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Abstract

Two inflow control devices (ICD's) were tested on a JT15D engine to determine their suitability for providing clean inflow and reducing noise generation. The objectives of the program were to develop means of accurately simulating flight fan noise on ground static test facilities and to determine their ability to remove inflow turbulence without altering the sound transmission to the far field. The results generally indicated that both the in-duct and external ICD's were effective in reducing the inflow turbulence and the fan blade passing frequency tone generated by the turbulence. The external ICD was essentially transparent to the propagating fan tone but the in-duct ICD caused attenuation under most conditions.

Introduction

The simulation of in-flight turbofan engine noise using ground static test facilities requires the use of inflow control devices to provide clean inflow and accurate signature generation. The objective of the program was to develop means of accurately simulating flight fan noise on ground static test facilities and to determine their suitability for providing clean inflow and reducing noise generation. In the present investigation, two inflow control devices (ICD's) were tested to determine their suitability for providing clean inflow to the engine and to determine any possible noise attenuation and changes in directivity caused by their use. The engine used for this investigation was a Pratt & Whitney JT15D engine. Two types of ICD's were used in the investigation. The first was an external to the duct and the second an internal ICD. The program results reported herein are part of a larger planned inter-center forward speed program utilizing the JT15D engine in flight, static, and wind tunnel tests.

A method of calibration of the ICD's transmission characteristics was devised which involved the use of rods mounted in the inlet duct a short distance (0.5 in.) upstream from the fan. The rod configurations were utilized for the calibration. The first configuration utilized 28 rods and the second, 41 rods. The rod configurations were designed to generate different modal patterns in the inlet duct. These modal patterns produce definite BPF tone directivity patterns in the far field that are distinct from the no rod case. The intensity of the BPF tone source noise generated by the fan blades cutting the rod wakes also was intended to be sufficiently high to completely dominate over the noise generated by the interaction of the fan rotor blades with the inflow turbulence. Thus it was intended to generate a tone whose source would not be affected by the presence of the ICD's. By use of different rod configurations it was possible to determine the transmission characteristics over a range of duct sound modal structures whose effect could be identified in the far field.

Apparatus and Procedure

Engine

The engine used in this investigation was a Pratt & Whitney JT15D. This turbofan engine has a bypass ratio of 3.3, a fan pressure ratio of 1.5, and a maximum rated thrust of 9800 newtons (2200 lb). Fig. 1 presents a cross section view of the JT15D engine and indicates instrumentation station locations. The core engine consists of a single stage centrifugal compressor driven by a single stage axial turbine. The fan is directly driven by a two stage axial turbine. The fan has 28 blades and 5.034 m (21 in.) in diameter. There are 66 fan bypass stator vanes and 33 core stator vanes. The fan tip to fan stator spacing is about 1.0 fan blade chord and the fan to core stator spacing is about 0.3 fan blade chord. The blade-vane ratio for this fan lead to a cut-off rotor-stator interaction tone for the fan and bypass stator vanes. The tone due to interactions between the core stator vanes, however, was "cut-on" for all engine speeds. Thus considering also the close spacing, tones due to rotor wakes interacting with the core vanes are a strong possibility in the JT15D production engine.

Test Facility

The Lewis outdoor test facility for noise and performance testing can accommodate turbofan engines with thrust levels up to 133 500 newtons (30 000 lb). A perspective sketch of this facility is shown in Fig. 2. The engine is supported by a tripoded, cantilevered, overhead support arm. The support arm contains a thrust measuring system to which the engine is mounted. The centerline engine height above the ground is 2.9 m (9.5 ft). A moveable shelter, on tracks, is used to provide a work area and to protect the engine between runs.

The JT15D engine is shown mounted on the test stand in Fig. 3. The engine is supported by a pylon support arm which permits the jet inlets to be forward or the major overhead support system. This arrangement helps minimize the possibility of inlet flow distortions caused by wakes and eddies from flow over the test stand structure. Fig. 3 also shows the engine connected to an exhaust muffler. After-radiated engine noise as well as jet noise was effectively eliminated by the use of this muffler.

Acoustic Instrumentation and Processing

Far field noise measurements were made with microphones located on a 27.6 m (90 ft) radius arc centered on the engine inlets. The microphones were positioned at 10° intervals from 0° to 120°. The majority of the acoustic measurements were made with ground microphones. These microphones 1.3 cm (0.5 in.) diameter were mounted on 0.5 m (2 ft) square supports at ground level and
pointed at the engine inlet. Some measurements were also made with engine centerline height microphones mounted to pole stands and pointed toward the engine inlet. In addition, in-duct Kulite transducers mounted about 30.5 cm (12 in.) upstream of the fan were also utilized (Fig. 1). The microphone signals were transmitted over shielded cable and were recorded on FM tape and analyzed through a Novatronic scanner control unit. The Novatronic separately plays each acoustic channel into a General Radio multimeter and multichannel RMS detector. Using a 8-second integration time, one-third octave band sound pressure level (SPL) was obtained. In Fig. 6, the honeycomb exit size and thickness are given for both the external and internal duct ICD's. Four in-duct ICD honeycomb configurations consisting of three thicknesses and two cell sizes were tested. Only the results of the 4 inch thick 3/8 inch cell size configuration are reported in this paper.

The IB11 computer calculated sound levels at distances other than the measurement stations and corrected to an atmospheric temperature of 15°C (59°F) and a relative humidity of 70 percent. Ground microphone data are corrected to free-field conditions by subtracting 6 dB at all frequencies up to 20,000 Hz. This correction accounts for the effect of ground reflected signals.

**Inflow Control Devices**

Two inflow control devices (ICD's) were used in the investigation. The external type is shown mounted on the engine in Fig. 4. The internal duct type is shown in Fig. 5 installed in the engine inlet duct. A schematic diagram of the two ICD types was designed to fit snugly around the engine nacelle and was positioned axially for alignment of the honeycomb cells with the potential flow streamlines for the inlet. The design through flow velocity for the in-duct ICD (hereafter called ICD number 2) was approximately 400 ft/sec at maximum engine flow. Through flow velocity for the external ICD was 15 ft/sec.

**Test Procedure**

The engine was run over a range of fan speeds from 6750 rpm to 15,000 rpm. The majority of the data, however, are presented at 10,500 rpm and 13,500 rpm. These fan speeds correspond to a subsonic and a supersonic fan tip speed condition. Both aerodynamic performance data and acoustic data runs were made on each configuration. Baseline acoustic data runs were generally repeated on several days and then averaged to improve the data accuracy. The acoustic runs with the ICD's produced data that had considerably less scatter and was repeatable from one day to another.

Although four different in-duct ICD's were tested in the program only the 10.15 cm (4 in.) thick, 0.922 cm (3/8 in.) cell size honeycomb results are presented herein.

**Results and Discussion**

The results of a performance evaluation of two types of ICD's using the JT15D engine are reported. This evaluation was part of a larger forward velocity program involving flight testing, tunnel testing, and acoustic chamber testing of the JT15D engine or its fan stage. The performance evaluation of the two ICD's consisted of both changes in fan noise generation and possible noise transmission effects attributed to the ICD's.

The discussion of the results of the investigation is divided into noise generation and noise transmission effects. All runs were made with the aft end of the engine connected to an exhaust muffler, thus the data are for forward radiated noise only. The data is presented on a 1/3 octave band basis. Narrow band spectra are also presented for some of the comparisons.

**Noise Generation Effects**

The ICD's are intended to reduce engine inflow turbulence from the atmosphere and any wakes or vortices from either test stand structure or the ground plane. These disturbances can generate fan BPF tone noise as well as broadband and possibly other shaft order tones. Ideally, the ICD should condition the engine inflow uniform and free of the noise generated simultaneously the flight case. The extent to which flight-like noise generation was achieved by the types of ICD's used in this investigation is illustrated by comparing Figs. 7 to 13. Fig 7 presents the acoustic far field power spectra for the two ICD's as well as the baseline no ICD case. The 10,500 rpm fan speed condition is shown in Fig. 7(a). The BPF fundamental tone was reduced about 7 dB for either ICD. The second harmonic of this tone was reduced about 4 dB with ICD number 1 and about 8 dB with ICD number 2. Although the fan and bypass stators on the production JT15D engine used in this investigation are designed for acoustical cut-off of the BPF tone at 10,500 rpm, the fan and core stators do not have the required blade to vane ratio for cut-off. Therefore it is not certain whether the residual BPF tone with the ICD's is a result of insufficiently removed inflow turbulence or rotor/core stator interaction.

Fig. 7(b) presents the power spectrum for the 13,500 rpm condition again for the two ICD's. At this speed the BPF tone due to the rotor alone caused by supersonic fan blade tip speed can propagate. The inflow turbulence is not expected to greatly affect the BPF tone at supersonic blade tip speed. This supersonic condition also generates other multiple pure tones (NBT's) which dominate in the 3000 to 5000 Hz band. The data for ICD number 1 indicate very little change over the baseline case. The data using ICD number 2, however, show a reduction in acoustic far field power level for all frequencies above 500 Hz.

Narrow band spectra of far field noise at 60° from the inlet axis for a fan speed of 10,500 rpm.
The dominant BPF tone is reduced considerably by the ICD (compare Figs. 8(a) and (b)). The higher harmonics of the BPF tones are also reduced significantly by the ICD. Narrow band in-duct data (measured by Halite transducers mounted in the duct wall upstream of the fan) are shown in Fig. 9. The in-duct BPF tone and the second harmonic were reduced more than 10 dB by the ICD. Unsteadiness of the BPF tone is reduced significantly by the ICD. Narrow band in-duct data of the BPF harmonics are also reduced significantly by the ICD.

The data indicate that the tone reduction with Fig. 8(a) and (b)). The far field result would seem to indicate that both ICD's generally increase with angle from the inlet. The BPF tone directivity at 15 500 rpm is shown in Fig. 11(a). At low angles to the inlet both ICD's reduced the BPF tone noise of the fan. However, at angles of 60° and greater ICD number 1 did not reduce the BPF tone noise level from that of the baseline. A possible explanation for this result is that, at low angles to the inlet, rotor turbulence dominates the noise generation and both ICD's are able to reduce this source. At angles of 60° and greater, the rotor alone field, due to supersonic tip speed, dominates the noise generation. This observation however does not completely explain ICD number 2 results at higher angles. Apparently the rotor alone modal patterns generated by the fan and appearing at these angles are attenuated by the in-duct ICD in propagating to the far field. This behavior may be related, somehow, to the shock like nature of the rotor alone field at supersonic fan speeds. In the case of the BPF tone directivity at 10 500 rpm Fig. 11(a) (subsonic blade tip speed) the noise generated is primarily due to rotor turbulence which has been shown to be able to considerably reduce the noise generated by the turbulence. This noise generation occurs above ICD number 2 as was the case at higher tip speed cases (Fig. 11(b)).

The noise generated by the fan as measured in-duct at the two speed conditions and for the two ICD's is illustrated in Fig. 12. The data presented here was obtained from in-duct wall microphones and thus is an indication of the fan generated noise near the source. Fig. 12(a) presents 1/3 octave sound pressure level (SPL) spectra at 10 500 rpm fan speed. The data indicate that both ICD's were effective in reducing the BPF tone and the higher harmonics of the BPF. This result is in general agreement with the far field results for this speed (Fig. 7(a)). Fig. 12(b) presents data for the 15 500 rpm case. This data indicates that both ICD's reduced the fan noise significantly but the far field results (Fig. 7(b)) show a substantial reduction for ICD number 2. The far field result would seem to indicate that the in-duct ICD attenuated the propagating noise at supersonic fan tip speed while ICD number 1 did not.

The second harmonic tone directivity for the two ICD's is shown in Fig. 13. The far field data at 10 500 rpm, unlike the fundamental tone at this fan speed, the second harmonic tone due to rotor-stator interaction is cut on. The data indicate that even though the noise source is cut on a reduction of inflow turbulence by the ICD's can reduce the noise level. The fact that ICD number 2 reduced the noise more than ICD number 1 may have been due to attenuation. The explanation for the behavior observed in Fig. 13(b) at the 15 500 rpm condition is similar to that for the fundamental tone at this speed.

Transmission Effects

As noted earlier a method of calibrating the ICD's for possible changes in far field directivity and noise attenuation was devised that utilized wake generating rods mounted upstream of the fan. The rods were inserted through the duct wall 10.3 cm (3.5 in.) upstream of the fan. Unlike other methods of generating noise near the source, the tone is dominated subsonically by the ICD's. The BPF tone generated by the rods is expected to be affected by the ICD's and thus predictable far-field directivity characteristics. The 28 rod configuration produced highly propagating plane and axisymmetric interaction modes within the engine inlet. The 41 rod configuration generated only one circumferential order (m = 13) of spinning modes that vary from near cut-off at low speeds to more highly propagating at higher speeds. The predicted far-field directivity for these known source modes was then used to determine the transmission characteristics of the ICD's. The far field tone noise directivities for the 28 rod configuration at 10 500 rpm and 15 500 rpm are shown in Fig. 15. As mentioned previously the combination of rods and fan blades produces a BPF tone that consists primarily of a plane wave and axisymmetric modes that are highly propagating and thus beam the acoustic energy to the far field near or on engine axis. This result is illustrated by the large increase in SPL at the high directivity angles with the rod installed. At angles away from the axis the tone is dominated subsonically by the rotor-turbulence or rotor core stator interaction and supersonically by the rotor alone field. The 28 rod method of generating a calibration tone is useful only at small angles to the inlet axis.

The far field tone directivity for the 41 rod configuration is shown in Fig. 16. The directivity pattern generated by the combination of rods and fan blades produces a BPF tone that consists primarily of a plane wave and the expected characteristic pattern, namely that the pattern is directed more away from the inlet than the 28 rod configuration, and the leading edge of the pattern advances to lower angles as engine speed increases. The rotation of the fan can also be seen to appear at supersonic tip speed and to dominate at the high angles. This type of directivity pattern should allow ICD calibration over a wider range of angles away from the inlet.

The transmission characteristics of the two ICD's using the 28 rod configuration are shown in Fig. 17. Since this rod configuration produces its calibrating signal primarily at the low forward
The overall objective of the program was to determine the extent to which these devices could be used for flight simulation of turbofan engine fan noise on a ground based static engine test stand. The engine used in the investigation was a JT15D engine.

The results generally indicated that both an in-duct ICD and an external duct ICD were effective in reducing the turbulence generated blade passing frequency tone. With the ICD, the tone protruded only about 1/3 as far above the broadband level as it did without the ICD. The residual BPF tone could have in part been caused by the fan rotor wakes interacting with the core stators of the production JT15D engine, a cut-on tone.

The transmission characteristics of the two ICD’s were evaluated by use of rods installed upstream of the fan. Two rod configurations were used to generate both axisymmetric modes including the plain wave and spinning mode BPF tones. The two rod configurations generated high BPF tone levels that provided transmission calibrations over a range of far field directivity angles. The internal duct ICD appeared to cause sound transmission losses and changes in far field directivity but the external duct ICD was essentially transparent to the propulsive tone.
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Concluding Remarks

An evaluation of two inflow control devices was made to determine their ability to remove engine inflow turbulence (as determined by a reduction in fan BPF tone) and to allow unaltered sound transmission of fan noise to the far field. The overall objective of the program was to deter-
Figure 1. - Cross section view of JT15D engine.

Figure 2. - Perspective illustration of engine test facility.
Figure 3. JT15D engine mounted on test stand.
Figure 4. - JT15D engine with external duct ICD mounted to engine.
Figure 5. - JT15D engine with internal duct ICD installed.
Figure 6. Schematic sketch of inflow control devices (ICD's) used in tests.
Figure 7. - Power spectra, ICD numbers 1 and 2.

Figure 8. - Narrow-band spectra, 60° far field, 10,500 rpm fan speed.
Figure 9. - Narrow-band spectra, in-duct Kulite, 10 500 rpm fan speed.

Figure 10. - Time trace, in-duct Kulite, 10 500 rpm fan speed.
Figure 11. - One-third octave band BPF tone directivity for ICD numbers 1 and 2.

Figure 12. - Sound pressure level spectra, ICD numbers 1 and 2, in-duct Kulite.
Figure 13. - One-third octave band second harmonic BPF tone directivity for ICD numbers 1 and 2.
Figure 14 - Schematic diagram of rod installation.
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Figure 15. - One-third octave band BPF tone directivity, 28 rod configuration, 10 500 rpm and 13 500 rpm fan speeds.

Figure 16. - One-third octave band BPF tone directivity, 41 rod configurations, 8450 rpm, 10 500 rpm, and 13 500 rpm fan speeds.
Figure 17. - One-third octave band BPF tone directivity for ICD numbers 1 and 2, 28-rod configuration.
Figure 18. - One-third octave band BPF tone directivity for ICD numbers 1 and 2, 41-rod configuration.