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SUMMARY

The objective of this program was to establish the technology for small, high-pressure, liquid hydrogen (LH₂) pumping capability. Turbopumps in this category are needed for applications in small, high-performance, reusable, versatile, staged-combustion rocket engines.

To accomplish the above objective, analysis and design effort was expended to produce specifications and shop drawings in sufficient detail to permit fabrication of test hardware. The design includes a three-stage centrifugal pump with radial diffusers and internal crossovers. Power to the pump is developed by an axial-flow, two-stage, reaction-type turbine, using the combustion products of LH₂ and liquid oxygen (LO₂). Rotor axial thrust control is provided by incorporating a self-compensating, double-acting balance piston as an integral part of the third-stage impeller rear shroud. The rotor is supported on a pair of ball bearings on each end. All bearings are cooled by recirculating LH₂ internally through them. A controlled-gap, shaft-riding seal is used to prevent turbine hot gases from entering the pump region. The nominal design speed of the rotor was established at 9947 rad/s (95,000 rpm).

Hardware for two turbopump assemblies was fabricated. Two types of impellers were included: (1) an integral impeller whose flow passages were formed by electrical-discharge machining (EDM), and (2) a split impeller which was fabricated by machining in two pieces, then welding to form the assembly. Only the integral impellers were utilized during the testing covered by this report.

A hot-gas generator was designed with a film-cooled body and an injector similar to the engine preburner to make maximum use of existing combustion technology. The gas generator was tested on LIMA stand in the Propulsion Research Area at Rocketdyne's Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL) for a total of 15 tests resulting in acceptable hot-gas thermal gradients and satisfactory hardware condition.

The turbine was calibrated at Wiley Laboratories utilizing gaseous nitrogen (GN₂) as the driving medium. The output was measured with a torquemeter. The turbine efficiency was measured at 79% compared with a predicted design value of 75%.

The LH₂ turbopump assembly also was tested at LIMA stand of Rocketdyne's Propulsion Research Area. Ten tests were conducted on one turbopump assembly, accumulating a total time of 884 seconds. Liquid hydrogen was used as the pump fluid, and the turbine was propelled by ambient-temperature gaseous hydrogen (GH₂). The test speed ranged up to 9739 rad/s (93,000 rpm). Pump discharge pressures ranging up to 2883 N/cm² (4182 psia), and flowrates up to 0.032 m³/s (509 gpm) were generated.
Analysis of the fluid dynamic data revealed that, at speeds below 8376 rad/s (80,000 rpm), the generated pump head was as predicted and the pump isentropic efficiency was slightly higher than predicted. At speeds approaching the design level, the performance of the pump first stage deteriorated somewhat, causing the overall head to fall below the predicted value. The data also disclosed higher-than-predicted temperatures for the coolant of both bearing sets.

The mechanical operation of the turbopump gave evidence of a basically sound design. The entire initial test series was performed on a single build, and the speed limitation of 9739 rad/s (93,000 rpm) was imposed only by the drive gas supply. No evidence of structural failure or detrimental rotor vibrations was present. Disassembly of the turbopump revealed only minor hardware discrepancies.
INTRODUCTION

System studies have been conducted to determine the feasibility of developing a reusable vehicle for performing future Air Force and NASA space maneuvering missions. These studies have shown that, over the thrust range of interest, high-pressure, staged-combustion-cycle engines offer the highest specific impulse and payload capability. A review of the vehicle and engine system study results indicates that a single-bell-nozzle, staged-combustion-cycle engine at 88,964 \( (20,000 \text{ pounds}) \) thrust level is near optimum for the DOD and NASA mission requirements.

This program was initiated to provide the required hydrogen turbopump technology base for subsequent development of a high-performance, staged-combustion rocket engine.

Technology items of particular interest during the course of the current development program include fabrication of impeller passages by EDM; split impeller design and fabrication techniques; balancing of small, high-speed, multipart shafts; hydrogen-embrittlement protection; balance piston design and operation; high DN bearings; and assembly and measurement procedures for small turbopump assemblies. In addition, work was performed on concentric-element \( \text{LO}_2/\text{LH}_2 \) injectors.

The objectives of this program were to design, fabricate, and test a high-pressure \( \text{LH}_2 \) turbopump capable of meeting the performance requirements of the 88,964 N \( (20,000 \text{ pounds}) \) thrust, staged-combustion-cycle engine; demonstrate its basic capability; and identify any areas where additional effort due to technology limitations is required to place a future engine program on a solid basis.

Rocketdyne has assigned the designation "Mark 48-F Turbopump" to the small, high-pressure, \( \text{LH}_2 \) turbopump design generated under this contract. The two terms will be used interchangeably through this report.
DISCUSSION

ANALYSIS AND DESIGN

ASE Engine Configuration

The objective of this program was to establish the technology base for small, high-pressure LH2 pumping capability for application on the Advanced Space Engine (ASE). The basic performance parameters for the ASE have been established in a preliminary design task, the results of which are reported in Ref. 1.

A schematic of the ASE is presented in Fig. 1. It is a staged-combustion cycle engine using LH2 and LO2 as propellants. The major components comprising the engine are two, low-pressure gas-driven boost pumps; two, high-pressure pumps; a preburner; a regeneratively cooled combustion chamber and nozzle; dump cooled nozzle extension; and valves.

The small, high-pressure LH2 turbopump effort performed under this contract was directed toward establishing the technology for the main hydrogen turbopump.

Turbopump Requirements

The performance requirements for the Mark 48-F turbopump are listed in Table 1. The pump is required to deliver 2.74 kg/s (6.04 lb/sec) of LH2 starting with an inlet pressure of 49 N/cm² (71 psia) provided by the low-pressure pump, to a discharge pressure of 3140 N/cm² (4560 psia). The propellant gas for the turbine is a mixture of free hydrogen and steam, resulting from the combustion of LH2 and LO2. The gas is provided at a temperature of 1033 K (1860 R) and an inlet pressure of 2360 N/cm² (3420 psia). The total gas flowrate available is 3.02 kg/s (6.66 lb/sec). The horsepower requirement of the pump is matched by adjusting the pressure ratio across the turbine. Since turbine pressure ratio has a strong influence on the attainable engine combustion pressure in a staged combustion cycle, it is to be maintained at the lowest possible level. As noted in Table 1, the mechanical operating requirements included multiple starts with long operating durations and potentially long coast times between operations.

The values noted in Table 1 deviate slightly from the requirements expressed in the original contract work statement. Refined computer runs of the engine balance indicated minor shifts in the required pump discharge pressure, turbine inlet temperature and pressure, as well as turbine hot-gas flowrate. The revised values were incorporated in the requirements with the NASA project manager's approval.

In addition to the performance criteria noted in Table 1, the contract work statement included certain ground rules relating primarily to the structural analysis and mechanical design of the turbopump. These ground rules are enclosed in Appendix A.
Figure 1. ASE System Schematic
TABLE 1. MARK 48-F TURBOPUMP NOMINAL DESIGN CONDITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pump</th>
<th>SI Units</th>
<th>English Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Centrifugal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propellant</td>
<td>LH₂</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet pressure</td>
<td>49 N/cm²</td>
<td>71 psia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet temperature</td>
<td>21-23 K</td>
<td>38-41 R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge pressure</td>
<td>3140 N/cm</td>
<td>4560 psia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass flow</td>
<td>2.74 kg/s</td>
<td>6.04 lb/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of stages</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turbine</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Working fluid</td>
<td>O₂/H₂ combustion products</td>
<td>(H₂ x H₂O)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet temperature</td>
<td>1033 K</td>
<td>1860 R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet pressure</td>
<td>2360 N/cm²</td>
<td>3420 psia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure ratio</td>
<td>Minimum necessary to develop pump horsepower requirements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowrate</td>
<td>3.02 kg/s</td>
<td>6.66 lb/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of stages</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Full admission</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Turbopump

Capable of operation at pumped-idle conditions, i.e., approximately 5 to 10% full thrust

- Off-design operation: +20% Q/N at full thrust down to 30% Q/N at 20% N
- Service life between overhauls: *300 thermal cycles or 10 hours accumulated run time
- Service-free life: *60 thermal cycles or 2 hours accumulated run time
- Maximum single run duration: 2000 seconds
- Maximum time between firings during mission: 14 days
- Minimum time between firings during mission: 1 minute
- Maximum storage time in orbit (dry): 52 weeks

*Thermal cycle defined as engine start (to any thrust level) and shutdown.
Certain elements of the requirements noted above had a particularly significant impact on the technology requirements of the turbopump and the ensuing design configuration. In the area of the pump, the combination of low flowrate and high discharge pressure imposed a difficult impeller fabrication task because of the relatively narrow passages required compared with the outer diameter. The desire for high efficiency, compact packaging, and light weight placed the rotor speed into the 9423 to 10,470 rad/s (90,000 to 100,000 rpm) range, pushing bearing DN value to the $2.0 \times 10^6$ mm rpm limit noted in the Design Ground Rules (Appendix A). The bearing operation at high DN values in a turbopump installation as well as the dynamic behavior of the rotor at high speeds needed to be demonstrated.

Because of the high operating speed involved, the bearings would not be able to take an appreciable axial thrust load. This condition dictated that an axial thrust balance device be employed. The operating characteristics of such a device also required evaluation. In the turbine, the performance of a small turbine with a high-power density and low-pressure ratio (approximately 1.4) needed to be demonstrated.

From a structural consideration, the requirement for 300 thermal cycles was significant in that it established low-cycle fatigue criteria and eventually necessitated incorporating a liner in the turbine manifold to limit the maximum thermal gradients in structural walls.

**Turbopump Description**

The configuration of the Mark 48-F turbopump is shown in Fig. 2. The turbopump assembly requirements are established on Rocketdyne drawing RS009601E, a copy of which is included in Appendix B.

The pumping elements consist of three centrifugal impellers containing six full and six partial vanes, a radial diffuser after each impeller, and an internal crossover passage following the first- and second-stage diffusers. Liquid hydrogen is introduced to the pump and delivered from the pump through a scroll-shaped inlet and discharge, respectively. The pump end of the rotor assembly is formed by the impeller hubs, which are piloted relative to each other and maintained axially tight by a central tie bolt. The two pump crossovers are mounted on the pump through the externally accessible flanges. Although this feature results in additional external seal joints and weight, it facilitates measuring all significant interstage pressure levels. The cavities between the crossovers and the external housings are sealed from the main flow passages by axial flange seals, and they are vented to the pump inlet. Thus, the external flange seals are subjected to pump inlet pressure only, and should not pose a leakage problem. Internal recirculation around the impellers is minimized by step labyrinth seals in the front and rear shrouds. The seal lands are plated with silver to prevent hard metal-to-metal rubbing, and still facilitate maintaining close radial clearances.
Figure 2. Mark 48-F Turbopump Assembly
The turbine is a two-stage reaction type with an overall pressure ratio of 1.443. Approximately 52% of power is developed in the first stage and 48% in the second stage. The wheels are attached to the shaft by three body-bound studs which also transmit the torque. Axial holes are incorporated in the disks to provide a path for the shaft seal leakage, which is used as a coolant. The downstream side of the second-stage wheel is covered with a shield to reduce heating effect of the exhaust gas on the disk. Low-cycle fatigue necessitates incorporating a sheet metal liner into the inlet manifold to reduce thermal gradients during start and cutoff. The liner is approximately 1.57 mm (0.062 inch) thick, and includes bleed holes to equalize the pressure on either side.

Axial thrust control is maintained by using a self-compensating balance piston incorporated in the back shroud of the third-stage impeller. To operate the balance piston, fluid from the discharge of the third-stage impeller is passed through a high-pressure orifice at the tip of the impeller, then through a low-pressure orifice located near the hub into the rear bearing cavity. From the bearing cavity, the fluid is returned to the inlet of the second-stage impeller through an annular passage between the shaft and the impeller hubs.

The rotor is supported radially by two pairs of duplex, angular-contact, 20 mm ball bearings, axially preloaded to prevent the balls from skidding. The rear bearings are retained axially through a spring-loaded cartridge so that they will also absorb transient axial rotor loads. Cooling of the pump end bearings is accomplished by bleeding LH$_2$ from the hub area between the first- and second-stage impellers, passing the fluid through an annular passage at the center of the first-stage impeller to the pump end, then in reverse direction through the bearings and back to the eye of the first-stage impeller. Cooling of the turbine end bearings is effected by bleeding coolant fluid from the pump discharge, introducing it to an area on the turbine side of the bearings, and allowing it to flow through the bearings where it joins the balance piston fluid and returns to the eye of the second-stage impeller. Additional coolant is provided for the rear bearings by the fluid which leaks through the pump side of the shaft seal.

To separate the pump and turbine regions, a controlled-gap, shaft-riding seal is employed. Since the pressure on the pump side of the seal is lower than on the turbine side, the middle of the seal is pressurized with LH$_2$ supplied from the pump discharge. In this manner, a positive flow of liquid hydrogen toward the turbine is ensured, and entry of hot gas into the pump is prevented. As noted above, the LH$_2$ which leaks through the shaft seal toward the pump is used to lubricate the bearings. The fluid which leaks to the turbine is used to cool the turbine disks.

Configuration Selection

The baseline configuration of the turbopump was defined by the Statement of Work as a three-stage, centrifugal pump powered by a two-stage, full-admission turbine. To select the design within that concept definition which best meets the objectives of the program, several alternatives were evaluated. The principal options and their effect on the turbopump are discussed in the following.
Number of Pump Stages. In accordance with the Statement of Work as noted above, the analysis and design effort was restricted to a three-stage pump. Prior to the actual contract effort, a two-stage version of the pump was evaluated by Rocketdyne, and the results of that study are included here for reference.

Table 2 presents a summary of the principal design parameters generated in the preliminary analysis of the two-stage pump. For comparison, the values obtained for the three-stage configuration are included.

The two-stage concept was attractive from the standpoint that it offered the possibility of a simpler design, fewer number of parts, and lower cost. On the other hand, it was encumbered with three major disadvantages. Productibility of the shrouded impellers presented difficulties in view of the small discharge width, 2.0 mm (0.079 inch), and relatively large tip diameter, 12.19 cm (4.8 inches). Furthermore, the tip speed of the impeller was very high, 640 m/s (2100 ft/sec), pushing the limits of the available material strength levels. Finally, because of the lower-stage specific speed at which a two-stage unit operates, the attainable efficiency was lower (53.4%). With the three-stage configuration, several potentially difficult aspects of the design were alleviated and better performance was obtained; therefore, it represents a more advantageous approach.

Speed Selection. The primary hydrodynamic coefficients, impeller tip speed, and rotational speed were approximately the same for the configurations under practical consideration. Values for these parameters could be selected for the initial iteration before detail design features were fixed. The head coefficient value ($\psi = 0.576$) for the impeller was selected to obtain high efficiency and to ensure system stability. Once the stage head coefficient was selected, the required impeller tip speed was fixed by the following relationship:

$$u_2 = \left( \frac{Hg}{\psi} \right)^{1/2}$$

where

- $u_2$ = impeller tip speed
- $g$ = gravitational acceleration
- $\psi$ = stage head coefficient
- $H$ = head required per stage to deliver the specified discharge pressure

The desired impeller tip speed value can be attained at several rotor speed levels by selecting an appropriate tip diameter. The speed range investigated for this application extended from 7853 to 13,088 rad/s (75,000 to 125,000 rpm). Within the speed range studied, the stage specific speed varied from

$$0.20 \text{ to } 0.33 \frac{\text{rad/s}}{\left( \frac{m^3}{s} \right)^{1/2}} \left( \frac{J}{kg} \right)^{3/4} \left( \text{550 to 900 rpm gpm}^{1/2} / \text{ft}^{3/4} \right).$$
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Two Stage</th>
<th>Three Stage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rotor Speed</strong></td>
<td>10,470 rad/s (100,000 rpm)</td>
<td>9950 rad/s (95,000 rpm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impeller Tip Width</strong></td>
<td>2.00 mm (0.079 inch)</td>
<td>3.81 mm (0.150 inch)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impeller Tip Diameter</strong></td>
<td>12.19 cm (4.8 inches)</td>
<td>10.31 cm (4.058 inch)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impeller Tip Speed</strong></td>
<td>640 m/s (2100 ft/sec)</td>
<td>513 m/s (1683 ft/sec)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stage Specific Speed</strong></td>
<td>(500 rpm $\sqrt{\frac{gpm}{3/4}}$ ft)</td>
<td>(694 rpm $\sqrt{\frac{gpm}{3/4}}$ ft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pump Horsepower</strong></td>
<td>2072 kW (2780 hp)</td>
<td>1896 kW (2543 hp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pump Overall Efficiency</strong></td>
<td>53.4%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These values were substantially below the specific speed value that results in the maximum attainable efficiency for centrifugal pumps; consequently, the pump efficiency increased with higher values of speed. Thus, from the standpoint of efficiency, the highest possible speed was desirable. With higher speeds, the impeller diameter decreased and the tip width increased, which was desirable from a weight/envelope and producibility consideration as well. The upper speed limit was defined by the DN (diameter, mm x speed, rpm) value of the turbine end bearing, which reached the maximum specified in the Statement of Work \( \text{DN}_{\text{max}} = 2 \times 10^6 \) at 10,470 rad/s (100,000 rpm). Subsequently, the speed was reduced to a nominal design value of 9946 rad/s (95,000 rpm) to satisfy the h0-hour life requirement with the turbine rotor blades which could not be met at the higher speed level.

**Pump Inlet Type.** The radial-entry, volute-type inlet configuration of the pump was selected to optimize engine packaging. It was anticipated that the low-pressure pump will have a scroll-shaped volute with a radial discharge, and that the high-pressure fuel turbopump will be mounted on the engine with pump inlet side down. Under these conditions, the fluid is transferred from the low-pressure pump to the high-pressure pump most efficiently, both from the standpoint of fluid friction and engine weight, with a radial inlet on the high-pressure pump.

A volute-type inlet was used to provide prewhirl at the first-stage impeller inlet. The impeller inlet prewhirl permitted a larger impeller discharge blade angle for reduced stress as well as a reduced inlet relative velocity for increased impeller efficiency. The volute-type inlet provided low losses, permitted the bearings to be located outboard of the impeller inlet, and resulted in engine installation flexibility.

**Impeller Type.** The use of shrouded versus open-face impellers was evaluated. Open-face impellers are easier to fabricate and are capable of higher tip speeds; however, the low flowrates bring about a very low impeller blade height in this turbopump. As a result, the clearance between the impeller vanes and housing would have to be held impractically close to maintain high performance. Furthermore, small changes in the axial position of the rotor would bring about large shifts in pump performance. In contrast, with a shrouded impeller, there is no need to hold the axial clearance close, and performance is independent of rotor position. Despite the fact that the impeller hub had to be longer to carry the shroud centrifugal loads and fabrication was more difficult, performance considerations dictated that shrouds be used. An important side benefit of adding the shrouds was that the stiffness of the impeller was increased which, in turn, reduced the relative deflection in the balance piston. This was of particular significance because of the high-pressure loads involved.

**Diffuser Type.** The use of radial-vaned diffusers as opposed to an open volute with one or two tongues was evaluated. The radial-vane configuration was selected for three reasons: (1) by reducing the velocity of the fluid in the volute to approximately one-half of the impeller discharge velocity, fluid friction losses, which are proportional to velocity squared, were reduced; (2) the pressure around the periphery of the impeller was more uniform with
a vaned diffuser, therefore the radial loads were smaller; and (3) the diffuser vanes provided an efficient tie for the pressure vessel formed by the volute walls, thereby reducing the housing wall thickness requirement.

Turbine Orientation. The comparative effect of orienting the turbine flow toward the pump or away from the pump was analyzed from axial thrust, performance, and structural considerations. The pump or turbine performance was not affected by turbine flow direction, but there was a significant effect on net total thrust. The axial thrust of the selected design could be balanced satisfactorily only if the turbine flow was directed away from the pump. From a mechanical and structural standpoint, it was found that the least complicated and most economical design, from cost and weight standpoint, could be obtained by supporting the first-stage nozzle on the pump housing and orienting the flow away from the pump. This design had the advantage that inlet and exhaust manifolding was not an integral part of the critical working components of the turbine. As a result, the manifolding could be modified to accommodate any future engine packaging needs without affecting either the fluid-dynamic or structural behavior of the turbine. The turbine-to-pump housing connection was made identical to the visualized lightweight design. This way, all thermal conditions that may influence flight design operations are duplicated in this technology task.

Pump Hydrodynamic Analysis

Pump Inlet Design. As noted above, the pump inlet configuration was strongly influenced by the Advanced Space Engine (ASE) design layout, which resulted in optimum packaging with a scroll-shaped inlet. A scroll-shaped inlet can be accommodated either with an open-volute-type approach, or one in which vanes are included to provide structural support and guidance to the flow. The latter concept was selected because it presents a means for imparting prewhirl to the fluid entering the first-stage impeller. Prewhirl, in turn, facilitates a larger impeller discharge blade angle, which is desirable from the standpoint of producibility.

Figure 3 shows the dimensions of the leading and trailing edges of the inlet cascade. As already mentioned, these vanes are also needed for structural reasons. Twenty percent of the volume containing the vanes is needed to satisfy stress requirements. This sets the vane thickness. Figure 4 shows the vane system resulting in an actual metal volume of 20.7%. Figure 5 shows the vane angle variation, and Fig. 6 shows the area variation along the flow path from A to B (Fig. 1).
Figure 4. Mark 48-F Pump Inlet Vane Configuration
Figure 5. Mark 48-F Pump Inlet Vane Angle Variation
Figure 6. Mark 48-F Pump Inlet Variation at Guide Vanes
**Impeller Design.** The required isentropic stage headrise to satisfy the discharge pressure of 3144 N/cm² (4560 psia) is 153,000 J/kg (51,334 feet). With an operating speed of 9947 rad/s (95,000 rpm) and a delivered flowrate of 0.0396 m³/s (627.5 gpm) the stage specific speed is 695. Since the speed cannot be increased due to bearing and turbine limitations, the only way to optimize efficiency is to use a high head coefficient. However, producibility has in this case an overriding influence.

To obtain a high strength/weight ratio, titanium was selected as the impeller material. The technology exists to produce complex shrouded titanium impellers by casting; however, the fracture toughness of such a casting at LH₂ temperatures is highly suspect. Other approaches to producing a complex shrouded impeller, such as diffusion bonding and powder metallurgy, would require an extensive and expensive development effort that was not within the scope of this program. As a result, the decision was made to fabricate the impellers by either pantographing or electrical discharge machining (EDM). Both of these means impose a limitation on the number of blades to be used as well as the discharge angle and wrap angle.

For a high head coefficient, a large number of blades is desirable. Strictly from a hydrodynamic point of view, the optimum impeller would have contained six full blades, six partial blades, and a second set of 12 partial blades at the discharge (6 + 6 + 12), with a discharge angle of 0.52 radians of (30 degrees). This would have yielded a head coefficient of approximately 0.65 (Fig. 16 of NASA Report SP 8109). Such an impeller, however, cannot be manufactured by the methods selected above. Producibility required the number of blades to be reduced to 6 + 6 with a discharge blade of 0.65 radian (37.5 degrees). The flow range is slightly reduced (Fig. 7) and, due to the lower number of blades, a head coefficient of approximately 0.58 can be expected. This reduces the obtainable efficiency because the impeller diameter increases.

**Impeller Inlet.** The impeller inlet diameter must be selected so that the required suction specific speed lies within the range of available experience. Due to the size, however, the producibility of the impeller has a major influence. To obtain sufficient NPSH margin to ensure that the pump meets the suction requirements, the inlet diameter should be as large as possible. Producibility, however, sets a limit since, by increasing the inlet eye diameter, the blade angle becomes flatter. As a result, machining becomes more difficult. The hub diameter at the impeller inlet was established as 3.1 cm (1.22 inches), the minimum value allowed by mechanical design considerations. The eye diameter was determined as 4.83 cm (1.90 inches) after a study in which hydrodynamic goals and producibility limitations were reconciled. To assess the required impeller suction specific speed, the following approach was taken: It was assumed that the required impeller NPSH is equal to 4 x cm²/2 g. The factor 4 is selected to account for the relatively thick impeller blade leading edge, which is not shaped in accordance with the rules of inducer leading-edge design. Taking the calculated leakage flow into account, the axial inlet velocity is 44.7 m/s (146.5 ft/sec) which results in a required NPSH of

\[
NPSH_{\text{req}} = 3981 \text{ J/kg} = 406 \text{ m (1332 feet)}
\]
Figure 7. Mark 48-F Head-Flow Characteristics With 0.523 and 0.654 Radian (30° and 37.5 degrees) Impeller Discharge Angles
Impeller Blading. With inlet and discharge blade angles established, the major concern was to obtain a blade shape which would result in an acceptable velocity distribution and which could be manufactured. As stated above, the 0.65 radian (37.5 degrees) discharge angle and the tip width of 3.81 mm (0.15 inch), is acceptable. The question, however, still remains how much wrap angle (θ) can be incorporated. From the manufacturing point of view a small wrap angle is desired, from the hydrodynamic point of view a larger one. The lower limit of θ is dictated by the blade loading. Therefore, several blade designs were evaluated with the result that a wrap angle of 2.4 radian (135 degrees) was selected for the full blades and 0.9 radian (52 degrees) for the partial. This satisfies both manufacturing and hydrodynamic considerations.

Figure 9 shows the blade thickness distribution. Fluid velocity relative to the impeller blades at the inner and outer stream tubes are given in Fig. 10 and 11, and pressure loading profile is indicated in Fig. 12. Cross sections of the impeller flow passage, with wrap angle distributions for the full and partial vanes, are included in Fig. 13 and 14.

Crossover Design. The crossover design is based on the results of an experimental program that was conducted for the SSME high-pressure turbopump. The crossover design which yielded the highest efficiency used a radial diffuser followed by a 3.14-radian (180 degrees) vaneless turn. After the turn, the flow entered a tandem diffuser (two blade rows guiding the flow to the entrance of the following stage). Figure 8 shows the concept schematically.

The first step, therefore, was to define the diffuser which follows the impeller and which is identical for all three stages. The diffuser inlet diameter was set at 11 cm (4.36 inches), which results in a gap of 3.8 mm (0.15 inch) between impeller discharge and diffuser inlet. This value represented an acceptable compromise between the tendency to minimize the gap to maintain low hydrodynamic losses and the desire to maintain a sufficiently large clearance to avoid large pressure fluctuations on the impeller vanes.

The diffuser is also a structural member requiring approximately 40% metal volume. After several iterations, this condition was satisfied by using 11 vanes with a discharge diameter of 13.9 cm (5.48 inches).

The inlet and exit width of the diffuser is set at 3.8 mm (1.5 inch). The flow leaves the impeller at an angle of 0.14 radian (8.2 degrees) from tangential, resulting in a \(c_u\) component of 367 m/s (1204 ft/sec) and a \(c_v\) component of 53 m/s (174 ft/sec). Based on these flow conditions, the diffuser passage is calculated using Rocketdyne's diffuser program. The results are shown in
Figure 9. Mark 48-F Fuel Impeller Vane Thickness Distribution
Figure 10. Fuel Impeller Relative Velocities, Inner Streamtube
Figure 11. Fuel Impeller Relative Velocities, Outer Streamtube
Figure 12. Fuel Impeller Pressure Loading
Figure 13. Impeller Cross-Section Layout With Full Blade Wrap Angles
Figure 14. Impeller Cross-Section Layout With Partial Blade Wrap Angles
Fig. 15 through 18. Figure 15 shows the vane angle variation along the diffuser passage, and Fig. 16 presents the area. Figure 17 shows the pressure distribution on the vane suction and pressure side, and Fig. 18 illustrates the diffuser cascade.

The radial vaned diffuser is followed by a vaneless turning passage in which the radial direction of the flow is turned 2.8 radians (162 degrees) to guide the fluid inward toward the eye of the next impeller. The turning passage is followed by two rows of vanes, where the fluid is further diffused. At the exit from the final row of blades, the fluid is directed at an angle such that the impeller blade angles established for the first stage can be used for all stages. The number of vanes in each of the two blade rows is set at 17. The turning in the first row is 0.339 radians (19.4 degrees) which, with a solidity of 2.08 results in a diffusion factor of 0.589. The turning in the second row is set to 0.72 radian (41.3 degrees) which, with a solidity of 1.53 results in a diffusion factor of 0.603.

Volute. The volute which follows the diffuser of the third stage is folded over away from the turbine. This type is selected because it results in a stable secondary volute flow pattern and, consequently, an optimum efficiency.

The calculated velocities at the third-stage diffuser exit are:

- Circumferential velocity \( C_u = 183 \text{ m/s} \) (600 ft/sec)
- Radial velocity \( C_r = 41 \text{ m/s} \) (134 ft/sec)
- Absolute velocity \( C = 187 \text{ m/s} \) (615 ft/sec)
- Velocity direction = 0.219 radian (12.6 degrees)

The volute area distribution was calculated in 0.35 radian (20 degrees) wrap angle intervals, using a recovery factor of 0.70 and a blockage factor of 0.70. The resulting area distribution is shown in Fig. 19.

Pump Performance Prediction. The overall pump headrise and efficiency was calculated based on the isentropic enthalpy rise obtained from actual properties.

The isentropic headrise is defined as:

\[ H_{is} = J \times \Delta h_{is} \]

where

- \( \Delta h_{is} \) = Isentropic headrise from actual fluid properties, J/kg (Btu/lb)
- \( J \) = Mechanical equivalent of heat (1.0 in SI units, 778 in English units)
- \( H_{is} \) = Headrise, J/kg (ft-lb/lb)
Figure 15. Mark 48-F Pump Diffuser Vane Angle
Figure 16. Mark 48-F Pump Diffuser Area
Figure 17. Mark 48-F Pump Diffuser Pressure Diagram
NUMBER OF VANES = 11
DIFFUSION FACTOR = .583
PRESS. RECOVERY = $3.2 \times 10^6$ N/m² (464 PSI)

Figure 18. Mark 48-F Pump Diffuser Design
Figure 19. Mark 48-F Pump Volute Area Distribution
With that, the efficiency is calculated

\[ \eta_{is} = \frac{\Delta h_{is}}{\Delta h_{act}} = \text{(see Fig. 20)} \]

The process is assumed to be adiabatic. The isentropic enthalpy rise represents the ideal pump (without losses). The losses are assumed to go into heating, resulting in an actual temperature \( T_{2_{\text{act}}} \).

To establish the theoretical performance of the pump, the energy added to the fluid is calculated successively at each pump element as well as the losses incurred as a result of friction, internal leakage, etc. The predicted state points in the adiabatic compression process are shown on an h-s diagram in Fig. 21. Based on this analysis, the isentropic efficiency of the pump was calculated as 58% by the relationship:

\[
s = \frac{h_2 - h_1}{h_3 - h_1}
\]

\[
= \frac{176,600 - (-227,800)}{464,800 - (-227,800)} = 58\% \text{ (SI units)}
\]

\[
= \frac{76 - (-98)}{200 - (-98)} = 58\% \text{ (English units)}
\]

This approach yields lower values than using the polytropic process and, at the same time, is more representative of the real process, which is closer to constant volume. The losses used in computing the pump characteristic are summarized in Table 3, and the pump map is shown in Fig. 22. A summary of the principal pump design parameters is included in Table 4. Figures 23 through 26 present the pressure, temperature, internal flow, and fluid density levels calculated at significant stations of the turbopump.

**Turbine Aerothermodynamic Analysis**

The Mark 48 turbine design represents an advancement in the state-of-the-art with regard to turbine horsepower developed relative to turbine size and weight. This turbine design was influenced principally by the operational constraints of the staged-combustion-cycle type engine for which this component is being investigated. The design pressure ratio and mass flow requirements had the greatest effect on the configuration. Pressure ratio was minimized to a value
Figure 21. Liquid Hydrogen Pump Mollier Diagram
### TABLE 3. MARK 48-F PUMP LOSS PARAMETERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loss Parameter</th>
<th>Impeller</th>
<th>Vaneless Space</th>
<th>Diffuser</th>
<th>Volute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In</td>
<td>Out</td>
<td>In</td>
<td>Out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blockage</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roughness</td>
<td>0.000063</td>
<td>0.000063</td>
<td>0.000063</td>
<td>0.000063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momentum Loss Coefficient</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence Loss Coefficient</td>
<td>0.00239</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin Friction Loss Coefficient</td>
<td>0.00826</td>
<td>0.01139</td>
<td>0.02313</td>
<td>0.01221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion Loss Coefficient</td>
<td>0.00023</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.01386</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit Diffuser Loss Coefficient</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.00119</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*Q = 653 gpm; N = 95,000 rpm
Figure 22. Mark 48F Pump Performance
### TABLE 4. SMALL, HIGH-PRESSURE LH₂ TURBOPUMP NOMINAL DESIGN PARAMETERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>SI Units</th>
<th>English Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Stages</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impeller Inlet Tip Diameter</td>
<td>4.826 cm</td>
<td>1.90 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impeller Inlet Hub Diameter</td>
<td>3.099 cm</td>
<td>1.22 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impeller Inlet Angle at Tip</td>
<td>0.28 radian</td>
<td>16 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impeller Inlet Angle at Hub</td>
<td>0.45 radian</td>
<td>26 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Impeller Vanes at Inlet</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Impeller Vanes at Discharge</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impeller Discharge Diameter</td>
<td>10.31 cm</td>
<td>4.058 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impeller Discharge Angle</td>
<td>0.655 radian</td>
<td>37.5 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impeller Discharge Width</td>
<td>3.81 mm</td>
<td>0.150 inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impeller Tip Speed</td>
<td>512.97 m/s</td>
<td>1683 ft/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaned Diffuser Inlet Diameter</td>
<td>11.07 cm</td>
<td>4.36 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaned Diffuser Discharge Diameter</td>
<td>13.91 cm</td>
<td>5.48 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Diffuser Vanes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuser Passage Width</td>
<td>3.81 mm</td>
<td>0.150 inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossover Inlet Diameter</td>
<td>13.72 cm</td>
<td>5.4 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossover First-Row Discharge Diameter</td>
<td>9.779 cm</td>
<td>3.9 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossover Second-Row Discharge Diameter</td>
<td>6.35 cm</td>
<td>2.5 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossover Inlet Angle</td>
<td>0.206 radian</td>
<td>11.8 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossover First-Row Discharge Angle</td>
<td>0.471 radian</td>
<td>27 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossover Second-Row Inlet Angle</td>
<td>0.394 radian</td>
<td>26.6 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossover Second-Row Discharge Angle</td>
<td>1.047 radians</td>
<td>60 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isentropic Head/Stage</td>
<td>15,647 m</td>
<td>51,334 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Isentropic Head</td>
<td>41,415 m</td>
<td>135,876 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage Head Coefficient</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>0.576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impeller Inlet Flow Coefficient (First Stage)</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impeller Inlet Flow Coefficient (Second and Third Stage)</td>
<td>0.194/0.1675</td>
<td>0.194/0.1875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impeller Discharge Flow Coefficient (First Stage)</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impeller Discharge Flow Coefficient (Second and Third Stage)</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage Isentropic Efficiency</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Isentropic Efficiency</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaft Speed</td>
<td>9946 rad/s</td>
<td>95,000 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Power</td>
<td>1896 kw</td>
<td>2543 hp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required NPSR</td>
<td>406 m</td>
<td>1332 feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Value based on zero blockage*
Figure 23. Mark 48 Fuel Turbopump Static Pressures (SI Units)
Figure 23. Mark 48 Turbopump Static Pressure (English Units)
Figure 24. Mark 48-F Turbopump Fluid Static Temperature (SI Units)
Figure 24. Mark 48-F Turbopump Fluid Static Temperature (English Units)
Figure 25. Mark 48-F Turbopump Flows (SI Units)
Figure 25. Mark 48-F Turbopump Flows (English Units)
Figure 26. Mark 48 Fuel Turbopump Fluid Densities (SI Units)
Figure 26. Mark 48 Fuel Turbopump Fluid Densities (English Units)
of 1.443 (T-T)* to maximize thrust chamber design pressure, and overall engine performance. The turbine design speed and power, which were fixed by the hydrogen pump requirements in this turbopump, are respectively 9947 rad/s (95,000 rpm) and 2543 horsepower. The preburner provides turbine LO₂/LH₂ working fluid at a total inlet pressure of 2358 N/cm² (3420 psi), a total inlet temperature of 1033 K (1860 R), and a mass flow rate of 3.02 kg/s (6.66 lb/sec). A tabulation of principal turbine parameters appears in Table 5.

The gas path design was evolved with LO₂/LH₂ combustion gas properties established by the Joint Army-Navy-Navy-NASA-Air Force committee. At the 1.443 (T-T) design pressure ratio, the turbine isentropic available energy [Δhₐ, T-S**] is 858 kJ/kg (369 Btu/lb). The required turbine power cannot be developed by a single-row configuration; for the subsonic gas path conditions in this application, a two-stage reaction design was selected.

The distribution of the 1.443 pressure ratios across the four gas-path elements is critical in this type, small-size turbine configuration. In the final design, the power split between the first and second stages is 52% and 48%, respectively. The design velocity ratio [u₀/c₀, T-T] was fixed at 0.483. At the 9947 rad/s (95,000 rpm) design speed, the pitch line velocity is 442 m/s (1451 ft/sec); the turbine pitch diameter is constant at 8.89 cm (3.50 inches). Figure 27 depicts the turbine velocity diagram at design conditions; the first- and second-stage velocity distribution was attained with 29.7% and 26.0% reaction, respectively.

The working fluid total effective energy [Δhₑ], at each gas-path station, consists of the sum of the effective gas expansion energy [Δhₑₛ], and the effect kinetic energy [Δhₑᵥ] of the fluid. The effective expansion energy in the nozzles and reaction blading was calculated by adjusting the isentropic available energy [Δhₐₛ] for the pressure ratio(s) existing across each gas-path element, and for turbulence and friction losses with applicable expansion energy coefficients [ϕ²]. The energy and loss coefficients used for this design were evolved during previous rocket turbine development programs, and were selected as a function of nozzle and rotor deflection angles and widths, and flow conditions.

The working fluid kinetic energy [Δhᵥ] at the entrance of a nozzle or rotor is equivalent to the fluid velocity at that station. The hᵥ is normally corrected for friction losses with a kinetic energy loss coefficient [ψ²], and for incidence and inlet relative Mach number losses.

The total effective energy [Δhₑ] available to the nozzle and rotor gas paths is made up of the sum of the effective expansion energy [Δhₑₛ]. The nozzle and blading inlets relative velocities are all subsonic, and no Mach number corrections were necessary. The expansion energy and kinetic energy coefficients, which were applied to the Mark 48-F turbine design, appear in Table 6. A station-to-station tabulation of the turbine gas-path design pressure

---

*Total to total
**Total to static
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>SI Units</th>
<th>English Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Working Fluid</td>
<td>L0₂/LH₂</td>
<td>Two-Reaction Stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Turbine Total Inlet Pressure (Pₜ₁)</td>
<td>2358 N/cm²</td>
<td>3420 psia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Turbine Total Inlet Temperature (Tₜ₁)</td>
<td>1033 K</td>
<td>1860 R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Turbine Pressure Ratio (PRₜ₁) (T-T)</td>
<td>1.443</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Turbine Mass Flowrate (Wₜ₁)</td>
<td>3.02 Kg/s</td>
<td>6.66 lb/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Turbine Speed, (N)</td>
<td>9947 rad/s</td>
<td>95,000 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Pitch Diameter (Dₘ)</td>
<td>8.89 cm</td>
<td>3.50 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Pitch Line Velocity (Uₘ)</td>
<td>442 m/s</td>
<td>1451 ft/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Velocity Ratio, Two Stage (U/Cₜ₁) (T-T)</td>
<td>0.483</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Turbine Efficiency (ηₜ), % (T-T)</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Turbine Horsepower (hpₜ)</td>
<td>1829 kW</td>
<td>2543 hp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 27. Mark 48-F Turbine Velocity Diagram (SI Units)
Figure 27. Mark 48-F Turbine Velocity Diagram (English Units)
and temperature distribution data established for this design appears in Fig. 28. The resultant plot of predicted turbine efficiency, for velocity ratios ranging from 0 to 0.60, is depicted in Fig. 29. At design conditions, the predicted turbine efficiency is 75.35% (T-T).

A tabulation of the turbine gas path energy and loss distribution appears in Table 7. It contains the isentropic enthalpy drop for each gas-path element, the adjustments for expansion energy and kinetic energy losses, and an accounting of available energy and the resulting energy equivalent of turbine work. For the total 832 kJ/kg (359.4 Btu/lb (T-T) [858 kJ/kg, 369 Btu/lb (T-S)] isentropic available energy to the turbine, at design pressure ratio and speed, the energy equivalent of the turbine exit energy loss is 22.3 kJ/kg (9.6 Btu/lb). The turbine exit energy is not charged to the turbine because the engine system subsequently utilizes it in the second phase of combustion in the thrust chamber. Gas-path losses account for 150 kJ/kg (64.4 Btu/lb), and the diagram efficiency adjustments is equivalent to 60.4 kJ/kg (26 Btu/lb). Therefore, for a total 832 kJ/kg (359.4 Btu/lb) (T-T) available in the turbine gas path, 629 kJ/kg (270.81 Btu/lb) are utilized to develop the design shaft horsepower, for a 75.35% (T-T) predicted turbine efficiency. A reheat of 4180 J/kg (1.8 Btu/lb) is experienced in the gas path.

In addition to the aerothermodynamic requirements, the turbine design was influenced by stress and manufacturing constraints associated with the small highly loaded turbine detail parts. A data summary describing the nozzle and blade final design configurations appears in Table 8. The nozzle vane and blade profiles were laid out for pitch-line state conditions and velocities; the resultant short blading did not require any adjustments for radial-flow variations in the respective flow channels, and are therefore straight sections from root to tip. Analytical predictions of the pressure and suction profile velocity distributions, from the leading- to trailing-edge plains, were established and no unacceptable accelerations were found to exist. Profiles of the nozzle vanes and rotor blades are depicted in Fig. 30 through 33; the corresponding plots of the velocity distribution for these gas-path elements appear in Fig. 34 through 37.
Figure 28. Mark 48-F Turbine Pressure and Temperature Distribution (SI Units)
Figure 28. Mark 48-F Turbine Pressure and Temperature Distribution (English Units)
Figure 29. Mark 48-F Turbine Efficiency
## TABLE 7. TURBINE ENERGY AND LOSS DISTRIBUTION
(SI UNITS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) Gas Path Losses</th>
<th>Isentropic Entropy (Δhₜ), kJ/kg</th>
<th>Effective Expansion Energy (Δhₑₑₜ), kJ/kg</th>
<th>Entering Kinetic Energy (Δhₑₑₜ), kJ/kg</th>
<th>Effective Kinetic Energy (Δhₑₑₜ), kJ/kg</th>
<th>Energy Loss (Δhₑₑₜ), kJ/kg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First-Stage Nozzle Vanes</td>
<td>323.8</td>
<td>296.6</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-Stage Rotor Blades</td>
<td>136.1</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second-Stage Nozzle Vanes</td>
<td>297.3</td>
<td>264.9</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second-Stage Rotor Blades</td>
<td>104.0</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) Exit Energy Loss, J/kg

(3) Turbine Diagram Efficiency Adjustment, J/kg

\[
(Δhₜ) (nₜ \text{ Diagram}) = (857.6) (0.804) = 689.5
\]

\[
(Δhₜ) (nₜ \text{ Adjustment}) = (857.6) (0.734) = \frac{629.5}{60.0} = 60.06
\]

(4) Equivalent Leakage Energy Loss, kJ/kg

0

(5) Losses (1)+(2)+(3)+(4) = Δhₑₑₜ, kJ/kg

232.1

(6) Turbine Efficiency

\[
\Delta h_{\text{work}} = 629.5 \text{ kJ/kg}
\]

\[
\Delta h_{\text{isentropic}} - \Delta h_{\text{work}} = 857.6 - 629.5 = 228.1
\]

\[
\Delta h_{\text{work}} + \Delta h_{L} = 629.5 + 232.1 = 861.6
\]

Gas Path Reheat = 232.1 - 228.1 = 4.0

\[
\eta_{t} = \frac{629.5}{857.6 - 22.3} = 0.7535 \text{ (T-T)}
\]

\[
\eta_{t} = \frac{629.5}{857.6} = 0.734
\]
TABLE 7. TURBINE ENERGY AND LOSS DISTRIBUTION
(ENGLISH UNITS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Isentropic Enthalpy ($\Delta h_s$), Btu/lb</th>
<th>Effective Expansion Energy ($\Delta h_{es}$), Btu/lb</th>
<th>Entering Kinetic Energy ($\Delta h_{ev}$), Btu/lb</th>
<th>Effective Kinetic Energy ($\Delta h_{ev}$), Btu/lb</th>
<th>Energy Loss ($\Delta h_L$), Btu/lb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First-Stage Nozzle Vanes</td>
<td>139.31</td>
<td>127.64</td>
<td>29.64</td>
<td>19.69</td>
<td>9.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-Stage Rotor Blades</td>
<td>58.57</td>
<td>48.74</td>
<td>11.74</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second-Stage Nozzle Vanes</td>
<td>128.17</td>
<td>114.00</td>
<td>28.39</td>
<td>19.34</td>
<td>9.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second-Stage Rotor Blades</td>
<td>44.75</td>
<td>37.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) Exit Energy Loss, Btu/lb

(3) Turbine Diagram Efficiency Adjustment, Btu/lb

($\Delta h_s$) ($\eta_t$ Diagram) = (369)(0.804) = 296.81

($\Delta h_s$) ($\eta_t$ Adjustment) = (369)(0.734) = 270.81

26.00

(4) Equivalent Leakage Energy Loss, Btu/lb

0.00

(5) Losses (1)+(2)+(3)+(4) = $\Delta h_L$, Btu/lb

99.99

(6) Turbine Efficiency

$\Delta h_{work} = 270.81$ Btu/lb

$\Delta h_{isentropic} - \Delta h_{work} = 369.0 - 270.81 = 98.19$ Btu/lb

$\Delta h_{work} + \Delta h_L = 270.81 + 99.99 = 370.8$ Btu/lb

Gas Path Reheat = 99.99 - 98.19 = 1.8 Btu/lb

$\eta_t = \frac{270.81}{369.0} = 0.7535$ (T-T)

$\eta_t = \frac{270.81}{369.0} = 0.7339$ (T-S)
### TABLE 8. TURBINE NOZZLE AND ROTOR BLADE DESIGN

(9947 rad/s; 95,000 rpm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(SI Units)</td>
<td>(Engl ish Units)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N-1</td>
<td>N-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch Diameter (DM), cm</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>8.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Elements (Z)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>0.737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throat Area, cm²</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet Angle, radian</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit Angle, radian</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch Line Velocity (U), m/s</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leading Edge, mm</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trailing Edge (R), mm</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 9947 rad/s

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N-1</td>
<td>N-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch Diameter (DM), inches</td>
<td>3.500</td>
<td>3.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Elements (Z)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, inch</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throat Area, in.²</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>1.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet Angle, degrees</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit Angle, degrees</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch Line Velocity (U), ft/sec</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leading Edge, inch</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ellipse)</td>
<td>(Ellipse)</td>
<td>(Ellipse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trailing Edge (R), inch</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 95,000 rpm

(English Units)
Figure 30. Mark 48-F Turbine First-Stage Nozzle Vane Shape

Figure 31. Mark 48-F Turbine First-Stage Rotor Blade Shape

Figure 32. Mark 48-F Turbine Second-Stage Nozzle Vane Shape

Figure 33. Mark 48-F Turbine Second-Stage Rotor Blade Shape
Figure 34. Mark 48-F Turbine First-Stage Nozzle
Figure 35. Mark 48-F Turbine First-Stage Rotor
Figure 36. Mark 48-F Turbine Second-Stage Nozzle
Figure 37. Mark 48-F Turbine Second-Stage Rotor
Axial Thrust Control

For a high-speed turbopump with hydrogen-cooled bearings, it is mandatory to balance the axial forces without asking the bearings to carry part of this axial load. During the design study, a large number of different turbopump design concepts were studied. The result was that the axial thrust could be balanced only by using a balance piston and flowing the turbine gases away from the pump.

The axial forces of the three pump stages are balanced as close as possible against the turbine axial forces by setting the impeller wear ring diameters so that the remaining forces are minimized. This remaining axial force is then balanced by a balance piston which is integral with the third-stage impeller. Axial thrust balancing devices always reduce pump efficiency due to the unavoidable leakage losses as well as to the disk friction losses. Therefore, the integral design concept is used since it avoids the additional disk friction losses inherent with a separate balance piston, and maintains the number of internal recirculation paths at a minimum. Figure 38 shows the balance piston flow loop. Because of constraints on the available radial space, the high-pressure orifice, as assembled, does not include a radial overlap feature between the rotating and stationary members. The positive diametral clearance of 0.076 mm (0.003 inch) which exists at assembly between those two components is reduced to zero by differential contraction during chilldown. Subsequently, as a result of centrifugal growth of the impeller, an overlap of 0.127 mm (0.005 inch) is realized at the nominal operating speed of 9947 rad/s (95,000 rpm).

The total balance piston axial travel at operating temperature and nominal speed is 0.254 mm (0.010 inch). Figure 39 shows the nominal forces acting on the impellers and the turbine. Figure 40 shows the net balance piston restoring force and flow as a function of the balance piston position.

Bearing Design

The Mark 48-F bearings are 20 mm bore, angular-contact ball bearings arranged in two spring-preload pairs. The forward pair is located immediately in front of the first-stage impeller; the aft pair is located between the third-stage impeller and the shaft seal. Both pairs are cooled by recirculating liquid hydrogen.

The Mark 48-F and Mark 48-0 bearings are identical. Dual use of the same bearing is technically feasible the bearing loading and speeds are compatible with both the design speed of the fuel pump 9947 rad/s (95,000 rpm) and that of the liquid oxygen pump, 7329 rad/s (70,000 rpm). Economy in procurement was also effected by purchasing only one type of specially designed bearing.

The internal geometry of the bearing was optimized for 9947 rad/s (95,000 rpm) and formalized into the Rocketdyne Source Control Drawing, RES1174 (Fig. 41). There was no existing bearing with satisfactory details, so a special bearing was designed and fabricated with the following features.
Figure 38. Mark 48-F Balance Piston Fluid Flow Path
Figure 39. Mark 48-F Turbopump Axial Forces (SI Units)
Figure 39. Mark 48-F Turbopump Axial Forces (English Units)
Figure 40. Mark 48 Fuel Pump Balance Piston
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHARACTERISTIC</th>
<th>ENGLISH UNITS</th>
<th>SI UNITS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ENVELOPE DIMS.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BORE</td>
<td>.7875 +.0005 DIA.</td>
<td>20 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUTER DIA*</td>
<td>1.5354 +.0008 DIA.</td>
<td>39 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIDTH (INDIVIDUAL RINGS)</td>
<td>+.0037 -.0000</td>
<td>10 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ACROSS BEARING)</td>
<td>+.003 -.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTERNAL GEOMETRY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PITCH DIA*</td>
<td>1.175 DIA. (REF.)</td>
<td>29.8 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RACE RADII (OUTER RACE)</td>
<td>52%OF BALL DIA. (REF.)</td>
<td>(0.051) TO (0.058)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(INNER RACE)</td>
<td>53%OF BALL DIA. (REF.)</td>
<td>(0.028) TO (0.036)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIAMETRAL CLEARANCE (UNFITTED)</td>
<td>.0020 TO .0023 IN.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(OPERATING)</td>
<td>.0011 TO .0014 IN. (REF.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALL COMPLEMENT (NUMBER)</td>
<td>TEN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(DIAMETER)</td>
<td>.1875 DIA. (NOMINAL)</td>
<td>4.76 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHOULDER HEIGHTS (OUTER RACE)</td>
<td>20%OF BALL DIA. (REF.)</td>
<td>0.51 TO 0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(INNER RACE)</td>
<td>23%OF BALL DIA. (REF.)</td>
<td>0.08 TO 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAGE CLEARANCES (BALL POCKET)</td>
<td>.020 TO .025 IN.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(GUIDING LAND)</td>
<td>.003 TO .009 IN.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MATERIALS.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RACES</td>
<td>CEVM 440-C R 58-62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALLS</td>
<td>CEVM 440-C R 60-64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAGE</td>
<td>GLASS FABRIC SUPPORTED TEFNON. (ARMALON)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAGE WEB THICKNESS (AT PITCH DIA.)</td>
<td>.056 IN. (REF)</td>
<td>3.96 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. IDENTIFY FACES "A"&"B"
7. BALLS SHALL BE AFBMA GRADE 5
6. BEARING TOLERANCES NOT SHOWN SHALL BE REF
5. CLEAN & PACKAGE FOR LIQUID HYDROGEN SFC
4. THE ARMALON CAGE SHALL MEET REQUIREMENTS
3. ONLY THE ITEM DESCRIBED ON THIS DWG WHEN SUPPLIER LISTED, IS APPROVED BY ROCKETDYNE, IN THE APPLICATION SPECIFIED HEREON. A SUB USED WITHOUT PRIOR TESTING & APPROVAL BY 1
2. THE ITEM SHALL BE DURABLY & LEGIBLY MARKED
1. MFG. SHALL PREPARE ANY NEW DWGS ReQD & ASS & SHALL OBTAIN ROCKETDYNE ENGHRG. REVIEW C

NOTE: UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
- All be per ABEC 7.
- DROGEN service (clean & dry)
- Specifications of RBO130-013
- 5 DWG when procured from the Rocketdyne, Canoga Park, Calif. for use
- EON. A substitute item shall not be approved by Rocketdyne.
- All marked per MIL-STD-130. In DWG no. shall be marked on the item.
- Req'd & assign part no. per MIL-D-1000 IG. Review of proposed changes.
1. **Bearing Size.** The dimensions of the dynamic components were minimized to reduce the inertial forces due to speed as far as possible, even though the liquid hydrogen pump bearings DN value of $1.9 \times 10^6$ is within the state of technology for liquid hydrogen-cooled bearings.

The pitch diameter and outer race outer diameter were made different than those existing for a standard metric envelope to accommodate, at minimum size, the thicker inner race cross section required to withstand the bolt tension load in the Mark 48-F turbopump. The thicker inner race is also less prone to brittle fracture from tensile and thermal stresses.

2. **Ball Complement.** A ball diameter of 4.7625 mm (0.1875 inch) was selected in preference to the off-the-shelf bearing size of 5.556 mm (0.21875 inch) to reduce the centrifugal force and extend the fatigue life of the outer race. The number of balls was set at 10 to maintain a web thickness in the cage of over 3.81 mm (0.150 inch) to provide adequate wear life and cage strength.

3. **Race Radii.** The race radii, which are expressed as curvature (% of ball diameter), were selected to obtain maximum fatigue life consistent with practical manufacturing limitations. The outer race conforms closely to the ball surface with a 52% curvature. Lower curvatures (closer conformity) is avoided because excessive nonrolling action will occur in the ball-race contact. In addition, contact angle will vary rapidly for small changes in bearing internal clearance due to manufacturing tolerances, press fits, and thermal expansion.

The bearing's fatigue life is maximized if the lives of the inner and outer races are equal. Therefore, the inner race curvature of 53% was selected to balance the race lives. Use of a higher (less conforming) curvature on the inner race is a reversal of commercial practice for low-speed bearings. It was done here to maintain reasonable life, contact angle, and clearance for the overall bearing while maximizing the fatigue life of the outer race, which is adversely affected by ball centrifugal forces at high speeds.

4. **Race Shoulder Heights.** The race shoulders were made deep enough to contain the ball contact "prints" at the contact stress limited axial load. This configuration takes full advantage of the bearing's potential capacity and, at the same time, does not excessively restrict the coolant flow area.

5. **Cage Dimensions.** The cage is outer land guided, so its outer diameter is dictated by the outer race inner diameter (dependent on bearing pitch diameter, ball diameter, and shoulder height) and adequate minimum clearance. Cage diametral clearance of 0.076 mm, (0.003 inch) minimum at ambient temperature is based on experience with larger cryogenic bearings and scaled to bearing size. The cage inner diameter
was selected to maximize coolant flow area and to ensure that the ball equators would meet the cylindrical section of the ball pockets with a minimum of 0.254 mm (0.010 inch) margin. The ball is then prevented from "plowing under" the cage. The resulting diametral clearance between the cage inner diameter and the inner race outer diameter is 1.778 mm (0.070 inch) to 1.930 mm (0.076 inch), resulting in a minimum coolant flow area of 86.6 mm² (0.134 in.²). The cage axial cross-sectional area is 170 mm² (0.263 inch.²). To provide adequate cage wear-life and strength, the cage web thickness between the ball pockets was held to 3.81 mm (0.150 inch) minimum in selecting the number of balls (10). The resulting nominal cage web thickness at the pitch diameter is 3.96 mm (0.156 inch).

The cage ball pocket clearance was made large at 0.51 to 0.64 mm (0.020 to 0.025 inch) to permit ball position adjustments during operation without excessive cage forces. Adequate pocket clearance has been found to greatly reduce the amount of heat generated at the cage where radial loads or misalignments occur.

6. Diametral Clearance. The specified diametral clearance as measured on an unmounted bearing was based on the value required for dynamic operation, with additional amounts to compensate for the expansion of the inner race due to press fit on the shaft and centrifugal expansion of the inner race at speed.

Analysis. The selected bearing design was analyzed using a digital computer program which calculates forces, deflections, and stresses for each ball, and overall forces, deflections, and fatigue life of the individual races and the entire bearing. The spring preloads required for satisfactory operation of the bearing were calculated using an empirically developed relationship of ball size, speed, contact angle, and pitch diameter. The preload required is 431 N (97 pounds) for the LH₂ pump bearing.

In selecting the bearing design, a comparison was made of the effect on life of using the minimum bore diameter with the resulting bearing pitch diameter and required preload. Figure 42 presents the B₁ (99% survival) life for a 19 mm bore and a 20 mm bore bearing. To obtain a standard bore size as well as provide some margin on the shaft size, 20 mm was selected. As can be seen in Fig. 42, no substantial benefit in life would have been achieved by using a 19 mm bore bearing. The 10,476 rad/s (100,000 rpm) speed used in the Mark 48-F bearing analysis was later reduced to 9947 rad/s (95,000 rpm), but this change would not alter the results significantly. Figure 43 presents the selected design's fatigue life (shown here as B₁₀ or 90% survival life) as a function of axial load at the Mark 48-F speed of 9947 rad/s (95,000 rpm). The preload criterion resulted in a required axial load of 431 N (97 pounds) at this condition.

Figure 44 presents the analytical values of radial stiffness (used in shaft dynamic analysis) as a function of axial load and speed. Radial stiffness affects shaft dynamic response and is affected by axial load; therefore, proper design and deflection control of the preload springs are important.
Figure 42. Mark 48-F Bearing B₁ Life
Figure 43. Mark 48-F Bearing Life vs Axial Load
Figure 44. Mark 48-F Bearing Stiffness Versus Axial Load
Figure 45 presents the effect of speed on the relative axial deflections for given axial loads. This relationship was used in specifying the thickness of the inner race spacers so that both the following will be achieved:

1. Adequate preload at speed

2. Compensation for the increased loading by speed effects, therefore avoiding unnecessary increase in axial load with attendant reduction in life.

The turbopump configuration is such that it will accommodate not only the extra-light 20 mm bearings selected by Rocketdyne, but also the heavier ball bearings and the hybrid bearing selected by Mechanical Technology, Inc., in a parallel bearing technology program sponsored by Lewis Research Center. The installation of the three bearing configurations is illustrated in Fig. 46 through 48.

**Seal Design**

Ingress of turbine propellant gas into the pump region is prevented by a controlled-gap, shaft-riding seal. Although the pump and turbine fluids are chemically compatible, the turbine fluid contains steam, which would freeze when in contact with LH₂; therefore, it must be kept out of the pump where it could have a detrimental effect, particularly on the bearings.

Since the pressure on the pump side of the seal is lower than on the turbine side, the middle of the seal is pressurized with LH₂ supplied from the pump discharge as shown in Fig. 49. In this manner, a positive flow of LH₂ toward the turbine is ensured, and entry of hot gas into the pump is prevented. The LH₂ that leaks through the shaft seal toward the pump is used to lubricate the bearings, and the fluid that leaks to the turbine is used to cool the turbine disks.

The feed passages to the seal are orificed to maintain a pressure level of 2189 N/cm² (3175 psia) compared with 1380 N/cm² (2000 psia) in the bearing area and 1988 N/cm² (2884 psia) on the turbine side. The sum of the leakage and coolant bypass flowrate toward the pump was calculated at 0.065 kg/s (0.144 lb/sec). The leakage toward the turbine was projected to be 0.026 kg/s (0.058 lb/sec).

**Shaft Seal Detail Design.** The detail features of the shaft seal are illustrated in Fig. 50. Both sealing elements utilize a floating-ring, controlled-gap seal ring. The floating-ring element consists of an inner Am-Cer-Met ring for strength and thermal expansion/contraction control. The outer ring material is selected to provide the same thermal expansion and contraction rate as the shaft material, so that a constant clearance gap is maintained as the temperature changes. The outer ring is sufficiently strong, relative to the inner ring, to control the diameter of the composite ring. The inner ring is maintained in compressive hoop stress with an interference fit.
Figure 45. Mark 48-F Bearing Axial Deflection vs Axial Load
Figure 46. Mark 48-F Turbopump Rocketdyne Bearing Installation

Figure 47. Mark 48-F Turbopump MTI Ball Bearing Installation

Figure 48. Mark 48-F Turbopump Hybrid Bearing Installation
Figure 49. Mark 48-F Turbopump Shaft Seal Configuration
Figure 50. Mark 48-F Shaft Seal
The load induced by unbalanced radial pressure (Fig. 51) is supported by the composite ring in compressive hoop stress. The radial deflection caused by the compressive stress is proportional to ring rigidity. The radial section and modulus of elasticity are selected to minimize the deflection. The initial clearance is adjusted to allow for the deflection and provide the desired operating clearance.

The axial force induced by differential pressure (Fig. 51) loads the floating ring against the stationary housing to provide a static seal. A wave spring is provided to ensure sufficient contact load to maintain a static seal. The seal ring is partially pressure balanced by relieving the axial contact surface and minimizing the housing-to-shaft clearance to reduce the unbalanced axial-pressure-induced load. The floating-ring element is restrained from rotation with two antirotation tangs that engage slots in the housing.

The seal ring clearance gap was established by first performing a thermal analysis to determine the temperature gradient in the turbopump shaft seal area.

A finite-element stress analysis was performed using the temperature distribution and centrifugal loading to establish the shaft operating diameters. The seal ring design was established to maintain the required operating clearance gap. The Inconel X-750 retaining band material has approximately the same thermal contraction and expansion rate as the Inconel 718 shaft material to minimize the gap change due to temperature.

The seal ring design was optimized by utilizing a computer program in which the temperature, pressure, materials, and overall dimensional data are input. The computer calculates the seal ring stresses and deflections for varying radial sections of the retaining band and insert. The seal ring dimensions were then selected, consistent with the proper stress levels, to provide the minimum change in clearance gap. The static ambient seal ring clearance gaps were established, consistent with the clearance differentials, to provide the required operating clearance gaps. The resulting ambient and operational clearances are listed in Table 9.

### Table 9. MARK 48-F SHAFT SEAL CLEARANCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pump-Side Ring</th>
<th></th>
<th>Turbine-Side Ring</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SI Units, mm</td>
<td>English Units, inch</td>
<td>SI Units, mm</td>
<td>English Units, inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal Ambient Diametral Clearance</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal Operational Diametral Clearance</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Static Flange Seals. All static flange seals are of the pressure-sensitive, metal-spring type illustrated in Fig. 52. The seals are designed and fabricated for each specific application by the Hydrodyne Division of Donaldson Co., Inc. The base material was Inconel X-750, with a 0.0076 mm (0.003 inch) silver plating applied to improve sealing effectiveness.

Impeller Wear Rings. Internal recirculation of LH2 around the impeller front and rear shrouds is controlled by step-labyrinth wear rings as indicated in Fig. 53. The nominal diametral clearance between the rotating number and stationary platform is set at 0.20 mm (0.008 inch). With this clearance, some rubbing contact is expected because of excentricities and deflections. To moderate the effect of rubbing, a 0.25 mm (0.010 inch) thick layer of silver plating is applied to the stationary platforms.

Rotordynamics

Critical speeds of the rotor were calculated by the lumped parameter method in which the rotor is transformed into a series of mass points whose spacing approximates the mass distribution of the actual rotor. The resulting critical speeds are presented as a function of turbine end bearing spring rates in Fig. 54. The effect of the pump end bearing spring rate is indicated in a parametric form. The predicted radial spring rate of the bearings is 49,000 N/mm (280,000 lb/in.). At this spring rate, the first critical is located at
Figure 52. Typical Static Flange Seal Configuration

Figure 53. Mark 48-F Impeller Wear Ring
Figure 54. Mark 48-F Turbopump Rotor Critical Speeds
3141 rad/s (30,000 rpm), the second at 5549 rad/s (53,000 rpm), and the third critical at 14,550 rad/s (139,000 rpm). The nominal speed of the pump at 95,000 rpm will be located between the second and third critical with ample margin on each side. The rotor mode shapes at the three critical speeds are shown in Fig. 55.

The pump end of the rotor is formed by the impeller hubs which are piloted relative to each other by interference fits. The critical speed calculations are based on being able to maintain tight axial interfaces at the impeller hubs. To accomplish this, the impellers are preloaded axially with a center tie bolt. The minimum tension in the tie bolt was established at 50,000 N (11,230 pounds), which includes a 50% margin over the anticipated separating load of 33,310 N (7489 pounds) (Fig. 39) between the impellers. The minimum preload on the impellers exists after accounting for differential contraction rates as well as axial shrinkage due to Poisson’s effect.
Figure 55. Mark 48-F Turbopump Rotor Mode Shapes
MATERIAL SELECTION

The materials selected for the more significant components of the Mark 48-F turbopump are indicated in Fig. 56. In Table 10, specifications and properties for these materials are summarized.

The principal criteria for choosing the materials in the pump were: strength and ductility at LH2 temperature, resistance to corrosion, thermal contraction coefficient, and ease of fabrication.

The inlet housing, the first- and second-stage crossovers, and the pump section of the housing were made of Inconel 718. Inconel 718 is a nickel-base precipitation hardenable alloy which has both excellent strength and ductility at LH2 temperatures. All of these parts needed a high-strength material to carry the loads with the required safety margin; also, it was desirable to maintain common thermal coefficients to control critical radial and axial clearances. Silver plating was applied to the inlet housing and crossover labyrinth platforms to permit light contact with the rotating parts in these areas with a minimum of local heating and damage to the mating parts. For the same reason, the stationary land of the balance piston high-pressure orifice was also silver plated.

High stresses induced in the impeller hubs as a result of centrifugal forces dictated that a material with high strength-to-density ratio be used. A titanium alloy containing 5% aluminum and 2.5% tin with extra low interstitial elements was selected. This high-purity alloy was developed for temperatures ranging from 200°K (-100°F) to 20°K (-423°F). To achieve acceptable ductility and toughness at 20°K (-423°F), the content of the impurity interstitial elements (O2, N2, H2) and the substitutional element Fe are held to lower than normal levels by the producing mill. Hence, the designation "Extra Low Interstitial" (ELI) is applied to this alloy.

The high operating pressure and temperatures dictated the use of Rene' 41 for the main structural walls of the turbine manifold. Rene' 41 is a double-vacuum-melted, precipitation-hardenable, nickel-base alloy. Although difficult to fabricate because of strain-age cracking in weld heat-affected zones, it has superior strength in the operating temperature zone of the Mark 48-F turbine manifold.

High thermally induced stresses, particularly during engine cutoff, necessitated the use of a liner inside the turbine manifold walls to reduce temperature gradients. The liner does not carry structural loads (it is perforated). Inconel 903, an iron-nickel-cobalt base alloy with low coefficient of expansion, was chosen for the linear material. It has an expansion rate of 0.0087 mm/mm to 1033 K (1400°F) compared with 0.012 mm/mm with most other high-temperature metals for the same temperature range. It is anticipated that thermally oriented problems with the liner will be minimized by virtue of the lower expansion properties.

The processing of the housing (described in detail under "Fabrication") calls for heat treating the Inconel 718 pump volute section and the principally Rene' 41 turbine manifold to their respective material specifications, and subsequently joining these two subcomponents by welding. To accomplish this without
Figure 56. Mark 48-F Materials Selection
### TABLE 10. MARK 48-F TURBOPUMP MATERIAL PROPERTIES (SI UNITS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>$F_{TY}$ N/cm²</th>
<th>$F_{TU}$ N/cm²</th>
<th>$e$, %</th>
<th>$T_k$, K</th>
<th>$F_{TY}$ N/cm²</th>
<th>$F_{TU}$ N/cm²</th>
<th>$e$, %</th>
<th>10-Hour Stress Rupture, N/cm²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inlet Cover</td>
<td>Inconel 718</td>
<td>RB0170-153</td>
<td>103,400</td>
<td>124,000</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>119,000</td>
<td>143,000</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inlet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Crossover</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Crossover</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Volute</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impellers</td>
<td>Titanium</td>
<td>RB0170-152</td>
<td>62,000</td>
<td>68,900</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>124,000</td>
<td>131,000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-Stage Nozzle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second-Stage Nozzle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSG Transition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Manifold</td>
<td>Rene' 41</td>
<td>AMS 5712</td>
<td>38,000</td>
<td>86,000</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>55,000</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Wheel</td>
<td>Astroloy</td>
<td>UDIMET 700</td>
<td>97,000</td>
<td>131,000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>86,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manifold Liner</td>
<td>Inconel 903</td>
<td>RB170-196</td>
<td>103,000</td>
<td>124,000</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>≈20,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheel Cover</td>
<td>Inconel 903</td>
<td>RB170-196</td>
<td>103,000</td>
<td>180,000</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>82,000</td>
<td>96,000</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>69,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### (ENGLISH UNITS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>$F_{TY}$ ksi</th>
<th>$F_{TU}$ ksi</th>
<th>$e$, %</th>
<th>$T_f$, °F</th>
<th>$F_{TY}$ ksi</th>
<th>$F_{TU}$ ksi</th>
<th>$e$, %</th>
<th>10-Hour Stress Rupture, ksi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inlet Cover</td>
<td>Inconel 718</td>
<td>RB0170-153</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-320</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inlet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Crossover</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Crossover</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Volute</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impellers</td>
<td>Titanium</td>
<td>RB0170-152</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-396</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-Stage Nozzle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second-Stage Nozzle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSG Transition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Manifold</td>
<td>Rene' 41</td>
<td>AMS 5712</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Wheel</td>
<td>Astroloy</td>
<td>UDIMET 700</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manifold Liner</td>
<td>Inconel 903</td>
<td>RB170-196</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>≈30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheel Cover</td>
<td>Inconel 903</td>
<td>RB170-196</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
annealing the material on either side of the final weld, a transition piece made of Haynes 188 was welded to both sections prior to heat treating. The final weld was then effected by joining Haynes 188-to-Haynes 188, with is not affected by either the heat treating or welding operations.

Portions of the turbine manifold that are subjected to high-pressure H₂ and which experience a high strain level were protected against hydrogen embrittlement by applying 0.076- to 0.25-mm (0.003- to 0.010-inch) thick dense copper plating to the interior surfaces. Threaded areas were gold plated. A thicker (0.25 to 0.38 mm; 0.010 to 0.015 inch) copper plating layer was applied to the housing, turbine wheel cover, and second-stage nozzle to serve as a turbine tip seal rubbing surface.

The turbine wheel is subject to very high effective stresses from centrifugal, power-bending, and thermal components. To accommodate these stress levels at the elevated temperatures, Astroloy was selected as the wheel material. Astroloy is an age-hardenable, nickel-base alloy which, in addition to providing excellent high-temperature properties, retains adequate ductility in the cryogenic temperature range.

The turbine wheel cover was made from Incoloy 903 to take advantage of its low thermal expansion coefficient and thereby minimize the change in second-stage seal clearance.

**Thermal Analysis**

Heat transfer analysis was conducted to determine the thermal profile of critical pump and turbine components during transient and steady-state conditions. The chilldown rate of the impeller, starting with an ambient engine, was established. This was of concern not only from hydrodynamic but from a structural consideration, because titanium strength is greatly affected by temperature (Fig. 57). The analysis indicated (Fig. 58) that, with the predicted powered idle-mode flowrate of 22% of full-thrust flow, the impeller reached 39 K (-390 °F) in 20 seconds. This was an acceptable time interval for chilldown for the engine; therefore, the stress analysis results, which are based on 39 K (-390 °F) properties, were considered proper.

Thermal analysis of the turbine included start and cutoff transients as well as steady-state conditions. One-dimensional analysis was used for transients, and two-dimensional analysis for steady-state conditions. The results indicated that, because of the idle-mode operation which precedes engine starts, the start transients did not have an appreciable effect on turbine life.

In contrast, the shutoff transients introduced a significant thermal shock due to a hydrogen lag, which produced a high thermal gradient and correspondingly high strain in the pressure walls. To increase the low-cycle fatigue life, a thin liner was incorporated inside the manifold to shield the pressure walls. Its effect was to increase thermal resistance. With the liner, the wall differential temperature was reduced to 518 K (600 °F), as shown in Fig. 59. Furthermore, the maximum thermal strain was delayed until 2 seconds after cutoff when the strains from pressure loads are low.
Figure 57. Titanium (5.0 Al-2.5 Sn) Strength Ratio vs Temperature
Figure 58. Mark 48-F LH₂ Turbopump Impeller Average Temperature or Time

V = 76 cm³ (4.65 in.³)
Aₛ = 267 cm² (41.4 in.²)
MATERIAL: Ti-5Al-2.5Sn
Figure: ASE Turbine Manifold Transient (Engine Shutdown)
The steady-state isotherm map calculated for the turbine first-stage disk is presented in Fig. 60. The disk thermal profile is based on using the shaft seal flow for disk cooling. The coolant is routed through the first- and second-stage disk hubs through small bleeder holes. A cover is incorporated downstream of the second-stage wheel to provide a thermal blanket.

The temperature of the turbine blades during steady-state conditions is equal to the gas temperature. Idle-mode operation minimizes the severity of start transients. In contrast, the hydrogen lag during cutoff produces a substantial thermal gradient (Fig. 61), which must be considered in the stress analysis and projected life of the blade.

**Stress Analysis**

**Inlet Housing.** A plate analysis of the inlet housing was performed accounting for pressure loads and full axial loads from the crossovers (222,000 N, 50,000 pounds). The maximum calculated stress was $515 \times 10^6 \text{N/m}^2 (74,600 \text{ psi})$. This stress level, in conjunction with the minimum ultimate strength of Inconel 718 of 125 N/m$^2$ (180 ksi), resulted in a conservative factor of safety of 2.4. The stress levels in the torus due to pressure were negligible; therefore, the torus wall thickness was established by fabrication criteria.

**Pump Housing.** A summary of the structural analysis performed on the pump housing is presented in Table 11. A finite-element analysis was performed of the critical zones. The initial sizing of the hardware was done using cast Inconel 718 material properties. Subsequently, the design was finalized using a wrought, welded structure, but the wall thicknesses were retained at their original values. As a consequence, the safety factors with the higher-strength material increased to the conservative values noted in Table 11. The finite-element model of the pump housing, and constant-strain and constant-stress map are illustrated in Fig. 62 through 64.

**TABLE 11. MARK 48-F PUMP HOUSING STRESS ANALYSIS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stress Identification</th>
<th>Calculated Stress N/cm$^2$</th>
<th>ksi</th>
<th>Factor of Safety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Combined Stress</td>
<td>36,500</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Discharge, from Pressure</td>
<td>19,300</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Weld</td>
<td>17,200</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: (1) Material: Inconel 718
(2) Axial deflection of balance piston high-pressure orifice relative to rear bearing shoulder = 0.0043 cm (0.0017 inch)
Figure 60. Steady-State Isotherm for Mark 48-F First Turbine Disk
Figure 61. ASE Turbine Blade Transient (Engine Shutdown)
Figure 62. Mark 48-F Pump Housing Finite-Element Model
Figure 63. Mark 48-F Pump Housing Constant-Strain Map
Figure 64. Mark 48-F Pump Housing Constant-Stress Map
The deflection of the balance piston high-pressure orifice relative to the rear bearing shoulder is of interest inasmuch as this affects the total balance piston gap and the bearing axial preloads during operation. As noted in Table 11, this deflection was calculated at 0.0043 cm (0.0017 inch). The magnitude of the deflection is acceptable since appropriate compensation can be included in the turbopump assembly to obtain the desired operating gap and preload.

**Crossovers.** Stress analysis of the pump crossovers was performed using forged Inconel 718 properties. The results of the analysis were as follows:

1. Crossovers analyzed for rotation
   - \( \theta = 0.000343 \text{ radian} \) (0.197 degrees)
   - Ring bending stresses = 38.6 N/m\(^2\) (5600 psi)
2. Maximum bending stress on enclosed chamber = 400 N/m\(^2\) (58,000 psi)
   - Safety factor = 3.1
3. Axial translation analyzed
   - Assuming all load in cantilever bending
     - Axial translation = 0.028 cm (0.011 inch)
     - Bending stress = 533.6 \times 10^6 N/m\(^2\) (77,400 psi)
     - Safety factor = 2.3
   - Part of this load actually carried by inlet housing, sized to carry total load
4. Crossover vanes analyzed for separating load
   - Maximum stress < 70 \times 10^6 N/m\(^2\) (10,000 psi)

Thus, the minimum factor of safety is more than adequate at 2.3 on ultimate strength. Neither the extent of angular rotation or axial displacement are expected to present any difficulty in the functioning of the pump.

**Impellers.** A finite-element analysis was performed of the impellers using 5.0Al-2.5Sn forged titanium properties. An operating temperature of 39 K (-390°F) was assumed. The finite-element models and constant-stress maps of each impeller are presented in Fig. 65 through 68. The salient conclusions from the analysis were:

1. Second-stage impeller backplate most critical due to backplate return holes
2. Predicted burst speed at operating temperature = 13,650 rad/s (130,400 rpm)
3. Allowable operating speed = 11,370 rad/s (108,600 rpm)
4. Maximum stress including stress concentration = 823 \times 10^6 N/m\(^2\) (111,000 psi)
Figure 65. Mark 48-F First- and Second-Stage Impellers
Finite-Element Model
Figure 66. Mark 48-F First- and Second-Stage Impellers Constant-Stress Map
Figure 67. Mark 48-F Third-Stage Impeller Finite-Element Model
Figure 68. Mark 48-F Third-Stage Impeller Constant-Stress Map
5. Average tangential stress = $474 \times 10^6$ N/m$^2$ (68,800 psi)

6. Safety factor at maximum N of 10,941 rad/s (104,500 rpm) on burst = 1.55 (on stress)

**Turbine Disk.** Similarly, a finite-element analysis was accomplished on the turbine disks, accounting for power, thermal, and centrifugal effects. The finite-element model is shown in Fig. 69. The critical factor in designing the turbine disks was low-cycle fatigue due to thermal effects. For this consideration, the maximum strain rather than stress is the controlling criterion. Constant strain lines calculated for the first-stage disk are presented in Fig. 70. The maximum strain shown permits a cyclic life of over 800 cycles, with a safety factor of 4, which exceeds by a substantial margin the 300-cycle minimum requirement. The results of the analysis are summarized in the following:

1. First disk is the more critically stressed of the two.
2. Maximum strain in the first-stage disk = 0.0085 cm/cm
3. Predicted first-stage burst speed at maximum operating temperature = 13,580 rad/s (129,700 rpm).
4. Allowable first-stage speed at maximum operating temperature = 11,300 rad/s (108,000 rpm).
5. First-stage, low-cycle-fatigue limit = 800 cycles with safety factor of 4.

**Turbine Blades.** Stress analysis of the turbine blades disclosed that because of the high temperatures and centrifugal stresses, the 10-hour life requirement was difficult to meet without blade cooling. An effective cooling system would have increased the complexity and cost of the hardware, and would have introduced a severe performance penalty, particularly considering the low pump flow-rates involved.

The alternatives considered in the design are presented in Table 12. The values apply to the first-stage blades which are the most critical because of the higher temperatures imposed. The first six alternatives listed in Table 12 were not viable from the standpoint of producibility or capability to meet the speed, life, or performance requirements. As a result, the nominal operating speed of the rotor was reduced from 10,470 rad/s (100,000 rpm) to 9946 rad/s (95,000 rpm), and the integral blade configuration with hollow, shrouded blades was accepted for final design. In cases 7 through 10, the capabilities with the accepted design as a function of temperature, speed, and life are denoted. Case 10 describes the results of operating the turbine at maximum speed and maximum temperature simultaneously. Under this condition, the life of the turbine blade is limited to slightly over 1 hour.

If the turbine is to be operated at maximum speed for 10 hours, the inlet temperature must be limited to 1070 K (1925 R) maximum (case 8). The predicted life of the first-stage turbine blade when operating at nominal inlet temperature (1111 K; 2000 R) and nominal speed (9948 rad/s, 95,000 rpm) is 400 hours.
Figure 69. Mark 48-F First- and Second-Stage Turbine Disk Finite-Element Model
Figure 70. Mark 48-F Turbine First-Stage Disk, Constant-Strain Map
### Table 12. Mark 48-F Turbine Blade Configuration Summary

#### (SI Units)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Pitch Diameter, cm</th>
<th>First-Stage Rotor Blade Height, cm</th>
<th>Blade Attachment</th>
<th>Blade Configuration</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Life, hours</th>
<th>Temperature, K</th>
<th>Maximum Allowable Speed, rad/s</th>
<th>Turbine Performance at 1033 K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Weld</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>Fir Tree</td>
<td>Shrouded Solid</td>
<td>Astroloy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>7.277*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>Integral</td>
<td>Shrouded Solid</td>
<td>Aristol</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>8.376</td>
<td>10.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>9.109</td>
<td>10.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>Unshrouded Hollow</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>10.260</td>
<td>10.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.35</td>
<td>0.737</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>9.528</td>
<td>9.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>0.737</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>9.789</td>
<td>9.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>0.737</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>1069</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>10.91</td>
<td>9.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>0.737</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>10.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>0.737</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>10.941</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Limited by disk stress

### Table 12. Mark 48-F Turbine Blade Configuration Summary

#### (English Units)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Pitch Diameter, inches</th>
<th>First-Stage Rotor Blade Height, inch</th>
<th>Blade Attachment</th>
<th>Blade Configuration</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Life, hours</th>
<th>Temperature, °F</th>
<th>Maximum Allowable Speed, rpm</th>
<th>Turbine Performance at 1800 °F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Weld</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>Fir Tree</td>
<td>Shrouded Solid</td>
<td>Astroloy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1480 F</td>
<td>69,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>Integral</td>
<td>Shrouded Solid</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1540 F</td>
<td>1480 F</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1540 F</td>
<td>1480 F</td>
<td>87,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>Unshrouded Hollow</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1540 F</td>
<td>1480 F</td>
<td>98,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1540 F</td>
<td>1480 F</td>
<td>91,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1540 F</td>
<td>1480 F</td>
<td>93,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>1465 F</td>
<td>1405 F</td>
<td>104,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1860</td>
<td>1360 F</td>
<td>104,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>Arristol</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1540 F</td>
<td>1480 F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Limited by disk stress
TABLE 12. MARK 48-F TURBINE BLADE CONFIGURATION SUMMARY

**SI UNITS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First-Stage Rotor Blade Height, cm</th>
<th>Blade Attachment</th>
<th>Blade Configuration</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Life, hours</th>
<th>Temperature, K</th>
<th>Maximum Allowable Speed, rad/s</th>
<th>Turbine Performance at 1033 K</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>Weld</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>Fir Tree</td>
<td>Shrouded Solid</td>
<td>Astroloy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>7,277*</td>
<td>10,470  76.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>Integral</td>
<td>Shrouded Solid</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>8,376</td>
<td>10,470</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>Maximum N too low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>9,109</td>
<td>10,470</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>Maximum N too low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>Unshrouded Hollow</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>10,260</td>
<td>10,470</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>Efficiency low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.737</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>9,528</td>
<td>9,947</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>Maximum N too low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.737</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>9,789</td>
<td>9,947</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.737</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>1069</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>10,941</td>
<td>9,947</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.737</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>10,941</td>
<td>9,947</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.737</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>10,941</td>
<td>9,947</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks**
- Astroloy is not weldable
- Maximum N too low
- Efficiency low
- Accepted configuration

**ENGLISH UNITS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First-Stage Rotor Blade Height, inch</th>
<th>Blade Attachment</th>
<th>Blade Configuration</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Maximum Allowable Speed, rpm</th>
<th>Turbine Performance at 1860 F</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>Weld</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>Fir Tree</td>
<td>Shrouded Solid</td>
<td>Astroloy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2000 R 1480 F</td>
<td>69,500</td>
<td>100,000* 76.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>Integral</td>
<td>Shrouded Solid</td>
<td>2000 R</td>
<td>1480 F</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>Maximum N too low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>2000 R 1480 F</td>
<td>87,000</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>Maximum N too low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>Unshrouded Hollow</td>
<td>2000 R 1480 F</td>
<td>98,000</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>Efficiency low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>2000 R 1480 F</td>
<td>91,000</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>Maximum N too low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>2000 R 1480 F</td>
<td>93,500</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>1925 R 1405 F</td>
<td>104,500</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1860 R 1360 F</td>
<td>104,500 75.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>Shrouded Hollow</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2000 R 1480 F</td>
<td>104,500 75.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks**
- Astroloy is not weldable
- Maximum N too low
- Efficiency low
- Accepted configuration

isk stress
The calculated stress levels used in the above analysis are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Centrifugal stress} & = 37,200 \text{ N/cm}^2 (54,000 \text{ psi}) \\
\text{Gas bending stress} & = 4800 \text{ N/cm}^2 (6900 \text{ psi}) \\
\text{Maximum stresses at blade root} & = 42,000 \text{ N/cm}^2 (60,900 \text{ psi})
\end{align*}
\]

The calculated stress levels of the second-stage turbine blades were similar:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Centrifugal stress} & = 38,000 \text{ N/cm}^2 (55,100 \text{ psi}) \\
\text{Gas bending stress} & = 3500 \text{ N/cm}^2 (5900 \text{ psi}) \\
\text{Maximum stresses at blade root} & = 41,500 \text{ N/cm}^2 (61,000 \text{ psi})
\end{align*}
\]

The temperature levels present at the second-stage blade are lower and, as a result, the predicted life is slightly higher.

Turbine Manifold. The turbine manifold was analyzed, using a finite-element approach, accounting for pressure and thermally induced loads. Because of thermal gradients at engine cutoff, an Incoloy 903 liner was incorporated to attenuate the strain levels in the structural walls. With the liner, a low-cycle fatigue life of 200 cycles was achieved with a factor of safety of 4.0. The finite-element model for the turbine manifold is shown in Fig. 71, and the constant-strain map is included in Fig. 72. Table 13 presents a summary of the strain levels and predicted life for the various parts of the manifold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Strain (nondimensional)</th>
<th>Low-Cycle Fatigue Life With 4.0 Safety Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Torus*</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>850 cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust Cylinder</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>600 cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet Transition*</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
<td>200 cycles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*With 0.16 mm (0.062 inch) liner

Split Impeller Design

Experience in fabricating the impeller from an integral forging revealed that, because of lack of accessibility, it was difficult to produce parts which consistently met print requirements. As a result, an effort was initiated to modify the impeller design to improve producibility. The approach adopted was to fabricate the impellers in two pieces and, after the internal hydrodynamic passages are generated, join the two halves.
Figure 71. Mark 48-F Turbine Manifold Finite-Element Model

- $Pr = 22860 \text{ N/cm}^2$ (4110 psi)
- $Pr = 3150 \text{ N/cm}^2$ (2172 psi)
- $Pr = 2068 \text{ N/cm}^2$ (3000 psi)
- $Pr = 2585 \text{ N/cm}^2$ (3750 psi)

AXIAL DIMENSION, INCHES

AXIAL DIMENSION, cm
Figure 72. Mark 48-F Turbine Manifold Constant-Strain Map
The design layout which defined the interface between the preimpeller and main impeller is shown in Fig. 73. In formulating the configuration of the interface, there were several important considerations; the most significant of these are discussed in the following:

The location of interface was established by the requirement of accessibility to both subcomponents and to maintain an adequate stress distribution. The interface is located at approximately 1.58 rad (90 degrees) wrap angle of the full 2.36 rad (135 degree) wrap. This provides excellent accessibility to machine the hydrodynamic passages of both details, and leaves sufficient hub material to support the main impeller. The location of the interface is immediately upstream of the leading edge of the partial vanes; therefore, only the full vanes are involved in the split. The front shroud labyrinths are all located on the preimpeller, and the weld joint is located adjacent to the labyrinths, at a small diameter where the added mass required for the joint does not present a stress problem.

Initially, the interface was planned as a mechanical gap, but the weld joint was added to maintain the axial deflection at an acceptable level and to eliminate a potential recirculation leak path around the front shroud. To ensure a Class I weld, the joint is ultrasonically inspected. Provisions are included to facilitate ultrasonic inspection by including excess material outside the weld, which is removed after the inspection is accomplished.

The preimpeller is installed with a combination of heating and pressing. An interference fit of 0.104 to 0.114 mm (0.0041 to 0.0045 inch) is maintained at the piloting diameter to ensure positive piloting at all operating conditions. Axially, the preimpeller will bottom at the hub. To ensure the best possible contact, the mating surfaces at the hub are being held to an 0.0025 mm (0.0001 inch) normality. The preimpeller is preloaded axially during the welding operation as a precaution; although, with the heavy diametral interference fit, a loss in axial contact is not probable.

Tooling is used to position the two parts relative to each other during the assembly procedure to ensure proper matching of the vane surfaces at the interface.

The effective stress at the weld, composed of tangential, radial, and axial stresses, was calculated at 42,000 N/cm² (60,600 psi). The tangential stress at the weld joint is 49,000 N/cm² (71,000 psi), and is the major contributor of the total effective stress. Treating the effective stress as steady-state stress and using the mechanical properties of a Class I, 5Al-2.5Sn ELI titanium GTA weldment (as welded) at 61 K (-350 F) temperature, the ultimate and yield factors of safety are 2.47 and 2.37, respectively.

The finite-element grid and isostress plots of the effective stresses for the entire impeller are shown in Fig. 74 and 75. Figure 76 and 77 include an enlarged grid and isostress plots of the preimpeller section.
Figure 73. Mark 48-F Split Impeller
Figure 74. Mark 48-F Third-Stage Impeller Underformed Structure

N = 10,940 rad/s (104,500 RPM)

P = 2820 N/cm² (4090 PSI)

P = 2640 N/cm² (3830 PSI)

P = 2406 N/cm² (3490 PSI)

P = 2196 N/cm² (3186 PSI)

P = 18,890 N/cm² (27,400 PSI)

P = 18,890 N/cm² (27,400 PSI)
Figure 75. Mark 48-F First-Stage Impeller
Figure 76. Enlarged End of Preimpeiler
Figure 77. Mark 48-F Split Impeller Preimpeller Effective Stress
The total axial deflection of the balance piston high-pressure seal relative to the low-pressure seal is less than 0.076 mm (0.003 inch) at 9946 rad/s (95,000 rpm) with the welded impeller. Therefore, a total balance piston gap can be maintained at 0.254 mm (0.010 inch), which was used in the pump performance prediction. The deflected shape of the impeller is shown in Fig. 78.

A fatigue analysis of the impeller weld joint was made. Because of the orientation of the weld joint, there is an axial gap between the preimpeller and the main impeller. This results in a mechanical notch for weld joint strains in the axial direction. The maximum axial strain range at the notch adjacent to the weld is 0.0048, using the structural finite-element model calculated axial strain and a notch factor of 3. Based on the above strain range and the low-cycle fatigue life capability of a Class 1 GTA weld joint of 5-2.5 titanium at 61 K (-350 F), the joint cycle life is in excess of 10,000 cycles, which satisfies the minimum requirement of 1200 cycles (300 duty cycles times a safety factor of 4).

GTAW joints have been evaluated, and the predicted minimum strength values have been developed in accordance with Fig. 79. These values are being used as design properties for both GTAW and EB weldments. The values are considered conservative since, in all cases, properties of EB welds have been demonstrated to be superior to properties resulting from GTAW.

Gas Generator

The gas generator was designed as a piece of special test equipment to provide the drive gas for turbopump testing. The requirements imposed to meet the basic intent of such a facility item were: (1) stable operation at all operating points, (2) repeatable high performance, (3) uniform exhaust gas temperature profile, (4) reliable ignition, and (5) durability and long life.

The gas generator design uses separate injector and combustor assemblies which are attached with a bolted flange (Fig. 80). The injector has 15 coaxial injection elements, which are designed for stable operation, high performance, and complete mixing. The nominal operating parameters for the injection elements are given in Table 14. Analysis of the element design, using the Rocketdyne Steady-State Combustion Model, indicated complete combustion within a distance of 8.89 cm (3.5 inches) from the injector face (Fig. 81). The output from this model was also used to conduct a Priem analysis to evaluate the sensitivity of the combustion process to transverse acoustic modes in the combustor. The results of this analysis indicated the gas generator will have stability superior to the J-2 and J-2S engines (higher A), which exhibited dynamic stability to all but intermediate size bombs (Fig. 82). The injector element was also designed with adequate injection pressure drop (P/Pc = 0.13) to isolate the gas generator from feed-system-coupled modes of instability.

The injection elements are a self-contained design in which each element is built as a brazed assembly for individual calibration (Fig. 83). The elements have a recessed oxidizer post with four centering devices for positive alignment within the fuel sleeve. Narrow slits are used at the entrance of the fuel sleeve.
Figure 78. Deflected Shape of Split Impeller
Figure 79. Titanium (5.0 Al-2.5 Sn) Predicted Minimum Tensile Strength
Figure 80. LH$_2$ Turbopump Gas Generator


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal Operating Parameters</th>
<th>SI Units</th>
<th>English Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Elements</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowrate/Element</td>
<td>0.20 kg/s</td>
<td>0.44 lb/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidizer Injection Velocity</td>
<td>24.4 m/s</td>
<td>80 ft/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Injection Velocity</td>
<td>190.5 m/s</td>
<td>625 ft/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Fuel Sleeve Gap</td>
<td>$3.8 \times 10^{-4}$ m</td>
<td>0.015 inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Center Devices</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 81. Gas Generator Coaxial Element
Figure 82. Gas Generator Priem Analysis
Figure 83. Injector Element
to act as filters to protect the minimum internal fuel flow area from being restricted by contamination. The element material is CRES 304L.

The injector body is an all-welded assembly fabricated from CRES 347. The injector elements and NARloy faceplate are brazed into the injector body. GRAYLOC fittings are used as propellant inlets to interface with the test facility. An envelope has been retained in the center of the injector for potential use of a spark igniter.

The combustor is an all-welded assembly of the combustor body, elbow, and transition section. Added margin for complete mixing and a uniform exit temperature has been provided by using the elbow to induce circulation. The combustor is cooled by film coolant injected from orifices at the periphery of the injector. The film coolant temperature is shown in Fig. 84 as a function of the distance from the injector face.

Acoustic absorbers were placed in the combustor wall, directly below the injector face, to provide added stability margin by damping acoustic modes in the combustor. A summary of acoustic absorber experience (Fig. 85) shows that the design open area of the gas generator acoustic absorber lies in a favorable position relative to previous experience.

A welded transition section was used between the gas generator and turbine manifold because analysis showed that the high temperature in this area would prohibit effecting a positive seal with a flanged joint. The joint is fabricated by welding the Inconel 625 transition piece to the Rene' 41 turbine manifold (Fig. 86). This weld is then heat treated. After the Inconel 625 gas generator transition piece is welded to the combustor elbow, the two transition pieces are joined with an EB weld. The gas generator transition piece has a liner section which extends over the transition piece welded to the turbine manifold. This forms a thermal barrier which ensures that the life of the protected transition piece is consistent with that of the turbine manifold. The design of the transition section allows the gas generator to be removed and rewelded to the turbine manifold without harming the heat treat or weld between Rene' 41 and Inconel 625 since the rework can be made in the protected Inconel 625 transition pieces.

Ignition of the gas generator was to be accomplished using pyrotechnic igniters similar to the Rocketdyne P/N 651876 igniter extensively used for J-2 gas generator and turbopump development testing. Two pyrotechnic igniter ports were provided in the combustor. The subsequent development of a spark torch igniter under company funding based on prior work conducted under NASA-LeRC direction precluded the necessity of utilizing the pyrotechnic igniters.

FABRICATION

Component Fabrication

The methods employed in fabricating the major components of the LH₂ turbopump are discussed in the following paragraphs.
Figure 84. Gas Generator Film Coolant Temperature
Figure 85. Absorber Experience

Figure 86. Turbopump to Gas Generator Transition Joint
Pump Inlet. The pump inlet housing, shown in Fig. 87, was a welded assembly of components machined or formed from Inconel 718 wrought material. The inlet guide vanes were generated by pantographing, as illustrated in Fig. 88. Figure 89 shows the housing in a stage of partial completion, with the component containing the guide vanes welded to the dome, but before the inlet torus was attached. The completed inlet housing are shown in Fig. 90.

An alternate design approach for the pump inlet was investigated for potential cost reduction. In this approach, the radial scroll-shaped inlet (Fig. 90) was replaced by an axial entry, as illustrated in Fig. 91. Bids received from three vendors based on the conceptual sketch shown in Fig. 91 revealed that the axial inlet would be slightly more expensive. As a result, the original design concept was retained.

Pump Crossovers. The crossovers were fabricated in three sections from Inconel 718, as illustrated in Fig. 92. The internal hydrodynamic passages, including the radial diffuser and the rows of crossover guide vanes, were generated by EDM. One of the setups for forming the diffuser vanes is shown in Fig. 93. The three components prior to assembly are shown in Fig. 94.

After all the internal passages were established, the components were joined by EB welding, and the assembly was heat treated and finish machined. A 0.254 mm (0.010 inch) thick silver plating was applied to the impeller labyrinth lands.

Impellers. The impellers were fabricated from single pieces of 5.0Al-2.5Sn titanium forgings. External features, hubs, and splines were machined by conventional methods. The internal flow passages were generated by EDM from the inlet and discharge side. A typical EDM setup in which the vane leading edges were formed is illustrated in Fig. 95.

Considerable difficulty was experienced in obtaining a satisfactory passage shape in the transition zone between the inlet and discharge because of the lack of accessibility with an integral front shroud. Several reworks were made in that area to eliminate discontinuities and protrusions in the flow channel. The delivered impellers deviated somewhat from the drawing in that the vanes did not have a continuous twist from inlet to discharge, and in certain areas the vanes were undersize. As a result of the difficulties encountered in producing the impellers to print, a design modification was made in which the impeller is fabricated in two pieces and joined by EB welding. The details of this approach are described elsewhere in this report.

Each of the completed integral impellers was submitted to proof spinning, in which they were spun up to a speed of 8376 rad/s (80,000 rpm) at ambient temperature. No failures or permanent deformations were encountered.

A finished second-stage impeller is shown in Fig. 96. The three impellers stacked on the rotor are illustrated in Fig. 97.

Turbine Wheels. The turbine wheels were machined from integral Astroloy forgings. Because of the integral shroud at the outer diameter of the wheel, the blade surfaces were generated by the EDM from the upstream and downstream side,
Figure 87. Pump Inlet Housing
Figure 88. Mark 48-F Inlet Housing Guide Vane Pantographing
Figure 89. Partially Completed Mark 48-F Inlet Housing
Figure 90. Mark 48-F Inlet Housings
Figure 91. Mark 48-F Turbopump Axial Entry Pump Inlet

Figure 92. Crossover Fabrication
Figure 93. Mark 48-F Diffuser EDM Setup
Figure 94. LH$_2$ Pump Crossover Details Before Welding
Figure 95. Impeller EDM-ing Set-Up
Figure 96. Second Stage Impeller

Figure 97. LH$_2$ Turbopump Rotor
respectively. All blade surfaces were formed concurrently with a one-piece electrode, shown in Fig. 98. The lightening holes at the center of the blades were also formed by EDM. The completed first- and second-stage wheels are shown in Fig. 99 with the center tie bolt.

**Housing.** The most complex component of the turbopump to fabricate was the housing. It incorporated, in a single welded structure, the pump third-stage diffuser and discharge volute and, on the turbine end, the inlet manifold and first-stage nozzle (Fig. 100). In its initial stages of manufacture, the housing was fabricated in two separate groups. The construction of the housing is illustrated in Fig. 100. The chronology of processing is depicted in Fig. 101.

The pump end of the housing was machined from two major forgings. The -003 component, which contained the third-stage diffuser, was machined from an Inconel 718 forging, with the diffuser vanes formed by EDM (Fig. 101, step 1). The contours of the -005 volute cap (Fig. 101, step 2) were machined by pantographing (Fig. 101, step 3) from Inconel 718, and the two pieces were joined by EB welding (Fig. 101, step 4). Transition rings (-027 and -029) of Haynes 188 material were attached, by EB welding, in the area where the turbine manifold was to be joined to the pump housing. At this stage, the pump end of the housing was heat treated to Inconel 718 specifications (Fig. 101, step 5).

The fabrication of the turbine manifold progressed in a parallel effort with the above, as shown in Fig. 101. The turbine first-stage nozzle was made from Haynes 188 alloy by EDM of the flaw passages (Fig. 101, step 1). A 1.52 mm (0.060 inch) thick line was constructed from Incoloy 903 sheet metal to reduce thermal gradients across the main pressure walls. The contacting side of the liner was etched to produce local high spots and thereby reduce the surface area in contact. The liner was installed "loose" between the two manifold halves, i.e., without weld attachment to allow it to expand and contract without constraints (Fig. 101, step II).

The two manifold halves were machined from Rene' 41 and assembled by tungsten inert gas (TIG) welding (Fig. 101, step III). Parts of the manifold, which were analytically predicted to be subject to high strain, were plated (Fig. 101, step IV) 0.076 to 0.25 mm (0.003 to 0.010 inch) thick with copper to provide hydrogen-environment-embrittlement protection. Haynes 188 transition rings were welded to the turbine manifold in two areas where it was to be attached to the pump volute housing.

At this stage (Fig. 101, step V), the welded manifold assembly was heat treated to Rene' 41 specification.

The welded and heat-treated pump housing and turbine manifold were joined (Fig. 101, step 6) by EB welding at the Haynes 188 transition rings. The rings were of sufficient length to leave the heat-treated Inconel 718 and Rene' 41 on the pump and turbine end unaffected by the weld heating. After the final welding was accomplished, the housing internal features and flanges were finish machined to print requirements (Fig. 101, step 7).
Figure 98. Turbine Wheel Machining Set-up & Electrode
Figure 99. Turbine Wheels & Center Tie Bolt
**Turbopump Assembly**

**Rotor Balance.** Because of the high operating speeds involved and the stacked rotor configuration, the balancing procedure had to eliminate internal moments resulting from component imbalance, as well as moment and force vector imbalance of the rotor as a unit. To accomplish this, balancing was performed as follows:

1. The first- and third-stage impellers were assembled on the shaft with a short spacer between them. Two turbopump bearings, axially preloaded in the bearing sleeves as in the turbopump installation, were used to support the rotor on either end. Temporary wax corrections were made in the planes of the two impeller shrouds. To ensure that the spacer between the impellers was not affecting the measured imbalance, its angular position was rotated 1.57 rad (180 degrees) relative to the other parts, and dimensional measurements were repeated and the amount of imbalance compared. No significant difference was noted.

2. The second-stage impeller was installed in place of the balance spacer and its imbalance was determined, maintaining constant the wax corrections on the first- and third-stage impellers. Wax correction was applied to the shroud of the second-stage impeller.

3. The turbine wheels were added to the rotor assembly, and their imbalance was temporarily corrected with wax. The balance assembly is shown in the Gisholt cradle in Fig. 102.

4. Repeatability checks were performed to ensure that the imbalance remained within an acceptable tolerance band on repeated disassemblies and reassemblies.

5. Final balance corrections were made by grinding material from each impeller shroud, the turbine disks, and the instrumentation nut.

The final runout measurements of the critical surfaces are noted in Fig. 103. The Gisholt balancing machine used is capable of detecting a displacement of 0.0006 mm (25 μ inches). With the weight of 3.746 kg (8.25 pounds) of the Mark 48-F rotor, this translates into a radial load of 227 N (51 pounds) at 9946 rad/s (95,000 rpm) shared by the four turbopump bearings. The foregoing corresponds to an imbalance of 0.22 g cm (0.09 g inch); the repeatability check indicated a variation in the measured imbalance of 0.127 g cm (0.05 g inch), which would result in a radial load of 125 N (28 pounds). Either imbalance level is compatible with the capability of the bearings.

**Turbopump Assembly Procedure.** The buildup of the turbopump was accomplished in the following sequence:

1. Parts were cleaned for LH₂ service.

2. Front and rear bearing inner-race spacer thicknesses were established to provide the proper bearing preloads.

3. The thickness of the turbine wheel spacer was established to provide the proper nozzle-to-rotor axial clearance.
Figure 102. Mark 48-F Balancing Setup
Figure 103. Mark 48-F Balance Assembly Radial Runouts (TIR, inch)
4. The thickness of the balance piston low-pressure orifice ring was established to obtain the desired balance piston travel.

5. Dimensions were taken to establish the axial position of the impellers when bottomed on the adjacent parts to permit calculation of minimum clearances later in the build.

6. Bearing, shaft seal, and impeller radial clearances were measured.

7. The rotor assembly was balanced.

8. The balance piston low-pressure orifice ring was installed.

9. The rear bearing cartridge front and rear shim thicknesses were established by performing a rotor push-pull test with a partially assembled turbopump at ambient temperature.

10. A "cold" check was performed at LN2 temperature to determine and adjust the bearing load at zero piston low-pressure orifice clearance.

11. The partial assembly used for rotor push-pull tests was disassembled, leaving in the housing the balance piston low-pressure seal, shaft, rear bearing package, shaft seal, and the third-stage impeller.

12. Successively, the second-stage crossover, second-stage impeller, first-stage crossover, first-stage impeller, and the inlet housing were assembled, measuring for each stage the minimum axial clearances.

13. The front bearing package was added and the shaft was preloaded by stretching on an Instron tensile tester to 54,700 N (12,300 pound) load, torquing the retaining nut while the shaft was under tensile load. A total stretch of 0.686 mm (0.027 inch) was obtained on the shaft in this manner.

14. The bearing preloads were verified at ambient and LN2 temperatures.

15. The turbine was assembled by installing the wheel spacer, retaining studs, first-stage wheel, second-stage nozzle, second-stage wheel, retaining nuts, and wheel cover. Axial minimum and blade-to-blade clearances were established at each step.

16. On the pump inlet end, the instrumentation nut and front cover were installed and the speed pickup, temperature transducers, and Bently proximity transducers were added.

17. Electrical checks were performed, and the pump was leak-checked with gaseous helium (GHe) at 21 N/cm² (30 psig).

The clearances measured relative to the front bearing and instrumentation probes are shown in Fig. 104. Figure 105 shows the impeller labyrinth radial clearances. In Fig. 106, the clearances relating to the rear bearings and shaft seal are presented. The axial clearances relating to the rear bearings and shaft seal are presented. The axial clearances between turbine components are noted in Fig. 107. The radial clearances in the turbine, as established during the calibration build, are included in Fig. 108.
Figure 104. Mark 48-F S/N 01-0 Assembly Instrumentation and Front Bearing Clearances
Figure 105. Mark 48-F S/N 01-0 Assembly Impeller Labyrinth Clearances
Figure 106. Mark 48-F Turbine S/N 01-0 Axial Clearances
Figure 107. Mark 48-F Turbine S/N 01-0 Axial Clearances
Figure 108. Mark 48-F Turbine S/N 01-0 Radial Clearances
Each of the two pairs of bearings is preloaded internally by two Belville springs located at the outer races. A minimum axial load of 431 N (97 pounds) is required to prevent the balls from skidding on the races at the design speed of 9946 rad/s (95,000 rpm). The actual preload on the bearings is measured by building a preliminary assembly consisting of the two bearings, the bearing cartridge, two Belville springs and the inner race spacer. With the inner race stack clamped axially tight with a tool, the axial motion of the outer races as a function of applied load is measured. The inner race spacer thickness is adjusted until the required preload is obtained. The actual load curve, obtained for the pump and turbine end bearings is shown in Fig. 109 and 110, respectively.

The pump end bearing cartridge is free to move inside the inlet housing bore; therefore, the spring preloads are the only axial loads experienced by those bearings. In contrast, the turbine end bearing cartridge is restrained axially so that those bearings will restrain the rotor position within certain limits. The planned rotor position/axial load relationship is illustrated in Fig.111. The objective is to have the bearings absorb 1793 N (403 pounds) of rotor axial load toward the turbine side at the point where the balance piston low-pressure orifices faces come in contact, and similarly absorb 1779 N (400 pounds) of load toward the direction of the pump when the balance piston high-pressure orifices are axially aligned. This will hold the rotor in the desired position statically and during the low-speed transient phase.

To obtain the actual bearing loads versus rotor axial position characteristics, a push-pull test is made, in which axial load is applied to the rotor through a force gage, and rotor motion is monitored with dial gages. The setup is illustrated in Fig. 112. Adjustments are made in the thickness of the shims located on either end of the bearing cartridge until the desired load-travel relationship is obtained. The results of the push-pull tests obtained at ambient temperature are shown in Fig. 113. The test is repeated with the pump chilled with LN\textsubscript{2} to simulate cryogenic conditions. The results obtained on the cold push-pull test are presented in Fig. 114.

The LN\textsubscript{2} load check was performed only toward the low-pressure side because, at zero speed and pressure, a forward load of 1780 N (400 pounds) is achieved at a negative high-pressure orifice axial clearance. This, combined with the fact that at cryogenic temperatures there is a radial overlap of the orifices, could damage the orifices if a significant load toward the pump side were imposed.

**Turbopump Weights**

During assembly, the principal components of the turbopump as well as the completed unit was weighed. A breakdown of the measured weights is included in Table 15.
Figure 109. Mark 48-F Front Bearing Preload
Figure 110. Mark 48-F Rear Bearing Preload
Figure 131. Mark 48-F Turbopump Bearing Loads vs Piston Position (SI Units)
Figure 111. Mark 48-F Turbopump Bearing Loads vs Piston Position (English Units)
Figure 112. Mark 48-F Turbopump Assembly Push/Pull Setup
Figure 113. Mark 48-F Bearing Preload, Ambient Temperature
Figure 114. Mark 48-F Bearing Preload, LN₂ Temperature
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Weight (pounds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inlet</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing (including GG)</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-Stage Crossover</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second-Stage Crossover</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Second-Stage Nozzle</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine First-Stage Wheel</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Second-Stage Wheel</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-Stage Impeller</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second-Stage Impeller</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third-Stage Impeller</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Weight</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>96.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor Weight</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>8.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TESTING

Gas Generator Testing

To operationally characterize the LH₂ Mark 48-F turbopump assembly prior to actual engine testing, a gas generator assembly (P/N RS005024) was developed and hot-fire tested. The gas generator assembly was tested in the Propulsion Research Area (PRA) at Rocketdyne's Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). The 3447 N/cm² (5000-psi) propellant tank ratings provided sufficient operating margin for the required gas generator rated chamber pressure of 2344 N/cm² (3400 psia). Figures 115 and 116 show the gas generator installation in the Lima stand of PRA.

The LH₂ turbopump gas generator test program was concluded successfully after a total of 15 hot-fire tests. Nine of these tests were conducted on injector unit No. 2, while the remaining tests were conducted on injector unit No. 3. Tables 16A and 16B are performance summaries of those tests conducted during the development hot-fire program.

Three anomalies were uncovered during the testing effort: (1) igniter ceramic cracking, (2) failure to achieve ignition on several tests and, (3) hot streaks and a moderate amount of erosion at the combustor body internal wall. The igniter ceramic cracking problem was resolved by reducing the chamber pressure buildup rate by slowing down the opening rate of the main LO₂ valve.

In the case of the failure of the igniter to achieve ignition, the cause has been attributed to the lack of a spark at designed air gap location (electrode/igniter adapter manifold). Actual spark current flow was recorded for these tests (016-022, -023, and -024), but the preferential current path was determined to be across the spark plug ceramic to spark plug outer shell, an area shielded by the boron nitride cap. Any oxygen gas ionized by the spark in this location would have little chance to reach the igniter injection area due to the torturous path length. The boron nitride cap was removed prior to test 016-025, but the forward end piece of boron nitride was retained in the assembly. Each subsequent test achieved ignition without incident.

Five hot streaks were observed in the combustor during the testing of the two LH₂ turbopump gas generator injectors. In the case of injector unit No. 2, the hot streaks appeared only as surface discolorations after the 7.3-second mainstage test, and no erosion was noted. In the case of injector unit No. 3, one hot streak (downstream of injector element 1-5) progressed to a moderate amount of combustor wall erosion during a 24-second mainstage test (016-029). The erosion is shown in photographs of Fig. 117 through 119.

Also during the testing effort, an associated problem was identified in that a large temperature gradient was observed at the exit of the combustor. The gradient 244 K (440 R) from the wall to the center of the exit elbow was recorded on an eight-thermocouple temperature rake as shown in Fig. 120. The gradient versus distance from the wall is shown in Fig. 121. Also, as shown, the hot core is well centered within the flowstream, indicating that the smooth
Figure 115. Gas Generator Installation
Figure 116. Gas Generator Installation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Test Date</th>
<th>Test Type</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>$P_c$</th>
<th>Combustion Temperature</th>
<th>Oxidizer Flowrate, kg/s</th>
<th>Fuel Flowrate, kg/s</th>
<th>MR</th>
<th>Oxidizer Ignition Flow, kg/s</th>
<th>Fuel Ignition Flow, kg/s</th>
<th>Ignition MR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>016-018</td>
<td>8/5</td>
<td>Ignition</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0224</td>
<td>0.0252</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-019</td>
<td>8/15</td>
<td>Ignition</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0229</td>
<td>0.0245</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-020</td>
<td>8/20</td>
<td>Mainstage</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2176</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0184</td>
<td>0.0215</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-021</td>
<td>8/20</td>
<td>Mainstage</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2196</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0179</td>
<td>0.0213</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-022</td>
<td>8/25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Ignition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-023</td>
<td>8/25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Ignition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-024</td>
<td>8/26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Ignition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-025</td>
<td>8/26</td>
<td>Mainstage</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2125</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>0.0181</td>
<td>0.0236</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-026</td>
<td>8/26</td>
<td>Mainstage</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>2267</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>0.0131</td>
<td>0.0210</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>016-027</strong></td>
<td>8/26</td>
<td>Mainstage</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2267</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>0.0175</td>
<td>0.0234</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>016-028</strong></td>
<td>8/26</td>
<td>Mainstage</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2218</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>0.0176</td>
<td>0.0225</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>016-029</strong></td>
<td>8/26</td>
<td>Mainstage</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>2191</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>0.0191</td>
<td>0.0225</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>016-045</strong></td>
<td>11/18</td>
<td>Mainstage</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>2375</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>0.0167</td>
<td>0.0195</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>016-046</strong></td>
<td>11/18</td>
<td>Mainstage</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>2370</td>
<td>883</td>
<td>0.0173</td>
<td>0.0200</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>016-047</strong></td>
<td>11/26</td>
<td>Mainstage</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>2374</td>
<td>988</td>
<td>0.0172</td>
<td>0.0212</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mainstage duration determined from main propellant ignition to cutoff

**LH$_2$ turbopump injector unit No. 3

NOTE: Tests 016-045, 046, and 047 were conducted with the 90-degree miter bend combustor configuration.
### TABLE 16B. LH₂ TURBOPUMP GAS GENERATOR TEST SUMMARY (ENGLISH UNITS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Test Date</th>
<th>Test Type</th>
<th>Duration sec</th>
<th>$P_c$, psia</th>
<th>Combustion Temperature, °F</th>
<th>Oxidizer Flowrate, lb/sec</th>
<th>Fuel Flowrate, lb/sec</th>
<th>Ignition Flowrate, lb/sec</th>
<th>MR</th>
<th>Ignition Flowrate, lb/sec</th>
<th>MR</th>
<th>Ignition Flowrate, lb/sec</th>
<th>MR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>016-018</td>
<td>8/5</td>
<td>Ignition</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1274</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0494</td>
<td>0.0556</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-019</td>
<td>8/15</td>
<td>Ignition</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>1379</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0505</td>
<td>0.541</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-020</td>
<td>8/20</td>
<td>Mainstage</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3157</td>
<td>1141</td>
<td>2.543</td>
<td>4.199</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.0405</td>
<td>0.0473</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-021</td>
<td>8/20</td>
<td>Mainstage</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3185</td>
<td>1312</td>
<td>2.747</td>
<td>3.814</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.0394</td>
<td>0.0469</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-022</td>
<td>8/25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-023</td>
<td>8/25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-024</td>
<td>8/26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-025</td>
<td>8/26</td>
<td>Mainstage</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3083</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>1.726</td>
<td>4.445</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.0399</td>
<td>0.0521</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-026</td>
<td>8/26</td>
<td>Mainstage</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>3289</td>
<td>1691</td>
<td>2.071</td>
<td>3.267</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.0289</td>
<td>0.0464</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>016-027</strong></td>
<td>8/26</td>
<td>Mainstage</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3289</td>
<td>1343</td>
<td>3.121</td>
<td>4.094</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.0385</td>
<td>0.0516</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>016-028</strong></td>
<td>8/26</td>
<td>Mainstage</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3218</td>
<td>1262</td>
<td>3.023</td>
<td>4.176</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.0388</td>
<td>0.0496</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>016-029</strong></td>
<td>8/26</td>
<td>Mainstage</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>3178</td>
<td>1617</td>
<td>3.052</td>
<td>3.286</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.0422</td>
<td>0.0497</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>016-045</strong></td>
<td>11/18</td>
<td>Mainstage</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>3445</td>
<td>1477</td>
<td>3.051</td>
<td>3.791</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>0.0368</td>
<td>0.0430</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>016-046</strong></td>
<td>11/18</td>
<td>Mainstage</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>3438</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>3.123</td>
<td>3.553</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td>0.0382</td>
<td>0.0441</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>016-047</strong></td>
<td>11/26</td>
<td>Mainstage</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>3444</td>
<td>1778</td>
<td>3.187</td>
<td>3.273</td>
<td>0.972</td>
<td>0.0380</td>
<td>0.0468</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mainstage duration determined from main propellant ignition to cutoff
**LH₂ turbopump injector unit No. 3

NOTE: Tests 016-045, 046, and 047 were conducted with the 90-degree miter bend combustor configuration.
Figure 117. Combustor Internal Erosion
Figure 11B. Combustor Internal Heat Marks
Figure 119. Combustor Heat Penetration
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Figure 120. Thermocouple Rake Installation and Typical Temperature Measurements

Figure 121. Combustion Temperature vs Thermocouple Insertion Depth
elbow could be a contributing factor by imposing some type of centrifuge effect on the exit gases. However, it is more likely that injector element flowstream deflection is more likely and, therefore, poses a more significant problem.

The combustor and injector were shipped to Canoga Park, California for detailed analysis. Injector units 2 and 3 were water flowed in the laboratory in the as-tested condition to evaluate any possible flow maldistribution. Figure 122 shows the results of the oxidizer-side flow distribution tests on injector unit No. 3 (produced combustor erosion). Note that the two streams directed toward the outside (toward the combustor wall) are the exact elements which produced the hot streaks in the combustor. The element on the left (1-5) eroded the combustor wall. These water-flow tests were run at low flowrates and pressures with no back pressure; the fuel side of the injector was similarly water flow tested with no gross abnormality noted. Injector unit No. 2 was also flow tested, and revealed that two LO2 stream elements, which were known to produce hot streaks, were diverted outward toward the combustion wall. The flow stream impingement pattern noted for these injectors was repeated over several flow tests. Tables 17A and 17B show the data collected during the water flow testing of injector unit No. 3.

Following the water-flow tests, the injectors were inspected dimensionally. Figure 123 is a schematic of the LH2 injector unit 3 face, showing the relative locations and the identifications of the elements. The arrows next to the element show the post offset direction as well as the amount of offset in radians (degrees, minutes). Figure 123 also tabulates the actual angular misalignments. A similar inspection was made on injector unit No. 2; all posts were within 0.009 radian (32 minutes) of normality. Tables 18A and 18B show the results of injector unit 3 dimensional inspections. Since injector unit 3 had produced the erosion of the combustor, a more thorough analysis of the suspected elements (1-5 and 1-8) plus one which appeared to be within acceptable tolerances (2-3) was accomplished. Figure 124 through 126 presents the results of the cup dimensions of those elements. Generally, elements 1-8 and 2-3 appeared to have the least amount of annular (sleeve to LO2 post) dimensional discrepancies, while element 1-5 (eroded area of combustor) has the most pronounced difference. Evaluation of dimension LO2 d1 (Fig. 126), with respect to the geometry of the combustor, shows the LO2 posts to be pointing toward the wall of the combustor. This alignment would produce a high-mixture-ratio, high-temperature region, and probably caused the observed erosion.

As a result of the analysis of the combustor hot streak and high exit gas temperature gradient, the following design changes were made to the next hot-fire test series (016-045-047):

1. The film coolant flow was increased from about 4.5 to 9.5% by machining film coolant orifices adjacent to each outer coaxial element from 0.051 to 0.086 mm (0.020 to 0.034 inch) to provide better protection for the wall.

2. The film coolant impingement pattern was moved further into combustor section by removing 0.076 mm (0.030 inch) material from injector to combustor mating flange to prevent possible impingement on acoustic cavity opening.
Figure 122. Injector Water Flow Test
### Table 17A. \( \text{LH}_2 \) Injector Unit No. 3 Water Flow Test Results (SI Units)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element No.</th>
<th>( \text{LO}_2 ) Post Flowrate, kg/s</th>
<th>Fuel Sleeve Flowrate, kg/s</th>
<th>Film Coolant No.</th>
<th>Film Coolant Flowrate, kg/s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-1</td>
<td>0.0152</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
<td>FC-1</td>
<td>0.00125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>0.0152</td>
<td>0.0316</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0.00111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>0.0147</td>
<td>0.0305</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>0.00111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>0.0147</td>
<td>0.0320</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0.00111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>0.0152</td>
<td>0.0310</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>0.00125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>0.0149</td>
<td>0.0303</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>0.00125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-7</td>
<td>0.0118</td>
<td>0.0307</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>0.00125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-8</td>
<td>0.0149</td>
<td>0.0321</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>0.00125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td>0.0145</td>
<td>0.0312</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>0.00125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-10</td>
<td>0.0149</td>
<td>0.0307</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>0.00125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-1</td>
<td>0.0150</td>
<td>0.0316</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>0.00111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.0295</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>0.00097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>0.0149</td>
<td>0.0312</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>0.00125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>0.0150</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>0.00111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>0.0139</td>
<td>0.0299</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>0.00111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
Q_t = 0.2196 \quad \bar{Q} = 0.0146 \quad \sigma = \pm0.0008
\]

\[
Q_t = 0.4657 \quad \bar{Q} = 0.0310 \quad \sigma = \pm0.0008
\]

\[
Q_t = 0.0242 \quad \bar{Q} = 0.0012 \quad \sigma = \pm0.0001
\]

**NOTE:**
1. Film coolant percent = 5.2
2. These data should be reviewed on individual \( \text{LO}_2 \) or \( \text{LH}_2 \) system relations for variations only within that system.
3. Upstream pressures limited to 0.69 to 9.7 N/cm² due to laboratory setup conditions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element No.</th>
<th>LO₂ Post Flowrate, gpm</th>
<th>Fuel Sleeve Flowrate, gpm</th>
<th>Film Coolant No.</th>
<th>Film Coolant Flowrate, gpm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-1</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>FC-1</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-7</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-8</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-10</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-1</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_t &= 1.579 \\
\bar{Q} &= 0.1052 \\
\sigma &= \pm 0.006
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{Q}_t &= 3.348 \\
\bar{Q} &= 0.223 \\
\sigma &= \pm 0.0055
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_t &= 0.174 \\
\bar{Q} &= 0.0087 \\
\sigma &= \pm 0.0009
\end{align*}
\]

**NOTE:**
1. Film coolant percent = 5.2
2. These data should be reviewed on individual LO₂ or LH₂ system relations for variations only within that system.
3. Upstream pressures limited to 1 to 4 psig due to laboratory setup conditions.
NOTE
1. LN$_2$ TURBOPUMP INJECTOR FACE SHOWN
2. LO$_2$ TURBOPUMP FACE HAS ELEMENT ROW 1 BLANK
3. FC-1 = FUEL COOLANT DESIGNATION

Coaxial Element Identification | LO$_2$ Post Misalignment | Film Coolant Identification | Radial Misalignments
--- | --- | --- | ---
1-1 | 0.0087 | 30 | FC-1 | 0.0023 | 8
1-2 | 0.0032 | 11 | -2 | 0 | 0
1-3 | 0.00189 | 65 | -3 | 0.0029 | 10
1-4 | 0.0087 | 30 | -4 | 0.0076 | 26
1-5 | 0.0137 | 47 | -5 | 0 | 0
1-6 | 0.0044 | 15 | -6 | 0.0044 | 15
1-7 | 0.0125 | 43 | -7 | 0.0070 | 24
1-8 | 0.0102 | 35 | -8 | 0.0108 | 37
1-9 | 0.0087 | 30 | -9 | 0 | 0
1-10 | 0.0189 | 65 | -10 | 0.0015 | 5
2-1 | 0.0102 | 35 | -11 | 0 | 0
2-2 | 0.0244 | 84 | -12 | 0.0108 | 37
2-3 | 0.0058 | 20 | -13 | 0.0105 | 36
2-4 | 0.0224 | 77 | -14 | 0.0058 | 20
2-5 | 0.0087 | 30 | -15 | 0.0157 | 54
| | | | -16 | 0 | 0
| | | | -17 | 0 | 0
| | | | -18 | 0.0067 | 23
| | | | -19 | 0.0049 | 17
| | | | FC-20 | 0.0116 | 40

Figure 123. Unit No. 3 LH$_2$ Turbopump Injector Face Dimensional Inspection Results
### TABLE 18A. INJECTOR INSPECTION RESULTS, LH₂ TURBOPUMP INJECTOR UNIT NO. 3 (SI UNITS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element No.</th>
<th>LO₂ Post ID, cm (0.221 ±0.0007, 0.1143 ±0.0013)</th>
<th>LO₂ Post Orifice, cm (0.3886 ±0.0025, 0.254 ±0.0127)</th>
<th>Fuel Sleeve ID, cm (0.1143 ±0.0013)</th>
<th>Post Depth, cm (0.254 ±0.0127)</th>
<th>ID No.</th>
<th>Film Coolant</th>
<th>Orifice Size, cm (0.0508 ±0.00058)</th>
<th>Angle, radian (0.087 ±0.0087)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-1</td>
<td>0.2184 0.2243</td>
<td>0.0853 0.1156</td>
<td>0.3912 0.3922</td>
<td>0.2616 0.2718</td>
<td>FC-1</td>
<td>0.0508 0.0521</td>
<td>0.1076 0.0960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>0.2184 0.2235</td>
<td>0.1133 0.1130</td>
<td>0.3924 (5)</td>
<td>0.2667 0.2692</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0.0508 0.0521</td>
<td>0.1059 0.0998</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>0.2210 0.2210</td>
<td>0.0965 0.1133</td>
<td>0.3907 0.3912</td>
<td>0.2692 0.2591</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>0.0521 0.0516</td>
<td>0.0995 0.1056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>0.2184 0.2184</td>
<td>0.1118 0.1130</td>
<td>0.3932 0.3912</td>
<td>0.2667 0.2642</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0.0508 0.0526</td>
<td>0.1018 0.0989</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>0.2184 0.2235</td>
<td>0.1138 0.1135</td>
<td>0.3919 (6)</td>
<td>0.2642 0.2616</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>0.0521 0.0526</td>
<td>0.1070 0.0989</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>0.2159 0.2210</td>
<td>0.1118 0.1156</td>
<td>(1) (7)</td>
<td>0.2718 0.2667</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>0.0508 0.0526</td>
<td>0.0995 0.1091</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-7</td>
<td>0.2527 0.2210</td>
<td>0.1166 0.0960</td>
<td>0.4181 0.3912</td>
<td>0.2718 0.2667</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>0.0508 0.0521</td>
<td>0.1033 0.0989</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-8</td>
<td>0.2184 0.2235</td>
<td>0.1138 0.1130</td>
<td>(2) (3)</td>
<td>0.2718 0.2642</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>0.0508 0.0513</td>
<td>0.1062 0.1111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td>0.2184 0.2210</td>
<td>0.1156 0.1143</td>
<td>0.3932 0.3942</td>
<td>0.2616 0.2642</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>0.0508 0.0521</td>
<td>0.0989 0.1076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-10</td>
<td>0.2210 0.2210</td>
<td>0.1072 0.1158</td>
<td>0.3899 0.3917</td>
<td>0.2667 0.2718</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>0.0483 0.0521</td>
<td>0.1036 0.1108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-1</td>
<td>0.2159 0.2240</td>
<td>0.1148 0.1130</td>
<td>(3) (4)</td>
<td>0.2591 0.2642</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>0.0483 0.0521</td>
<td>0.1047 0.0890</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-2</td>
<td>0.2123 0.2235</td>
<td>0.1158 0.1130</td>
<td>(4) (8)</td>
<td>0.2591 0.2616</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>0.0483 0.0523</td>
<td>0.1004 0.0995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>0.2123 0.2210</td>
<td>0.1161 0.1148</td>
<td>0.3937 0.3955</td>
<td>0.2565 0.2591</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>0.0508 0.0518</td>
<td>0.0989 0.1089</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>0.2123 0.2210</td>
<td>0.1168 0.1151</td>
<td>0.3891 0.3937</td>
<td>0.2591 0.2642</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>0.0508 0.0518</td>
<td>0.0989 0.1076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>0.2121 0.2210</td>
<td>0.1156 0.1123</td>
<td>0.3929 0.3912</td>
<td>0.2642 0.2642</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>0.0508 0.0518</td>
<td>0.1021 0.0998</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Varied 0.3927 to 0.3962
(2) Varied 0.3912 to 0.3945
(3) Varied 0.3929 to 0.3942
(4) Varied 0.3894 to 0.3929
(5) Varied 0.3912 to 0.3927
(6) Varied 0.3917 to 0.3950
(7) Varied 0.3917 to 0.3955
(8) Varied 0.3891 to 0.3932

(1-18)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element No.</th>
<th>LO₂ Post 1D, inch (0.087 ± 0.003)</th>
<th>LO₂ Post Orifice, inch (0.045 ± 0.005)</th>
<th>Full Sleeve ID, inch (0.153 ± 0.001)</th>
<th>Post Depth, inch (0.100 ± 0.005)</th>
<th>Film Coolant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U/N 2</td>
<td>U/N 3</td>
<td>U/N 2</td>
<td>U/N 3</td>
<td>U/N 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-1</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.0883</td>
<td>0.0336</td>
<td>0.0455</td>
<td>0.154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.0880</td>
<td>0.0446</td>
<td>0.0445</td>
<td>0.1545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.0870</td>
<td>0.0380</td>
<td>0.0446</td>
<td>0.1538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.0860</td>
<td>0.0380</td>
<td>0.0445</td>
<td>0.1548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.0880</td>
<td>0.0440</td>
<td>0.0447</td>
<td>0.1543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.0870</td>
<td>0.0440</td>
<td>0.0455</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-7</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.0870</td>
<td>0.0459</td>
<td>0.0378</td>
<td>0.1646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-8</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.0880</td>
<td>0.0448</td>
<td>0.0445</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.0870</td>
<td>0.0455</td>
<td>0.0450</td>
<td>0.1548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-10</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.0870</td>
<td>0.0422</td>
<td>0.0456</td>
<td>0.1535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-1</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.0880</td>
<td>0.0452</td>
<td>0.0445</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-2</td>
<td>0.0836</td>
<td>0.0880</td>
<td>0.0456</td>
<td>0.0445</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>0.0836</td>
<td>0.0870</td>
<td>0.0457</td>
<td>0.0452</td>
<td>0.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>0.0836</td>
<td>0.0870</td>
<td>0.0460</td>
<td>0.0453</td>
<td>0.1532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>0.0835</td>
<td>0.0870</td>
<td>0.0455</td>
<td>0.0442</td>
<td>0.1547</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Varied 0.1542 to 0.156
(2) Varied 0.154 to 0.1553
(3) Varied 0.1547 to 0.1552
(4) Varied 0.1533 to 0.1547
(5) Varied 0.154 to 0.155
(6) Varied 0.1542 to 0.1555
(7) Varied 0.1542 to 0.1557
(8) Varied 0.1532 to 0.1548

TABLE 18B. INJECTOR INSPECTION RESULTS, LH₂ TURBOPUMP INJECTOR UNIT NO. 3
(ENGLISH UNITS)
Figure 124. Coaxial Element Evaluation ASE Preburner Injector, Unit 3, Element 1-5 (SI Units)

All dimensions in millimeters

\[ d_1 = 0.320 \quad d_5 = 0.511 \quad d_9 = 2.332 \quad \overline{AE} = 3.924 \]
\[ d_2 = 0.333 \quad d_6 = 0.432 \quad d_{10} = 2.289 \quad \overline{BF} = 3.940 \]
\[ d_3 = 0.432 \quad d_7 = \frac{N/M}{*} \quad d_{11} = 2.301 \quad \overline{CG} = 3.955 \]
\[ d_4 = \frac{N/M}{*} \quad d_8 = 0.305 \quad d_{12} = 2.306 \quad \overline{DH} = 3.952 \]
\[ d_2' = 0.353 \quad d_3' = 0.457 \quad d_4' = 0.508 \quad d_5' = 0.508 \]
\[ d_7' = 0.353 \]

\[^*\text{N/M = NOT MEASURED}\]
Figure 124. Coaxial Element Evaluation ASE Preburner Injector, Unit 3, Element 1-5 (English Units)
Figure 125. Coaxial Element Evaluation ASE Preburner Injector,
Unit 3. Element 1-8 (SI Units)
Figure 125. Coaxial Element Evaluation ASE Preburner Injector, Unit 3, Element 1-8 (English Units)
Figure 126. Coaxial Element Evaluation ASE Preburner Injector, Unit 3, Element 2-3 (SI Units)
Figure 126. Coaxial Element Evaluation ASE Preburner Injector, Unit 3, Element 2-3 (English Units)
3. Since the acoustic cavities have been observed to collect moisture following a test, drain holes 0.762 mm (0.030 inch) in diameter were machined into acoustic cavity. This design change will not negate the effectiveness of the acoustic cavities.

4. Three bosses were provided to determine the temperature profile in the large section of the combustor upstream of the converging section. The three temperature measurements monitor (a) wall temperature below the previous eroded area in-line with the larger film coolant orifice 0.864 mm (0.034 inch), (b) the center core temperature, and (c) wall temperature below the smaller film coolant orifice 0.508 mm (0.020 inch) about 2.09 radians (120 degrees) from measurement (b) above.

5. The LO2 posts of injector unit No. 3 were straightened mechanically prior to next test. Records of any post movements will be maintained.

6. The quarter torus section was changed to a 1.57 radian (90 degree) miter bend. This design change was made to ensure adequate mixing of the exhaust gases to maintain a more uniform temperature from the core to the outer wall. Figure 127 is a sketch of the changes to the combustor body.

Three tests comprised the final gas generator calibration test series for mainstage durations of 10, 22, and 20 seconds, respectively. Results of the test effort demonstrated that the current facility LH2 tank volume would safely permit LH2 turbopump gas generator nominal mainstage tests of 20 seconds duration, including allowances for fuel lead and cutoff lag flow volumes.

The overall objective of these final tests was to evaluate the effects of changing the quarter-torus section of the combustor to a 1.57 radian (90 degree) miter bend (Fig. 127). The miter bend was installed to enhance propellant mixing and thereby reduce the previously observed thermal gradient between the core and wall gas temperatures.

Figure 128 shows the Lima test facility installation of the LH2 turbopump gas generator with the 1.57 radian (90 degree) miter bend. The results of the testing show that the 1.57 radian (90 degree) miter bend achieved the desired effect, in that, the thermal gradient was reduced from about 244 K (440 R) before the combustor modification to about 81 K (145 R) after the combustor modification. Figure 129 shows the comparison of the combustor exit plane (i.e., turbine inlet) gas temperature versus thermocouple immersion depth both before and after the combustor modification. In addition to the eight exit plane thermocouples, three additional thermocouples were installed in the upper combustion zone about 15.24 cm (6 inches) below the injector face. These thermocouples were inserted 2.54, 15.24, and 29.21 mm (0.1, 0.6, and 1.15 inches) into the combustor gas flow.
Figure 127. Small, High-Pressure Gas Generator Combustor Modifications
Figure 128. LH$_2$ Turbopump Gas Generator Installation (Lima Stand, PRA)
Figure 129. LH₂ Turbopump Gas Generator Exit Plane Temperature Profile
Figure 131 shows the temperature profile in the upper combustion zone for test 016-047. These thermocouples were not installed before the combustor modification; therefore, no direct comparison of the upper combustion zone temperature profile was possible. From the results of these tests, it is recommended that the 1.57 radian (90 degree) miter bend be incorporated into the combustor body for testing with the LH₂ turbopump.

The hardware condition following these series of tests was satisfactory with no damage noted. Figure 132 shows the condition of the injector (unit 3M) face.

Figure 133 is an injector performance predication map for injector unit 3M showing the relationship of LO₂ injection pressure versus fuel injection pressure versus the performance target of P_c, MR, and temperature.

The injector element design is shown in Fig. 130. Measurements of the 0.381 mm (0.015 inch) fuel sleeve annulus indicated some oxidizer post bias and, therefore, the straightening of posts and the imposing of some mechanical method for maintaining them straight, may be sufficient. The results of the test effort indicate that, for concentric-element injectors designed to use LH₂ as the fuel, small annuli can result in flow stream deflection, and a hot core or possible wall streaking can result. Alternatives, such as decreasing the number of elements, have possible performance and weight implications and, therefore, the maintaining of straight elements (without disturbing the fuel flow) in an area to be investigated.

![Injector Element Design](image-url)

**Figure 130. ASE LH₂ Turbopump Gas Generator Injector Element (Cross Section)**
Figure 131. LH₂ Turbopump Gas Generator Combustion Zone Temperature Profile
Figure 132. Injector Unit 3M Following Test
Figure 133. Injector Performance Map
Turbine Calibration Testing

Calibration of the Mark 48-F turbine to establish its aerothermodynamic performance was accomplished with ambient-temperature GN2 as the propellant. The rotor speeds were maintained in the range of 1050 rad/s (10,000 rpm) to 2600 rad/s (25,000 rpm) to simulate the operational wheel tip speed/gas spouting velocity ratios \((U/C_o)\).

The testing was performed at Wyle Laboratory in El Segundo, California. The basic test setup is illustrated in Fig. 134 and 135. Power developed by the turbine was absorbed by a Mark 4 pump which recirculated water from a reservoir. A Lebow in-line torquemeter was installed between the turbine and the power-absorbing pump to indicate the torque developed by the turbine. The test unit installed in the Wyle facility is shown in Fig. 136 and 137.

The tests were started on 12 August 1976, and were conducted in essentially two series. The first series (Table 19) was conducted at turbine speeds up to 2200 rad/s (21,000 rpm) and \(U/C_o\) range from 0.16 to 0.39. This test series was interrupted when a sudden change in the rotor torque characteristics was observed. The turbine was removed from the test stand disassembled for inspection. It was found that the slave thrust bearing on the turbine side of the rotor had failed. The failure was diagnosed as originating in the phenolic cage from three potential causes: (1) insufficient lubricity of the Freon 13 coolant used, (2) residual stresses in the cage from flairing the ends of the tubular rivets, (3) corrosion of the races and ball due to water condensation between tests.

The turbine was rebuilt, using a thrust bearing with solid cage rivets, and testing was resumed with oil as the bearing lubricating fluid instead of Freon. No further mechanical problems were encountered.

Testing was concluded by obtaining the required data points at the higher velocity ratios (Table 20). These tests were performed primarily at rotor speeds of 2100 and 2600 rad/s (20,000 and 25,000 rpm). Two data points at low-velocity ratios which were covered during earlier tests were repeated to validate the continuity of the data. The repeated points fell within the band of expected data scatter. Analysis of test data shows predicted turbine performance was achieved through the full range of test velocity ratios. Tests 006 through 014 were conducted during the second test phase for velocity ratios ranging from 0.197 to 0.552, and speeds from 2110 to 2640 rad/s (19,220 to 25,247 rpm). Three tests were made at velocity ratios \((U/C_o \ (T-T)) = 0.197, \ 0.227, \ 0.311\) equivalent to those run during the first-phase turbine tests 001 through 005; turbine performance was repeated as shown by the velocity ratio/turbine efficiency data plot in Fig. 138. Turbine efficiencies were calculated with developed horsepowers calculated with Lebow torquemeter data, and isentropic available energy and GN2 working fluid mass-flow calculated at the respective operating points. Turbine efficiencies were also calculated using an alternate method which used measured total temperature drop \((\Delta T(T-T))\) across the turbine; good agreement exists between turbine efficiency data calculated with Lebow torque data and measured turbine temperature drop.
Figure 134. Mark 48-F Turbine Calibration Test Setup
PUMP INLET (5.00 DA)
0.343 DIA BOLT HOLES
6 HOLES EQ SP ON A 6.750 BC
0.010 DIA

PUMP DISCHARGE (2.00 DA)
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6 HOLES EQ SP ON A 3.625 BC
0.010 DIA

PUMP DISCHARGE (4.00 DA)
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0.005 DIA
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Figure 136. Small, High-Pressure Turbine Calibration Installation (View A)
### TABLE 19. MARK 48-F TEST DATA FROM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>Run Slice</th>
<th>Run Date</th>
<th>Barometric Pressure, mm Hg</th>
<th>Sonic Flow Nozzle Inlet Total Temperature, K</th>
<th>Sonic Flow Nozzle Inlet Static Pressure, N/cm²</th>
<th>Turbine Inlet Total Temperature, K</th>
<th>Turbine Exit Static Temperature, (T₂), K</th>
<th>Turb Inlet Press, N/cm²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8-11-75</td>
<td>760.9</td>
<td>256.1</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>267.8</td>
<td>253.4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8-12-75</td>
<td>763.8</td>
<td>255.5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>265.6</td>
<td>247.2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>763.3</td>
<td>259.2</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>267.8</td>
<td>255.8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>259.0</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>268.2</td>
<td>255.0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>256.7</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>268.1</td>
<td>252.8</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>257.2</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>268.9</td>
<td>257.8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8-13-75</td>
<td>764.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>253.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>253.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>254.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>254.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>255.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8-15-75</td>
<td>761.7</td>
<td>253.6</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>266.9</td>
<td>241.7</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8-22-75</td>
<td>761.7</td>
<td>249.7</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>261.1</td>
<td>244.4</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>243.3</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>257.8</td>
<td>235.0</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>240.6</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>256.1</td>
<td>232.2</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>238.9</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>255.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No temperature data recorded.*

### Continued Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>Run Slice</th>
<th>Run Date</th>
<th>Barometric Pressure, in. Hg</th>
<th>Sonic Flow Nozzle Inlet Total Temperature, F</th>
<th>Sonic Flow Nozzle Inlet Static Pressure, psia</th>
<th>Turbine Inlet Total Temperature, F</th>
<th>Turbine Exit Static Temperature, (T₂), F</th>
<th>Turb Inlet Press, psia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8-11-75</td>
<td>29.96</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8-12-75</td>
<td>30.06</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.05</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8-13-75</td>
<td>30.11</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30.11</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30.07</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30.07</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30.07</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8-15-75</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8-22-75</td>
<td>23.99</td>
<td>-10.5</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.99</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-37</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.99</td>
<td>-27</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.99</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No temperature data recorded.*
### SI Units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>№</th>
<th>(p_{v} )</th>
<th>(p_{s} )</th>
<th>(p_{e} )</th>
<th>(p_{m} )</th>
<th>(\Delta p )</th>
<th>(\Delta h )</th>
<th>(n_{t} )</th>
<th>(\eta_{t} )</th>
<th>(\eta_{t} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>947</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1178</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1047</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1381</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1161</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1698</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1576</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1763</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1586</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1633</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1773</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2153</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2199</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### English Units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>№</th>
<th>(p_{v} )</th>
<th>(p_{s} )</th>
<th>(p_{e} )</th>
<th>(p_{m} )</th>
<th>(\Delta p )</th>
<th>(\Delta h )</th>
<th>(n_{t} )</th>
<th>(\eta_{t} )</th>
<th>(\eta_{t} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9,050</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>12.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11,250</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>13.967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>7.677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9,881</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>8.954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15,193</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>9.457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11,088</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6.861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16,215</td>
<td>16.215</td>
<td>15.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15,044</td>
<td>16.215</td>
<td>15.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15,044</td>
<td>16.215</td>
<td>15.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15,044</td>
<td>16.215</td>
<td>15.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15,044</td>
<td>16.215</td>
<td>15.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15,044</td>
<td>16.215</td>
<td>15.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15,044</td>
<td>16.215</td>
<td>15.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15,044</td>
<td>16.215</td>
<td>15.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15,044</td>
<td>16.215</td>
<td>15.044</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 20. MARK 48-F TURBINE TEST DATA FROM WYLER LABORATORIES, (SI UNITS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Test Slice</th>
<th>Test Date 1975</th>
<th>Barometric Pressure, mm Hg</th>
<th>Sonic Flow Nozzle Inlet Total Temperature, K</th>
<th>Sonic Flow Nozzle Inlet Static Pressure, N/cm²</th>
<th>Turbine Inlet Total Temperature, K</th>
<th>Turbine Exit Total Temperature, K</th>
<th>Turbine Inlet Manifold Pressure (Static), N/cm²</th>
<th>Turbine Exit Total Pressure, N/cm²</th>
<th>Turbine Exit Static Pressure, N/cm²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>006</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>761.0</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>761.0</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>761.0</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11/12</td>
<td>762.5</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11/12</td>
<td>761.0</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11/13</td>
<td>761.0</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>012</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11/13</td>
<td>761.0</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>013</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11/14</td>
<td>762.0</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>014</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11/17</td>
<td>756.9</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- *Lebow Torquemeter data
- Working fluid: G\textsubscript{2}
- C = (Pressure Static, Test) + (Pressure Dynamic, Calculated)

(ENGLISH UNITS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Test Slice</th>
<th>Test Date 1975</th>
<th>Barometric Pressure, in. Hg</th>
<th>Sonic Flow Nozzle Inlet Total Temperature, F</th>
<th>Sonic Flow Nozzle Inlet Static Pressure, psig</th>
<th>Turbine Inlet Total Temperature, F</th>
<th>Turbine Exit Total Temperature, F</th>
<th>Turbine Inlet Manifold Pressure (Static), psig</th>
<th>Turbine Exit Total Pressure, psig</th>
<th>Turbine Exit Static Pressure, psig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>006</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>29.96</td>
<td>- 6.0</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>-14.5</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>29.96</td>
<td>-13.0</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>-19.0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>29.96</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>- 4.0</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11/12</td>
<td>30.02</td>
<td>-16.0</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>-30.0</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11/12</td>
<td>29.96</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11/13</td>
<td>29.97</td>
<td>- 4.0</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>-12.0</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>012</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11/13</td>
<td>29.97</td>
<td>- 6.0</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>-14.0</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>013</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11/14</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>-23.0</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>-26.5</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>014</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11/17</td>
<td>29.80</td>
<td>-23.5</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-26.0</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- *Lebow Torquemeter data
- Working fluid: G\textsubscript{2}
- C = (Pressure Static, Test) + (Pressure Dynamic, Calculated)
**DATA FROM WYLE LABORATORIES, EL SEGUNDO, CALIFORNIA**

**SI UNITS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turbine Inlet Manifold Pressure (Static), N/cm²</th>
<th>Turbine Exit Total Pressure, N/cm²</th>
<th>Turbine Exit Static Pressure, N/cm²</th>
<th>Turbine Torque, Nm</th>
<th>Turbine Speed (N₁), rad/s</th>
<th>Turbine Temperature Drop (ΔT) (Total-to-Total), K.</th>
<th>Isentropic Enthalpy Drop (Δh₁), J/kg</th>
<th>Velocity Ratio (U₁, C₁) (re TU 827) (T-T)</th>
<th>Turbine Efficiency (ηₚ) (T-T)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>1081</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>70.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>1004</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>66.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>79.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>2532</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>76.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>274</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>2592</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>78.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>2077</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>80.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>2092</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>81.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>455</td>
<td>338 C</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>2264</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.481</td>
<td>77.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331</td>
<td>243 C</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>78.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337</td>
<td>214 C</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>2491</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>427</td>
<td>310 C</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>2643</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ENGLISH UNITS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turbine Inlet Manifold Pressure (Static), psig</th>
<th>Turbine Exit Total Pressure, psig</th>
<th>Turbine Exit Static Pressure, psig</th>
<th>Turbine Torque, in.-lb</th>
<th>Turbine Speed (N₁), rpm</th>
<th>Turbine Temperature Drop (ΔT) (Total-to-Total), °R</th>
<th>Isentropic Enthalpy Drop (Δh₁), Btu/lb</th>
<th>Velocity Ratio (U₁, C₁) (re TU 827) (T-T)</th>
<th>Turbine Efficiency (ηₚ) (T-T)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>19220</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>10.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>10329</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>9.59</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>70.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>9586</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>66.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>19009</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>17.40</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>79.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>24150</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>12.42</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>76.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>398</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>24759</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>14.38</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>78.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>19842</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>12.01</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>80.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>19981</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>11.99</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>81.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660</td>
<td>491 C</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>21620</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>9.39</td>
<td>0.481</td>
<td>77.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>353.2 C</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>18900</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>9.67</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>78.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>490</td>
<td>310 C</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>23796</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620</td>
<td>450 C</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>25247</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 138. Mark 48-F Turbine Performance
A comparison of the measured performance of the turbine with predicted values shows that, in general, the predicted performance was exceeded. Specifically, at the design velocity ratio of 0.34, the measured efficiency was 79%, 4 percentage points higher than predicted.

**Turbopump Testing**

**Test Discussion.** The facility, procedures, and instrumentation used in testing the Mark 48-F turbopump are described in the following.

**Facility.** Testing of the Mark 48-F turbopump was conducted on Lima Stand in Rocketdyne's Propulsion Research Area at the Santa Susana Field Laboratory. Schematics of the facility for testing with ambient-temperature GH2 as the turbine propellant, and with a hot-firing gas generator are included in Fig. 139 and 140, respectively.

**Countdown Summary.** Procedures for conducting specific program tasks for an individual test have been developed for the Lima test facility. The procedures are monitored continuously during the test program, and revised when necessary to achieve a highly reliable test facility operational system. The procedures and countdown are divided between manual and automatic operations. Generally, the pretest procedures are controlled manually, while the actual test is controlled automatically. Table 21 below lists those pretest operational procedures utilized during the testing of the LH2 turbopump. Appendix C presents the Test Control Logic Block Diagram used during the GH2 turbine drive testing of the LH2 turbopump. A review of the logic diagram reveals some instances of manual override operations.

Testing of the LH2 turbopump was accomplished per the established procedures and control logic system without incident. As the test series progressed, the proficiency of the test countdown increased as a function of the individual systems characterizations.

In the case of the turbopump chill-down and throttle valve setting, precise control of these functions was possible through direct test experience, and proved to be quite repeatable, test to test. In the case of the turbopump chilldown, it was observed that good quality LH2 propellant inlet conditions (25 K, 45 R) was obtained at (21 N/cm^2) (30-psig) tank pressure and by modulating the turbopump LH2 flow with the outlet throttle valve. In the case of the test throttle valve position, the pretest GH2 blowdown tests which characterized the throttle valve and GH2 spin valve

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 21. LH2 TURBOPUMP TEST PROCEDURES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LIST LIMA STAND - ROCKETDYNE PRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Pretest Setup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Test Data Sheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Sequence Test Safety Circuit Checks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. LH2 Turbopump Drying Procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Test Conductors Countdown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Critical Parameter Verifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Comparator Setup Sheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Sequence Times Setup Sheet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 139. Gaseous Hydrogen Turbine Drive
Figure 140. Gas Generator Turbine Drive
operations proved to be an asset in the preliminary settings of the throttle valve to maintain targeted head-flow values.

The countdown, operational, and control functions of the test program were well defined, meeting, in all respects, the requirements set forth in the test plan. Figure 141 is an abbreviated countdown summary applicable for the testing of the Mark 48-F LH2 turbopump.

LH2 Turbopump Chilldown Results. The LH2 turbopump chilldown procedures followed the normal pretest countdown operations. The chill procedures required a facility line prechill from the LH2 off-stand storage tank to the turbopump inlet line prevalve prior to introducing LH2 into the turbopump. The majority of the facility line was vacuum jacketed (~2/3 total length), while the remaining section was foam and tape insulated. With the LH2 off-stand tank pressurized to about 21 N/cm² (30 psig), a LH2 run line temperature (bleed flow upstream of the turbopump inlet valve) of about 33 K (-400 °F) required about 30 minutes of countdown time. When the facility line was prechilled to 33 K at 21 N/cm² (-400 and 300 psig), the turbopump outlet throttle valve was opened to about 10%, then the turbopump inlet valve was opened. LH2 flow through the turbopump as well as turbopump speed was controlled by the outlet throttle valve until the required LH2 propellant quality of 25 K at 21 N/cm² (45 °R at 30 psig) was achieved. The turbopump chill operation required about an additional countdown time of approximately 30 minutes. Total chilldown time for the LH2 system was about 1 hour of countdown time. As the testing continued, the chilldown techniques were improved until a total chilldown time of only about 20 to 30 minutes was required. Basically, the facility line prechill operation was eliminated, since it was found that adequate chilldown control could be achieved with the turbopump outlet throttle valve and, in addition, a considerable volume of LH2 was saved in the process. (i.e., For the first test, approximately 26.5 m³ (7000 gallons) of LH2 were required, while during the latter tests a total of about 3.8 m³ (1000 gallons) per test were required.) During the entire test program, acceptable LH2 inlet quality was achieved for each test to meet the turbopump net positive suction head requirements.

Instrumentation and Redliner. All pressures, temperatures, and flow measurements were recorded on tape by means of the Beckman Model 210 Data Acquisition and Recording System. This system acquires analog data from the transducers, and converts the data to digital form in binary-coded decimal format. The latter is recorded on tapes which are then used for computer processing.

Table 22 presents the instrumentation recorded during the Mark 48-F turbopump testing. Figure 142 is a cross-sectional schematic of the LH2 turbopump assembly showing the turbopump parameters identification associated with the particular cavity location. Not listed, however, is the high-speed instrumentation which was recorded on FM tape for high-speed analysis of the turbopump rotordynamics. Included in the FM instrumentation were Bently transducers for turbopump shaft movement dynamics and triaxial accelerometers for overall turbopump g-level determination.
Figure 14.1. Mark 48-F LH₂ Turbopump Test Countdown Summary
### TABLE 22. ADVANCED SPACE ENGINE MARK 48-F HYDROGEN TURBOPUMP TEST INSTRUMENTATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Range (SI Units)</th>
<th>Range (English Units)</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Range (SI Units)</th>
<th>Range (English Units)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Discharge Temperature</td>
<td>1366 K</td>
<td>2000 F</td>
<td>$\text{H}_2$ Venturi Upstream Pressure No. 1</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Inlet Temperature No. 2</td>
<td>1366 K</td>
<td>2000 F</td>
<td>$\text{H}_2$ Venturi Throat Pressure</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Bearing Coolant Temperature</td>
<td>20 K</td>
<td>-425 F</td>
<td>Spin Valve Servo Control Pressure</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Bearing Coolant Temperature</td>
<td>20 K</td>
<td>-425 F</td>
<td>Turbine Seal Pressure</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2A Power Supply</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>First-Stage Nozzle Pressure</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH2 Power Supply</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Turbine Total Discharge Pressure</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature Reference Junction</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Turbine Inlet Total Pressure</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH2 Pump Inlet Temperature No. 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.0 MW</td>
<td>First-Stage Crossover Discharge Pressure</td>
<td>1380 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>2000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH2 Pump Inlet Temperature No. 2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.0 MW</td>
<td>GH2 Spin Line Pressure</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH2 Pump Inlet Run Line Temperature</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.0 MW</td>
<td>Third-Stage Impeller Discharge Pressure</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH2 Pump Discharge Temperature No. 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.0 MW</td>
<td>GH2 Regulator Outlet Pressure</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH2 Pump Discharge Temperature No. 2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.0 MW</td>
<td>Balance Piston Sump Pressure</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH2 Venturi Temperature No. 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.0 IC</td>
<td>LH2 Pump Venturi Upstream Pressure</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH2 Venturi Temperature No. 2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.0 IC</td>
<td>LH2 Pump Discharge Pressure</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH2 Venturi Differential Pressure No. 1</td>
<td>241 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>350 psi</td>
<td>Hydraulic Supply Pressure</td>
<td>2068 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>3000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH2 Venturi Differential Pressure No. 2</td>
<td>207 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>300 psi</td>
<td>First-Stage Impeller Discharge Pressure</td>
<td>1380 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>2000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH2 Spin Valve Position</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>First-Stage Crossover Inlet Pressure</td>
<td>1380 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>2000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spin Servo Command</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>First-Stage Crossover Mid-Pressure</td>
<td>1380 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>2000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH2 Pump Throttle Valve Pressure</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Second-Stage Impeller Front Shroud</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH2 Venturi Differential Pressure No. 1</td>
<td>348 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>500 psi</td>
<td>Second-Stage Diffuser Discharge Pressure</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH2 Regulator Upstream Pressure</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
<td>Balance Piston Cavity Pressure</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Discharge Venturi Throat Pressure</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
<td>LH2 Pump Inlet Pressure No. 1</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>100 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Pressure LH2 Tank Pressure</td>
<td>138 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>200 psi</td>
<td>LH2 Pump Inlet Pressure No. 2</td>
<td>241 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>350 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Inlet Static Pressure</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
<td>LH2 Pump Outlet Throttle Valve Pressure</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Manifold Pressure</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
<td>Throttle Valve Servo Control Pressure</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Exhaust Duct Pressure</td>
<td>348 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>500 psi</td>
<td>Pump Speed</td>
<td>12,560 rad/s</td>
<td>120,000 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Static Discharge Pressure</td>
<td>3480 N/cm$^2$</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 142. LH₂ Turbopump
During the testing, redlines were assigned to certain key parameters. These redlines in some instances were adjusted for the particular turbopump speed range being evaluated. Tables 23 through 26 present those redlines applicable for turbopump speeds of 1990, 4710, 6280, and 9947 rad/s (19,000, 45,000, 60,000, and 95,000 rpm), respectively.

**Test Discussion.** Testing of the Mark 48-F turbopump assembly began on 31 March 1976 at the Rocketdyne Propulsion Research Area (PRA). A total of 10 turbopump tests for an accumulated run duration of 884 seconds were satisfactorily conducted on LH$_2$ turbopump, S/N 01-0. Table 27 presents a summary of those tests conducted, while a more detailed discussion of the tests is presented below.

**Test No. 1 (016-001)**

**Test Date:** 3-31-76  
**Duration:** 155 seconds  
**Objectives:**  
1. Obtain LH$_2$ turbopump chilldown characteristics with the turbopump LH$_2$ inlet pressurized to 21 N/cm$^2$ (30 psia).
2. Determine the integrity of the turbopump at the idle-mode speed of 1990 rad/s (19,000 rpm). Map the head-flow characteristics by manually adjusting the turbopump speed and flow.
3. Evaluate the turbine discharge back-pressure orificing.

**Results:** Countdown proceeded without any problems. After sequence start, the turbopump outlet throttle valve was adjusted to 55% to ensure a safe pump outlet back-pressure level for the first test. The GH$_2$ spin valve was slowly opened manually until a head rise and flow was indicated on the controller operator's X-Y plotter. The turbopump speed was increased manually by further opening of the GH$_2$ spin valve while adjusting the turbopump outlet throttle valve to obtain the nominal targeted conditions. The test was terminated prematurely due to a low indicated turbopump discharge pressure for the indicated speed and flow valves. Turbopump shutdown was smooth, with no indication of erratic speed behavior.

**Analysis:** Posttest analysis revealed that the turbopump shaft speed data display was in error by a factor of four. The actual average speed was determined by oscilloscope to be about 523 rad/s (5000 rpm), corresponding to the recorded low turbopump outlet pressure. A maximum speed of 1047 rad/s (10,000 rpm) was achieved (display = 4190 rad/s, (40,000 rpm), but was reduced by control of the GH$_2$ spin valve due to the erroneous speed display. The cause of the speed display malfunction was traced to the Anadex system. For the next test, the Anadex system was adjusted to give the correct speed indication.
### TABLE 23. MARK 48-F TURBOPUMP REDLINES
(1990 rad/s; 19,000 rpm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (SI Units)</th>
<th>Automatic</th>
<th>Visual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inlet Temperature (No. 1), K</td>
<td>25.6 (max)</td>
<td>Blueline: 52 (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inlet Pressure (No. 2) N/cm²</td>
<td></td>
<td>Redline: 38 (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed, rad/s</td>
<td>2722</td>
<td>ΔT = +6 degrees after stabilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Bearing Coolant Temperature, K</td>
<td></td>
<td>ΔT = +6 degrees after stabilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Bearing Coolant Temperature, K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Discharge Pressure, N/cm²</td>
<td>689</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Piston Cavity Pressure, N/cm²</td>
<td></td>
<td>69 &lt; P_{cav} &lt; 207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bently Transducer (Radial), mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bently Transducer (Axial), mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer, Pump (Radial), g rms</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer (Axial), g rms</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer, Turbine (Radial), g rms</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inlet Pressure, N/cm²</td>
<td></td>
<td>103 (max)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*2000 Hz Low-Pass Filter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (English Units)</th>
<th>Automatic</th>
<th>Visual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inlet Temperature (No. 1), R</td>
<td>46 (max)</td>
<td>Blueline: 75 (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inlet Pressure (No. 2), psig</td>
<td></td>
<td>Redline: 55 (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed, rpm</td>
<td>26,000</td>
<td>26,000 (max)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Bearing Coolant Temperature, R</td>
<td></td>
<td>ΔT = +10 degrees after stabilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Bearing Coolant Temperature, R</td>
<td></td>
<td>ΔT = +10 degrees after stabilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Discharge Pressure, psig</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Piston Cavity Pressure, psig</td>
<td></td>
<td>100 &lt; P_{cav} &lt; 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bently Transducer (Radial), inch</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bently Transducer (Axial), inch</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer, Pump (Radial), g rms</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer (Axial), g rms</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer, Turbine (Radial), g rms</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbo Inlet Pressure, psig</td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*2000 Hz Low-Pass Filter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (SI Units)</th>
<th>Automatic</th>
<th>Visual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inlet Temperature (No. 1), K</td>
<td>25.6 (max)</td>
<td>Blueline: 52 (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inlet Pressure (No. 2) N/cm²</td>
<td></td>
<td>Redline: 38 (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed, rad/s</td>
<td>2722</td>
<td>ΔT = +6 degrees after stabilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Bearing Coolant Temperature, K</td>
<td></td>
<td>ΔT = +6 degrees after stabilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Bearing Coolant Temperature, K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Discharge Pressure, N/cm²</td>
<td>689</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Piston Cavity Pressure, N/cm²</td>
<td></td>
<td>69 &lt; P_{cav} &lt; 207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bently Transducer (Radial), mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bently Transducer (Axial), mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer, Pump (Radial), g rms</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer (Axial), g rms</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer, Turbine (Radial), g rms</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inlet Pressure, N/cm²</td>
<td></td>
<td>103 (max)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*2000 Hz Low-Pass Filter

Target Speed = 1989 rad/s

Target Speed = 19,000 rpm
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### Table 24: Mark 48-F Turbopump Redlines

(4710 rad/s; 45,000 rpm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (SI Units)</th>
<th>Automatic</th>
<th>Visual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inlet Temperature (No. 1), K</td>
<td>25.6 (max)</td>
<td>Blueline: 52 (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inlet Pressure (No. 2), K</td>
<td></td>
<td>Redline: 38 (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed, rad/s</td>
<td>10,160</td>
<td>10,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Bearing Coolant Temperature, K</td>
<td></td>
<td>AT = 6 degrees after stabilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Bearing Coolant Temperature, K</td>
<td></td>
<td>AT = 6 degrees after stabilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Discharge Pressure, N/cm²</td>
<td>2068</td>
<td>207 &lt; P&lt;sub&gt;cav&lt;/sub&gt; &lt; 689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Piston Cavity Pressure N/cm²</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bently Transducer (radial), mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bently Transducer (Axial), mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer, Pump (Radial*) g rms</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer, (Axial*), g rms</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer, Turbine, Radial* g rms</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>517 (max)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Inlet Pressure, N/cm²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*2000 Hz Low-Pass Filter

### Table 24: Mark 48-F Turbopump Redlines

(4710 rad/s; 45,000 rpm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (English Units)</th>
<th>Automatic</th>
<th>Visual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inlet Temperature (No. 1), R</td>
<td>46 (max)</td>
<td>Blueline: 75 (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inlet Pressure (No. 2), psig</td>
<td></td>
<td>Redline: 55 (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed, rpm</td>
<td>97,000</td>
<td>97,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Bearing Coolant Temperature, R</td>
<td></td>
<td>AT = +10 degrees after stabilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Bearing Coolant Temperature, R</td>
<td></td>
<td>AT = +10 degrees after stabilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Discharge Pressure, psig</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>300 &lt; P&lt;sub&gt;cav&lt;/sub&gt; &lt; 1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Piston Cavity Pressure, psig</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bently Transducer (Radial), inch</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bently Transducer (Axial), inch</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer, Pump (Radial*), g rms</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer, (Axial*), g rms</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer, Turbine, Radial* g rms</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>750 (max)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*2000 Hz Low-Pass Filter

Target Speed = 4712 rad/s
TABLE 25. MARK 48-F TURBOPUMP REDLINES
(6280 rad/s, 60,000 rpm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (SI Units)</th>
<th>Automatic</th>
<th>Visual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Pump Inlet Temperature (No. 1), K | 25 (max) | [Blueline: 59 (min)  
Redline: 48 (min)] |
| Pump Inlet Pressure (No. 2), N/cm² | 10,160 | 10,160 (max) |
| Speed, rad/s                | 3447      | AT = +6 degrees after stabilization |
| Pump Bearing Coolant Temperature, K |          | AT = +6 degrees after stabilization |
| Turbine Bearing Coolant Temperature, K |          | |
| Pump Discharge Pressure, N/cm² | 345 < P_cav < 1241 |
| Balance Piston Cavity Pressure, N/cm² | 0.25 |
| Bently Transducer (Radial), mm |          | 0.25 |
| Bently Transducer (Axial), mm |          | 0.25 |
| Accelerometer, Pump (Radial), g rms | 20 |
| Accelerometer (Axial), g rms |          | 20 |
| Accelerometer, Turbine (Radial), g rms | 20 |
| Balance Piston Cavity Pressure, psig | 5000 |
| Target Speed = 6282 rad/s |          | |

*(2000 Hz Low-Pass Filter)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (English Units)</th>
<th>Automatic</th>
<th>Visual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Pump Inlet Temperature (No. 1), R | 45 (max) | [Blueline: 85 (min)  
Redline: 70 (min)] |
| Pump Inlet Pressure (No. 2), psig | 97,000 | 97,000 (max) |
| Speed, rpm                      | 500 < P_cav < 1800 |
| Pump Bearing Coolant Temperature, R |          | AT = +10 degrees after stabilization |
| Turbine Bearing Coolant Temperature, R |          | AT = +10 degrees after stabilization |
| Pump Discharge Pressure, psig   | 0.010     | |
| Balance Piston Cavity Pressure, psig |          | 0.010 |
| Bently Transducer (Radial), inch |          | 0.010 |
| Bently Transducer (Axial), inch |          | 0.010 |
| Accelerometer, Pump (Radial), g rms | 20 |
| Accelerometer, (Axial), g rms |          | 20 |
| Accelerometer, Turbine, (Radial), g rms | 20 |
| Target Speed = 60,000 rpm       | 1500 (max) | |

*(2000 Hz Low-Pass Filter)*
### TABLE 26. MARK 48-F TURBOPUMP REDLINES
(9947 rad/s; 95,000 rpm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (SI Units)</th>
<th>Automatic</th>
<th>Visual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inlet Temperature (No. 1), K</td>
<td>25 (max)</td>
<td>Blue Line: 59 (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inlet Pressure (No. 2) N/cm²</td>
<td>10,160</td>
<td>10,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed, rad/s</td>
<td></td>
<td>AT = 6 degrees after stabilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Bearing Coolant Temperature, K</td>
<td></td>
<td>AT = 6 degrees after stabilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Bearing Coolant Temperature, K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Discharge Pressure, N/cm²</td>
<td>3447</td>
<td>965 &lt; $P_{cav}$ &lt; 2895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Piston Cavity Pressure, N/cm²</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25 (max)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bently Transducer (Radial), mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25 (max)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bently Transducer (Axial), mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer, Pump (Radial°), g rms</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer (Axial°), g rms</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer, Turbine (Radial°), g rms</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*2000 Hz Low-Pass Filter</td>
<td>Target Speed = 9947 rad/s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (English Units)</th>
<th>Automatic</th>
<th>Visual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inlet Temperature (No. 1), °F</td>
<td>45 (max)</td>
<td>Blue Line: 85 (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Inlet Pressure (No. 2), psig</td>
<td>97,000</td>
<td>97,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed, rpm</td>
<td>97,000</td>
<td>AT = +10 degrees after stabilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Bearing Coolant Temperature, °F</td>
<td></td>
<td>AT = +10 degrees after stabilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine Bearing Coolant Temperature, °F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Discharge Pressure, psig</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>1400 &lt; $P_{cav}$ &lt; 4200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Piston Cavity Pressure, psig</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.010 (max)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bently Transducer (Radial), inch</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.010 (max)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bently Transducer (Axial), inch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer, Pump (Radial°), g rms</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer (Axial°), g rms</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer, Turbine (Radial°), g rms</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*2000 Hz Low-Pass Filter</td>
<td>Target Speed = 95,000 rpm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 27. ADVANCED SPACE ENGINE MARK 48-F HYDROGEN TURBOPUMP TEST HISTORY

(TURBOPUMP S/N 01-0)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Duration, Seconds</th>
<th>Accumulated Starts</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>016-001</td>
<td>3-31-76</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1047 rad/s (10,000 rpm) maximum speed. Average speed of 524 rad/s (5000 rpm). Shaft speed display error by factor of 4. Cutoff due to low indicated discharge pressure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-002</td>
<td>3-31-76</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-003</td>
<td>4-7-76</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Target N = 4712 rad/s (45,000 rpm). Reached 1187 rad/s (11,333 rpm). Shaft speed count in error by factor of 2. Test cutoff due to fire in facility pump inlet line instrumentation fitting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-004</td>
<td>4-7-76</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Target N = 4712 rad/s (45,000 rpm). Reached 3926 rad/s (37,500 rpm). Test cutoff by erroneous overspeed indication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-005</td>
<td>4-7-76</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Target N = 4712 rad/s (45,000 rpm). Reached 4712 rad/s (45,000 rpm). Test cutoff by turbine radial accelerometer VSC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-006</td>
<td>4-7-76</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Target N = 6282 rad/s (60,000 rpm). H-Q excursion at 4712 and 6282 rad/s (45,000 and 60,000 rpm). Test cutoff due to turbine bearing coolant temperature drifting off scale (-355 F).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-007</td>
<td>4-9-76</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Target N = 9423 rad/s (90,000 rpm). Reached 6837 rad/s (65,300 rpm). Cutoff by turbine radial VSC (10 g rms). Shifted turbine radial accel to observer oscilloscope.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-008</td>
<td>4-9-76</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Target N = 9423 rad/s (90,000 rpm). Reached 7833 rad/s (75,000 rpm). Speed limited by available GH2 pressure (started with 2930 N/cm² (4250-psig) tank pressure).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-009</td>
<td>4-15-76</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Target N = 9423 - 9947 rad/s (90,000 - 95,000 rpm). Reached 9737 rad/s (93,000 rpm). Shaft speed display count lost. Pump bearing coolant temperature went off scale (-355 F).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016-010</td>
<td>4-15-76</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Target N = 4712 rad/s (45,000 rpm). Actual N = 4712 rad/s 45,000 rpm.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Test No. 2 (016-002)

Test Date: 3-31-76

Duration: 33 seconds

Objectives: 1. Determine the integrity of the turbopump at the idle-mode speed of 1990 rad/s (19,000 rpm). Map the head-flow characteristics by manually adjusting the turbopump speed and flow. Evaluate the turbine discharge back-pressure orificing.

Results: Countdown proceeded without any problems. Based on the first test results, the throttle valve test position was readjusted to about 35% open. The start sequence was smooth with no problems, but the test was terminated prematurely by the turbopump discharge pressure redline 690 N/cm² (1000 psig maximum).

Analysis: It was determined that the cutoff was erroneous and, in fact, the pump discharge pressure was about nominal for the actual pump speed. The problem was traced to a pretest pump discharge transducer change. On the first test, 0 to 3445 N/cm² (0 to 5000 psig) transducer was used but, because of the resolution on the X-Y plotter a 0 to 345 N/cm² (0 to 500 psig) transducer was installed to enable a more precise real time evaluation by the controller operator. The full-scale voltage output of the 3445 N/cm² (5000 psig) transducer was the same as the full-scale output of the 345 N/cm² (500 psig) transducer, but the comparator circuit sensitivity was not adjusted. Therefore, the redline circuit erroneously obtained a high discharge pressure electronic signal and automatically initiated cutoff. The speed monitor display system also malfunctioned and was in error by a factor of two. Actual turbopump speed obtained was 1619 rad/s (15,464 rpm). It was determined that the problem was associated with the type of Anadex system being used. Another type of Anadex system was installed to be more compatible with the turbopump speed monitoring system.

Test No. 3 (016-003)

Test Date: 4-7-76

Duration: 90 seconds

Objectives: 1. Map the turbopump performance at 4712 rad/s (45,000 rpm). Mapping to include a flow/speed ratio range of 0.7 to 1.3 of nominal.

2. Evaluate the turbine discharge backpressure orificing.
Results: The test was terminated prematurely by an observer due to a fire in the vicinity of the turbopump.

Analysis: The fire was caused by a loose B-nut on instrumentation in the LH₂ system. Maximum rpm obtained was 1187 rad/s (11,333 rpm); however, the speed data display was again off by a factor of two, but indicated a real time display of nearly 240000 rad/s (23,000 rpm). Since the H-Q-rpm values for these tests were falling on the X-Y plotter precalculated mapping lines, it was decided to use the X-Y plotter exclusively to control the turbopump operation.

Test No. 4 (016-004)

Test Date: 4-7-76
Duration: 133 seconds

Objective: 1. Obtain stabilized turbopump performance at 1989 rad/s (19,000 rpm) for real time manual data acquisition.
2. Map the turbopump performance at 4712 rad/s (45,000 rpm). Mapping to include a flow/speed ratio range of 0.7 to 1.3 of nominal.
3. Evaluate the turbine discharge backpressure orificing.

Results: The turbopump real time manual data acquisition at 1989 rad/s (19,000 rpm) was successfully obtained. The test was terminated prematurely by the turbopump overspeed redline during the speed increase from 1989 to 4712 rad/s (19,000 to 45,000 rpm) level.

Analysis: Maximum turbopump rpm achieved was about 3926 rad/s (37,500 rpm). Actual speed redline was set at 4188 rad/s (40,000 rpm). Turbopump operation appeared normal; therefore, the redline was readjusted to 10,516 rad/s (97,000 rpm) maximum, and another test was attempted.

Test No. 5 (016-005)

Test Date: 4-7-76
Duration: 73 seconds

Objectives: 1. Map the turbopump performance at 4712 rad/s (45,000 rpm). Mapping to include a flow to speed ratio range of 0.7 to 1.3 of nominal.
2. Evaluate the turbine discharge back-pressure orificing.
Results: The targeted 4712 rad/s (45,000 rpm) was achieved, but the test was terminated prematurely by the Vibration Safety Cutoff (VSC) device when the turbine radial accelerometer exceeded 10 g rms).

Analysis: All turbopump performance values appeared normal. The data obtained by the turbine radial accelerometer appeared to be in error. Bently transducers and the remaining two turbopump accelerometer data appeared normal. The turbine radial accelerometer was replaced prior to the next test.

Test No. 6 (016-006)

Test Date: 4-7-76
Duration: 168 seconds

Objectives: 1. Map the turbopump performance at 4712 rad/s (45,000 rpm). Mapping to include a flow to speed ratio range of 0.7 to 1.3 of nominal.

2. Map the turbopump performance at 6282 rad/s (60,000 rpm). Mapping to include a flow/speed ratio range of 0.7 to 1.3 of nominal.

3. Evaluate the turbine back-pressure orificing.

Results: Objectives obtained satisfactorily. The test was terminated near the completion of the H-Q excursion at 6282 rad/s (60,000 rpm) due to the turbine bearing coolant temperature slowly drifting off the chart scale 58 K, (-355 F).

Analysis: An analysis of the turbine pressure ratio revealed a value of 1.44 per the predicted value. Actual turbine back-pressure orifice consisted of nine orifices of approximately equal dimension installed in a facility adapter, P/N 99RS010280. The actual geometrical total area of the turbine discharge orifice(s) is 4.1 cm² (0.636 in.²) with well-rounded entrances. For the next test, the turbine bearing coolant temperature chart was rescaled, since no abrupt temperature rise or anomaly was indicated by the data. Following the test, the raw data signal of the turbopump rpm was analyzed from the high-speed PM tape. From the analysis, it was evident that the waveform produced by the circuit was varying as a function of turbopump speed. A detailed explanation of the speed monitoring problem follows.

Figure 143 schematically represents the speed monitoring device used for the Mark 48-F LH₂ turbopump. A permanent magnet (PM) pickup operates by coupling the flux (φ) produced (via an internal PM) across the gap (G) being monitored (Fig. 143). As the rotating body (turbopump shaft) moves past the sensing tip of the permanent
Figure 143. Original Mark 48-F Speed Pickup System

magnet with an angular velocity ($\omega$), the flux across the gap varies as a function of both $\omega$ and $G$ [$\phi = f(\omega, G)$]. The voltage induced in the coil of the pickup is expressed by the following equation:

$$ e = K \frac{d\phi}{dt} = K \frac{\partial[f(\omega, G)]}{\partial t} $$

(Lenz's law)

If $\phi$ does not vary sinusoidally (irregular or discontinuous gap variations), $K \frac{d\phi}{dt} = \dot{\phi}$ will not be a pure sinusoid but will contain multiple harmonics corresponding to $\dot{\phi}(G)/t$. The interaction of the pickup source impedance and the total load impedance (including line effects) causes these harmonics to shift in amplitude and phase as $\omega$ varies. Fixed frequency filters become impractical for filtering out these harmonics over wide ranges of $\omega$. Figure 144A shows a low-frequency waveform recorded from the Mark 48-F speed pickup. The distortion resulting from the notched shaft configuration is readily apparent. Figure 144B shows the same pickup waveform distorted at higher frequencies. The effects of harmonics shifting becomes readily apparent. As the waveform becomes more and more distorted, with increasing $\omega$, designing circuitry with sensing thresholds and adequate sensitivity becomes difficult, that is, avoiding the distortion while simultaneously sensing the pulse, becomes impractical.
A. LOW-FREQUENCY WAVEFORM

B. HIGH-FREQUENCY WAVEFORM

Figure 144. High-Speed FM Tape Analysis, Mark 48-F
LH₂ Turbopump rpm Test 016-006
Figures 145A through 145C represent spectral plots that show the relative harmonic content of the waveform of Fig. 144A and 144B (frequency is the abcissa while amplitude is the ordinate) at different frequencies. Figure 145D (Isoplot) is a similar plot, at reduced amplitude, and shows the harmonic shift at 4-second intervals as \( \omega \) is increased from zero to 6282 rad/s (60,000 rpm).

The answer to the speed monitoring problem appears to be modifying the contour of the rotating surface so that \( \phi \) varies sinusoidally with the minimum harmonic content. This was an impractical modification to the Mark 48-F turbopump at this time; therefore, a threshold triggering circuit was designed. Figure 146 represents the waveform at about 6282 rad/s (60,000 rpm) taken from the FM tape of test 016-006. Peaks A and B are the pulses produced by the two notched surfaces (evidently a small difference in geometry exists between slot A and slot B resulting in the difference in pulse heights) while peaks C, D, and E are the distortions produced by the harmonics. The threshold monitor circuit was designed to count only those waveform voltage amplitudes greater than the indicated level, \( H \), of Fig. 146. Thus, the distortion produced by the system was ignored for all voltage levels below, \( H \).

Note: During the assembly and checkout of the Mark 48-0 turbopump speed monitoring device, the information and recommendations derived from the LH2 turbopump testing was used to design the speed-pickup, rotating-shaft geometry shown in Fig. 147A. Figure 147B represents the output of the same pickup (at 2094 rad/s, 20,000 rpm) when installed with the modified shaft design. Consistent outputs were monitored from 1047 to 3141 rad/s (10,000 to 30,000 rpm). It appears that the rotating chord (represented by the flat surface of Fig. 147A) causes the flux to vary more smoothly than the LH2 turbopump notched configuration, although the waveform is still not a pure sinusoid. However, enough improvement in the waveform has been achieved to produce a usable signal. The distortion is sufficiently low so that effective thresholds and sensitivity values can be set.

Test No. 7: (016-007)

Test Date: 4-9-76

Duration: 31 seconds

Objective: 1. Achieve 9423 rad/s (90,000 rpm) for a stabilized period to evaluate the turbopump integrity and performance.

2. Evaluate turbopump speed and monitor threshold counting circuit.
Figure 145. High-Speed FM Tape Analysis, Mark 48-F Turbopump rpm Spectral Analysis, Test 016-006
Results: Maximum rpm achieved was about 6837 rad/s (65,000 rpm) when the Vibration Safety Circuit (VSC) device initiated cutoff due to an indicated greater than 20 g rms value at the turbine radial accelerometer location. Turbopump performance was satisfactory.

Analysis: Test results indicated that the g level (≥10 g rms) assigned was too low, since the remainder of the high-speed rotordynamic instrumentation did not indicate a problem. For the next test, the turbine radial accelerometer output was moved to an oscilloscope, monitored by an observer, and the redline level was increased to 20 g rms. The remainder of the turbopump performance was satisfactory. The speed monitor circuit functioned satisfactorily.

Test No. 8: (016-008)

Test Date: 4-9-76

Duration: 31 seconds

Objective: 1. Achieve 9423 rad/s (90,000 rpm) for a stabilized period to evaluate the turbopump integrity and performance.

2. Evaluate turbopump speed monitor threshold counting circuit.
A. MARK 48-0 LO₂ TURBOPUMP SPEED PICKUP SHAFT RELATIVE GEOMETRY (FOUR PULSES PER REVOLUTION)

B. LABORATORY TEST RESULTS OF MARK 48-0 LO₂ TURBOPUMP SPEED MONITOR CIRCUIT (3141 RAD/S, 30,000 RPM SHOWN)

Figure 147. Mark 48-0 LO₂ Turbopump Speed Monitor Checkout
Results: The test was terminated due to a lack of sufficient \( \text{GH}_2 \) turbine drive-gas pressure to achieve the desired 9423 rad/s (90,000 rpm). Actual maximum turbopump speed obtained was about 7853 rad/s (75,000 rpm). Turbopump operation was satisfactory. Prior to the next test, the \( \text{GH}_2 \) supply line at the gas generator inlet connection was changed from a 2.54 cm to a 5.04 cm (1.0 inch to 2-inch) od line to reduce the line resistance between the \( \text{GH}_2 \) spin valve and turbine inlet.

Test No. 9: (016-009)

Test Date: 4-15-76

Duration: 36 seconds

Objective: 1. Achieve 9423 to 9947 rad/s (90,000 to 95,000 rpm) for a stabilized period to evaluate the turbopump integrity and performance.

2. Evaluate turbopump speed monitor threshold counting circuit.

Results: Turbopump performance parameters increased smoothly until, at >8376 rad/s (80,000 rpm), the speed monitor display circuit malfunctioned, exhibiting erratic speed counts. A posttest manual count of the raw signal rpm showed that a maximum of 9737 rad/s (93,000 rpm) had been achieved. Actual cutoff was initiated by the turbopump bearing coolant temperature redline observer when the value exceeded the chart scale of 58 K (-355 F).

Analysis: A review of the raw turbopump rpm data on high-speed instrumentation showed that, at increasing values of shaft speed, the absolute magnitude of the waveform decreased. Referring to Fig. 146: Peaks A and B decreased to the point where the threshold monitor value exceeded the actual signal output for peak B, thus halving the speed count. However, the magnitude of peaks A and B fluctuated during the test, accounting for the erratic real time speed display. No problems or hardware damage is suspected due to the higher-than-normal turbopump bearing coolant temperature. Some elevation in bearing temperature is to be expected at higher turbopump shaft speeds.

Test No. 10: (016-010)

Test Date: 4-15-76

Duration: 143 seconds

Objective: 1. Evaluate the integrity of the turbopump at constant 4712 rad/s (45,000 rpm) for 200 seconds or test stand limitation.

2. Evaluate turbopump speed monitor threshold counting circuit at 4712 rad/s (45,000 rpm).
Results: All objectives achieved successfully.

Analysis: Actual turbopump speed was held constant at 4712 rad/s (45,000 rpm) for the duration of the test with manual control of the \( \text{H}_2 \) spin valve. Final throttle valve setting was 28% opening to target nominal pump performance. The speed counting display circuit functioned flawlessly at the lower rpm 4712 rad/s (45,000 rpm) and agreed to actual FM and IBM data.

**Pump Hydrodynamic Performance.** A discussion of the pump hydrodynamic trends observed in the turbopump test data is presented in the following.

**Head and Efficiency.** The pump pressure rise is shown as a function of the discharge flow in Fig. 148. Representative data points are shown at speeds of 4712, 6282, 7853 and 9947 rad/s (45,000, 60,000, 75,000, and 95,000 rpm). For purposes of comparison, the predicted pressure rise for each of these speeds is also shown over a broad flow range. As can be seen from the figure, the agreement in general is excellent. The head rise at the three lower speeds generally exceeds the prediction slightly, although it also indicates a trend toward a somewhat steeper head-flow relationship so that, at higher flows, the head is below the predicted at some points.

The data obtained at the highest speed levels, near 9423 rad/s (90,000 rpm) fall below the predicted curve. However, the predicted curves were generated as a pressure rise from the first-stage impeller to the pump discharge, and were based on calculated bearing flow and temperature values. To attempt to match the data, a small additional pressure loss must be added to represent the pump inlet configuration, and the measured inlet bearing flow temperature should be used. The former of these corrections has a very small effect, and is a function of the fluid velocity squared. The latter correction is not small because the measured temperature of the bearing coolant flow was much higher than expected. A discussion of this anomaly is presented in a subsection below. It should be noted that the scatter in the data scaled to 9947 rad/s (95,000 rpm) in Fig. 148 should not be interpreted as an indication of a positive \( H-Q \) slope. Some of the high-speed data were obtained without sufficient steady-state interval for satisfactory repeatability.

The primary factor postulated as contributing toward the lower performance is incipient cavitation of the first-stage impeller. At the higher speeds, the suction specific speed of the first impeller is increasing with a resulting higher potential for cavitation. This is compounded by the higher temperature of the bearing coolant flow.

Using the pump inlet and discharge pressure and temperatures, the pump isentropic efficiency can be calculated. This isentropic efficiency is very sensitive to the temperature differential across the pump; therefore, at the larger speeds with higher temperature differentials, the accuracy of the temperature measurement is not as critical and a more accurate efficiency can be determined. For example, near 9423 rad/s (90,000 rpm), the temperature differential across the pump is 28 to 33 K (50 to 60 F) but, at 4712 rad/s (45,000 rpm), it is only about 8 K (12 F).
Figure 148. Mark 48-F Pump Performance
The efficiency is expected to vary with Q/N (where Q equals pump flow in gpm, and N is pump speed in rpm) and, due to the thermodynamic characteristics of the hydrogen, will vary with speed. These effects are clearly seen in the data in Fig. 149. The measured efficiencies are higher than predicted and considered to be very good for the size and complexity of this pump. The flow range covered by the data is minimal, so that the shape of the efficiency curves as function of Q/N is somewhat subjective. The data in the speed range of 5235 to 6282 rad/s (50,000 to 65,000 rpm) covers the largest flow range, and was used to establish the general shape of the test data curves. With these curves, the data indicate that the peak efficiency point occurs at a somewhat smaller flow than predicted, but very near the design point Q/N. The curves also indicate that the efficiency is dropping more quickly than predicted at both the high- and low-flow conditions. With small pumps, it generally is more difficult to achieve a broad flow range.

Numerous internal pressure measurements were made at different points through the pump. These internal pressures are, of course, most useful as diagnostic information to uncover the source of performance deficiencies, but no significant performance deficiencies were uncovered in the present test effort. With these internal pressures, a comparison with predicted internal pressures is possible to indicate the consistency of the prediction at intermediate steps. Having met the overall pump performance prediction, it was expected that the intermediate pressures would also be met. This is seen to be the case from Fig. 150 and 151, which compare predicted and test pressures at several stations and at two different speeds. The actual test values are shown by symbols and are arbitrarily corrected by straight lines, not necessarily implying that the pressure varies linearly with distance. For the predicted values, the actual measured inlet and bearing coolant temperatures were used as a starting point.

At the speed of 6125 rad/s (58,500 rpm) (Fig. 150), the predicted and measured values are very close at each point, the measured data showing somewhat better pressure recovery through the second-stage radially outward diffuser than was predicted. At 9423 rad/s (90,000 rpm) (Fig. 151) the first-stage impeller and diffuser appear to be shy in performance. This is compensated somewhat by the good performance of the second diffuser. However, the loss of performance in the first stage could be indicative of a potential cavitation problem, or it may indicate simply that the quality of the first impeller, from a fabrication standpoint, it less than the others, and that this is beginning to show detrimental effects at the higher flows and speeds. It also should be kept in mind that these measured pressures are local static pressures and may not be truly representative of the average static pressure across the station, and that this local value could easily vary from a true average at changing speeds and flows. In general, the overall agreement between measured and predicted values is considered to be very good.

Suction Performance. There was no plan to run a typical cavitation test with pump inlet pressure decreasing until a drop in head occurs due to pump cavitation. In general, the pump inlet pressure was maintained at approximately the constant value of 69 N/cm² (100 psia). Therefore, the only changes
Figure 149. Mark 48-F Pump Efficiency
Figure 150. Mark 48-F Pump Test Data: Run 6, Slice 10; N = 6125 rad/s
Figure 151. Mark 48-F Pump Test Data; Run 9, Slice 7; \( N = 9423 \) rad/s (90,000 rpm)
in the suction specific speed are those resulting from the increase in flow and speed. If the pump inlet pressure and temperature (upstream of the pump inlet manifold) are used to calculate the net positive suction head (NPSH), the resulting suction specific speeds are low throughout the test effort, reaching a maximum of 2.09 (rad/s)(m³/s)¹/²/(J/kg)³/⁴ 5700 rpm gpm¹/²/ft³⁄⁴ at 9737 rad/s (93,000 rpm). However, the critical suction specific speed is that occurring at the first-stage impeller inlet. This requires mixing the estimated front wear-ring leakage and the front bearing coolant flow with the pump inlet flow to establish the average temperature at this point.

Using a 9423 rad/s (90,000 rpm) data point as an example, the suction specific speed, based on pump inlet conditions, was 2.04 (rad/s)(m³/s)¹/²/(J/kg)³/⁴ (5559 rpm gpm¹/²/(ft)³⁄⁴) but, based on calculated impeller inlet conditions, was 2.47 (rad/s)(m³/s)¹/²/(J/kg)³/⁴ (6741 rpm gpm¹/²/(ft)³⁄⁴), a 21% increase. This increase is large, primarily because of the high temperature of the bearing coolant flow. The necessary flowrate to match the measured bearing discharge temperature is calculated to be approximately 0.00177 kg/s (0.0039 lb/sec).

In an attempt to assess the impact of the bearing coolant flowrate, which is described in detail below, a range of orifice resistance values was studied, the K values used being varied from 1.0 to 1.5. Through this range of K values, the coolant flowrate through the bearing changed by approximately 22%. Even with the higher losses, the flowrate through the bearing was 0.048 kg/s (0.106 lb/sec). With flowrate of this magnitude, it is not possible to explain the high temperature measured at the bearing exit.

The remaining parameters that can affect the coolant flowrate are the areas of the orifices. Orifices 3 and 6 are drilled holes which cannot change. Area A₅ is considerably higher than any other in the system. Therefore, the only critical areas concerning the flowrate through the bearing A₁, A₇ₐ, and A₇ₐ. A parametric study of these areas was done to establish the areas at these stations that would reduce the flow through the bearing to a rate at which the exit temperature would reach the measured value.

Reducing only area A₁ cannot reduce the flowrate through the bearing below a minimum of approximately 0.027 kg/s (0.06 lb/sec). This is due to the fact that, as the pressure drop through A₁ increases, the flow in segment 2 through the rear wear ring reverses and flows through the bearing. It was found in the analysis that orifice 7a is the controlling orifice past the bearing. The original clearance at orifice 7a is 0.152 mm (0.06 inch). Reducing the gap at orifice 7a to 0.005 mm (0.0002 inch), while holding A constant, the flowrate through the bearing, ω₃, is reduced to 0.003 kg/s (0.007 lb/sec). This flowrate would yield the measured exit temperature if the bearing heat output were approximately 1.8 times that analytically predicted, which is quite reasonable. A further reduction in the gap at point 7a to 0.0002 mm (0.0001 inch) reduces ω₃ to a rate of 0.0013 kg/s (0.0028 lb/sec) design was expected to achieve a suction specific speed of approximately 4.04 (rad/s)(m³/s)¹/²/(J/kg)³/⁴ (11,000 rpm gpm¹/²/(ft)³⁄⁴. However, with the small size of the impellers and the corresponding difficulty of maintaining the desired inlet thickness and blade angle distributions, a suction specific speed of
4.04 (rad/s)(m³/s)⁻¹/²/(J/kg)³/₄ (11,000 rpm gpm⁻¹/²/ft³⁻¹/₄) could be optimistic, and cavitation effects could be occurring at suction specific speeds of 2.57 (rad/s)(m³/s)⁻¹/²/(J/kg)³/₄ (7000 rpm gpm⁻¹/²/ft³⁻¹/₄). (At the design flow and speed, the difference between 2.57 (rad/s)(m³/s)⁻¹/²/(J/kg)³/₄ (7000 rpm gpm⁻¹/²/ft³⁻¹/₄) and 4.04 (rad/s)(m³/s)⁻¹/²/(J/kg)³/₄ (11,000 rpm gpm⁻¹/²/ft³⁻¹/₄) suction specific speed is approximately 22 N/cm² (32 psi) inlet pressure.) In examining all of the data points from test 9 which were near 9423 rad/s (90,000 rpm), there is a rather consistent correlation of higher read rise with lower suction specific speeds based on pump inlet conditions. This too would tend to indicate cavitation effects; although, with data grouped so closely, this observation cannot be interpreted as very conclusive.

In conclusion, although a potentially lower suction performance is indicated than was predicted, the available data do not clearly establish the pump suction performance.

Bearing Coolant Flow. A sketch of the pump-end bearing, including the flow path of coolant, appears in Fig. 152. The path and direction of the coolant flow is from the inlet to the second-stage impeller through the slot resistance No. 1. The flow then splits, part going through the rear wear ring to the first-stage impeller discharge. The remainder of the flow goes through the bearing, eventually discharging at the first-stage impeller inlet.

A temperature probe is located just downstream of the bearing, as shown in Fig. 152. It was found that, during a 9423 rad/s (90,000 rpm) test (run 9, slice 7), the temperature of the coolant exiting the bearing was 87 K (157 R). This temperature is considerably higher than expected. The flowrate of coolant through this bearing was calculated to be approximately 0.045 kg/s (0.1 lb/sec). Analysis of the bearing heat output yields a total of approximately 1118 J/s (1.06 Btu/sec) for the duplex bearing package. An estimate of the temperature at the second-stage impeller inlet is 37 K (67 R). If design coolant flowrate is achieved, the coolant temperature exiting the bearing should increase by, at most 1 to 1.5 K, (2 to 3 R).

Because of this discrepancy, a parametric study of the bearing coolant flow loop was made. A schematic of the resistances encountered by the coolant flow is shown in Fig. 153. Pressure drops through orifices and line losses are accounted for in the K values at each resistance. The pressure drop through a resistance is defined as:

\[ \Delta P = K_i \cdot \frac{1}{2 \rho} \cdot \frac{\dot{m}_i^2}{A_i^2} \]

Where \( \dot{m}_i \) is mass flowrate, \( \rho \) is specific weight, \( A_i \) is area, and \( g \) is gravitational acceleration. The flow system was solved for continuity and pressure balance between stations 1, 2, and 3. The pressure rise between stations 1 and 2 due to whirl on the back side of the impeller was incorporated into the solution. The analysis accounted for density changes due to changes in pressure through the system.
Figure 152. Front Bearing Coolant Flow Path
The heat transfer rate (Q) from the bearings to the fluid has the relationship:

\[ Q = C_p \left( T_{\text{out}} - T_{\text{in}} \right) \dot{m} \]

Considering \( T_{\text{out}} = 87 \, \text{K} \) (157 \, \text{R}) and \( T_{\text{in}} = 37 \, \text{K} \) (67 \, \text{R}), an average specific heat, \( C_p \), at the pressure at the bearing is approximately 0.02 J/kg-K (3.0 Btu/lb-R). With the bearing heat input of 118 J/s (1.06 Btu/sec), the necessary flowrate to match the measured bearing discharge temperature is calculated to be approximately 0.0018 kg/s (0.0039 lb/sec). This is approximately 28% lower flowrate than necessary to explain the high temperature at the bearing exit.

Reducing the gap at \( A \) to 0.025 mm (0.001 inch) while simultaneously reducing the gap at \( A_{7a} \) to 0.005 mm (0.0002 inch) yields a flowrate through the bearing of approximately 0.0015 kg/s (0.0033 lb/sec). This is 15% below the flowrate expected through the bearing due to the high measured bearing exit temperature. The original gap at \( A_1 \) is 0.81 mm (0.032 inch).

In effect, to get \( \dot{m}_3 \) flowrate near the flowrate giving the high temperature measured at the bearing exit, the gap areas of \( A_1 \) and \( A_{7a} \) must be reduced to approximately 3% of their original values. This appears to be a larger reduction than would be expected but, at the present time, there is no other explanation of the high bearing coolant temperature. Examination of the bearings after testing did not show any heat-banding effects on the bearing balls. Additional testing with more instrumentation is required to further clarify this area.

**Balance Piston Performance.** In all of the tests, the balance piston appeared to be functioning to achieve a good axial thrust balance. Internal
pressures were available at the third impeller discharge, within the balance cavity, and at the balance piston sump. The pressure within the balance cavity would be expected to have a magnitude between the other two pressures. Observation of the data indicated that the balance cavity pressure also seemed to maintain a relatively constant position between the other two, indicating a very stable operation through all of the tested points of operation. Selected data slices are presented in Fig. 154 to illustrate this. Data from two time slices are shown as solid symbols to distinguish the corresponding pressure because these did not fall in the same relationship with speed as the others.

Two slices were examined in more detail to determine the position of the balance piston both geometrically and within the available thrust range. The results are shown in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 28. Balance Piston Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test Slice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed, rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Piston Position, X/S:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Piston Position, F/Fmax:*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*X is the axial gap at the high-pressure orifice, and S is the total balance piston travel.

**F is the thrust on the balance piston face, and Fmax is the maximum available thrust at that operating condition. Fmax occurs when the low-pressure orifice is closed.

The predicted value of both X/S and F/Fmax is almost identical to the values achieved at the 90,000-rpm condition. The internal pressure measurements of the pump also agreed well with predicted values. Therefore, the internal pressures of the turbine must also be very close to the predicted values to achieve the predicted overall thrust.

Turbine Performance. The first-phase performance evaluation tests of the Mark 48-F turbopump assembly were conducted with GH2 turbine working fluid, and with LH2 flow through the pump. The results of these tests provide a comparison of the power developed by the turbine with the power required by the pump to deliver the measured pump heads and flows. These data additionally will establish the overall turbopump performance.

The following power calculations were made:

1. Turbine-developed horsepower calculated with turbine isentropic enthalpy \( \Delta S_{T, T'} \) available at the test pressure ratio, GH2 working fluid mass flowrate \( \dot{m}_T \), turbopump speed \( N_T \), and turbine component efficiency at the respective test conditions
Figure 154. Balance Piston Pressures
2. **Turbine horsepower** calculated with working fluid total temperature drop \(\Delta T(T-T)\) measured across the turbine, and \(\text{GH}_2\) working fluid mass flow \(\dot{\omega}_T\).

3. **Pump horsepower** calculated with pump head and flow data at the respective speeds selected for the analysis.

Turbine test instrumentation was located as shown on the turbopump assembly drawing (Fig. 155) to obtain the following turbine test parameters for the performance analysis:

1. Turbine total inlet temperature, \(T_{t1}\), measured at the turbine inlet, downstream of the preburner.
2. Turbine inlet static pressure, \(P_{s1}\), measured at the entrance to the first-stage nozzle.
3. Turbine exhaust total pressure, \(P_{t2}\), measured downstream of the turbine exhaust flange.
4. Turbine exhaust total temperature, \(T_{t2}\), measured downstream of the turbine exhaust flange.
5. Turbine speed, \(N_t\).

Turbine mass flowrate was calculated with data obtained with a venturi and orifice which were located, respectively, upstream and downstream of the turbine.

The analysis was performed for a range of turbine velocity ratios \((U/C_0 T-T)\) from 0.077 to 0.430, and turbine speeds from 1550 to 9737 rad/s (14,800 to 93,000 rpm). A tabulation of pertinent test data appears in Table 29. The performance calculations, which utilized process hydrogen gas properties at the test state conditions, are identical to those used previously to establish turbine aerothermodynamic performance with \(\text{GN}_2\) at the Wyle Laboratories, El Segundo, California.

Turbine inlet total pressure was calculated as the sum of the first-stage nozzle inlet static pressure, and dynamic pressure calculated at the entrance plane of the first-stage nozzle. The total-to-total pressure ratio was established with calculated inlet total pressure and turbine test exhaust/total pressure. The turbine-developed horsepower calculation utilized turbine isentropic available energy \((T-T)\) for the respective test pressure ratio, calculated \(\text{GH}_2\) mass flowrate corrected for \(\text{GH}_2\) compressibility \((Z)\) effects, and turbine efficiency \((T-T)\) corresponding to the test velocity ratio, as plotted in Fig. 138.

\[
\text{Turbine-developed horsepower, } h_{\text{pd}} = 1.415 \cdot \Delta T(T-T) \cdot C_p \cdot \dot{\omega}_T
\]

where

\[
C_p = \text{process specific heat}
\]

Pump horsepower \([h_{\text{pp}}]\) required to drive the liquid-hydrogen pump stages was established with pump fluid horsepower \([h_{\text{pfp}}]\) and the pump isentropic efficiency parameter \(\eta_{\text{pi}}\) as follows.
Figure 155. Turbine Instrumentation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run Number</th>
<th>Time Slice</th>
<th>Speed (N), rad/s</th>
<th>Temperature Total Inlet (T&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;)&lt;sub&gt;e&lt;/sub&gt;, K</th>
<th>Temperature Total Exit (T&lt;sub&gt;e&lt;/sub&gt;)&lt;sub&gt;e&lt;/sub&gt;, K</th>
<th>Specific Volume at N-1, V&lt;sub&gt;SP&lt;/sub&gt; RTZ/&lt;rho&gt;&lt;sub&gt;p&lt;/sub&gt;, m&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;/kg</th>
<th>Density&lt;sub&gt;p&lt;/sub&gt;, kg/m&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>GH&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; Mass Flow (W&lt;sub&gt;GH&lt;/sub&gt;), kg/s</th>
<th>Manifold Inlet Pressure (Static), P&lt;sub&gt;si&lt;/sub&gt;, psia</th>
<th>Inlet Dynamic Pressure (P&lt;sub&gt;dy&lt;/sub&gt;), psia</th>
<th>P&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt; Total Inlet Pressure (Total PS), psia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1551</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>48.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3383</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>262.0</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>263.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4554</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>347.3</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>350.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6439</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1299</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>753.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9737</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>2115.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9423</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>2041</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>2053.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8481</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>1564</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>1574.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run Number</th>
<th>Time Slice</th>
<th>Speed (N), rpm</th>
<th>Temperature Total Inlet (T&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;)&lt;sub&gt;e&lt;/sub&gt;, R</th>
<th>Temperature Total Exit (T&lt;sub&gt;e&lt;/sub&gt;)&lt;sub&gt;e&lt;/sub&gt;, R</th>
<th>Specific Volume at N-1, V&lt;sub&gt;SP&lt;/sub&gt; RTZ/&lt;rho&gt;&lt;sub&gt;p&lt;/sub&gt;, ft&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;/lb</th>
<th>Density, lb/ft&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>GH&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; Mass Flow (W&lt;sub&gt;GH&lt;/sub&gt;), lb/sec</th>
<th>Manifold Inlet Pressure (Static), P&lt;sub&gt;si&lt;/sub&gt;, psia</th>
<th>Inlet Dynamic Pressure (P&lt;sub&gt;dy&lt;/sub&gt;), psia</th>
<th>P&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt; Total Inlet Pressure (Total PS), psia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14,815</td>
<td>523.7</td>
<td>419.1</td>
<td>39.95</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32,308</td>
<td>532.5</td>
<td>473.0</td>
<td>7.58</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>379.9</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>382.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>43,500</td>
<td>517.9</td>
<td>475.0</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>503.8</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>507.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>61,500</td>
<td>502.8</td>
<td>455.4</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>1884.4</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>1091.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>93,000</td>
<td>515.6</td>
<td>451.6</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>3048.5</td>
<td>19.04</td>
<td>3067.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>513.9</td>
<td>465.0</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td>2959.8</td>
<td>18.43</td>
<td>2978.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>81,000</td>
<td>503.3</td>
<td>455.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>2269.1</td>
<td>18.79</td>
<td>2283.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
29. TURBINE TEST DATA

(SI UNITS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pressure Ratio (T-T)</td>
<td>Isentropic Available Energy ((\Delta h_s)), J/kg</td>
<td>Pitch Line Velocity ((U)), m/s</td>
<td>Theoretical Spouting Velocity/ ((U/C_D)), m/s</td>
<td>Velocity Ratio (Rel.) T-T</td>
<td>Compress. (2)</td>
<td>Turbine HP ((\Delta P, \Delta h, W_T)), kW</td>
<td>Turbine HP ((\Delta T(T-T), W_T)), kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>1.415</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>892</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>1.003</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>263.7</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>1.435</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>1.011</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>1.417</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>753</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>1.451</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>1.032</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2115</td>
<td>1340</td>
<td>1.578</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>1008</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>1.095</td>
<td>1489</td>
<td>1472</td>
<td>1417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2053</td>
<td>1302</td>
<td>1.576</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>1.092</td>
<td>1455</td>
<td>1501</td>
<td>1371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1574</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>1.519</td>
<td>0.752</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>1.070</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>1199</td>
<td>1032</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(ENGLISH UNITS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pressure Ratio (T-T)</td>
<td>Isentropic Available Energy ((\Delta h_s)), Btu/lb</td>
<td>Pitch Line Velocity ((U)), ft/sec</td>
<td>Theoretical Spouting Velocity/ ((U/C_D)), ft/sec</td>
<td>Velocity Ratio (Rel.) T-T ((V/C_D))</td>
<td>Compress. (2)</td>
<td>Turbine HP ((\Delta P, \Delta h, W_T)), hp\text{T} (T)</td>
<td>Turbine HP ((\Delta T(T-T), W_T)), hp\text{T} (T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>1.4148</td>
<td>170.7</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>2927</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>1.0031</td>
<td>17.79</td>
<td>126.8</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382.5</td>
<td>266.5</td>
<td>1.4350</td>
<td>180.4</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>3009</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>1.0112</td>
<td>175.6</td>
<td>358.9</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>507.2</td>
<td>358.0</td>
<td>1.4167</td>
<td>173.9</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>2954</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>1.0148</td>
<td>273.3</td>
<td>346.9</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1091.6</td>
<td>752.1</td>
<td>1.4514</td>
<td>175.5</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>2968</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>1.0321</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3067.5</td>
<td>1944.0</td>
<td>1.5779</td>
<td>218.1</td>
<td>1421</td>
<td>3308</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>1.0945</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>1902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2978.2</td>
<td>1889.3</td>
<td>1.5764</td>
<td>216.9</td>
<td>1376</td>
<td>3299</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>1.0918</td>
<td>1953</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2283.0</td>
<td>1503.3</td>
<td>1.5192</td>
<td>195.8</td>
<td>1238</td>
<td>3134</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>1.0702</td>
<td>1447</td>
<td>1609</td>
<td>1385</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pump horsepower, \( h_{pp} = \frac{h_{ptd}}{\eta_{pt}} \)

A plot of test \( h_{pt} \), \( h_{ptd} \), and \( h_{pp} \) vs turbine velocity ratio \[ U/C_0 \ (T-T) \] data appears in Fig. 156 for the test points appearing in Table 29. The overall agreement is very good. The turbine horsepower calculations would be expected to be somewhat larger than the pump horsepower because of parasitic losses.

A review of the test results indicates the best agreement between \( h_{ptd} \) and \( h_{pp} \) is at a speed of 9737 rad/s (93,000 rpm) and \( U/C_0 \) of 0.43. At this operating point, a difference of 77 kW (97 hp) exists between the 1491 kW (1999 hp) turbine-developed horsepower and the 1418 kW (1902 hp) absorbed by the pump; this represents a 4.58% difference when referred to turbine-developed horsepower. This variance is attributed to the following conditions, which influenced the test results:

1. **The mixing loss resulting from hydrogen seal leakage entering the turbine gas path.** This colder hydrogen flow originates at the pump and reduces the available energy of the gas path turbine working fluid by disrupting channel state conditions and velocities.

2. **Turbine mass flow data** were calculated with venturi and orifice test parameters which experienced some calibration shifts and instabilities in the higher turbine power test points. A review of test conditions and data indicates that calculated turbine mass flows were higher than experienced during the test. An additional mass flow disruption was caused by seal leakage entering the turbine gas path.

3. **The precision of the turbine speed data** at the 9737 rad/s (9300 rpm) test point experienced slight operational variations which were traced to instrumentation recording equipment. This type of speed inaccuracy adversely affects the calculated turbine velocity ratio and, subsequently, the reference test efficiency.

The net effect of all these variances upon the calculated value of turbine-developed horsepower, \( h_{ptd} \), could account for it being 4.58% greater than pump-required horsepower. A comparison of turbine (\( h_{pt} \)) horsepower, calculated with total temperature drop across the turbine, indicates a 3.79% power difference with pump required horsepower.

In conclusion, a review of the turbine test results indicates the demonstrated overall turbopump efficiency has met the performance objectives of this program.

**Mechanical Performance.** Testing of the LH2 turbopump extended over 10 starts, with a total accumulated time of 884 seconds. The longest single test duration was 168 seconds. Operation extended over a rotor speed range of 0 to 9737 rad/s (0 to 93,000 rpm); a maximum pump discharge pressure of 2861 N/cm² (4150 psi) was achieved.

The mechanical performance of the turbopump was excellent for an initial test exposure. A satisfactory control over the rotor axial position was maintained.
Figure 156. Horsepower Correlation
by the balance piston throughout the test series at all speed levels. It was evident from the rotordynamic indicators such as accelerometers and Bently proximity transducers that the rotor was balanced to a very fine degree. In general, the rotor deflections and synchronous acceleration levels were very low, approximately 0.076 mm (0.003 inch) and 5 g peak to peak, respectively. Only minor increase in these indicators was evident when the rotor passed through the first and second critical speeds. The actual levels of the critical speeds were determined to be at 3874 rad/s (37,000 rpm) and 5371 rad/s (51,300 rpm), close to the predicted value of 3141 rad/s (30,000 rpm) for the first critical and 5444 rad/s (52,000 rpm) for the second critical. There was no evidence of subsynchronous motion at any speed level within the explored range of 0 to 9737 rad/s (0 to 93,000 rpm).

The operation of the turbopump appeared smooth during the test series. Each test was characterized by a smooth quick response start as well as a smooth coastdown at cutoff, indicating a satisfactory transition in the axial control of the shaft position from the bearings to the balance piston.

The turbopump was disassembled after the conclusion of the test series to permit visual inspection of the components. Figure 157 shows the condition of the more significant parts. The pumps components are shown separately in Fig. 158. None of the components disclosed any sign of structural distress, impending failure, or excessive deflection.

Minor discrepancies were noted in two areas of the pump. As shown in Fig. 159, the silver plating from the wear-ring platforms at the third-stage impeller front shroud had partially flaked off, probably as a result of poor bonding between the plating and parent metal. It is expected that more stringent process control at the vendor will eliminate a similar problem in the future.

The other minor discrepancy was a slightly more extensive rubbing between the balance piston low-pressure orifice surfaces than was anticipated. The condition of the rotating and stationary orifice surfaces is illustrated in the enlarged photographs of Fig. 160 and Fig. 161. On future builds, the extent of the rubbing should be reduced by increasing the bearing axial load.

The bearings are shown in Fig. 162 with the bearing cartridges, springs, and spacers. The surface condition of the races and balls was satisfactory. Based on the wear track, it is estimated that the turbine and bearings were subjected to a maximum axial load of 530 pounds.

The posttest condition of the shaft dynamic seal was excellent. There was no indication of overheating, scoring, or grooving, either on the seal rings of the mating surface or the shaft.

The two turbine wheels and the second-stage nozzle are shown in Fig. 163. No sign of axial rubbing was evident on the turbine components. Radially minor rubbing occurred at the sealing land surfaces as expected. The copper plating used on the stationary sealing surfaces took the contact well; there were no signs of erosion, galling, or flaking.
Figure 157. Mark 48-F Turbopump Hardware After Testing
Figure 158. Mark 48-F Pump Components After Testing
Figure 159. Mark 48-F Pump Third-Stage Front Wear-Ring Silver Plating Flaking
Figure 160. Mark 48-F Balance Piston Low-Pressure Orifice After Testing
Figure 161. Mark 48-F Balance Piston Low-Pressure Orifice Rub Ring After Testing
Figure 162. Mark 48-F Bearings Posttest
Figure 163. Mark 48-F Turbine Components Posttest
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General

Components which are subject to a low cycle fatigue mode of failure shall be designed for a minimum of 300 cycles times a safety factor of 4.

Components which are subject to a fracture mode of failure shall be designed for a minimum of 300 cycles times a safety factor of 4.

Components which are subject to a high cycle fatigue mode of failure shall be designed within the allowable stress range diagram (based on the material endurance limit). If stress range material property data are not available, modified Goodman diagrams constructed as shown below shall be utilized.

\[ \frac{F_e}{1.33} \]

\[ \text{Allowable Alternating Stress Line} \]

\[ 1:1 \text{ Ratio} \]

\[ \text{Lower of } \frac{F_{tu}}{1.4} \text{ or } \frac{F_{ty}}{1.1} \]

\[ F_e = \text{Material Endurance Limit} \]

\[ F_{ty} = \text{Material Yield Strength (0.2% offset)} \]

\[ F_{tu} = \text{Material Ultimate Strength} \]
Effective stress shall be based on the Mises-Hencky constant energy of distortion theory.

Unless otherwise noted under component ground rules specified herein, the following minimum factors of safety shall be utilized:

Factor of Safety (.2% yield) = 1.1 x Limit Load
Factor of Safety (Ultimate) = 1.4 x Limit Load

Limit Load: The maximum predicted load or pressure at the most critical operating condition

Components subject to pressure loading shall be designed to the following minimum proof and burst pressures:

Proof Pressure = 1.2 x Limit Pressure
Burst Pressure = 1.5 x Limit Pressure

Impeller

Inducers and/or impellers utilized in the high pressure pumps shall be designed for operation above incipient cavitation.

Impeller burst speed shall be at least 20% above the maximum operating speed.

Impeller effective stress at 5% above the maximum operating speed shall not exceed the allowable .2% yield stress. (Does not apply to areas in which local yielding is permitted.)

Turbine

Blade root steady-state stress shall not exceed the allowable 1% ten hour creep stress.

Stress state at the blade root as defined by the steady-state stress and an assumed vibratory stress equal to the gas bending stress shall be within the allowable stress range diagram or modified Goodman diagram.

No blade natural frequencies within ±15% of known sources of excitation at steady-state operating speeds.

Disk burst speed shall be at least 20% above the maximum operating speed.
Disk maximum effective stress at 5% above the maximum operating speed shall not exceed the allowable .2% yield stress. (Does not apply to areas in which local yielding is permitted.)

**Bearings**

Turbopump designs shall utilize ball bearings.

**Maximum DN:** $2.0 \times 10^6$

**B10 life:** 100 hours

**Material:**

- **Rolling Elements:** 440C
- **Races:** 440C

**Seals**

Turbopump designs shall utilize conventional type seals.

Face contact seal maximum PV, FV, and PfV factors:*  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PV Factor</th>
<th>LH₂</th>
<th>H₂+H₂O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PV = unit load times rubbing velocity (lb/in² x ft/sec)  
FV = face load per unit length times rubbing velocity (lb/in x ft/sec)  
PfV = fluid pressure differential times rubbing velocity (psig x ft/sec)

**Critical Speed**

Rotor bending frequency shall be at least 25% above the rotor maximum operating speed.

A minimum margin of 20% shall be maintained between rotor rigid body critical speeds and rotor steady-state operating speeds at full thrust and the pumped-idle thrust condition. Rigid body critical speeds within the throttled-to-full thrust range shall be permitted only if deemed necessary by both the Contractor Program Manager and the NASA Project Engineer.
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MARK 48-F TEST

-SEQUENCE
LH₂ Pump Inlet Conditioning and Sequence Start (Sheet 1)
Turbopump Spin-Up and Test Start (Sheet 2)
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MARK 48-F TEST

DATA
**MK48-F**

**LIQUID HYDROGEN TURBOPUMP ASSEMBLY**

**RUN NUMBER**

**TEST DATE** 04-15-76

**PROCESSING DATE** 05-5-76

**COMMENTS**

TUG PUMP RESEARCH STAFF C16
TUG H2 FLOW IS CALCULATED FROM TURB DISCH CRIFICE DELTA P. * NO DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMBIENT PRESSURE</th>
<th>13.5700</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LU2 VENTURI (GG)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPSTREAM DIAMETER</td>
<td>0.9570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THROAT DIAMETER</td>
<td>0.3740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THROAT CC</td>
<td>0.9883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GH2 VENTURI (TURB)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPSTREAM DIAMETER</td>
<td>2.3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THROAT DIAMETER</td>
<td>1.3085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THROAT CC</td>
<td>0.9873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LH2 VENTURI (GG)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPSTREAM DIAMETER</td>
<td>1.6890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THROAT DIAMETER</td>
<td>0.7090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THROAT CC</td>
<td>0.9760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LH2 VENTURI (PUMP DISCH )</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPSTREAM DIAMETER</td>
<td>1.6390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THROAT DIAMETER</td>
<td>0.8500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THROAT CC</td>
<td>0.9710</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Liquid Hydrogen Turbopump Assembly

**Gaseous Hydrogen Parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME SLICE NO</th>
<th>BEGIN TIME</th>
<th>END TIME</th>
<th>REG U/S</th>
<th>VENTuri 1 U/S</th>
<th>VENTuri 2 U/S</th>
<th>DELTA TEMP</th>
<th>PRESSURE</th>
<th>FAC PRESS</th>
<th>TURB FLOW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>22.540</td>
<td>22.540</td>
<td>4269.07</td>
<td>4246.17</td>
<td>511.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>4127.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>23.530</td>
<td>23.530</td>
<td>4269.07</td>
<td>4246.17</td>
<td>511.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>4054.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>24.521</td>
<td>24.521</td>
<td>4147.57</td>
<td>4115.87</td>
<td>510.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>3959.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>25.519</td>
<td>25.519</td>
<td>3993.37</td>
<td>3950.67</td>
<td>508.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>3733.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>26.518</td>
<td>26.518</td>
<td>3950.67</td>
<td>3908.37</td>
<td>505.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>3776.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>27.517</td>
<td>27.517</td>
<td>3843.11</td>
<td>3803.37</td>
<td>504.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>3616.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>28.516</td>
<td>28.516</td>
<td>3747.77</td>
<td>3708.67</td>
<td>503.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>3520.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>29.515</td>
<td>29.515</td>
<td>3642.61</td>
<td>3572.67</td>
<td>502.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>3427.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>30.514</td>
<td>30.514</td>
<td>3537.57</td>
<td>3469.57</td>
<td>501.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>3374.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>31.513</td>
<td>31.513</td>
<td>3434.57</td>
<td>3367.57</td>
<td>500.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>3321.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>32.512</td>
<td>32.512</td>
<td>3331.57</td>
<td>3264.57</td>
<td>499.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>3268.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>33.511</td>
<td>33.511</td>
<td>3228.57</td>
<td>3159.57</td>
<td>498.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>3215.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Values in the table represent specific parameters during the test, including time, pressure, temperature, and flow rates.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME SLICE</th>
<th>SPEED</th>
<th>TURB INLET STAT PR (PSIA)</th>
<th>TURB TOT PR (PSIA)</th>
<th>TURB MANIF (PSIA)</th>
<th>TURB 1ST NCZZ (PSIA)</th>
<th>TURB SEAL (PSIA)</th>
<th>TURB EXH (PSIA)</th>
<th>TURB EXH (PSIA)</th>
<th>TURB INLET (PSIA)</th>
<th>TURB EXH (PSIA)</th>
<th>TURB TOT TEMP (PSIA)</th>
<th>TURB TOT TEMP (PSIA)</th>
<th>TURB CEG R (DEG R)</th>
<th>TURB CEG R (DEG R)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RPM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>83000</td>
<td>2455.07</td>
<td>2462.97</td>
<td>2473.67</td>
<td>2117.57</td>
<td>2752.97</td>
<td>1650.77</td>
<td>1615.87</td>
<td>521.37</td>
<td>472.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>84000</td>
<td>2516.67</td>
<td>2515.07</td>
<td>2527.47</td>
<td>2163.77</td>
<td>2781.07</td>
<td>1684.37</td>
<td>1642.27</td>
<td>520.54</td>
<td>471.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>85000</td>
<td>2778.27</td>
<td>2787.07</td>
<td>2802.47</td>
<td>2399.07</td>
<td>3074.47</td>
<td>1860.37</td>
<td>1789.97</td>
<td>519.80</td>
<td>470.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>3008.57</td>
<td>3049.17</td>
<td>3063.77</td>
<td>2623.87</td>
<td>3305.37</td>
<td>2027.97</td>
<td>1955.27</td>
<td>515.61</td>
<td>466.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>89000</td>
<td>3021.17</td>
<td>3032.37</td>
<td>3048.47</td>
<td>2605.47</td>
<td>3303.27</td>
<td>2017.47</td>
<td>1943.97</td>
<td>515.55</td>
<td>466.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>86000</td>
<td>3031.47</td>
<td>3012.47</td>
<td>3027.17</td>
<td>2592.37</td>
<td>3286.37</td>
<td>2004.57</td>
<td>1929.77</td>
<td>515.49</td>
<td>465.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>96000</td>
<td>2934.57</td>
<td>2945.47</td>
<td>2959.77</td>
<td>2534.67</td>
<td>3227.67</td>
<td>1561.57</td>
<td>1689.27</td>
<td>513.87</td>
<td>464.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>93000</td>
<td>2872.37</td>
<td>2902.07</td>
<td>2914.87</td>
<td>2496.57</td>
<td>3190.97</td>
<td>1933.87</td>
<td>1862.07</td>
<td>512.97</td>
<td>464.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>87000</td>
<td>2811.87</td>
<td>2721.67</td>
<td>2735.17</td>
<td>2342.17</td>
<td>3029.77</td>
<td>1817.27</td>
<td>1759.67</td>
<td>510.49</td>
<td>462.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>88000</td>
<td>2877.07</td>
<td>2535.37</td>
<td>2549.27</td>
<td>2180.67</td>
<td>2963.57</td>
<td>1695.57</td>
<td>1654.27</td>
<td>508.07</td>
<td>459.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>81000</td>
<td>2488.47</td>
<td>2555.37</td>
<td>2269.07</td>
<td>1539.27</td>
<td>2603.77</td>
<td>1513.17</td>
<td>1503.27</td>
<td>503.34</td>
<td>455.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>78000</td>
<td>2102.77</td>
<td>2018.47</td>
<td>2031.37</td>
<td>1734.47</td>
<td>2373.57</td>
<td>1357.97</td>
<td>1344.67</td>
<td>498.24</td>
<td>491.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIME</td>
<td>SPEED</td>
<td>PUMP INLET TEMP 1 (DEG R)</td>
<td>PUMP INLET TEMP 2 (DEG R)</td>
<td>PUMP DISCH TEMP 1 (DEG R)</td>
<td>PUMP DISCH TEMP 2 (DEG R)</td>
<td>FRCAT TEMP (DEG R)</td>
<td>REAR TEMP (DEG R)</td>
<td>CRCOL TEMP (DEG R)</td>
<td>CRCOL TEMP (DEG R)</td>
<td>L/S TEMP (DEG R)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLICE NC</td>
<td>RPM</td>
<td>RPM</td>
<td>RPM</td>
<td>RPM</td>
<td>RPM</td>
<td>RPM</td>
<td>RPM</td>
<td>RPM</td>
<td>RPM</td>
<td>RPM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>80000</td>
<td>41.45</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>94.18</td>
<td>95.23</td>
<td>106.86</td>
<td>80.46</td>
<td>94.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>80000</td>
<td>41.39</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>94.75</td>
<td>95.39</td>
<td>110.73</td>
<td>82.62</td>
<td>95.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>85000</td>
<td>41.35</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>94.89</td>
<td>98.87</td>
<td>125.85</td>
<td>83.90</td>
<td>98.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>40.55</td>
<td>39.90</td>
<td>103.28</td>
<td>103.49</td>
<td>104.19</td>
<td>86.76</td>
<td>103.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>40.68</td>
<td>39.76</td>
<td>102.30</td>
<td>102.86</td>
<td>107.84</td>
<td>87.34</td>
<td>102.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>40.58</td>
<td>39.74</td>
<td>101.47</td>
<td>102.34</td>
<td>107.39</td>
<td>87.83</td>
<td>101.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>40.46</td>
<td>39.69</td>
<td>100.31</td>
<td>100.66</td>
<td>106.27</td>
<td>90.13</td>
<td>100.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>40.42</td>
<td>39.65</td>
<td>99.41</td>
<td>99.15</td>
<td>105.67</td>
<td>91.37</td>
<td>99.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>40.21</td>
<td>35.57</td>
<td>96.47</td>
<td>97.10</td>
<td>127.90</td>
<td>93.92</td>
<td>95.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>40.21</td>
<td>35.51</td>
<td>96.32</td>
<td>93.87</td>
<td>118.95</td>
<td>96.70</td>
<td>93.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>40.27</td>
<td>35.51</td>
<td>97.46</td>
<td>96.04</td>
<td>114.80</td>
<td>101.03</td>
<td>88.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>70000</td>
<td>40.22</td>
<td>35.51</td>
<td>92.75</td>
<td>93.71</td>
<td>104.79</td>
<td>102.15</td>
<td>83.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIME</td>
<td>SPEED</td>
<td>LH2</td>
<td>PUMP INLET</td>
<td>PUMP INLET</td>
<td>1ST IMP</td>
<td>1ST XOVER</td>
<td>1ST XOVER</td>
<td>1ST XOVER</td>
<td>2ND IMP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLICE NUMBER</td>
<td>RPM</td>
<td>(PSIA)</td>
<td>(PSIA)</td>
<td>(PSIA)</td>
<td>(PSIA)</td>
<td>(PSIA)</td>
<td>(PSIA)</td>
<td>(PSIA)</td>
<td>(PSIA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20000</td>
<td>110.34</td>
<td>99.06</td>
<td>102.94</td>
<td>715.28</td>
<td>957.73</td>
<td>1038.35</td>
<td>1009.40</td>
<td>1616.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>111.79</td>
<td>98.36</td>
<td>102.25</td>
<td>708.08</td>
<td>949.54</td>
<td>1000.69</td>
<td>1001.91</td>
<td>1617.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>40000</td>
<td>111.29</td>
<td>98.13</td>
<td>103.29</td>
<td>727.50</td>
<td>984.92</td>
<td>1039.07</td>
<td>1040.37</td>
<td>1710.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>114.23</td>
<td>101.80</td>
<td>106.40</td>
<td>819.02</td>
<td>1113.27</td>
<td>1168.17</td>
<td>1169.77</td>
<td>1898.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>60000</td>
<td>113.53</td>
<td>101.32</td>
<td>105.31</td>
<td>826.71</td>
<td>1125.77</td>
<td>1180.17</td>
<td>1181.57</td>
<td>1907.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>70000</td>
<td>113.68</td>
<td>101.40</td>
<td>105.54</td>
<td>830.52</td>
<td>1128.57</td>
<td>1182.87</td>
<td>1184.17</td>
<td>1905.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>80000</td>
<td>112.33</td>
<td>99.91</td>
<td>104.36</td>
<td>818.51</td>
<td>1105.67</td>
<td>1158.77</td>
<td>1160.47</td>
<td>1860.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>111.45</td>
<td>99.44</td>
<td>103.43</td>
<td>830.35</td>
<td>1092.77</td>
<td>1145.57</td>
<td>1147.47</td>
<td>1847.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>109.32</td>
<td>97.76</td>
<td>101.95</td>
<td>795.50</td>
<td>1053.96</td>
<td>1111.17</td>
<td>1112.27</td>
<td>1773.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>110000</td>
<td>109.37</td>
<td>97.63</td>
<td>101.68</td>
<td>764.57</td>
<td>1029.27</td>
<td>1081.07</td>
<td>1081.77</td>
<td>1696.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td>108.73</td>
<td>97.51</td>
<td>101.69</td>
<td>733.26</td>
<td>980.12</td>
<td>1029.97</td>
<td>1029.97</td>
<td>1581.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>130000</td>
<td>109.09</td>
<td>98.83</td>
<td>102.40</td>
<td>714.36</td>
<td>943.47</td>
<td>991.44</td>
<td>991.44</td>
<td>1486.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIME SLICE</td>
<td>2ND SLICE</td>
<td>SKU</td>
<td>PUMP DISCH PR</td>
<td>EAL PIST DISCH PR</td>
<td>BAL PIST CAVITY PR</td>
<td>BAL PIST SLIP PR</td>
<td>PUMP VENTURI L/S TEMP (PSIA)</td>
<td>PUMP VENTURI U/S PR (PSIA)</td>
<td>PUMP VENTURI DELTA P (DEG R)</td>
<td>PUMP CISCH VALVE</td>
<td>PUMP D/S PR (PSIA)</td>
<td>PUMP DIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2249.67</td>
<td>3556.37</td>
<td>2483.87</td>
<td>1538.27</td>
<td>94.70</td>
<td>3539.47</td>
<td>30.25</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>948.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2356.11</td>
<td>3549.57</td>
<td>2496.07</td>
<td>1542.17</td>
<td>95.67</td>
<td>3546.27</td>
<td>30.64</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>957.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2393.47</td>
<td>3624.97</td>
<td>2679.97</td>
<td>1643.77</td>
<td>96.98</td>
<td>3813.27</td>
<td>32.37</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>1034.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2442.47</td>
<td>4162.67</td>
<td>2943.97</td>
<td>1824.57</td>
<td>102.59</td>
<td>4170.77</td>
<td>32.94</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>1144.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2549.47</td>
<td>4182.67</td>
<td>2946.57</td>
<td>1824.37</td>
<td>102.78</td>
<td>4154.57</td>
<td>32.94</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>1141.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2642.47</td>
<td>4165.67</td>
<td>2935.97</td>
<td>1825.37</td>
<td>102.59</td>
<td>4170.77</td>
<td>32.94</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>1144.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2594.47</td>
<td>4091.27</td>
<td>2677.27</td>
<td>1788.77</td>
<td>100.34</td>
<td>4069.27</td>
<td>33.59</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>1112.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2565.47</td>
<td>4347.57</td>
<td>2845.07</td>
<td>1766.57</td>
<td>99.73</td>
<td>4036.47</td>
<td>33.32</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>1097.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2457.47</td>
<td>3661.57</td>
<td>2705.67</td>
<td>1691.77</td>
<td>96.46</td>
<td>3852.77</td>
<td>32.19</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>1037.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2345.17</td>
<td>3664.17</td>
<td>2570.77</td>
<td>1615.07</td>
<td>93.39</td>
<td>3656.17</td>
<td>31.07</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>973.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2172.47</td>
<td>3360.57</td>
<td>2361.77</td>
<td>1456.37</td>
<td>88.28</td>
<td>3354.77</td>
<td>29.36</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>875.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2025.47</td>
<td>3092.37</td>
<td>2174.47</td>
<td>1395.47</td>
<td>82.23</td>
<td>3087.67</td>
<td>26.03</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>787.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## MK48-F

**LIQUID HYDROGEN TURBOPUMP ASSEMBLY**

### CALCULATED PUMP PARAMETERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>TEST</th>
<th>PUMP SPEED (kPH)</th>
<th>PUMP FLUX (LE/SEC)</th>
<th>PUMP HEAD (FT)</th>
<th>PRESSURE RISE (PSI)</th>
<th>FLUID TURBHP (HP)</th>
<th>EFF %</th>
<th>OVERALL EFF %</th>
<th>SPECIFIC SPEED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>82000</td>
<td>438.05</td>
<td>4.6203</td>
<td>105579.9</td>
<td>3449.4</td>
<td>886.9</td>
<td>1811.23</td>
<td>57.86</td>
<td>48.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>82000</td>
<td>438.11</td>
<td>4.6592</td>
<td>106254.9</td>
<td>3474.7</td>
<td>898.4</td>
<td>1862.76</td>
<td>57.80</td>
<td>48.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>82000</td>
<td>439.52</td>
<td>4.7814</td>
<td>113382.9</td>
<td>3123.8</td>
<td>985.7</td>
<td>2106.27</td>
<td>57.52</td>
<td>46.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>92000</td>
<td>547.13</td>
<td>4.9040</td>
<td>122935.1</td>
<td>4077.2</td>
<td>1096.1</td>
<td>2299.77</td>
<td>57.37</td>
<td>47.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>92000</td>
<td>547.29</td>
<td>4.9125</td>
<td>122938.8</td>
<td>4079.1</td>
<td>1098.0</td>
<td>2299.77</td>
<td>57.72</td>
<td>47.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>80000</td>
<td>590.35</td>
<td>4.9260</td>
<td>122413.5</td>
<td>4662.0</td>
<td>1146.4</td>
<td>2290.75</td>
<td>58.04</td>
<td>48.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>590.45</td>
<td>4.9933</td>
<td>120368.5</td>
<td>3989.1</td>
<td>1073.1</td>
<td>2221.76</td>
<td>58.21</td>
<td>48.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>593.51</td>
<td>4.9825</td>
<td>119155.5</td>
<td>3545.9</td>
<td>1058.1</td>
<td>2195.45</td>
<td>58.34</td>
<td>48.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>80000</td>
<td>544.46</td>
<td>4.8027</td>
<td>113856.6</td>
<td>3762.1</td>
<td>994.6</td>
<td>2325.70</td>
<td>58.81</td>
<td>49.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>80000</td>
<td>545.45</td>
<td>4.7313</td>
<td>108198.5</td>
<td>3564.5</td>
<td>928.8</td>
<td>1861.72</td>
<td>59.21</td>
<td>49.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>80000</td>
<td>471.72</td>
<td>4.5906</td>
<td>95694.0</td>
<td>326.8</td>
<td>932.0</td>
<td>1601.87</td>
<td>60.06</td>
<td>51.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>71000</td>
<td>435.77</td>
<td>4.4556</td>
<td>92064.4</td>
<td>2591.8</td>
<td>752.6</td>
<td>1394.74</td>
<td>61.52</td>
<td>53.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## LIQUID HYDROGEN TURBOPUMP ASSEMBLY

### CALCULATED PUMP PARAMETERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME SLICE NO</th>
<th>Test Speed (KPM)</th>
<th>NPSH</th>
<th>SUCTION SPECIFIC SPEED</th>
<th>1ST STG INL FLCH COEFF</th>
<th>HEAD COEFF</th>
<th>PUMP DELTA T (Q/N)</th>
<th>OVER (Q/N) COVERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>85000.00</td>
<td>2449.90</td>
<td>5323.22</td>
<td>0.13122</td>
<td>1.48216</td>
<td>53.97</td>
<td>0.8553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>85000.00</td>
<td>2475.27</td>
<td>5277.54</td>
<td>0.13441</td>
<td>1.54538</td>
<td>54.37</td>
<td>0.8761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>85000.00</td>
<td>2305.12</td>
<td>5578.27</td>
<td>0.12110</td>
<td>1.48562</td>
<td>50.30</td>
<td>0.8545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>90000.00</td>
<td>2431.71</td>
<td>5449.70</td>
<td>0.12854</td>
<td>1.49055</td>
<td>62.96</td>
<td>0.8373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>90000.00</td>
<td>2614.94</td>
<td>5701.10</td>
<td>0.12694</td>
<td>1.45835</td>
<td>62.37</td>
<td>0.8279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>85000.00</td>
<td>2642.61</td>
<td>5260.84</td>
<td>0.13729</td>
<td>1.69855</td>
<td>61.62</td>
<td>0.8949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>90000.00</td>
<td>2999.04</td>
<td>5558.67</td>
<td>0.13044</td>
<td>1.52501</td>
<td>60.26</td>
<td>0.8502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>90000.00</td>
<td>2364.37</td>
<td>5511.62</td>
<td>0.12994</td>
<td>1.51015</td>
<td>59.63</td>
<td>0.6470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>85000.00</td>
<td>2364.48</td>
<td>5471.67</td>
<td>0.13051</td>
<td>1.50936</td>
<td>56.57</td>
<td>0.8507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>85000.00</td>
<td>2533.11</td>
<td>5275.90</td>
<td>0.13188</td>
<td>1.51892</td>
<td>53.22</td>
<td>0.6556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>85000.00</td>
<td>2533.14</td>
<td>4927.66</td>
<td>0.13527</td>
<td>1.55920</td>
<td>48.37</td>
<td>0.8817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>75000.00</td>
<td>2596.70</td>
<td>4591.90</td>
<td>0.13869</td>
<td>1.59360</td>
<td>43.32</td>
<td>0.9040</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MK48-F
#### LIQUID HYDROGEN TURBOPUMP ASSEMBLY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME SLICE</th>
<th>FLOW (GPM)</th>
<th>HEAD (FT)</th>
<th>PRESS RISE (PSI)</th>
<th>MCRSE POWER (FT)</th>
<th>NPSH</th>
<th>FLOW (GPM)</th>
<th>HEAD (FT)</th>
<th>PRESS RISE (PSI)</th>
<th>MCRSE POWER (FT)</th>
<th>NPSH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.34</td>
<td>11698.01</td>
<td>3822.02</td>
<td>1034.46</td>
<td>2764.93</td>
<td>536.73</td>
<td>130345.52</td>
<td>4258.48</td>
<td>1216.63</td>
<td>3080.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20.34</td>
<td>11698.01</td>
<td>3822.02</td>
<td>1034.46</td>
<td>2764.93</td>
<td>536.73</td>
<td>130345.52</td>
<td>4258.48</td>
<td>1216.63</td>
<td>3080.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>11698.01</td>
<td>3822.02</td>
<td>1034.46</td>
<td>2764.93</td>
<td>536.73</td>
<td>130345.52</td>
<td>4258.48</td>
<td>1216.63</td>
<td>3080.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>11698.01</td>
<td>3822.02</td>
<td>1034.46</td>
<td>2764.93</td>
<td>536.73</td>
<td>130345.52</td>
<td>4258.48</td>
<td>1216.63</td>
<td>3080.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>11698.01</td>
<td>3822.02</td>
<td>1034.46</td>
<td>2764.93</td>
<td>536.73</td>
<td>130345.52</td>
<td>4258.48</td>
<td>1216.63</td>
<td>3080.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>11698.01</td>
<td>3822.02</td>
<td>1034.46</td>
<td>2764.93</td>
<td>536.73</td>
<td>130345.52</td>
<td>4258.48</td>
<td>1216.63</td>
<td>3080.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>11698.01</td>
<td>3822.02</td>
<td>1034.46</td>
<td>2764.93</td>
<td>536.73</td>
<td>130345.52</td>
<td>4258.48</td>
<td>1216.63</td>
<td>3080.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>11698.01</td>
<td>3822.02</td>
<td>1034.46</td>
<td>2764.93</td>
<td>536.73</td>
<td>130345.52</td>
<td>4258.48</td>
<td>1216.63</td>
<td>3080.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>11698.01</td>
<td>3822.02</td>
<td>1034.46</td>
<td>2764.93</td>
<td>536.73</td>
<td>130345.52</td>
<td>4258.48</td>
<td>1216.63</td>
<td>3080.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>11698.01</td>
<td>3822.02</td>
<td>1034.46</td>
<td>2764.93</td>
<td>536.73</td>
<td>130345.52</td>
<td>4258.48</td>
<td>1216.63</td>
<td>3080.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>11698.01</td>
<td>3822.02</td>
<td>1034.46</td>
<td>2764.93</td>
<td>536.73</td>
<td>130345.52</td>
<td>4258.48</td>
<td>1216.63</td>
<td>3080.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>11698.01</td>
<td>3822.02</td>
<td>1034.46</td>
<td>2764.93</td>
<td>536.73</td>
<td>130345.52</td>
<td>4258.48</td>
<td>1216.63</td>
<td>3080.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Turbine Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Slice</th>
<th>Speed (RPM)</th>
<th>Inlet Total Pressure (PSIA)</th>
<th>Inlet Total Temperature (°F)</th>
<th>Exhaust Total Pressure (PSIA)</th>
<th>Exhaust Total Temperature (°F)</th>
<th>Flow (LB/SEC)</th>
<th>PR</th>
<th>Torque (FT-LB)</th>
<th>BHP (Calib) (HP)</th>
<th>BHP (Delta T) (HP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8350</td>
<td>1645.9</td>
<td>521.37</td>
<td>472.26</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>1.5242</td>
<td></td>
<td>114.22</td>
<td>1811.2</td>
<td>1863.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8400</td>
<td>1654.5</td>
<td>520.54</td>
<td>471.75</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>1.5315</td>
<td></td>
<td>116.42</td>
<td>1862.8</td>
<td>1883.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8350</td>
<td>1769.3</td>
<td>518.80</td>
<td>470.07</td>
<td>8.14</td>
<td>1.5579</td>
<td></td>
<td>124.55</td>
<td>2106.3</td>
<td>2659.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9200</td>
<td>1755.3</td>
<td>515.61</td>
<td>465.57</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>1.5595</td>
<td></td>
<td>131.24</td>
<td>2299.8</td>
<td>2781.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9300</td>
<td>1944.0</td>
<td>515.55</td>
<td>466.11</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>1.5599</td>
<td></td>
<td>128.94</td>
<td>2384.1</td>
<td>2387.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9600</td>
<td>1929.8</td>
<td>515.49</td>
<td>465.57</td>
<td>8.83</td>
<td>1.5611</td>
<td></td>
<td>134.84</td>
<td>2390.7</td>
<td>2374.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>9200</td>
<td>1069.3</td>
<td>513.87</td>
<td>464.55</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td>1.5591</td>
<td></td>
<td>129.63</td>
<td>2221.8</td>
<td>2202.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9200</td>
<td>1502.1</td>
<td>512.97</td>
<td>464.09</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>1.5565</td>
<td></td>
<td>127.48</td>
<td>2185.5</td>
<td>2171.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8800</td>
<td>1729.7</td>
<td>510.49</td>
<td>462.12</td>
<td>8.38</td>
<td>1.5467</td>
<td></td>
<td>123.65</td>
<td>2225.7</td>
<td>2032.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8350</td>
<td>1654.3</td>
<td>508.07</td>
<td>459.73</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td>1.5326</td>
<td></td>
<td>114.22</td>
<td>1861.7</td>
<td>1911.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>8100</td>
<td>1503.4</td>
<td>503.34</td>
<td>455.53</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>1.5033</td>
<td></td>
<td>103.82</td>
<td>1601.9</td>
<td>1725.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>7700</td>
<td>1364.7</td>
<td>498.24</td>
<td>451.55</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>1.4791</td>
<td></td>
<td>95.13</td>
<td>1394.7</td>
<td>1536.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MK48-F
**LIQUID HYDROGEN TURBOPUMP ASSEMBLY**

**RLN NUMBER** 9  
**TEST CATE**  
**PROCESING CATE**

**TURBINE PARAMETERS (CONTINUED)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME SLICE NC</th>
<th>SPEED (T-T) (rpm)</th>
<th>U/C EFF (T-T) (%)</th>
<th>AVAIL. ENERGY (T-T) (BTU/LB)</th>
<th>GAMMA</th>
<th>CP (BTU/LB-FT)</th>
<th>SPEED PARA-METER</th>
<th>FLOW PARA-METER</th>
<th>TORQUE PARA-METER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>80000</td>
<td>0.3565</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>216.51</td>
<td>1.4007</td>
<td>3.6556</td>
<td>473.53</td>
<td>2.6709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>0.3616</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>218.64</td>
<td>1.4008</td>
<td>3.6582</td>
<td>465.59</td>
<td>2.6579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>0.4008</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>226.99</td>
<td>1.4015</td>
<td>3.6680</td>
<td>491.36</td>
<td>2.6141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>0.4167</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>226.94</td>
<td>1.4020</td>
<td>3.6793</td>
<td>512.37</td>
<td>2.6143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>0.4212</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>227.01</td>
<td>1.4020</td>
<td>3.6790</td>
<td>517.97</td>
<td>2.6145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>80000</td>
<td>0.3842</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>227.30</td>
<td>1.4019</td>
<td>3.6786</td>
<td>479.01</td>
<td>2.6127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>0.4285</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>225.94</td>
<td>1.4017</td>
<td>3.6794</td>
<td>502.08</td>
<td>2.6143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>0.4651</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>225.34</td>
<td>1.4015</td>
<td>3.6797</td>
<td>502.51</td>
<td>2.6151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>80000</td>
<td>0.4045</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>220.36</td>
<td>1.4009</td>
<td>3.6789</td>
<td>492.54</td>
<td>2.6331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>80000</td>
<td>0.3582</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>214.66</td>
<td>1.4002</td>
<td>3.6775</td>
<td>479.69</td>
<td>2.6564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>0.3667</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>202.13</td>
<td>1.3988</td>
<td>3.6767</td>
<td>456.57</td>
<td>2.7115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>70000</td>
<td>0.3762</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>192.98</td>
<td>1.3973</td>
<td>3.6772</td>
<td>436.24</td>
<td>2.7468</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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