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ABSTRACT

Environmental interactions can be defined as the response of spacecraft surfaces to the charged-particle environment. These interactions are divided into two broad categories: Spacecraft Passive, in which the environment acts on the surfaces and Spacecraft Active, in which the spacecraft or a system on the spacecraft causes the interaction. The principal Spacecraft Passive interaction of concern is the spacecraft charging phenomenon. The Spacecraft Active category introduces the concept of interactions with the thermal plasma environment and Earth's magnetic fields, which are important at all altitudes and must be considered the designs of proposed large space structures and space power systems. The status of the spacecraft charging investigations will be reviewed and the Spacecraft Active interactions will be discussed in this report.

INTRODUCTION

Very large spacecraft are being proposed for future space missions. These spacecraft are to be used for such activities as manufacturing, scientific exploration, power generation, and habitation in locations ranging from low Earth orbits (200 to 400 km) to geosynchronous orbit and beyond. Structures proposed for these missions range in size from a 10 to 30 m fabrication and demonstration model, to a 50 to 200 m diameter antenna, to the several kilometer dimensions of the Solar Power Satellite (SPS). Because of these sizes, structures are being designed with relatively lightweight materials to achieve low densities required for transportation to space.

These spacecraft must function in the space environment. Anomalous behavior of geosynchronous satellite systems has shown that the environment is not completely benign. Interactions between the charged-particle environment and spacecraft exterior surfaces (i.e., spacecraft charging) can cause disruptions in spacecraft systems. The size of the new generation of spacecraft will be on the order of the ion gyro radii at geosynchronous altitudes which can increase interactions. The proposed spacecraft physical dimensions are also such that there can be real concern for the effect that the spacecraft can have on modifying the environment.

Proposed large, high power systems ranging from tens of kilowatts to gigawatts have given rise to another aspect of environmental interactions. As power levels rise, operation at higher voltages is mandatory
to reduce electrical losses while maintaining reasonable weight. An SPS design configuration calls for the generation of 10 gigawatts at 40 kV. To date, the highest operational voltage used in space is the 100-volt system on Skylab. At this voltage interactions with the charged particle environment are negligible. Operation at higher voltages in a plasma environment, however, can influence system performance.

To illustrate the types of large structures proposed for future missions, consider the system shown in figure 1. This system in a space construction platform with a 250-kW power array attached to provide power for space construction operations and technology demonstrations. Note the relative size of the Shuttle orbiter compared to the structure.

It is the interactions of these large structures with the charged particle environment that are of concern. These interactions must be understood, evaluated, and neutralized, if necessary, in program design phases.

This report presents a review of possible interactions between spacecraft surfaces and charged-particle environments. Categories of interactions will be defined and briefly described. The spacecraft charging investigation will be reviewed to provide insight into the large space system interactions.

CATEGORIES OF SPACECRAFT-ENVIRONMENTAL INTERACTIONS

Spacecraft-environmental interactions can be defined as the response of spacecraft surfaces to the space charged-particle environment. These surfaces can be charged by this environment at all altitudes. However, the interactions are of concern only when they influence system performance.

Interactions of concern between spacecraft and environments are illustrated in figure 2. A pictorial representation of a large spacecraft configuration employing a large, high-power solar array is shown. There are two broad categories of interactions indicated: Category 1, Spacecraft Passive, where the charged-particle environment acts on spacecraft surfaces and Category 2, Spacecraft Active, where the spacecraft or a system on the spacecraft causes the interaction.

The principal interaction of concern in Category 1 is the spacecraft charging phenomena. Interactions in Category 2 involve the motion induced charging effects in large structures (i.e., due to spacecraft velocity) and electric field induced charging effects in high voltage, large space power system (i.e., due to spacecraft voltages accelerating particle flows to surfaces). These interactions will be discussed in more detail in the following sections.
Both categories of interactions are controlled by the charged-particle flux. The net current to the conductive surfaces and to each element of insulator surface must be zero. This means that the surface voltages will be adjusted (relative to space plasma potential) until currents balance. Incoming currents and secondary, backscatter and photoemitted currents must be known in order to predict surface voltages. Coupling between various parts of the spacecraft occurs not only on the surface but also through the plasma environment complicating computations. Three dimensional analytical techniques are required to predict surface voltages even on relatively simple geometries.

**SPACECRAFT CHARGING INTERACTIONS**

In this section, the spacecraft charging technology investigation will be reviewed to emphasize the state of knowledge of interactions between spacecraft surfaces and charged-particle environments. More complete discussions can be found in the literature. A brief background on the phenomena will be given before summarizing the status.

**Background**

Spacecraft charging interactions occur primarily at geosynchronous altitudes when kilovolt energy particles from geomagnetic substorms electrostatically charge spacecraft surfaces. A pictorial representation of this interaction is shown in figure 3. Under normal or quiescent conditions, all satellite surfaces will be at some potential such that the net current to each surface is zero, for example, the incident electron current is equal to the sum of the incident ion, secondary emitted, backscattered and the photoemitted currents. This usually means that there will be a slight positive bias (-0 to 15 V) to restrict photoemitted currents. In substorms, the incident electron current flux is increased to $\sim 10^{-9}$ A/cm$^2$ at kilovolt energy levels. This current has to be balanced and the surface potentials, relative to space plasma potential, can become strongly negative. Data from the ATS-5/6 experiments have shown that spacecraft ground surfaces can be charged to negative kilovolt potentials under eclipse conditions (no sunlight) and to hundreds of volts negative while in sunlight. If ground surfaces can be charged to these values, then it is logical to assume that shadowed insulators can also be charged to large negative potentials. This gives rise to possible differential charging on parts of geosynchronous satellites. If this differential charging exceeds a threshold, breakdowns can occur. The resulting electromagnetic pulse from this discharge can couple into spacecraft harnesses and be interpreted by low level logic circuitry as commands causing anomalous switching events. In addition, discharges can result in deterioration of thermal control surfaces causing increased system temperatures. Differential charging can attract charged particles back to the spacecraft surfaces enhancing surface contamination.
Both the AF and NASA personnel recognized that this environmental charging could have serious impact on the operations of long-life satellites as well as influencing scientific measurements. A joint investigation was established in 1975 to provide the design criteria, materials, techniques, and test methods which would insure control of absolute and differential charging of spacecraft surfaces. This investigation covered both ground technology and space flight experiments.

Status of Charging Investigation

In this section the status of the AF/NASA spacecraft charging investigation will be summarized by the major program elements.

Environment. - Particle data from the ATS-5 and 6 Auroral Particles Experiments have been reduced and are being compiled into an atlas. Analytical models based on these data, have been developed which characterize the geosynchronous particle environment in terms of two-Maxwellian particle distributions and relate these distributions to the index of magnetic activity, $A_p$. The goal of such modelling efforts has been to devise statistical analytical environment models suitable for use by spacecraft designers rather than physical models of magnetospheric processes.

Analytical modelling. - A computer simulation tool called NASCAP (NASA Charging Analyzer Program) has been developed to predict time-dependent charging characteristics of arbitrary shaped bodies subjected to geomagnetic substorm environments. This computer code can treat simple geometries or a complete spacecraft. The equipotential profiles predicted for a teflon coated sphere subjected to sunlight and a 20 keV substorm are shown in figure 4(a). The equipotential profiles predicted for the cylindrical ATS-5 spacecraft (using teflon, quartz, and aluminum surfaces) under combined sunlight and mild substorm (5 keV) is shown in figure 4(b). Note, that in both cases, there is an asymmetric voltage distribution indicating the need for 3 dimensional treatments to isolate design areas of concern for charging-induced problems.

While charging of spacecraft surfaces can be treated reasonably well, discharge phenomena still requires more work. Breakdowns appear to originate in gaps, imperfections, or edges, but triggering and propagation mechanisms still are unknown. These have to be understood before the treatment of breakdown in large spacecraft surfaces can be finalized.

Materials characterization. - Facilities have been developed for studying the behavior of insulators and quasi-conductors under kilovolt fluxes. Progress has been made in understanding the factors behind the charging of these materials; it is a simple total current balance (see fig. 5). In order to compute surface potential for a given electron flux, the basic material properties of secondary yield and maximum energy, backscatter coefficient and bulk and surface resistivities are.
required. Unfortunately, it is not always possible to find these basic properties for all spacecraft materials. Extrapolation of single surface results to multiple surfaces also requires knowledge of the field coupling effects both on the surfaces and in space. Analytical modeling techniques can predict coupling and these predictions can be verified by experiments. Work in both the basic property measurements and coupling effects is underway.

Discharge phenomena studies are also being pursued. These studies have indicated that breakdown is a complex process involving both positive and negative particle ejection. These experiments should lead to the development of analytical models of the discharge phenomena.

Materials development. Conductive paints and conductive coatings for insulators are being developed under this element of the investigation to keep all spacecraft exterior surfaces near ground potential. Silica cloth appears to be another material that will not charge to appreciable voltages in substorm conditions and, hence, could be used for passive charge control. These materials have been tested in ground simulation facilities for charging characteristics, but only selected conductive paints and indium oxide conductive coatings for solar cells and optical solar reflectors have been qualified for flight applications.

While conductive coatings can result in uniform exterior potentials, some projects may not want to utilize this technique, preferring to control discharges. This appears to be possible by use of metallic meshes or grids. Another possible approach to controlling discharges appears to be the use of grounded metallic frames on insulators.

Space flight experiments. The major project under this element is the AF Scatha Project (Spacecraft Charging at the High Altitudes), and is illustrated in figure 6. This satellite will measure the synchronous environment and conduct experiments on how spacecraft respond to this environment. The satellite will be launched early in 1979 and has a mission life of at least a year.

ATS-5 and 6 experiments have been conducted using the ion thruster neutralizers and the auroral particles experiment. These experiments have shown that a plasma neutralizer (both positive and negative charges present) is an effective means of controlling spacecraft surface potentials. Thermionic emitters (without accelerators) are affected by the differential charging of insulators and emission tends to be suppressed by the presence of local differentially charged insulators.

Harness noise detectors have been flown on the Communications Technology Satellite (CTS). The occurrence of transients in spacecraft harnesses appears to be random in local time. Unfortunately, there is no way to correlate these transients with the state of the environment.
Design guidelines and test standard. - The output of the charging investigation is to be summarized in a Design Guidelines Monograph and a Test Standard. Preliminary versions of these documents are being issued.

Discussion

Significant progress has been made in understanding the phenomena involved in charging geosynchronous satellite surfaces by geomagnetic substorms. This investigation has emphasized the importance of knowing the basic electrical properties of the materials being used and the environment in which the system must function. Small fluxes to insulator/conductor surfaces have resulted in substantial charging which can effect spacecraft system behavior. Care and attention to details similar to that used in high voltage technology must be exercised in order to minimize spacecraft charging anomalies.

Large space structures proposed for geosynchronous orbit add new concepts to the charging study. For these new structures, the spacecraft dimensions are larger than particle gyro radii. Particles can return to structure surfaces and influence charging interactions. Evaluation of this phenomena is not addressed in the joint AF/NASA investigation. It will be discussed in a later paper at this conference.

LARGE SPACE SYSTEMS INTERACTIONS

In this section interactions between charged particle environments and two classes of large space systems will be discussed. The two classes of systems are large space structures and space power systems.

Large Space Structure Interactions

Introduction. - The large structures envisioned for space have been described in journals and at conferences. These structures range from huge to momentous. To date, designers have been more concerned with devising means of building and assembling these structures than with the effects of possible interactions with the environment. These large structures will move through the weak magnetic field around Earth and this motion will induce small electric fields. These fields can generate forces in the structure and cause particle interactions. It is the purpose of the following discussion to point out possible interactions that could influence the structure design.

Structure-environmental interactions. - Consider the pictorial representation of a large structure moving in a low Earth orbit (about 400 km) across magnetic field lines as illustrated in figure 7(a). This structure is assumed to be built with an open triangular truss network made from conductive materials. Across the central portion there is assumed to be a thin insulation cover. This idealized structure could be
in reality a communications platform, an antenna system, or space power module. For convenience, it is assumed that the structure is moving perpendicular to the magnetic field and solar effects are neglected.

It is known that an electric field, \( \vec{E} \), will be generated in a conductor moving with velocity, \( \vec{v} \), in a magnetic field (\( \vec{B} \)):

\[
\vec{E} = \vec{v} \times \vec{B}
\]

For the coordinate system assumed in this example the electric field will be induced in those conductors perpendicular to both the velocity and magnetic field (i.e., those conductors in the y-direction). For the velocity and magnetic field at low Earth orbit this electric field is on the order of 0.2 volt/m.\(^3\) Since any surface in space must have net zero current flows and since electrons are much more mobile than ions, this electric field will be maintained such that, relative to plasma potential, the conductive surfaces will have a small area at a slight positive potential while the rest will be negative. A situation similar to that shown in figure 7(b) could exist. This is a view looking in the x-y plane. The insulator surface will come to a slightly negative potential depending on its correct balance conditions and independent of the conductive structure. Since there can be velocity effects (wake and ram induced changes in density), the voltage sheaths could be distorted as shown in the figure. The expected voltages as a function of structure dimensions for this electric field is shown in figure 8. Until one starts considering multikilometer sized structures, these voltages are not too large. Since the magnetic field diminishes with distance from Earth, these induced effects are negligible at geosynchronous altitudes.

The force or stress induced in the structure due to the electric field can be computed by means of Maxwell's stress tensor\(^4\) as (for the simplified geometry):

\[
T = \frac{1}{2} \vec{D} \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_0 \epsilon E^2
\]

where \( \epsilon \) is dielectric constant and \( \epsilon_0 \) is the permittivity of space (8.8×10\(^{-12}\) coulomb\(^2\)/newton m\(^2\)). Substituting the electric field and typical values for \( \epsilon \) results in a stress on the order of \( 10^{-12} \) newton/m\(^3\). For comparison consider the forces involved in moving typical large structures from low Earth orbit to geosynchronous at the maximum 0.01 g acceleration desired to prevent structural damage.\(^4\) This constraint converts into forces on the order of 100 newtons/m\(^3\) for structures having densities similar to aluminum and of the order of \( 10^{-3} \) newton/m\(^3\) for a structure like the SPS module.\(^4\) In either case the electrostatic field induced force should be too small to be of concern.
But is this really true in all cases? Consider the thin insulator on the central portion of structure resting on the charged conductor. In space the surface of the insulator must have a net current of zero independent of the structure. Hence, the insulator surface could be close to zero volts. Therefore, there will be a differential voltage across the insulator and hence, an electric field through the insulator. This electric field can result in significant stress in the insulator. For example, a 2 mil (0.005 cm) thick insulator with a differential voltage of 20 volts will have a stress induced on the order of 0.2 newton/m\(^3\). This is not a negligibly small stress. The results of a computation of the stress induced on a 2-mil-thick insulator as a result of various differential voltages is shown in figure 9.

Discussion. - The above computations have indicated that fairly large stresses can be induced in thin insulator (dielectric) surfaces by relatively small differential voltages anticipated for large structures. These computations are admittedly simplistic and have neglected several interactions that could either worsen or alleviate the stresses. The exercise is meant only to illustrate an effect that may exist and to point out that care must be used in judging which effects should be incorporated and which ignored.

A much more detailed analysis is required before any definite conclusions can be reached on the induced stresses. Even if this more complete analysis shows that relatively large stresses do exist, then these stresses can be compensated for in the design phases. With reasonable examination of the areas where insulators, conductors, and space come together, interaction effects can be minimized and large structures built for space applications.

Space Power System Interactions

Introduction. - One class of large structures envisioned for future missions are space power systems utilizing solar arrays. A 25-kilowatt system has been proposed to supplement the Shuttle orbiter power capabilities. Future plans call for systems with power generating capabilities of up to 500 kilowatts to be launched in the late eighties and early nineties. When the power levels rise, operating voltages must increase above the 30 to 100 volt levels presently used in order to improve efficiency and reduce weight. It is the electric fields generated by higher operating voltages of these power systems that cause interactions with the space plasmas. This interaction has been studied in ground simulation facilities and a space flight experiment. Results of these studies can be used to understand the reactions involved in this phenomena.

High voltage surface-plasma interactions. - This interaction is illustrated in figure 10 which shows a solar array system in a space environment. In the standard construction of this array, cover slides
do not completely cover the metallic interconnects between the solar cells. These cell interconnects are at various voltages depending on their location in the array circuits. Because the array is exposed to space plasmas, the interconnects act as biased plasma probes attracting or repelling charged particles. At some location on the array the generated voltage is equal to space plasma potential. Cell interconnects that are at voltages \( V_+ \) above the space potential will attract an electron current which depends upon electron density and energy as well as the voltage difference between the interconnect and space. Those interconnects that are at voltages \( V_- \) below space plasma potentials will repel electrons and attract an ion current. The voltage distribution in the interconnects relative to space potential, must be such that electron and ion currents are equal. This flow of particles can be considered a current loop through the power system to space. It is a parallel electrical load with the power system and, as such, represents an additional power loss. One would expect this interaction to be more pronounced at low Earth orbits because of the high number density of low energy plasma (see fig. 11).

Ground simulation tests of biased solar array segments have shown the behavior of these systems when exposed to plasma environments. A small segment consisting of twenty-four 2x2 cm cells connected in series (area \(-100 \text{ cm}^2\) mounted on a fiberglass board has been tested. A thermal plasma environment with densities of \(-10^3\) \(\text{cm}^{-3}\) and \(-10^4\) \(\text{cm}^{-3}\) and energies of about 1 eV was generated in the vacuum tank. The solar cell circuit on the array was biased by laboratory power supplies in both positive and negative voltage steps from 0 to \(+1000\) volts, relative to tank ground. The plasma coupling current (through the environment) and the voltage profile across the solar array surface was measured at each voltage step. These results are shown in figures 12(a) and (b). The voltage profiles were similar for both plasma density tests and only one set has been reproduced.

When low positive bias voltages \(<100\) V) were applied to the segment, the quartz cover slides acquired a slight negative potential to maintain equal electron and ion currents to that surface. This negative surface voltage appears to suppress the electric field expansion into the plasma at the interconnects to values less than 10 percent of the applied voltage. The surface voltage measurements were taken 3 mm above the quartz surface with a capacitively-coupled voltmeter. The plasma coupling current also showed the effect of this voltage suppression; in this voltage regime, the current collection is proportional to the smaller voltage, not the applied voltage.

As the positive bias voltage was increased, there was a transition in the surface voltage profiles: surface voltage sheaths had apparently "snapped-over" or expanded to encompass the cover slides. A voltage sheath is the distance required for the voltage to decay to plasma potential
due to the rearrangement of plasma particles. Snap-over seemed to occur when the sheath approached solar cell dimensions. Effective surface voltage after snap-over was about 50 volts less than the applied voltage. The plasma coupling current also indicated this transition at about 100 volts (see fig. 12(a)). Above applied positive voltages of 100 volts, the current collection was proportional to the panel area and the 0.8 power of the effective voltage.

When negative bias voltages were applied to the solar cell segment, the quartz cover slides again assumed a slightly negative voltage (−2 to −2 V) suppressing the fields at the interconnects (see fig. 12(b)). Instead of a snap-over phenomenon, confinement of interconnect electric fields persisted until the field built up to a point where discharges occur. The voltage at which breakdown occurred appeared to be plasma density dependent. For the tests considered here, breakdown occurred at about −600 volts at densities of −10⁴ cm⁻³ and about −750 volts at densities of −10³ cm⁻³. The plasma coupling currents also indicated the transitions to arcing.

These characteristics observed in the laboratory have been verified in space with an auxiliary payload package called PIX (Plasma Interaction Experiment). This package was carried on the Delta second stage during the Landsat III launch, March 5, 1978 and operated in a 900-km polar orbit for 4 hours. Only the plasma coupling currents were measured as a function of voltage but the comparison to the laboratory test data was excellent (see fig. 13).

In order to extend these laboratory results to space power system interactions, one must know the floating potential of the array relative to space. This is a complex computation, but if one makes the approximation that the array will be no more than 10 percent positive (Vₚ) and 90 percent negative (Vₚ), then the effect of the plasma interactions can be estimated. This split in voltage is probably conservative and the array will float at only a few percent positive. The system operating voltage will be the sum of the absolute values of the floating potentials (i.e., \( V_L = |V_+| + |V_-| \)). Using the above split in voltages and the laboratory derived characteristics, the ratio of the plasma coupling current to the operating current is shown in figure 14 as a function of operating voltage. This curve is typical for any power level in a 400-km orbit.

It is apparent that plasma coupling currents are negligibly small at operating voltages less than 500 volts and power systems operating at this voltage are feasible from an environmental interaction viewpoint. The limitation in operations at higher voltages appears to be arcing in the negative portions of the array. If this arcing is truly an electric field confinement effect, then a technology investigation should lead to practical methods of overcoming this limitation.
Extrapolating these results to geosynchronous orbits indicates that coupling current losses should be even less of a concern. However, the arcing problem does exist. Laboratory data has shown that arcing could occur when operating voltages exceed 5000 volts.

Discussion. - Laboratory data has indicated that high voltage systems in space if used must be carefully engineered. There can be interactions between the space charged-particle environment and the biased conductors surrounded by insulator surfaces. While the anticipated plasma coupling current power loss probably will be negligible, a breakdown condition exists which unless it could be overcome would limit operating voltages.

The above comments are based on what were essentially short time experiments. The space power systems have been proposed for multiyear operation (up to 30 yr for the SPS). Therefore, long time effects must be evaluated for high voltage systems - space plasma interactions. Such items as the effect of long time deposition of charges in and on insulator surfaces and the influence of electrostatically enhanced contamination must be assessed before these high voltage systems can safely and reliably function in space.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Some future space missions have requirements which cannot be satisfied by present day spacecraft and are proposing the orbiting of very large deployable or erectable structures. These new spacecraft will have dimensions ranging up to kilometers and will use lightweight materials to achieve the required low density. These proposed new spacecraft must function in a charged-particle space environment which means that the possible interactions between this environment and spacecraft surfaces must be identified and evaluated.

Two broad categories of spacecraft surface-charged particle environmental interactions have been identified: Spacecraft Passive, when the environment acts on the spacecraft and Spacecraft Active, when a spacecraft system causes the interaction. The principal interaction in the first category is the spacecraft charging phenomena. The investigation of this interaction started 3 years ago and much has been accomplished. The results of the investigation, to date, have pointed out the need to exercise care in designing spacecraft since environmental fluxes can influence spacecraft system performance. In addition the spacecraft charging impact on very large spacecraft proposed for future mission has not yet been considered, but must be evaluated.

The second category of interactions involves motion induced effects on large structures and the use of on-board high voltage systems. There are indications that spacecraft motion through the Earth's magnetic field can induce additional and significant stresses in insulator-conductor
interfaces. These stresses must be assessed and relieved in large structure designs. The use of high voltage system in space requires similar care as ground applications of high voltage systems. In both cases, breakdowns are possible unless reasonable design guidelines are used. Both types of interactions considered here are more serious at low-Earth orbits compared to geosynchronous orbits.

All interactions discussed here involve the requirement that the net currents to surfaces be zero. This applies to conductors as well as insulators. In space, surface voltages will automatically adjust so that this requirement will be satisfied. Electric fields from these charged surfaces will interact in the plasma environment influencing particle fluxes. It is the goal of the technology investigations to understand these complex interactions and to devise means to minimize their effects on spacecraft system performance.
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Figure 1. Space Construction Facility (ref. 16).

Figure 2. Spacecraft-environment interactions.
Figure 3 - Spacecraft charging interactions

Figure 4 - NASCAP potential distribution predictions
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Figure 5. - 1-D model space substorm environment.

Figure 6. - Scatha Satellite.
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Figure 7 - Large space structure interaction

Figure 8 - Voltages induced in structure ($E = 0.2 \text{ V/m}$)
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Figure 9. - Stress induced in 0.005 cm insulator by voltage across insulator.

Figure 10. - Spacecraft higher voltage system-environment interactions.
Figure 11. - Plasma number density vs altitude in equatorial orbit (ref. 32).

Figure 12. - Solar array surface voltage profiles and coupling currents.
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Figure 13 - Comparison of PIX flight results with ground simulation tests
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Figure 14 - Plasma coupling losses - all power levels 400 km orbit ($V_+ = 0.1 V_{op}$ and $V_- = 0.9 V_{op}$)
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