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Small scale combined load fatigue tests were conducted on six artificially and six naturally weathered test specimens. The test specimen material was unidirectionally oriented A-S graphite - woven glass scrim-epoxy resin laminate previously fabricated under NASA Contract No. NAS1-12662 and reported in Reference (1).
After weathering the laminate, specimens were prepared for testing. Two loading conditions with specific combinations of steady axial, vibratory torsion, and vibratory flatwise/edgewise bending as previously tested and reported in Reference (1) were selectively chosen. Test stress levels were varied up to a maximum of 3.5 times the baseline stress levels described in Reference (1). At the specific combined load flight stress levels and conditions tested, the percent of fatigue damage to both artificially and naturally weathered test specimens tested herein was similar to the damage experienced by non-weathered test specimens; and, under the conditions evaluated, the effect of weathering was negligible. The damping and natural frequency measurements on weathered specimens showed that a consistent and realistic increase in damping and a reduction in natural frequency after 10⁷ fatigue cycles occurred only in specimens where interlaminar matrix cracking was detected by ultrasonic 'C' scan. Significant and meaningful identical proportional changes were detected when comparing both torsional damping and natural frequency changes to the torsional modulus changes even at percentages less than 5%. While the NDI technique utilizing ultrasonic 'C' scan did provide an excellent means for detecting longitudinal interlaminar cracking defects, the dye penetrant technique was a more useful inspection method for detecting minor surface cracks.
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Small scale combined load fatigue tests were conducted on six artificially and six naturally weathered test specimens. The test specimen material was unidirectionally oriented A-8 graphite - woven glass scrim-epoxy resin laminate previously fabricated under NASA Contract No. NAS1-12662 and reported in Reference (1).

After the laminate was weathered, specimens were prepared for testing. Two loading conditions with specific combinations of steady axial, vibratory torsion, and vibratory flatwise/edgewise bending as previously tested and reported in Reference (1) were selectively chosen. Test stress levels were varied up to a maximum of 3.5 times the baseline stress levels described in Reference (1). At the specific combined load flight stress levels and conditions tested, the effect of weathering was negligible. Further, the percent of fatigue damage to both artificially and naturally weathered test specimens tested herein was similar to the damage experienced by non-weathered test specimens. The damping and natural frequency measurements on weathered specimens showed that a consistent and realistic increase in damping and a reduction in natural frequency after $10^7$ fatigue cycles occurred only in specimens where interlaminar matrix cracking was detected by ultrasonic 'C' scan. Significant and meaningful identical proportional changes were detected when comparing both torsional damping and natural frequency changes to the torsional modulus changes even at percentages less than 5%. While the NDI technique utilizing ultrasonic 'C' scan did provide an excellent means for detecting longitudinal interlaminar cracking defects, the dye penetrant served as a useful inspection method for detecting surface cracks.
INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this effort was to assess the effect of weathering on composite materials which are subjected to combined cyclic loads. To make this assessment combined load fatigue tests of artificially and naturally weathered small scale test specimens were conducted and compared to fatigue results of non-weathered specimens at some of the selected stress levels and conditions previously reported in Reference (1). These selected stress levels and conditions are outlined in Table I. All weathered specimen fatigue data was acquired by performing torsional and bending stiffness measurements at $0$, $5 \times 10^6$ and $10^7$ cycles.

In addition to performing visual damage inspection, an attempt was made to correlate damage detected by NDI methods of soft x-ray radiography, dye penetrant, and ultrasonic 'C' scan with damage viewed in photomicrographs of the test specimens at $0$ and $10^7$ fatigue cycles on the weathered specimens only. An attempt was also made to compare torsional damping and natural frequency measurement changes versus torsional stiffness change after $10^7$ fatigue cycles on weathered specimens.
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MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT AND PROCEDURES

Materials and Test Specimen Configuration

The material used for the weathered test specimens for this program was Hercules, Inc. type A-S graphite fiber with style 104 woven glass scrim prepreged by Dexter Materials Corp. (now Reliable Mfg. Corp.) with DM-101 resin system. This modified epoxy resin system requires a cure temperature of 275°F (135°C) with the potential design operational temperature capabilities to 200°F (92°C). Certification tests were performed by the vendor in accordance with requirements of Sikorsky specifications standard SS-9611, Reference (2). The results of the certification tests and the requirements of Reference (2) are given in Table II. In general, this material met the requirements of Reference (2).

The fabrication of the test specimen laminate (without doublers) which were used for the weathering test conducted herein was completed in approximately the same time period as the non-weathered test specimen laminate as outlined in the test program of Reference (1). A 10 ply flat panel laminate approximately 12 in. (30.5 cm.) x 24 inches (61.0 cm.) was laid up then vacuum bagged and autoclave cured at 100 psi (6.9 x 10^5 N/m^2) for 2 hours at 275°F (135°C). A nominal cured per ply thickness of .0095" (.024 cm.) was obtained, .0085 inches (0.022/cm.) A-S graphite and 0.001 inches (0.002/cm.) 104 style woven glass scrim. Prior to weathering, a total of 12 test specimens were rough cut from the laminate above at a width of 1 1/16 inch (2.70 cm.). During the course of 2 years the specimens were weathered and periodically weighed as described in section 2.2.6 of this report. After weathering was completed, specimens were cleaned with methyl ethyl ketone and loose surface scrim on the specimens was removed to provide a good bonding surface. Unidirectional (E-glass) fiberglass doublers were individually bonded to the graphite specimens using Ilysol 9309.2 adhesive. The fiber orientation of both the graphite specimen and the fiberglass doublers was parallel to the long dimension (9.0 inches 23 cm.) of the specimen. The entire specimen was then ground to the final dimensions shown in Figure 1. The steel cuffs inserted at each end of the specimen and then placed in an alignment fixture which affixed the final test specimen length. The previously designed bolt hole pattern in the steel cuffs was then utilized as a drill jig in order to drill and locate the same bolt hole pattern in the graphite specimen. The specimen in the steel cuffs was then bolted together in the fatigue test specimen configuration shown in Figure 1.
Equipment and Procedures

Torsional and Flexural Modulus Calibrations

Strain gauges (350 ohm type) were bonded on all specimens in order to measure flatwise bending, edgewise bending and torsional properties, i.e. (load, stress, strain and modulus). A typical combined load specimen with end grip attachments and strain gages in place is shown in Figure 2. Both flatwise and edgewise bending gauges were located at the end of the nontapered fiberglass doubler where it had been previously determined that the maximum bending loads occur. In all cases the ratio of flatwise to edgewise stress was nearly constant along the entire length of the specimen. The torsional gauges were located in the middle of the specimen width and at a 3" distance from the end of the non-tapered doubler where the maximum torsional stress would occur.

The test fixture shown in Figure 3 was used to determine the torsional modulus and stiffness changes. The torsional modulus of each specimen was calculated by applying known torques to the specimen and the measuring the output strains from these torques. With each applied torque, the angle of twist was also recorded. The measurement of the changes in the angle of twist as a function of fatigue cycles provided the means of determining the percentage change in torsional modulus.

The test fixture shown in Figure 4 was utilized to determine both the flatwise and edgewise flexural bending modulus changes. The specimen was loaded as a cantilever beam and the outputs of the pure flatwise and pure edgewise bending strain gages were individually recorded. Each bending modulus was separately used rather than averages of the two in the combined load test set-up. The deflection for a known applied load was recorded similar to those recorded with torsional measurements. The measurement of the changes in deflection as a function of fatigue cycles was used to determine the percentage change in both flatwise and edgewise flexural bending stiffness which is expressed in terms of an effective modulus change.

Both torsional and bending specimen strain gauge outputs were monitored using a strain gauge bridge, amplifier and oscilloscope console. Prior to test initiation and during periodic specimen removal, the static and dynamic eccentric setting were recorded and repeated whenever the specimen was reinstalled. Both static and dynamic stresses were adjusted until they were within 3 percent of desired values.
Damping and Frequency Calibrations

Damping and natural frequency measurements were made on the apparatus shown in Figure 5. The specimen was installed with one fixed end (top) while the other end was attached to a radial accelerometer. The specimen was then twisted so that the peak shear stress did not exceed 2000 psi. When released it produced an oscillation and amplitude which then was allowed to diminish in free decay. The output of the radial accelerometer was recorded using an oscillograph recorder.

In order to accurately measure the natural frequency of the specimen a reference signal was also recorded. The decay of the natural frequency as a function of cycles was utilized to calculate the ratio of damping capacity, \( C \), to critical damping capacity \( C_c \). The static measurements of the torsional modulus \( G \) as well as damping and frequency measurements were taken at 0, \( 5 \times 10^5 \) and \( 10^7 \) fatigue cycles.

Non-Destructive Inspection Techniques

Ultrasonic 'C' Scan

This work was accomplished at Pratt and Whitney Research Lab, East Hartford, Conn. The flat specimens were scanned automatically using the apparatus shown in Figure 6 which provided a 'C' scan printout. The 'C' scans are plan views of the flat specimens and are obtained by driving a writing device synchronously with the transducer. A mark is then made on the electrosensitive paper at any point where a signal is received on the ultrasonic instrument.

All ultrasonic work was conducted while the specimens were immersed in water. The amplitude of the signal at which the 'C'-scan would print defect indications was determined by using a calibrated flat specimen which had specific notches (created mechanically) as shown in Figure 7. The smallest notches designated in Figure 7 as areas 1, 2, 3 and 4 were approximately .028" (.71cm.) long, .035" (.089 cm.) wide and at depths of .013" (.033 cm.) for areas 1 and 2 and .020" (.051 cm.) for areas 3 and 4. The hole designated in Figure 7 as area 5 was approximately .045" (.114 cm.) deep and .125" (.318 cm.) in diameter. In all cases, the .013" (.033 cm.) notch was the smallest signal which could be readily differentiated from the background and set a lower limit on the size of the defect which can be detected. Ultrasonic 'C' scan were taken of both artificially and naturally weathered specimens before and after fatigue cycling.
The x-ray radiography was performed using 'soft' x-rays approximately 25 KVP (peak kilovolts) with a beryllium window in a normal laboratory air environment. Exposure time was approximately 3 minutes at 10 MAM (milliampere minutes) using M type film. The source to film distance (SFD) was 18 inches (45.72 cm.) The x-rays were taken of each specimen (including calibration standard) before and after fatigue cycling. The x-rays were taken at several angles including normal to the specimen surface in order to cover all possible orientations of defects.

Dye Penetrant

Dye penetrant was used to detect surface damage after the specimen was fatigue tested to $10^7$ cycles. The technique first involved cleaning the specimen with Spotcheck™ cleaner-type SKC-W, second, coating the specimen with Spotcheck™ dye penetrant type SKL-HF and third, drying the specimen for 3 to 5 minutes. Excess penetrant was then removed with clean cheesecloth saturated with the same Spotcheck cleaner. Spotcheck™ developer type SKD-NF was then sprayed over specimen surface in the same area covered by dye penetrant. All surface defects were photographed subsequent evaluation of the defect size. All specimens were then thoroughly cleaned of all penetrant.

Combined Load Fatigue Tests

A combined load fatigue machine, was utilized to perform tests under varying combinations of steady axial, vibratory torsional and vibratory bending loads. Details of this machine are shown in Figure 8. As seen in Figure 8, the bending loads are applied by two bending eccentrics. The offset of each eccentric can be varied to control not only the bending stress distribution along the entire specimen length but also the maximum amplitude of the bending stress.

The ratio of edgewise stress to flatwise bending stress is controlled by adjusting the angle of the plane of the specimen with respect to the bending plane on the machine. For example, if the application of pure flatwise bending load is desired, then the angle between plane of the specimen and the bending plane of the machine is set at 90 degrees. Increasing the amounts of edgewise by varying the 90° angle until bending becomes pure edgewise at an angle of 0 or 180 degrees. The torsional
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stress on the specimen is controlled by the third eccentric as shown in Figure 8. All three eccentrics are linked together by means of synchronized timing belts so that the maximum torsional and bending stress occur simultaneously. It has been previously demonstrated that this motion simulates both the cyclic torsional and bending stress experienced by the actual rotor blades.

A steady axial load simulating centrifugal force is also transmitted to the specimen by means of disk springs.

Test Conditions

The original test conditions were based on the predictions of the stress distributions in a full scale bearingless composite main rotor blade. Reasons why initially three load conditions with four different percents of flight stress at each load condition were investigated are detailed in the Reference (1) report.

Based upon the results of the Reference (1) report and the limited quantity (12) of weathered test specimens available, only two test load conditions with three different percents of flight stress at each load condition were repeated. Table I outlines the test program.

Weathering Tests

The graphite specimens designated for the natural weathering environment were placed on the roof of the Sikorsky Aircraft plant located in Stratford, Connecticut for a two year period.

A fixture as shown in Figure 9 was fabricated in order to position the specimen at a 45° angle to the horizon, facing South. These specimens received continuous exposure (one side only) to the rain and sunlight of the typical Connecticut environment during the time period of September 1971 through September 1973.

The graphite specimens designated for the artificial weathering environment were placed in an Atlas Electric Devices Company Model XW Weatherometer shown in Figure 10.

Each specimen was rotated to expose the opposite face after each 300 hour exposure period since only one face of the specimens could be exposed at one time. Each specimen face received a total of 600 hours of exposure. A 600 hour exposure in the weatherometer was
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equivalent to two years in a Florida environment. This typical Florida environmental conditions would consist of continuous 130°F (54°C) heat and ultraviolet radiation and water spray 20 minutes every 2 hours. All specimens were weighed at periodic intervals in order to monitor weight loss or gain due to weathering either natural or artificial.
Results and Discussions

Combined Load Fatigue Tests

The test stress levels for each load condition as well as the resulting changes in flexural and torsional moduli for both non-weathered and weathered test specimens are tabulated in Table III. A plot of retained torsional modulus as a function of vibratory torsional stress at $10^7$ cycles for load conditions 1 and 2 is given in Figures 11a and 11b. Conversely, Figures 12a and 12b for load conditions 1 and 2 show a plot of retained torsional modulus as a function of vibratory flatwise bending stress at $10^7$ cycles. It must be stated that while the data points plotted for both the artificially and naturally weathered specimens in Figures 11a through 12b represent the actual results of the individual tests for each load condition, the corresponding data points for the nonweathered specimens shown in Figures 11a through 12b which were originally reported in Reference (1) were changed slightly after re-evaluation of that data. In general, the re-evaluation was based upon the additional experience gained over the past 3 years and the current practices now in effect. Specifically, three changes were incorporated and are detailed and discussed next.

1) Re-examination of non-weathered specimens showed various degrees of apparent disbonding between the fiberglass doublers and the graphite specimen. This disbonding may have been the reason for not a single specimen exhibiting zero damage upon calibration even after the accumulation of $1 \times 10^6$ fatigue cycles in the investigation reported in Reference (1). The establishment of improved bonding techniques instituted since the time of the publication of Reference (1) report has been the corrective action taken.

2) In order to normalize all data, it was required to re-evaluate the torsional modulus values for the non-weathered specimens at the same linear strain and/or torsional load level as the weathered specimens.

3) Re-evaluation of the method for determining percent retention of torsional modulus was re-defined in order to conform with more realistic results that should be received. For example, it was discovered that with the previous method employed in Reference (1) report, the torsional modulus losses after $10^7$ fatigue cycles could theoretically exceed 100% when in reality the physical evidence (photomicrographs, ultrasonic 'C' scan and torsional damping characteristics) did not show 100% damage or total disbond. With the incorporation of the
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three procedural changes and techniques above, the comparison between non-weathered and weathered specimen results could then be affected.

Because the torsional modulus change was more significant that either the flatwise or edgewise modulus changes as a function of fatigue cycles, it was chosen as the dependent variable as shown in Figures 11a through 12b and will be discussed exclusively. As shown in Figures 11a and 12a for load condition 1, the test results show that both non-weathered and weathered specimens are not affected when tested at the 220 and 300% flight stress level. However, identical fatigue damage in terms of a 30% torsional stiffness loss is exhibited at 330% flight stress level. It therefore can be assumed that the point of zero damage level for all specimens tested for load condition 1 seems to occur slightly before the 300% flight stress level, as defined by Table III, is reached. Test results for load condition 2 as shown in Figures 11b and 12b show that the point of zero damage for all specimens occurs slightly after the 220% level of flight stress, as defined by Table III, is obtained.

At the 300% level of flight stress where a targeted torsional stress of 5,600 psi (38.7 x 10^6 N/m^2) in Figure 11b is required, both naturally and artificially weathered test specimens exhibited the same amount of damage i.e. approximately 25%. The non-weathered specimens exhibited 6% damage at the 300% flight level stress. However, after re-evaluation of this data it was discovered that the stress level was actually 5,300 psi (36.5 x 10^6 N/m^2) and is plotted at this point in Figure 11b.

At the 350% level of flight stress where a targeted torsional stress of 6,540 psi (45.1 x 10^6 N/m^2) is required, re-evaluation of the non-weathered specimen results also showed that the 45% damage level reported was actually 6,200 psi (42.7 x 10^6 N/m^2) which is also plotted in Figure 11b.

At 330% flight stress level, it would at first appear that the damage to the artificially weathered specimens is 15% greater than that exhibited by the naturally weathered specimens. However, a statement to the effect that artificially weathering may be more severe than natural weathering cannot be made because both types of weathered specimens provided results that were within the scatter band of the mean curve plotted for non-weathered specimens. Consequently both weathering environments appear to have had very little effect on the combined load properties as compared to non-weathered specimens.
Damping and Natural Frequency Measurements

The recorded damping and natural frequency measurements obtained for both naturally and artificially weathered specimens is tabulated in Table IV. Table IV also gives the values of the percentage change of f, the natural frequency and C/Cc, the ratio of damping capacity to critical damping capacity after $5 \times 10^6$ and $10^7$ fatigue cycles. A comparison of the percent change of both 'f' and 'Cc' as a function of percent change in torsional modulus at $10^7$ fatigue cycles is tabulated in Table V and plotted in Figure 13. Test results in Figure 13 show that the percent changes in 'G', f, and Cc are the same for all vibratory stress levels for Condition 1.

The examination of the various vibratory stress levels for Condition 2 show that the percent changes in the 'G', 'f', and 'Cc' values are also the same at the 3,510 psi (24.2 x 10^6 N/m) vibratory stress level or at the 220% of flight stress level. Further examination of the remaining two vibratory stress levels show that there is a very close agreement between the total change in torsion modulus and Cc values while the percent change in natural frequency is about 15 to 20% higher than both the 'G' and 'Cc' values.

Failure Analysis

There were three non-destructive testing techniques employed in order to determine extent of damage to all specimens. These were the following; 'soft' x-ray radiography, dye penetrant, and ultrasonic 'C' scan. Destructive examination in the form of photomicrographs taken at NDI indications was used to verify the results of the three NDT techniques whenever possible.

A discussion on the relative merit or short-coming of each NDT method is next.

X-Ray Radiography

Even though several x-rays were taken with varying parameters of KVP, exposure time and MAM with the utilization of a calibration standard on damaged and undamaged specimens, overall results proved negative. Further work would be required in order to refine this technique so that it is applicable to the size of cracking damage incurred by the specimens.

Dye Penetrant

Dye penetrant inspection was performed on all test specimens in order to detect any minor non-visual cracking on any surface of the test specimen. Particular attention was given to small minor crack detection in two areas. These areas were the longitudinal or horizontal surface cracking between plies and the
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transverse or vertical cracking on the top and bottom surface of the specimen. It was anticipated that surface irregularities other than cracking, i.e. butted plies of graphite and/or scrim non-scrim areas would be screened out. These irregularities might lead to false indications of cracking.

The results of this test method show that surface irregularities were not detected. Minor longitudinal or horizontal surface cracking between plies was detected and provided an excellent clear sharp indication for subsequent photomicrographs as shown in Figure 14a. While ultrasonic 'C' scan could not detect transverse or vertical cracking on the top and bottom surface of the specimen as will be discussed in detail in Section 3.3.3, dye penetrant did prove successful. The examination of potential surface cracks in three specimens as shown in Figure 14b revealed (through photomicrographs) that these cracks did exist.

This NDT technique appears to be a viable tool for detecting minor surface cracking on A-S graphite/epoxy composites.

Ultrasonic 'C' Scan

Two separate ultrasonic techniques 'Straight Pulse Echo' (SPE) and "Loss of Back Face" (LOBF), were employed in order to detect defects in the flat specimens at as many orientations as possible. With the above techniques, there were a total of five scanning directions investigated.

Four of these scans were at a 20° angle with a transducer distance of 2.25" (5.72 cm.) to the specimen surface. Figure 15 illustrates the calibrated specimen with defects and each of the four directional 20° angle scans taken. These scans were taken with the intention of detecting vertical cracking defects in the tested specimens, however the results of these four scanning orientations proved to be inconclusive.

The fifth and remaining scan was directed normal to the specimen surface. The transducer to specimen surface distance was 1 1/2 inches. (3.81 cm.). A 15 MHz transducer was employed for the scan. This scan successfully detected the horizontal cracking defects (areas of disbond) in all fatigue damaged specimens. The results of this scan are contained in Figure 16 and 17, 'Straight Pulse Echo' and 'Loss of Back Face' respectively.
Ultrasonic 'C' Scan (Cont.)

To subjectively evaluate both of the above methods, each specimen's ultrasonic scan was read in terms of total disbond area versus solid laminate. This quantitative technique was accomplished by means of a Plainometer™ which measures surface area in any direction. The percent disbond versus solid laminate area measured by the Plainometer utilizing both 'SPE' and 'LOBF' is tabulated in Table VI. Also given in Table VI is the percent torsional modulus retention after $10^7$ fatigue cycles for each damaged specimen.

An attempt was made to correlate torsional stiffness loss with percent total disbond area utilizing either 'SPE' or 'LOBF'. Results of this evaluation showed that only specimen numbers -16, -17 and -23 were comparable utilizing the 'SPE' technique while no specimens were comparable utilizing the 'LOBF' technique. Since data from only 3 out of 7 specimens give comparable results, no definite relationship seems to exist as to the potential comparison between the torsional stiffness loss with percent disbond. Of the two methods employed, 'SPE' provided a clearer and more precise representation of fatigue damage to the specimens.

Natural and Artificial Weathering

One of the conclusions given in Reference (1) report stated that the percent moisture gain after 600 hours of artificial and 143 days of natural weathering was less than 1 percent by weight. However, it was also stated that less than one percent of the total specimen weight was lost as a result of resin leaching out. It is therefore safe to state that the effect of moisture gain with this laminate after the time exposure with the above weathering conditions as reported in Reference (1) may have been obscured because of the weight loss due to resin leaching out. Because of this phenomenon, as shown in Figure 18, the naturally weathered specimen after given an additional exposure of 587 days again exhibited less than 1 percent moisture weight gain. Since each naturally weathered specimen produced randomly scattered results, the exact measurement of the percent of moisture gain after 2 years of exposure for naturally weathered specimen could not be accurately determined due to weight loss exhibited by resin leaching out of the specimen during the course of 2 years.
Conclusions

1) For stress levels up to 3.5 times or 350% predicted flight stresses, combined load fatigue testing of both types of weathered specimens produced the same amount of fatigue damage as non-weathered specimens.

2) Test results on all specimens showed that fatigue damage as indicated by torsional stiffness loss (approx. 30%) was only evident at 3.5 times the level of flight stress of condition 1, while the first indication of fatigue damage at condition 2 was evident at 3.0 times level of flight stress (30%) with a subsequent increase to 45% at 3.5 times level of flight stress.

3) 'C' scan with the pulse directed normal to the specimen surface can successfully detect the total area of disbond created by interlaminar longitudinal or horizontal cracking. Correlation between torsional stiffness loss and percent disbond was not able to be achieved.

4) The dye penetrant successfully detected minor surface cracks which were later identified with photomicrographs as definite vertical cracks in the fatigue damaged specimens.

5) The change in the torsional stiffness loss (G) correlated with the change in critical damping ratio ($\zeta$).

6) The percent of moisture gain by weight for naturally weathered specimen over a period of 2 years could not be accurately determined due to weight loss caused by resin leaching out of the specimens.
Recommendations for Further Studies

1) A larger quantity of both naturally and artificially weathered specimens at other weathering environments (different humidities and temperatures) should be fatigue tested in the combined load state in order to determine the effect of these other environments on the fatigue strengths of graphite-glass scrim epoxy composites.

2) Refinement of both NDT techniques, ultrasonic 'C' scan and soft x-ray radiographs, should be investigated as to the feasibility of detecting small microscopic vertical cracks.

3) The effect of moisture due to weathering on different resin systems prepreged on A-S graphite should be evaluated in terms of accelerated versus long term aging effects.
References


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load Condition Description</th>
<th>Percent of Flight Stress</th>
<th>Torsional Bending Stress ( \pm \text{PSI} \times 10^6 )</th>
<th>Flatwise Bending Stress ( \pm \text{PSI} \times 10^6 )</th>
<th>Edgewise Bending Stress ( \pm \text{PSI} \times 10^6 )</th>
<th>Axial Stress ( \pm \text{PSI} \times 10^6 )</th>
<th>Stress Ratio Flatwise/Edgewise</th>
<th>Stress Ratio Flatwise/Torsion</th>
<th>No. of Specimens Tested</th>
<th>Artificially Weathered</th>
<th>Naturally Weathered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>2,420 (16.7)</td>
<td>37,500 (258.4)</td>
<td>11,600 (79.9)</td>
<td>4,000 (27.5)</td>
<td>3.4/1</td>
<td>15.4/1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Bending</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Torsion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Axial</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>3,300 (22.7)</td>
<td>51,500 (354.8)</td>
<td>5,100 (104.0)</td>
<td>4,000 (27.5)</td>
<td>3.4/1</td>
<td>15.4/1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>3,850 (26.6)</td>
<td>59,500 (409.9)</td>
<td>9,200 (132.3)</td>
<td>4,000 (27.5)</td>
<td>3.4/1</td>
<td>15.4/1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>3,510 (24.2)</td>
<td>15,000 (103.4)</td>
<td>5,380 (37.1)</td>
<td>8,000 (55.1)</td>
<td>2.8/1</td>
<td>3.6/1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Bending</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Torsion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Axial</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>5,610 (38.7)</td>
<td>20,400 (140.6)</td>
<td>8,080 (55.7)</td>
<td>8,000 (55.1)</td>
<td>2.8/1</td>
<td>3.6/1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>6,540 (44.9)</td>
<td>23,800 (164.0)</td>
<td>8,540 (58.8)</td>
<td>8,000 (55.1)</td>
<td>2.8/1</td>
<td>3.6/1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property</td>
<td>SS-9611 Requirement</td>
<td>Certified Test Results from DMC*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resin Content</td>
<td>44 ± 3%</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile Content</td>
<td>1.0% Max.</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal Shear At</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-67°F (55°C)</td>
<td>PSI (Min.)**</td>
<td>PSI (Min.)**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n/m²×10⁶)</td>
<td>(n/m²×10⁶)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Room Temp. Value ±5%</td>
<td>13,800 95,137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12,000 82.7</td>
<td>12,100 83,417</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70°F (21°C)</td>
<td>&gt;95% of Room Temp. Value</td>
<td>11,700 80,660</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160°F (71°C)</td>
<td>&gt;75% of Room Temp. Value</td>
<td>9,360 64,528</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250°F (121°C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal Flexural Strength At</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-67°F (55°C)</td>
<td>PSI (Min.)**</td>
<td>PSI (Min.)**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n/m²×10⁶)</td>
<td>(n/m²×10⁶)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Room Temp. Value ±5%</td>
<td>198,200 1,366</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200,000 1,378</td>
<td>208,200 1,438</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70°F (21°C)</td>
<td>&gt;95% of Room Temp. Value</td>
<td>222,400 1,533</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160°F (71°C)</td>
<td>&gt;75% of Room Temp. Value</td>
<td>193,500 1,333</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250°F (121°C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal Flexural Modulus At</td>
<td>PSI ±6%</td>
<td>PSI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-67°F (55°C)</td>
<td>(n/m²×10⁹)</td>
<td>(n/m²×10⁹)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Room Temp. Value ±5%</td>
<td>16.2×10⁶ 111.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.2×10⁶ 111.7</td>
<td>16.2×10⁶ 111.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70°F (21°C)</td>
<td>&gt;95% of Room Temp. Value</td>
<td>17.3×10⁶ 119.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160°F (71°C)</td>
<td>&gt;75% of Room Temp. Value</td>
<td>15.7×10⁶ 108.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250°F (121°C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphite Fiber Weight</td>
<td>Must Be Reported</td>
<td>19.46 209</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass Fiber Weight</td>
<td>Must Be Reported</td>
<td>1.88 20.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Information Furnished by Dexter Materials Corporation

**Average of Five Test Specimens
### TABLE III

MODULUS REDUCTION DATA COMPARING ARTIFICIAL AND NATURAL WEATHERED VS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Condition</th>
<th>Initial Stress (x 10^6)</th>
<th>Natural and Artificially Weathered</th>
<th>Modulus Reduction at Cycles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Specimen</td>
<td>Initial Modulus (x 10^9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Art.</td>
<td>0.73 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nat.</td>
<td>0.71 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Art.</td>
<td>0.67 (4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nat.</td>
<td>0.72 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Art.</td>
<td>0.69 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nat.</td>
<td>0.69 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Art.</td>
<td>0.76 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nat.</td>
<td>0.66 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Art.</td>
<td>0.66 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nat.</td>
<td>0.68 (4.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Art.</td>
<td>0.79 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nat.</td>
<td>0.81 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.B.: 1) For Load Condition 1 Initial Stress = 4000 PSI (27.596) M/m^2
2) For Load Condition 2 Initial Stress = 8000 PSI (55.158) M/m^2
3) Data reprinted from NASA CR-132424, Table III
### TABLE IV

DAMPING AND NATURAL FREQUENCY MEASUREMENTS AS A FUNCTION OF FATIGUE CYCLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen Number</th>
<th>Type of Weathered Specimen</th>
<th>Load Condition</th>
<th>Percent of Flight Stress</th>
<th>C/C&lt;sub&gt;0&lt;/sub&gt; and Frequency at Cycles</th>
<th>Percent Change of C/C&lt;sub&gt;0&lt;/sub&gt; and Frequency Compared to 0 Cycle Values, at Cycles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-16</td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>C/C&lt;sub&gt;0&lt;/sub&gt; X 10&lt;sup&gt;-2&lt;/sup&gt; Freq. Hz</td>
<td>C/C&lt;sub&gt;0&lt;/sub&gt; X 10&lt;sup&gt;-2&lt;/sup&gt; Freq. Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-21</td>
<td>Artificial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>12.91 2.31</td>
<td>13.02 2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-19</td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>12.12 2.10</td>
<td>13.17 2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-14</td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>12.70 2.01</td>
<td>13.10 2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-20</td>
<td>Artificial</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>12.72 2.09</td>
<td>19.49 1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-23</td>
<td>Artificial</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>14.38 2.17</td>
<td>24.05 1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-17</td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>13.00 2.17</td>
<td>30.47 1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-14</td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>14.10 2.17</td>
<td>34.30 1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-14</td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>12.72 2.07</td>
<td>21.64 1.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE V
COMPARISON OF TORSIONAL CRITICAL DAMPING (C/CC) AND FREQUENCY (f) CHANGE
VERSUS TORSIONAL 'C' CHANGE AFTER FATIGUE TESTING TO 10^7 CYCLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPEC. NO.</th>
<th>LOAD COND.</th>
<th>PERCENT FLIGHT STRESS</th>
<th>TORSIONAL STRESS (ksi) x 10^6</th>
<th>PLATWISE BENDING STRESS (ksi) x 10^6</th>
<th>EDGewise BENDING STRESS (ksi) x 10^6</th>
<th>REDUCTIONS AT 10^7 CYCLES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>2.420 (16.7)</td>
<td>37.500 (258.4)</td>
<td>11.600 (79.9)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>3.300 (22.7)</td>
<td>51.500 (354.8)</td>
<td>15.100 (104.0)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>3.850 (26.6)</td>
<td>59.500 (409.9)</td>
<td>19.200 (132.3)</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>3.510 (24.2)</td>
<td>15.000 (103.4)</td>
<td>5.380 (37.1)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>5.610 (36.7)</td>
<td>20.400 (140.6)</td>
<td>8.080 (55.7)</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>5.610 (38.7)</td>
<td>20.400 (140.6)</td>
<td>8.080 (55.7)</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>6.540 (44.9)</td>
<td>23.800 (164.0)</td>
<td>8.540 (58.8)</td>
<td>51.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-14'</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>6.540 (44.9)</td>
<td>23.800 (164.0)</td>
<td>8.540 (58.8)</td>
<td>34.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE VI
TEST RESULTS COMPARING PERCENT OF DAMAGED AREA DETECTED BY ULTRASONIC 'C'
SCAN VERSUS TORSIONAL MODULUS REDUCTION AFTER $10^7$ FATIGUE CYCLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spec. No.</th>
<th>Total Area Inspected $\text{in}^2$</th>
<th>Straight Pulse Echo</th>
<th>Inspection Results</th>
<th>Loss of Back Face Inspection Results</th>
<th>Percent Torsional Modulus Reduction (Ref. Table III)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Percent Disbond Area - %</td>
<td>Total Disbond Area - $\text{in}^2$</td>
<td>Percent Disbond Area - %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Disbond Area - $\text{in}^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{cm}^2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Ref. Table III)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-14</td>
<td>4.50 (29.03)</td>
<td>3.10 (20.00)</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>3.45 (22.26)</td>
<td>77.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-16</td>
<td>4.93 (31.81)</td>
<td>0.23 (1.48)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.45 (9.35)</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-17</td>
<td>4.76 (30.71)</td>
<td>1.67 (10.77)</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>2.74 (17.68)</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-19</td>
<td>4.67 (30.13)</td>
<td>3.00 (19.36)</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>3.07 (19.81)</td>
<td>66.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-20</td>
<td>4.80 (30.97)</td>
<td>2.50 (16.13)</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>2.85 (18.39)</td>
<td>58.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-22</td>
<td>4.82 (31.10)</td>
<td>3.60 (23.23)</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>2.85 (18.39)</td>
<td>59.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-23</td>
<td>4.89 (31.55)</td>
<td>3.15 (20.32)</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>3.49 (22.52)</td>
<td>71.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1
COMBINED LOAD TEST SPECIMEN CONFIGURATION
FIGURE 2
FATIGUE SPECIMENT SHOWING STRAIN GAGE LOCATIONS
FIGURE 3
STATIC TORSIONAL MODULUS MEASUREMENT FIXTURE
FIGURE 4
STATIC FLEXURAL MODULUS MEASUREMENT FIXTURE
FIGURE 5

TORSIONAL DAMPING AND NATURAL FREQUENCY MEASUREMENT FIXTURE
FIGURE 6
THE ULTRASONIC C-SCAN FACILITY EQUIPPED WITH AN AUTOMATIC RECORDING DEVICE, SYNCHRONIZED
WITH THE TRANSDUCER MOTION
FIGURE 7

ULTRASONIC CALIBRATION OF TEST SPECIMEN WAS ACCOMPLISHED WITH MACHINED DEFECT

TYPICAL HOLE DEFECT
.125" DIA.
.045" DEEP

TYPICAL SLOT DEFECT
.280" LONG
.035" WIDE
.020" DEEP
FIGURE 8
COMBINED LOAD FATIGUE TEST FACILITY
FIGURE 9
SPECIMEN SUBJECTED TO WEATHERING ON ROOF OF SIKORSKY AIRCRAFT PLANT
FIGURE 10
ACCELERATED WEATHERING TESTS CONDUCTED IN WEATHEROMETER
![Image of a graph showing the results of stress tests on specimens under different conditions. The graph includes data points for non-weathered, artificially weathered, and naturally weathered specimens.

**Table:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYM. COND. NO.</th>
<th>STRESS RATIOS</th>
<th>AXIAL STRESS (ksi)</th>
<th>AXIAL STRESS (n/m² x 10⁶)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FLAT/EDGE</td>
<td>FLAT/TORSION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.4/1</td>
<td>15.4/1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:**

- ○ Non-Weathered Specimens
- △ Artificially Weathered Specimens
- □ Naturally Weathered Specimens

**Diagram:**

- The graph shows the percent of initial torsional modulus at 10⁷ cycles on the y-axis.
- The x-axis represents vibratory torsional stress (± psi) and vibratory torsional stress (± n/m² x 10⁶).

**Figure 11a:**

Weathered vs. Non-Weathered Torsion Test Results for Condition 1
VIBRATORY TORSIONAL STRESS (± PSI)

PERCENT OF INITIAL TORSIONAL MODULUS AT 10^7 CYCLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYM</th>
<th>COND. NO.</th>
<th>STRESS RATIOS</th>
<th>AXIAL STRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FLAT/EDGE</td>
<td>FLAT/TORSION (KSI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.8/1</td>
<td>3.6/1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- ○: NON-WEATHERED SPECIMENS
- △: ARTIFICIALLY WEATHERED SPECIMENS
- □: NATURALLY WEATHERED SPECIMENS

FIGURE 11b
WEATHERED VS. NON-WEATHERED TORSION TEST RESULTS FOR CONDITION 2
MEASURE CURVE FOR NON-WEATHERED VIBRATORY FLATWISE BENDING STRESS (\textpm \text{ksi})

FIGURE 12a
WEATHERED VS. NON-WEATHERED FLATWISE BENDING TEST RESULTS FOR CONDITION 1
**Figure 12b**

Weighed vs. non-weighed flatwise bending tests results for condition 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sym.</th>
<th>Cond. No.</th>
<th>Stress Ratios Flat/Edge</th>
<th>Axial Stress (KSI)</th>
<th>Axial Stress (n/m² x 10⁶)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.8/1</td>
<td>3.6/1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>55.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Non-weathered specimens**
- **Artificially weathered specimens**
- **Naturally weathered specimens**

**Estimated mean curve for non-weathered specimens.**
Figure 13

Percentage C, f, and C reductions at $10^7$ cycles vs. vibratory torsional stress (psi). Symbols and conditions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONDITION NO.</th>
<th>SYMBOL DEFINITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>○ TORSIONAL MODULUS - C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>● CRITICAL DAMPING - C&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>△ NATURAL FREQUENCY - f</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VIBRATORY TORSIONAL STRESS (in/psi for $10^6$ cycles)
FIGURE 14
DETECTION OF FATIGUE DAMAGE CRACKING BY DYE PENETRANT INSPECTION
FIGURE 15
CALIBRATED SPECIMEN SHOWING THE FOUR SCANNING DIRECTIONS
TAKEN AT 20° ANGLE BEAN TO THE SPECIMEN
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPECIMEN NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERCENT OF DAMAGED AREA</th>
<th>NOT DAMAGED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ULTRASONIC STRAIGHT PULSE ECHO SCAN SHOWING PERCENT DAMAGED AREA FOR EACH SPECIMEN NUMBER.

DASHED LINES SHOW SPECIMEN BOUNDARIES.

MATERIALS & PROCESS LABORATORY
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPECIMEN NUMBER</th>
<th>TYP SCAN OF HAMAGED SPECIMEN</th>
<th>SPECIMEN NUMBER</th>
<th>TYP SCAN OF HAMAGED SPECIMEN</th>
<th>SPECIMEN NUMBER</th>
<th>TYP SCAN OF HAMAGED SPECIMEN</th>
<th>SPECIMEN NUMBER</th>
<th>TYP SCAN OF HAMAGED SPECIMEN</th>
<th>SPECIMEN NUMBER</th>
<th>TYP SCAN OF HAMAGED SPECIMEN</th>
<th>SPECIMEN NUMBER</th>
<th>TYP SCAN OF HAMAGED SPECIMEN</th>
<th>SPECIMEN NUMBER</th>
<th>TYP SCAN OF HAMAGED SPECIMEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-14</td>
<td>SPECIMEN 1</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>SPECIMEN 2</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>SPECIMEN 3</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>SPECIMEN 4</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>SPECIMEN 5</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>SPECIMEN 6</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>SPECIMEN 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERCENT OF DISBOND AREA</th>
<th>MEASURED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>77.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERCENT OF TORSIONAL REDUCTION</th>
<th>MEASURED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>34.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

- WHITE AREA INDICATES DISBOND/DEFECT
- DASHED LINES SHOW SPECIMEN BOUNDARIES

**Figure 1:**

ULTRASONIC LOSS OF BACK FACE "C" SCAN SHOWING PERCENT DISBOND AREA FOR EACH FATIGUE DAMAGED SPECIMEN.
ARTIFICIALLY WEATHERED FOR 3 YEARS.
TYPICAL SCRIM SIDE ONLY

NATURAL WEATHERED FOR 2 YEARS - TYPICAL
SCRIM VS. NON SCRIM SIDE EXPOSURE

FIGURE 18
TYPICAL ARTIFICIAL AND NATURAL WEATHERING EFFECTS SHOWING
SURFACE RESIN LEACH OUT, EXPOSING SCRIM FIBERS.