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Utilization of Satellite Data for Inventorying Prairie Ponds and Lakes

INTRODUCTION

The primary breeding areas of North American waterfowl are the Dakotas, the southern portions of the prairie provinces, northwestern Canada, and parts of Alaska. These areas are annually the sites of systematic surveys conducted by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) in cooperation with the Canadian Wildlife Service and various states and provinces. These surveys serve to aid management decisions relating to annual hunting regulations and research needs by providing an estimate of population size and annual reproduction. These surveys rely chiefly upon observations made from low-flying light aircraft traveling established sampling transects. Numbers and distributions of natural ponds and lakes as tabulated during these flights are an important criteria used in assessing annual waterfowl production. In order to explore procedures which could enhance FWS capabilities for monitoring annual and seasonal changes in water conditions, an evaluation of LANDSAT-1 (formerly ERTS-1) sensors was conducted (Work 1974; Work et al., in press). Objectives of that investigation were to map and tabulate statistics on surface water conditions and to determine changes in wetness between spring and summer during a two-year period in a glaciated prairie region located in east-central North Dakota. This...
paper reports on the techniques used to detect and characterize open surface water features.

**Preliminary Considerations**

Level thresholding of a radiation signal in a near-infrared waveband is a reliable and simple technique for delineating surface water. This technique is effective because at near-infrared wavelengths the apparent radiance of water is usually uniform and lower than for other terrain objects. Thus, using an appropriate near-infrared waveband, water may be delineated by accepting scene points with low radiance values (classified as water) while rejecting all values above a certain threshold (non-water). In order to appreciate the use of and limitations to this technique a brief discussion follows.

The apparent radiance of a body of water is the result of (1) reflections at the air-water interface, (2) reflections from particulate matter suspended in the water volume, and (3) reflections from the bottom. Because the field-of-view of the LANDSAT scanner is limited to near vertical observations (nominally to within 5.78 degrees of satellite nadir) and because water surfaces reflect specularly, radiation reflected by water to the scanner must emanate from a sky position near the zenith. Given the northerly latitudes which characterize the glaciated prairies, the LANDSAT scanner does not view water-reflected direct solar radiation (i.e., the ground specular point is at a considerable distance outside the field-of-view of the scanner). This leaves only that fraction of diffuse skylight which emanates from a near-zenith sky location to impinge upon the water surface and thence to be reflected to the scanner. In relative magnitude, however, diffuse skylight is much weaker than direct solar radiation, especially in the near-infrared and under clear sky conditions when optimal satellite observations are possible. McDowell (1974) illustrated the magnitude and spectral differences between diffuse skylight and direct solar radiation (Figure 1). In addition, the reflected skylight component is further diminished because water surfaces are a uniformly weak reflector of radiation which impinges at any but very oblique angles (Figure 2).

In considering reflections emanating from particulates within the water volume and from the bottom surface, water's absorptivity must be considered. In the near-infrared, that fraction of radiation which penetrates the air-water interface is largely absorbed, the extent of absorption being dependent upon the wavelength and the length of the water path. This situation is shown quantitatively in Figure 3, which illustrates the spectral transmission of pure water for a variety of path lengths. Consequently, a sensor viewing a water body in a near-infrared band receives little or no radiation that may have been reflected by the bottom or volume suspended particulates.

The relative utility of different near-infrared bands for detecting water should not be predicated purely on the basis of longer wavelengths. For example, the use of a waveband in the 2.0- to 2.6-μm atmospheric window is not optimal because of the decreasing amount of solar radiation at these wavelengths. It must be remembered that most terrestrial objects are relatively strong diffuse reflectors and that with adequate solar illumination such targets will contrast sharply with darker surface water features. An ideal waveband for delineating surface water is the 1.5- to 1.8-μm spectral interval (Work and Thomson 1974; Work 1974). Sufficient solar illumination is available in this waveband to illuminate background objects. By using this waveband, it is also possible in many instances to distinguish water which is occluded by aquatic plants. These capabilities are of particular importance for lower-altitude aircraft data in which case it is both possible and desirable to identify small ponds, sheet water, and shoreline patterns of larger ponds and lakes. Analyzing LANDSAT-1 scanner data, however, we found band-7 (0.8 to 1.1 μm) to be satisfactory except for an occasional omission error.
in the case of shallow ponds carrying high sediment loads.

**METHODS**

Our delineation of surface water was accomplished by using a high-speed digital computer which examined each scene pixel (picture element) and classified the pixel as either water or non-water. In training the computer for this automated classification, data samples were examined in order to determine typical water radiance levels and the radiance levels of other scene materials also known to have low radiance characteristics. Experience has shown that various scene objects exhibit radiances that may approach the low radiance of water depending upon the specific near-infrared waveband under consideration, the geographic locale, and the phenologic state of scene objects. In eastern North Dakota, dark prairie soils,
Mollisols (formerly referred to as Chernozems), have consistently approached the low radiance values of water in the 0.8- to 1.1-µm waveband. In such a situation, sampled water and soil radiance values were examined in order to discern relative differences. Typical histograms or frequency distributions are shown in Figure 4. In these data, both water and soil had distributions which were displaced from each other although slight overlap occurred in the tail regions. We have found that shallow water features are often represented within the leading tail of such a water distribution; as a result, the threshold or decision boundary was located nearer to the soil's density peak in order to group the shallow water situations with other surface water categories.

**RESULTS**

The intent of the recognition process was to monitor changes in surface water conditions for a seasonal (May-to-July) and an annual period (July-to-July). Data gathered by LANDSAT-1 on 31 July 1972, 14 May 1973, and 7 July 1973 were analyzed. The same area comprising 3311 km² (1278 mi²) was observed on each of these dates. The study area included portions of two different physiographic regions: a coteau or moraine feature created by stagnation ice, and a drift plain or low relief feature of numerous ground moraines. The drift plain inherently has fewer potholes or basin features and, because of its low relief, has been subjected to numerous wetland drainage projects. This difference in wetland occurrence warranted a stratification of the numerical results based on these physiographic variations.

A computer-generated thematic map identifying surface water in a portion of the study area located almost wholly within the coteau physiographic region was produced (Figure 5). Although a digital map of the type illustrated graphically portrays water conditions, it does not represent an efficient form of data management. Thematic maps as such, and
the required manual interpretation, are not feasible for wide area surveys on a routine basis. For purposes of analysis, the data generally has greater value if it is presented in terms of statistical tables. Figure 6 illustrates a computer-generated statistical tabulation of ponds and lakes observed on 7 July 1973 in that portion of the study area lying in the coteau physiographic region. The upper listing itemizes all ponds recognized in the scene and provides the location of each pond based on a coordinate system derived from the sensor's scanning geometry and also on a geographic coordinate system. A size frequency distribution of ponds in the entire scene is presented in the lower tabulation.

A graphical summary of pond frequency for two dates illustrates the pond size distribution for the coteau portion of the study area (Figure 7). The two curves show that a decrease in pond numbers occurred in the 1973 May-to-July interval. Information on changes in pond numbers over this seasonal period is an important data input to models.

Fig. 6. Example of computer printout of pond and lake statistics for an area within the Coteau du Missouri physiographic region of North Dakota. Data collected by ERTS-1 at 1654 GMT on 7 July 1973.
current'y used for predicting waterfowl production (Geis et al., 1969).

In examining these data it should be recognized that the pond sizes listed by the computer should in practice be termed "apparent size." This is because each pixel of data was examined and determined to be either totally water or not water. Many pixels lying on the perimeters of ponds and lakes undoubtedly contained some unrecognized and untabulated water. This caused the surface areas of virtually all water features to be underestimated. In terms of percentage, the error were greater for the smaller ponds and for those of irregular shape (i.e., those having a high ratio of perimeter length to area). The very small ponds, of course, would not be recognized. Generally a pond must have been at least 0.4 hectare (1.0 acre) in size to be recognized. Recognition of a 0.4 hectare pond was dependent upon whether the pond was wholly included in one digital sample (i.e., within a pixel) or fractionally distributed over several pixels. In general, it is problematic whether ponds in the 0.4- to 1.6-hectare (1.0- to 4-acre) size class were recognized. Above 1.6 hectares, ponds were nearly always recognized but not necessarily recognized at their full areal extent.

**IMPROVEMENTS IN SPATIAL RESOLUTION**

Because prairie ponds are frequently smaller than 0.4 hectare (1.0 acre), it was apparent that many water bodies were not tabulated. We, therefore, undertook a radically different recognition technique which offered promise for improving the data's resolution limit. The remainder of this paper describes that approach and discusses some preliminary results.

When the instantaneous-field-of-view (IFOV) of a scanner is large with respect to the scene objects being scanned, a single resolution cell may contain a mixture of materials. In attempting to improve upon the data's resolution capabilities, we have utilized the added information content of multiple spectral wavebands to estimate the proportions or fractions of materials which fill the IFOV. The technique termed "proportion estimation" or "mixtures estimation" was first outlined by Horwitz et al. (1971) and further described by Nalepka et al. (1972). Before this study, the application of this technique was largely developmental in nature, and its use in this and another LANDSAT-1 study (Malila and Nalepka, 1973 and 1974) must be considered to be among the first attempts to test its applicability in an operational context.

A discussion of proportion estimation theory is beyond the scope of this paper, however the essence of the technique can be described in geometric terms. Assume that a data set comprised of two spectral channels, \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \), contains three pure and unique materials — A, B, and C. This situation is depicted in Figure 8 where the signature
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verse ratio by which the simplex triangle’s leg A-C is divided by a line drawn from Z orthogonally to that leg. If the unknown is quite distant from the signature simplex (described in terms of a $\chi^2$ distance), the algorithm designates the unknown as an alien object or an object composed of none of the simplex materials.

Although the above description has been limited to three pure and unique materials in two-dimensional space, the concept is easily expanded to situations where many object materials exist in a spectral hyperspace. In applying the algorithm, however, it is necessary that two operational constraints be observed: (1) at least $n-1$ spectral channels of information are required to satisfactorily estimate mixtures of $n$-object materials, and (2) the signatures for the materials in a mixture must not be similar and no one signature must come close to the weighted average of the other signatures. If either of these latter conditions occur, the signature simplex is said to be degenerate, and the estimate of proportions may be poor or invalid.

In our study, the intent was to delineate water in a mixture of scene materials, thereby making it possible to improve the size estimates of larger ponds and, more significantly, to recognize small ponds which otherwise would not have been detected. Examining the data collected in the July 1973 time frame, it appeared that only two of the four LANDSAT wavebands were unique in representing the majority of scene materials present in eastern North Dakota. Bands 5 (0.6 to 0.7 µm) and 7 (0.8 to 1.1 µm) appeared to be best for distinguishing most scene materials while bands 4 (0.5 to 0.6 µm) and 6 (0.7 to 0.8 µm) were respectively redundant. This being the case, it appeared unlikely that the proportion estimation algorithm could function in using more than three signatures. As a result, we chose for processing signatures representing water, bare soils, and green vegetation. Using these signatures, the computational algorithm was applied to an area of 287 km² (110 mi²). The resultant computer output was a set of water proportions for each scene pixel. A water recognition map generated from this output is shown in Figure 10 and, for comparison, a map generated by using the single waveband thresholding algorithm is shown in Figure 11. In the proportion estimation map, the symbol density is related to the proportion of water estimated for that pixel. In order for the map accurately to portray the scene, certain percentage or acceptance
Fig. 10. Water recognition obtained by use of the proportion estimation algorithm. The symbol density is related to the proportion of water estimated for that pixel.

Fig. 11. Water recognition obtained by thresholding channel MSS-7. The decision criteria is such that each pixel has been classified as either totally water or not water.
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limits were determined for the output of the algorithm. For example, it seemed appropriate to count pixel values of 86 per cent and above as totally water. This procedure tended to account for the likelihood that a value close to the signature mean (i.e., close in terms of its probability of being that material) may in fact have been a pure sample related to that mean. Similarly, pixels showing less than 30 per cent water were assumed to contain no water at all.

In general, a detailed comparison of the classification maps and related imagery indicated that proportion estimation significantly improved pond shape definition. Fish Lake and several nearby lakes shown in Figures 10 and 11 illustrate this fact. Alkali Lake (Figure 10), although recognized, was not accurately delineated. As implied by its name, the lake apparently was high in dissolved and precipitated solids and as such was a lacustrine feature for which the water signature utilized was not representative. An inspection of this same lake in aerial photography indicated a color hue similar to the highly saline (c.f., alkaline) lakes found occasionally throughout this area of North Dakota particularly during periods of low water. It should be noted that Alkali Lake was not omitted from our enumeration, only mis-recognized in terms of size. Our prime objective in applying the proportion estimation algorithm was to recognize many of the smaller water features which otherwise would not have been detected. From an examination of the results, it appears that all ponds larger than 0.5 hectare (1.3 acres) were consistently recognized and that many smaller ponds to 0.13 hectare (0.33 acre) as a minimum were recorded. This was a threefold improvement relative to the single-waveband thresholding technique. For the 287 km² area illustrated both in Figures 10 and 11, an increase of 131 per cent in the number of water bodies tabulated was observed relative to the number tabulated by using the thresholding algorithm.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The mapping of open water as an indicator of waterfowl habitat quality has been carried out by using two different recognition techniques, a single waveband thresholding approach and a multiple waveband approach termed "proportion estimation." The single waveband technique has proven simple to implement. Its computer algorithm was rapid and accurately recognized prairie lakes and large ponds. The resultant products of this processing technique were thematic maps and statistical tabulations describing open surface water conditions. The maps served to portray visually the location and frequency of surface water bodies but usually necessitated additional interpretation. Statistical tabulations provided a useful collocation and data summary.

The proportion estimation technique, which utilizes the increased information content of multiple spectral bands, estimates the fraction of a resolution cell which may be composed of open water. This technique allowed for the recognition of a greater number of small ponds not previously identified and improved the apparent spatial resolution of the data by a factor of three in comparison to the single waveband thresholding technique.

Because of the effectiveness of the several recognition techniques described, development of an operational system using high-altitude sensors for synoptically characterizing wetland habitat conditions appears to be a realistic goal. In future operational systems which require the delineation of water features smaller than a resolution cell in size, we feel that a combination of the single waveband and the proportion estimation techniques is likely to be employed for the sake of accuracy and efficiency. Such a dual processing approach would effectively use the single waveband technique to identify those resolution cells comprised totally of water. The proportion estimation algorithm which is slower running and consequently more costly would be used to delineate only water peripheral to already identified ponds and lakes and those water features which are less than a resolution cell in size.
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