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A workshop was held at the National Bureau of Standards, Gaithersburg, Md., January 10-12, 1977, to assess the current national and international status of phase diagram determinations and evaluations for alloys, ceramics and semiconductors; to determine the needs and priorities, especially technological, for phase diagram determinations and evaluations; and to estimate the resources being used and potentially available for phase diagram evaluation. A one-day meeting was held following the workshop for the international data center representatives from France, Germany, Canada, the U.K., Japan, the USSR, and the USA, in a further attempt to assess currently on-going phase diagram data evaluation activities and to stimulate interaction and cooperation between these centers.
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A material's phase, depends on its temperature, composition, pressure, and other thermodynamic variables. This relation is most commonly presented graphically in phase diagrams, which have become indispensable to metallurgists, ceramists, geochemists, materials engineers and solid state chemists and physicists. Knowledge of the structure of materials is important in understanding several industrially significant phenomena and applications such as aging, hardness, occurrence of brittle intermetallic compounds, magnetic transition temperatures, high-temperature solubility of impurities, corrosion resistance, solid electrolytes and non-crystalline solids. The study of a phase diagram appropriate to a particular material can often provide information important to its scientific and technical application.

The list of the workshop registrants (section 3) exhibits wide participation from industry, government, and universities. International participation was particularly successful among the data evaluation groups including important presentations from the Soviet Union, Germany, France, the UK, Japan, and Canada. Other countries were represented; experimentalists and theorists determining phase diagrams were among the participants, as were a substantial fraction of phase diagram users from industry.

The workshop was organized by the Alloy Data Center and the group compiling "Phase Diagrams for Ceramists", and supported internally by the Institute for Materials Research and the Office of Standard Reference Data, National Bureau of Standards.

1.2 Goals

The workshop goals were:

1. to assess the current national and international status of phase diagram determinations and evaluations for alloys, ceramics and semiconductors.
2. to determine the needs and priorities, especially technological, for phase
diagram determinations and evaluations, and
3. to estimate the resources being used and potentially available for phase
diagram evaluation.

Specific subjects of investigation were:
...to identify resources being expended that could be made more useful by
appropriate coordination,
...to recognize unnecessarily overlapping efforts in determining phase
diagrams,
...to suggest areas of international cooperation,
...to gauge the relative importance of depth of coverage and range of
materials including factors such as a) high precision, b) metastable phases,
c) binary and higher order systems, d) magnetic, metal nonmetal and other
phase transitions, e) high pressure data, f) integration of collateral
information, and g) the role of impurities or trace additions,
...to determine current awareness needs,
...to review the strengths and limitations of various experimental techniques
for determining phase diagrams,
...to briefly survey the status and merits of various predictive methods
(CALPHAD, PHACOMP, pseudopotential, etc.),
...to discuss diverse presentation methods, and
...to discuss effective and alternative means for dissemination of phase
diagram data.

1.3 Summary of Program

The workshop program (detailed in Section 4) was designed to stimulate
interactions between (i) phase diagram data providers and users, (ii) metallurgists,
geochemists, thermochemists, ceramists, solid state chemists, and physicists, and
other experimentalists, (iii) representatives from industry, government, and
the academia, (iv) phase diagram data centers from many countries (see section
5 for informal program held in addition for this latter group).

Plenary lectures introduced the subjects of present status of data availability
from reference books, data centers and computerized files, the status of experi-
mental data and theory of alloy phases. A panel on computation and prediction gave
a more thorough introduction to that subject, and short reviews introduced the
subjects of phase diagram representations and methods of distribution. Poster
sessions followed these introductions, and extremely lively participation
by all ensued. The success of these interactive poster sessions was the result of both the stimulating introductory sessions and a new design of poster board arrangement (section 2).

Four on-line demonstrations of computer-data handling systems related to phase diagrams were held in the poster session, while short instructional movies were shown in the neighboring auditorium (see section 1.4 for listing). Three panels addressed the subjects of user's needs for phase diagram data, with strong participation from the industrial sector. Discussion covered needs for multi-component diagrams (up to 9 or 10 components) for industrial applications, as evident from the panel members, whereas voices from the audience emphasized needs for less complex systems, down to binary equilibrium diagrams, for various R & D programs.

1.4 Demonstrations and Films

Four demonstrations and a small film were held during the poster sessions in the same lounge with the posters. Two series of short films were shown in the auditorium, one during each poster session.

**Summary of Demonstrations and Films**

- **On-Line Computer Manipulations** (shown in conjunction with poster MPSI-7)
  J. Hilsenrath, B. B. Molino
  Office of Standard Reference Data
  National Bureau of Standards
  Washington, D.C.

- **Alloy Data Center Graphical Methods** (shown in conjunction with poster MPSI-8)
  R. A. Kirsch
  Institute for Basic Standards
  and
  L. J. Swartzendurber
  Institute for Materials Research
  National Bureau of Standards
  Washington, D.C.

- **MTDATA On-Line Thermochemical Calculations** (shown in conjunction with poster TPSI-11)
  L. Kaufman
  ManLabs, Inc.
  Cambridge, MA

- **An On-Line Demonstration of a Computerized Canadian Thermodynamic Data Bank** (shown in conjunction with poster TPSI-16)
  A. D. Pelton
  Dept. de Genie Metallurgique
  Ecole Polytechnique
  Universite de Montreal
  Montreal, Canada
  and
W. T. Thompson  
Dept. of Metallurgical and Mining Engineering  
McGill University  
Montreal, Canada

A Short Film Illustrating some Examples on the Calculations of Phase Diagrams  
I. Ansara  
Thermochemical Data Center  
Laboratoire de Thermodynamique et Physico-Chimie Metallurgiques  
Grenoble, France

Four Short Instructional Movies  
Contributed by R. McKinstry  
Materials Research Laboratory  
Pennsylvania State University  
University Park, PA

Produced at Pennsylvania State University; sponsored by NSF

Two Instructional Movies  
Contributed by C.H.P. Lupis  
Carnegie Mellon University

Produced at CMU, sponsored by NSF

1.5 Proceedings

A Proceedings of the workshop will be published as an NBS Special Publication. It will contain all the full papers presented, plus a number of comments and a summary of the workshop. The requisite number of copies will be forwarded to the sponsoring organizations. Additional copies will be available from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402, under the title Proceedings of the Workshop on Applications of Phase Diagrams in Metallurgy and Ceramics.
2. Poster Board Design and Layout

Poster "tripod" stations were designed to allow some shielding from neighboring presentations. This basic idea was taken from poster sessions given at Uppsala, Sweden at the 1976 "Solid Compounds of Transition Elements" conference, and improved stations were designed at NBS (see Fig. 1), with large, high boards. This layout allows for three poster presentations, isolated from each other, per tripod center. Each such poster presentation can use two 4' x 5' boards at an angle of 120° from each other, allowing ample space for the presentations. A shelf was designed in front of the right panel, which hinges at the board and a loose wooden stick to support the shelf if the author wishes to use it. This shelf was designed somewhat higher than an ordinary table would be. This was useful to most, but maybe some would have preferred it a little lower.

The sessions were held in a carpeted lounge, which helped reduce noise levels. Participation was larger than anticipated, allowing for only few chair and table arrangements for additional discussions on the side. Several small coffee centers were set in the lounge, during the poster sessions, obviously adding to the liveliness of the sessions, and also helping to keep the group from wandering off. Fig. 2 shows the layout of the lounge for Tuesday afternoon's poster session.

After the first hour of each poster session we suggested that poster authors could take a break and see some of the other posters, or to switch coauthors at a poster where there are more than one author. This helps minimize the problem that poster authors are not mobile to see other posters in their own session.
FIG. 1. Tripod poster stations built for the workshop's two poster sessions, giving poster authors good shielding from their neighbors.
EMployees Lounge

POSTER SESSIONS
TUESDAY, JANUARY 11, 1977
3:30 - 6:00 p.m.

*DEMONSTRATION

Fig. 2. Layout of the poster tripod stations for the Tuesday afternoon (11 Jan) poster session, indicating location of each poster paper. Small coffee stations were placed along the walls.
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4.1 Program

The program includes surveys given by rapporteurs, and panel, tutorial, and poster presentations. There will be ample time for informal discussions among the workshop attendees.

All technical sessions will be held in the NBS Green Auditorium except the poster sessions, which will be conducted in the Employee's Lounge. Evening activities will be held at the Holiday Inn of Bethesda.

Sunday, January 9, 1977

7:00 p.m. Reception and Registration at the Holiday Inn

Monday, January 10, 1977

8:00 a.m. Buses leave hotel for NBS

8:30 a.m. Registration

9:15 a.m. Welcome and Introduction (Green Auditorium)

E. Ambler, Acting Director
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC

D. R. Lide, Jr.
Office of Standard Reference Data
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC

L. H. Bennett
Alloy Physics Section
Institute for Materials Research
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC

H. van Olphen
Numerical Data Advisory Board
National Academy of Sciences
Washington, DC
MONDAY, JANUARY 10, 1976
Morning Session (Continued)

10:00 a.m. Present Status of Phase Diagram Compilation Activities
Chairman: T. B. Massalski
Dept. of Metallurgy and Materials Sciences
Carnegie-Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA

M-1 Phase Diagram Compilation Activities in Ceramics
10:00 a.m.
R. S. Roth
Solid State Chemistry Section
Institute for Materials Research
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC

M-2 Semiconductor Phase Diagrams
10:30 a.m.
C. Thurmond
Bell Telephone Laboratories
Murray Hill, NJ

11:00 a.m. COFFEE

11:20 a.m. Present Status of Phase Diagram Compilation Activities (Continued)

M-3 Phase Diagrams Compilations for Metallic Systems - an Assessment of Ongoing Activities
11:20 a.m.
G. C. Carter
Alloy Data Center
Institute for Materials Research
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC

M-4 Organization of Phase Diagram Information in the Soviet Union
11:50 a.m.
Balkov Institute of Metallurgy, and Institute for Scientific Information
Academy of Sciences of the USSR
Moscow, USSR
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:10</td>
<td>Phase Diagrams of Materials at High Pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. Merrill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High Pressure Data Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brigham Young University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provo, UT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30</td>
<td>LUNCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00</td>
<td>Present Status of Phase Diagram Compilation Activities (Continued)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chairman K. A. Gschneidner, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rare Earth Information Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iowa State University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ames, IA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00</td>
<td>Phase Diagram Information from Computer Banks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I. Ansara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laboratoire de Thermodynamique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>et Physico-Chimie Metallurgiques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Institut National Polytechnique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grenoble, France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30</td>
<td>Experimental Techniques in Phase Diagram Determination - an overview-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F. N. Rhines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dept. of Materials Science and Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University of Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gainesville, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:30</td>
<td>POSTER SESSION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Employee's Lounge)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coffee will be available during the session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two topics will be addressed in the session</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MONDAY, JANUARY 10, 1977
Poster Session (Continued)

I. Phase Diagram Compilation and Data Evaluation Activities

MPSI-1 Proposal for a Comprehensive Handbook on "Ternary Phase Diagrams of Metals"

F. Aldinger, E.-Th. Henig, H. L. Lukas, and G. Petzow
Max Planck Inst. für Metallforschung
Institut für Werkstoffurwissenschaften
Stuttgart, Germany

MPSI-2 WO₃-Containing Binary Oxide Systems

L. Y. Chang
Department of Geology
Miami University
Oxford, OH

MPSI-3 RIC in Phase with Rare-Earth Constitutional Diagrams

K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., M. E. Verkade, and R. L. Evans
Rare Earth Information Center
Iowa State University
Ames, IA

MPSI-4 Phase Diagrams and Thermodynamic Properties of Ternary Copper Alloy Systems

Y. A. Chang, Joachim P. Neuman, and U. V. Choudary
Materials Department
College of Engineering and Applied Science
University of Wisconsin - Milwaukee
Milwaukee, WI

MPSI-5 Phase Equilibria in Variable Valence Oxide Systems

W. B. White
Materials Research Laboratory
Department of Geosciences
Pennsylvania State University
University Park, PA
MONDAY, JANUARY 10, 1977
Poster Session (Continued)

MPSI-6  Phase Diagrams for Ceramists
L. P. Cook, R. S. Roth, T. Negas, and G. W. Cleek
Institute for Materials Research
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, D.C.

MPSI-7  NBS Crystal - Data Center
A. Mighell, H. Ondik, J. Stalick, and R. Boreni
Institute for Materials Research
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, D.C.

MPSI-8  The NBS Alloy Data Center
G. C. Carter, D. J. Kahan, and L. H. Bennett
Institute for Materials Research
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, D.C.

MPSI-9  Phase Equilibria in Cryolite Systems
J. J. Brown, Jr.
Department of Materials Engineering
College of Engineering
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
Blacksburg, VA

MPSI-10  Methods of Compiling and Editing
"Handbook of Binary Metallic Systems"
A. E. Vol and E. K. Kagan
Leningrad, USSR

II. Experimental Techniques in
Phase Diagram Determinations

MPSII-1  The Determination of Phase Diagrams
for Liquid Oxides and Metallurgical
Slags by Hot-Wire Microscopy
H. A. Fine
Dept of Metallurgical Engineering
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ
MPSII-2  Experimental Techniques in Phase Diagram Determinations of Superconducting Compounds Containing Volatile Components at Temperature up to 2200°C
R. Flükiger and J.-L. Jorda
Dépt. de Physique de la Matière Condensée
University of Geneva
Geneva, Switzerland

MPSII-3  Experimental Techniques in Phase Diagram Determinations: Applications to Silicate Systems
F. P. Glasser
Dept. of Chemistry
University of Aberdeen
Aberdeen, Scotland

MPSII-4  Protective Coatings for Superalloys and the Use of Phase Diagrams
M. R. Jackson, J. R. Rairden
Research and Development Center
General Electric Co.
Schenectady, NY

MPSII-5  Application of the Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) to the Study of High Temperature Oxide Phase Equilibria
L. P. Cook and D. B. Minor
Institute for Materials Research
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, D.C.

MPSII-6  Nuclear Hyperfine Techniques in the Determination of Phase Diagrams
R. C. Reno
Dept. of Physics
University of Maryland, Baltimore County
Baltimore, MD
and
L. J. Swartzendruber, G. C. Carter, and L. H. Bennett
Institute for Materials Research
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, D.C.
MONDAY, JANUARY 10, 1977
Poster Session (Continued)

MPSII-7 Experimental Determination of Phase Diagrams with the Electron Micro-
probe and Scanning Transmission Electron Microscope
A. D. Romig, Jr.; and J. I. Goldstein
Dept. of Metallurgy and Materials Science
Lehigh University
Bethlehem, PA

MPSII-8 Interrelations between Phase Diagrams and Hydriding Properties for Alloys based on the Intermetallic Compound FeTi
G. D. Sandrock
Paul D. Merica Research Laboratory
International Nickel Co., Inc.
Suffern, NY
and
J. J. Reilly, and J. R. Johnson
Dept. of Applied Science
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Upton, NY

MPSII-9 Phase Equilibria in the System MgO-RCL (R-Li, Na, and K) Solution under Hydrothermal Conditions by Means of a Capsul Bursting Method
S. Sōmiya, K. Nakamura, S.-I. Hirano, and S. Saito
Research Laboratory of Engineering Materials
Tokyo Institute of Technology
Tokyo, Japan

MPSII-10 Use of High Energy Ion Beams in Phase Diagram Determination
J. E. Smugeresky
Metallurgy and Electroplating Division
and
S. M. Myers
Ion-Solid Interactions Division
Sandia Laboratories
Livermore, CA
MONDAY, JANUARY 10, 1977
Poster Session (Continued)

MPSII-11 Phase Equilibrium Diagrams in terms of Electronic Structure

F. E. Wang
Materials Division
Naval Surface Weapons Center
White Oak, MD

MPSII-12 Use of Segregation Phenomena in Solid Solutions as a Method for Determining Solidification Diagrams. Application to some Sc2O3 - Ln2O3 systems

T. M. Badie
Laboratoire des Ultra-Refractaires
Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique
Odeillo, France

MPSII-13 Studies of the Fe-C-B Phase Diagram by Autoradiography

T. B. Cameron and J. E. Morral
Dept. of Metallurgy and Inst. of Materials Science
University of Connecticut
Storrs, CT

MPSII-14 Industrial Needs

P. J. Fopiano
Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center
Watertown, MA

3:30 p.m. Demonstrations (Employee's Lounge)

Demonstration Video Tape

I. Ansara
Thermochemical Data Center
Laboratoire de Thermodynamique et Physico-Chimie Métallurgiques
Grenoble, France
MONDAY, JANUARY 10, 1977
Poster Session (Continued)

And Demonstrations In Conjunction With

**MPSI-7**

**On-Line Computer Manipulations**

J. Hilsenrath, B. B. Molino
Office of Standard Reference Data
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, D.C.

**MPSI-8**

**Alloy Data Center Graphical Methods**

R. A. Kirsch
Institute for Basic Standards
and
L. J. Swartzendruber
Institute for Materials Research
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, D.C.

**Films**
(Green Auditorium)

**Four Short Instructional Movies**

Contributed by H. McKinstry
Materials Research Laboratory
Pennsylvania State University
University Park, PA

Produced at Pennsylvania State University

6:00 p.m. Buses leave NBS for hotel
7:00 p.m. Informal discussion at hotel

**Tuesday, January 11, 1977**

8:15 a.m. Buses leave hotel for NBS

**Methods of Phase Diagram Calculations**

**T-1**

**Theory of Alloy Phases**

9:00 a.m.

R. E. Watson
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Upton, NY

H. Ehrenreich
Harvard University
Cambridge, MA
and
L. H. Bennett
Institute for Materials Research
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, D.C.
TUESDAY, JANUARY 11, 1977
Morning Session (Continued)

10:00 a.m. COFFEE

10:30 a.m. Computation and Prediction of Phase Diagrams (Panel)

Moderator: O. J. Kleppa
The James Franck Institute
University of Chicago
Chicago, IL

Panel Members: L. Brewer
Inorganic Materials Research Div.
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratories
University of California
Berkeley, CA

D. de Fontaine
Materials Department
University of California
Los Angeles, CA

R. L. Dreshfield
Materials Processing and Joining Section
NASA Lewis Research Center
Cleveland, OH

B. C. Giessen
Dept. of Chemistry and Mechanical Engineering
Northeastern University
Boston, MA

L. Kaufman
ManLabs, Inc.
Cambridge, MA

A. Navrotsky
Chemistry Department
Arizona State University
Tempe, AZ

12:30 p.m. LUNCH

2:00 p.m. Review of Phase Diagram Representations, Format, and Distribution

Chairman: J. W. Cahn
Dept. of Materials Science & Engineering
M.I.T.
Cambridge, MA
TUESDAY, JANUARY 11, 1977
Afternoon Session (Continued)

T-2  The Representation of Phase Equilibria
     2:00 p.m.
     A. Prince
     Hirst Research Centre
     General Electric, Ltd.
     Wembley, Middlesex England

T-3  Phase Diagram Format and Distribution - User's View
     2:45 p.m.
     J. Livingston
     Research and Development Center
     General Electric, Ltd.
     Schenectady, NY

T-4  Some Thoughts on the Distribution of Reference Data
     3:05 p.m.
     H. J. White, Jr.
     Office of Standards Reference Data
     National Bureau of Standards
     Washington, D.C.

T-5  Remarks on Producing and Publishing Critically Evaluated Data
     3:20 p.m.
     W. B. Pearson
     Faculty of Science
     University of Waterloo
     Waterloo, Canada

3:30 p.m. POSTER SESSION
(Employee's Lounge)

Coffee will be available during the session.

Two topics will be addressed in this session.

I. Computational Techniques for Phase Diagram Construction

TPSI-1  Computerized Characterization of the Al-Cu-Ni and Al-Cu-Ag Ternary Phase Diagrams

     M. L. Boyle, C. J. Van Tyne, and S. K. Tarby
     Dept. of Metallurgy and Materials Science
     Lehigh University
     Bethlehem, PA
TPSI-2 Correlations and Predictions of Metal-Boron Phase Equilibria

K. Spear
Materials Research Laboratory
Pennsylvania State University
University Park, PA

TPSI-3 A Valence Bond Test for the Validity of Intermetallic and Semiconducting Structures

F. L. Carter
Chemistry Division
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D.C.

TPSI-4 Computation of the Component Activities from Ternary Miscibility Gap Data: Cu-Ag-S (Se)

U. V. Choudary and Y. A. Chang
Materials Department
College of Engineering and Applied Science
University of Wisconsin-Wilwaukee
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

TPSI-5 The Mathematical Representation of Activity Data in Three Component Systems and its Use for the Computation of Multiphase Equilibria

I. Eliezer and R. A. Howald
Chemistry Department
Montana State University
Bozeman, MT

TPSI-6 A Program for Binary Phase Equilibria Using the Redlick-Kister Equations

E. Eliezer and R. A. Howald
Chemistry Department
Montana State University
Bozeman, MT
TPSI-7  Polynomial Representation of the Excess Free Energy of Multicomponent Systems and Their Use in Phase Diagram Calculations

H. Gaye
IRSID - PCM 57
Maizières - les - Metz
France
and
C. H. P. Lupis
Department of Metallurgy and Materials Science
Carnegie - Mellon University
Schenley Park, Pittsburgh, PA

TPSI-8  Thermodynamic Data for the Fe-O System Evaluated Using a New Computer-Aided Strategy

J. L. Haas, and J. R. Fisher
National Center for the Thermodynamic Data of Minerals
Geological Survey
Reston, VA

TPSI-9  Analysis and Synthesis of Phase Diagrams of Fe-Cr-Ni, Fe-Cu-Mn and Fe-Cu-Ni Systems

M. Hasebe and T. Nishizawa
Department of Materials Science
Faculty of Engineering
Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan

TPSI-10  Optimization of Phase Diagrams by a Least Squares Method Using Simultaneously Different Data

E.-Th. Henig, H. L. Lukas, and B. Petzow
Max Planck Institute für Metallforschung
Institut für Werkstoffwissenschaften
Stuttgart, Germany

TPSI-11  Application of Data Bank Methods to Calculation of the Thermochemistry and Phase Diagram of Metal and Ceramic Systems

L. Kaufman
ManLabs, Inc.
Cambridge, MA
TPSI-12  a. Theoretical Calculation of Phase Diagrams Using the Cluster Variation (CV) Method

b. Fundamental Calculations of Coherent Phase Diagrams

R. Kikuchi and D. de Fontaine
Dept. of Engineering and Applied Science
University of California
Los Angeles, CA

TPSI-13  Evaluation of Free Energy Functions for the Prediction of Phase Equilibria in Multicomponent Systems: The Fe-Cu System as an Example

O. Kubaschewski
Institute für Kernbrenstoffe und Theoretische Hüttenkunde
Technische Hochschule Aachen, Germany
and
J. F. Smith and D. M. Bailey
Ames Laboratory USERDA
Iowa State University
Ames, Iowa

TPSI-14  Characteristics and Calculation of Stability Diagrams

S. McCormick and Y. Bilimoria
Illinois Institute of Technology
Chicago, IL

TPSI-15  Effect of Irradiation on Phase Stability

P. A. Miodownik
Metallurgy and Materials Technology Department
University of Surrey, U.K.
TPSI-16 Facility for the Analysis of Chemical Thermodynamics - (A computerized Canadian Thermodynamic Data Bank)
A. D. Pelton, and C. W. Bale
Dépt. de Génie Métallurgique, École Polytechnique
Université de Montréal
Montréal, Canada
and
W. T. Thompson
McGill University
Dept. of Metallurgical and Mineral Engineering
Montreal, Canada

TPSI-17 The Calculation of Pourbaix Diagrams Using a Modified Linear Programming Technique
B. H. Rosof
Technology Division
Cabot Corporation
Kokomo, Indiana

TPSI-18 Theoretical Concepts Useful in the Calculation or Storage of Phase Diagrams of Ionic Systems
M.-L. Saboungi, and M. Blander
Chemical Engineering Division
Argonne National Laboratory
Argonne, IL

TPSI-19 Estimation of Isothermal Sections of Ternary Phase Diagrams of Lithium-Containing Systems: The Li-Al-Mg System
M. L. Saboungi, and C. C. Hsu
Chemical Engineering Division
Argonne National Laboratory
Argonne, IL
TUESDAY, JANUARY 11, 1977
Poster Session (Continued)

TPSI-20  Cybernetic Prediction of the Formation of Chemical Compounds in Uninvestigated Systems

E. M. Savitsky, V. B. Gribulya, and N. N. Kiseleva
Baikov Institute of Metallurgy
Academy of Sciences of the USSR
Moscow, USSR

TPSI-21  The Determination and Representation of Metastable Phase Diagram Features and Some Kinetic Characteristics of Alloys

G. C. Giessen
Institute of Chemical Analysis, Applications and Forensic Science, and Department of Chemistry
Northeastern University
Boston, Mass.
TUESDAY, JANUARY 11, 1977
Poster Session (Continued)

II. Format and Distribution of Phase Diagram Data

TPSII-1 Tables of Contents and Cumulative Subject Index for "Phase Diagrams of Metallic Systems", N. V. Ageev editor, Volumes 1-19

C. M. Scheuermann
Alloys Section
NASA Lewis Research Center
Cleveland, OH

TPSII-2 Graphical Summary of Binary Diagrams

J. E. Selle
Metals and Ceramics Division
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Oak Ridge, TN

TPSII-3 Standards for Publication of Phase Equilibrium Data

E. R. Kreidler
Lamp Business Division
Lamp Materials Research Lab #1361
General Electric Co.
Cleveland, OH

TPSII-4 Formatting and Distributing Evaluated Reference Data by the Office of Standard Reference Data at the National Bureau of Standards

S. P. Fivosinsky and G. B. Sherwood
Office of Standard Reference Data
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, D.C.

3:30 p.m. Demonstrations
(Employee's Lounge)

Demonstrations in Conjunction with

TPSI-11 MTDATA On-Line Thermochemical Calculations

L. Kaufman
Manlabs, Inc.
Cambridge, MA
TUESDAY, JANUARY 11, 1977
Demonstrations (Continued)

TPSI-16  An On-Line Demonstration of a Computerized Canadian Thermodynamic Data Bank
A. D. Pelton
Dépt. de Génie Métallurgique
École Polytechnique,
Université de Montréal
Montréal Canada

6:00 p.m. Buses leave NBS for hotel
7:00 p.m. Reception at hotel
8:00 p.m. Banquet at hotel

Keynote Speaker:
W. Dale Compton
Vice President, Scientific Research
Ford Motor Company
Dearborn, MI

Wednesday, January 12, 1977

8:15 a.m. Buses leave hotel for NBS

User Needs for Phase Diagram Information

9:00 a.m. Primary Production (Panel)

Moderator: J. F. Elliott
Dept. of Materials Science and Engineering
M.I.T.
Cambridge, MA

Panel Members: P. Amman
Ledgemont Laboratory
Kennecott Copper Corporation
Lexington, MA

H. Larson
American Smelting and Refining Company
Plainfield, NJ
WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 12, 1977
Morning Session (Continued)

R. D. Pehlke
Metallurgy Division
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI

10:40 a.m. COFFEE

11:00 a.m. Materials Processing
(fabricating, machining, heat treating, etc.)
(Panel)

Moderator: W. Rostoker
Dept. of Materials Engineering
University of Illinois
Chicago, IL

Panel Members: E. R. Kreidler
Lamp Business Division
General Electric Co.
Cleveland, OH

R. McNally
Ceramics Research
Corning Glass Works
Corning, NY

L. Mondolfo
Consultant
Clinton, NY

S. Prochazka
Ceramics Branch
Physical Chemistry Laboratory
General Electric Co.
Schenectady, NY

G. R. Speich
Research Laboratories
United States Steel
Monroeville, PA

12:30 p.m. LUNCH

2:00 p.m. Product Applications
(Panel)

Moderator: F. L. VerSnyder
Materials Engineering and Research
Pratt and Whitney Aircraft, U.T.C.
E. Hartford, CT
WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 12, 1977

Panel Members:
C. Graskovich
Research and Development Center
General Electric Co.
Schenectady, NY

R. I. Jaffee
Fossil Fuel & Advance Systems
Division
Electric Power Research Institute
Palo Alto, CA

B. H. Kear
Materials Engineering and
Research Laboratory
Pratt and Whitney Aircraft, U.T.C.
Middletown, CT

A. I. Mlavsky
Sr. Vice President of Technology
Tyco Laboratories, Inc.
Waltham, MA

P. Slick
Bell Telephone Laboratories
Allentown, PA

3:30 p.m. COFFEE

4:00 p.m. Summary

J. Elliott
Dept. of Materials Science and
Engineering
M.I.T.
Cambridge, MA

5:00 p.m. Buses leave NBS for hotel, airports
4.2 Abstracts

MONDAY, 10 JANUARY, 1977

10:00 AM - 12:30 PM

REVIEWS OF THE PRESENT STATUS OF
PHASE DIAGRAM COMPILATION ACTIVITIES
Phase diagrams play an important role in the development of ceramic materials. Phase equilibria data are essential in meeting the expanding needs for refractories, electronic components, non-crystalline solids, and various other applications of interest to ceramic scientists and engineers. Compilation of ceramic phase diagrams began in 1933, when the American Ceramic Society published 178 diagrams, compiled by Hall and Insley. The effort has been continued through the years by compilers at the National Bureau of Standards. In 1964, a revised edition of "Phase Diagrams for Ceramists" was published containing 2066 diagrams. This was followed in 1969 by a supplement containing an additional 2183 diagrams. A third supplement, published in 1975, contains another 850 diagrams - this latest supplement includes a discussion of the methods, data and interpretations made in the construction of each diagram. Since the death of E.M. Levin in 1974, this effort is being continued by a team of scientists actively engaged in phase equilibria studies in ceramic research centers around the country and abroad. This group is led by researchers at NBS who are assuming the responsibility for collecting data from the literature, distributing it among the group for evaluation, and coordinating preparation of the publication, as well as preparing evaluations of systems in their own area of expertise. This effort is being supported in part by the Office of Standard Reference Data.

There are some duplications of compilation activity in the ceramic sciences. This is largely an international problem: the principal compilations in addition to "Phase Diagrams for Ceramists" are Russian. Such duplication is not necessarily wasteful, since any publication would have to be made available in both languages. Also, the two groups of publications, which are partly independent, can be cross-checked for inclusion of otherwise missed obscure references. A more complete review of other partial compilations in this field will be presented.

A questionnaire was distributed by the American Ceramic Society in July 1976 to purchasers of the 1975 supplement requesting information from users of this service. A 40% response allows a determination of user needs in phase equilibria with a reasonably statistical probability of success. The major categories covered in the questionnaire include a description of the respondent, his uses of phase equilibria data and principles, evaluation of "Phase Diagrams for Ceramists" as a publication of the American Ceramic Society and the users needs for experimental and/or theoretical work not now being done. The statistical results of this questionnaire will be presented along with specific examples illustrating user oriented problems.
SEMICONDUCTOR PHASE DIAGRAMS

by

C. D. Thurmond
Bell Laboratories
Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974

The largest group of semiconductors for which extensive phase studies have been made are the tetrahedrally coordinated semiconductors with 4 valence electrons per atom. The prototypes are the group IV diamond structure elemental semiconductors C(diamond), Si and Ge. The binary zincblende semiconductors, III-V and II-VI compounds, are members of this class as are the ternary chalcopyrite semiconductors, II-IV-V₂ and I-III-VI₂.

The range of properties of these semiconductors is greatly extended by the occurrence of solid solutions of wide composition range. For example, III-V compound solid solutions of great practical interest are Ga₁₋ₓAlₓAs and GaAs₁₋ₓPₓ. Many other pseudobinary semiconductor solid solutions have been studied as have the associated ternaries.

The interest in this class of semiconductors lies in the ability to alter their electrical and optical properties with the addition of small amounts of donors or acceptors. The donor (acceptor) - semiconductor phase diagrams represent a large portion of the phase equilibria of interest.
The principal sources of reviews of evaluated phase diagrams of the tetrahedrally coordinated semiconductors are the following:

The Hansen, Elliot and Shunk volumes\(^1\) which contain many T-x binary diagrams for which Si or Ge is one of the components. A number of III-V and II-VI binary diagrams are also given. F. A. Kröger\(^2\) who has included the phase diagrams of a number of semiconductors in his book. Particular emphasis is given by Kröger to the Brouwer diagram. M. B. Panish and M. Ilegems\(^3\) who have critically evaluated and presented a number of important ternary III-V systems. J. L. Shay and J. H. Wernick\(^4\) who have included a critical evaluation of a large number of chalcopyrites, I-III-VI\(_2\) and II-IV-V\(_2\) compounds, and solid solutions. M. Ilegems and G. L. Pearson\(^5\) who have reviewed phase equilibria in III-V, II-VI and IV-VI compound semiconductor alloy systems.

Other sources of information will also be considered.

Of broader interest are electronic materials of which semiconductors are a part. Some comments will be made about phase diagrams of other electronic materials.


Alloy phase diagrams provide a basis for solving many industrial problems. It is therefore not surprising to find critical phase diagram data compilation activities generally concentrated in the more industrialized countries. Among these are extensive compilation projects in Germany, France, and the USSR, all three well-established, outstanding centers. Numerous smaller projects, also of reputable quality, exist in England and other western European countries, the USA, Japan, and elsewhere.

Methods of preparing critical phase diagram compilations have undergone substantial changes since large products such as the USA's "Hansen" series was produced. The reason is that in the past there existed an ample, though not excessive, amount of classical metallurgical data on the one hand, while, on the other hand, theoretical models and computerized methods to obtain phase diagrams from thermodynamic data were not yet adequate.

At the present time, the available phase diagram data have become so diverse and numerous that a single, concise condensation for all binary alloys has become impracticable for a single evaluation project to be carried out. This problem becomes amplified for ternaries and other multicomponent alloys. The alternate route, which applies improved computer facilities and increasingly sophisticated models, has therefore received greatly increased attention in recent years. These methods either integrate existing experimental data with evaluated thermodynamic data, from which equilibrium diagrams can be calculated, or simply use the thermodynamic data to predict equilibrium diagrams. This latter method becomes invaluable for alloys for which classical phase diagram determination experiments are cumbersome, difficult, and lengthy (and often expensive). This is often the
case for multicomponent alloys utilized in industrial applications. Especially for these alloys, specific groups of phase diagrams only in certain ranges of composition need to be evaluated, and comprehensive phase diagram compilations are not always a desired product for such specific needs. For instance, the PHACOMP method was developed to determine phase diagrams of quaternary and other Ni-based alloys in which certain hard intermetallic phases tend to precipitate after solidification.  

As a result of these computational developments, a large number of small projects are now underway in various countries. Several of these projects collaborate with each other, forming larger groups such as CALPHAD.  

The ADC will have available at the workshop, a comprehensive list of all existing phase diagram compilations, a comprehensive list of currently on-going phase diagram compilation centers, and a list of the related phase diagram calculation and evaluation projects briefly described above. Availability of phase diagram data from these compilations, calculations, automated data banks, and translations, will be reviewed, as well as programs of the other data centers.  

(1) A major center is under O. Kubaschewski, P. J. Spencer, and collaborators, Inst. fur Theoretische Huttenkunde, R.-W. Technische Hochschule, Aachen.  
(2) I. Ansara, C. Barnard, and collaborators, Laboratoire de Thermodynamique et Physico-Chimie Metallurgique, Domaine Universitaire de Grenoble.  
(3) N. V. Ageev, O. S. Ivanov, and collaborators, Institute of Metallurgy, Baikov Institute, Moscow.  
(6) CALPHAD - an international group on "Calculations of Phase Diagrams" meetings are held about once a year to discuss progress and problems in calculations and predictions of phase diagrams from thermodynamic data.
This will be an update of the compilation in "Alloy Phase Diagram Data",
L. H. Bennett, D. J. Kahan, and G. C. Carter, Mat. Sci. and Eng. 24, 1
(1976).

In addition to the three major data centers in refs. (1) to (3), there
are a large number of other centers, which will be reviewed at the
Workshop. These are compiled in Appendix A (CALPHAD members) and
Appendix B (other data centers) of Reference 9, which will be updated
for the workshop. An abbreviated, amended list, selecting some more
prominent centers of appendix B not listed above:

- L. Brewer et al., Inorganic Materials Research Div.
  University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720
  (prediction of high-temperature multi component alloy equilibria presented
  in two-dimensional Brewer projection diagrams: review of Mo binary alloy
  phase diagrams in preparation).

- Y. A. Chang et al., Materials Department
  University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI 53211
  (ternary copper alloy phase diagrams are being critically evaluated).

- K. Gschneidner, Rare Earth Information Center
  Iowa State University, Ames IA 50010
  (the Center's bibliographic files include phase equilibria, crystallographic
  and thermodynamic data of rare earth alloys; phase diagram evaluations published).

- L. Kaufman, ManLabs, Inc., Cambridge, Mass. 02139
  (ManLabs-National Physical Laboratory (U.K.) Data Bank- a computer-stored
  numerical data file of thermodynamic data made available commercially).

- L. Merrill, High Pressure Data Center
  Brigham Young University, Provo, UT 84602
  (the Center's bibliographic files include phase transformations under pressure).

- W. Moffatt, Metallurgy and Ceramics Laboratory
  General Electric Research & Development Lab., Schenectady, NY 12301
  (compilations of unevaluated binary phase diagrams, for diagrams not encountered
  in "Hansen"-Elliott-Shunk series. (ref. 4))

- G. Petzow, et al., Max-Planck-Institut für Metallforschung
  Institut für Werkstoffwissenschaften, Stuttgart-80, Busnauerstr. 175, Germany
  (handbook on ternary phase diagrams: bibliography completed; comprehensive
  handbook of data proposed).
A. Prince, Hirst Research Centre, General Electric Co. Ltd.,
East Lane, Wembley, Middlesex, HA9 7PP, England

(critical evaluation of phase diagram data on Au-based alloys is in progress).

G. Raynor, Dept. of Physical Metallurgy and Science of Materials
University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, England

(in progress: critical evaluation of ternary phase diagram data on Au-based alloys;
compilation of a bibliography on multicomponent alloy constitution)

E. Rudy, Materials Science Department, Oregon Graduate Center, Beaverton, OR 97705

(evaluated phase diagrams of refractory carbide and boride systems).

K. E. Spear, Materials Research Laboratory, Pennsylvania State University,
University Park, PA 16802

(Evaluation of metal–boron phase equilibria; predictions of undiscovered phases
using electronic structure considerations).

(9) L. H. Bennett, G. C. Carter, and D. J. Kahan, CODATA Conference, Boulder,
A paragraph taken from the submitted paper.

ORGANISATION OF PHASE DIAGRAM INFORMATION IN THE SOVIET UNION


Baikov Institute of Metallurgy
USSR Academy of Sciences
Institute of Scientific Information
Moscow

A critical overview of the phase diagram information in the Soviet Union suggests that:

(1) information on phase diagrams covers not just binary, but also ternary and more complex systems;

(2) handbooks reproduce phase diagrams from original publications without any modification;

(3) regular published information on phase diagrams of metal and oxide systems is produced;

(4) primary publications dealing with studies of phase diagrams are not concentrated in any single source, but scattered over several journals and serials;

(5) times spent on bringing information to user's notice are: through VINITI's abstract journal - for Soviet publications, 3 to 5 months; for foreign publications, 4 to 8 months; through VINITI's handbooks, three years;

(6) no works on critical review of experimental data, such as the handbook of H. Hansen et al., are available;

(7) those handbooks that are being published are sold out too soon; and

(8) despite definite phase diagram description formats sanctioned by practice, there are strict standard requirements, so that publications often lack essential data for a judgement about reliability and quality of the phase diagrams.
From data covered by handbooks, one can conclude (see Figure) that the Soviet Union holds a major place in studies of metal systems' phase diagrams.
Phase Diagrams of Materials at High Pressure

Leo Merrill
High Pressure Data Center
Brigham Young University
Provo, Utah 84601

A survey of polymorphic phase diagrams as a function of temperature and pressure is presented for the elements and simple inorganic compounds. All materials included in this survey are those for which crystallographic data are available. Phase studies of materials include the following formula types: elements (69), AB (87), AB₂ (78), AB₃ (8), ABO₂ (11), A₂B₃ (67), ABO₄ (54), and miscellaneous types (58) mainly of mineral systems or mineral analogs. The number in parentheses is the number of materials which have been tabulated in each formula type.

The preparation of high pressure phases falls into two principal categories; (1) pressure and temperature induced structural transformations, and (2) inorganic synthesis in which the product can be recovered either stably or metastably. In general, in the case of pressure induced polymorphic transformations, materials proceed to structures with higher densities and higher cation coordination. The characteristic measurements are the determinations of pressure and temperature behavior of the liquid-solid and solid-solid phase boundaries. Occasionally some of these phases may be retained metastably at atmospheric pressure. Many new compounds and polymorphs have been prepared by inorganic synthesis. In a class of compounds it is often observed that a certain structural type is found to exist up to a certain empirical radius ratio. Beyond this region, the particular structure can not be prepared under normal synthesis procedures. Due to the differential compressibilities of the component elements of the compound, it has been shown that the range of a particular structure type can be extended by high pressure synthesis. This has been repeatedly demonstrated with compounds of the rare-earth series.
The study of high pressure phases has played an important role in the basic understanding of solids. If one expands the free energy as a function of temperature and volume, it can be shown to a first approximation that the total energy of a system can be altered by the heat term which changes the population of the energy levels, or by the work term which relates a change in volume to a shift in the energy levels. The significance of pressure, then, is that it serves as a convenient method to study the volume dependence of physical properties. Pressure also has been a most important parameter in the search for new materials, notably, synthetic diamond and cubic boron nitride. The study of phases of geologic minerals with their associated physical properties have made significant contributions to the understanding of the structure of the earth's interior. Tables of crystallographic data for many of the high pressure phases are also presented.
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REVIEWS OF THE PRESENT STATUS OF PHASE DIAGRAM COMPILATION ACTIVITIES (CONTINUED) AND REVIEW OF EXPERIMENTAL PHASE DIAGRAM DETERMINATION METHODS
The need to develop computer techniques for phase diagram calculation and storage purposes arises from a number of major practical considerations. Firstly, since the volume of information is extremely great, whether phase diagrams or the thermodynamic properties of materials are concerned, computers provide a simple means of handling the data. Secondly, modern technology requires that information be provided rapidly on the equilibrium phase stability of the materials that it uses. The computer enables such data to be calculated for the operating conditions of interest. Thirdly, the problem of achieving consistency of all the available information for a particular system often requires tedious calculation procedures.

For these reasons, a certain number of data centres have already established computer programs for the storage, retrieval, and application of phase diagram information. The centres currently involved in such work and their particular areas of interest will be briefly mentioned. The basic differences in these data banks lie in the manner in which the phase diagram is reproduced. The phase boundaries themselves may be mathematically described or they may be calculated from stored thermodynamic values. Examples of both techniques applied to binary systems will be given as well as the structure of the data file. The thermodynamic approach to the calculation of phase diagrams of multicomponent systems is mainly carried out using the properties of the limiting binary systems. The numerical aspects will be discussed and illustrated for two ternary systems.
Experimental Techniques in Phase Diagram Determination
- An Overview -

F. N. Rhines
Department of Materials Science and Engineering
University of Florida
Gainsville, Fla. 32611

In his book, "Phase Diagrams in Metallurgy" (1), Professor Rhines introduces his chapter "Determination of Phase Diagrams" with the following paragraph:

"The modest collection of phase diagrams of metal systems that is now available to us is the product of the painstaking labor of a very large number of skillful investigators working in all parts of the world during the past three-quarters of a century. It is a constantly growing body of literature, improving both in the extent of its coverage and in the precision of its content. Few, if any, diagrams may be considered complete and final. Repeated investigation, with refinements in apparatus and techniques, leads to their frequent revision. Much of this refinement has been achieved through the modification and adaptation of a few basic research methods to fit the special requirements of specific alloy systems. It is not feasible, therefore, to present a collection of the "best" methods of investigation (no method is "best" for all systems), nor is it feasible to give a standardized procedure for any one method. It is possible, however, to outline the basic methods from which most of the specialized techniques are derived and to state those principles of investigation which are common to all cases. For detailed guidance the investigator must, of necessity, turn to the research literature, because the specialized problems met in constitutional studies are almost as numerous as are the alloy systems themselves."


Professor Rhines will review the basic classical methods and several refinements, as well as some new approaches to experimental phase diagram determinations in his tutorial talk.
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TOPIC I

PHASE DIAGRAM COMPILATION ACTIVITIES
Proposal for a Comprehensive Handbook on "Ternary Phase Diagrams of Metals"

F. Aldinger, E.-Th. Henig, H.L. Lukas and G. Petzow,
Max-Planck-Institut für Metallforschung,
Institut für Werkstoffwissenschaften,
D7-Stuttgart-80, Büsnauerstr. 175

Today, constitutional data of ternary systems are available on a large scale, but they are scattered over a large number of different reports, journals and books. In order to use this enormous amount of knowledge more extensively, our Institute together with the Gmelin Institute has proposed to publish a comprehensive handbook on ternary phase diagrams.

For a proposal on this subject we prepared a literature survey covering constitutional work carried out before 1974. This preliminary index comprises about 4000 references on about 1500 ternary systems of metals.

A rough estimate shows that about 400 systems are fully investigated, and about 600 are covered fairly well. Of the remaining 500 systems constitutional data are available only on a rather limited scale. According to our concept the book will extend to about 6000 pages!

We are not going into details of organization and costs of this project, but we will discuss the content of the handbook as well as the kind of presentation of the systems and diverse nomenclatures. The content of the handbook includes a brief presentation of fundamentals of the heterogeneous phase equilibria together with a proper nomenclature, enabling to "read" the ternary phase diagrams which will be presented in the alphabetical order of the chemical symbols. In addition to this the binaries which provide the basis for the ternary diagrams should be listed in an appendix. For this appendix a critical evaluation of the available data is necessary to derive definite diagrams. That means mainly to summarize data of the well-known books of Hansen and Anderko as well as of the two supplements of Elliott and Shunk.
In order to discuss the format of presentation of the ternaries we prepared three examples each representing one of the three classes of systems mentioned above. The list of contents includes:

1. A brief critical review of the work which has been published in the literature
2. Ternary intermetallic phases
3. Invarieq equilibria
4. Liquid surface
5. Isothermal sections
6. Solid solutions
7. Miscellaneous (e.g. ordering, isopleths, etc.).

For this comprehensive work we wish to solicitate the cooperation of other institutes and organizations with experience in special groups of alloys. These institutions should contribute to the publication by critically reviewing special phase diagram data.
WO₃-Containing Binary Oxide Systems
Luke L. Y. Chang
Department of Geology, Miami University, Oxford, Ohio

The purpose of this review is to summarize phase relations in WO₃-containing systems and to present the compilation of phase diagrams according to the types of chemical compounds. Fourteen tungstate groups are selected for discussion and their phase relations are presented.

For the alkali tungstates, in addition to the 1:1 and 1:2 (oxide/WO₃ ratio) compounds, which are common to all systems, 1:3 and 1:6 compounds exist in the systems of K, Rb, and Cs, and the 1:4 compound is stable in the systems of Li, Na, and K. There is a tendency in their crystal structures for the polyhedral WO₆/WO₄ ratio to increase from 1:1 to 1:6 compounds. In the alkaline earth oxide - WO₃ systems, the number of tungstates formed increases with the increase in the size of the divalent cation. The Be system is a simple eutectic, the Mg system has a 1:1 compound with the wolframite-type structure, and Ca, Sr, and Ba systems have both 1:1 and 1:3 compounds with, respectively, the scheelite-type and (NH₄)₃FeF₆-type structures.

All rare earth oxide systems have 1:1 and 1:3 tungstates. In the systems of large rare earths (La - Sm), tungstates of other ratios also exist. The 1:3 compounds have crystal structures closely related to the scheelite-type. The actinide oxide - WO₃ systems are represented by the formation of a 1:2 compound in the Th system and a 1:1 compound in the U system.

A 1:2 compound characterizes both ZrO₂-WO₃ and HfO₂-WO₃ systems, and its crystal structure is related to the wolframite-type. No titanium tungstates have been reported.

In the V₂O₅-WO₃ system, only a 1:1 compound has been reported, but a great number of compounds are known in the systems of Nb₂O₅ and Ta₂O₅. These are 30:1, 6:1, 7:3, 8:5, 9:8, 4:9, 2:7, 6:11, 1:11, 1:15 in the Nb system, and 41:4, 15:2, 41:8, 67:14, 89:22, 37:10, 59:18, 81:26, 11:4, 1:1 in the Ta system.

The formation of a 1:1 compound has been reported in the MoO₃-WO₃ system, but its existence is definitely in question. No study has been made for the system CrO₃-WO₃, but a
l:1 compound forms between Cr$_2$O$_3$ and WO$_3$ and has a tri-rutile structure.

Phase relations of WO$_3$ with FeO, MnO, NiO, and CoO are characterized by the formation of the wolframite-type (l:1) compound. In addition, a l:1 compound is also present in the Fe$_2$O$_3$-WO$_3$ system. In the CuO-WO$_3$ system, two compounds, l:1 and 1:3, have been reported, and a 2:1 compound was produced in the oxidation of WC on copper plate at temperatures below 610°C. CuWO$_4$ has a distorted wolframite-type structure.

Tungstates of the Group IIB elements form l:1 wolframite-type compounds, although all but ZnWO$_4$ show distortion.

The formation of a number of boron tungstates such as 3:1, l:1, and 1:3, has been reported, but their stabilities have not been confirmed. In both Al$_2$O$_3$-WO$_3$ and In$_2$O$_3$-WO$_3$ systems, the 1:3 compounds are known, and they are isostructural with Sc$_2$W$_3$O$_12$. No gallium tungstate has been reported.

Tungstates of Group IVA elements are known only in the systems of tin and lead oxides where the cations are in their divalent state. SnWO$_4$ is dimorphic with an orthorhombic low-temperature form and a cubic high-temperature form. Two lead compounds, l:1 and 1:2, have been reported. The l:1 compound has the scheelite structure.

A l:1 compound is known in the P$_2$O$_5$-WO$_3$ system, and no arsenic tungstate has been reported. Other members of the Group VA elements, Sb and Bi, show a trivalent state in their tungstate formation. The Bi$_2$O$_3$-WO$_3$ system has four compounds, 7:1, 7:2, l:1, and 1:2, and the Sb$_2$O$_3$-WO$_3$ system has a l:1 compound.

Phase relations in the system TeO$_2$-WO$_3$ have been studied, but no compound has been reported.
RIC IN PHASE WITH RARE-EARTH CONSTITUTIONAL DIAGRAMS

K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., M. E. Verkade and B. L. Evans

Rare-Earth Information Center (RIC)
Energy and Mineral Resources Research Institute
Iowa State University
Ames, Iowa 50010

Background

The Rare-Earth Information Center (RIC) was established at the Ames Laboratory by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission's Division of Technical Information in 1966 and transferred to Iowa State University's Energy and Mineral Resources Research Institute (formerly Institute for Atomic Research) in 1968 with funding provided through grants from world-wide rare earth industries. The Center serves the scientific and technical community by collecting, storing, evaluating and disseminating rare earth information with particular emphasis on the physical metallurgy and solid state physics of the rare earth metals and their alloys.

Data Base

All available resources including books, journals, reports, conference proceedings, etc. are canvassed by the Center's technically trained staff of three (1 full time and 2 part time). Rapid and flexible retrieval of stored information is provided via a computerized system utilizing over 7000 keyword descriptors plus author indexing. Keyword descriptors are assigned following an examination of the original document rather than relying on only the title or abstract as do some indexing systems. Conversion of the information base from manually sorted punched cards to computer-read magnetic tape, begun in 1973, is about three-fourths completed and includes most of the approximately 12,000 journal articles held by the Center.

Publications

Utilizing this extensive information base, the Center engages in various publishing activities in addition to providing specialized literature searches on request. The RIC News, a quarterly newsletter containing items of current interest in science and technology of the rare earths, is distributed free to over 3550 subscribers in the U.S. and abroad. State-of-the-art reviews, bibliographies and data compilations, prepared and published by RIC, have included a survey of rare earth metals in steel (IS-RIC-4), compilations of thermochemical data for rare earth compounds (IS-RIC-5 and IS-RIC-6), and a critical review of selected cerium binary phase diagrams (IS-RIC-7). These reports are currently available free from the Center or the sponsoring industry, Molycorp, Inc., which
funded the study. Distribution of the reports is aided by publication announcements placed in appropriate scientific and trade journals, periodic notices in the RIC News and inclusion in abstracting journals.

**Evaluation of Constitutional Diagrams**

The staff of RIC has been involved over the past fifteen years with critical evaluation of phase equilibria, crystallographic and thermodynamic data on rare earth materials. The major effort has been primarily concerned with metallic systems. The evaluations on constitutional diagrams and crystal structures have been published as books, review articles or reports.

- "Rare Earth Intermetallic Compounds" by O. D. McMasters and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., Nuclear Metallurgy **10**, 93-158 (1964).
- IS-RIC-7 "Selected Cerium Phase Diagrams" by K. A. Gschneidner, Jr. and M. E. Verkade (September 1974) 50 pp.

In addition to the above efforts the staff has also been involved in evaluating and estimating thermodynamic data for rare earth materials which are of special interest to the preparation and utilization of common metals, such as steel, ductile iron, superalloys, etc. These data compilations include:

Phase Diagrams and Thermodynamic Properties of Ternary Copper Alloy Systems

by

Y. Austin Chang 
Joachim P. Neumann 
U. V. Choudary

Materials Department 
College of Engineering and Applied Science 
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee 
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201

Phase diagram and thermodynamic data for copper alloy systems are of considerable fundamental and practical interest in many aspects of extractive, chemical, and physical metallurgy. These data have been reported for binary copper alloy systems in a critical evaluation by Hultgren and Desai [1], which forms one of the monographs in the series, "The Metallurgy of Copper", sponsored by the International Copper Research Association (INCRA). Since commercial alloys usually consist of more than two components, it is imperative to extend the work of Hultgren and Desai [1] to ternary copper alloy systems. At the suggestion of Dr. L. McDonald Schetky of INCRA, a project to compile and evaluate phase diagrams and thermodynamic properties of ternary copper alloy systems was initiated in 1972 at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee. A total of 38 elements in addition to copper was considered for evaluation. These 38 elements are Ag, Al, As, Au, B, Be, Bi, C, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Fe, Ge, Hg, In, Mg, Mn, Mo, Nb, Ni, O, P, Pb, Pd, Pt, Re, S, Se, Si, Sn, Ta, Te, Ti, V, W, and Zn. The non-metallic elements O and S are included because of the technological importance of ternary systems containing one of these two elements. A simple calculation shows that all combinations of these 38 elements yields a
total of 703 ternary copper alloy systems. A literature search mainly based on Chemical Abstracts through June 1973 indicated that data were available for roughly 500 ternary copper alloy systems. In view of the rather large number of systems and in view of the fact that some of these systems are of less commercial interest, the total number of systems for inclusion in this project was reduced to 181. As of October, 1976, a total of 85 ternary copper alloy systems has been completed. These 85 systems are:

(1) 20 Cu-Ag-X systems where X represents Al, Au, Cd, Fe, Ge, In, Mg, Mn, Ni, P, Pb, Pd, Re, S, Sb, Se, Sn, Te, Ti, or Zn;
(2) 17 Cu-Al-X systems where X represents Be, Bi, Cd, Ce, Co, Cr, Fe, Ge, In, Nb, Ni, Pb, Pd, S, Si, Ta, or V;
(3) 7 Cu-Au-X systems where X represents Co, Fe, Ni, Pd, Sn, or Zn;
(4) 2 Cu-B-X systems with X being either Ni or S;
(5) 8 Cu-Be-X systems where X represents Co, Mg, Mn, Ni, Si, Sn, Ta, or Ti;
(6) 3 Cu-Ca-X systems with X being Ge, Mg, or Si;
(7) 1 Cu-Cd-S System;
(8) 8 Cu-Co-X systems where X represents Cr, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pd, Si, Sn, or Zn;
(9) 7 Cu-Cr-X systems where X represents Fe, Mn, Nb, Ni, Pd, Ta or Ti;
(10) 1 Cu-Ga-S system;
(11) 1 Cu-Ge-S system;
(12) 1 Cu-Mn-S system;
(13) 1 Cu-Mo-S system;
(14) 1 Cu-Nb-S system;
(15) 1 Cu-Pd-Pt system;
(16) 1 Cu-Re-S system;
(17) 4 Cu-S-X systems with X being Si, Ta, Ti or V;
and (18) 1 Cu-Ta-V system.

They contain a total of 166 diagrams (liquidus projections, isothermal sections, isopleths and thermodynamic properties) and a total of 16 general references and 360 specific references.

Reference

Some 40 elements react with oxygen to form a series of compounds in which the element appears in more than one valence state. These include most of the transition elements, a group loosely referred to as the Rydberg elements, in which one of the stable valence states is formed by the creation of a nonbonding lone-pair electron system, a few of the lanthanide elements, and most of the actinide elements. The objectives are to compile a complete set of phase diagrams for all variable valence element-oxygen systems. This is accomplished by assembling the usually fragmentary diagrams from the literature into a single internally consistent (which does not necessarily mean "accurate") diagram and by using thermochemical calculations where possible to estimate missing parts of the phase diagram.

The systems under study are tabulated in the table. Three types of systems can be distinguished: (i) those in which the solid phases are refractory and in which the oxygen fugacity in the vapor phase is low, so that equilibria can be represented by mapping solid-vapor equilibria as fugacity lines on the T-X diagram that shows the SS and SL equilibria; (ii) systems in which the oxygen vapor pressure is large, so that the effect of pressure on SS and SL equilibria must be considered, and (iii) systems in which one or more oxides is volatile becomes an important constituent of the vapor phase in some temperature-pressure regime. Systems of type (i) are listed as "f-T-X" and of types (ii) and (iii) as "P-T-X" diagrams in the table. For those systems whose status is "+++", enough data are available to prepare nearly complete diagrams including melting relations, solid-vapor equilibria, and compositions of nonstoichiometric compounds as a function of oxygen activity. Systems listed as "++" are less complete and for systems listed "+" there are only fragmentary data.
### Status of Variable Valence Element-Oxygen

#### Binary Phase Diagrams

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Type of Representation</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>System</th>
<th>Type of Representation</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transition Element Systems</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Rydberg Systems</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti-O</td>
<td>f-T-X</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>Pt-O</td>
<td>P-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-O</td>
<td>f-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Au-O</td>
<td>P-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr-O</td>
<td>P-T-X</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>As-O</td>
<td>P-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn-O</td>
<td>f-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Sn-O</td>
<td>f-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-O</td>
<td>f-T-X</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>Sb-O</td>
<td>P-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-O</td>
<td>f-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Tl-O</td>
<td>f-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-O</td>
<td>P-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Pb-O</td>
<td>P-T-X</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu-O</td>
<td>f-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Bi-O</td>
<td>P-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb-O</td>
<td>f-T-X</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td><strong>Lanthanide Systems</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo-O</td>
<td>f-T-X</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>Ce-O</td>
<td>P-T-X</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tc-O</td>
<td>P-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Pr-O</td>
<td>P-T-X</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-O</td>
<td>P-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Eu-O</td>
<td>f-T-X</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh-O</td>
<td>P-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Tb-O</td>
<td>f-T-X</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd-O</td>
<td>0-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
<td><strong>Actinide Systems</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag-O</td>
<td>P-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>U-O</td>
<td>f-T-X</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta-O</td>
<td>f-T-X</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>Np-O</td>
<td>f-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-O</td>
<td>f-T-X</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>Pu-O</td>
<td>f-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-O</td>
<td>P-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Am-O</td>
<td>f-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os-O</td>
<td>P-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Cm-O</td>
<td>f-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir-O</td>
<td>P-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Bk-O</td>
<td>f-T-X</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Compilation of phase equilibria data of interest to ceramists is continuing, in accordance with the pattern established by the 1975 supplement to "Phase Diagrams for Ceramists". This latest supplement includes critical commentaries discussing preparation of starting materials, experimental methods, characterization of products, accuracy and precision of data and of diagram. The following experts in various fields are serving as Contributing Editors for the 1978 Supplement:

- J. J. Brown, Virginia Polytechnic Inst.
  Fluoride containing systems
- L. L. Y. Chang, Miami Univ.
  Tungstates, molybdates, carbonates
- R. C. DeVries, General Electric Co.
  High pressure studies
- F. P. Glasser, Univ. Aberdeen
  Alkali oxide containing systems
- F. A. Hummel, Pennsylvania State Univ.
  Sulfides, phosphates
- K. A. Jack, Univ. Newcastle Upon Tyne
  Nitrides, oxynitrides
- A. Muan, Pennsylvania State Univ.
  First row transition metal oxide containing systems
- C. Semlar, Ohio State Univ.
  Anhydrous silicate - oxide systems
- C. A. Sorrell, Univ. Missouri-Rolla
  Aqueous salt systems
- K. Stern, Naval Research Laboratory
  Binary systems with halides only
- R. Thoma, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
  Fused salts
- D. R. Wilder and M. Berard, Iowa State Univ.
  R.E. oxide bearing systems
- H. S. Yoder, Jr., Carnegie Inst. Washington
  Hydrous silicate systems, high pressure silicate studies
Scientists at NBS (Cook, Roth and Negas) with the help of the American Ceramic Society (Cleek, Smith and others) are assuming much of the responsibility for gathering the data from the literature and coordinating the preparation of evaluations and final editing of diagrams.

Coverage according to chemical system for the various editions is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metal-oxygen systems</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>~205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal oxide systems</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>~655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems with oxygen containing radicals</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>~120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems with halides only</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>~365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems containing halides with other substances</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>~160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems containing cyanides, sulfides, etc.</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>~140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems containing water</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>~250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results of a questionnaire are being analyzed to determine user interest as a function of chemical system and user opinion regarding the critical commentaries, in order to guide the preparation of future editions.
The NBS Crystal-Data Center abstracts and critically evaluates crystallographic data. For a substance to be included in the crystal-data file, cell parameters must be reported for the material. These parameters are determined primarily by powder and single-crystal x-ray diffraction. Crystallographic data results from a variety of disciplines and, consequently, is taken from over 300 scientific journals. The total number of crystalline compounds now in the file is over 40,000.

The output of the project includes:

1. **Crystal Data Determinative Tables:** Used to identify crystalline materials via the ratios of the cell parameters. The most recent volume published is the third edition. A supplement to the third edition will be issued in 1977.

2. **Crystal Data Space-Group Tables:** Materials are arranged by space group. These tables can be used to identify materials of any given space group or symmetry, and to identify isostructural materials. These tables will be published in the Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data in the Spring of 1977.

3. **NBS Magnetic Tape #9:** This tape is an abbreviated version of the third edition of Crystal Data and contains cell, space group, density, formula, and determinative ratios. As supplements to the third edition are published the tape will be updated.

4. **Component of CIS (Chemical Information System) which is being set up by NIH/EPA:** The crystal-data file will be in the CIS system along with the powder-data file, the Cambridge structural file, the mass spectroscopy file and other scientific data bases. These files are to be used primarily in the identification of unknown crystalline materials.

A principal use of the cell and chemical data is the identification of unknown crystalline materials. Several recent developments give great promise for the identification of unknown materials via single-crystal work. They include the growth of the data base, advances in lattice theory, and automation of the single-crystal x-ray diffractometer. To identify an unknown, one can start with a single crystal, mount it on a diffractometer, determine a refined primitive cell, reduce the cell, and check against a file of known reduced cells. The entire procedure can be automated. As a result, the single-crystal x-ray diffraction method can now complement the powder method for the routine analysis of crystalline materials.
A major objective of the NBS Crystal-Data Center is to establish close ties with other data efforts with common interests and to coordinate our data evaluation with these centers (e.g. Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards, Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, The Chemical Information System).


2 For information about the tape and its lease, contact the National Technical Information Service (NTIS), Department of Commerce, 528 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22151.

DEMONSTRATION: The NBS magnetic tape #9 described under item 3 of this abstract will be accessed in an accompanying demonstration of "On-Line Data Retrieval and Analysis Systems Such as OMNIDATA", by B. B. Molino and J. Hilsenrath, NBS.
Knowledge of the structure of materials is important in understanding several industrially significant phenomena and applications such as aging, hardness, occurrence of brittle intermetallic compounds, magnetic transition temperatures, high-temperature solubility of impurities, corrosion resistance, solid electrolytes and non-crystalline solids, as well as many other physical properties. The study of a phase diagram appropriate to a particular material can often provide information important to its scientific and technical applications. In recent years it has become increasingly clear that the need for reliable phase diagrams far exceeds the availability, and the Alloy Data Center is now addressing this problem in some detail.

As a result of this need, our present workshop has been organized, with as goals: to assess the current national and international status of phase diagram determinations and evaluations for alloys, ceramics and semiconductors; to determine the needs and priorities for phase diagram determinations and evaluations; and to estimate the resources being used and potentially available for phase diagram evaluation.

Currently, several data centers throughout the world are engaged in collecting, critically evaluating, and disseminating phase diagram data. The Alloy Data Center plans to interact with these groups and hopes to coordinate some of their activities in order to help avoid costly and time consuming duplication of efforts, as well as to identify areas of greatest needs and recommending programs in these areas. In order to achieve these objectives, the Center has already prepared a compendium of existing phase diagram compilations and other reference material\(^{(1)}\) and a list of existing phase diagram evaluation groups (both data
centers and groups involved in CALPHAD-type computational techniques\textsuperscript{(2)}. Updated lists with this information will be available at the workshop, as well as some results from a study on phase diagram data activities and data needs conducted via a questionnaire sent out to participants in this workshop.

The Alloy Data Center plans to critically evaluate phase diagrams in specific areas, and has started with metal-hydrogen systems applied to a hydrogen storage. Assistance in phase diagram evaluations carried out by other groups-is planned through creating a central computerized bibliographic file with on-line capabilities; development of an on-line system using graphical methods for the numerical phase diagram data is also planned for user accessibility.

In general, the Alloy Data Center maintains an awareness of about 150 physical properties in metals and alloys, evaluating only those properties for which a special need exists and for which qualified researchers are employed in the Alloy Physics Section, in which the Alloy Data Center is located. In the past, critical compilations in soft x-ray spectroscopy\textsuperscript{(3)} and nuclear magnetic resonance\textsuperscript{(4)} were prepared (the latter including some 800 binary phase diagrams). Consequently, our current bibliographic files\textsuperscript{(5)}, which contain nearly all papers on the first two subjects published up to recent years are not being kept up-to-date, while papers on phase diagrams are being annotated and entered at an increased rate for production of a comprehensive bibliographic file. This file is expected to become quite large and warrants modifications of our current computerized system to an on-line system which can be queried from terminals located at user sites. The above-mentioned development of graphical methods for numerical phase diagram data handling is a separate goal in numerical data dissemination.

At the poster session, an exhibit of several of the phase diagram reference books, of the current annotated indexing system, and of some preliminary graphical techniques for phase diagram display will be presented.


DEMONSTRATION: A demonstration "Alloy Data Center Graphical Methods" by R. A. Kirsch and L. J. Swartsendruber, NBS, will be carried on during the poster session.
Phase Equilibria in Cryolite Systems

Jesse J. Brown, Jr.
Department of Materials Engineering
College of Engineering
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
Blacksburg, Virginia 24061

The electrolyte used in the Hall process for producing aluminum metal consists basically of a fused mixture of alumina (Al$_2$O$_3$) and cryolite (Na$_3$AlF$_6$). Other ingredients including AlF$_3$, LiF, and CaF$_2$ are added to modify properties such as bath density, electrical conductivity, and freezing temperature. The electrolyte composition now used was determined largely by trial and error because of the incompleteness of available phase equilibria data.

For the past five years, a research program jointly sponsored by the Alcoa Foundation and the VPI&SU Research Division has been underway in the Materials Engineering Department at VPI&SU. This program was designed to critically review the existing phase equilibria data on systems involving cryolite and to expand upon this body of knowledge by determining the liquidus-solidus phase relationships in a portion of the LiF-CaF$_2$-AlF$_3$-NaF-Al$_2$O$_3$ system.

Historically a variety of experimental techniques have been employed to determine the phase diagrams of systems important to the Hall process. Many of the early experiments were conducted using open containers. This practice resulted in the publication of some inaccurate phase diagrams because of the decomposition of many of the fluoride compounds at high temperatures. In more recent years, it has become standard procedure to encapsulate all samples in sealed platinum containers. Quenching and Differential Thermal Analysis (DTA) experiments
using samples sealed in the platinum containers have been used to revise
some of the early phase diagrams and to investigate new systems.

In this paper, the phase diagrams that are known in the LiF-CaF$_2$-
AlF$_3$-NaF-Al$_2$O$_3$ system are reviewed in a systematic manner and the
diagrams that have been determined at this University over the past
five years are included. The result is a current review of the phase
equilibria knowledge of cryolite containing oxy-fluoride systems of
importance to the aluminum industry.
Краткие сведения
о содержании третьего, четвертого и пятым томов труда
А.Э. Вол и И.К. Каган "Строение и свойства двойных металлических систем" и методах работы по их составлению.

Во всех томах принят единый принцип расположения материала, а именно, как металлы, так и образующие их двойные системы располагаются в алфавитном порядке по их наименованиям на русском языке. При этом для каждой системы, в зависимости от степени ее изученности, приводятся следующие данные:

1. Диаграмма состояния и кристаллическая структура;
2. Физические и механические свойства (термодинамические, механические, электрические, термоэлектрические и магнитные свойства, поверхностное натяжение и вязкость, упругость паров, плотность, теплоемкость, теплопроводность, термическое расширение);
3. Химические свойства (коррозионная стойкость в атмосферных условиях, а также в различных агрессивных средах и в газах, стойкость против окисления при высоких температурах);
4. Список использованной литературы.

Авторы уделяют очень большое внимание вопросу более полного использования, имеющихся в литературе данных по каждой рассма-
триваемой системе. При написании третьего тома, в который вошли системы золота, индия, палладия, иттербия и иттрия, были использо-
ваны данные, опубликованные по 1970-71 гг. включительно. При под-
готовке материалов для 4-го тома, в который войдут системы кад- 
мия, калия и кальция, используются данные, опубликованные по 
1973-74 гг. включительно. Для составления 5-го тома, в который 
войдут системы кислорода, кобальта и кремния, будут использованы 
литературные данные, опубликованные по 1975-76 гг. включительно.

В третьем томе (объем ~70 печатных листов), выход которого из печати ожидается в ноябре-декабре 1976 г., приводятся данные
по 288 двойным системам, в том числе для 116 систем приведены диаграммы состояния во всей области концентраций и сделан подробный обзор свойств сплавов; для 23 систем приведены диаграммы состояния не во всей области концентраций и менее подробное описание свойств; сведения о растворимости в жидком и твердом состояниях, составе промежуточных фаз с описанием в ряде случаев отдельных свойств, приведены для 149 двойных систем.

При построении диаграмм состояния предпочтение отдавалось данным, полученным при исследовании наиболее чистых сплавов с одновременным применением нескольких современных методов физико-химического анализа. В тех случаях, когда имеющихся в распоряжении авторов данных было недостаточно для однозначного решения, в книгу помещены два варианта одной и той же диаграммы состояния с указанием литературных данных, использованных для построения каждой из них (см. например системы Au-In, Au-Pt, In-Mg, In-Na, In-Tl, I2-Tl).

Объем использованной в 3-м томе литературы можно иллюстрировать такими примерами: при рассмотрении системы Au-Cu использовано 345, системы In-Sb-214, системы Au-As-162 литературных источников. Таким образом, только для этих трех систем использовано более 700 литературных источников.

В 4-м томе (объем около 50 печатных листов) рассмотрено 163 системы. В этом томе для 54 систем приведены диаграммы состояния во всей области составов и сделан подробный обзор свойств сплавов. Для остальных 109 систем приведены сведения о взаимной растворимости компонентов системы и (или) составе образуемых ими промежуточных фаз с описанием в ряде случаев свойств сплавов.

Объем 5-го тома предполагается ~ 50 печатных листов с рассмотрением около 140 систем.

В качестве основных материалов для написания перечисленных
Выше трудов авторы используют свою обширную картотеку, начало которой было положено одним из них (А. Т. Вол) еще в 1931 г., когда подготовлялись к изданию книги "Цинк и его сплавы" (Стандартгиз, 1933 г.), "Никель и его сплавы" (Цветметиздат, 1932 г.) и "Кремнистые бронзы и их промышленное применение" (Объедин. научно-технич. изд-во, 1935 г.). Эта картотека продолжала непрерывно пополняться по новым литературным источникам и в настоящее время включает в себя картотеки по литературным данным по 1973—1974 г. г. исключительно. Каждая карточка является подробным рефератом с приложением графиков и таблиц оригинального источника по двойным системам и содержит данные, используемые авторами в своих трудах при описании той или иной системы. Карточки состоят, а также заслуживающих доверия компиляция (например книги Кансена, Эллиотта и Шенка по двойным системам), имеющие в них ссылки на оригинальные работы, используются как библиографические данные для составления подробной карточки по первоисточникам. Это обусловлено тем, что в упомянутых работах приводятся только диаграммы состояния и нет никаких данных по свойствам сплавов, исследования которых послужили основанием для построения этих диаграмм. В случае невозможности ознакомления с первоисточниками — используяются данные компилятивных работ с ссылками как на первоисточник, так и на компилятивную работу.

Выбор статей для составления подробных карточек производится:

1. путем систематического просмотра целого ряда журналов, издаваемых в СССР и в других странах (перечень журналов см. ниже);
2. путем систематического просмотра Metallurgical Abstracts in Journal Institute of Metals (London);
3. путем систематического просмотра реферативных журналов "Металлургия" и "Химия", издаваемых в СССР.
Классификация карточек в картотеке полностью отвечает принятой для издания трудов. Каждому элементу периодической системы Д.И. Менделеева присвоен порядковый номер, соответствующий его расположению по наименованию в русском алфавите, а бинарная система обозначается дробным числом, состоящим из порядковых номеров обоих входящих в нее элементов. По этой классификации, например, азот обозначен цифрой 1, алюминий — цифрой 3, занадий — цифрой 7, а сплав систем: азот-алюминий — дробным числом 1/3, алюминий — занадий — 3/7, азот — занадий — 1/7 и т.д. Такая нумерация карточек дает возможность быстро рассортировать их и расположить в картотеке в той последовательности, в какой описываются системы.

Перечень систематически просматриваемых журналов:
1. Атомная энергия,
2. Доклады Академии Наук СССР,
3. Журнал неорганической химии,
4. Журнал прикладной химии,
5. Журнал структурной химии,
6. Журнал физической химии,
7. Известия Академии Наук СССР. Неорганические материалы,
8. Известия Академии Наук СССР. Металлы,
9. Известия Высших учебных заведений. Цветная металлургия,
10. Кристаллография,
11. Металловедение и термическая обработка металлов,
12. Украинский химический журнал,
13. Физика металлов и металловедение,
14. Acta Crystallographica,
15. Acta Metallurgica,
17. Journ. Less-Common Metals,
18. Journ. Institute of Metals,
I9. Transactions ASM,
20. Transactions AIME (Trans. Metallurg. Soc. AIME),
21. Metallurgical Transactions c 1970,
22. Zeitschrift für anorganische Chemie,
23. Zeitschrift für Kristallographie,

Brief Summary

The 3rd, 4th, and 5th volumes of the "Handbook of Binary Metallic Systems" and the Methods of compilation & editing.

by A. E. Vol & E. K. Kagan
Leningrad, USSR
In all of the volumes a single principle of organizing the material was adhered to; namely, both metals, as well as the binary systems which these metals form, were arranged in alphabetical order in accordance with their chemical symbols in the Russian language. At the same time for each system, depending on the degree to which the system was studied, the following data were cited:

1. Phase Diagram and Crystal Structures;
2. Physical and Mechanical Properties (Thermodynamic, Mechanical, Electrical, Thermoelectric and Magnetic Properties, Surface Tension and Viscosity, Vapor Pressure, Density, Heat Capacity, Thermoconductivity, and Thermal expansion);
3. Mechanical Properties (Corrosion Stability under atmospheric conditions, as well as in various other corrosive media and gasses, Oxidation Stability at elevated temperatures);
4. Enumeration of bibliography which was used.

The authors devote extremely great attention to the question of fuller utilization of data which are available in the literature on each of the considered systems. In writing the third volume, which contained systems of Au, In, Ir, Yb, and Y, data were utilized which were published until 1970-1971 inclusively. In preparation of the materials for the fourth volume which included Cd, K, and Ca systems, data were utilized which were published until 1973-1974 inclusively. In compilation of the fifth volume, which contains O, Co, and Si systems, literature data published until 1975-1976 inclusively were utilized.
The third volume (amounting to approximately 70 printed pages), publication of which is expected to be in Nov.-Dec. 1976*, the data are cited on 288 binary systems, including phase diagrams over the entire composition range and detailed studies of the properties for 116 systems; for 23 systems phase diagrams are provided, but not over the entire composition range and the descriptions of the properties are less detailed; information on the solubility in liquid and solid states, composition of the intermediate phases with the description in a number of cases of individual properties are given for 149 binary systems.

In constructing the phase diagrams the preference was given for data which were obtained with the study of the purest alloys with simultaneous use of several modern methods of physico-chemical analysis. In those cases where the data available to the authors were insufficient for a single-valued decision, two variations were included in the book for the same phase diagram indicating the literature data which were utilized for the construction of each of these (see for example the following systems: Au-In, Au-Pu, In-Mg, In-Na, In-Tl, Ir-Ti).

The scope of literature utilized in the third volume can be illustrated with the following example: in considering the Au-Cu system, 345 literature sources were used, for In-Sb system 214 sources were used, while for Au-Ag system, 162 sources were utilized. Thus, for these three systems only, more than 700 literature sources were utilized.

In the fourth volume (approximated 50 printed pages) 163 systems are considered. Phase diagrams are provided over the whole composition range and a detailed review of the properties of 51 alloy systems is made. For the remaining 109 systems, information is given on the mutual solubility of the components of the systems and/or composition of the intermediate phases which are formed by the component metals along with a description of the properties of the alloys in a number of cases.

The fifth volume is expected to be approximately 50 printed pages and to contain approximately 140 systems.

*Translator's note: has been published by "Nauka", Moscow, 1976.
The basic materials which were used for compilation of the above cited work were the extensive card file of the authors, which was initiated by one of the authors (A. E. Vol) back in 1931, when the books "Zinc and Its Alloys" ("Standartgiz" Publishing House, 1933), "Nickel and Its Alloys" ("Tsvetmetizdat" Publishing House, 1932) and "Silicon Bronzes and Their Industrial Applications" ("Ob'Yedin. Nauchno-Tkhnich." Publishing House, 1935) were being prepared for publication. This card file was continuously updated from new literature sources and at present includes literature data up to 1973-1974 inclusively. Each card is a detailed reference with the attached graph and tables of the original source on the binary systems and contains data which are utilized by authors in their work in describing these various systems. The cards are compiled only from the original sources. Only in those cases, when reliable compilations are available (for example, the books by Hansen, Elliott, and Shunk on binary systems), the references contained in those books to the original works were utilized as bibliographic data for the compilation of a detailed card file on primary sources. This results from the fact that in the above works only phase diagrams are given and there are no data on the properties of alloys, the studies of which served as the basis for the construction of these phase diagrams. In a case when it is not possible to become familiar with the primary source, the data of compiled works are utilized with references both to the primary source as well as to the compilation utilized.

Choice of articles for compilation of the detailed card file is performed as follows:

1. By systematic review of the whole series of journals which are published in the USSR and other countries (for a list of journals, see below);
2. By systematic review of the Metallurgical Abstracts in the Journal of Institute of Metals (London);
3. By systematic search of the reference journals ("Referativni Zhurnal") "Metallurgiya" and "Khimiya", published in the USSR.

The classification of cards in the card file corresponds completely to the format used in publishing the work. An ordinal number is given to each of the elements of the periodic system of elements of D. I. Mendeleev, which corresponds to its alphabetical position in a list of chemical symbols in the Russian language, and binary systems are denoted by fractional numbers, which consist of both ordinal numbers of the elements which comprise the system. In accordance with this classification, for example, nitrogen
is designated by 1, Aluminum by 3, Vanadium by 7, and the alloys of the systems: nitrogen-aluminum by 1/3, aluminum-vanadium by 3/7, nitrogen-vanadium by 1/7, etc. Such numbering of cards enables one to quickly sort them and place them into the card file in the sequence which corresponds to the description of the system.

The list of journals which are systematically searched:

1. Atomnaya Energiya (Atomic Energy),
2. Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR (reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR),
3. Zhurnal Neorganicheskoy Khimii (Journal of Inorganic Chemistry),
4. Zhurnal Prikladnoy Khimii (Journal of Applied Chemistry),
5. Zhurnal Strukturoy Khimii (Journal of Structural Chemistry),
6. Zhurnal Fizicheskoy Khimii (Journal of Physical Chemistry),
7. Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSR. Neorganicheskiye Materialy (News of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Inorganic Materials),
8. Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR. Metally (News of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Metals),
10. Kristallografiya (Crystallography),
11. Metallovedeniya i Termicheskaya Obrabotka Metallov (Metal Science and the Thermal Treatment of Metals),
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EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES IN PHASE
DIAGRAM DETERMINATIONS
The Determination of Phase Diagrams for Liquid Oxides and Metallurgical Slags by Hot-Wire Microscopy

by

H. Alan Fine

Dept. of Metallurgical Eng.
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85718

Hot-wire microscopy has been used successfully to determine the liquidus surfaces for many oxide systems. The technique, which lends itself to measurements in all temperature ranges, can be used to determine liquidus surfaces for transparent or semi-transparent melts under reducing, neutral or oxidizing atmospheric conditions.

A review of the previous work using hot-wire microscopy is presented and the limitations and the experimental idiosyncrasies of the technique are discussed. The special equipment necessary for atmosphere control within the hot-wire microscope are described and the application of this equipment to measurements on metallurgical slags is discussed.
EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES IN PHASE DIAGRAM
Determination of Superconducting Compounds Containing
Volatile Components at Temperature Up to 2200°C

by
R. Flükiger and J.-L. Jorda
Département de physique de la Matière Condensée
32, bd d'Yvoy
1211 - Geneva
SWITZERLAND

If one analyses all the work which have been published on superconductors
during the last 15 years, it is astonishing that the phase diagrams of the highest
Tc superconductors, such as Nb - Ge, Nb - Al and Nb - Ga are far from being really
known at the formation temperature of the superconducting A15 phase, i.e., in
the range 1750<T<2200°C. The main reason is the lack of direct observations at
these temperatures, which are particularly difficult if one of the components,
as Ga, Al, Sb,... has a high vapor pressure at these temperatures. It is
evident that the contamination problem becomes more and more important, thus
limiting the choice in crucible materials.

We have developed facilities for measuring precisely the high-temperature
part of such diagrams, which consists in a combination of
1) HIGH-TEMPERATURE DTA up to 2200°C under an Argon pressure of 1 - 10 at.
2) SIMULTANEOUS STEPWISE HEATING of 10 samples of different compositions up
to 2200°C under an Argon pressure of 1 - 10 at.
   After each step, the samples are cooled down to room temperature
   and are analyzed microscopically. This technique is used for checking
   the DTA thermal arrests, with steps of 5 or 10°C.
3) High-rate quenching techniques.

The work on these materials can only be judged on the basis of different
information on the same thermal arrest. Thus 1) and 2) are complementary, and
their combination is necessary.

It is clear that the temperature has to be measured simultaneously by different
methods and that different crucible materials have to be tested, in order to exclude
a possible influence on the thermal arrests.
The analysis of the samples is done by microscopic observation, chemical analysis and microprobe measurements. The problem of suitable standards is very important, and has been solved by melting of a single-phased alloy having a composition close to that of the investigated samples, but melted under pressures of 100 and more atmospheres, in order to minimize the weight losses and thus the uncertainty in composition. In some cases, the standards would have to be formed even at higher pressures leading to weight losses smaller than 0.5%.

The combination of all these techniques allowed us to determine the phase diagrams of the systems Nb - Ga and Nb - Ge with a precision of ± 15°C in temperature at 2000°C and 0.5 to 1 at. % in composition. These systems are presented in detail. It is seen that the proximity of the A15 phase regions to the stoichiometric compositions Nb3 Ga, and Nb3 Ge respectively (both systems are of the type shown in fig. 1), can only be determined by the combination of 1) and 2).
Experimental Techniques In Phase Diagram Determinations: Applications to Silicate Systems.

F.P. Glasser
Department of Chemistry
University of Aberdeen
Meston Walk, Old Aberdeen
Aberdeen AB9 2UE, Scotland.

Many silicate systems relevant to oxide technology which have been studied in previous decades require restudy using improved phase-sensitive techniques. A number of examples of the applications of specific techniques and the results obtained by their application to specific systems will be presented.

Re-examination of the Na₂O-CaO-SiO₂ system has disclosed the presence of two incongruently-melting compounds, Na₆Si₈O₁₉ and Na₂CaSi₅O₁₂. The former closely resembles Na₂Si₂O₅ both in its optical properties and X-ray powder pattern. Single-crystal studies and density determinations were necessary to characterize Na₆Si₈O₁₉ and to prove its formula. The range of solid solution shown to exist on the Na₂SiO₃-CaSiO₃ join were characterized by density and unit cell determinations, combined with classical methods of determining the single-phase limit of homogeneity.

It is almost essential to be able to apply X-ray crystallographic methods if the formulae of phases with complex chemistry are to be elucidated. An example is the characterization of the quaternary phase which occurs in systems of the type BaO-CaO-MO-SiO₂ (M = Mg, Co, Zn, Fe²⁺), the quaternary phase was shown to be Ba₂Ca₂M₂Si₆O₁₇ and is isostructural with pellyite.

A thorough exploration of the subsolidus phase relations in silicate systems is often neglected. It is, nevertheless, an essential part of a phase equilibrium diagram. Compounds may have a minimum temperature of thermal stability as well as a maximum. The systems PbO-SiO₂ and Na₂SiO₃ - CaSiO₃ - SrSiO₃ will be used as illustrations. Experiments of relatively
long duration are usually required to determine these subsolidus equilibria: brief experiments, especially those in which it has not been demonstrated that reactions are reversible, are unreliable to define the equilibrium state. In systems where a phase is stable to liquidus temperatures it may coexist with liquid over only a small range of compositions and its existence is unlikely to be revealed except by very detailed study of the liquidus. However, X-ray examination of the products of subsolidus reactions will usually reveal the presence of a new phase or phases. The history of the Q phase in the CaO-MgO-\(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\)-SiO\(_2\) system and the discovery of a second quaternary phase (designated R) in this system are examples. Another important type of subsolidus phase change occurs when solid-phase combinations present at liquidus invariant points become less stable at lower temperature with respect to some other permutation of the phases occurring in the system. In the system MgO-\(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\)-SiO\(_2\) cordierite and corundum are not compatible phases either at liquidus temperature or at the solidus, whereas below \(\varphi 1360^\circ\), they become a stable assemblage. Subsolidus equilibria in this system meet the criteria of being fully reversible, although experiments of several month's duration are required to prove this.

Results of studies undertaken by the writer show that definitive phase equilibrium diagram exist for only a few silicate systems. In the future, the application of predictive methods will be handicapped if systematic efforts are not made to both reassess and also check experimentally the quality of these data. It is considered likely that for the foreseeable future, experimental and predictive methods for the determination of phase equilibria will be used in conjunction with each other rather than in competition.
PROTECTIVE COATINGS FOR
SUPERALLOYS AND THE USE OF PHASE DIAGRAMS

M.R. Jackson and J.R. Rairden
General Electric Company
Corporate Research & Development
Schenectady, New York

Compositional modifications to increase the strength of superalloys have produced parallel decreases in environmental resistance, both for oxidation limited jet engine applications and hot corrosion limited gas turbine applications. Coupled with the economic impetus for longer and higher temperature service, this has resulted in increased emphasis on high-temperature protective coatings. Initially, simple aluminide coatings were formed by pack aluminization. With greater demands on oxidation resistance, MCrAl(Y) coatings have come into use (M is Ni, Co and/or Fe), and duplex coatings have been formed by aluminizing the MCrAl(Y) coatings. Other elements are also of interest as additions to coatings, either homogeneously distributed throughout the coating or localized as an inner or outer layer of the coating.

Phase equilibria in ternary and higher order systems can be extremely useful in correlating coating/substrate compositions, microstructures and behavior. For example, the formation of coatings
on superalloy surfaces can be understood in terms of multi-element diffusion between the elements added and the elements in the substrate. The coating degradation that results from interdiffusion between coating and substrate in service is also a problem of multi-element diffusion. For many superalloys, such as IN-738, IN-100 and René 80, the compositions are primarily Ni, Al and Cr, and can be described approximately in terms of ternary or quaternary phase diagrams. Both coating formation and coating degradation for the superalloys have been mapped in terms of diffusion paths through the ternary or quaternary diagrams. These paths are important in pointing the way to modifications which may further improve the stability of present coating compositions.

Unfortunately, the availability of complete information on phase equilibria for the Ni/Al/Cr ternary system and pertinent higher order systems is limited. Examination of coating/substrate systems in terms of microstructure and microanalysis of the chemistries allows reasonably accurate description of the phase diagrams. These diagrams can serve as working hypotheses for understanding coating formation and degradation. Thus, the phase diagrams are important in understanding how to produce a particular environmentally resistant phase at the surface and how to maintain that against diffusional interactions with the substrate. The concept of graded or layered coatings involves an outer resistant region of the coating and an inner region to maintain adhesion
to the substrate and/or prevent diffusional interactions with the substrate. Again, phase diagrams can be used to characterize the requirements for a stable coating/substrate system.

Specific examples of the application of phase diagrams to the understanding of coating formation and degradation will be presented: aluminization of superalloys, duplex aluminized coatings, the effects of Co on NiCrAl coatings, aluminization of platinide coatings on superalloys, and the influence of Si additions on NiCrAl coatings.
APPLICATION OF THE SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPE (SEM) TO THE STUDY OF HIGH TEMPERATURE OXIDE PHASE EQUILIBRIA

L. P. Cook and D. B. Minor
Institute for Materials Research
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, D.C. 20234

The use of the electron microprobe x-ray analyzer in phase equilibrium studies has been demonstrated both for ceramic and metallurgical systems. The energy dispersive x-ray analyzer (EDX) - equipped scanning electron microscope (SEM), a more recently developed member of the family of electron microbeam instruments, offers many of the advantages of the electron microprobe and has other features making it a uniquely useful tool in the study of phase equilibria. This instrument has particular potential for certain aspects of oxide research.

The characterization of powdered oxide starting materials is not a trivial problem, particularly if grain size is of the order of a micron. Techniques for mounting oxide powders so as to eliminate specimen charging are reviewed. For bulk x-ray analysis using an EDX system, careful attention must be paid to powder aggregation, grain size, surface geometry and instrumental operating conditions. Examples of x-ray analysis of finely homogenized powdered starting materials prepared at closely spaced compositional intervals are given. If suitable care is exercised this technique could conceivably be used to sample milligram or smaller quantities of powder as a check on the effectiveness of the homogenization process used. The effect of parameters such as grain shape, size, size range and porosity (of compacted powders) on experiments can be monitored.

For the examination of the products of high temperature experiments, the SEM is especially useful. Quench experiments can be examined in total without special sample preparation other than carbon coating, and after SEM examination the usual x-ray diffraction and optical examinations can be made. Sintering effects due to grain boundary melting and recrystallization can in some instances be distinguished from effects due to a small amount of equilibrium melt present along grain boundaries and in interstices. This type of phenomenon is ordinarily observable with only great difficulty, if at all, in the conventional light microscope using the immersion technique. Evidence for liquid immiscibility can be observed, and the presence of minute amounts of crystalline phases in quenched melts can be detected on fractured surfaces. Crystal morphology can in some instances be used to distinguish equilibrium from nonequilibrium (quench) crystal growth. Equilibrium phases of variable composition can be quantitatively characterized by their chemistry.
Within any material there exist internal electric and magnetic fields which are produced by the positively charged atomic nuclei and the negatively charged core and valence electrons. The fields depend upon the arrangement of these constituents (e.g., spatial ordering of the ion cores) as well as interactions between the constituents (e.g., chemical bonding and electron exchange effects). A particularly important class of internal fields is that present at the positions of the atomic nuclei. These fields interact with the nuclei and shift the discrete energy levels which describe possible nuclear excitations. The fine structure of each atomic nucleus is therefore altered (slightly) and these hyperfine changes are then described in terms of hyperfine fields acting on unperturbed nuclei.

The hyperfine field is, therefore, a subclass of all internal fields present in materials but it has particular significance because the interaction between this field and the nucleus can be measured by several techniques. This review will discuss three well-established techniques for measuring the hyperfine interaction - nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), the Mossbauer effect (ME) and the perturbed angular correlation of gamma rays (PAC). It will discuss how the hyperfine interaction is influenced by the chemical, magnetic and structural properties of the material involved and will illustrate how each of the above techniques can be used to provide information useful in constructing phase diagrams.

All three of the above hyperfine techniques detect a change in the nuclear energy levels of the atom at the site studied by observing transitions between levels. A comparison of all three techniques is made with the aid of the following schematic nuclear energy level diagram for $^{57}$Fe nuclei.
The nucleus has three energy levels of interest - a ground state (spin \( I_0 \)) and two excited states (spins \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \)). All of these levels are split by the hyperfine field, assumed here to be a static magnetic field.

In NMR one looks only at the ground state (indicated by the heavy lines) and observes the level splitting, \( \Delta E_0 \), by observing transitions between the split levels caused by external application of a radio-frequency field, \( v \). A resonant absorption of RF energy is observed when the RF frequency satisfies the condition \( \Delta E_0 = hv_0 \). Since only the ground state is involved, no nuclear radiations are emitted.

In the Mossbauer effect, the ground state and the first excited state are involved. Nuclei in the ground state are excited to \( I_1 \) by the absorption of gamma radiation. Then \( I_1 \) decays back to the ground state with the emission of a second gamma ray. The transitions observed here are between a split sublevel of \( I_1 \) and a split sublevel of \( I_0 \). Since several gamma ray energies can cause the excitation, absorption can occur at each of these. The absorption spectrum, then, has resonances whose positions depend upon the hyperfine splitting of both states \( I_0 \) and \( I_1 \).
In perturbed angular correlation experiments the nucleus is prepared in the second excited state ($I_2$). This can be done, for example, by using radioactive $^{57}$Co which decays to the $I_2$ level of $^{57}$Fe. As the nucleus decays to its ground state, it emits two gammas in coincidence. The coincidence rate varies sinusoidally with time with a period given by $T = \frac{1}{\nu} = \frac{h}{\Delta E_1}$.

All three techniques, then, sense the splitting in one or more nuclear levels produced by interaction with the hyperfine field present in the material. Since the hyperfine field itself depends upon crystal structure, chemical composition, and magnetic ordering of the material, it is possible to use the hyperfine interaction as a phase label. Several specific examples of this use will be discussed, including Mossbauer studies and NMR of Ti-Fe and Ti-Fe-H alloy systems, NMR studies of AuIn$_2$-AuAl$_2$-AuGa$_2$ pseudobinary alloys and PAC studies of Ni-Hf alloys.

*Also consultant, Alloy Physics Section, Institute for Materials Research, National Bureau of Standards.


Experimental Determination of Phase Diagrams with the Electron Microprobe and Scanning Transmission Electron Microscope

A.D. Romig, Jr. and J.I. Goldstein
Department of Metallurgy and Materials Science
Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA, 18015

Within the past dozen years the electron microprobe (EMP) has proven itself a valuable tool in the determination of phase diagrams (solid phase regions). A literature survey indicates that since the first application of this technique, to the determination of the Fe-Ni phase diagram, in 1965 (1), at least 14 binary, 18 ternary, and several higher order metallic systems have been analyzed with the electron microprobe.

The most powerful aspect of this technique is that one can determine the location and orientation of tie lines even when the bulk phases are not in equilibrium (2) (i.e., concentration gradients across a continuous phase). This advantage is best realized when one is considering a system where true bulk equilibrium cannot be attained, either because diffusion rates are so slow that the bulk equilibrium condition cannot be reached in a reasonable time period, such as Fe-Ni-Co (3), or where the phase diagram is to contain a metastable phase where heat treatments long enough to produce homogeneous phases are also of sufficient length to cause the metastable phase to decompose, such as Fe-Ni-C (4).

An important set of factors, unique to the determination of interface compositions with the electron microprobe and which affect the accuracy of the measurements are: (1) spatial resolution; (2) x-ray absorption; and (3) x-ray fluorescence. These affects are mainly attributable to the finite size of the x-ray excitation volume. Even under optimum conditions it is not possible to generate x-rays from a volume with a diameter much less than 1 μm. Typically, a trace is made across the boundary at 1 μm intervals, and the interface composition determined by extrapolation to the interface position. This is a reasonable procedure, unless the concentration gradient is too steep. To improve the resolution of interface composition discontinuities, a smaller excitation volume is desirable. Errors attributable to extrapolated interface compositions could be minimized and steeper gradients could be measured.

The newly developed scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM) will allow x-ray excitation from volumes less than 0.10μm in radius. The technique requires thin foils which are transparent to the incident electron beam (typically 100 kv) (5). The use of thin foils and high excitation voltages eliminates the fluorescence and absorption problems present in microprobe analysis, thus permitting the use of a relatively simple matrix correction technique.

The STEM methods have just recently been developed to the point where phase diagram determination is possible. Research results on the Fe-Ni-Co system using this technique will be presented.
References


INTERRELATIONS BETWEEN PHASE DIAGRAMS AND HYDRIDING PROPERTIES FOR ALLOYS BASED ON THE INTERMETALLIC COMPOUND FeTi

by

G. D. Sandrock*, J. J. Reilly**, and J. R. Johnson**

ABSTRACT

Hydriding alloys based on the intermetallic compound FeTi have potential for the safe and convenient storage of hydrogen, both for mobile and stationary applications. In spite of its simple formula, the hydriding behavior of FeTi is quite complex and a strong function of alloy microstructure. The alloy microstructure, in turn, depends on composition, not only deviations from stoichiometry but also various impurities. In this paper we discuss some of the interrelations among composition, microstructure, and hydriding behavior that can be related to phase diagram information. In particular, we will discuss the Fe-Ti, Fe-Ti-O, and Fe-Ti-Mn phase diagrams and their relationships to hydriding properties. The use of hydriding data to infer metal-hydrogen phase diagrams will also be briefly discussed.

*The author is with The International Nickel Company, Inc., Paul D. Merica Research Laboratory, Sterling Forest, Suffern, NY 10901
**The authors are with Brookhaven National Laboratory, Department of Applied Science, Upton, NY 11973
Phase Equilibria in the System MgO-RCl (R = Li, Na, and K) Solution under Hydrothermal Conditions by Means of A Capsule Bursting Method

Shigeyuki Somiya, Kazuo Nakamura, Shin-ichi Hirano and Shinroku Saito
Research Laboratory of Engineering Materials
Tokyo Institute of Technology
Ookayama, Meguro, Tokyo, 152, Japan

Brucite, Mg(OH)$_2$, is one of the raw materials to produce basic bricks. Brucite is obtained from sea water by precipitation or as natural rock. Brucite has been known to occur in nature in hydrothermal veins. It is well known that the hydrothermal solutions often contain alkali chlorides. Though a considerable amount of research has been carried out for phase equilibria in the system MgO-Mg(OH)$_2$ in pure water, the literature that can be found on the phase equilibria in the system Mg(OH)$_2$-MgO in alkali chloride solution, is scarce.

The purpose of the present work is to clear up the effect of the alkali chlorides such as LiCl, NaCl, and KCl on the phase equilibria curve of the system MgO-Mg(OH)$_2$ up to 1500 kg/cm$^2$ by means of a capsule bursting method.

In order to study equilibrium curves, a gold capsule including the desired quantity of MgO powder and 5 mol % RCl solution was sealed by an electric arc, and two capsules, 3 mm in outside diameter and 35-50 mm in length, were inserted into one test-tube of Roy-Tuttle type hydrothermal vessel. The quantity of H$_2$O in the capsule was from 0.6 to 0.8 in molar ratio to MgO converted to Mg(OH)$_2$. After treatment under high temperature and high pressure, the pressure was released quickly and then the vessel was quenched in cold water. This is called the "Capsule Bursting Method."

If the set point of temperature and pressure was on the high temperature side of the equilibrium curve, the capsule would be burst by unreacted solution. In contrast, if it was on the low temperature side, water inside the capsule was completely consumed to form Mg(OH)$_2$. Therefore the capsule would not be burst but
squeezed. This capsule bursting method was found by the authors to be useful in the study of phase equilibria of systems in which the reaction rate of hydration was rapid.

In RCl solutions, equilibrium temperatures in the system MgO-Mg(OH)$_2$ obtained are generally lower than that in pure water. For example, equilibrium temperatures at 1000 kg/cm$^2$ were 517°C in 5 mol % LiCl solution, 559°C in 5 mol % NaCl solution and 537°C in KCl solution. On the other hand it was 595°C in pure water.

The three equilibrium curves in RCl solutions were nearly parallel and steeper than that in pure water. It seems that the equilibrium curves in 5 mol % NaCl solution crossed that in pure water below 200 kg/cm$^2$.

From these results, the capsule bursting method is seen to be a good method for the study of phase equilibria under hydrothermal conditions whenever the reaction rate of hydration is rapid.
USE OF HIGH ENERGY ION BEAMS IN PHASE DIAGRAM DETERMINATION

J. E. Smugeresky
Metallurgy and Electroplating Division 8312

S. M. Myers
Ion-Solid Interactions Division 5111
Sandia Laboratories
Livermore, CA 94550

Phase boundaries can now be investigated by using a new approach which utilizes high energy ion beams. The technique uses ion implantation and ion backscattering analysis combined with diffusion annealing treatments. Ion-implantation of solute elements into the matrix is used to create a super-saturated solid solution in the first \( \approx 0.1 \) \( \mu \text{m} \) of the sample. After isothermal annealing the composition versus depth profile is monitored nondestructively via 2 MeV He backscattering. Using these profiles and the appropriate boundary conditions for the diffusion equation, the phase boundaries can be obtained. The advantage of this technique lies in the ability to monitor very low solubilities like iron in beryllium as well as extending data to 600K or almost 300K lower than has been measured by diffusion couples. Application of this technique to both binary and ternary systems will be described.
PHASE EQUILIBRIUM DIAGRAMS IN TERMS OF ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE

Frederick E. Wang
Materials Division
Naval Surface Weapons Center
White Oak, Silver Spring, Md. 20910

Hitherto, interpretation and use of the phase equilibrium diagrams are invariably limited to the knowledge of both the macroscopic and microscopic arrangements of atoms within the system. However, atomic arrangement in a given solid state is only half of the story; the other half is electronic arrangements. Since a phase equilibrium diagram is a manifestation of all the thermodynamic properties involved, it must contain information concerning electronic arrangements as well as atomic arrangements. This contention is dramatically demonstrated in the correlation of the electronic properties of the A$_3$B ($\alpha$-W) type compounds and their phase equilibrium diagrams. Based on the 23 A$_3$B compounds for which the phase equilibrium diagram as well as the superconducting critical temperature ($T_c$) are known, the correlation falls into three major categories:

a) The A$_3$B compounds which are formed from congruent-melting type are not superconductors while those formed from incongruent-melting type are. For example:

\[ \text{Nb}_3\text{Ir}, \text{Cr}_3\text{Si}, \text{V}_3\text{Pd} \quad \text{congruent melting type} \]
\[ \text{non-superconducting} \]

\[ \text{Nb}_3\text{Ga}, \text{V}_3\text{Ga}, \text{Mo}_3\text{Os} \quad \text{incongruent melting type} \]
\[ \text{superconductors} \]

b) Among the compounds which are superconducting, their individual superconducting critical temperature ($T_c$) is directly proportional to their solid solubility range (SSR) at room temperature as observed in the phase diagrams.
c) The solid solubility range (SSR), for those compounds that are incongruent-melting type and superconductors, exists only on the A-rich side of the stoichiometric composition (i.e., never on the B-rich side). In contradistinction, the compounds of congruent-melting type and not superconducting have their SSR extended on both sides of the stoichiometric composition.

These correlations can all be reconciled in terms of the "A-chain integrity" which is a manifestation of the basic electronic properties in these compounds. Subsequently a new dimension in the interpretation and use of phase equilibrium diagrams is offered.
Controlled freezing offers opportunities for determining liquidus and solidus surfaces or curves of multicomponent or binary systems, respectively.

We have tried to make the best use of its rarely exploited possibilities in studying the systems Sc$_2$O$_3$-Ln$_2$O$_3$, Ln = La, Nd, Sm, Gd, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, Lu and Y.

In this study, the results obtained by high temperature X-ray diffractometry and direct thermal analysis in a solar furnace are compared with those obtained by segregation analysis in solid solutions.

Segregation phenomena which are connected with the freezing of the liquid out of equilibrium, are studied by X-ray diffractometry and electron microprobe at room temperature. The products are obtained by normal freezing from liquid mixtures in a solar furnace. The moving rate of the liquid-solid interface was 10 mm/s and 5 $10^{-3}$ mm/s. The analysis of those phenomena brought about a better knowledge of the nature of the solidification diagram as well as the localization of the invariant points.

The results obtained in the systems Sc$_2$O$_3$-Ho$_2$O$_3$ and Sc$_2$O$_3$-Dy$_2$O$_3$ are examples.

In the systems Sc$_2$O$_3$-Ln$_2$O$_3$; Ln = Ho, Er, Yb, the set of experimental information on solidification diagrams is compared with calculations based on two experimental results from the systems' liquidus. Based on the calculated data of mixtures in these systems, the solidification diagrams of neighbouring systems (Ln = Y, Tm, Lu) are predicted.
STUDIES OF THE Fe-C-B PHASE DIAGRAM BY AUTORADIOGRAPHY

Thomas B. Cameron and John E. Morral
Department of Metallurgy and
Institute of Materials Science, U-136
University of Connecticut
Storrs, CT 06268

The iron rich corner of the Fe-C-B phase diagram is being investigated in the first step of a study probing the mechanism behind boron hardenability in steel. The major problems encountered in this type of study are first, obtaining accurate measurements of soluble boron concentrations, on the order of 30 ppm or less; and second, maintaining high purity samples since boron will react with other elements, notably nitrogen, in the ppm range. For these reasons a novel approach is being used in the phase diagram study. Boron concentrations are being measured by neutron autoradiography along the carbon gradient of a carburized Fe-B alloy. The autoradiography technique allows measuring boron concentrations of 5 ± 1 ppm and the use of somewhat massive samples prevents contamination during processing. Isothermal sections of the austenite – borocarbide solvus are obtainable by this technique.
INDUSTRIAL NEEDS
by
Paul J. Fopiano
Army Materials & Mechanics Research Center
Watertown, Massachusetts 02172

COMMERCIAL TITANIUM ALLOYS

Many commercial titanium alloys employ aluminum and vanadium as major alloying elements. In the investigation of the phase transformations which occur during the heat treatment of these alloys, the presence of two or more phases complicates the interpretation of the mechanical and physical property data. The major strengthening mechanisms can often be related to the compositions of the alpha and beta phases in equilibrium at the solution temperature. Standards made up with various amounts of aluminum and vanadium were analyzed chemically and on an electron microprobe. A calibration curve was thereby developed for the titanium rich corner of the ternary diagram. Application of this calibration curve was demonstrated on the most popular commercial titanium alloy, Ti-6Al-4V. Various solution-treated conditions in the alpha plus beta field were investigated. The compositions of the alpha plus beta phases were determined and compared to the published literature which had been developed before the probe was generally available.
DEMONSTRATIONS

Monday 10 Jan., 1977, 3:30 PM - 6:00 PM

VIDEO TAPE REPLACED WITH NEW FILM

The demonstration VIDEO TAPE listed in the program, to be shown during the Monday poster session in the Employee's Lounge by I. Ansara of the Thermochemical Data Center, Grenoble, France, is being replaced with a FILM illustrating some examples on the calculations of phase diagrams.

Tuesday 11 Jan., 1977, 3:30 PM - 6:00 PM

ADDED FILM (Green Auditorium)

"Generation of Phase Diagrams from Free Energy Curves" contributed by C. H. P. Lupis, Carnegie Mellon University, A 22 minute instructional color film sponsored by NSF.
Phase Diagram of a Specimen at High Temperatures under external tensile or Shear Stress or both.

K.M. Khanna  
Materials Science Dept  
National Institute of Foundry and Forge Technology  
Hatia, Ranchi-834003, India.

Phase diagrams are graphs that give relationships between various phases in a system as a function of temperature, pressure and composition. To my mind, phase changes at high temperatures under tensile or shear stress or both have not been studied so far. Similarly the corresponding phase diagrams have also not been studied. It will be interesting to plot the phase diagram of a specimen under external tensile or shear stress or both, keeping pressure constant and varying the temperature. Having done so we can have a better insight into the control of micro-structure. We can have an idea of the life time of a specimen that works at high temperatures under external tensile and shear stress. Experimental studies can be made by providing a tensometer type attachment to the dilatometer.
The author of this abstract is unable to attend the workshop. A full paper will be published in the workshop proceedings.

Phase - Diagram of a Metal - Gas System.

V.K. Sinha  
Department of Materials Science  
National Institute of Foundry and Forge Technology  
Hatia, Ranchi-834003, India.

Phase diagram is an indispensable means for representing physico-chemical state of a system in terms of the state variables, pressure (P), composition (C), and temperature (T). For metallic or other systems where none of the components is gas, the phase diagram is conventionally represented in the form of T-C diagrams at fixed pressure of 1 atm. A similar representation is, however, inadequate for metal-gas systems because of the pronounced effect of gas partial pressure in fixing the physico-chemical state. The author, therefore, recommends that in latter cases the T-C diagram must be supplemented either with the isothermal P-C diagrams or the P-T isochores. The P-C-T data contained therein will then provide not only a better understanding of the existence of equilibrium phases in terms of the state variables but also an easy means for computing the various thermo-dynamic functions.

The present paper demonstrates a better way of representing the phase diagram of a metal-gas system, with particular reference to the binary Zr - H_2 system. The method of determining the various thermo-dynamic functions from the phase diagram is also discussed.
MONDAY, 10 JANUARY, 1977

3:30 PM - 6:00 PM

DEMONSTRATIONS DURING THE
POSTER SESSION
IN THE EMPLOYEE'S LOUNGE

DEMONSTRATION VIDEO TAPE

Thermochemical Data Center

I. Ansara
Laboratoire De Thermodynamique Et Physico-Chimie Metallurgiques
Domaine Universitaire
38401 Saint Martin D'Heres
France

TWO DEMONSTRATIONS IN CONJUNCTION WITH

MPSI-7 On-Line Computer Manipulations

J. Hilsenrath, B. B. Molino
Office of Standard Reference Data
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

MPSI-8 Alloy Data Center Graphical Methods

R. A. Kirsch, L. J. Swartzendruber
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

IN THE GREEN AUDITORIUM

FOUR INSTRUCTIONAL MOVIES

Contributed by H. McKinstry
Materials Research Laboratory
Pennsylvania State University
University Park, PA 16802

Produced at Pennsylvania State University
TUESDAY, 11 JANUARY, 1977
9:00 AM - 12:30 PM

REVIEW OF
THEORY OF ALLOY PHASES
AND
PANEL ON
COMPUTATION AND PREDICTION
OF PHASE DIAGRAMS
We will survey theoretical approaches to phase stability in alloys ranging from model calculations (in the manner of Stringfellow, Engel-Brewer, Miedema, etc.) through pseudopotential approaches to efforts which endeavor to solve the Schrödinger Wave Equation. Topics to be considered will include phase stabilities of elemental metals, and of ordered compounds, and problems taking disorder into account.

We will discuss the predictive abilities of various approaches and especially the insight which the more rigorous methods provide in parameters entering model calculations.
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ESTIMATION OF CONJUGATE PHASE COMPOSITIONS IN MULTICOMPONENT SYSTEMS

by Robert L. Dreshfield
NASA-Lewis Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio

ABSTRACT

Recent advances in gas turbine technology have resulted in applying a group of complex multicomponent Ni-base alloys known as "superalloys" at temperatures and for times which may cause precipitation of phases which can significantly reduce their load bearing life. To allow melters to control their melt compositions to avoid these phases, a computational method called "PHACOMP" was developed. This method was in effect an effort to determine if the desired composition was in the two phase field (gamma and gamma prime) of a phase diagram based on the Ni-Cr-Al-X system. To determine if the alloy was stable, the melt composition was used to estimate the "residual matrix" (γ) composition. This was accomplished by reducing the melt composition by the amount of alloy elements thought to precipitate either during solidification or processing. The precipitates were assumed to have nearly fixed stoichiometries. The average electron vacancy concentration was then calculated for the γ phase and compared to an empirically set critical value to estimate if undesirable phases might precipitate in service.
While PHACOMP served well to control the composition of alloys at the melt shop to prevent detrimental phases such as sigma, experimentally determined phase compositions indicated that the assumption of gamma prime stoichiometry was inaccurate. Also, no single calculation method or critical average electron vacancy number could be identified which would work well on a broad range of alloy compositions.

In an effort to avoid assigning an arbitrary stoichiometry to gamma prime, the Ni-Al-Cr-Ti diagram was analyzed using analytical geometry to determine the gamma composition, given the alloy's composition. The problem was treated by first identifying the tie line on which the alloy lay, then finding the intersection of that tie line and the gamma solvus.

To better describe the superalloys' constitution, this study was conducted to model the gamma-gamma prime region of the Ni-Al-Cr-W-Mo system at 850°C. Analytical geometry principles were again used to allow the estimation of both the gamma and gamma prime composition, given the alloy composition. It was also observed that the formation of additional phases could be predicted from three parameters: the Ni content of the alloy, the rate of change of Al with respect to Cr along the tie line, and a function of the Cr, Mo, and W content in the gamma phase.

The computational procedure was shown to be applicable to commercial Ni-base alloys. It is suggested that these same techniques
can be applied to two phase alloys in general and possibly may be extended to other multiphase regions of multicomponent alloys.
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ENERGETICS OF NONEQUILIBRIUM ALLOY PHASES - AN ABBREVIATED ABSTRACT.

A more detailed abstract is given in TPSI-21, pp 131-134, of the Abstract Booklet.

B. C. Giessen
Inst. of Chemical Analysis, Applications and Forensic Science, and Department of Chemistry Northeastern University Boston, Mass.

Interest will be focussed on the energetics of nonequilibrium alloy phases; i.e., metastable and unstable metallic phases, and their role in extended phase diagrams. Specific examples include amorphous metals, metastable disordered normal (s-p electron) metal alloy phases, and metastable ordered alloy phases. Examples for vapor quenched, melt quenched, and electrodeposited phases and their phase diagram representation will be discussed.
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PHASE DIAGRAM CALCULATIONS
IN CERAMIC AND MINERAL SYSTEMS

A. Navrotsky
Chemistry Department
Arizona State University
Tempe, AZ

Emphasis in this area has been more on the calculation of thermodynamic parameters from measured phase equilibria rather than on the calculation of phase diagrams from stored numerical data. Major efforts have been made (a) to extract thermochemical data for minerals from P-T stability curves \(1,2,3\), (b) to calculate activity-composition relations in ternary oxide and silicate solid solutions from subsolidus phase relations \(4,5,9\) and (c) to obtain thermodynamic parameters for phase transitions for series of structurally and chemically related materials, e.g. the wurtzite-rocksalt transition in oxides and chalcogenides \(6,7\) and the olivine-spinel transition in silicates and germanates \(8\). Questions to be discussed include the following.

(a) What is the inherent accuracy and precision of the thermochemical data derived from phase diagrams for silicate systems? (b) What efforts exist, or are needed, for compiling, evaluating, and updating such data? (c) What are the most useful and/or most correct equations to use to fit the data? Particularly, what power series for heat capacity and what formalism (regular solution, subregular, or other) for activities? What accuracy can be expected in fitting activity data to phase diagrams? (d) What "theoretical" developments can we expect for these largely ionic systems - lattice energy calculations, structure-properties correlations, ionic vs covalent bonding, stabilities of special structures, etc.?
Of special interest to this symposium might be a comparison of the state of the art in oxide systems versus metallic systems. Oxide phase diagrams are often dominated by rather stable stoichiometric ternary and quaternary compounds; thus calculations must correctly include and predict these stabilities. In metallic systems, compounds usually (but not always) have relatively low stability and more extensive homogeneity ranges, leading to a greater emphasis, in calculations, on solid solution thermodynamics and defect chemistry. For geologic applications, the effect of high pressure on phase stability is very important, and the thermodynamic aspects of P - T stability relations have been more fully explored for silicates than for alloys. In oxide systems "theoretical" predictions of phase stability have been based largely on considerations of size and charge. This has led to good qualitative understanding but has been of little help in quantitative prediction of phase diagrams. The ionicity scale proposed by Phillips (6) has been used for analyzing semiconductor phase diagrams. The theory of metal and alloy phase stability is somewhat more advanced than for oxide systems. Further developments in both fields would benefit from greater interaction and awareness of problems common to metals, ceramics and minerals.
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The Representation of Phase Equilibria

by

A. Prince
Hirst Research Centre
General Electric, Ltd.
United Kingdom

Phase diagrams are graphical presentations of the phase relationships in heterogeneous systems. A phase diagram consists of a coordinate framework enclosing geometrical elements representing the phase relationships (the phase complex). The coordinate framework is based on a coordinate simplex, which is equated to the concentration (composition) diagram of the system, combined with other geometrical elements representing the externally variable parameters such as temperature and pressure. The representation of compositions in n-component systems is considered using the Kurnakov simplex generalisations. Consideration is given to secondary methods for representing compositions in which the concepts of electron concentrations, electron-vacancy numbers and average group numbers are introduced.

The representation of phase relationships in binary and multi-component systems is considered from the point of view of models, their projections and sections. The handling of systems with variable valency of a component is included as is the case of reciprocal systems.

Phase relationships can be represented analytically. Topological relationships between the geometrical elements of a phase diagram and its sections are useful for generalising the basic rules of construction for simple systems (n = 2 or 3) to more complex systems.
Phase-Diagram Format and Distribution - Users' View

J. D. Livingston, General Electric Co., Schenectady, N. Y.

Various aspects of users' needs for phase-diagram information will be introduced for discussion. User needs vary in their breadth and depth, and access to computer data bases will probably play an increasing role for in-depth needs. However, for the immediate future, most materials scientists and engineers will probably prefer phase-diagram compilations in book form. Presently, metallurgical phase-diagram compilations in English are in an unsatisfactory state. The Constitution of Binary Alloys series is already 12 years out-of-date, and no satisfactory compilation of ternary and higher-order phase diagrams exists. An up-to-date compilation of such data is sorely needed, perhaps similar in format to Phase Diagrams for Ceramists. Completeness, currency, and easy accessibility should have higher priority than critical evaluations. If updating is achieved through annual volumes (like the Russian series), a cumulative index is necessary, preferably indexed by all components. Another possible approach to updating is the issuing of frequent supplements in looseleaf form, to allow incorporation in alphabetical order. If the lag between publication and compilation remains long, a current-awareness journal on phase-diagram information may be useful.
Some Thoughts on the Distribution of Reference Data

Dr. Howard J. White, Jr.
Office of Standard Reference Data
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, D.C. 20234

Some of the characteristics of the existing methods of distributing reference data will be discussed. Strengths and weaknesses of the various methods will be pointed out. There does not appear to be one superior method, but rather various methods or combinations of methods are indicated for individual cases. The problem of distributing reference data on phase diagrams will be considered specifically.
Remarks on Producing and Publishing Critically Evaluated Data

W. B. Pearson
Faculty of Science, University of Waterloo
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

Selected paragraphs of a full paper to appear in the formal proceedings

As somebody who has been concerned for nearly thirty years with critical compilations of phase equilibria and structural data on metals and alloys in particular (1-5), I offer some thoughts on "how to get the job done".

My general conclusions are as follows: a fully computer-based operation, is by far the most elegant, since with appropriate programming it can lead to books printed directly from magnetic tapes, but such a system requires very considerable financial backing, even if it goes no further than data handling and storage and does not proceed to the ultimate step of book production direct from the data files. Although computer programmes can be readily used to calculate and check data, the use of computers in no way obviates the need for experts to find the data in the literature and to critically evaluate it. In contrast to computer-based operations, a system of data handling by hand, using part-time typists as required, although far less elegant, is still workable and gives the final product at less cost.

Secondly, in order to get a critical data compilation prepared (unless there is sufficient money available to employ as many full-time assessors as is necessary), it is much better to support a single person (or possibly two) full-time for the two or three years that may be required for the project, than to organize a team of many people on a "moonlighting" basis for nominal honoraria, each of whom is expected to complete a portion of the compilation. In the latter case the work is likely to become indefinitely delayed due to some members of the "team", for various reasons, not completing their assignments.
As a general editor of *Structure Reports* for some 15 years\(^4\), I have further experience of organizing numerous co-editors. *Structure Reports* is a series of annual volumes, which report in critical fashion all crystal structure determinations published in the year to which the volume is attributed. It is divided into three sections: Metals, Inorganic and Organic, each having its own co-editor assigned for the year in question. The work of a section co-editor thus comprises reading the appropriate literature, checking data and producing critical reports thereon which, when appropriately combined and edited, constitute the ms. The general editor is responsible for producing lists of structural papers to be read and for general coordination and checking of the different ms., reading proofs, etc. The trouble with this organization, where each editor takes on the work out of a sense of goodwill to the crystallographic community and in exchange for a relatively small honorarium, was that due to accidents or personal problems, one section of a volume frequently delayed the publication of the whole for several years. At one point the situation was so bad that *Structure Reports* volumes were only being published some 10 years after the year whose work was critically reported. Recently this back-log has been eliminated and *Structure Reports* now are appearing in the shortest possible time; only 2–3 years after the work that they report is published. Thus all volumes and up to and including 1973 were published by the end of 1975.

An interesting reason for the rapid publication transpired to be a switch to direct photo-offset printing of typed ms. instead of having printers set the ms. in monotype, as was the earlier practice. This change was adopted primarily because the cost of typesetting the volumes was making them too expensive for individuals to purchase. At the time of the change, using any typing service to produce pages with justified lines was as expensive as setting the ms. in monotype, so "moonlighting" typists were engaged to type the pages of ms. in a standard format. The general editor then read the pages in proof and had corrections done before the ms. was
assembled and sent to the publisher for photo-offset printing. It so happened that this process turned out to be very much more rapid than the original one where the ms. was sent to the publisher who then went through it marking it for the printer, who set it in print. Thereafter the general and section editors went through up to four proofs to ensure correctness of the data. From this, one may conclude that unless a continuous data-bank operation is to be established at the same time as the production of ms., hand-typing operations may still be cheaper and as rapid as computer-based operations, unless these are fully funded to provide day workers necessary for the operation. These observations are very cogent to the production of volumes of critically assessed data on phase equilibria, since computer handling of such operations is likely to require the development and successful operation of special programmes.

Finally a remark or two on comparative costs may be interesting. When I first became general editor of Structure Reports producing volumes in the early 1960s, a consumer buying the volumes obtained an average of 7 pages per Dutch florin (D fl) spent, whereas critically evaluated data on a similar subject produced by a commercial company ran at one page only per D fl., if my recollection is correct. Nevertheless, the production of Structure Reports was unsubsidized, and over the years they were self-financing and made a modest profit. In more recent years the costs of typesetting volumes of Structure Reports began to increase alarmingly so that the price per page doubled. However, the changeover from typesetting to photo-offset printing of hand-typed ms. pages again halved the cost of a printed page. A certain loss of elegance results from the change from typeset pages with unjustified lines, but as far as I am aware this has not produced adverse comments, the users finding that any moves to reduce costs are welcome.
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COMPUTATIONAL TECHNIQUES FOR

PHASE DIAGRAM CONSTRUCTION
COMPUTERIZED CHARACTERIZATION OF THE Au-Cu-Ni AND Au-Cu-Ag TERNARY PHASE DIAGRAMS
by
M. L. Boyle, C. J. Van Tyne, and S. K. Tarby

Information synthesized for binary systems by a computer method has been used in conjunction with Kohler's equation for mixing in ternary systems to characterize the Au-Cu-Ni and Au-Cu-Ag systems.

A computer program employing a least squares technique has been developed to analyze concurrently activity data, integral heat of mixing data, and phase diagram data in order to generate a set of α-parameters for binary systems. The α-parameter is defined as

\[ \alpha_{12} = \ln \frac{\gamma_1}{(1-x_1)^2} \]

where \( \gamma_1 \) is the Raoultian activity coefficient and \( x_1 \) is the atom fraction of component 1. These α-parameters were given a composition and inverse temperature dependence of the form

\[ \alpha_{12} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left( A_{2i+1} + \frac{A_{2i+2}}{T} \right) x_1^i \]

where \( n \) is the order of the α-parameter representation, the \( A_j \)'s are constants determined by the least squares analysis, and \( T \) is absolute temperature. Each α-parameter characterizes a single phase region in a binary system. The integral and partial molar solution thermodynamic quantities as well as the activities of each component can be calculated from the α-parameter for any given temperature and composition in a one phase region. A pair of α-parameters for two different phases can be used to predict two phase equilibria in a binary system.

The α-parameters of the liquid and solid phases for the Au-Cu, Cu-Ni, Au-Ni, and Ag-Cu binary systems have been determined by this computer technique. The solid and liquid phase α-parameters for the Ag-Au system were obtained from White. These binary α-parameters were then used with
the ternary mixing equation proposed by Kohler\textsuperscript{2} to predict free energies, activities, and phase equilibria in ternary systems.

The ternary miscibility gap for the Au-Cu-Ni system has been calculated at several temperatures and compares favorably with the experimentally determined phase diagram. Calculations of the phase equilibria for several isotherms in the Au-Cu-Ag system have been made. These are presented along with the optimization technique used for the phase equilibria determinations.
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Attempts have been made to find correlations relating the phase equilibria of metal boride systems with the positions of the metals in the periodic chart. Both the group number (valency) and period number (size) significantly influence which phases form in a particular system, and the relative stability of each phase type. Particular emphasis was initially placed on the rare-earth boride systems (1), but the correlations have been extended to include transition metal and actinide metal borides as well as what little information is available on the borides of metals from groups IA, IIA, IIIA.

Metals with unfilled d-shells and larger sizes favor the formation of the more boron-rich phases such as MB₆, MB₁₂, and MB₅₆. Smaller metals with a significant number of d-electrons tend to form M₃B, M₂B, M₃B₂, and MB type phases. The metal boride with the highest melting temperature in a given M-B system tends to follow similar compositional trends with size and number of d-electrons. For example, the larger rare-earths have MB₆ phases with the highest melting temperatures. This shifts to the MB₄ phase for the smaller rare-earths, then to the MB₂ diborides for the titanium and vanadium group metals, and then to the MB monoborides for metals like iron.

The diboride phase with the AlB₂-type structure is the most common metal boride phase. The metals forming the diboride possess a wide range of sizes and electronic configurations. The reason for this appears related to the ability of metals to deform from a spherical shape in this structure (2). This also helps to explain its relatively high stability.
The relatively smooth trends outlined above have been very useful in predicting the formation of undiscovered phases in binary metal-boron systems, and in predicting unstudied melting behavior and unmeasured melting temperatures. These trends along with published lower limits on melting temperatures and reasoning based upon phase relationships have been used to make such predictions, particularly for the rare-earth boride systems. The predictions and available data have been used in constructing temperature-composition phase diagrams.

* Research supported by the National Science Foundation, grants No. DMR-72-03133 and DMR-76-14448.


A VALENCE BOND TEST FOR THE VALIDITY OF INTERMETALLIC AND SEMICONDUCTING STRUCTURES

Forrest L. Carter
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D.C. 20375

In the course of studying chemical bonding in transition metal intermetallic compounds and semiconductors, we routinely apply the metallic radii approach of Pauling. Starting with known composition and x-ray structure, the application of Pauling's metallic radii provides one with an estimate of atomic valences, charges, bond orders, and bond hybridization. With experience, we have learned that if the semiempirical approach of Pauling cannot be self-consistently applied within certain limits (to be discussed), then either the structure or composition is seriously in error. In short, for a very wide selection of compounds one has a test for the correctness of a phase description provided the x-ray data is available.

The basic equations for Pauling's metallic radii treatment are quite simple. An atom's valence \( V_i \) may be calculated as \( V_i = E_{ni} \) where the bond orders \( n_{ij} \) are calculated from the observed distances to its \( j \) neighbors by the equation

\[
d_{ij} = R_i + R_j - 0.600 \log n_{ij}
\]

The single bond radii \( R_i \) and \( R_j \) are given by a simple empirical formula for each row of the periodic table but are a function of effective charge, the valence, and the \( d \) and \( p \) hybridization of the bonds. Generally these equations must be combined with equations involved with the appropriate bookkeeping of orbitals and electrons. It is our observation that the validity of reported structural and compositional data may be questioned (a) when charge transfer is excessive, i.e. \( \Delta Q > 1.5 \), or (b) when valences are abnormally high or low, or (c) when excessive \( d \) or \( f \) character must be used to achieve self-consistent calculations. The range of results varies considerably, starting with the suggestion that either (a) further structural refinement is desirable as in the cases of ZnSb(2), Ta3B4 and Ru11B8(3), or (b) that the structure is unstable at ambient temperatures as in the cases of TiNi (CsCl structure)(4) and SmCo5(5).
Other possibilities include suggestions (c) that the compositional data are probably at fault as in RuB$_2$, OsB$_2$ and AgB$_2$(6), or finally (d) that the structure simply does not exist as reported, examples AuB$_2$(6), W$_2$B$_5$, and Ru$_2$B$_5$(3). All the above suggestions and predictions have been supported by independent evidence.

While the current use of Pauling's metallic radii can indicate problem areas in phase determinations, it cannot prove a phase is either correctly characterized or the most stable of several possible structures. Current plans include modifying the computer program to ultimately estimate heats of formation and make possible the selection of the most stable of known alternatives.
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Computation of the Component Activities from
Ternary Miscibility Gap Data: Cu-Ag-S (Se)

by

U. V. Choudary and Y. A. Chang
Materials Department
College of Engineering and Applied Science
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201

Phase equilibria and thermodynamic properties of alloy systems are closely interrelated. For instance, if we know the Gibbs energies of all the competing phases in any binary or ternary system as a function of composition and temperature, we may compute the phase diagram of this system. Conversely, from the experimentally determined phase diagrams we can often extract useful thermodynamic data of the alloy phases. This approach becomes extremely useful when it is experimentally difficult if not impossible to determine the appropriate thermodynamic properties.

Recently Choudary and Chang [1] developed a thermodynamic method to calculate the thermodynamic activities (or the chemical potentials) of the component elements A, B, C from a knowledge of the miscibility gap and the thermodynamic properties of C in A, B (see Fig. 1). This method is particularly applicable to ternary systems where the third component C (see Fig. 1) is a non-metal such as O, S, Se, etc. Many of these systems are of extractive metallurgical interest, and are characterized by a ternary miscibility gap in the melt with one of the boundaries lying close to the A-B boundary binary. For many of these systems, the tie-line distributions within the miscibility gap have been determined experimentally. But the chemical potentials of the components A, B and C have not
been determined and are difficult to determine experimentally. Yet, these values are of practical interest. This method has been successfully applied to the systems Cu-Pb-O and Cu-Pb-S [1,2]. In the Cu-Pb-S system, the experimentally determined activity values along the miscibility gap are in excellent agreement with the predicted values. For the Cu-Pb-O system, no experimental data of the activities are available. Since the proposed method was developed from classical thermodynamics, the thermodynamic values predicted should be exact if the phase equilibria data are correct.

In the present study, the method is used to predict the chemical potentials of Cu, Ag and S (or Se) for the ternary systems Cu-Ag-S (or Se) along the miscibility gap.

Since the development of the thermodynamic method is given in Ref. [1], only the relevant relations for the calculation of the activities along the miscibility gap boundary for the Cu-Ag-S (Se) system are given below:

\[
\ln \frac{a_{Ag}}{a_{Cu}} \bigg|_{y_{Ag}=0}^{y_{Ag}^*} = \int_0^{y_{Ag}^*} \frac{y_{Ag}^* - y_{Ag}^o}{y_{S}^* - y_{S}^o} \, d \ln \frac{a_{Ag}}{a_{Cu}} \bigg|_{y_{Ag}=0}^{y_{Ag}^*} \]

\[
\ln \frac{a_{Ag}}{a_{Cu}} \bigg|_{y_{Ag}=0}^{y_{Ag}^*} = \int_0^{y_{Ag}^*} \frac{y_{Ag}^* - y_{Ag}^o}{y_{S}^* - y_{S}^o} \, d \ln \frac{a_{Ag}}{a_{Cu}} \bigg|_{y_{Ag}=0}^{y_{Ag}^*} \]

where the ratio of the activities of silver to copper along the miscibility gap boundary may be obtained from the relation:

\[
\ln \frac{a_{Ag}}{a_{Cu}} \bigg|_{y_{Ag}=0}^{y_{Ag}^*} = \ln \frac{y_{Ag}}{y_{Cu}}^{y_{Ag}^*} + \ln \frac{y_{Ag}}{y_{Cu}}^{y_{Ag}^o} + y_{Ag}^{y_{Ag}} \left\{ \ln \frac{y_{S}^o}{y_{S}^{y_{Ag}}^{y_{Ag}^o}} + \frac{2}{RT} \left[ \frac{\Delta H - \Delta H_{Ag}}{1 - y_{Ag}} \right] \right\}
\]

The terms \( y \), \( \Delta H \) and \( \Delta H_{Ag} \) in the above relation represent the activity coefficient, integral and partial molar enthalpies in the binary Cu-Ag solutions. Also, the sulfur (selenium) potentials are estimated along the pseudo-binary Cu<sub>2</sub>S(Se)-Ag<sub>2</sub>S(Se).
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\[
y_i = \frac{n_i}{n_A + n_B}
\]

- \(n_i\) - No. of atoms of the \(i\)th component
- 'A' - Denotes the value at the metal-rich boundary
- 'B' - Denotes the value at the metal-poor boundary

Fig. 1 A schematic of an isothermal section showing a miscibility gap in a ternary system A-B-C.
THE MATHEMATICAL REPRESENTATION OF ACTIVITY DATA IN THREE COMPONENT SYSTEMS AND ITS USE FOR THE COMPUTATION OF MULTIPHASE EQUILIBRIA

Isaac Eliezer and Reed A. Howald
Chemistry Department, Montana State University
Bozeman, Montana, 59715

The activity coefficient of each species in a three component system (and other thermodynamic properties as well) can be expressed as a Redlich - Kister expansion in the sum of the other two mole fractions, \( T = X_j + X_k \).

\[
\log \gamma_i = T^2 [A_i + B_i(-3+4T)+C_i(-5+6T)(-1+2T)+D_i(-7+3T)(-1+2T)^2+\ldots]
\]

The coefficients in this equation are functions of \( S \) defined as \( X_j/(X_j+X_k) \), the Redlich - Kister coefficients for the three binary subsystems, and an additional set of parameters describing interactions of all three components at once (\( B_{123}, C_{312}, C_{321} \), etc.).

Typical equations for the calculation of the coefficients \( A_i \) through \( H_i \) are shown. We have derived these equations, and programmed them for computer calculation. The operation of our computer program is described. By transforming the parameter matrix, activity coefficients and activities can be calculated and printed for each of the three components.

The terms which are required beyond those for the binary systems, \( B_{123} \), etc., can be evaluated making use of a set of two dimensional orthogonal functions for the excess free energy of mixing divided by \( X_1X_2X_3 \). The first four functions in this set are

\[
1
\]
\[
(X_1-X_2)
\]
\[
(1-3X_3)
\]
and
\[ x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - \frac{1}{2} \]

There are very few three component systems for which sufficient data are available to evaluate more than six of these terms.

The equations and programming have been checked by application to the solid and liquid phases in the iron-nickel-carbon system. Parameters are shown for several three component systems, and calculations of phase equilibria in three component systems are described and compared to the original experimental data. The additional work required to extend the calculations to four and five component systems is outlined. Such systems composed of selected oxides will be suitable models for the slags encountered in metallurgy, magnetohydrodynamics and other advanced technologies.
A PROGRAM FOR BINARY PHASE EQUILIBRIA USING THE REDLICH-KISTER EQUATIONS

Isaac Eliezer and Reed A. Howald
Chemistry Department, Montana State University
Bozeman, Montana, 59715

A variety of mathematical forms have been proposed and used to describe the relationship between thermodynamic properties and composition in binary systems. The subject has been reviewed periodically since the early 1900's without any consensus being reached on what are the best mathematical forms, primarily because there is a basic conflict between the requirement of convenience and the requirement of satisfying the Gibbs-Duhem relationship. The subject is currently of special importance because the availability of large high speed computers permits the application of the equations to a wide range of materials problems encountered in many engineering applications.

Power series in mole fraction are well adapted to the general representation of data and to computer calculation of phase diagrams. At least three different power series in mole fraction have now been programmed for computers: a simple power series,\(^1\) the modified Legendre polynomials of Bale and Pelton,\(^2\) and the Redlich-Kister\(^3\) equations (the present work).

We have chosen to program the Redlich-Kister equations

\[
\log \gamma_1 = (1-x)^2 [A+B(-1+4x)+C(-1+6x)(-1+2x)+D(-1+8x)(-1+2x)^2+\cdots]
\]

\[
\log \gamma_2 = x^2 [A+B(-3+4x)+C(-5+6x)(-1+2x)+D(-7+8x)(-1+2x)^2+\cdots]
\]

(where \(x\) is the mole fraction of component 1 and \(\gamma\) is the activity coefficient) primarily because the same set of coefficients appears in the equation for both components. These coefficients are the coefficients in a simple power
series expansion of the excess free energy of mixing in the variable \((-1+2x)\). Other advantages and disadvantages of the three polynomial forms are discussed, along with the conversion matrices used to convert from one polynomial form to another. It is suggested that both Redlich-Kister and Bale-Pelton coefficients should be reported when experimental data are fitted.

The capabilities of our program are described in terms of the subroutines currently available. Applications to a variety of multiphase binary systems of engineering importance are shown, including examples of calculated phase diagrams.
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Polynomial Representation of the Excess Free Energy of Multicomponent Systems and their Use in Phase Diagram Calculations

H. Gaye
IRSID - PCM 57
Maizières - les - Metz
France

and

C. H. P. Lupis
Department of Metallurgy and Materials Science
Carnegie - Mellon University
Schenley Park, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15213

Various polynomial formalisms can be proposed for the representation of the excess free energy of multicomponent solutions:
- for the partial molar properties, the "interaction coefficients" approach provides polynomials in terms of solute mole fractions;
- for the integral excess free energy, symmetric expressions in terms of all mole fractions can be used.

An advantage of polynomial formalisms is that the coefficients can be mapped into one-dimensional arrays, so that the number of components and the order of the polynomials can easily remain unrestricted.

Several applications of these formalisms to the integration of the Gibbs-Duhem equation will be presented. To that effect, a new method of integration was derived. It is based upon the expressions:

\[ \ln \gamma_i = \frac{G^E}{RT} - \sum_{j=2}^{m} x_j \sum_{j=2}^{m} \frac{\lambda(G^E/RT)}{2x_j} \]

\[ \ln \gamma_i = \ln \gamma_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{m} \frac{\lambda(G^E/RT)}{2x_j} \quad (\text{for } i = 2, 3, \ldots, m) \]
The flexibility of polynomials has also been useful in the compilation and exploitation of the phase diagrams of oxide systems. Because many of the solid phases are stoichiometric, a relatively good picture of the diagrams can be derived from the knowledge of the liquidus surfaces. Representing them as polynomials:

\[ T_{\text{liq}} = P(\text{composition}) \]

allows simple interpolations and extrapolations and the calculation of crystallization paths. The example of blast furnace slags (in the system CaO-SiO$_2$-Al$_2$O$_3$-MgO) will be presented.
Thermodynamic Data for the Fe-O System
Evaluated Using a New Computer-Aided Strategy

John L. Haas, Jr. and James R. Fisher
National Center for the Thermodynamic Data of Minerals
U. S. Geological Survey
National Center, Stop 959
Reston, Virginia 22092

This paper will describe the computational techniques employed in evaluating the example given in this abstract.

Tables of thermodynamic properties and their confidence limits from 0 to 1800 K at one atm were obtained for the oxides wustite Fe$_{0.947}$O, magnetite Fe$_3$O$_4$, and hematite Fe$_2$O$_3$. In addition to reflecting the calorimetry accurately, the set is in better agreement with the known phase equilibria than previous sets. We evaluated the published experimental data for the phases and their interactions using the program PHAS20. PHAS20 is a weighted multiple regression routine which combines an empirical equation for the heat capacity with the functional relations among heat capacity, entropy, enthalpy, free energy, equilibrium constants, and electrochemical potentials for a group of chemically related phases. Confidence limits for the tabulated data were obtained from the program RECKON, using the same relations, the refined constants, the variances, and covariances, and statistical theory.
The results show that the thermodynamic tables for wustite cannot be significantly improved except by better data for the reported second-order composition- and temperature-dependent structural effects within the solid solution. The thermodynamic tables for hematite can be improved by better data on the solid solution limits for both hematite and magnetite as well as the effect of composition on the activity of the $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ components in the solid solutions. The thermodynamics of magnetite below 1000 K cannot be significantly improved through existing experimental techniques. There are no indications that magnetite and hematite have a residual entropy at the zero of absolute temperature due to internal disorder.

Mitsuhiro Hasebe and Taiji Nishizawa
Department of Materials Science, Faculty of Engineering, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan

I. Introduction

In recent years remarkable progress for the analysis and synthesis of phase diagrams has been achieved by means of computer calculations combined with thermodynamic studies (1)(2). Following these lines of approach, we have also done extensive work to establish the phase diagrams of alloy systems composed of iron and its horizontal neighbors in the periodic table. In this paper, the phase diagrams of Fe-Cr-Ni, Fe-Cu-Mn and Fe-Cu-Ni systems are reported. Since the phase diagrams of these systems presently available involve a great deal of uncertainty, these should be reexamined experimentally and thermodynamically.

II. Experimental

Ternary diffusion couples were employed to obtain equilibrium data over a wide composition range. The ternary couples were prepared by joining a third metal piece onto one side of the binary couples of a first and a second component, which had been fully annealed for moderating the concentration gradients.

After equilibration at a fixed temperature between 1123 and 1523K, the ternary couples were examined by microscopy and micro-probe analysis, and the concentration profiles across the interphase boundaries were obtained. The equilibrium compositions were determined by extrapolating the concentration profiles to the position of the interphase boundary.

III. Thermodynamical

The free energy of a N-component solution was expressed by an extended regular solution approximation as follows:

\[ G = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i (\Delta G_i + RT \ln x_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} x_i x_j Q_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{N-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N-1} \sum_{k=j+1}^{N} x_i x_j x_k Q_{ijk} \]  

(1)

where \( x_i \) is the atomic fraction, and \( \Delta G_i \) is the free energy of the ith component at \( T K \). \( Q_{ij} \) and \( Q_{ijk} \) are interaction parameters in the binary
i-j system and the ternary i-j-k system, respectively. These parameters were considered to depend on both concentration and temperature as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{ij} &= \Omega_{ij}^0 + \Omega_{ij}^1 (x_j - x_i) + \Omega_{ij}^2 (x_j - x_i)^2 + \cdots \quad (1) \\
\Omega_{ijk} &= \Omega_{ijk}^0 x_i + \Omega_{ijk}^1 x_j + \Omega_{ijk}^2 x_k \\
\Omega_{ijk}^n &= A_{ij}^n + B_{ij}^n T + C_{ij}^n T \ln T \\
\Omega_{ijk}^i &= A_{ijk}^1 + B_{ijk}^1 T + C_{ijk}^1 T \ln T
\end{align*}
\]

The coefficients in the above equations were evaluated by linear programming using the binary data together with the experimental results on the ternary systems. The values for the free energy \( \Delta G_i \) in eq.(1) were taken from the literature values(3)\textsuperscript{(3)}-(5).

IV. Results

The isothermal section diagrams computed for Fe-Cr-Ni at 1373K, and Fe-Cu-Ni at 1223K are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. These diagrams are different in part from those of Kaufman and Nesor(4), Counsell et al.(6) and Bradley et al.(7), which were synthesized by computation using binary data only(4)(6) or constructed on the basis of experimental results in a conventional way(7).
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Fig. 1 Iso-thermal section Diagram of Fe-Cr-Ni system at 1373 K.
Fe–Cu–Ni Phase Diagram

Experimental
- This work
--- Bradley et al.

Calculated
----- This work
------- Counsell et al.

Fig. 2  Iso-thermal section diagram of Fe–Cu–Ni system at 1223 K.
To optimize phase diagrams, thermodynamic and all other data should be used simultaneously. Normally optimization is done by trial and error methods. In this paper a least squares optimization method is described. The equations of error are constructed using two main ideas. If a measurement is described by $p$ variables and has $q$ degrees of freedom, there are $p-q$ corresponding equations of error. These equations have to be constructed to be strongly dependent on one of the dependent variables and weakly dependent on the $p-q-1$ other dependent variables. The weight-function of the individual equations of error is given by the reciprocal of the accuracy of the value taking into account also the accuracies of the variables temperature and concentration.

This method is used to optimize binary diagrams and in a similar way to correct extrapolated ternary diagrams. As an example the results of the systems Ag-Bi, Ag-Pb and Bi-Pb and the resulting ternary Ag-Bi-Pb will be presented.
Application of Data Bank Methods to Calculation of the Thermochemistry and Phase Diagram of Metal and Ceramic Systems.

Larry Kaufman
ManLabs, Inc.
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

The system developed by National Physical Laboratory and ManLabs have been coupled to provide a Metallurgical Thermochemical Data Bank for storage and retrieval of data and calculation of binary and ternary phase diagrams. Approximately two thousand data sets for pure elements and compounds are stored providing thermodynamic functions and differences for specific reactions in numerical form. Vapor pressure data for gaseous products and solubility data for gases in metals and dilute alloys covering the Henrian range can be retrieved. Similar data for dilute solutions of metals in pure metals and binary alloys is also accessible. The system can also be used to compute all of the forty-five binary systems composed of the metals iron, chromium, nickel, cobalt, aluminum, niobium, molybdenum, titanium, carbon, and tungsten. This facility is available through explicit descriptions of solution and compound phases generated in terms of lattice stability, solution and compound phase parameters which are employed to calculate the stable and metastable phase diagrams and thermochemical properties of the binary systems. The system can be used for calculation of the one hundred twenty possible ternary systems composed of these metals over a wide range of temperature. Addition of manganese, silicon, copper and boron to the data base is currently in progress. When completed, this expansion will raise the total of binary systems to ninety one and ternary systems to three hundred sixty four. A representative sample of thirty ternary systems covering many of the important superalloys has been computed and found to agree favorably with those cases where some phase diagram data are available. The level of agreement attained between calculated and observed diagrams shows that the method provides an important tool for prediction.
of sigma phase stability, eutectic formation and the location of melting point
minima in complex systems. The system operates on data supplied by the user
so that other inorganic, ceramic and metallic combinations can be considered.
At present, extension of the system and data base to oxide, nitride, sulphide
and fused salt systems is being explored. The ManLabs-NPL MATERIALS DATABANK
is accessible via TSO (time sharing option) through standard teletype terminals
which can be connected into the main computer by telephone anywhere in the U.S.
and Canada. Users can employ almost any commercial terminal to gain access
to the system and interact with the DATABANK. There are presently some fifteen
client organizations on the DATABANK and an overview of some of the problem
areas which have been considered will be presented.
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DEMONSTRATION. A demonstration of on-line use of the DATA BANK will be carried
on during the poster session.
THEORETICAL CALCULATION OF PHASE DIAGRAMS USING
THE CLUSTER VARIATION (CV) METHOD

Ryoichi Kikuchi* and Didier de Fontaine
Department of Engineering and Applied Science
University of California, Los Angeles

The CV method is a hierarchy of approximate methods which are improvements of the Bragg-Williams approximation. Each CV method is formulated on a certain cluster of lattice points, called the basic cluster [1,2].

When a tetrahedron is chosen as the basic cluster, for example, the probability that a configuration \((i,j,k,l)\) of the tetrahedron appears in the system is written as \(w_{ijkl}\), and all the \(w_{ijkl}\)'s for different configurations constitute the set of basic variables to be determined for the equilibrium state. The primary claim of the CV method is its unique way of writing the entropy expression in terms of \(w_{ijkl}\)'s [3].

For diagram calculations, the CV method writes the grand potential \(G = F - \sum \mu_i N_i\) (rather than the free energy \(F\)) in terms of \(w_{ijkl}\)'s, and then minimizes \(G\) with respect to \(w_{ijkl}\)'s keeping \(T\) and the chemical potentials \(\mu_i\)'s fixed (rather than the compositions fixed). A phase boundary is determined as the point at which two \(G-\mu\) curves (for the two phases) cross [4,5].

In the process of minimizing \(G\) with respect to \(w_{ijkl}\)'s, a set of algebraic equations (of high order) results. These equations are solved using a special iteration technique called the Natural Iteration
(NI) method. Different from the Newton-Raphson iteration technique, the NI method almost always converges, and at each iteration stage the grand potential decreases step by step [2,6].

These methods are illustrated using the tetrahedron approximation for fcc ternary alloys as examples. Both the clustering phase diagrams and the order - disorder diagrams are shown [7].

*Permanent Address: Hughes Research Labs, Malibu, California 90265

FUNDAMENTAL CALCULATIONS OF COHERENT PHASE DIAGRAMS

Didier deFontaine and Ryoichi Kikuchi*

Department of Engineering and Applied Science
University of California, Los Angeles

By "coherent phase diagram" is meant a temperature-concentration diagram indicating thermodynamic relationships between ordered and disordered solid phases which can all be derived from the same parent lattice. Often, the coherent ordered phases are metastable with respect to the incoherent ones which are described in experimentally determined phase diagrams; thus the coherent D0_{22} ordered phase is metastable with respect to the equilibrium incoherent Ni_{3}Mo intermetallic, for example, although the former can actually be obtained by appropriate heat treatments. The usefulness of coherent (metastable) phase diagrams for the analysis of microstructure has been pointed out. (1)

Incoherent phase diagrams cannot, at present, be computed from first principles, but they can be determined experimentally. By contrast, many coherent phase diagrams cannot be readily constructed experimentally, hence a reliable theoretical model yielding useful prototype phase diagrams is highly desirable. Such a model is provided by the Cluster Variation method first developed by Kikuchi in 1951. (2) In this method, the configurational entropy is treated in a very accurate manner, in contrast to the

* Permanent Address: Hughes Research Labs, Malibu, CA
regular solution or Bragg-Williams entropy which in many cases can be 100% in error, or lead to phase diagrams which are not even topologically correct. (3)

In the CV method, the entropy contains no adjustable parameters so that the excess configurational entropy is calculated completely from first principles. The vibrational entropy change on ordering is assumed negligible by comparison. The enthalpy is expressed as a sum of pair, tetrahedra, ... interactions and, since the entropy can be obtained to a high degree of accuracy, a fit of the theory to experimentally known coherent transition temperatures yields very reliable values of the basic energy parameters. These, in turn, can be used to check or fit pair and many-body interactions obtained from appropriate band structure calculations from which, in principle, all physical properties of alloys can be reduced. Furthermore, the CV method also gives short-range order parameters, at arbitrary temperature and concentration, in the form of pair and higher cluster frequencies, this information being of fundamental importance in x-ray and neutron diffraction studies of local atomic arrangements. (4)

Thus, complete coherent phase diagrams containing several intermediate phases can be computed from a single free energy function with a minimum number of adjustable interaction energy parameters, the physical meaning of which is completely unambiguous. Hence the CV method can be regarded as providing a bridge between experimental phase diagram determination and basic solid-state physics theories.

These ideas will be illustrated in the case of the (coherent) Cu-Au system, (5) for which an excellent fit to the phase diagram has recently been obtained, (6) following the ideas of Van Baal. (7) Additional applications include ternary systems which exhibit both ordering and phase separation. (8)
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EVALUATION OF FREE ENERGY FUNCTIONS FOR THE PREDICTION OF PHASE EQUILIBRIA IN MULTICOMPONENT SYSTEMS: THE Fe-Cu SYSTEM AS AN EXAMPLE

by O. Kubaschewski, J.F. Smith, and D. M. Bailey

An estimation of the phase equilibria in a multicomponent system can be made if free energy functions are available for describing the formation of the competitive phases in the system. Such functions can be generated by combination of functions which describe the free energies of formation of the phases in the contributory binary systems. The generation of such free energy functions for the Fe-Cu binary system is here used as an illustration of a general procedure for developing self-consistent free energy functions. The basic procedure consists in utilizing any reliable thermodynamic data which are available and then developing additional expressions and adjusting parameters on the basis of experimental data for the phase boundaries in the binary temperature-composition diagram.

In the Fe-Cu system extensive thermodynamic data were available only for liquid alloys. These data result from a number of independent investigations of both the enthalpy and free energy of alloy formation and in composite represent an extensive composition range at temperatures in the vicinity of 1800 K. This temperature is sufficiently high that kinetic inhibition to equilibrium is unlikely so that the reproducibility of the data indicates reliability. The data were then used to generate an analytical expression for the free energy of formation of liquid Fe-Cu alloys as a function of temperature and composition. Subsequent refinements to the numerical coefficients in this free energy function were constrained to keep values within the range of scatter of the input experimental data.

Experimental data for solid alloys in the binary Fe-Cu system are lacking. However, Counsell, Lees, and Spencer had made some calorimetric measurements of the enthalpies of formation of a few fcc alloys in the Fe-Cu-Ni ternary system. Through combination of these enthalpy values with data for the Fe-Ni and Ni-Cu alloys plus some knowledge of the phase equilibria in sections of the Fe-Cu-Ni system, they developed a set of functions for the thermodynamics of formation of the fcc phase in the ternary system. In the present work these functions were extrapolated to the binary limit by setting the mole fraction of Ni to zero. Graphical representations of the resultant free energy function vs.
composition indicated correctly that the fcc phase at intermediate alloy compositions tended to decompose to the \( \epsilon \)-phase and the \( \gamma \)-phase which are respectively the Cu-rich and Fe-rich terminal fcc solid solutions in the system. In addition at 1367 K, which is indicated in the phase diagram to be the temperature at which the \( \epsilon \)-phase decomposes peritectically into liquid plus \( \gamma \)-phase, graphical comparison of the free energies of formation of the liquid and fcc phases indicated equilibrium compositions for the liquid and \( \gamma \)-phase in close accord with experimental values. However, the free energy of formation of the \( \epsilon \)-phase was much too negative which prompted modification of the excess entropy term. This modification plus refinement of numerical coefficients predicted compositions for the phase boundaries associated with the \( \text{Liq-\epsilon} \), \( \epsilon -\gamma \), and \( \text{Liq-\gamma} \) equilibria in close accord with the published phase diagram.

An expression was then developed for the free energy of formation of the \( \alpha \) and \( \delta \) phases which are respectively the low-temperature and high-temperature bcc terminal solutions on the Fe-rich side of the system. This expression is based on the approach of Hillert et al. \(^2\) and incorporates data for pure Fe. Adjustable parameters were fixed on the bases of the peritectic reaction at 1751 K and the eutectoid reaction at 1123 K.

The final free energy expressions for the liquid, fcc-phases, and bcc-phases reproduce the experimental phase boundaries of the temperature-composition diagram generally to a fraction of an atom per cent and no instance worse than \( \pm 2 \) at. %. These final free energy functions are expressed in terms of mole fraction and temperature and, in the sense of consistency with the observed temperature-composition diagram, adequately take into account the Fe-Cu interaction parameters. Since the importance of interaction parameters tends to decrease with the order of the interaction from binary to ternary to quaternary, etc., the free energy functions for the binary system may be combined with similar functions for other binary systems to give a reasonable first order prediction of multicomponent equilibria.

---

* Institut für Kernbrenstoffe und Theoretische Hüttenkunde, Technische Hochschule, 5100 Aachen, B.R.D.
† Ames Laboratory USERDA, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011, U.S.A.

CHARACTERISTICS AND CALCULATION OF STABILITY DIAGRAMS
by Scott McCormick and Yaz Bilimoria
Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, ILL. 60616

Stability diagrams are equilibrium diagrams that map phase equilibria in systems containing both metallic and non-metallic components as a function of temperature, non-metal chemical potential or activity, and $X_M$, the ratio of M atoms to metal atoms. They offer an alternative perspective on phase equilibria and reaction paths in these systems. The characteristics and calculation of two forms of stability diagrams for systems containing one non-metallic and two metallic components will be discussed. In these two types of diagrams phase equilibria are mapped as a function of (1) non-metal activity and $X_M$ at constant temperature, and (2) temperature and $X_M$ at constant or continuously varying non-metal activity.

These diagrams are similar in form to conventional temperature-composition diagrams with horizontal tie lines and eutectic and peritectic-like features. The lever law can be used to calculate the distribution of metal atoms between phases in equilibrium. Non-metal activity-$X_M$ plots are ideal for carrying out ternary Gibbs-Duhem integrations with Schuhmann's method.

These types of diagrams can be calculated from plots of Gibbs free energy versus $X_M$ at constant temperature and non-metal activity. One mole of metal is chosen as the basis for the calculation. The two free energy ordinates are the molar free energies of the two metals. Temperature and non-metal activity are fixed, so that the condensed phases, stable and unstable, have the same non-metal chemical potential. The free energy of the system is therefore minimized when the combined free energies of the two metals are at a minimum. The curves are of the form

$$G^\eta = X_A oG_A^\eta + X_B oG_B^\eta + RT (X_A \ln a_A^\eta + X_B \ln a_B^\eta)$$
The $\Delta G_M^\eta$ terms are the molar free energies of component $M$ in $\eta$-phase standard states at fixed temperature and non-metal activity. The $\alpha_M^\eta$ terms are the metal activities in solution with respect to the $\Delta G_M^\eta$ standard states. To calculate the free energy curves, the thermodynamics of each stable solid or liquid solution must be known.

The Fe-Cr-O system will be used to illustrate these principles.
Effect of Irradiation on Phase Stability

P. A. Miodownik
Metallurgy and Materials Technology Department
University of Surrey, U. K.

The workshop has received notice that Dr. Miodownik, together with L. Kaufman (Manlabs) and G. Inden (Max Planck Inst.), in conjunction with J. S. Watkins (British Atomic Energy Authority) are cooperatively investigating the effect of irradiation on phase stability, particularly in stainless steels. Some interesting recent advances will be discussed by Dr. Miodownik, in part, in relation to some work on W-Re alloys performed by R. Williams (Oak Ridge). (1)

With the current interest in reactor programs, the problem should receive an airing as a major field of specialized activity, which particularly raises the problem of phase equilibrium at temperatures where normal diffusion rates are very slow and it is difficult to obtain the necessary data by direct experiment.


(Text for this post-deadline paper prepared by the ADC).
Facility for the Analysis of Chemical Thermodynamics

(A computerized Canadian thermodynamic data bank)

A. D. Belton, C. W. Bale (Dépt. de génie métallurgique, Ecole Polytechnique, Université de Montréal, Montréal) and W. T. Thompson (McGill University, Dept. of Metallurgical and Mineral Engineering, Montreal, Canada)

An interactive computer system for the treatment of thermodynamic data (including phase diagram calculations) is being developed. The system will eventually contain an extensive data base. However, the facility will be much more than a data bank. Rather, the system will be capable of performing sophisticated calculations using either stored or user-supplied data. All input and output will be in conversational mode from remote terminals from which users will contact the system via telephone. The software has already been developed for a number of types of calculation.

One program is concerned with the calculation of generalized "predominance area diagrams". A sample output is shown in Fig. 1. The user has specified the system (Cu-S-O), the axes (partial pressures of S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} and of O\textsubscript{2}), the limits of the axes, and the constant temperature. The computer has calculated the diagram using stored data. Any thermodynamically possible diagram can be calculated for systems of up to 5 components containing S, O, H, C, Cl, etc. Axes and constants can be T, 1/T, \log(Y), ln(Y), or RTln(Y) where Y is a partial pressure, an activity, or a ratio of partial pressures or activities such as \( \frac{p_{CO}}{p_{CO_2}} \).

A second program involves the calculation of binary and ternary phase diagrams. In Fig. 2 is an output of \( \log p_{O_2} \) versus metal ratio in the ternary Fe-Cr-O system at constant \( T = 1573 \) K. Plots of composition versus \( T \) at constant \( p_{O_2} \) as well as at constant \( \frac{p_{CO}}{p_{CO_2}} \), etc. are also possible. Clearly, the same program can also be used to calculate binary phase diagrams. A calculated quaternary diagram for the Fe-HI-S-O system is shown in Fig. 3.

A third program is concerned with calculations involving chemical reactions. Nearly any possible thermochemical calculation can be made for isothermal, adiabatic, isentropic, etc. paths.

Other software routines are under development. FACT should be operational on-line by the end of 1977. In the meantime, those interested in the analysis of specific systems with FACT should contact the authors.

SYSTEM CU-S-0
TEMPERATURE = 950.00 KELVIN
Y = P(S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2})

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{LOG10}(Y) = & 4.00 & \text{CU2S} & \text{CU*S*04} \\
\text{LOG10}(Y) = & 3.50 & \text{CU*S*04} \\
\text{LOG10}(Y) = & 3.00 & \text{CU2S} & \text{CU20} \\
\text{LOG10}(Y) = & 2.50 & \text{CU20} & \text{CUO} \\
\text{LOG10}(Y) = & 2.00 & \text{CUO} & \text{CUO*CUO4} \\
\text{LOG10}(Y) = & 1.50 & \text{CUO*CUO4} \\
\text{LOG10}(Y) = & 1.00 & \text{CUO*CUO4} \\
\text{LOG10}(Y) = & 0.50 & \text{CUO} \\
\text{LOG10}(Y) = & 0.00 & \text{CUO} \\
\text{LOG10}(Y) = & -0.50 & \text{CUO} \\
\text{LOG10}(Y) = & -1.00 & \text{CUO} \\
\text{LOG10}(Y) = & -1.50 & \text{CUO} \\
\text{LOG10}(Y) = & -2.00 & \text{CUO} \\
\text{LOG10}(Y) = & -2.50 & \text{CUO} \\
\text{LOG10}(Y) = & -3.00 & \text{CUO} \\
\text{LOG10}(Y) = & -3.50 & \text{CUO} \\
\text{LOG10}(Y) = & -4.00 & \text{CUO} \\
\text{LOG10}(Y) = & -4.50 & \text{CUO} \\
\text{LOG10}(Y) = & -5.00 & \text{CUO} \\
\text{LOG10}(Y) = & -5.50 & \text{CUO} \\
\text{LOG10}(Y) = & -6.00 & \text{CUO} \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{LOG10}(X) = & -16.00 & X = P(02) & 4.00 \\
\end{array}
\]
Demonstration. It is hoped that an actual demonstration of the system via direct hookup to Montreal will be carried on during the poster session.
The calculation of Pourbaix diagrams using a modified linear programming technique

Barry H. Rosof
Technology Division, Cabot Corporation, Kokomo, Indiana 46901

An algorithm for calculating Pourbaix diagrams (both partial pressure diagrams and potential pH diagrams) that is particularly suitable for use on a digital computer is presented. The algorithm consists of two principal parts. In the first part, the thermodynamic conditions under which a given phase is stable with respect to all other phases is formulated as a set of linear inequalities. In the second part, the set of linear inequalities is solved by a modified linear programming technique to yield the region in which the subject phase is the stable phase.

Any chemical reaction can be written as

\[ 0 = \sum v_i A_i, \]  
(1)

\[ 0 = \frac{3}{2} O_2 (g) + 2SO_2 (g) + Cu_2O - 2CuSO_4 (s). \]  
(2)

By convention Species 3 and 4 are taken as the metal bearing species. The condition that Species 3 is stable wrt Species 4 (\( \Delta G < 0 \)) can be written after rearranging and after fixing the activities of all species except Species 1 and 2 as

\[ a_1 \xi_1 + a_2 \xi_2 \leq B, \]  
(3)

where

\[ -B = \Delta G^0 + \sum_{i=3}^{n} v_i RT \xi_i, \]  
(4)

\[ \xi_i = \ln a_i \]  
(5)

and

\[ a_i = v_i RT. \]  
(6)

In the present case

\[ \frac{3}{2} RT \ln P_{O_2} + 2RT \ln P_{SO_2} \leq \Delta G^0, \]  
(7)

where the activities of the solid phases have been set equal to unity.

For Species 3 to be stable it must not only be stable wrt Species 4 but also stable wrt all other possible metal bearing species, e.g. Cu_2O must be stable wrt CuS, Cu_S, Cu, CuO, etc., as well as wrt CuSO_4. If there are n metal bearing species there are n-1 stability conditions, each of the form given in Equation 3. The n-1 stability conditions, or constraints, define the region where Species 3 (Cu_2O) is stable as a function of \( \xi_1 \) and \( \xi_2 \) (\( \ln P_{O_2} \) and \( \ln P_{SO_2} \)). The constraints delimit a polygon in \( \xi_1-\xi_2 \) space.

The problem of finding the region (of stability) that satisfies the n-1 constraints can be solved by a modified version of the linear programming technique known as the two phase simplex process.

In Phase 1 the standard tableau is set up, but the cost row is omitted. (The "cost" row for Phase 1 is retained.) The conventional Phase 1 algorithm is executed leading to a basic feasible solution.
A basic feasible solution corresponds to one corner of the polygon delimiting the region of stability. The corner where Cu, Cu$_2$S and Cu$_2$O coexist (Fig. 1) is a basic feasible solution for Cu$_2$O. The object in Phase 2 is to find all basic feasible solutions.

The algorithm for selecting the vector to enter the base in Phase 2 is modified as follows: The two vectors not in the base are labeled A and B. Vector A is chosen to enter the base, Vector B becomes Vector A, and the vector leaving the base becomes Vector B. The vector leaving the base is chosen in the standard fashion so that the new solution is also a basic feasible solution. This procedure is repeated until the original basic feasible solution is obtained, yielding all corners of the polygon.

A new species is then chosen as the subject species and the entire procedure repeated to yield the polygon delimiting its region of stability. This procedure is continued until the region of stability is found for all metal bearing species to yield the complete diagram.

Electrochemical as well as high temperature systems can be treated. Additional non-metal bearing chemical species can be included at fixed activities. For example, the Cu-O$_2$-SO$_2$ diagram changes markedly if chlorine is introduced into the system at a fixed partial pressure of 10$^{-10}$ atm.

---

Fig. 1. Cu-O$_2$-SO$_2$ System
\[ T = 700^\circ\text{K}. \]

---

Fig. 2. Cu-SO$_2$-Cl$_2$ System
\[ T = 700^\circ\text{K} \quad P_{\text{Cl}_2} = 10^{-10} \text{ atm} \]
\[ P_{\text{CuCl}(g)} < 10^{-2} \text{ atm}. \]
\[ P_{\text{Cu}_3\text{Cl}_3(g)} < 10^{-2} \text{ atm}. \]
THEORETICAL CONCEPTS USEFUL IN THE CALCULATION OR
STORAGE OF PHASE DIAGRAMS OF IONIC SYSTEMS

Marie-Louise Saboungi and Milton Blander
Chemical Engineering Division
Argonne National Laboratory
Argonne, Illinois 60439

Equations for the \textit{a priori} calculation or for the storage of phase diagrams and thermodynamic properties of molten ternary ionic systems can be derived from a variety of models. We have focused on the conformal ionic solution (CIS) theory which rigorously takes into account the ionic nature of the melts.\textsuperscript{1-3} Thermodynamic functions for ternary ionic systems have been derived for cases in which all the cations have the same charge and all the anions have the same charge.\textsuperscript{1-5} For reciprocal systems, e.g., $A^+ B^+/X^-, Y^-$, defined by the three components $AY$, $BX$ and $BY$, the excess free energy of mixing, $\Delta G^E$, up to second order reads as:

$$ \Delta G^E = \Delta G^O + \sum a_{AX} X + \sum a_{BX} Y + \sum a_{XY} A + \sum a_{AXB} X Y ZRT \left(\frac{\Delta G^O}{2}\right)^2 \tag{1} $$

where the X's are ion fractions, $\Delta G^O$ is the free energy change for the metathetical reaction $AX + BY = AY + BX$, a's are coefficients deduced from the four subsidiary binaries, and $Z$ is a parameter taken to be 6.

For additive systems, e.g., $A^+ B^+ C^+/X^-$, the excess free energy of mixing, $\Delta G^E$, up to third order reads as:

$$ \Delta G^E = \sum \sum a_{ij} X_i X_j + \sum \sum b_{ij} X_i^2 X_j + c X_A X_B X_C \tag{2} $$

where the coefficients $b_{ij}$ are derived from the three subsidiary binaries and $c$ is directly related to the $b_{ij}$'s.

Using eqs. (1) or (2) and classical thermodynamic relations, one can calculate phase diagrams of the two classes of ternary systems \textit{a priori} from data on the lower order systems. Comparisons of such calculations with experimental data have been made for liquidus phase diagrams and miscibility
gaps in a number of ternary systems;\textsuperscript{6-8} the agreement has generally been very good. An empirical extension of the theory to a broader range of systems, in which a pair of cations or anions have different charges, has shown to lead to a good representation of the phase diagrams.\textsuperscript{9}

The success of these calculations indicates their usefulness in evaluating the self-consistency of measurements and in the extrapolation of data into unmeasured regions. The equations should also prove useful for the storage of phase diagrams. The accuracy of such stored information can be improved by treating the coefficients $a$, $b$, $c$, $\Delta G^\circ$ and $Z$ as somewhat adjustable parameters and by adding more terms to eqs. (1) and (2) [e.g., one can add third order terms to eq. (1)]. Such procedures, when fully developed, should permit the storage of complex ternary phase diagrams with a minimum number of parameters.
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ESTIMATION OF ISOThERMAl SECTIONS OF TERNARY PHASE DIAGRAMS
OF LITHIUM-CONTAINING SYSTEMS: THE Li-Al-Mg SYSTEM

M. L. Saboungi and C. C. Hsu
Chemical Engineering Division
Argonne National Laboratory
Argonne, Illinois 60439

In the development of high-temperature batteries, lithium and its
alloys are primary candidates for the negative electrode. The Li-Al elec-
trode has promising features which led to an intensive study of the thermo-
dynamic and electrochemical properties of this binary system.\(^1\) Despite
the performance of cells with this alloy electrode, problems were encountered
which gave rise to a loss of capacity with repeated cycling. Addition of a
third element is being considered in an effort to overcome these limitations.
Knowledge of the ternary phase diagrams and especially of the phases stable
at the temperatures of operation of the battery (648 K to 773 K) is vital
in this effort.

We report on computer-generated isothermal sections of a candidate system,
Li-Al-Mg, at four temperatures: \(T = 648, 673, 723\) and \(773\) K. A computer
program developed by Kaufman and Nesor\(^2\) has been used. To summarize the
method, the solutions (binary or ternary) are treated as simple solutions.
Available thermodynamic data on the binaries are properly incorporated into
the Koehler equations to calculate boundary curves for each interaction
occurring in the ternary system. In the present case, thermodynamic prop-
ties of the Li-Al system were calculated using emf measurements\(^3,4\) and the
recent phase diagram\(^5\); emf measurements\(^6\) and the binary phase diagram\(^7\) were also
used to deduce necessary thermodynamic coefficients for the Li-Mg system.
Finally, the data selected by Hultgren et al.\(^7\) were used for the Al-Mg binary.
To our knowledge, reliable measurements in the Li-Al-Mg system have been carried out only in the Mg-rich corner at $T = 648$ K. The calculated portion of the phase diagram is in reasonably good agreement with the experimental results. Despite inherent limitations in such computations, they remain important in ultimately deducing phase relations. The present calculations should be considered as a good approximation to the phase diagram. These results can be refined by incorporating, in a thermodynamically self-consistent way, very few experimental data at key compositions. A reliable phase diagram can thus be computed with a minimum number of measurements.
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Кибернетическое прогнозирование образования химических
соединений в неисследованных системах
/ Тезисы доклада /

Расчёт существования и устойчивости металлических и других не-органических фаз из "первых принципов" остаётся пока неразрешённой проблемой. С другой стороны, появление и существование фаз определяется многими факторами: размеры и свойства атомов и составляющих их частиц, воздействие физико-химических сред, внешних физических полей, состава, температуры, давления, кинетики. Величина этих параметров переменна и индивидуальна для конкретных фаз.

Учёт одновременно большого количества факторов под силу кибернетическим устройствам при условии задания логико-математической системы анализа взаимодействия этих факторов. По существу мы имеем сложную кибернетическую систему. Кибернетический подход к химическим системам как сложным системам со скрытыми, но управляемыми, связями позволяет построить обучающиеся машинные программы, способные прогнозировать поведение и свойства химических объектов.

Стремление получить прогноз из "первых принципов" подсказывает использование в качестве исходных данных фундаментальных характеристик атомов химических элементов — атомного номера, распределения электронов по энергетическим состояниям в атоме и других. Но в зависимости от задачи исследования могут быть использованы различные характеристики химических элементов или известных фаз, представления зонной и других физических теорий строения веществ.

Первые исследования в этой области были начаты нами в 1966 г. /1/. На двойных системах была показана возможность прогноза существования неорганических соединений определённого состава: \( A_3B, A_2E \) и \( A_3B /2-4/\), а также фаз с определённой кристаллической структурою /Лавес-фазы, сигма-фазы, фазы типа A15 и других/. В основу иссло-
нии электронов по энергетическим состояниям в изолированных атомах. Многие из прогнозированных соединений сейчас уже синтезированы.

По нашим подсчётом достоверность прогноза не ниже 90%. Для некоторых составов прогнозировались типы кристаллохимических реакции образования соединений /5/, типы кристаллических структур /6/, а также некоторые физические свойства, как то, критическая температура перехода в сверхпроводящее состояние /7/ и температура плавления /8/.

Учитывая перспективность настоящего направления, начаты исследования по прогнозу тройных химических соединений и созданию более мощной кибернетической системы. В первую очередь прогнозировались наиболее представительные классы соединений, представляющие практический интерес / AB03, AB2O4, ABQ, A2B2O7, AB2S4/ /9/, а также наиболее распространённые типы кристаллических структур / перовскиты, шпинели, пирохлоры /. В настоящее время ведется работа по накоплению опыта прогноза тройных неорганических соединений и проверке сходимости прогноза с экспериментальными данными.

Полученные прогнозы значительно расширяют область поиска неорганических материалов с заданными свойствами. Они расширяют наши возможности теоретического расчёта диаграмм состояния и новых фаз и показывают относительность существовавших представлений о диаграммах состояния как инструменте познания физико-химических систем. Они требуют также уточнения понятия химического соединения, условий его образования, стехиометричности, равновесного состояния, кинетических данных и более широкой формулировки термодинамического правила фаз с учётом воздействий различных физических полей, вакуума, дисперсности и т.п.
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Cybernetic Prediction of the Formation of Chemical Compounds in Uninvestigated Systems

by

E. M. Savitskii, V. B. Gribulya, N. N. Kiseleva

Baikov Institute of Metallurgy
Academy of Science of the USSR
Moscow

Calculations of the existence and stability of the metallic as well as other inorganic phases on the basis of "first principles" remains an unresolved problem. On the other hand, the occurrence and existence of phases is determined by many factors (size and properties of atoms as well as their constituent particles, interactions of physical media, effects of external physical fields, composition, temperature, pressure, kinetics). The magnitude of these parameters is variable and unique for each specific phase.

One can take into account simultaneously a large number of these factors in view of the capabilities of cybernetic devices under the conditions of assigning mathematical-logic system of analysis of the interactions between these factors. In fact we deal with a complex cybernetic system. The cybernetic approach to chemical systems as to complex systems with latent, however guiding, links (or ties) enables one to develop self-educating computer programs which would be capable of predicting the behavior as well as properties of chemical systems.

The attempt to obtain prediction from "first principles" suggests the use of the fundamental characteristics of atoms of the atoms as the starting data—atomic number, distribution of electrons in accordance with energy states in the atom, as well as others. However depending on the problem under consideration, different characteristics of chemical elements from the known phases can be utilized, which are the concepts of the zone as well as the other physical theories for the structure of matter.

Our first research in this area began in 1966 (1). Using binary systems we were able to show the possibility of predicting the existence of inorganic compounds of definite composition; AB, A₂B, A₂B₂ /2-4/, as well as the phases with definite crystal structure (Laves-phases, sigma-phases, Al₅-type phases et al). As the primary data for these predictions, information on the distribution of electrons in accordance with the energy states in isolated atoms were taken. Many of the compounds which were predicted have been now synthesized. According to our calculations, the reliability of our predictions is no less than 90%. For certain compounds, types of crystal-chemical reactions for the formation of the compounds (5), crystal-structure type (6), as well as certain physical properties, such as critical temperature of transition to the superconducting state (7), and melting point (8) were predicted.
Taking into account the future perspective of this inquiry, we have started investigations into the predictability of ternary-chemical systems as well as the creation of a larger cybernetic system. We first of all made predictions on the most representative classes of the compounds of practical interest \((ABO_3, AB_2O_4, ABO_4, A_2B_2O_7, AB_2O_4)\) (9), as well as the most widespread types of crystal structures (perovskites, spinels, periclase). At present we are conducting the work and acquiring experience with the prediction of ternary inorganic compounds and verification of the accuracy of prediction with known experimental data.

The obtained predictions provide for a significant expansion of the areas of search for inorganic materials with specific desirable properties. They extend our capabilities of theoretical calculations of phase diagrams and new phases as well as shows the correlation between existing concepts of phase diagrams as an instrument of understanding physi-chemical systems. They require also a more specific understanding of chemical compounds, conditions for their formation, stoichiometry, equilibrium state, kinetic data, and wider (broader) formulation of the thermodynamic phase rules which would take into account the interactions of various physical fields, vacuum, dispersity of the system, etc.
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THE DETERMINATION AND REPRESENTATION OF METASTABLE PHASE DIAGRAM FEATURES
AND SOME KINETIC CHARACTERISTICS OF ALLOYS

Bill C. Giessen

Institute of Chemical Analysis, Applications and Forensic Science
and Department of Chemistry
Northeastern University, Boston, Mass. 02115

The current strong interest in non-equilibrium alloys, especially amorphous
metals, has focused attention on the possibility of systematically presenting
metastable equilibria in phase diagrams and even including kinetic features,
primarily in order to depict their composition dependence.

The non-equilibrium phases of prime interest are the following (we use
the term "phases" here for constitutional entities and not necessarily in the
Gibbsian sense):

1. Metastable extensions of terminal or intermediate solid solutions;
2. Metastable intermediate phases;
3. Amorphous alloys.

These phases have been dealt with in detail in many recent papers, e.g.,
References 1-4; alloy constitutional aspects are emphasized in references 1,
3, 5. Especially in reference 5, the constitutional requirements of metastable
phase formation have been discussed intensively and the kinetic aspects are
treated in terms of solidification theory.

Because most metastable metallic phases studied to date either have two
components or two groups of closely related components, and because they are
principally prepared from the melt, we focus here on binary systems; we also
disregard pressure effects. We treat three types of phase diagram-related
plots involving nonequilibrium phases:

1. x-T phase diagram representations and related diagrams;
2. Free energy diagrams;

3. Diagrams involving kinetic parameters.

1. **x-T Diagrams**
   
   a. x-T diagrams showing metastable phases, and the equilibria involving them, especially metastable melting reactions. This representation should be as complete as possible, including all metastable phases that could energetically form from the liquid in constrained equilibrium upon supercooling of the latter to 0 K.

   b. x-\( T_0 \) diagrams containing \( T_0 \), the cross-over temperatures of the free energy curves of the respective phases at composition \( x \). These curves are of considerable practical importance as, for liquid-solid transitions, they define the composition limits within which metastable phases or metastable extensions of equilibrium phases can be retained by supercooling of the melt to a given temperature if equilibrium phase formation is prevented and glass formation does not intervene.

   c. x-\( T^A \) plots, showing the composition dependence of the temperatures of phase transitions involving a property \( A \) of metastable phases, such as magnetic or superconducting transitions.

   d. x-\( \Delta T_s \) plots, giving the supercooling temperatures \( \Delta T_s \) required for the formation of a given metastable phase.

2. **Free Energy Diagrams**

   G-T-x diagrams (three dimensional for binary systems) and their G-T(\( x = \) constant) and G-x(\( T = \) constant) sections. Diagrams of this type can incorporate non-equilibrium phases having free energies exceeding those of phases that can be included in x-T diagrams; knowledge of these phases over at least a certain free energy range is a requirement for a complete description of a given alloy system.
in terms of fundamental concepts, as they should correctly predict not only equilibrium phases but also more energetic phases.

3. **Diagrams Involving Kinetic Parameters**
   
a. $x-T_g$ and $x-T_c$ plots of $T_g$, the glass transition and $T_c$, the crystallization temperature, vs. alloy composition.\(^6\) As $T_g$ and $T_c$ depend on the heating rate, $\dot{T}$, three dimensional representations $x-T_g-\dot{T}$ and $x-T_c-\dot{T}$ are required. At present, two dimensional $\dot{T}-T_c$ plots (which yield the activation energies of crystallization) exist for some selected compositions $x$, and $x-T_g$ and $x-T_c$ data taken at fixed $\dot{T}$ are available for some systems.
   
b. $T-T-T$ (time-temperature-transformation) plots at fixed composition, both for nonequilibrium phase formation\(^7\) and decomposition.\(^8\) The former are especially useful for an interpretation of the occurrence of amorphous metals in terms of operational parameters such as the cooling rate; the latter describe their thermal stability below $T_c$. Relations of the reduced glass transition temperature to the cooling rate also exist.

These diagrams and the techniques used in their determination will be discussed. Their purpose is to present thermal and other parameters for the total range of condensed phases amenable to experimental or theoretical study.


An Analytical Method of Construction and Representation of Diagrams of Equilibrium of Multicomponent Systems

O. S. Ivanov, A. I. Udovsky and A. M. Gaydukov

A. A. Baykov Institute of Metallurgy
Academy of Sciences
Moscow, U.S.S.R.

A method of construction of phase boundaries of diagrams of equilibrium of multicomponent systems is proposed, proceeding from the known experimental diagrams of equilibrium of two-component and other subsystems and limited number of additional experiments for alloys of multicomponent systems in question. An analytical record of surface of one/two-phase phase boundary for multicomponent system in question is presented in two parts. The first part is determined by computed analytical expressions for the corresponding known phase boundaries as a whole (as a whole along all curves for two-component subsystems), and as not by separate points of binary subsystems, as it is realised by the existing analytical methods. The second part is computed irrespective of the first one by the method of the least squares, proceeding from the experimental data for more than binary alloys. The proposed method allows to construct analytically smooth phase boundaries of diagrams of equilibrium of dependence property-composition (within the limits of a definite phase field), practically, of any complex shape for a system with the arbitrary number of components. By way of illustration the first part of surface of liquidus in the system $UUC-UN$ computed with the help of a computer is presented as an analytical record as well as in a graphic representation for a family of $13$ isotherms.

The proposed method is developed conformably to construction of diagrams of equilibrium of threecOMPONENT systems on condition
that all the binary subsystems are eutectic. To our mind, practically, it can be spread to any types of diagrams of equilibrium of multicomponent systems.

On these grounds a programme of actions is proposed: 1) for an analytical generalization and representation of the whole accumulated experimental material on the diagrams of equilibrium for double, threefold and more complex systems, usually graphic represented, 2) keeping of these data in a computer's memory, 3) their delivery from a computer in different forms (analytical, tabular-like, graphic), 4) an analytical calculation of proceedings from these data and a limited number of experimental data of unknown diagrams of equilibrium of more complex systems, 5) comparison and coordination with the help of a computer of experimental data on thermodynamic parameters for the excess enthalpy and entropy of alloys as well as for the difference of the enthalpy and entropy between different phases of pure components and the thermodynamic calculation on the basis of these parameters of the unknown diagrams of equilibrium of multicomponent systems, 7) the use of diagrams of equilibrium and computers for the calculation of the thermodynamic and physical parameters of the metallic phases in order to use them later on in the quantum-mechanical calculations of the unknown diagrams of equilibrium, thermodynamic and physical properties of alloy of multicomponent systems.

Step by step programme of realization of this complex task is proposed.
The author of this abstract is unable to attend the workshop; his paper will not be presented. A full paper will be published in the workshop proceedings.

THE CALCULATION OF MULTICOMPONENT ALLOY PHASE DIAGRAMS AT THE NATIONAL PHYSICAL LABORATORY, UK

T G Chart

National Physical Laboratory
Teddington, Middlesex, England

Members of the Division of Chemical Standards, National Physical Laboratory, are currently engaged in the calculation of ternary and quaternary phase diagrams of relevance to superalloys and related materials, from the thermodynamic data for the binary systems involved. Computer methods involving the minimization of Gibbs energy are utilized. At present we are in a position to calculate isothermal sections in over 100 different ternary systems. In order to extend this range an extensive programme of critical assessment of thermodynamic data for binary systems, mainly involving transition metal-boron and transition metal-silicon systems is being undertaken. The full scope of this work will be described, and recent examples of calculated ternary diagrams included.
SYNTHESIS OF BINARY METALLIC SYSTEMS
I. ISOMORPHOUS SYSTEMS
II. SIMPLE EUTECTIC SYSTEMS

S.S. Balakrishna and A.K. Mallik,
Department of Metallurgical Engineering,
Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay,
INDIA

I. The isomorphous binary metallic systems of Ag-Pd, Cb-Ti, Mo-W, Mo-V, V-W and Cb-W have been calculated from the available information of heats of fusion, heats of vaporization, molar volumes, heat capacities, melting temperatures, etc. of the pure components with various theoretical models of ideal, regular, and quasi-chemical using computing techniques without recourse to extensive experimentation. The equations for the phase boundaries for these models are:

\[ \Delta F_A^{\alpha \rightarrow L} + RT \ln \frac{1-XL}{1-Xa} = 0 \] (for ideal case)

\[ \Delta F_B^{\alpha \rightarrow L} + RT \ln \frac{XL}{Xa} = 0 \]

\[ \Delta F_A^{\alpha \rightarrow L} + RT \ln \frac{1-XL}{1-Xa} = Xa^2 B - XL^2 L \] (for regular solution case)

\[ \Delta F_B^{\alpha \rightarrow L} + RT \ln \frac{XL}{Xa} = (1-Xa)^2 B - (1-XL)^2 L \]

\[ \Delta F_A^{\alpha \rightarrow L} + RT \ln \frac{1-XL}{1-Xa} = RT \frac{Z}{2} \lambda_a Xa^2 \sqrt{1-2\lambda_a Xa} + 6\lambda_a \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{5}{2} \lambda_a \right) Xa^2 J - RT \frac{Z}{2} \lambda_a XL^2 \sqrt{1-2\lambda_a} XL + 6\lambda_L \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{5}{2} \lambda_L \right) XL^2 J \]
\[ \Delta F_A^{\text{L}} + RT \ln \left( \frac{X_L}{X_a} \right) = RT \frac{7}{2} \left( \ln(\lambda_a+1) - 2\lambda_a X_a \right) \]

\[ + \lambda_a \left( 1 + 3\lambda_a \right) X_a^2 - 4\lambda_a^2 \left( 1 + \frac{10}{3} \lambda_a \right) X_a^3 + 6\lambda_a^2 \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{5}{3} \lambda_a \right) X_a^4 \]

\[ - \left( \ln(\lambda_L+1) - 2\lambda_L X_L + \lambda_L \left( 1 + 3\lambda_L \right) X_L^2 - 4\lambda_L^2 \left( 1 + \frac{10}{3} \lambda_L \right) X_L^3 \right) \]

\[ + 6\lambda_L^2 \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{5}{3} \lambda_L \right) X_L^4 \] for quasi-chemical model.

II. The simple eutectic binary metallic systems of Ag-Si, Sn-Al, Au-Si, Be-Si, Bi-Cd have been calculated from the available thermodynamic data of heats of fusion, heats of vaporization, molar volumes, heat capacities, melting temperatures, etc. of the pure components with various theoretical models of ideal, regular and quasi-chemical using computing techniques without recourse to extensive experimentation. The equations for phase boundaries for these models are:

\[ \Delta F_A^{\text{L}} + RT \ln (1-XAL) = 0, \]

for ideal case

\[ \Delta F_B^{\text{L}} + RT \ln XBL = 0 \]

\[ \Delta F_A^{\text{L}} + RT \ln (1-XAL) = -L (XAL)^2, \]

for regular solution case

\[ \Delta F_B^{\text{L}} + RT \ln (XBL) = -L (1 - XBL)^2 \]

and

\[ \Delta F_A^{\text{L}} + RT \ln (1-XAL) = -RT \frac{7}{2} \lambda_L (XAL)^2 \sqrt{1-2\lambda_L(XAL)} \]

\[ + 6\lambda_L \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{5}{3} \lambda_L \right) (XAL)^2 \]
\[ \Delta F_s \rightarrow L = RT \ln (XBL) = RT \frac{7}{2} \left\{ (\ln (\lambda_L + 1) - 2\lambda_L) (XBL) + \lambda_L (1 + 3\lambda_L) (XBL)^2 - 4\lambda_L^2 (1 + \frac{10}{3} \lambda_L) (XBL)^3 + 6\lambda_L (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{5}{3} \lambda_L) (XBL)^4 + (\ln (\lambda_s + 1) - 2\lambda_s + \lambda_s (1 + 3\lambda_s) - 4\lambda_s (1 + \frac{10}{3} \lambda_s) + 6\lambda_s^2 (\frac{1}{4} + \frac{5}{3} \lambda_s)) \right\} \] for quasi-chemical model.

The phase diagrams in ideal cases with or without \( C_p \) data remain same in all the systems considered.

All the theoretical models are compared with the experimentally observed diagrams from ASM Handbook.
Quantitative Fits to Phase Lines and High Temperature Thermodynamic Data for Systems Forming Semiconductor Compounds

Robert F. Brebrick
Metallurgy and Materials Science Program
Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI 53233

We have been interested in phase diagrams of binary systems involving compound or elemental semiconductors. These are relatively simple compared to the diagrams for some metallic systems and consequently a more detailed investigation of the process of theoretically constructing these phase diagrams is not unmanageable. For a congruently-melting, narrow homogeneity-range compound the composition dependence of the compound's Gibbs free energy of formation can usually be neglected, an approximation often implicitly made in thermochemistry. The general thermodynamic equations connecting the solidus and liquidus lines of the compound are then separable. A liquidus equation can be obtained which is general as far as the liquid phase is concerned and therefore be used with any model.

Certain properties of the compound enter this liquidus equation as input parameters. These are the idealized composition (usually the stoichiometric composition), the melting temperature and the heat of fusion, and the temperature dependence of the enthalpy and entropy of formation of the compound form its pure liquid elements. In the derivation of this equation the properties of the liquid and compound are seen to be linked through the requirement that the congruent melting involves a zero change in Gibbs free energy. This furnishes two equations which hold at the melting point and which connect the enthalpy of formation and heat of fusion with the heat-of-mixing of the liquid at the stoichiometric composition on the one hand, and the entropies of formation and fusion with the entropy of mixing of the liquid on the other. For brevity we refer to these equations as the auxiliary relations. If the experimental liquidus lines for the compound can be satisfactorily duplicated with some model of the liquid phase then the second half of the problem, the calculation of the solidus lines, can be attacked using one of the known thermodynamic models for a defect semiconductor compound. Alternatively, provided certain other data are available, such as the partial pressure of one element along the three-phase curve for the compound, a calculation or theoretical fit of the solidus lines can be directly attempted without first establishing an appropriate liquid phase model.

The latter approach has been followed for the compounds PbTe and SnTe$^2$. Aside from confirming the validity of the defect model used and establishing values for some of the intrinsic, high temperature properties of the compounds, the results potentially furnish the starting point for a calculation of the homogeneity range for all Pb/Sn ratios in the PbTe-SnTe pseudobinary.
A quantitative measure-of-fit $\sigma(T)$ is defined as

$$\sigma^2(T) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (T_{ij,\text{cal}} - T_{ij,\text{obs}})^2 / N$$  

(1)

where $T_{ij,\text{obs}}$ is an observed liquidus temperature and $T_{ij,\text{cal}}$ is one calculated for the observed composition using some model for the liquid phase (which usually contains adjustable parameters). A critical value of $\sigma$, $\sigma_{\text{crit}}$, is chosen as characterizing the accuracy of the experimental data. All fits with $\sigma < \sigma_{\text{crit}}$ are judged equally valid. This latter choice proves significant since when the liquidus points are fit alone one usually finds that $\sigma < \sigma_{\text{crit}}$ for a significantly wide, highly-correlated range in the adjustable parameters. As a result, only certain properties of the liquid phase are well established and these at only some temperature within the range of experimental temperatures used. A similar behavior usually appears in the determination of the second law enthalpy and entropy for some constant pressure process from an experimental determination of the Gibbs free energy change as a function of temperature. When the enthalpy and entropy of compound formation at the melting point are known, the auxiliary relations can be used. These appear generally to be quite restrictive. The auxiliary relations can be satisfied and the liquidus lines fit to within critical value of $10^\circ\text{C}$ for InSb, $8^\circ\text{C}$ for GaSb, $16^\circ\text{C}$ for InAs and $10^\circ\text{C}$ for GaAs. The liquid phase model is defined in terms of temperature independent heats and excess entropies of mixing given by

$$\Delta H_M^L = Wx(1-x)(1+a(x-1/2))$$  

(2)

$$\Delta S_M^L,e = Vx(1-x)(1+c(x-1/2))$$  

(3)

where $W$, $a$, $V$, and $c$ are constants. The values of $W$ and $V$ are fixed by the auxiliary relations. Satisfactory fits are obtained for a range of values along a line in the c-a plane. Treating the III-V compound - Group V eutectic temperature as accurate to within $\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ (except for GaAs) generates a 2nd line in the a-c plane and effectively eliminates the range of a-c values to a single point. The liquid parameters thus established,
the remaining high temperature data are found to be fit in a quantitatively satisfactory manner. The simpler variants of the model in which \( a, V \) and \( c \) are zero (strictly regular solution) or in which \( a \) and \( c \) are zero (quasiregular solution) can fit the liquidus points satisfactorily but not if the auxiliary relations are applied and if the other thermodynamic data are to be fit well also. This liquid model is essentially the same as that used by Kaufmann and Nesor to fit many complex metallic systems and is essentially a truncated version of the series representation used by Lupis. Qualitatively it appears to be applicable to many metallic liquids. Unfortunately it proves inadequate for the more ionic II-VI and IV-VI melts and an important problem is the identification of a liquid solution model or models that is adequate for these systems.

Because the model defined by Eqs. (2) and (3) is of wide, if limited, applicability, its critical point behavior is of interest. For the simpler quasi-regular version \( (a=c=0) \) symmetrical normal, or inverted miscibility gaps can appear. For the model defined by Eqs. (2) and (3) an additional flexibility appears in that the critical composition can be made to fall anywhere in the composition range and normal and inverted miscibility gaps can occur in the same system, i.e. for appropriately fixed values of \( W, a, V, \) and \( c \). Near the critical point the width of a normal miscibility gap goes as \( (T/T_c - 1)^{1/2} \).

3. R. F. Brebrick, Quantitative Fits to the Liquidus Lines and High Temperature Thermodynamic Data for InSb, GaSb, InAs, and GaAs, submitted to Met. Trans.
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ABSTRACT

Phase diagram information is an important factor in the design and
development of alloys. Phase diagram information is reasonably
accessible for binary systems. Information for higher order
systems, however, is not as readily accessible. The most
comprehensive source of phase diagram information for binary and
higher order systems is the compilation and annual supplements
edited by II. V. Ageev and produced by the USSR Academy of
Sciences. They are, however, somewhat difficult and cumbersome to
use by those who cannot read the Russian language because their
Tables of Contents are in Russian and because they do not have a
cumulative index.

This translation is designed to aid in the utilization of the
Ageev compilations. It is composed of two sections. The first
section gives the Tables of Contents for volumes 1 through 19
(1959 - 1976) with the phase diagrams identified by chemical
symbol.

The second section of this translation is a cumulative index of
phase diagrams included in the Ageev compilations, volumes 1
through 19 (1959 through 1976). The phase diagrams are listed in
alphabetical order by chemical symbol. Throughout Section 2, the
following convention is used. The volume is given by Roman
numerals. Pages are designated by Arabic numerals. For example,
the notation 'VI-205' refers to volume 7, page 205. Where data
for one system extends to following pages, only the first page is
given.

It is hoped that this publication will facilitate use of the Ageev
compilations and the enormous amount of phase diagram information
which they contain.

Reproduced from
best available copy.
A LARGE SIX COLOR WALL CHART IS DESCRIBED WHICH GIVES PERTINENT INFORMATION ON BINARY PHASE DIAGRAMS SUCH AS: THE GENERAL TYPE OF DIAGRAM, DEGREE OF SOLUBILITY, NUMBER OF EUTECTICS, LOWEST MELTING EUTECTIC, NUMBER OF INTERMETALLIC COMPOUNDS, ETC. DETAILED INFORMATION IS GIVEN ON THE DATA PRESENTED ON THE CHART, ITS FORMAT, COMPARISON OF VARIOUS BINARY SYSTEMS WITH PRESENTATION ON THE CHART, AND SOME TYPICAL USES OF A CHART OF THIS TYPE.

*Research sponsored by the Energy Research and Development Administration under contract with the Union Carbide Corporation.
†Operated by Union Carbide Corporation for the Energy Research and Development Administration.
Standards for Publication of Phase Equilibrium Data

Eric R. Kreidler
LIGHTING RESEARCH AND
TECHNICAL SERVICES OPERATION
Lamp Materials Research Lab #1361
General Electric Co.
Cleveland, Ohio

A paper on phase equilibria typically receives a review before publication and may not be critically evaluated again until it is included in a compilation. Since most phase diagrams will eventually be published in handbooks or compilations such as Phase Diagrams for Ceramists, it is important that the initial review process be critical and constructive. It is suggested that a set of published standards for phase equilibrium papers would assure uniform treatment of data and would help both journal reviewers and compilers to properly assess the value of individual papers. A brief survey of the literature quickly reveals the wide variation in quality. Papers range from speculations based on a few haphazard experiments to thorough studies based on hundreds of experiments and using complementary experimental techniques. The purpose of standards should not be to preclude publication of incomplete or exploratory work, but to assure that such works are based upon sound data. Standards should be used to prevent publication of erroneous diagrams, and if properly written and used can improve the general quality of work done on phase equilibria.

The establishment of standards is the responsibility of the concerned scientific community. A committee of phase equilibrium experts representing the disciplines metallurgy, ceramics, mineralogy, semiconductor technology, geochemistry, etc. could accomplish the task. The purpose of this presentation is not to formulate standards but to point out some of the problems which are frequently encountered in phase equilibrium studies. The areas to be considered are; tests of equilibrium, accuracy of results, failure to provide documented data in support of diagrams, diagrams which violate the phase rule, misuse of terminology, and inappropriate experimental techniques.
The Office of Standard Reference Data at the National Bureau of Standards manages a complex of data evaluation centers located in university, industrial, and other Government laboratories as well as within NBS. The centers are engaged in the compilation and critical evaluation of numerical data on physical and chemical properties retrieved from the world scientific literature. This system of centers, the National Standard Reference Data System (NSRDS), is a means of coordinating on a national scale the production and dissemination of critically evaluated reference data in the physical sciences. The primary focus of the NSRDS is on well-defined physical and chemical properties of well-characterized materials or systems.

The Office of Standard Reference Data, as coordinator of the system:
- establishes needed data centers in technical areas which fall within the scope of the program
- maintains close contact with other data compilation activities both in the U.S. and abroad to encourage exchange of data and avoid needless duplication of effort
- identifies, by contacts with users, areas where needs for
evaluated reference data are increasing or decreasing, and

time consuming procedures which will make the outputs of the

system as useful as possible.

- Distributes evaluated reference data through a variety of

output channels.

The output channels include:

- **Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data**

  a quarterly journal containing data compilations and
critical data reviews, published for the National Bureau

  of Standards by the American Institute of Physics and

  the American Chemical Society.

- **NSRDS-NBS Series**

  a publication series distributed by the Superintendent of

  Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office

- Appropriate publications of technical societies and

  commercial publishers. Handbook publications are an

  important element of this category.

- **Magnetic tape data files** - sold through the National Technical

  Information Service.

The outputs of several NSRDS centers are directly or indirectly related
to phase diagram applications in metallurgy and ceramics; examples include
alloy data, data on diffusion in metallic systems, properties of
substances at high pressures; properties of superconducting materials,
and thermodynamic data of minerals. Some recent publications on these
subjects are:


Examples of outputs, formats, and dissemination mechanisms will be displayed along with more general information on the National Standard Reference Data System, and the activities of the Office of Standard Reference Data.
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USER NEEDS FOR
PHASE DIAGRAM INFORMATION

PANEL II ON
PRIMARY PRODUCTION

AND

PANEL III ON
MATERIALS PROCESSING
Information on phase equilibria is often of great importance in the design, operation and control of processes employed in the primary production of metals. Several case studies of specific processing systems in the industry are presented to show the nature of information that is needed and how the information is utilized. Examples are employed to show where such information has, and has not, been available.
COMMENTS RELATED TO THE PANEL II TOPIC

This contribution is from an audience participant, not a panel member. A paper on this COMMENT will appear in the proceedings.

USER NEEDS FOR PHASE DIAGRAMS

by

Dr. Paul J. Fopiano
Army Materials & Mechanics Research Center
Watertown, Massachusetts 02172

In multicomponent systems where two or more phases have important effects on the properties of the alloy, it is rare that the phase compositions are known. Sometimes these compositions can be ignored with impunity but other times this is not the case. In the latter category, the determination of a single tie line only may be required or, because of the prevalence of several alloys using the same alloying elements, a more thorough investigation of phase compositions is justified. In few of these cases, however, is the construction of a phase diagram carried out and widely disseminated. As a result, much otherwise useful data is lost before it can become a useful part of a databank. Examples of one of each type of application are included to indicate the normal limits to which we go to satisfy a specific need. It might be added that without the advent of the probe microanalyzer, little of this work would have been carried out.

TYPICAL EXAMPLES OF PHASE COMPOSITION

Determinations in Commercial Alloys

Titanium-Aluminum-Vanadium Alloys

In the investigation of the phase transformations which occur during the heat treatment of commercial titanium alloys, the presence of two or more phases complicates the interpretation of the mechanical and physical property data. The major strengthening mechanisms can often be related to the compositions of the alpha and beta phases in equilibrium at the solution temperature. Many titanium alloys of commercial interest employ aluminum and vanadium as major alloying elements.

Several standards were made up with various amounts of aluminum and vanadium. The nominal compositions are given in Table 1. Chemical analyses of these alloys were also determined. A probe analysis of these standards yield for our experimental conditions the calibration curve in Figure 1. The least square slope of these lines is given analytically as:

\[
\frac{w/o V}{w/o Al} = \frac{I_V - 629}{486} + \frac{I_{Al} + 27}{1048}
\]

where \(w/o V\), \(w/o Al\) = weight percent vanadium and aluminum.
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Table 1. NOMINAL COMPOSITIONS OF STANDARD ALLOYS IN WEIGHT PERCENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 1. Mean Number of Counts (per 30 sec.) Versus Chemical Analysis of Aluminum and Vanadium Standards

\[ I_V = \text{counts (vanadium)/30 seconds} \]

\[ I_{Al} = \text{counts (aluminum)/30 seconds} \]

Utilization of this data for the most popular commercial alloy of titanium, Ti-6Al-4V is illustrated in the following discussion. Five solution-treated conditions (all in the alpha beta field) were investigated (Figure 2); i.e.,
Table 2. NUMBER OF COUNTS (per 30 sec) AND CORRESPONDING COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION FOR THE ALPHA AND BETA PHASES FOR SEVERAL SOLUTION TREATMENT CONDITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Designation (and Solution Temp)</th>
<th>Phase (α or β)</th>
<th>Counts/30 Seconds (Coeff. of Variation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>α</td>
<td>Al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-1 (1750 F)</td>
<td>α</td>
<td>7301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>6052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-2 (1700 F)</td>
<td>α</td>
<td>7849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>6150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-3 (1650 F)</td>
<td>α</td>
<td>7078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>5779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-4 (1600 F)</td>
<td>α</td>
<td>7664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>5570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-5 (1550 F)</td>
<td>α</td>
<td>7398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>4723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1750, 1700, 1650, 1600, 1550 F with designations P-1 through P-5 respectively. In order to get large enough fields to examine with the probe microanalyzer, it was necessary to heat all specimens to 1850 F for one hour furnace cool to the solution temperature (Figure 3 - 1550 F) and hold for the times indicated in Figure 2.

Probe analysis data of both the alpha and beta phases are presented in Table 2. The measured compositions of the alpha and beta phases are shown in Table 3 (P-1 through P-5). Estimated compositions from published literature is also shown in Table 3 for specimens solution treated at 1562, 1652, and 1742 F. An approximate retrofit of our data to published work on the Ti-6Al-4V alloy is shown in Figure 4. The published work was done before the probe was generally available and was typical of a type of publication then popular. In no sense, however, could it be considered a complete phase diagram even for the titanium-rich corner.
FIGURE 2. Schematic Representation of the 6Al Section of Titanium Corner of Ti-Al-V Ternary

FIGURE 3. Solution-treated Ti-6Al-4V Alloy. Mag. 500X
Table 3. EFFECT OF SOLUTION TEMPERATURE ON THE COMPOSITIONS OF THE ALPHA AND BETA PHASES IN Ti-6Al-4V

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution Treatment Temperature (deg F)</th>
<th>Weight Percent</th>
<th>Vol %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1550 (P-5)</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>(P-5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1562</td>
<td>1562</td>
<td>(P-4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600 (P-3)</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>(P-3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1652</td>
<td>1652</td>
<td>(P-2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700 (P-1)</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>(P-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1742</td>
<td>1742</td>
<td>(P-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1750</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>(P-1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 4. Correlation of Probe Data with Published Isothermal Sections (Ref. 5)

43XX Steel

Even though the 43XX steels have been utilized for many years, no phase diagram was available in the literature when the investigation on "Partially Austenitized 43XX Steel" was initiated. A "pseudo" iron-carbon phase diagram was then determined by microstructural analysis. A copy of this "pseudo"
iron-carbon phase diagram for 4300 steels is shown in Figure 5. This is an example where even a very rudimentary phase diagram data was helpful and necessary to develop an optimum compositional and temperature requirements for a new application of a very old alloy.

Partitioning of Impurity Elements in Iron-Carbon Alloys

The addition of even small amounts of certain impurity elements to iron-carbon alloys can result in a severe loss in ductility when tempered at 500 °C. The partitioning of these elements between the ferrite and the carbide phases was felt critical to better understanding of the problem. Electron probe microanalysis, carbide extraction, and x-ray diffraction techniques were employed to this end. Figure 6 shows some of the data and Figure 7 shows the iron-rich end of the binary phase diagram for one of the impurity elements, antimony. Again, the phase diagram was gain to the better understanding of the problem.

Heat Treatment of a Modified Tool Steel

In the heat treatment of a modified tool steel where the carbon level is lowered considerably, a much higher hardening temperature than normal was required to eliminate the low temperature ferrite phase which was considered
a. Fe-Sb binary alloys.

b. Fe-Sb and Fe-Sb-C alloys.

FIGURE 6. Ferrite Lattice Parameter as a Function of Antimony Concentration
Alloys were Annealed at 600 C Unless Otherwise Indicated

Diagram Based Upon Present Results, Showing the α-Solvus
undesirable for the application. It turned out, however, that the ferrite
could be reduced only to an irreducible limit before the hardening tempera-
ture became so high as to create a distortion problem. A qualitative probe
analysis indicated no significant alloy transfer to the ferrite for normal
hardening times and the relatively low amount of delta ferrite could be
ignored. This is a very simple example but, in general, phase amounts and
compositions would be invaluable in the investigation of the general class
of tool steels.

The above examples are typical of the needs of the metallurgist in his
investigations of alloys for the important information contained in phase
diagrams. Any help that the metallurgist can get in this area whether it
be a data bank of available (readily retrievable) information or approximate
computational diagrams (generally for multicomponent systems) would be
welcome.
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The members of this panel have provided abstracts of their introductory statements (pp 162 - 168).
The kinds of phase equilibrium data useful in the synthesis of luminescent materials (phosphors) and thermionic emission materials will be discussed. Although specific and perhaps unusual examples are used, similar questions and needs arise in the preparation of many electronically active materials.

Most phosphors consist of a dilute solution of optically active ions (activators) in an optically inactive host material. The green luminescent material, zinc orthosilicate (Zn$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$SiO$_4$), in which -1.0 atomic percent of the zinc ions are replaced by Mn activator ions, is a typical example. The optical properties of phosphors are determined by the nature and concentration of activators and by the nature of the host material. In synthesizing phosphors, phase equilibrium data are often used to determine expected solubility limits of activators and to establish synthesis conditions over which the host material is stable. Examples will be given of these uses. Some phosphors require processing in special oxidizing or reducing atmospheres to obtain the activator ions in the desired oxidation state. Although phase equilibrium data, useful for specifying these atmospheres, seldom exist, the practical advantages of having such data will be indicated.

Thermionic emission materials are used to coat the cathodes in discharge lamps. Their purpose is to enhance electron emission by lowering the energy barrier to emission (i.e. the work function). Confusion often exists about the identity and relative importance of the phases present in these mixtures. An example of how phase equilibrium studies were used to eliminate such confusion will be presented.
Equilibrium diagrams are the plot of the structure of the alloys; trying to work without them is the same as taking a trip through an unknown country without a map. It can be done, but the chances of taking a wrong turn and having to backtrack are 100%.

A few examples will show what can be done with equilibrium diagrams in the non-ferrous industry. In the continuous casting of brasses, when changing from a 80% Cu, 20% Zn to a 70/30, the pouring temperature has to be lowered some 50°C, but the speed of descent and the amount of cooling water used remain the same. When changing from a 70/30 to a 60/40, only a few degrees lowering of the pouring temperature is required, but if both the speed of descent and the amount of cooling water are not reduced, one ends either with a split ingot or a spill-out. As can be seen in the equilibrium diagram, both the 80/20 and 70/30 in the solid state are composed mainly of ductile α phase, whereas the 60/40 is mainly β phase, less ductile (and more sensitive to impurities), which must be cooled more slowly.

In the welding of high strength AlZnMg alloys the amount of copper present has a decided effect. In Cu-free alloys there is a strong tendency for cracking in the weld zone, in Cu-bearing alloys there is less cracking and concentrated in the zone near the weld. From the AlCuMgZn diagram it can be seen that the Cu-free alloys are within the solid solubility limits and contain little or no eutectic to feed the shrinkage at the end of solidification. Shrinkage cracks are formed. The Cu-bearing alloys contain a low melting eutectic that feeds the shrinkage and eliminates shrinkage cracks. However, in the zone adjacent to the weld, extensive eutectic melting takes place and cracking concentrates in this deteriorated zone.

Similar examples are the Mg base alloys that contain Al and Zn. They are in the solid solution range and therefore have very poor castability. A look at the MgSi diagram suggests a possible remedy: the addition of 0.25 - 0.50% Si to the alloys provides a large amount of eutectic, which gives an excellent castability.
In the zone refining of Al the binary diagrams predict that Cu, Mg, Si, Zn, whose eutectic composition is far from the solid solubility limit, can be moved to the end fairly rapidly and easily, whereas Mn, which has a eutectic composition very close to the solubility limit, will move extremely slowly. Cr, Ti, V, Zr, which undergo peritectic reactions with Al, will move backwards to the starting end. Experimental results confirm the predictions.

The addition of Mg to Zn die casting alloys to neutralize Pb; the choice of the heat treatment temperature of AlCuMg alloys, as function of the Si content; Mn additions to AlMg alloys to improve corrosion resistance; additions of Co or Mn to AlSi alloys to correct for Fe; the Cr content of CuNi alloys that makes them heat treatable; additions of Al to MnCu alloys for high damping capacity are all examples of the use of equilibrium diagrams in non-ferrous alloys, that cannot be discussed for lack of time.

However there are serious difficulties in the use of equilibrium diagrams. For example there are some 20 different diagrams published for the AlZn system, all presented by reliable investigators. Obviously only one can be correct, and not necessarily one of the 20!

Another serious problem is that most alloys contain more than 2 elements, and often may contain as many as 8-10 elements. Reliance on binary diagrams may be grossly misleading, but the multicomponent diagrams are not available and, if available, difficult to read or interpret.

In recent years there has been relatively little work on the determination of equilibrium diagrams; most structural work has been concentrated on the intermetallic compounds, usually the ones in the middle of the diagram, where seldom one finds useful commercial alloys. The fault for this to some extent resides in the Universities. When somebody decreed that determination of an equilibrium diagram was not a fit subject for a PhD thesis, one of the major sources of useful diagrams dried up. Research on intermetallic compounds on the other hand survived: by bringing in thermodynamic and crystallographic relationships, fancy theoretical papers can be prepared with much less experimental work and effort and ingenuity needed for the accurate determination of a multicomponent diagram.
The aluminum and magnesium industries, which were born in an era when metallurgy was becoming a science, are in a favorite position: many more diagrams are available for Al or Mg alloys than for older metals. However even in these industries there is need for more diagrams. The Concorde airplane uses a large amount of AlCuFeMgNiSi alloys yet the AlCuFeNi diagram, which is vital for the understanding of the alloys, is very poorly investigated and far from established. Those people who have the money to fly the Concorde should ask themselves "How does it feel to fly a plane whose equilibrium diagram is not known?"
Oxidation resistance, low thermal expansion and low creep rates are first-approach criteria for the development of high-temperature engineering ceramics (temperatures 1300°C and above). Prime candidates are covalently bonded compounds, SiC, Si$_3$N$_4$, Si-Al-O-N solid solutions and perhaps AlN, some transition metal borides and materials based on combinations of these compounds. Single phase ceramics are believed to offer the highest temperature capability, but the presence of some other specific phases is usually required for fabrication purposes and for microstructural control and control of related properties. All consolidation processes developed for these materials involve chemical reactions, additions and thermal treatments. Information on phase equilibria is essential for understanding fabrication processes, which are usually established empirically, and the behavior of the materials at high temperature and their interactions with the environments.

The types of chemical processes and equilibria which need to be understood in this field of ceramics may be grouped into the following categories.

A. Pure compound in equilibrium with its decomposition products:

ex. \[ \text{SiC}_\text{(s)} \rightarrow \text{C}_\text{(s)} + \text{Si}_\text{(g)} + \text{Si}_2\text{C}_\text{(g)} + \text{SiC}_2 \text{(g)} + \ldots \]

\[ \text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{(g)} + \text{Si}_\text{(g)} + \text{SiN}_\text{(g)} + \text{Si}_2\text{N}_\text{(g)} + \ldots \]
B. Stability of polymorphs and the effect of some species on phase transitions between polymorphs:

ex. $\beta$ - SiC $\rightarrow$ $\alpha$ - SiC

effect of $N_2$, $O_2$, metals (Si) etc.

$\alpha$ - Si$_3$N$_4$ $\rightarrow$ $\beta$ Si$_3$N$_4$

effect of $O_2$, metals (Si) etc.

C. Limits of nonstoichiometry in pure compounds.

D. Solubility limits in pure compounds of species used to promote sintering.

ex. B, Be, Al, La, in SiC

E. Phase relations, composition ranges and esp. solidus and liquidus temperatures in systems of interest:

ex. Si - Al - N - O

Si - Mg - N - O

Si - C - B

Si - Al - C - N

F. Phase relations in oxidation products:

ex. $Si_3N_4 + MgO \rightarrow SiO_2 + Mg_2SiO_4 + 2 MgSiO_3$

G. Interaction of the parent material and its oxidation products in the environment of combustion products containing CO, SO$_2$, Na$_2$O, V$_2$O$_5$ etc.

H. Interaction of nickel and cobalt based refractory alloys with the base material.

Much of the information does not exist; its generation will be slow and will require unconventional techniques.
Applications of phase diagrams in the processing of low-carbon low-alloy steels are generally concerned with the effect of rather small amounts of alloying elements on phase equilibria. There are principally two classes of alloying elements; minor alloying elements, such as Cb(Nb), V, B, O, Al, S, and N, which are present in amounts of less than 0.1 percent; and major alloying elements, such as Si, Cr, Mn, Mo, and Ni, which are present in amounts between 0.2 and 2 percent. In the case of minor alloying elements, information on solubility products of various carbide, nitride, sulfide, boride, or oxide phases is important. For instance, in the case of Cb or V, precipitation of CbC or VN(C) is an important strengthening mechanism and also serves to pin austenite grain boundaries and inhibit recrystallization. Therefore, information on the solubility product of CbC or VN(C) is important to specify Cb and V levels where precipitation can occur at or during cooling from hot-rolling temperatures. Another example is S, which is present as MnS in most plate steels. The ductility and toughness of plate steels is primarily controlled by the shape and amount of MnS inclusions. Ductility or toughness can be increased by decreasing the sulfur content or by adding rare-earth additions for shape control. Therefore, information on the MnS solubility product or on solubility products involving rare-earth oxysulfides is important.

In the case of major alloying elements, phase equilibria are of interest because of the possibility of using this information to predict their effect on austenite start and finish temperatures, on hardenability, or on martensite start and finish temperatures. However, because of the complexity of these phenomena in steels containing several alloying elements, and because of the large body of empirical information already available, the effect of these elements is still analyzed by use of empirical formulae.
Phase Diagram Information for Processing of Superconductors*

David Dew-Hughes
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Upton, New York 11973

The bronze process, in which filaments of niobium are drawn down in a Cu-Sn bronze matrix and reacted to form the A-15 compound \( \text{Nb}_3\text{Sn} \) is now an established route for high current density superconductor. The ease with which \( \text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}, \text{V}_3\text{Ga} \) and \( \text{V}_3\text{Si} \) can be produced by this technique has prompted attempts to similarly form the potentially superior A-15 compounds \( \text{Nb}_3\text{Al}, \text{Nb}_3\text{Ga} \) and \( \text{Nb}_3\text{Ge} \). These efforts to date have been unsuccessful.

The superconducting properties of A-15 compounds are maximized in well-ordered, stoichiometric samples. The A-15 phase field in the \( \text{Nb-Al}, \text{Nb-Ga} \) and \( \text{Nb-Ge} \) systems does not include the stoichiometric composition. The presence of a stable \( \sigma \) or \( \sigma \)-related compound pushes the range of homogeneity of the A-15 compound to the Nb-rich side of the 3:1 composition. A ternary A-15 compound forms close stoichiometry in the \( \text{Nb-Al-Ge} \) system, presumably because of a

*This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration.
miscibility gap between Nb_2Al and Nb_5Ge_3. A similar situation also exists in the Nb-Al-Si system. The existence of this miscibility gap may be related to the eutectic in the Al-Ge and Al-Si systems. If so, are there other ternary systems based on Nb which show a eutectic and a stoichiometric ternary A-15 compound? Phase diagram studies on Nb-based ternaries are needed to resolve this point.

In the bronze process, the presence of copper suppresses the formation of Sn-rich, Ga-rich or Si-rich phases in the production of Nb_3Sn, V_3Ga and V_3Si respectively. In attempts to make Nb_3Al, Nb_3Ga and Nb_3Ge by this process, the compounds Nb_2Al, Nb_3Ga_2 and Nb_3Ge_2 are preferentially formed. Is it possible, by adding a suitable fourth element, or by using some element other than copper as a matrix, to successfully produce the A-15 compounds? Phase diagram data on ternary and quaternary systems based on Nb and Cu are needed here, together with a better understanding of the factors which govern relative phase stability.
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PANEL IV

PRODUCT APPLICATIONS
The end use of materials in sophisticated applications is often influenced by an understanding of the subtle effects of phase equilibria. Thus, the panel is composed of members from industry so as to focus attention on phase diagrams from the viewpoint of the use of materials. The backgrounds of the panel members represent involvement with a broad spectrum of materials. The topic areas will include glasses, ceramics, electronic materials, aerospace (high temperature) materials, energy (nuclear) materials, as well as eutectics and others. Each of the panel members will give a brief informal presentation of production application where information on phase equilibria was of crucial importance to the success of a materials application or where such information will be a prerequisite for future success. Subsequently, the audience will be invited to participate from the floor.
COMMENTS RELATED TO THE PANEL IV TOPIC
This contribution is from an audience participant, not a panel member. A paper on this COMMENT will appear in the proceedings.

THE APPLICATION OF THE PHASE DIAGRAM
TO THERMAL ENERGY STORAGE TECHNOLOGY

Dr. J. E. Davison
University of Dayton Research Institute
Dayton, Ohio 45469

and

Mr. Jerry E. Beam
United States Air Force Aeropropulsion Laboratory
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433

ABSTRACT: The application of phase diagrams to thermal energy storage is discussed. The properties of pure components, their melting points and enthalpies of fusion, are reviewed as a selection criteria for these applications. The performance of elemental components, inorganic oxides, and inorganic fluorides are compared. The application of information available in the temperature-constitution phase diagram to determine the type of liquid-solid transformation, the temperature and composition of the transformation, and a determination of the enthalpy of the transformation is presented. Specific needs for the development of phase diagrams for a thermal energy storage technology base will be presented.
THE NEEDS FOR PHASE EQUILIBRIA DATA IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMICS

R. A. Howald and I. Eliezer
Chemistry Department, Montana State University
Bozeman, Montana 59715

A major research program on thermodynamic properties and phase equilibria involving inorganic substances is needed for study of the uses of coal in magnetohydrodynamic power production.

Liquid or gaseous slag constituents, sulfur compounds and other inorganic materials dissolve protective oxide layers on alloys and have deteriorating effects on ceramic materials used in MHD channels and other components of the MHD power plant. These materials also affect the electrical conductivity of gases in the MHD energy converters and affect the emission of pollutants. A comprehensive study of the high temperature equilibria of the materials involved under the conditions of operation of MHD power generation is necessary. These include broad ranges of temperature, pressure and oxidation potential, i.e. 1000-2500 K, 1-20 bars excess oxygen, excess carbon, various moisture contents, etc. The number of constituents is large and the effects on the equipment and the effluent gases, liquids and solids are numerous and complex. The constituents include ions (e.g. K⁺, OH⁻, e⁻, Na⁺, H₃O⁺, SO₄⁻, etc.) and related neutral species, gaseous and liquid coal slag components (e.g. K₂O, MgO, SiO₂, Al₂O₃, FeO, etc.), sulphur compounds (e.g. K₂SO₄, K₂S, SO₂, etc.), refractory materials (e.g. ZrO₂, LaCrO₃, Al₂O₃, SiO₂, etc.) along with the standard combustion gases.
Since the addition of potassium seed compounds (K$_2$SO$_4$, K$_2$CO$_3$, etc.) is necessary to achieve the necessary electrical conductivity in open cycle MHD the interactions between potassium oxide and various refractory oxides are particularly important. Coal slag has actually been used in operating MHD channels as a continually replaced protective coating over the electrodes. Thus the vapor pressures of potassium oxide over typical slag compositions are important. For economic reasons it will be necessary to recover and recycle most of the seed compound, presumably as K$_2$SO$_4$. In this connection additional phase equilibria data on solubilities of various oxides in liquid potassium sulfate are needed.

Similar data on cesium compounds are important in the engineering design of closed cycle MHD systems.

Large high temperature heat exchangers constitute one of the major capital expenses for MHD. Thus phase relations involved in the preparation and useful lifetime of high temperature ceramics should be known in detail.

To sum up, information on the phase relations of contacting phases, solid, liquid and gas, in a variety of MHD systems is vital both to establish the extent of interaction and to locate temperature and pressure regimes in which deleterious reactions will and will not occur.

Much of the above information is needed for gas turbine engine development as well as for MHD.
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5. Information Program for Phase Diagram Data Center Representatives

An added day, Thursday 13 Jan 1977, was scheduled for informal interactions among data center representatives. To keep the group small enough that informal discussions were likely to result, all foreign center representatives were invited, but only a limited number of US center representatives. The program listed below, was highly successful, with ten data center participating, from France, Germany, the USSR, Canada, the U.K., and Japan (see listing below). Details of current data activities and future evaluation plans were described by each of these centers, laying the groundwork for future collaboration. Already some overlap of efforts in evaluations on the multicomponent systems of Be-based alloys has been avoided in this manner, and availability of ternary phase diagram bibliographies (U.K.) and unevaluated phase diagram literature (USSR) has been clarified, facilitating tremendously, a major undertaking of a ternary phase diagram critical compendium (Germany).

**PROGRAM THURSDAY 13 JAN**

9:00-10:00 visit OSRD, (H. J. White, Jr.)
10:00-12:45 discussions with data center representatives
11:00-2:00 Meeting in Dining Room B
12:45 Lunch

Discussions by E. L. Brady, Associate Director for Information Progress Foreign Visitors (see attached list for possible visitors) and by E. Horowitz, Deputy Director, Institute for Materials Research

2:00-3:00 Discussions continued.

3:30-5:00 Visit NBS Data Centers and Labs:

Chemical Thermodynamics Data Center
(D. D. Wagman, W. H. Evans, V. B. Parker)
Phase Diagrams for Cermists Group, and Labs of that section
(R. S. Roth, L. P. Cook)

Alloy Data Center
(L. H. Bennett, G. C. Carter, D. J. Kahan)
Workshop Participants of Program, 13 Jan 77

I. Ansara, Phase Diagram Data Center, Grenoble, France
Y. A. Chang, Ternary alloy evaluation group, U. Wisconsin
E. -Th. Henig and G. Petzow, Initiating a major ternary phase diagram evaluation program, Max-Planck Inst., Stuttgart, Germany
J. H. Westbrook, Director, Data Center and, Chairman, CODATA Advisory panel, G. E. Schenectady
A. D. Pelton and W. T. Thompson, compilation and prediction of phase diagrams U. Montreal and McGill U., Canada
A. D. Prince, compilation of ternary and higher order phase diagrams, Hirst Res. Cntr., G.E., U.K.
S. Sömiya, critical evaluation of ceramic phase diagrams, Tokyo Inst. of Tech., Japan
T. Kolesnikova, Institute for Scientific Information, USSR Academy of Sciences, Moscow, USSR
J. F. Smith, Ames Laboratory, representing O. Kubaschewski, Thermodynamic Data Center, Aachen, Germany
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