

## **General Disclaimer**

### **One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document**

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

(NASA-TM-78475) A NOTE ON MULTICYCLIC  
CONTROL BY SWASHPLATE OSCILLATION (NASA)  
11 p HC A02/MF A01

CSCL 01C

N78-21159

Unclas

G3/08 11883

---

# A Note on Multicyclic Control By Swashplate Oscillation

---

James C. Biggers and John L. McCloud, III

---

April 1978



**NASA**

National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration

---

# **A Note on Multicyclic Control By Swashplate Oscillation**

---

James C. Biggers

and

John L. McCloud, III, Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, California



National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration

**Ames Research Center**

Moffett Field, California 94035

# A NOTE ON MULTICYCLIC CONTROL

## BY SWASHPLATE OSCILLATION

James C. Biggers\* and John L. Mc Cloud, III\*\*

NASA, Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, California 94035

### Summary

This note describes the fundamental principles of multicyclic (higher harmonic) control by swashplate oscillation, and discusses advantages and constraints of this technique. A simplified method is presented for determining the blade pitch motions resulting from prescribed swashplate oscillations or vice-versa.

### Notation

$A_n$	cosine component of blade pitch motion
$B_n$	sine component of blade pitch motion
$C$	Harmonic swashplate oscillation amplitudes
$N$	number of blades
$\theta_i$	pitch of blade number $i$
$\phi$	$\frac{m}{N} (i - 1) 2\pi$
$\psi$	azimuth of rotor (blade no. 1)
$\psi_i$	azimuth of blade number $i$

### Subscripts

$C$	cosine component
COL	collective oscillation
$i$	blade number $i$

\*Research Scientist

\*\*Staff Scientist

### Subscripts (continued)

LAT	lateral oscillation
LONG	longitudinal oscillation
m	at frequency m-per-rev
n	harmonic number
S	sine component

### Introduction

Reduction of rotor vibration by use of multicyclic control has long been advocated<sup>1-4</sup>, and various schemes have been tested or are under investigation<sup>5-10</sup>. Some systems involve cams or actuators which rotate with the hub. Others have been restricted to specific harmonics, such as 2-per-rev or 4-per-rev, introduced by oscillating the swashplate via the non-rotating controls.

Most modern helicopters have boosted controls, usually including a high rate capability for stability augmentation systems. Thus, oscillation of the swashplate is often an inviting method of introducing multicyclic control. This note addresses determining which control harmonics are available by swashplate oscillation such that all blades have the same azimuthal pitch schedule. Specifically, what swashplate motions can produce the following pitch schedule for all N blades?

$$\theta_i = A_0 - A_1 \cos \psi_i - B_1 \sin \psi_i - \dots$$

$$\dots - A_n \cos n \psi_i - B_n \sin n \psi_i$$

### Analysis

For swashplate controlled rotors, the pitch of each blade is given by:

$$\theta_i = C_{COL} + C_{LAT} \cos \psi_i + C_{LONG} \sin \psi_i \tag{1}$$

(Note that swashplate gearing and control advance angle, which are functions of specific designs, are not included here). Now, let the swashplate oscillate at an integer harmonic of the rotor rotation frequency in each of the axes indicated above, and let the motion be composed of both cosine and sine components. Thus, for the collective oscillations at m-per-rev,

$$C_{COL} = C_{COL, 0} + C_{COL, C} \cos m \psi + C_{COL, S} \sin m \psi$$

Noting that:  $\psi_i = \psi - (i - 1) \frac{2\pi}{N}$ , hence  $m \psi = m \psi_i + \frac{m}{N} (i-1) 2\pi$ , then

$$C_{COL} = C_{COL, O} + C_{COL, C} \cos [m \psi_i + \phi] + C_{COL, S} \sin [m \psi_i + \phi] \quad (2)$$

where:  $\phi = \frac{m}{N} (i - 1) 2\pi$

For lateral cyclic oscillation:

$$C_{LAT} = C_{LAT, O} + C_{LAT, C} \cos m \psi + C_{LAT, S} \sin m \psi.$$

Then the term required for equation 1 is:

$$C_{LAT} \cos \psi_i = C_{LAT, O} \cos \psi_i + \frac{1}{2} C_{LAT, C} \{ \cos [(m-1) \psi_i + \phi] + \cos [(m+1) \psi_i + \phi] \} + \frac{1}{2} C_{LAT, S} \{ \sin [(m-1) \psi_i + \phi] + \sin [(m+1) \psi_i + \phi] \} \quad (3)$$

For longitudinal oscillations:

$$C_{LONG} = C_{LONG, O} + C_{LONG, C} \cos m \psi + C_{LONG, S} \sin m \psi,$$

and the corresponding term for equation 1 is:

$$C_{LONG} \sin \psi_i = C_{LONG, O} \sin \psi_i + \frac{1}{2} C_{LONG, C} \{ - \sin [(m-1) \psi_i + \phi] + \sin [(m+1) \psi_i + \phi] \} + \frac{1}{2} C_{LONG, S} \{ \cos [(m-1) \psi_i + \phi] - \cos [(m+1) \psi_i + \phi] \} \quad (4)$$

The pitch of blade  $i$  is then given by the sum of equations 2, 3 and 4. In order for the rotor to remain in track,  $\theta_i$  must be the same for all  $i$ . This condition is satisfied if  $m = N, 2N, 3N$ , etc., so that:

$$\phi = \frac{m}{N} (i-1) 2\pi = 0, 2\pi, 4\pi, \text{ etc.}$$

Now, the blade pitch may be written either:

$$\theta_i = \theta_o + \sum_{n=1}^K (\theta_{nc} \cos n \psi_i + \theta_{ns} \sin n \psi_i)$$

or

$$\theta_i = A_0 - \sum_{n=1}^K (A_n \cos n \psi_i + B_n \sin n \psi_i)$$

In either case, the first three terms define the steady swashplate positions. That is, (for  $m = N, 2N, \text{etc.}$ )

$$\theta_0 = A_0 = C_{COL, 0}$$

$$\theta_{1c} = -A_1 = C_{LT, 0}$$

$$\theta_{1s} = -B_1 = C_{LONG, 0}$$

The second and higher harmonics of blade pitch may then be found from:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \theta_{(m-1)c} \\ \theta_{(m-1)s} \\ \theta_{mc} \\ \theta_{ms} \\ \theta_{(m+1)c} \\ \theta_{(m+1)s} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -A_{(m-1)} \\ -B_{(m-1)} \\ -A_m \\ -B_m \\ -A_{(m+1)} \\ -B_{(m+1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_{LONG, C} \\ C_{LONG, S} \\ C_{COL, C} \\ C_{COL, S} \\ C_{LAT, C} \\ C_{LAT, S} \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

and the inverse relation is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{LONG, C} \\ C_{LONG, S} \\ C_{COL, C} \\ C_{COL, S} \\ C_{LAT, C} \\ C_{LAT, S} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{(m-1)c} \\ \theta_{(m-1)s} \\ \theta_{mc} \\ \theta_{ms} \\ \theta_{(m+1)c} \\ \theta_{(m+1)s} \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

These same relationships may also be applied to control systems utilizing servo-flaps (such as the Controllable Twist Rotor) or pneumatic systems (such as jet flaps or circulation control), provided, of course, that these devices use swashplate type controls.

It is seen that for swashplate oscillations at  $m$ -per-rev ( $m = N, 2N, \text{etc.}$ ), pitch oscillations may be obtained at harmonics of  $m-1, m,$  and  $m+1$ . To control the phase and amplitude of all three harmonics,

it is necessary to have individual control of amplitude and phase of collective, lateral, and longitudinal oscillations of the swashplate. The only restriction is that of  $m = N, 2N, \text{etc.}$ , to maintain the rotor in track.

### Two Bladed Rotors

$$N = m = 2.$$

For two blades and 2-per-rev oscillations of the swashplate, blade pitch harmonics of 1, 2 and 3 result. The amplitudes may be adjusted so as not to conflict with the steady swashplate position which is the normal control. The 2- and 3-per-rev pitch motions are then available for vibration control.

$$N = 2, m = 2, 4.$$

For  $m = 4$ , pitch harmonics of 3, 4, and 5 are available for vibration alleviation. Combining  $m = 2$  and  $m = 4$ , the following equation may be used to obtain pitch motion harmonics of 2, 3, 4, and 5.

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{\text{LONG}, C} \\ C_{\text{LONG}, S} \\ C_{\text{COL}, C} \\ C_{\text{COL}, S} \\ C_{\text{LAT}, C} \\ C_{\text{LAT}, S} \end{bmatrix}_{2(4)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{2(4)C} \\ \theta_{2(4)S} \\ \theta_{3(5)C} \\ \theta_{3(5)S} \end{bmatrix}$$

### Three-Bladed Rotors

For a rotor having three blades, all harmonics of blade pitch oscillations are possible. For example,  $m = 3$  gives 2nd, 3rd, and 4th harmonics;  $m = 6$  gives 5th, 6th and 7th, etc. This substantiates what one feels intuitively. Whatever pitch schedule is desired, the lower ends of the three pitch links determine a plane (the swashplate). Therefore, one concludes that by a proper swashplate motion schedule virtually any pitch schedule may be obtained. This includes those schedules which would produce out-of-track conditions for the rotor. To preclude out-of-track cases, the swashplate motions must correspond to those of equations 5 and 6, constrained to  $m = 3, 6, 9, \text{etc.}$  Shaw<sup>3</sup> discusses a case where  $N = m = 3$ .

### Four-Bladed Rotors

For four-bladed rotors,  $m = 4$  produces pitch harmonics of 3, 4, and 5; and  $m = 8$  produces harmonics of 7, 8, and 9. Although one could de-

wise a system (such as using two swashplates) to obtain the missing 2nd, 6th, etc. harmonics, it is possible that interharmonic coupling<sup>5</sup> via the system dynamics would produce some quantity of these harmonics, and compensate for this lack.

#### Five- or more-Bladed Rotors

In these cases, we must have  $m \geq 5$ , and the harmonics of pitch motion are more restricted (more harmonics are missing). Equations 5 and 6 still apply. For five-bladed rotors, only the 4th, 5th, and 6th harmonics are available ( $m = 5$ ). These restrictions may not be severe however, since it has been shown<sup>11</sup> that the main harmonics of vibration transmitted to the fuselage are  $N-1$ ,  $N$  and  $N+1$ , in the rotating reference frame, and  $N$  in the nonrotating frame. It may indeed be restrictive if the control inputs are desired to reduce the harmonic loading applied to the blades, or to improve rotor performance<sup>12</sup>.

#### Swashplate Oscillation at Other Frequencies

It is possible to oscillate the swashplate at other frequencies than those discussed herein ( $m \neq N, 2N, \dots$ ). Such oscillations would lead to mismatched pitch variations, out-of-track rotor operation, and possibly higher vibration. However, it may be possible that certain controlled higher harmonic out-of-track conditions could improve vibration, blade loads, and performance. Equations 5 and 6 would still apply to the master blade and for the amplitudes of pitch motion for the other blades. Phasing of the other blade harmonics may be found by applying equations 2, 3, and 4.

#### Conclusions

It has been shown herein that for two-, three-, or four-bladed rotors, simple oscillation of the nonrotating swashplate controls can produce prescribed blade pitch schedules of the sort which have been suggested for vibration alleviation. Equations were given which relate the swashplate motions to the resulting blade pitch schedules.

## References

1. Drees, J. M. and Wernicke, R. K.: "An Experimental Investigation of a Second Harmonic Feathering Device on the UH-1A Helicopter", Bell Helicopter Company, Fort Worth, Texas; TRECOM Technical Report TR 62-109, Fort Eustis, Virginia, June 1963.
2. Daughaday, Hamilton: "Suppression of Transmitted Harmonic Rotor Loads by Blade Pitch Control", Cornell Aeronautical Laboratories; USAAV'ABS Technical Report TR 67-14, Fort Eustis, Virginia, November 1967, AD665430.
3. Shaw, John: "Higher Harmonic Blade Pitch Control for Helicopter Vibration Reduction: A Feasibility Study", Aeroelastic and Structures Research Laboratory Report ASRL 150-1, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, December 1968, AD702773.
4. Balcerak, John C., and Erickson, John C., Jr.: "Suppression of Transmitted Harmonic Vertical and Inplane Rotor Loads by Blade Pitch Control", USAAVLABS Technical Report TR 69-39, Fort Eustis, Virginia, July 1969.
5. McCloud, John L., III, and Kretz, Marcel: "Multicyclic Jet-Flap Control for Alleviation of Helicopter Blade Stresses and Fuselage Vibration", Proceedings of the AHS/NASA-Ames Specialists' Meeting on Rotorcraft Dynamics, February 1974 (NASA SP352, February 1974).
6. Sissingh, G. J., and Donham, Robert E.: "Hingeless Rotor Theory and Experiment on Vibration Reduction by Periodic Variation of Conventional Controls", Proceedings of the AHS/NASA-Ames Specialists' Meeting on Rotorcraft Dynamics, February 1974 (NASA SP-352, February 1974).
7. McCloud, John L., III: "An Analytical Study of A Multicyclic Controllable Twist Rotor", Proceedings of the 31st Annual National Forum of the American Helicopter Society, Washington, D.C., May 1975.
8. Kretz, Marcel: "Research in Multicyclic and Active Control of Rotary Wings", Proceedings of the 1st European Rotorcraft Forum, Southampton, England, September 1975.
9. McHugh, Frank J., and Shaw, John: "Benefits of Higher Harmonic Blade Pitch: Vibration Reduction, Blade Load Reduction, and Performance Improvement", Proceedings of the American Helicopter Society Midwest Region Symposium on Rotor Technology, August 1976.

References  
(continued)

10. McHugh, Frank J., and Shaw, John: "Helicopter Vibration Reduction With Higher Harmonic Blade Pitch", 3rd European Rotorcraft and Powered-Lift Aircraft Forum, Aix-en-Provence, France, September 1977.
11. Gessow, Alfred and Myers, Garry C.: "Aerodynamics of the Helicopter", Fredrick Ungar Publishing, Co., New York, 1967.
12. Arcidiacono, Peter J.: "Theoretical Performance of a Helicopter Having Second and Higher Harmonic Feathering Control". Journal of the American Helicopter Society, Vol. 6, No. 2, April 1961.

1. Report No. NASA TM-78475		2. Government Accession No		3. Recipient's Catalog No	
4. Title and Subtitle A NOTE ON MULTICYCLIC CONTROL BY SWASHPLATE OSCILLATION				5. Report Date	
				6. Performing Organization Code	
7. Author(s) James C. Biggers and John L. Mc Cloud, III				8. Performing Organization Report No A-7367	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address NASA Ames Research Center Moffett Field, Calif. 94035				10. Work Unit No. 505-10-21	
				11. Contract or Grant No.	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546				13. Type of Report and Period Covered Technical Memorandum	
				14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
15. Supplementary Notes					
16. Abstract <p>This note describes the fundamental principles of multicyclic (higher harmonic) control by swashplate oscillation, and discusses advantages and constraints of this technique. A simplified method is presented for determining the blade pitch motions resulting from prescribed swashplate oscillations or vice-versa.</p>					
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Helicopters Swashplate Rotorcraft oscillation Multicyclic control Higher harmonic control				18. Distribution Statement Unlimited STAR Category - 08	
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified		20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified		21. No. of Pages 9	22. Price* \$3.50