DN 66 J=1,7
10690 TP2(I,J)=0.
10700 DN 66 K=1,7
10710 66 TP2(I,J)=TP2(I,J)+PK(I,K)*RC(J,K)
10720 DN 67 T=1,7
10730 DN 67 J=1,7
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\[ O(I, J) = TP1(I, J) \]

\[ \forall \ 67 \ K = 1,7 \]

\[ O(I, J) = O(I, J) + RC(I, K) * TP2(K, J) \]

\[ 50 \text{ CONTINUE} \]

\[ \text{C PHIP MUST STILL BE MULTIPLIED BY DELT/2 IN PKFIL} \]

\[ \text{RETURN} \]

\[ \text{END} \]

\[ \text{SUPROUTINE QMAT} \]

\[ \text{FORM THE STATE NOISE COVARIANCE MATRIX} \]

\[ \text{COMMON/I/NC, NDEL, NN1} \]

\[ \text{COMMON/4/PHI1(4, 4), PHI2(4, 3), Q(I, 7), QO(4, 6), WR(3, 2), RP(4, 4)} \]

\[ \text{DIMENSION TP1(7, 7)} \]

\[ \text{SUPROUTINE QMAT} \]

\[ \text{PROPERLY PACK Q MATRIX} \]

\[ \text{DO 10 } T = 1, 7 \]

\[ \text{DO 10 } J = 1, 7 \]

\[ \text{TP1(I, J) = Q(I, J)} \]

\[ J3 = 3 \]

\[ \text{DO 15 } I = 1, 3 \]

\[ J1 = 3 \]

\[ \text{DO 17 } J = 1, 3 \]

\[ J1 = J1 + 1 \]

\[ Q(I, J1) = Q(J1, I) = TP1(I, J + 4) \]

\[ \text{CONTINUE} \]

\[ J3 = J3 + 1 \]

\[ J2 = 3 \]

\[ \text{DO 16 } J = 1, 3 \]

\[ J2 = J2 + 1 \]

\[ Q(J3, J2) = TP1(I + 4, J + 4) \]

\[ \text{CONTINUE} \]

\[ \text{CONTINUE} \]

\[ \text{RETURN} \]

\[ \text{END} \]

\[ \text{SUPROUTINE TRAC} \]

\[ \text{COMPILTS TRACKER OUTPUT ANGLES FROM ATTITUDE AND} \]

\[ \text{TRACKER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER} \]

\[ \text{COMMON/P/TTME, IO, TF, ULLT, KDEL, XL(4), X1L(4)} \]

\[ \text{COMMON/5/SSV(27, 2), RP(3), B(3, 3, 2)} \]

\[ \text{COMMON/6/NTP, ICA1, NO, YL(2, 2)} \]
11260  COMMON/7/Y(l,1),Y(l,2),U(15),AST(3,3),STK(3,1)
11270  COMMON/T(3),T(3),T(3)
11280  YL(1,1)=Y(1,1)
11290  YL(2,1)=Y(2,1)
11300  CALL TPS
11400  C COMPUTE TRACKER TRANSFORMATION RELATIVE TO ECI
11410  DO 90 J=1,3
11420  DO 90 K=1,3
11430  C(I,J)=C0
11440  CONTINUE
11450  C(I,J)=C(I,J)+AST(I,K)*B(K,J,1)
11460  CONTINUE
11470  C COMPUTE STAR DIRECTION COSINES IN TRACKER AXES
11480  DO 90 J=1,3
11490  U(J)=C(I,J)+STR(2,1)+C(I,3)*STK(3,1)
11500  CONTINUE
11620  U(4)=U(1)/U(2)
11630  U(5)=U(3)/U(2)
11640  U(6)=U(4)*U(5)
11650  U(7)=U(4)**2
11660  U(8)=U(5)**2
11820  C COMPUTE TRACKER OUTPUT IF IT HAD INFINITE BANDWIDTH (INTERVAL AVE.)
11830  Y(l,1)=-U(4)+U(5)*SSV(1,N0)+U(5)*SSV(2,N0)
11840  Y(l,2)=U(5)-U(8)*SSV(1,N0)+U(4)*SSV(2,N0)
11850  Y(l,3)=U(6)*SSV(3,N0)
11870  C INCLUDE TRACKER DYNAMIC EFFECTS (HI BY TRACKER)
11880  Y(l,1)=Y(l,1)-SSV(22,N0)*7.272205E-5
11890  RETURN
11900  END
11910  C
11920  C
11930  SUBROUTINE TACFL1
11940  COMMON/TIME,TO,TF,DELT,DEL1,XT(L4),XL(4)
11950  COMMON/SSV(7,2),PP(3),B(3,3,2)
11960  COMMON/NTR,ICAT,NO,YL(2,2)
11970  COMMON/YD(2,2),Y(2,2),U(15),AST(3,3,2),STK(3,2)
11980  COMMON/T(3),T(3)
11990  C DDOVTNE FNILLED TRACKER LUTPUT
12000  C1=SSV(6,N0)/DELT
12010  C2=SSV(7,N0)/DELT
12020  P(1)=C0**(-1./C(1))
12030 R(2)=EXP(-1.*/C(2))
12040 DO 10 K=1,2
12050 DO 10 T=1,2
12060 16 YD(I,K)=YP(T,K)*R(I)+(1.-C(I))*(1.-R(I))*Y(I,K)
12070 1+(C(I)*(1.-P(I))-R(I))*YL(I,K)
12080 RETURN
12090 END
12100 C
12110 C
12120 SUBROUTINE PREFIL
12130 C CONVERTS 4-PARAMETER ATTITUDE SYSTEM TO 3 PARAMETERS AND MODIFIES
12140 C STATE TRANSITION MATRIX
12150 COMMON/I/NG,NDLP,NN
12160 COMMON/2/TIME,TO,TF,DEL,DEL,T,XI(4),XIL(4)
12170 COMMON/3/PMU(12),TAU(3,6),WM(6),DRFT(6),GY(9,6)
12180 COMMON/4/PHI1(4,4),PHI2(4,3),Q(7,7),QQ(4,6),WR(3,2),RP(4,4)
12190 COMMON/5/SSV(27,2),PP(3),B(3,3,2)
12200 COMMON/6/NTR,ICAT,NO,YL(2,2)
12210 COMMON/7/YD(2,2),Y(2,2),U(15),AST(3,3,2),STR(3,2)
12220 COMMON/8/P(7,7),R(2,2),AK(6,2),HMAI(2,7)
12230 DIMENSION W(3)
12240 W(1)=XIL(1)/XIL(4)
12250 W(2)=XIL(2)/XIL(4)
12260 W(3)=XIL(3)/XIL(4)
12270 DO 10 I=1,3
12280 DO 10 J=1,3
12290 PHI1(I,J)=PHI1(I,J)-PHI1(I,4)*W(J)
12300 10 CONTINUE
12310 DO 12 I=1,3
12320 DO 12 J=1,MM1
12330 PHI2(I,J)=PHI2(I,J)*DELT/2.
12340 12 CONTINUE
12350 RETURN
12360 END
12370 C
12380 C
12390 SUBROUTINE HMATX
12400 C COMPUTES MEASUREMENT MATRIX
12410 COMMON/1/NG,NDLP,NN
12420 COMMON/2/TIME,TO,TF,DEL,DEL,T,XI(4),XIL(4)
12430 COMMON/6/NTR,ICAT,NO,YL(2,2)
12440 COMMON/7/YD(2,2),Y(2,2),U(15),AST(3,3,2),STR(3,2)
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COMMON/P/P(7,7),R(2,2),AK(6,2),HMAT(2,7)

DIMENSION T(3),11(4,4),T1(3,4)

DIMENSION TA(2,4)

DO 130 T=1,4

TT(T,T)=0.

12800 130 CONTINUE

12810 TT(1,2) = -STR(3,1)

12820 TT(1,3) = STR(2,1)

12830 TT(1,4) = STK(1,1)

12840 TT(2,3) = -STR(1,2)

12850 TT(2,4) = STK(2,1)

12860 TT(3,4) = STR(3,1)

12870 TT(2,1) = -TT(1,2)

12880 TT(3,1) = -TT(1,3)

12890 TT(3,2) = -TT(1,4)

12900 TT(4,1) = TT(1,3)

12910 TT(4,2) = TT(2,4)

12920 TT(4,3) = TT(3,4)

12930 T(1) = -X1(1)/X1(4)

12940 T(2) = -X1(2)/X1(4)

12950 T(3) = -X1(3)/X1(4)

12960 DO 160 T=1,3

12970 DO 160 J=1,3

12980 TT(T,J) = TT(I,J)+STR(I,1)*T(J)

12990 160 CONTINUE

13000 DO 165 I=1,3

13010 T1(I,1) = (-AST(I,1,NO)*X1(4)+AST(I,2,NO)*X1(3)

13020 1-AST(I,1,NO)*X1(2))*.2.

13030 T1(I,2) = (-AST(I,1,NO)*X1(3)-AST(I,2,NO)*X1(4)

13040 1+AST(I,2,NO)*X1(1))*.2.

13050 T1(I,3) = (AST(I,1,NO)*X1(2)-AST(I,2,NO)*X1(1)

13060 1-AST(I,2,NO)*X1(4))*.2.

13070 T1(I,4) = (-AST(I,1,NO)*X1(1)-AST(I,2,NO)*X1(2)

13080 1-AST(I,3,NO)*X1(3))*.2.

13090 165 CONTINUE

13100 DO 166 K=1,4

13110 T1(1,K)=T1(1,K)/U(2)-T1(2,K)*U(1)/U(2)**2

13120 T1(2,K)=T1(2,K)*U(1)/U(2)**2-T1(3,K)/U(2)

13130 166 CONTINUE

13140 DO 169 J=1,3

13150 HMAT(1,J)=0.

13160 HMAT(2,J)=0.
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13170 DO 190 K=1,4
13180 PHM(1,J)=HMA(1,J)+TA(1,K)*TT(K,J)
13190 HMA(1,J)=HMA(1,J)+TA(1,K)*TT(K,J)
13200 190 CONTINUE
13210 RETURN
13220 END
13230 C
13240 C
13250 C SUBROUTINE PHM
13260 C FORMS MEASUREMENT NOISE COVARIANCE MATRIX
13270 C COMMON/5/SSV(27,2)*P(3,3)*B(3,3,2)
13280 C COMMON/6/NTSTAR(2,2)
13290 C COMMON/7/PH(7,7),R(2,2),AK(8,2),HMA(2,7)
13300 C
13310 C P(1,1)=SSV(7,7)*42
13320 C P(2,2)=SSV(7,7)*42
13330 RETURN
13340 END
13350 C
13360 C
13370 C SUBROUTINE FILT
13380 C USING KALMAN FILTER, EWS., COMPUTES FILTER GAINS, AK, AND PROPAGATES
13390 C ERROR COVARIANCE MATRIX, P
13400 C COMMON/1/NC,NDFS,NN1
13410 C COMMON/3/PTM(12),TAU(3,6),K(6,6),DRF(6),GY(9,6)
13420 C COMMON/4/PH(4,4),PHI(4,4),Q(7,7),R(4,4),UR(3,2),RP(4,4)
13430 C COMMON/5/SSV(27,2)*P(3,3)*B(3,3,2)
13440 C COMMON/R(7,7),R(2,2),AK(8,2),HMA(2,7)
13450 C DIMENSION TA(7,7),TB(7)
13460 C COMPUTE ADDITIONAL CONSTANTS FOR PARTITIONING MATRICES
13465 NN2=NN1
13470 NN3=NN1-NN2
13480 N=NN1+9
13490 M=2
13500 CALL SPMUL(PHI1,1,1,3,3,P,1,1,3,TA,1,1,4,7,7)
13510 CALL SPMUL(PHI2,1,1,3,NN2,P,4,1,3,PN,1,4,7,7)
13520 CALL SPMADD(TA,1,1,3,TA,1,1,3,3,3,7,7,7)
13530 CALL SPMADD(PHI1,1,1,3,3,P,1,1,NN2,TA,1,4,4,7,7)
13540 CALL SPMADD(PHI2,1,1,3,NN2,P,4,1,4,4,NN2,1,4,4,7,7)
13550 CALL SPMADD(TA,1,4,4,P,1,4,4,1,4,3,NN2,7,7,7)
13560 CALL SPMUL(PHI1,1,1,3,3,P,1,4+NN2,NN3,TA,1,4+NN2,4,7,7)
13570 CALL SPMUL(PHI2,1,1,3,NN2,P,4,4+NN2,NN3,P,1,4+NN2,4,7,7)

A-26
CALL SPMA'E(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)

CALL SP'MADD(I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8, I9, I10)
SUBROUTINE SMLMAUL(A,IMA,INA,MA,NA,B,IMB,INB,NC,IMC,INC,IRA,IRB,IPCR)

C=A*R WITH INIT. ARRAY ELEMENTS SPECIFIED

DIMENSION A(49),B(49),C(49)

DO 5 T=1,MA

IPIMC = I+IMC
IPIMA = I+IMA

IF (NR.LT.1) GO TO 6

DO 5 K=1,NR

KPINC = K+INC
KPINP = K+INB

TC = TPIMC-1+(KPINC-2)*IRC

C(TC) = 0.

IF (NA.LT.1) GO TO 6

DO 5 J=1,NA

JPINA = J+INA
JPIMB = J+IMB

TA = IPIMA-1+(JPINA-2)*IRA
IP = JPIMB-1+(KPINB-2)*IRB

C(TC) = C(TC)+A(TA)*B(IP)

5 C(TC) = C(TC)+A(TA)*B(IP)

RETURN

END

END

SUBROUTINE SMLAUL(A,IMA,INA,RA,IMB,INB,NC,IMC,INC,IRA,IRB,IPCR)

C=A*R WITH INIT. ARRAY ELEMENTS SPECIFIED

DIMENSION A(49),B(49),C(49)
SUBROUTINE SRMTRA(A,IMA,INA,M,N,B,IMB,INB,IRA,IRB)
DIMENSION A(49),B(49)

B=A-TRANSPOSE WITH INIT. ARRAYS ELEMENTS SPECIFIED
TF (N,LT,1) GO TO 6

SUBROUTINE PGFIL
UPDATES ATTITUDE VARIABLES AND OTHER SYSTEM ELEMENTS OF THE
STATE VECTOR

COMMON/T/MG,DELFP,N,1
COMMON/7/T/Y(2,2),Y(2,2),U(15),AS1(3,3),SIN(3,2)
COMMON P/S(7,7), K(2,2), AK(2,2), HMAT(2,7)
DIMENSION W(2), T(2)
W(1)=Y(1,2)-YU(1,1)
W(2)=Y(2,2)-YU(2,1)
COMPUTE CORRECTIONS FOR EACH SYSTEM PARAMETER OF STATE VECTOR
DO 11 T=1,PM1
W(3) = AK(T+3,1)*W(1)+AK(T+3,2)*W(2)
PIMU(I)=PIMU(I)+W(3)
11 CONTINUE
COMPUTE CORRECTIONS FOR EACH ATTITUDE VARIABLE
DO 9 I=1,3
T(I)=AK(I,1)*W(1)+AK(I,2)*W(2)
9 CONTINUE
UPDATE ATTITUDE VARIABLES
X1(4)=X1(4)-(X1(1)*T(1)+X1(2)*T(2)+X1(3)*T(3))/X1(4)
DO 10 I=1,3
X1(I)=X1(I)+T(I)
10 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
C
C
APPENDIX B

DATA ANALYSIS PROGRAM LISTING FOR THE GIMBAL SYSTEM TEST
00100 PROGRAM PADS (INPUT, OUTPUT, TAPE1, TAPE2, TAPE4, TAPE5)
00110 COMMON/1/NG, NDEL, N1
00120 COMMON/2/TIME, TO, TF, DELT, KDEL, X1(4), X1L(4), KSP
00130 COMMON/7/PT, U(12), TAU(3,6), WR(6), DF(6), GY(9,6)
00140 COMMON/8/PH1(4,4), PH2(4,3), Q(7,7), QO(4,6), WR(3,2), RP(4,4)
00150 COMMON/9/SSV(27,2), PP(3), B(3,3,2)
00160 COMMON/6/NTR, ICAT, NQ, YL(2,2)
00170 COMMON/7/Y’(2,2), Y(2,2), U(15), AST(3,3,2), STR(3,2)
00180 COMMON/9/P(7,7), R(12,2), AK(6,2), HMA(3,7)
00190 DIMENSION GYDAT(500,14), GX1(14), GX2(14), MSTR(20)
00192 C KTYPE = 0 - PEAL LAB 
00193 C KTYPE = 1 - GYROS REDRIENTED;
00194 C KTYPE = 2 - INFAL GYROS
00200 DATA KTYPE/0/
00203 C MSTR(I) DENOTES WHETHER THE I TH VISIBLE STAR WILL BE USED
00204 C FOR FILTERING; IF SO, MSTR(I)=1
00205 DATA (MSTR(I), I=1,17)/1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1
00206 5 FORMAT(X,7HKTYP = ,11/)  
00210 1 CONTINUE
00212 M7=3
00220 C PREAD TAPES
00230 PREAD 1
00240 PREAD 2
00250 PREAD 4
00255 PREAD 5
00256 PREAD(2,5) KTYPE
00260 C EFFECTIVELY ELIMINATE THE FIRST DATA LINE
00280 READ(4,2) (GYDAT(I,J), J=1,14)
00310 NM1=3
00320 C READ IN AND PRINT OUT INITIAL VALUES, SYSTEM PARAMETERS AND RUN
00330 C CONTROL CONSTANTS
00340 CALL INPUT
00350 C INITIALIZE COUNTERS AND FLAGS
00360 NXC=KPP=NF=0
00370 TCY=500
00375 MT=KKF=0
00380 NO=1
00390 READ(4,2) (Gx1(J), J=1,14)
00400 C BEGINNING OF FILTER LOOP
00410 C INITIALIZE STATE TRANSITION MATRICES PHI1 AND PHI2
00420 15 CONTINUE
00440 CALL FON
00460 20 CONTINUE
INDEX, THEN TEST FOR WHETHER NEW FLIGHT GYRO DATA SHOULD BE READ

IF(CTY=CY4) GOTO 23

IF(CTY=1) CY=1

DO 24 I=1,500

READ(4,2) (GX2(J), J=1,14)

DLT=GX2(1)-GX1(1)

GYDAT(I,1)=GX1(1)

DO 17 K=2,4

GYDAT(I,K)=(GX2(K)-GX1(K))/DLT*4.8481368E-6

IF(KTYP,FO,C) GOTO 25

IF(KTYP,FO,1) GOTO 26

GYDAT(I,2)=4.66164026E-5

GYDAT(I,3)=4.4945700E-5

GYDAT(I,4)=4.57512760E-5

GO TO 25

CONTINUE

GYDAT(I,2)=GYDAT(I,2)+4.26794875E-9

GYDAT(I,3)=GYDAT(I,3)+2.1157588E-6

GYDAT(I,4)=GYDAT(I,4)+1.97941384E-5

CONTINUE

DO 18 K=5,14

GYDAT(I,K)=GX1(K)

DO 19 K=1,14

GX1(K)=GX2(K)

IF(ENG,FO,4) ?3,24

CONTINUE

FORMAT 3, TS BELS KYS TAPE FORMAT

FORMAT(X, F10.3, F12.1), F3, X, F11.1, X, 2(F7.1, X),

14(F5.1, X)

FORM TIME AND INTEGRATION STEP

CONTINUE

DELT=GYDAT(TGY,1)-TIME

TIME=GYDAT(TGY,1)

DO 33 I=J,NC

WM(T)=GYDAT(1GY,1+1)

TEST FOR END OF RUN

TF(TIME,LT,TF) GOTO 43

STOP

CONTINUE

ADVANCE STATE PRINT COUNTER

NXC=NXC+1

B-4
06950 C  COMPUTE ESTIMATED SPACECRAFT BODY ANGULAR RATES
06960    CALL GYPCK
06970 C  COMPUTE ESTIMATED SPACECRAFT ATTITUDE
06980    CALL ATT
06990 C  TIME TO PRINT STATE
07000 IF(NXC.LT.NDEL.) 44,81
07010     61 CONTINUE
07020 C  FROM ESTIMATED SPACECRAFT ATTITUDE DIRECTION CUSINE MATRIX
07030    CALL TPSNS
07040 C  PRINT ESTIMATED STATE
07050    CALL X1PRINT
07100 NXC=0
07150 C  IF KPP=1, THIS PRINT FOLLOWED A FILTER OPERATION
07160 IF(KPP.EQ.0) 46,44
07170     48 KPP=0
07180     GO_TO 15
07190     44 CONTINUE
07230 C  TEST IF TRACKER DATA IS PRESENT
07240 IF(GYDAT(TGY,5).GT.0.5) 60,92
07250     52 NF=-KSPK
07260     KKF=0
07270     GO TO 20
07300 60 CONTINUE
07305 IF(KKF.EQ.1) GO TO 20
07310 IF(GYDAT(TGY,5).GT.1.5) GO TO 601
07312 MT=MT+1
07315 DV1=GYDAT(TGY,13)
07320 DV2=GYDAT(TGY,14)
07340 601 CONTINUE
07350 NF=NF+1
07360 IF(NF.LT.1) GO TO 20
07362 C  TEST WHETHER THIS STAR IS ACCEPTABLE
07364 IF(*STR(MT).NE.1) GO TO 52
07370   TU=GYDAT(TGY,7)+DV2
07380   TV=GYDAT(TGY,8)+DV1
07390   YL(1,2)=Y(1,2)
07400   YL(2,2)=Y(1,2)
07410   YL(2,1)=Y(1,2)
07420   Y(1,?) = - TU*4.64e13e1E-6
07430   Y(2,?) = TV*4.64e13e1E-6
07440   YG=GYDAT(TGY,5)*Y(4,0)*4.64e136E1E-6
07450 C  AIR REFLECTING TABLE ANGLE COMPUTATION
07500 TRL=GYDAT(TGY,9)*4.64e136E1E-6
01530 IF(MF.EQ.1) GO TO 62
01540 62 CALL STRCK(YG)
01550 CONTINUE
01560 C COMPUTE MEANSTAR DIRECTION
01570 CALL RARC(STK(1,1),ICAT,TBL)
01580 C COMPUTE ESTIMATE TRACKER OUTPUT
01590 CALL TRAC(YG)
01600 CALL TPEGFT
01610 IF(MF.LT.10) GO TO 20
01615 KKF=1
01620 C START FILTERING
01630 C COMPUTE TRACKER MEASUREMENT RESIDUAL NORM
01640 W1=SQRT((YD(1,2)-YD(1,1))**2+(YD(2,2)-YD(2,1))**2)
01650 C DOES IT FALL WITHIN AN ALLOWABLE ERROR
01660 IF(W1.LT.1) GO TO 70
01670 72 PRINT 3, W1
01680 3 FORMAT(20HMEASUREMENT ERROR IS ,2X,E13.6,6H, PUNT)
01690 STOP
01700 70 CONTINUE
01701 X09=0.
01705 WRITE(5,4) TIME,W1,X09,47
01706 4 FORMAT(3(2X,E13.6),2X,11)
01710 CALL XPNT
01720 NF=-KSKP
01730 C FORM STATE NOISE ERROR COVARIANCE MATRIX
01740 CALL QMAT
01750 C ALTER STATE TRANSITION MATRIX TO DEAL WITH 3 RATHER THAN 4
01760 C ATTITUDE VARIABLES
01770 CALL PPFL
01780 C PROPAGATE STATE ERROR COVARIANCE MATRIX
01790 CALL FILT
01795 CALL PPNT(1)
01800 C FORM MEASUREMENT MATRIX
01810 CALL HMAT
01820 C FORM MEASUREMENT NOISE COVARIANCE MATRIX
01830 CALL RMAT
01840 C APPLY KALMAN ALGORITHM
01850 CALL KFILT
01860 C UPDATE STATE
01870 CALL POFIL
01880 C ADVANCE FILTER COUNTER
01890 MPC=MPC+1
01900 C TIME TO PRINT STATE ERROR COVARIANCE MATRIX
01910 IF(NPC-NFP) 12,20,80
01920 &C CONTINUE
01930 CALL PRINT(1)
01940 NFR=1
01950 G0 TO 81
01960 END
01970 C
01980 C
01990 SUBROUTINE TRNS
02000 C COMPUTE DIRECTION COSINES OF SPACECRAFT ATTITUDE RELATIVE TO
02010 C ATTITUDE REFERENCE AXES (ECI COORDINATES)
02020 COMMON/2/TIME,10,TF,DELT,DEL,DEL,TX1(4),TXL(4)
02030 COMMON/5/SSV(27,2),PP(3),B(3,3,2)
02040 DIMENSION T(4,4)
02050 C TRANSFORM FULTER SYMMETRIC PARAMETERS TO DIRECTION COSINES RELATIVE
02060 C TO ECI AXES
02070 DO 10 T = 1,3
02080 T(I,J) = X1(J)
02090 10 CONTINUE
02100 T(1,2)=X1(3)
02110 T(1,3)=-X1(2)
02120 T(1,4)=X1(1)
02130 T(2,3)=X1(1)
02140 T(2,4)=X1(2)
02150 T(3,4)=X1(3)
02160 T(2,1) = -T(1,2)
02170 T(3,1) = -T(1,3)
02180 T(3,2) = -T(2,3)
02190 T(4,1) = T(1,4)
02200 T(4,2) = T(2,4)
02210 T(4,3) = T(3,4)
02220 T(4,4) = -X1(4)
02230 DO 40 I=1,3
02240 DO 40 J=1,3
02250 A(I,J,1)=0.
02260 DO 40 K=1,4
02270 A(I,J,1)= 3(I,J,1) + T(I,K) * T(K,J)
02280 40 CONTINUE
02290 RETURN
02300 END
02310 C
02320 C           SUPERCUTNE XMTNT
03100 C           PPMENTS ESTIMATED STATE VECTUP AND S/C ATTITUDE MATRIX
03120 COMMON/1,4,NDLPl,NNL
03130 COMMON/2/TTME,TO,TF,DELT,DELKDDELX1(4),X1L(4)
03140 COMMON/3/PMTUL(12),TAA(3,6),WM(6),DRFT(6),GY(9,6)
03150 COMMON/5/SUS(27,2),PP(3),B(3,3,2)
03160 DTMNSTMN C(3,3),D(4)
03170 CA=206264,101
03175 NY=1
03180 C           PRINT HEADING AND TIME
03190 WRITE(?30) TIME
03200 CALL LAPATT.
03210 DO 60 I=1,3
03220 DO 60 J=1,3
03230 CI(1, J)=0.
03240 DO 60 K=1,3
03250 DO 60 CI(1, J)=CI(1, J)+B(1, K, 1)*B(J, K, 2)
03260 DO (1)=CA*C(2,3)
03270 DO (2)=CA*C(3,1)
03280 DO (3)=CA*C(1,2)
03290 D(4)=SMTPLT(12)*2+D(3)**2
03300 C           DISPLAY TIME,D(4),D(1)
03310 C           PRINT ESTIMATED EULER PARAMETERS AND S/C AND LAB ATTITUDE MATRIX
03320 WRITE(2,20) (I,X1(1)),B(I,1,1),B(1,2,1),B(1,3,1)
03330 WRITE(2,22) X1I4),D(4)
03340 WRITE(5,23) TIME,D(1),N7
03350 C           PRINT HEADING
03360 WRITE(?50)
03370 DC 45 T=1,KN1
03380 PMU1A=CA*PMU1A
03390 WRITE(?71) J,PMU1A
03400 C           PRINT HEADING
03410 WRITE(?50)
03420 DC 45 T=1,KN1
03430 PMU1A=CA*PMU1A
03440 WRITE(?71) J,PMU1A
03450 CONTINUE
03490 C           PRINT HEADING
03500 FORMAT(22,26) SPACECRAFT ATTITUDE RELATIVE TO EC1 AXES//X,
03510 23HHESTIMATED SPACECRAFT ATTITUDE RELATIVE TO EC1 AXES//X,
03520 23HHESTIMATED SPACECRAFT ATTITUDE RELATIVE TO EC1 AXES//X,
03530 31HHESTIMATED SPACECRAFT ATTITUDE RELATIVE TO EC1 AXES//X,
03540 20HHESTIMATED GYK BIAS VECTOR/}
03550 22HHESTIMATED GYK BIAS VECTOR/}
03560 50HHESTIMATED GYK BIAS VECTOR/}
03570 B-8
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COMM
FORMAT(19H,5UX,9HP MATRIX)
04136   DD 22 I=1,9
04140   WRITE(2,3) I
04150   3 FORMAT(/FX,4H0k ,.12/)
04160   C PRINT P MATRIX
04170  20 WRITE(2,30) (P(I,J),J=1,NA)
04180  30 FORMAT(3X,6F17.6)
04190   TF(K1,F0.0) GOTO 49
04200   C PRINT STAB NUMBER
04210   50 WRITE(2,45) ICAT
04220   45 FORMAT( / 7X,14HSTAR NUMBER = ,I3)
04230   TST1=YP(2,2)
04240   TST2=YP(1,2)
04250   DFL1=1(TST1-YP(2,1))206264,61
04260   DFL2=1(TST2-YP(1,1))206264,61
04280  60 Format(3X,3X,HTRACKER OUTPUT ANGLES AND MEAS. ERROR/
04290  15X,7HAZIMUTH,2X,E11,4,5H RAD.,3X,F10,3;
04300  27H APCSFC/6X,5HELEV,3X,E11,4,5H RAD.,3X,F10,3;
04310  37H ARCSFC)
04320  44 CONTINU
04330  444 AT=SQRT(P(2,2) +P(3,3))206264,61
04350  39 WRITE(2,39) AT
04360  39 Format(26H SORT OF POINTING Trace = ,F10,3,9H ARC SEC.)
04361  391 AT=SQRT(P(1,1))206264,61
04382  391 WRITE(2,391) AT1
04383  3911 Format(X,17HboxSIGHT ERROR = ,F10,3,9H ARC SEC.)
04385  391 WRITE(5,9) TIME,AT,AT1,N7
04366  39 Format(3(2X,E13.6)),2X,I1)
04370  8 DISPLAY AT,AT1
04380  C RESTOR P MATRIX
04390  DD 43 I=1,3
04400  DD 43 J=1,3
04410  43 P(T,J)=PT(T,J)
04420  43 RETURN
04430  END

SUBROUTINE INPUT
04440   C READS IN AND PRINTS INITIAL VALUES, SYSTEM PARAMETERS, RUN CONTROLL
04450   C CONSTANTS, AND CONVERTS SPECIFIED ANGLES TO RADIANS
04460   COMMON/I/NC,NDPR,N1
COMMON/R/TIME,IG,TF,DELT,KDELT,X1(4),X1L(4),KSKP
COMMON/R/P/TPU(12),TAU(3,6),WM(6),DRFT(6),GY(4,6)
COMMON/R/PHT(4,4),PHI(12,4,3),Q(7,7),C0(4,6),WR(3,2),RP(4,4)
COMMON/R/SV(2,7,2),PP(3),B(3,3,2)
COMMON/R/6/NTP,ICAT,NU,YL(2,2)
COMMON/R/7/Y(2,2),Y(2,2),U(15),AST(3,3,2),STR(3,2)
COMMON/R/P/P,P(7,7),R(2,2),AK(6,2),HMAT(2,7)
DIMENSION NSV(4,32),C(3,3),T(3)

04970 0 112 I=1,NN
04980 0 112 J=1,NN
05000 112 P(I,J)=0,I,J)=0.
05090 C READ INPUT FLAGS DEFINING TYPE OF RUN AND PRINT OPTIONS...
05100 2 FORMAT(11)
05120 3 FORMAT(13)
05150 C READ NOMINAL STAR TRACKER TRANSFORMATION MATRIX
05160 DD 20 K=J,MTR
05170 20 PEFAD(1,4) ((AST(I,J,K),J=1,3),I=1,3)
05180 4 FORMAT(F9.6)
05200 C READ STAR SENSORS PARAMETERS
05210 DD 24 J=1,NN
05230 24 PEFAD(1,5) (SSV(I,J),I=1,9)
05240 5 FORMAT(F9.3)
05250 C READ GYPC PARAMETERS
05260 DD 25 J=1,NG
05270 25 READ(1,6) (GY(I,J),I=1,9)
05280 C READ ESTIMATED INITIAL RATES
05300 DD 27 I=1,3
05310 27 PEFAD(1,6) PP(I)
05330 6 FORMAT(F12.6)
05340 C READ INITIAL 4 PARAMETER ATTITUDE
05350 4 FORMAT(F12.6)
05360 22 CONTINUE
05370 C READ INITIAL AND FINAL TIMES AND INTEGRATION STEP SIZE
05380 6 FORMAT(F12.6)
05390 C READ ERFD COVARIANCE INITIAL DIAGONAL ELEMENTS
05400 C READ UNITS FOR PaU, SEC
05410 DD 2P J=1,NN
05420 28 PEFAD(1,6) P(I,I)
TEMPORARY INPUT PRINT ROUTINE

05500 WRITE(2,17)
05510 FORMAT(1H1)
05520 WRITE(2,7) NT,N,NG,NDELP
05540 FORMAT(3X,I3)
05560 DO 31 J=1,NG
05570 WRITE(2,8) (G(I,J),I=1,9)
05580 FORMAT(3X,F10.3)
05590 WRITE(2,10)
05600 DO 32 J=1,NTR
05620 WRITE(2,9) (AST(I,J,K),J=1,3),I=1,3
05630 WRITE(2,10)
05640 DO 34 J=1,NTR
05670 WRITE(2,11) (SSV(I,J),I=1,9)
05680 WRITE(2,10)
05690 WRITE(2,10)
05700 DO 35 J=1,9
05720 WRITE(2,9) P(I)
05730 CONTINUE
05740 WRITE(2,10)
05760 WRITE(2,8) (X1(I),I=1,4)
05770 WRITE(2,10)
05780 DO 37 K=1,NN
05790 WRITE(2,8) P(K,K)
05800 WRITE(2,10)
05810 WRITE(2,9) T0,TF,DELT
05820 WRITE(2,10)
05880 C
05890 C INITIALIZE PROBLEM TIME
05900 TIME = TN
05910 FORMAT(1H1)
05920 WRITE(2,15)
05930 C CONVERT ANGLES TO RADIANS
05940 CF = 4.81306E-06
05950 CF0 = CF*CF
05970 DO 39 J=1,NTR
05980 DO 171 J=1,7
05990 SSV(I,J)=CF*SSV(I,J)
06000 CONTINUE
06020 CONTINUE
GY(1, J) = GY(1, I) * CF
DN 135 T = 7, 9
GY(I, J) = GY(I, J) * CF
135 CONTINUE
CALL INITIAL
PRINT FRPM COWARIANCE MATRIX
CALL PPNT(0)
PRINT INITIAL STATE VECTOR
CALL TPS
CALL XPNT
RETURN
END

SURPUTIME ZERO
INITIALIZES STATE TRANSITION MATRICES PHI1 AND PHI2 AFTER EACH...
FILTER UPDATE
COMMON/I/NG, NDELP, NN1
COMMON/2/TIME, TO, TF, DELT, KDELT, XL(4), XM(4)
COMMON/4/PHI1(4, 4), PHI2(4, 3), Q(7, 7), QQ(4, 6), WR(3, 2), RP(4, 4)
PRINT UPDATED VALUES OF EULER PARAMETERS FOR NEXT FILTER
OPERATION
DN 21 T = 1, 4
DO 22 J = 1, 4
PHI1(I, J) = 0.
22 CONTINUE

SET PHI1 ELEMENTS EQUAL TO ZERO

DN 23 J = 1, NN1
DO 24 I = 1, NN1
PHI2(I, J) = 0.
23 CONTINUE

SET PHI2 ELEMENTS EQUAL TO ZERO

SET PHI1 DIAGONAL ELEMENTS EQUAL TO ONE

SET Q MATRIX COMPUTATION INTERVAL COUNTER TO ZERO

KDELT = 0
SET Q MATRIX ELEMENTS TO ZERO
DN 10 T = 1, 7
DN 10 J = 1, 7
10 C(I, J) = 0.
RETURN
06930 DO 20 I=1,NG
06940 20 DO T=1,3
06950 END
06960 30 WP(I,1)=WP(I,2)=PP(I)
06970 C COMPUTE INITIAL GYRPACK PARAMETERS, PIMU
06980 DO 40 T=1,3
06990 40 PIMU(I)=0.
07000 DO 40 J=1,NG
07010 PIMU(I)=PIMU(I)+TAU(I,J)*(1.+GY(2,J))*GY(1,J)
07020 CONTINUE
07030 L=3
07040 DO 50 I=1,3
07050 DO 60 J=1,3
07060 L=L+1
07070 PIMU(I)=.
07080 DO 60 K1=1,NG
07090 PIMU(L)=PIMU(L)+TAU(I,K1)*(1.+GY(2,K1))*GY(3+J,K1)
07100 CONTINUE
07110 PIMU(4*I)=PIMU(4*I)-1.
07120 CONTINUE
07130 C COMPUTE TAU*TAU-1*SIG-SQ FOR USE IN G
07140 DO 65 J=1,NG
07150 TP3=SQRT(GY(I,J)**2*100.00*DELT+GY(9,J)**2) /100.*DELT
07160 DO 65 T=1,3
07170 TP(T+J)=TAU(I,J)*GY(8,J) *SQRT(100.*DELT)
07180 TP(T,J)=TAU(I,J)*TP3
07190 DO 70 T=1,3
07200 DO 70 J=1,3
07210 Q0(I,J)=0.
07220 DO 70 I=1,3
07230 DO 70 J=1,3
07240 Q0(I,J)=0.
07250 DO 70 I=1,3
07260 DO 70 J=1,3
07270 K=1,NG
07280 DO 70 K=1,NG
07290 Q0(I,J+3)=Q0(I,J)+TP(I,K+6)*TP(J,K+6)
07300 DO 70 K=1,NG
07310 Q0(I,J+3)=Q0(I,J)+TP(I,K)*TP(J,K)*2500.*DELT*DELT
07320 C CONVFRP UPPER LEFT 3 BY 3 OF P MATRIX TO EULER SYMMETRIC
07330 C PARAMETER FORM, ORIGINAL P ASSUMED DIAGONAL.
07340 Z1=P(1,1)
07350 Z2=P(2,2)
07360 Z3=P(3,3)
07370 P(1,1)=.25*(Z1*Z1(4)**2+Z2*Z2(1)**2+2*Z3*Z3(1)**2)
07380 P(1,2)=P(2,1)=.25*((4-Z2)*Z1(4)*Z1(3)-Z3*Z3(1)*Z1(2))
07390 P(1,3)=P(3,1)=.25*((2-Z1)*Z1(4)*Z1(2)-2*Z2*Z2(1)*Z1(3))
07400 P(2,3)=.25*(Z2*Z2(1)**2+Z1*Z1(4)**2+2*Z3*Z3(1)**2)
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SUBROUTINE LABATT
COMMON/2/TIME,1Q,IF,DELT,KDFT,LX(4),XL(4)
COMMON/5/SSV(272),PP(3),B(3,3,2)
RTA=1.-COS(7.2720522E-5*TIME)
PI=0.
R2=9.55779941
R3=0.55779949
AL=9IN(7.2720522E-5*TIME).
R(1,1,2)=1.-RTA*(R2**2+R3**2)
R(1,2,2)=AL*R3+RTA*R1*R2
R(1,3,2)=AL*R2+BTA*(R1*R3)
R(2,1,2)=AL*R3+RTA*R1*R2
R(2,2,2)=AL*K1+RTA*K2*R3
R(2,3,2)=AL*K2+RTA*K1*R3
R(3,1,2)=AL*R2+BTA*R1*R3
R(3,2,2)=AL*R1+BTA*R2*R3
R(3,3,2)=1.-RTA*(R1**2+R2**2).
RETURN
FINI

SUBROUTINE GYPK
COMMON/1/N,NDLF,N1
COMMON/2/PK1U(12),TAU(3,6),WM(6),DRFT(6),GY(9,6)
COMMON/4/PH1(4,4),PHI2(4,3),G(7,7),C04,3),W(3,2),RP(4,4)
DIMENSION TP(3)
POO ViFS ESTIMATED S/C RATES BASED UPON COMPENSATED GYROS.

N 10 T=1,3
SFTFT PREVIOUS RATE DATA BACK ONE STEP
WP(I,J)=WP(I,J+1)
COMPUTE NEW GYRC PACKAGE RATE ESTIMATE
TP(T)=-DIMU(I)
N 10 J=1,NS
TP(T)=TP(T)+1AU(I,J)*WM(J)
SUBROUTINE ATT

PERFORMS NUMERICAL INTEGRATION TO DETERMINE SPACECRAFT ATTITUDE

AS REPPRESENTED BY EULER SYMMETRIC PARAMETERS

COMMON/TIMT,TF,DELT,DEL,TX1(4),TX1L(4)

COMMON/4PHI1(4,4),PHI2(4,3),Q(7,7),QO(4,6),WR(3,2),RP(4,4)

DIMENSION T(5),TP(3)

DO 20 I=1,3
20 TP(T)=.5*(WP(I,1)+WR(I,2))

COMPUTE S(0) FOR USE IN RP MATRIX

T(2)=(TP(1)**2+TP(2)**2+TP(3)**2)*DELT**2/4,

T(1) = SORT(T(2))
T(2) = COS(T(1))
T(3) = S.

IF(ARS(T(1)) .LE. 1.E-20) GO TO 6
T(3) = -TNI(T(1))
T(3) = T(3) / (.5, T(1))

6 CONTINUE

FORM RP MATRIX FOR PROPAGATING EULER PARAMETERS

PP(1,2)=T(3)*TP(3)*DELT
PP(1,3)=T(3)*TP(2)*DELT
PP(1,4)=T(3)*TP(1)*DELT

PP(2,2)=TP(1,4)
PP(2,3)=-RP(1,3)
PP(2,4)=RP(1,3)

PP(3,2)=PP(1,2)
PP(3,3)=-PP(1,2)
PP(3,4)=PP(1,2)

PP(T,T)=-T(?)
PP(T,J)=PP(J,T)

10 CONTINUE

CALL PHI
C PROPAGATE EULER PARAMETERS

09550 0 0
09560 0D 12 T=1,4
09570 0D T(1)=0.
09580 0D 12 J=1,4
09590 0D T(1)=T(I)+PP(I,J)*X1(J)

09600 12 CONTINUE

C NORMALIZE EULER PARAMETERS

09610 0D T(5) = SQRT(T(1)**2+T(2)**2+T(3)**2+T(4)**2)
09620 0D T(5) = T(1)**2+T(2)**2+T(3)**2+T(4)**2
09630 0D T(I)=T(I)/T(5)

09640 14 CONTINUE

09650 0D RETURN

09660 0D END

C SUBROUTINE PHI

09670 0D COMPUTES THE STATE TRANSITION MATRICES PHI1 AND PHI2

09680 0D COMMON/I/NC,ND,DELTA,NN1

09690 0D COMMON/2/TTM1,T0,TF,DELTA,KDELTA,X1(4),X1(4)

09700 0D COMMON/4/PHI1(4,4),PHI2(4,4),Q(7,7),O(4,6),P(3,2),R(4,4)

09710 0D COMMON/5/PHI1(4,4),PHI2(4,4),Q(7,7),O(4,6),P(3,2),R(4,4)

09720 0D COMMON/6/PHI1(4,4),PHI2(4,4),Q(7,7),O(4,6),P(3,2),R(4,4)

09730 0D DIMENSION W(4),T1(3,12),PT(4,3),PHI1(4,12),TP1(7,7),TP2(7,7)

09740 0D DIMENSION W(7,7)

09750 0D COMMON PHI

09760 0D COMMON PHI

09770 0D COMMON PHI

09780 0D 10 J=1,4

09790 0D 10 J=1,4

09800 0D 10 J=1,4

09810 0D 10 J=1,4

09820 0D 10 J=1,4

09830 11 CONTINUE

09840 0D 10 J=1,4

09850 0D 10 J=1,4

09860 10 CONTINUE

09870 0D COMPUTE PHI

09880 0D 42 T=1,4

09890 0D 42 J=1,NN1

09900 0D 42 J=1,NN1

09910 0D 42 T=1,4

09920 0D 42 T=1,4

09930 42 PHI2(T,J)=PHI2(T,J)*PHI2(K,J)

09940 0D 14 T=1,3

09950 0D P(T,J)=Y(4)

09960 14 CONTINUE

09970 0D P(1,4)=-Y(1,3)
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09980 PK(1,3)=X1(2)
09990 PK(4,3)=-X1(1)
10000 PK(9,1)=X1(2)
10010 PK(3,1)=-X1(2)
10020 PK(9,2)=X1(1)
10030 PK(4,1)=-X1(1)
10040 PK(4,2)=-X1(2)
10060 PK(4,3)=-X1(3)
10060 PII 10 I=1,3
10070 PII 1 J=1,3
10080 T1(I,J)=0.
10090 T1(T,J)=-1.
10210 JI=1
10220 01 19 J = 1,3
10220 02 19 I = 1,4
10220 TZ = 0.
10270 02 23 K = 1,3
10280 TZ = TZ+PK(T,K)*T1(K,J)
10290 23 CONTINUE
10300 PHI2(I,J1) = PHI2(I,J1)+TZ
10310 22 CONTINUE
10320 J1=J1+1
10330 19 CONTINUE
10350 C UPDATE Q IF TIME IS APPROPRIATE
10360 IF(KDEL=KDEL+1
10370 IF(KDEL.LT.100) GO TO 50
10380 KDEL=0
10390 01 55 I=1,4
10400 01 55 J=1,3
10410 TP2(I,J)=0.
10420 02 55 K=1,3
10430 55 TP2(I,J)=TP2(I,J)+PK(I,K)*QO(K,J)
10440 02 60 T=1,4
10450 04 60 J=1,4
10460 TP1(I,J)=0.
10470 04 60 K=1,3
10480 60 TP1(T,J)=TP1(T,J)+TP2(I,K)*PK(J,K)
10490 05 61 T=1,7
10500 05 61 J=1,4
10500 61 TP1(I,J)=TP1(J,I)=0.
10520 05 42 I=1,7
10530 05 62 J=1,7
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10970  J1=J1+1
10980  P(I,J1)=Q(I,J1)=TP1(I,J+4)
10990  CONTINUE
11010  J3=J3+1
11020  J4=3
11030  DO 16 J=1,3
11050  J2=J2+1
11060  Q(J3,J2)=TP1(I4+1,J+4)
11070  CONTINUE
11080  RETURN
11100  RETURN
11140  RETURN
11170  END

SURFOUTHE TRAC(Y6)

COMPUTES TRACKER OUTPUT ANGLES FROM ATTITUDE AND TRACKER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

COMMON/2/TTM,TO,TF,DELT,KDELT,X1(4),X1L(4)
COMMON/3/SSV(27,2),PP(3),B(3,3,2)
COMMON/6/NTR,ICAT,NO,YL(2,2)
COMMON/7/YD(2,2),Y(2,2),U(15),AST(3,3,2),STR(3,2)
COMMON/8/T(5),C(3,3),TT(3)

11300  CALL TRNS

11400  COMPUTE TRACKER TRANSFORMATION RELATIVE TO INERTIAL COORD
11410  DO 80 I=1,3
11420  DO 80 K=1,3
11430  C(I,J)=0.
11440  DO 80 K=1,3
11450  C(I,J) =C(I,J)+AST(I,K,NO)*B(K,J,1)
11460  80 CONTINUE

11470  COMPUTE STAR DIRECTION COSINES IN TRACKER AXES
11480  DO 90 I=1,3
11490  U(I) = C(I,1)*STR(1,1)+C(I,2)*STR(2,1)+C(I,3)*STR(3,1)
11500  90 CONTINUE

11630  U(5)=SQRT(1.-U(1)**2)
11820  COMPUTE TRACKER OUTPUT IF IT HAV INFINITE BANDWIDTH (INTERVAL AVE.)
11830  Y(1,1)=-(U(1)+SIN(YG))/U(5)-(SSV(4,1)+SSV(5,0))
11840  Y(2,1)=U(3)-(SSV(3,1)+U(5)*SSV(1,1)-U(1)*SSV(2,1))

11890  RETURN
END

SURROUNTE THCFI'F

COMMON/P/TIME,TU,TF,DELT,KDELT,X1(4),X1L(4)

COMMON/E/SSV(27,2),PP(3),B(3,3,2)

COMMON/6/MPR,ICAT,NO,YL(2,2)

COMMON/Y/N(2,2),Y(2,2),U(19),AST(3,3,2),STR(3,2)

DIMENSION C(2),K(2)

SURROUNTE FILTERED TRACKER OUTPUT

C(1)=SSV(R,N)/DELT

C(2)=SSV(R,N)/DELT

R(1)=EXP(-1./C(1))

R(2)=EXP(-1./C(2))

10 YD(I,K)=YD(I,K)*R(I)+(1.-C(I)*(1.-R(I)))*Y(I,K)

10+C(I)*(1.-R(I))=Y(I,K)

RETURN

END

SURROUNTE PRFIL

CONVERTS 4-PARAMETER ATTITUDE SYSTEM TO 3 PARAMETERS AND MODIFIES

STATE TRANSITION MATRIX

COMMON/1/NG,NOELP,N11

COMMON/P/TIME,TU,TF,DELT,KDELT,X1(4),X1L(4)

COMMON/3/PIMU(12),TAU(3,6),KM(6),DRFT(6),GY(9,6)

COMMON/4/PHT1(4,4),PH12(4,3),PH17(7,7),UO(4,0),WR(3,2),RP(4,4)

COMMON/5/SSV(27,2),PP(3),B(3,3,2)

COMMON/6/MPR,ICAT,NO,YL(2,2)

COMMON/Y/N(2,2),Y(2,2),U(19),AST(3,3,2),STR(3,2)

COMMON/8/PIMU(7,7),R(2,2),AK(3,2),HMAT(2,7)

DIMENSION W(3)

W(I)=X1L(I)/X1L(4)

W(2)=X1L(2)/X1L(4)

W(3)=X1L(3)/X1L(4)

PH11(I,J)=PH11(I,J)+PH11(I,J)*W(J)

CONTINUE

10 CONTINUE

10 1? T=1,3
13040  1+AST(I,3,N0)*X1(I(l))*2.
13050  T1(I*3) = (AST(I,1,N0)*X1(2)-AST(I,2,N0)*X1(1)
13060  1-AST(I,2,N0)*X1(4))*2.
13070  T1(I*4) = (-AST(I,1,N0)*X1(1)-AST(I,2,N0)*X1(2)
13080  1-AST(I,3,N0)*X1(3))*2.
13090  165 CONTINUE
13100  DO 166 K=1,4
13110  TA(1,K)=T1(I,K)/SSR1(l,-U(1)*2)
13120  TA(2,K)=-T1(3,K)
13130  CONTINUE
13140  DO 180 J=1,3
13150  HMAT(1*J)=0.
13160  HMAT(2*J)=0.
13170  DO 190 K=1,4
13180  HMAT(1,J)=HMAT(1,J)+TA(1,K)*TT(K,J)
13190  HMAT(2,J)=HMAT(2,J)+TA(2,K)*TT(K,J)
13200  CONTINUE
13210  RETURN
13220  END
13230  C
13240  C
13250  C
13260  C
13270  C
13280  C
13290  C
13300  C
13310  C
13320  C
13330  C
13340  C
13350  C
13360  C
13370  C
13380  C
13390  C
13400  C
13410  C
13420  C
13430  C
13440  C
13450  C

SURROGATING RMAT
FORMS MEASUREMENT NOISE COVARIANCE MATRIX
COMM/N/SSV(2772),PP(31B(3372)
COMM/N/NTF,IGAT,NO,YL(2,2)
COMM/N/P/P(7,7),k(2,2),AK(8,2),HMAT(2,7)
R(1,2)=P(2,1)=0.
P(1,1)=SSV(6,NO)*2
P(?2)=SSV(7,NG)*2
RETURN
END
SURROGATING FILT
USING KALMAN FILTER EQUATIONS, COMPUTES FILTER GAINS, AK, AND PROPAGATES
FORM OF COVARIANCE MATRIX, P
COMMON/1/N,NDUP,NN1
COMMON/3/PHI(12),TAU(3,6),WM(6),DRFT(6),GY(9,5)
COMMON/4/PHI(14,3),WI(7,7),WJ(4,6),WR(3,2),RP(4,4)
COMMON/P/P(7,7),P(2,2),AK(5,2),HMAT(2,7)
DIMENSION TA(7,7),TB(7)
**COMPUTE ADDITIONAL CONSTANTS FOR PARTITIONING MATRICES**

13466 \( \text{N} = \text{NN1} - \text{NN2} \)
13470 \( \text{N} = \text{NN1} + 7 \)
13490 \( \text{M} = 2 \)
13500 CALL SRMMUL (PHI1, 1, 1, 3, P, 1, 1, 3, TA, 1, 1, 4, 7, 7)
13510 CALL SMPMUL (PHI2, 1, 1, 3, NN2, P, 4, 1, 3, P, 1, 1, 4, 7, 7)
13520 CALL SMPADD (TA, 1, 1, P, 1, 1, TA, 1, 1, 3, 3, 3, 7, 7)
13530 CALL SMPMUL (PHI1, 1, 1, 3, P, 1, 4, NN2, TA, 1, 4, 4, 7, 7)
13540 CALL SMPMUL (PHI2, 1, 1, 3, NN2, P, 4, 4, NN2, P, 1, 4, 4, 7, 7)
13550 CALL SMPADD (TA, 1, 4, P, 1, 4, 3, NN2, 7, 7, 7)
13560 CALL SMPMUL (PHI1, 1, 1, 3, P, 1, 4 + NN2, NN3, TA, 1, 4 + NN2, 4, 7, 7)
13570 CALL SMPMUL (PHI2, 1, 1, 3, NN2, P, 4, 4 + NN2, NN3, P, 1, 4 + NN2, 4, 7, 7)
13580 \( \text{T1} = 4 + \text{NN2} \)
13590 CALL SMPADD (TA, 1, 1, P, 1, 1, P, 1, 1, 3, NN3, 7, 7, 7)
13600 nn 15 \( \text{T1} = 1, 3 \)
13610 nn 15 \( \text{K} = 1, 3 \)
13620 \( \text{P}(\text{I}, \text{K}) = 0, \)
13630 nn 15 \( \text{J} = 1, 3 \)
13640 \( \text{P}(\text{I}, \text{K}) = \text{P}(\text{I}, \text{K}) + \text{TA}(\text{I}, \text{J}) * \text{PHI1}(\text{K}, \text{J}) \)
13650 nn 20 \( \text{T} = 1, 3 \)
13660 nn 20 \( \text{K} = 1, 3 \)
13670 \( \text{TA}(\text{I}, \text{K}) = 0, \)
13680 nn 20 \( \text{J} = 1, \text{NN2} \)
13690 \( \text{TA}(\text{I}, \text{K}) = \text{TA}(\text{I}, \text{K}) + \text{P}(\text{I}, \text{J} + 3) * \text{PHI2}(\text{K}, \text{J}) \)
13700 CALL SMPADD (P, 1, 1, P, 1, 1, 3, 3, 3, 7, 7, 7)
13710 CALL SMPTRA (P, 1, 4, 3, NN2, P, 4, 1, 7, 7)
13720 CALL SMPTRA (P, 1, 4 + NN2, 3, NN3, P, 4 + NN2, 1, 7, 7)
13730 CALL SMPMUL (PHI1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 7, 7, 7)
13740 CALL SMPADD (P, 1, 1, Q, 1, 1, P, 1, 1, NN3, 7, 7, 7)
13750 RETURN
13760 ENTRY EFILT
13770 CALL SMPMUL (HMA1, 1, 1, M, N, P, 1, 1, N, TA, 1, 1, 2, 7, 7)
13780 CALL SMPTRA (HMA1, 1, 1, M, N, AK, 1, 1, 2, 6)
13790 CALL SMPMUL (TA, 1, 1, M, N, AK, 1, 1, M, TA, M + 1, 1, 7, 8, 7)
13800 CALL SMPADD (TA, M + 1, 1, P, 1, 1, TA, 1, 1, M, M, 7, 2, 7)
13810 C INVERSE ROUTINE FOLLOWS
13820 DET = TA(1, 1) * TA(2, 1) - TA(2, 1) * TA(1, 1)
13830 TA(1, M + 1) = TA(2, 2) / DET
13840 TA(1, M + 2) = -TA(1, 2) / DET
13850 TA(2, M + 1) = -TA(2, 1) / DET
13860 TA(2, M + 2) = TA(1, 1) / DET
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END OF INVERSE ROUTINE  

CALL SRMMUL(AK,1,1,M,TA,1,1,M,TA,1,1,5,7,7)  

CALL SRMMUL(Pi,1,1,N,TA,1,1,M,AK,1,1,7,7,8)  

AK HAS BEEN COMPUTED  

TA(I,K)=0.  

TA(I,K) = 1.+TA(I,K)  

CONTRON  

DO 55 T=1,N  

TR(K)=0.  

DO 55 J=1,N  

P(I,J)=0.5*(TA(I,J)+TA(J,I))  

P(K+1) HAS BEEN COMPUTED  

RETURN  

C  

SUBROUTINE SRMMUL(A,MA,NA,B,MB,NB,C,MC,NC,IRA,IRB,  

IMC)  

C=A*B WITH TNIT. ARRAY ELEMENTS SPECIFIED  

TRANSLATE A(49),B(49),C(49)  

DO 5 I=1,MA  

TPIMC = I+TMC  

TPIMA = I+TMA  

TF (MA,LT,1) GO TO 6  

DO 5 K=1,MB  

KPTMC = K+IMC  

KPTNR = K+TNK  

C(FC) = 0.  

TF (MA,LT,1) GO TO 6  
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SUBROUTINE SRMADD(A,IMA,INA,B,INB,C,INC,M,N,IRA,IRB,IRC)
C=A+B WITH INIT. ARRAY ELEMENTS SPECIFIED
DIMENSION A(49),B(49),C(49)
DO 5 1=1,N
5 1F (N.LT.1) GO TO 6
DO 5 5 J=1,N
5 IPIMC = I+IMC
5 JPINC = J+INC
5 JPTMA = I+IMA
5 JPTNA = J+INA
5 JPIMR = J+INB
5 JPTNB = J+INB
5 IA = JPTMA-1+(JPINNA-2)*IRA
5 IR = JPTNB-1+(JPINB-2)*IRB
5 IC = IPIMC-1+(JPINC-2)*IRC
5 C(IC) = A(IA)+B(IB)
5 6 CONTINUE
END

SUBROUTINE SRMTRA(A,IMA,INA,M,N,B,INB,IRA,IRB)
DIMENSION A(49),B(49)
DATA A=TRANSPOSE WITH INIT. ARRAY ELEMENTS SPECIFIED
DO 5 5 I=1,N
5 DO 5 5 J=1,M
5 IPIMR = I+INB
5 JPTNR = J+INB
5 JPTMA = I+IMA
5 JPTNA = J+INA
5 IA = JPTMA-1+(JPINNA-2)*IRA
5 IR = JPTNB-1+(JPINB-2)*IRB
5 IC = IPIMR-1+(JPINC-2)*IRC
5 C(IC) = A(IA)+B(IB)
5 6 CONTINUE
END
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SUBROUTINE PUFIL

UPDATES ATTITUDE VARIABLES AND OTHER SYSTEM ELEMENTS OF THE
STATE VECTOR

COMMON/I/HG, NDUP, NN

COMMON/I/P/TTME, T0, TE, DELT, KDPLI, XI(4), X1L(4)

COMMON/I/P1MU(12), TAU(3, 6), WM(6), DRFT(6), GY(9, 6)

COMMON/I/SSV(27, 2), PP(3), B(3, 3, 2)

COMMON/I/Y(2, 2), Y(2, 1), U(5), AST(3, 2), STR(3, 2)

COMMON/I/E/P(7, 7), R(2, 2), AK(8, 2), HMAT(2, 7)

DIMENSION W(3), T(3)

W(1) = Y(1, 1) - Y(1, 1)

W(2) = Y(4, 1) - Y(2, 1)

COMPUTE CORRECTIONS FOR EACH SYSTEM PARAMETER OF STATE VECTOR

DO 11 1 = 1, NN

W(3) = AK(T + 3, 1) + W(1) + AK(T + 3, 2) + W(2)

PIMU(T) = PIMU(T) + W(3)

11 CONTINUE

COMPUTE CORRECTIONS FOR EACH ATTITUDE VARIABLE

DO 9 1 = 1, 3

T(I) = AK(T, I) + W(I) + AK(T, 2) + W(2)

9 CONTINUE

UPDATES ATTITUDE VARIABLES

XI(4) = XI(4) - (XI(1) * T(I) + XI(2) * T(2) + XI(3) * T(I)) / XI(4)

DO 10 1 = 1, 3

XI(I) = XI(I) + T(I)

10 CONTINUE

RETURN

END
PROGRAM DTAPED(INPUT,OUTPUT,TAPE1)
COMMON A(300,3),N(300),LFILE
DIMENSION P(3)

I=0
10 I=I+1
READ(1,6) A(I,1),A(I,2),A(I,3),N(I)
6 FORMAT(3I5,2X,E12.6)

I=I+1
IF(IP(I),2,3)
DO 100 I=1,3
100 CONTINUE
PRINT 3,(R(I),I=1,3)
CALL ANAL(R,2,L1)
PRINT 4,(R(I),I=1,3)
CALL ANAL(R,3,L1)
DO 10 I=1,3
10 R(I)=D(T)/4.648E-6
PRINT 5,(R(I),I=1,3)
CALL ANAL(B,K,L1)
00310 5 FORMAT(14HFAS, RESIDUAL,7X,3(F5.2,3X))
00315 100 CONTINUE
00320 END

SUBROUTINE ANAL(B,K,L1)
COMMON A(300,3),N(300),LFILE
DIMENSION R(3),C(200,2)
T=0
DO 50 J=1,LFILE
50 T=M(J),NE,K) GO TO 50
I=I+1
C(I,*)=A(J,1)
C(T,?)=A(J,1)
CONTINUE
T1=T-1
S=SM=0
TO=C(T,1)-C(1,1)
SMX=C(1+2)
DO 30 J=1,T1
T=C(J+1,1)-C(J,1)
X?=C(J+1,2)
X1=C(J,2)
S=S+(X1**2+X2**2+X1*X2)*T/3.
SM=SM+**2*(X1*X2)/2.
IF(X2.LT.SMX) G0 10 30
SMX=X2
30 CONTINUE
P(1)=SM/TO
P(2)=SQRT(S/TO)
P(3)=SMX
RETURN
END
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

The IRU(OAO) is a three-axis strap-down inertial reference unit that was designed and built by the Charles Stark Draper Laboratory (CSDL) under contract with NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) for their Orbiting Astronomical Observatory. The IRU(OAO) Engineering Model was built in 1968, went through qualification testing in 1969 and was delivered to GSFC in March 1970. Since then it has been modified and used by GSFC to demonstrate various concepts.

Early in 1975 consideration was given to using the Engineering Model in a concept evaluation program to be conducted with the TRW Precision Attitude Determination System (PADS). In mid-April 1975, GSFC contracted with CSDL for the following efforts:

1. to evaluate the current performance and operating characteristics of the Engineering Model

2. to assist with the integration of the unit with the PADS if the first item shows the Engineering Model to be in satisfactory operating condition.

This report describes the first effort. Two trips were made to GSFC in support of the first item. The first trip was made from 7-10 April 1975 to investigate the modifications made by GSFC to the Engineering Model. The second trip was made from 20-25 May 1975 to take data from the system. A brief summary of both trips is included in Section 3. Section 4 contains a summary of the results for data accumulated from 20-28 May 1975. The conclusion and recommendations are reported in section 2.
2.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Engineering Model of the OAO-1RU is not operating properly. This is apparent from several system malfunctions during testing and the results of the data accumulated. Assuming, however, that the system does not degrade further, the following performance capabilities are feasible if the system is continually updated at 30 minute intervals or less.

1. Roll Axis - One sigma angle uncertainty < 1 arc-second.
2. Pitch Axis - One sigma angle uncertainty < 2 arc-seconds.

Because of abnormalities in the system data obtained (especially for the yaw axis gyro), history of system malfunctions, and the possibility of future malfunctions or failures, CSDL recommends that GSFC does not send the OAO-1RU Engineering Model to TRW for integration with the PADS system. It is believed that there is an intermittent failure either in the Precision Power Supply or Wheel Power Supply within the Electronics Package. However, identifying and correcting such a problem could be quite time consuming and unfortunately is beyond the scope of this contract.
3.0 SUMMARY OF EVENTS

The first trip was made to GSFC from 7-10 April 1975. The purpose of this trip was to investigate a possible module failure and become familiar with the modifications made by GSFC to the OAO-IRU Engineering Model. The suspect module was an MH-7 type which supplies the gyro's signal generator excitation. This module was found to be defective and was subsequently repaired by externally wiring a set of transistors to replace the defective ones within the module. This same procedure was previously used on an MH-3 type module that provides the suspension excitation. There was no apparent reason for either of these modules' demise. It is known however that the MH-3 module will self-destruct if it loses a reference frequency from the precision power supply in the electronics package. Another possible malfunction in an MD-10 type module was also noted (R-Term Pulse Generator). However, since this failure should not affect PADS testing it was not pursued. All repairs were made by GSFC technicians.

After the above repairs were made to the system, a second trip (20-23 May 1975) was made to obtain data from the IRU. The system was turned on and data acquisition was started at approximately 9 pm on 20 May 1975. At approximately 6 am on 21 May 1975 the system went into the ISTAB mode with a continuous-angle-reset commanded. This is symptomatic of a momentary loss of power. The system was reset at approximately 9 am and ran for approximately 8 hours before the same thing happened again. The power supply in the Ground Support Equipment which supplies the prime power to the IRU was then replaced and data was accumulated from Wednesday night until Thursday morning (21-22 May). No problems occurred during that period.

During Thursday the system was shut down for modifications to the IRU-PADS data interface. After those modifications were completed, the system was turned on with the IP-load panel (gyro package simulator) and the electronics package checked out. Once, while in this configuration the system went into the ISTAB mode with a continuous angle reset commanded. Also while in this mode a second anomalous behavior was observed. When the dummy wheel load was cycled on and off, the system power would frequently (approximately 50% of the time) increase by 60 watts. When this happened, the only noticeable effect was a 3 to 4 volt drop in the 45 volts which is used for the gyro torque loops. On Thursday pm (22 May) the IRU was again turned on with the Inertial Package and data was accumulated until 2:30 pm on 28 May 1975 without any further visible abnormalities.
4.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Two types of data were accumulated during the period from 20-28 May 1975.

(1) Long Term Stability data where the net number of rebalance torque pulses were calculated and recorded every 2.56 or 20.48 seconds.

(2) Noise Equivalent Angle data where each 5 millisecond rebalance pulse was recorded.

4.1 Long Term Stability Data

Three sets of long term data were recorded for each gyro. The periods over which each set of data was taken are listed below.

(1) Set 1 9 pm 20 May to 9 am 21 May 1975.
(2) Set 2 9 pm 21 May to 9 am 22 May 1975.
(3) Set 3 11 pm 22 May to 2:30 pm 28 May 1975.

Figures 1 through 3 show the results of set 1 for the roll, pitch, and yaw axis respectively. This data was processed by calculating the average rate every 71.68 seconds. Figure 4 is the output of a tiltmeter whose sensitive axis was about a NW-SE line.

These plots reveal the following:

(1) Rate impulses in the roll axis output that occur every 1/2 to 1 hour and have a magnitude of 0.2 to 0.3 meru.

(2) Several small changes in level (0.1 to 0.2 meru) and one large change of about 0.5 meru in the pitch axis.

(3) Very erratic behavior of the yaw axis with peak-to-peak changes of 1.5 meru.

(4) The tiltmeter data is quiet and well behaved thus eliminating base motion as a source of the gyro's behavior.

Figures 5 through 8 are the results of data set 2 and were processed as set 1. Again each instrument has its own unique behavior. Figure 9 through 11 are the results of data set 3. This data is a graphical presentation of the average

* This data without the presence of the rate impulses is characteristic of the performance of each instrument when the IRU is operating properly.
rate over every 675.84 seconds. The spikes in fig 10 (pitch axis) are a result of a data acquisition malfunction. This data again is consistent with that previously obtained even though no visible system malfunctions occurred. Data set 3 also shows the following long term characteristics of each instrument.

(1) The roll gyro is fairly well behaved except for the existence of rate impulses (.2 to .3 meru) occurring every 1/2 to 1 hour.

(2) The pitch gyro exhibits discrete changes in the rate output with a peak amplitude of 1 meru. These changes are very regular and have a periodicity of ~ 16 hours.

(3) The yaw gyro is extremely erratic with peak to peak changes in rate of approximately 7.5 meru.

4.2 Noise Equivalent Data

As mentioned above, this data was recorded every .005 seconds. To fulfill contractual requirements, 40 samples were summed to yield .200 second samples. This data was then processed to determine the best fit (least squares) attitude for each 30 minute segment of the data. Tables 1-3 show the peak and one sigma deviation of the .200 sec data samples from the best fit data for each 30 minute segment of the roll, pitch any yaw axis gyros respectively. These data are consistent with Long Term data; especially the erratic behavior of yaw gyro. The deviations for each 30 minute segment are graphically shown in figures 12 through 14. This data was plotted by sampling the deviation from the best fit every 36 seconds.
MINI-MIDAC OAO IMU TEST CHANNEL M1 TWX
MAY 20 TO MAY 21, 1975 RUN 055

ROLL AXIS

THE ABOVE DATA WERE PROCESSED BY SLIDING AN AVERAGING 5 SECOND CONTINUOUS DATA SAMPLES. THE APPROXIMATE FILTER CHARACTERISTICS DERIVED ARE:

- Effective Bandwidth
- HOURS

REFERENCE VALUE 53.700000
MEAN 53.734668
STIGMA .05358
TEND .01110
STIGMA/TEND .04502
AVERAGING PERIOD 71.68 SECONDS
REJECTED POINTS 198 OUT OF 852 SAMPLES
MINI 055M 1

Fig 1
THE ABOVE DATA WERE PROCESSED BY SLIDING AN AVERAGING массива S-SECOND CONTINUOUS DATA SAMPLES. THE APPROXIMATE FILTER CHARACTERISTICS DERIVED ARE:

- Effective Bandwidth $\text{rad/s}$

**Fig. 1**

**Table**: | Reference Value | Mean | Sigma | Trend | Sigma/Trend |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53.700000</td>
<td>53.734868</td>
<td>0.05359</td>
<td>0.01160</td>
<td>0.00502</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes**: Averaging Period 71.68, Rejected Points 198 out of 7676, Mini 055, Max 1.
THE ABOVE DATA WERE PROCESSED BY SLIDING AND AVERAGING 2-SECOND CONTINUOUS DATA SAMPLES. THE APPROXIMATE FILTER CHARACTERISTICS DERIVED ARE:

(-2) Effective Bandwidth 
rad/s

SKINNER K TEST LABORATORY/BEDFORD FLIGHT FACILITY
583 TEST LED 15K 5/27/75 SV
THE ABOVE DATA WERE PROCESSED BY SLIDING AND AVERAGING 5 SECOND CONTINUOUS DATA SAMPLES. THE APPROXIMATE FILTER CHARACTERISTICS DERIVED ARE:

- 2: Effective Bandwidth
- 1: rad/s

MINI-MIDAS BMDL TEST CHANNEL M2 TYW
MAY 20 TO MAY 21, 1975 RUN 055

PITCH AXIS

REFERENCE VALUE -780.00000
MEAN -779.01588
SIGMA .1508
TREND - .00519
STAY/TREND .1945
AVERAGING PERIOD 71.68
NEGATED POINTS 198 OUT OF 657 POINTS
MINUS 0354 2

Fig 2

SKIPPER K TEST LABORATORY BEDFORD FLIGHT FACILITY
SNS TEST LES 16X 5/27/75 6V
THE ABOVE DATA WERE PROCESSED BY SLIDING AND
AVERAGING 97 SECONDS CONTINUOUS DATA
SAMPLES. THE APPROXIMATE FILTER CHARACTERISTICS
DERIVED ARE:

-2
Effective Bandwidth
rad/s

THE MINI-MIGRA ODOR IMU TEST CHANNEL M3 TWZ
MAY 20 TO MAY 21, 1975 RUN 055

YAW AXIS

REFERENCE VALUE -718.69999
MEAN -718.96233
SIGMA .2039
TREND -.05416
SIGMA/TREND .2556
AVG. PERIOD 71.68
REJECTED POINTS 207 OUT OF 652
MEAN 0.9553 3

Fig 3
The above data were processed by sliding and averaging second continuous data samples. The approximate filter characteristics derived are:

- Effective Bandwidth: \(-2\) rad/s

**Fig. 3**
MINI-MIARC OAD IMU TEST CHANNEL MU TILT METER
MAY 20 TO MAY 21, 1975  MUN 055

THE ABOVE DATA WERE PROCESSED BY SLIDING AND
AVERAGING AT .25 SECOND CONTINUOUS DATA
SAMPLES. THE APPROXIMATE FILTER CHARACTERISTICS
DERIVED ARE:

\[
-2 \leq \text{Effective Bandwidth} \leq 2
\]

MNNY O5EM 4  OUT OF 452 SAMPLES

Fig 4
The above data were processed by sliding and averaging. The second continuous data samples. The approximate filter characteristics derived are:

- \( \text{Effective Bandwidth} = \sqrt{2} \) rad/s
MINI-MORE ORO (4) TEST CHANNEL M1 TWY
MAY 21 TO MAY 22, 1975 RUN 056

ROLL AXIS

THE ABOVE DATA WERE PROCESSED BY SLIDING AND
AVERAGING 25 SECOND CONTINUOUS DATA
SAMPLES. THE APPROXIMATE FILTER CHARACTERISTICS
DERIVED ARE:

(-7) Effective Bandwidth
2.70 rad/s

Fig. 5
The above data were processed by sliding and averaging second continuous data samples. The approximate filter characteristics derived are:

- Effective Bandwidth: \(-2\) rad/s

Figu 5
MINI-MICRO DATA GROUP TEST CHANNEL M2 TWT
MAY 21 TO MAY 22, 1975 MIN 056

PITCH AXIS

The above data were processed by sliding and averaging second continuous data samples. The approximate filter characteristics derived are:

- Effective Bandwidth: rad/s

Fig 6

- SKIPPER K TEST LABORATORY/BEDFORD FLIGHT FACILITY
The above data were processed by sliding and averaging second continuous data samples. The approximate filter characteristics derived are:

\[-2 \text{ Effective Bandwidth (rad/s)}\]

MINI-MIDRE ORD IMU TEST CHANNEL M2 TWY
MAY 21 TO MAY 22, 1975 MIN 059

PITCH AXIS

Fig 6
THE ABOVE DATA WERE PROCESSED BY SLIDING AND AVERAGING 1/3, 1/6 SECOND CONTINUOUS DATA SAMPLES. THE APPROXIMATE FILTER CHARACTERISTICS DERIVED ARE:

-2 Effective Bandwidth

RAD/S

SKIPPER K TEST LABORATORY/BEDFORD FLIGHT FACILITY

503 TEST LAND DEC 30/73

Fig 7
THE ABOVE DATA WERE PROCESSED BY SLIDING AND AVERAGING 30 SECOND CONTINUOUS DATA SAMPLES. THE APPROXIMATE FILTER CHARACTERISTICS DERIVED ARE:

- Effective Bandwidth

Skipper K Test Laboratory, Bedford Flight Facility
S53 Test Lab 122773/B
THE ABOVE DATA WERE PROCESSED BY SLIDING AND AVERAGING 2500.3 SECOND CONTINUOUS DATA SAMPLES. THE APPROXIMATE FILTER CHARACTERISTICS DERIVED ARE:

\[ (-2) \text{ Effective Bandwidth: } \frac{1}{10} \text{ rad/s} \]

MINI-MIDARC DAD INU TEST CHANNEL NV TILT METER
MAY 21 TO MAY 22, 1975 RUN OSG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REFERENCE VALUE</th>
<th>1.0390000</th>
<th>ARC SEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEAN</td>
<td>3.0783632</td>
<td>ARC SEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGMA</td>
<td>0.07254</td>
<td>ARC SEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TENDO</td>
<td>0.01796</td>
<td>ARC SEC/HOURS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGMA/TENDO</td>
<td>0.03369</td>
<td>ARC SEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVERAGING PERIOD</td>
<td>71.62</td>
<td>SECONDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SELECTED POINTS</td>
<td>71 out of 623</td>
<td>SAMPLES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINNY OSGM IV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 8

SKIPPER K TEST LABORATORY/BEDFORD FLIGHT FACILITY
The above data were processed by sliding and averaging second continuous data samples. The approximate filter characteristics derived are:

- **Effective Bandwidth (in rad/s)**:

**Figure 8**

**Legend**
- MINI-MIDRC OAM [AU TEST CHANNEL 1] TILT METER
- MAY 21 TO MAY 22, 1975 RUN 058
REFERENCE VALUE 53.000000
MEAN 54.536159
SIGMA 0.1271
TREND 0.003274
SIGMA/TREND 0.009301
AVERAGING PERIOD 675.84 SECONDS
REJECTED POINTS 0 OUT OF 511 SAMPLES
MINNY 059M 1

Fig 9
REFERENCE VALUE  53.000000  MERU
MEAN           54.537159  MERU
SIGMA          .1271      MERU
TREND          .003274    MERU /HOURS
SIGMA/TREND    .003274    MERU
AVERAGING PERIOD  675.84  SECONDS
REJECTED POINTS 0  OUT OF 511  SAMPLES
MINNY  059M  l  

Fig 9

MAY 22 TO MAY 28, 1975 GSCC  RUN 059  ROLL AXIS
MINI-MIDOR CHANNEL M2 TWY OAO-IRU TEST 20.48 SEC/SAMPLES
MAY 22 TO MAY 28, 1975 GSFC RUN 059

PITCH AXIS

Data Acquisition System
Glitches

REFERENCE VALUE: -780.00000
MEAN: -782.00962
SIGMA: 1.6393
TREND: -0.01613
SIGMA/TREND: 1.5828
AVERAGING PERIOD: 675.84 SECONDS
REJECTED POINTS 49 OUT OF 511
MINNY 059M 2

Fig 10
**MINI-MORC CHANNEL M2 TWK ORO-TRU TEST 20.48 SEC/SAMPLES**

**MAY 22 TO MAY 28, 1975 GSFC RUN 059**

**PITCH AXIS**

---

**Data Acquisition System Glitches**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REFERENCE VALUE</th>
<th>-780.00000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEAN</td>
<td>-782.00962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGMA</td>
<td>1.6393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TREND</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGMA/TREND</td>
<td>1.5870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVERAGING PERIOD</td>
<td>675.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REJECTED POINTS</td>
<td>49 OUT OF 511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINNT</td>
<td>059M 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig 10**

SOS TEST LAB LSK 8/4/72 87
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GYRO</th>
<th>RUN</th>
<th>SEGMENT POINT COUNT</th>
<th>TIME (MINUTES)</th>
<th>TREND (MERU)</th>
<th>RESIDUE (ARCSEC)</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>SIGMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RCL</td>
<td>054</td>
<td>1 9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>53.696</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>-0.455</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL</td>
<td>054</td>
<td>2 9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>53.685</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>-0.386</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL</td>
<td>054</td>
<td>3 9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>53.719</td>
<td>0.726</td>
<td>-0.415</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL</td>
<td>054</td>
<td>4 9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>53.708</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>-0.638</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL</td>
<td>054</td>
<td>5 9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>53.752</td>
<td>0.356</td>
<td>-0.440</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL</td>
<td>054</td>
<td>6 9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>53.725</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>-0.467</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL</td>
<td>054</td>
<td>7 9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>53.635</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>-0.396</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL</td>
<td>054</td>
<td>8 9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>53.759</td>
<td>0.757</td>
<td>-0.590</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL</td>
<td>054</td>
<td>9 9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>53.719</td>
<td>0.398</td>
<td>-0.324</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL</td>
<td>054</td>
<td>10 9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>53.736</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>-0.687</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL</td>
<td>054</td>
<td>11 9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>53.730</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>-0.849</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL</td>
<td>054</td>
<td>12 9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>53.736</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>-0.317</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL</td>
<td>054</td>
<td>13 9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>53.748</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>-0.727</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL</td>
<td>054</td>
<td>14 9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>53.748</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>-0.516</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL</td>
<td>054</td>
<td>15 9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>53.737</td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>-0.450</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL</td>
<td>054</td>
<td>16 9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>53.793</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>-0.501</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECORDED FROM: 9 pm 20 MAY -- 6 am 21 MAY 1975

TABLE I
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GYRO</th>
<th>RUN</th>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>SEGMENT POINT COUNT</th>
<th>TIME (MINUTES)</th>
<th>TREND (MERU)</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>SIGMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PIT</td>
<td>057</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9216</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-79.272</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>-0.262</td>
<td>0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIT</td>
<td>057</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9216</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-79.371</td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td>-0.310</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIT</td>
<td>057</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9216</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-79.327</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>-0.408</td>
<td>0.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIT</td>
<td>057</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9216</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-79.355</td>
<td>0.491</td>
<td>-0.175</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIT</td>
<td>057</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-779.410</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>-0.291</td>
<td>0.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIT</td>
<td>057</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-79.488</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>-0.328</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIT</td>
<td>057</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-779.322</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>-1.490</td>
<td>0.434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIT</td>
<td>057</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-779.255</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>-0.345</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIT</td>
<td>057</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-79.305</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>-0.452</td>
<td>0.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIT</td>
<td>057</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-79.344</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>-0.516</td>
<td>0.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIT</td>
<td>057</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-779.211</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>-0.328</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIT</td>
<td>057</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-779.216</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>-0.352</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIT</td>
<td>D-7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>1.087</td>
<td>-779.280</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>-0.135</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECORDED FROM: 10 am -- 4 pm 21 MAY 1975

TABLE 2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GYRO</th>
<th>RUN</th>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>SEGMENT POINT COUNT</th>
<th>TIME (MINUTES)</th>
<th>TREND (MERU)</th>
<th>RESIDUE (ACOSEC)</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>SIGMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yaw</td>
<td>026</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-20.176</td>
<td>2.652</td>
<td>-3.187</td>
<td>0.672</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw</td>
<td>026</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-74.130</td>
<td>3.342</td>
<td>-6.331</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw</td>
<td>026</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-71.079</td>
<td>7.321</td>
<td>-0.388</td>
<td>2.122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw</td>
<td>026</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-71.999</td>
<td>0.782</td>
<td>-5.135</td>
<td>1.023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw</td>
<td>026</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-72.564</td>
<td>3.325</td>
<td>-1.512</td>
<td>1.279</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw</td>
<td>026</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-40.231</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>-5.477</td>
<td>1.035</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw</td>
<td>026</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-71.159</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>-4.517</td>
<td>1.177</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw</td>
<td>026</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-72.746</td>
<td>6.035</td>
<td>-0.444</td>
<td>1.474</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw</td>
<td>026</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-72.552</td>
<td>6.646</td>
<td>-7.444</td>
<td>4.611</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw</td>
<td>026</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-73.248</td>
<td>1.635</td>
<td>-2.192</td>
<td>1.484</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw</td>
<td>026</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-73.995</td>
<td>0.799</td>
<td>-2.730</td>
<td>1.493</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw</td>
<td>026</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-72.843</td>
<td>1.517</td>
<td>-5.436</td>
<td>1.573</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw</td>
<td>026</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-72.051</td>
<td>6.422</td>
<td>-6.680</td>
<td>1.517</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw</td>
<td>026</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-72.228</td>
<td>2.456</td>
<td>-1.224</td>
<td>1.411</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw</td>
<td>026</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-72.751</td>
<td>4.538</td>
<td>-3.677</td>
<td>2.294</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw</td>
<td>026</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9210</td>
<td>30.720</td>
<td>-72.562</td>
<td>2.521</td>
<td>-3.695</td>
<td>1.752</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECORDED FROM: 11 pm 22 MAY -- 8 am 23 MAY 1975

TABLE 3

C-25
DEVIATION BETWEEN 0.2 SECOND DATA POINTS AND 30 MINUTE, BEST FIT MODEL.
THE DEVIATIONS ARE SAMPLED AT 36 SECOND INTERVALS.

Fig 12
SOS TEST LAB 15 K G.A.B.
DEVIATION BETWEEN 0.2 SECOND DATA POINTS AND 30 MINUTE, BEST FIT MODEL.
THE DEVIATIONS ARE SAMPLED AT 36 SECOND INTERVALS.

**Graph Details:**
- **Title:** ORG IU TEST GSFC ATITUDE ERROR PITCH AXIS 2L TO 22 MAY 1975
- **Axes:**
  - Y-axis: ARCSEC
  - X-axis: HOURS
- **Statistics:**
  - MEAN = -0.00000903
  - SIGMA = 2.065
  - TREND = -0.01739
  - SIGMA/TREND = 2.667
  - SAMPLE PERIOD = 36.00 SECONDS
  - REJECTED POINTS = 0 OUT OF 617 SAMPLES

*Fig 13* 505 TEST LAB 15 K G.A.G.
DEVOLUTION BETWEEN 0.2 SECOND DATA POINTS AND 30 MINUTE, BEST FIT MODEL.
THE DEVIATIONS ARE SAMPLED AT 36 SECOND INTERVALS.
TO: R. Harris

FROM: R. Carson

DATE: 6 November 1975

SUBJECT: OAO-IRU Engineering Model Data at TRW September 1975

Approximately sixty hours of gyro data was obtained from the OAO-IRU Engineering Model at TRW, Redondo Beach, California during September 1975. This data is displayed in both time and power spectral density plots. The time histories provide an indication of the overall instrument performance and the PSD data can indicate the performance that can be expected in a particular frequency range. A brief description of the data accumulated is enclosed.

Time Plots

Three data sets were recorded simultaneously for each of the three instruments and are shown in Figures 1 through 9. The details of each set are described below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Set</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Sample Period</th>
<th>Length of Test</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>.32 seconds</td>
<td>9 hours</td>
<td>8-9 Sept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2.56 seconds</td>
<td>32 hours</td>
<td>9-10 Sept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2.56 seconds</td>
<td>16 hours</td>
<td>10-11 Sept</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be seen from the time plots that the output of each gyro is consistent from test to test. It should also be noted that the roll and pitch instruments exhibit approximately 0.1 meru jumps within three stable states. It is further observed that these discontinuities occur simultaneously and are quite deterministic.
with an overall period of approximately 17 hours. The yaw gyro on the other hand is quite erratic with frequent spikes of approximately 3 meru and occasional spikes greater than 10 meru. Needless to say, the erratic behavior of the yaw gyro and the discontinuities in the roll and pitch instruments are not considered normal. However this performance is an improvement by approximately a factor of 10 over that which was observed at GSFC in June. The roll and pitch gyros would be considered quite acceptable without the jumps. Again it is felt that the anomalous behavior of the instruments is the result of an unknown malfunction within the Engineering Model.

**PSD Plots**

The results of the PSD analysis are shown in Figures 10 through 18. The data are plotted as single sided PSDs (i.e., all the energy exists in the frequency range of 0 to \( \omega \)) in rate and have units of "meru\(^2\)/Hz". Selected portions of each of the three data sets were chosen to characterize the instrument output under various conditions and different frequency ranges. Figures 10 through 12 are the PSD of approximately 1.5 hours from data set 1 with no discontinuities. Figures 13 through 15 are the resultant PSDs of approximately 12 hours from data set 3 with several jumps. Figures 16 through 18 show the PSD plots of the first 24 hours of data set 2.

Each of the PSDs has two distinct characteristics: a positive slope at the high frequencies and a negative slope at the low frequencies. The positive slopes are proportional to \( f^2 \) and are attributed to quantization noise due to the sampling process.

It will be noted that the levels of the PSDs calculated from 2.56 second data samples (Runs 73 and 74) are eight times higher than those calculated using 0.32 second samples (Run 72). This is consistent with modelling quantization noise as a white process in angle and band limited at the Nyquist frequency of the sample
rate. The remainder of this memo will concentrate on the low frequency characteristics which are attributed to the gyros and to the system.

Figures 10 and 11 illustrate the behavior of the roll and pitch instruments without the presence of jumps and compares favorably with previously obtained data with other 2FBG-6F gyros. Figure 12 further illustrates the erratic behavior of the yaw axis gyro. At the low frequencies, the PSD of the yaw gyro is approximately 100 times greater than those for the other two axes even though the data used to generate the yaw PSD plot did not include any spikes. Figures 13 through 16 are the PSDs of each instrument when spikes or discontinuities are present. The deterministic jumps in each of the axes not only increases the magnitude of the PSD but also change its characteristics at the lower frequencies.

RCC/alk
PSD Analysis

THE ABOVE DATA WERE PROCESSED BY SLIDING AND AVERAGING 1/20. 32 SECOND CONTINUOUS DATA SAMPLES. THE APPROXIMATE FILTER CHARACTERISTICS DERIVED ARE:

(-2) Effective Bandwidth 0.014 rad/s

FIGURE 1
The above data were processed by sliding and averaging 1120.32 second continuous data samples. The approximate filter characteristics derived are:

- Effective Bandwidth: 0.014 rad/s

Skipper K Test Laboratory/Bedford Flight Facility
THE ABOVE DATA WERE PROCESSED BY SLIDING AND AVERAGING 1/2 32 SECOND CONTINUOUS DATA SAMPLES. THE APPROXIMATE FILTER CHARACTERISTICS DERIVED ARE:

- Effective Bandwidth:
  - 0.014 rad/s

SKIPPER K TEST LABORATORY/BEDFORD FLIGHT FACILITY

FIGURE 3
THE ABOVE DATA WERE PROCESSED BY SLIDING AND AVERAGING 5.60.2.56 SECOND CONTINUOUS DATA SAMPLES. THE APPROXIMATE FILTER CHARACTERISTICS DERIVED ARE:

(-2) Effective Bandwidth
0.0035 rad/s

SKIPPED K TEST LABORATORY/BEDFORD FLIGHT FACILITY
The above data were processed by sliding and averaging 5 2.5-second continuous data samples. The approximate filter characteristics derived are:

- Effective bandwidth: 0.0035 rad/s

FIGURE 5

Skipper K Test Laboratory/Bedford Flight Facility
THE ABOVE DATA WERE PROCESSED BY SLIDING AND AVERAGING 24.6 2.5 SECOND CONTINUOUS DATA SAMPLES. THE APPROXIMATE FILTER CHARACTERISTICS DERIVED ARE:

- Effective Bandwidth
  - 0.0035 rad/s
PSD Analysis

THE ABOVE DATA WERE PROCESSED BY SLIDING AND AVERAGING 2.5 SECOND CONTINUOUS DATA SAMPLES. THE APPROXIMATE FILTER CHARACTERISTICS DERIVED ARE:

(-2) Effective Bandwidth
0.007 rad/s

FIGURE 7

SKIFFER K TEST LABORATORY/RED-HRD FLIGHT FACILITY
The above data were processed by sliding and averaging every 2.56 second continuous data samples. The approximate filter characteristics derived are:

- (-2) Effective Bandwidth
  - 0.007 rad/s

Skinner K Test Laboratory, Bedford Flight Facility
The above data were processed by sliding and averaging second continuous data samples. The approximate filter characteristics derived are:

- Effective Bandwidth: 0.007 rad/s

Skipper K Test Laboratory/Bedford Flight Facility
ROLL (0A0-IRU) 72

FIGURE 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>0.1853001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>26.2111232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigma/Trend</td>
<td>26.2111232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trend Removed</td>
<td>-0.0000127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trend</td>
<td>0.3111198</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Power Boost Factor: 2.671554
Data Rejection Sigma: 0.000000
Rejected Data: 0 of 16761 Samples
Data Acquisition Date: 10/24/19
FIGURE 13
PITCH (0A0-IRU) 74

FIGURE 14

MEAN -0.2209499
SIGMA 3.0286000
SIGMA/TREND 3.0283504
TREND REMOVED
TREND
SAMPLE PERIOD 0.0000032
2.5500000

FREQ (HZ) -LOG 10

PSD-LOG 10

-7 -6 -5 -4 -3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3

HANNING POWER BOOST FACTOR 1 2.7034000
DATA REJECTION SIGMA 6.0000000
REJECTED DATA OF 16364 SAMPLES
DATA ACQUISITION DATE 10/2/75
ROLL (OAO-IRU) 73

FIGURE 16

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>SIGMA</th>
<th>SIGMA/TREND</th>
<th>TREN T REMOVED</th>
<th>TREN T</th>
<th>SAMPLE PERIOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0437456</td>
<td>1.8671180</td>
<td>1.9008800</td>
<td>0.0000010</td>
<td>5.1155525</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HANNING: POWER BOOST FACTOR 1.6663136
DATA REJECTION SIGMA 6.0000000
REJECTED DATA 0 OF 16384 SAMPLES
DATA ACQUISITION DATE 9/10/75
FIGURE 17
APPENDIX D

REAL TIME DIAGNOSTIC DATA
START OF TEST 2
1 OCT 75 1840 HR

GRA X
0.5 V/IN

GRA Y
0.5 V/IN

GRA Z
0.5 V/IN

STX
0.1 V/IN

STY
0.1 V/IN

ΔRA/ΔY
-0.5 V/IN

ΔRA/ΔY
0.5 V/IN

ERROR
-0.5 V/IN
START OF TEST #3
3 OCT 75 1940 H.R.

-0.5 mA/s

-0.5 mV

0.5 mV

1 mV

0.5 mV

0.5 mV

0.5 mV

PAY

PAY CY

ERROR
Figure D-3. Strapdown System
Test Run No. 3
Figure D-4. Strapdown System Test Run No. 4
START OF TEST #9
6 FEB 76 1840 HR

GRA X $\frac{1}{2}$

GRA Y $\frac{1}{3}$

GRA $\frac{1}{3}$

STY $\frac{1}{2}$ 10

STY $\frac{1}{2}$

RA/CR $\frac{1}{2}$

RA/CR $\frac{1}{2}$

RACE #1

RACE 10 1500 HR 1920 HR

FOLDED FRAME
Figure D-6. Gimbal System
Test Run No. 2
START OF TEST #12
16 FEB 76 1700 HR

-0.05 m/s/sec ->

GaN X

GaN Y

GaN Z

TTY

RDI

OOS

ERROR

1000 Hz

1240 Hz

1500 Hz

1876 Hz

2276 Hz

2676 Hz

3076 Hz

3876 Hz

4677 Hz

5477 Hz

6377 Hz

7400 Hz
Figure D-8. Gimbal System
Test Run No. 4
APPENDIX E

FLOW DIAGRAMS OF LABORATORY COMPUTER PROGRAMS
START

DISABLE ALL INTERRUPTS

CLEAR AND SET CLOCKS FOR 1 MSEC INTERRUPT

SET INTERRUPT TRAPS

INITIALIZE FLAGS AND BILEVEL COMMAND WORD

ZERO DAC OUTPUT WORDS

SET MASK FOR MODEM AND TELETYPEx upsetTS

CLEAR MODEM INTERRUPTS

ENABLE INTERRUPTS

RETURN TO EXECUTIVE CONTROL

SUE Program Flow Charts (1 of 7)

E-2
X/Y INTERRUPT

INHIBIT INTERRUPTS

SAVE REGISTERS

ALLOW CLOCK INTERRUPT

READ IN AA/C VOLTAGES

READ IN ABT ANGLE

MODE

1, 2

3

COMPUTE REQUIRED ABT ANGLE

COMPUTE ABT COMMANDS

SEND DAC OUTPUTS

INHIBIT INTERRUPTS

RESTORE REGISTERS

ENABLE INTERRUPTS

EXIT

SUE Program Flow Charts (2 of 7)

E-3
CLOCK INTERRUPT

INHIBIT INTERRUPTS

SAVE REGISTERS

ACKNOWLEDGE CLOCK INTERRUPT

INCREMENT RAMP TIME COUNTERS

INCREMENT RUN TIME COUNTERS

ONE SECOND INTERVAL?

YES

INCREMENT 100 SEC COUNTER FOR GYRO DATA

NO

RESTORE REGISTERS

ENABLE INTERRUPTS

EXIT

SUE Program Flow Charts (3 of 7)
START

DISABLE ALL INTERRUPTS

CLEAR AND SET CLOCK FOR 1 MSEC INTERRUPT

SET INTERRUPT TRAPS

INITIALIZE FLAGS AND BILEVEL COMMAND WORD

ZERO DAC OUTPUT WORDS

SET MASK FOR MODEM 6 TELETYPE INTERRUPTS

CLEAR MODEM INTERRUPTS

ENABLE INTERRUPTS

RETURN TO EXECUTIVE CONTROL

GWEN Program Flow Charts (1 of 7)
X/Y INTERRUPT

INHIBIT INTERRUPTS AND SAVE REGISTERS

ALLOW CLOCK INTERRUPT

READ IN AAA/C VOLTAGES

READ IN ABT ANGLE

MODE

3

1, 2

COMPUTE REQUIRED ABT ANGLE

COMPUTE ABT COMMANDS

TSFLAG

TRACK

SEARCH

COMPUTE GIMBAL COMMANDS

SEND DAC OUTPUTS

INHIBIT INTERRUPTS AND RESTORE REGISTERS

ENABLE INTERRUPTS

EXIT

GWEN Program Flow Charts (2 of 7)

E-10
CLOCK INTERRUPT

INHIBIT INTERRUPTS

SAVE REGISTERS

ACKNOWLEDGE CLOCK INTERRUPT

INCREMENT RAMP TIME COUNTERS

INCREMENT RUN TIME COUNTERS

ONE SECOND INTERVAL?

YES

INCREMENT 100 SEC COUNTER FOR GYRO DATA

NO

RESTORE REGISTERS

ENABLE INTERRUPTS

EXIT

GWEN Program Flow Charts (3 of 7)

E-11
GWEN Program Flow Charts (6 of 7)

E-14
GWEN Program Flow Charts (7 of 7)

E-15