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SUMMARY

This quarter has witnessed appreciable progress in achieving high efficiency on RTR solar cells, with an average efficiency of 9.1% on the most recent lot. The best cell to date has a measured efficiency of 11.3%.

A new technique for growing limited-length ribbons continually has been demonstrated. This "Rigid Edge" technique can be used to recrystallize about 95% of the polyribbon feedstock. A major advantage of this method is that only a single, constant length silicon ribbon is handled throughout the entire process sequence; this may be accomplished using cassettes similar to those presently in use for processing Czochralski wafers. Thus a transition from Cz to ribbon technology can be smoothly affected. The maximum size being considered, 3" x 24", is half a square foot, and will generate 6 watts for 12% efficiency at 1 sun.

Silicon dioxide has been demonstrated as an effective, practical diffusion barrier for use during the polyribbon formation. Two different approaches for using the silicon dioxide are being pursued.
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1.0 CRYSTAL GROWTH USING THE PURGEABLE FURNACE

Recent modifications to the purgeable furnace have apparently succeeded in reducing (or eliminating) contamination of the silicon ribbon during growth. (See Section 5.4) The quartz jacket was rebuilt, with its flanges sloping up at a 10° angle, to prevent reflected beams from striking it during growth. This change allowed removal of the protective platinum shields, which may have been the contamination source. Gas flow between the pre- and post-heaters has been restricted by stuffing the gap with high purity quartz wool. This has effectively increased the outward gas flow around the melt, thus further improving ambient control. The quartz wool has also proved beneficial in stabilizing the temperature in the heaters.

Ribbons grown from pre-etched CVD feedstock in this furnace were fabricated into solar cells. Results for the best cells are quoted in Section 5.4.

The ribbons were grown at 1"/minute using the rigid edge technique. In the rigid edge technique, the molten zone is not allowed to touch the ribbon edges. Since the ribbon is supported by the solid edges, it does not need to be held at both the top and the bottom. By holding ribbons from the top only, and growing to within 1/8" from the bottom of the ribbon, we were able to grow approximately 45 cm²/ribbon. If we had to hold the ribbon at both top and bottom, the total regrown length would have only been ~7 cm; thus the total area grown would have been restricted to ~17 cm².

Grain structure of ribbons grown by this technique is remarkably similar to that of ribbons grown from a full-width melt. Naturally, nucleation from the edge does occur (Figure 1) but the edge-influenced grain growth extends only ~1 mm into the ribbon. Across most of the width of the ribbon, the grain structure (Figure 2) is similar to the grain structure of ribbon grown for a full width melt (Figure 3).
Figure 1: Grain structure at the edge, rigid edge method

Figure 2: Grain structure at the center, rigid edge method

Figure 3: Grain structure, full width melt
We are seriously evaluating use of the "Rigid Edge" technique for achieving high production rates with the RTR method. The CVD ribbons would be grown with a constant limited length. The ribbon sections would be automatically loaded into a cassette, where they could be stored until they are ready for recrystallization. The RTR process would also feed the constant length ribbons into a cassette. In order for this method to be realizable, the full length of the ribbons must be utilized. This would not be possible with the conventional RTR method, since the ribbons must be supported on both ends, implying a loss of ~15 cm at each end. However, if the melt is prevented from actually reaching the edge of the ribbons, a thin unmelted strip ~1 mm wide on each side of the ribbon can support the ribbon, even when it is held at one end only. Thus, the "Rigid Edge" technique allows all the ribbon, except for a strip ~1 mm wide around the perimeter, to be recrystallized. Since the grain structure of this ribbon is very similar to that obtained with the conventional RTR method, it is expected that comparable efficiencies will be maintained.

Consider a constant length ribbon 3 inches wide and 2 feet long. If 1 mm along each edge is lost because of rigid edge processing, the material use efficiency is 97%. The potential advantages of rigid edge growth far outweigh this small loss and, considering that solar cell processing required removal of some material along the substrate periphery, the relative loss of material, compared to current practice, should be well under 3%.

A 3" x 24" solar cell at 12% efficiency will generate 6 watts. Thirty-four of these cells in a package slightly larger than 4 feet square would generate over 200 watts. The constant length ribbon would permit designing all equipment for the entire process sequence to handle the same size substrates. This may be accomplished using cassettes similar to those presently in use for processing
Czochralski wafers, so a transition from Cz to ribbon technology can be smoothly affected. Also, since the ribbon will be only 100 μm thick, occasional breakage will occur; standardization on a constant size of substrate will permit easy recovery of an automated process, and less loss of material after breakage.

2.0 POLYCRYSTALLINE RIBBON DEVELOPMENT

We have had some difficulty in reproducing our recent success in growing long polycrystalline silicon ribbons. The main problem appears to be a Venturi effect at the end seals of the CVD furnace. At the entrance seal, the change from the stainless steel block to the fiberglass curtain was counterproductive. The incoming H₂/SHCl₃ gases tend to suck air in through the furnace. At the exit, a similar effect is occurring with the suction induced by the hydrogen burn-off at the exhaust. In our original successful deposition, this exhaust had been almost totally blocked by SiO₂ deposits. This forced some gases out into the room, and this outward gas flow effectively prevented air from leaking into the reaction chamber.

Several modifications were made to the furnace entrance in attempting to prevent air from entering the reaction tube. It was found that the O-ring seals around the quartz tube were unreliable. When tightened sufficiently to make a seal, they often broke the quartz reaction tube. When the tube did not break, a defective seal resulted in SiO₂ formation.

Figure (4) shows the present configuration of the entrance. Process gases are now injected inside the quartz tube rather than through the center block. A seal plate, with a narrow slot to admit the Mo substrates has been added. A fiberglass curtain on the furnace side helps to reflect the radiant energy, and a slitted Viton curtain makes a tight seal. The O-ring seals around the quartz
Figure 4: Entrance Seal, CVD Furnace
have been eliminated. Instead, RTV silicone is used to seal the metal parts to
the quartz tube. These modifications have proved successful.

In the latest run a ribbon 140 cm long sheared off from a 150 cm x 5 cm sub-
strate. Unfortunately the shearing took place in two sections, inside the fur-
nace, indicating that a different temperature profile may be required for shearing
to take place in long ribbons without periodic breaking of the ribbon. The longer
ribbon of the two pieces was 85 cm x 5 cm.

2.1 SUBSTRATE STUDIES - MULTILAYER SYSTEMS

Multilayer substrate systems are conceptually a promising approach for re-
ducing the Mo concentration in the silicon ribbon deposited on them by CVD. The
general approach to a multilayer substrate is to have a diffusion barrier between
the Mo base and the polycrystalline silicon ribbon. The two most obvious materials
for use as a diffusion barrier are Si$_3$N$_4$ and SiO$_2$, since neither would introduce
a new element into the system. Experiments with Si$_3$N$_4$ layers eventually proved
to be disappointing, the silicon nitride film being converted to MoSi$_2$ in the
presence of hydrogen and the chlorosilanes. This results in the remaining un-
consumed Si$_3$N$_4$ separating from the MoSi$_2$ layer and the silicon ribbon thus re-
moves the Si$_3$N$_4$. Neutron activation analysis analyses (discussed in Section 3.0)
confirm that only the first deposition results in a much lower molybdenum level.
Thus, for the diffusion barrier properties of the Si$_3$N$_4$ to be effectively utilized, it
would require re-coating after every deposition. SiO$_2$, however, has shown con-
tinued promise.

In order to use the TESS technique successfully, a MoSi$_2$ layer must be formed.
Consequently, we have pursued two approaches. The simplest method is to deposit
1 micron of Si, 0.1 microns of SiO$_2$ and then the CVD ribbon on the Mo substrate.
The ribbons sheared at the MoSi$_2$-Si interface. They were then etched to remove the 1 micron Si layer, and the SiO$_2$. Figure 5 is a micrograph of a ribbon (before etching) showing the two Si layers sandwiching the SiO$_2$ diffusion barrier. This technique has two advantages over our present method of etching about 20 microns from the silicon ribbon surface which was in contact with the Mo substrate: it reduces the etching of the silicon by 95% and it should produce an even higher purity Si ribbon.

The second method uses a thin (5,000 Å) molybdenum layer sputtered on top of the 1 micron-thick silicon dioxide diffusion barrier deposited on top of the Mo substrate. The ribbons sheared in normal fashion, leaving MoSi$_2$ film on the oxide layer. Should this technique be shown to be totally successful, a very significant improvement in substrate recycling may be expected, since the substrate is completely protected from the silicon. A cross-section is being made of the substrate and the ribbon. An additional virtue of the sputtered Mo adjacent to the depositing Si surface is the high purity of the sputtered layer, compared to metallurgical grade Mo. Silicon ribbons prepared by these methods are being evaluated by neutron activation analysis.

3.0 NEUTRON ACTIVATION ANALYSIS

The results of neutron activation analysis for Mo are shown in Table 1. The best results were obtained for samples 513 and 242BR, in which Mo could not be detected.

Sample 513 was grown by using a 0.1 μm thick SiO$_2$ layer as a diffusion barrier on top of a thin Si layer deposited on the Mo substrate. This method has two advantages over our present method. It produces a ribbon with the lowest Mo impurity level (since the SiO$_2$ has proven to be an excellent diffusion barrier) and it will reduce the total silicon lost by etching, since the total thickness
Magnification: 200 X

SiO$_2$ Diffusion Barrier - Cross-Section.
of the Si and SiO₂ layers is ~1 μm, while we typically remove about 20 μm with our present method. Sample 242 is an RTR ribbon regrown from etched CVD feedstock, included on this list for comparative purposes.

Sample 536, grown using molybdenum-oxide-molybdenum layers, was not quite as successful. Sample 513, which was grown in the semicontinuous system, shows an Mo level ~0.1 ppma. This result is promising, since only 10 μm were removed from this sample.

Sample 481 (which was not etched) was grown from a SiH₄ source. Since Cl was eliminated from the system - thus eliminating the possibility of a molybdenum chloride autodoping mechanism - this experiment confirms that the main contamination mechanism is likely to be solid state diffusion, probably along the grain boundaries of the CVD ribbon.

The samples identified as SNA1 - SNC2 were ribbons deposited on silicon nitride coated Mo substrates. The letter in the ID denotes a particular Mo substrate, while the number denotes whether this ribbon was the first, second or third grown on that substrate. These results show that although the silicon nitride effectively reduces Mo contamination for the first deposition, it is ineffective for subsequent depositions. Thus, in order to use the silicon nitride layers as a diffusion barrier, we would have to coat the substrates prior to each deposition.

4.0 DIFFUSION LENGTH MEASUREMENTS BY SPV, OCPV

We have recently reported some very long diffusion lengths in RTR silicon, including many values over 200 microns after gettering. It is possible that some of these very high values are anomalous, i.e. they do not reflect the true quality of the material.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample L.D.</th>
<th>Mo Concentration (ppma)</th>
<th>Sample Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>536</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>Mo (substrate) - SiO₂ - Mo (5000 Å sputtered layer) - Si ribbon. Sample etched after deposition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>513</td>
<td>N.D. &lt; 0.04</td>
<td>Si - SiO₂ - silicon ribbon. Sample etched after deposition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>242BR</td>
<td>N.D. &lt; 0.15</td>
<td>Regrown silicon ribbon. Feedstock etched after deposition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R13</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>Silicon ribbon grown in semi-continuous system, etched 30 seconds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>481</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Silicon ribbon grown from SiH₄ (not etched).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNA1</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>First Deposition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNA2</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>Second Deposition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNA3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Third Deposition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNB1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>First Deposition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNC1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>First Deposition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNC2</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>Second Deposition.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A brief experiment has shown that the measured diffusion length can be light intensity dependent. In a typical case, an increase in the light intensity by a factor of 5 reduced the apparent diffusion length from 200 microns to 70 microns. Further increases in light intensity did not further reduce the diffusion length. We should emphasize that this effect was found to apply only to some samples with very long apparent diffusion lengths. The diffusion lengths we have reported in the range 5 - 100 microns are still considered reliable.

For silicon ribbon with varying diffusion length but homogeneous in other material properties, the measured diffusion length would "average" out the good and bad areas. Such an experiment was carried out using two cells (see Figure 6). Curve #1 was obtained on an essentially zero diffusion length cell, and curve #2 on a 50 micron diffusion length cell. The average value of the diffusion length would then be given by:

\[ \bar{L} = k \left( L_1 A_1 + L_2 A_2 \right) / A \]

where \( \bar{L} \) represents the average value for the two cells; \( L_i, A_i \) are the diffusion lengths and the areas, respectively of the cells \( (i = 1,2) \). \( A = A_1 + A_2 \) is the total area, and \( k \) is a constant determined by the experimental conditions (1). Curve #3 was obtained by measuring the total response from both cells illuminated simultaneously. Thus the diffusion length measured by curve #3 falls between the values measured on individual cells, shown in curves #1 and #2.

In some cases, however, material inhomogeneities can lead to measured values of the diffusion length larger than the true value. A similar situation near a grain boundary has been discussed by Matare (2). Internal fields, possibly due to impurity distribution, grain boundaries or other defects produce an additional voltage with a wavelength dependence, thus affecting the apparent value of the diffusion length.
Figure 6: Diffusion Length Measurements on Inhomogeneous Material.
5.0 SOLAR CELLS ON GETTERED SILICON RIBBONS

Another lot of solar cells fabricated on RTR silicon grown from pre-etched CVD feedstock has been evaluated. Two preliminary PH$_3$ gettering steps were used to improve the diffusion length. These ribbons were grown at 0.3"/minute, without an annealing furnace. The cell size was 1 x 2 cm. The cells were defined by a mesa pattern, resulting in passivated junction edges.

The average efficiency for this lot was 9.1%. The best cell (see Figure 7) had an efficiency of 11.3%, with $V_{OC} = 0.533$, $J_{SC} = 29.4$ ma/cm$^2$ and a fill factor of 72%. One ribbon, 967R, contained 3 cells, all over 10%.

5.1 METALLIZATION SHADOWING

Although this lot of cells exhibited improved performance over previous lots, we did have a problem with pinholes in the Si$_3$N$_4$ coating. This allowed metal to plate onto the exposed n+ surface layer, reducing the active area. Since the pinholes vary in size and density, it is difficult to estimate the degree of shadowing caused by this problem, but it may range up to 10%. For example, the shadowing on the 11.3% cell was estimated at about 1.7%. Presumably, based only on the increase in short circuit current, that cell could have reached an 11.5% efficiency.

5.2 VARIATION IN SHORT-CIRCUIT CURRENT

Considerable scatter has been observed in the measured values of $J_{SC}$ for the "regrown from CVD" solar cells. The major cause for this variation has not yet been determined, but two factors can be identified. The first is a fluctuation in substrate resistivity (0.5 to 2 ohm-cm). This is due to the fact we are using two different reactors for the polycrystalline ribbon growth. One has consistently been producing a lower boron doping level than the other.
\[ \eta = 11.3\% \]
\[ \text{Voc} = 0.533 \]
\[ \text{Jsc} = 29.4 \text{ mA/cm}^2 \]
\[ \text{F.F} = 72\% \]

**FIGURE 7: I-V Curve High Efficiency Cell**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell</th>
<th>V&lt;sub&gt;oc&lt;/sub&gt; (Volts)</th>
<th>J&lt;sub&gt;sc&lt;/sub&gt; (ma/cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>Fill Factor</th>
<th>L (microns)</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>967A-1</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>967A-2</td>
<td>0.562</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>967G-1</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9675-2</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>982G-1</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>982G-2</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>982H-3</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>982H-4</td>
<td>0.513</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>982J-1</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>982K-2</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A new boron source is being connected to the lower boron-doping furnace in order to correct this problem.

The second factor which is under investigation is the wide range of diffusion lengths observed in ribbons after gettering, and the correlation (or lack of it) with $J_{sc}$. Figure 8 is a plot of $J_{sc}$ vs. $L$ for all the pre-etched CVD cells in Table II. The diffusion lengths were measured by the OCPV method on completed cells, and $J_{sc}$ is taken at $100\text{ mW/cm}^2$. If there were excellent correlation, the variation in $J_{sc}$ from cell to cell could be blamed on the variation in diffusion length over the ribbon. This variation could then be ascribed to grain size, dislocation densities or growth conditions. The lack of correlation evident in Figure 8 makes interpretation of the $J_{sc}$ variation more difficult. The fault may lie in the way the OCPV method is used, since only a small area is measured.

5.3 METALLIZATION SINTERING

We have speculated whether the $300^\circ\text{C}$ sintering of the metallization used on ribbon cells may have been responsible for the degradation observed in OCPV-measured diffusion lengths after gettering. It has now been shown that this $300^\circ\text{C}$ step does not affect the diffusion lengths in RTR silicon. A CVD ribbon was placed in a $300^\circ\text{C}$ furnace for sixteen hours prior to metallization, with no change in diffusion length. The cell listed in Table II as 967G was fabricated on this ribbon; it has an above average $J_{sc}$.

5.4 CELLS ON RIBBONS GROWN IN THE PURGEABLE FURNACE

A recent lot of solar cells has been made on ribbons grown in the purgeable furnace. The ribbons were grown in the rigid edge made at 1\"/min. The lot is not yet fully characterized, but the best cell had an efficiency of 10.8% under
Figure 8: Correlation of Short-Circuit Current Density and Diffusion Length.
AM1 illumination. It had a short circuit current of 26 mA/cm², indicating a
reasonably good diffusion length. Its Voc was 0.557 volts, and it had a very
respectable fill factor of 75%. This lot of ribbons was all nominally .4 Ω-cm,
which accounts for the higher open circuit voltages. The actual resistivity has
not yet been measured, however. The ribbons were not subjected to any repeated
getting steps as were the ribbons listed in Table II. The normal one step
(2 sided) PH₃ diffusion, Si₃N₄ deposition, and sintered metallization mesa
process was used.

5.5 LARGE AREA SOLAR CELLS

Using the purgeable furnace, CVG ribbons of 2 inch width were grown in the
rigid edge mode. These were then processed so as to fabricate solar cells of
dimensions 2 x 4, 2 x 6, and 4 x 6 cm. All of the cells have completed metal
pattern etching of the Si₃N₄ and are now being metallized. Figure 9 is a
photograph of the metal pattern being used for the 6 x 4 cm² cell.

6.0 PRELIMINARY RESULTS OF INFRARED ABSORPTION MEASUREMENTS

Measurements of minority carrier diffusion lengths in RTR silicon have shown
large increases following certain gettering/annealing process steps. It is ne-
necessary to know if this is due to impurity removal, reaction, or redistribution.
Infrared absorption spectroscopy can be used to determine the concentration and/or
chemical structure of some impurities. One impurity which is easily detected in
silicon using I.R. spectroscopy is oxygen. The Si-O bond is detectable as a 9.1
μm absorption peak.

Oxygen can be incorporated in RTR ribbon either by being included in the
feedstock ribbon (e.g. when Czochralski silicon is used as the feedstock) or by
Figure 9: 6 x 4 cm$^2$ Cell
Figure 10: Behavior of Oxygen in RTR Silicon Ribbons Grown from Various Feedstock Types.
Figure 11: Dependence of I on Net Oxygen and Oxygen Complexes
being present in the ambient atmosphere during growth. Most of our ribbon growths are carried out in a chamber which is too large for total ambient control. However, we have built a quartz enclosure (with ZnSe windows) which can be used for that purpose. Thus we can study low oxygen content ribbons grown from CVD feedstock in the quartz enclosure as well as ribbons grown from Czochralski feedstock (which have high initial oxygen concentrations) without the quartz enclosure.

The oxygen content is determined using an I.R. Fourier Transform Spectrometer. Typical transmission spectra are shown in Figures 10 and 11. The presence of oxygen is indicated by the absorption structure near 9.1 μm. Figure 10 illustrates transmission spectra for ribbons grown from various types of feedstock. Oxygen is detected in all of the samples shown. Two samples indicate further absorption structure in the 8.5 μm region, which usually indicates the formation of Si-O complexes. Figure 11 illustrates the difference between a sample grown in the well-purged quartz enclosure and conventionally grown ribbon. The sample grown in the quartz enclosure exhibits no detectable oxygen. Diffusion length measurements made on these samples by the SPV technique were virtually identical (∼12 μm as-grown) thus giving a preliminary indication that oxygen complexes/precipitates are not detrimental to ribbon minority carrier lifetime. Perhaps these results indicate oxygen complex segregation to grain boundaries or other defects.

7.0 PROBLEMS

We have solved the problem of quartz tube breakage in the CVD system. We must now work on achieving consistent separation for large area polyribbons.
8.0 PLANS

Fabricate a number of large area cells; attempt to achieve higher area growth rates, possibly by using multiple ribbons.

9.0 NEW TECHNOLOGY

The following New Technology items have been developed on this program:

1. Description - Polygan Scanner System
   Innovator - Dr. Richard Gurtler
   Progress Reports - Technical Progress Report No. 14, October 1977,
   Pages 1, 10, 11A and 11

2. Description - Hemispherical Reflector to Improve Effective Absorption Coefficient of Liquid Silicon
   Innovator - Dr. Richard Gurtler
   Pages - Appendix Pages 11 to 13

3. Description - Dendritic Growth on RTR Silicon
   Innovators - Dr. A. Baghdadi, R. J. Ellis, Dr. R. W. Gurtler
   Progress Reports - Technical Quarterly Report No. 6, Motorola Report No. 2256/8, October 10, 1977 - December 31, 1977,
   Pages - 14 to 23

4. Description - Controlled Dendritic Growth
   Innovators: Dr. A. Baghdadi and R. J. Ellis
   Progress Reports - Monthly Report No. 21, August 1978, JPL/DOE No. 954376,
5. Description - Coated Substrates for Silicon Deposition
Innovator – Dr. R. Legge
Progress Reports - Monthly Report No. 20, July 1978, JPL/DOE No. 95476,
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10.0 PROGRAM MILESTONES
Activities associated with the total program are shown in the Milestone Chart contained in Appendix 1.
REFERENCES


# DESCRIPTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task Description</th>
<th>MAY</th>
<th>JUN</th>
<th>JUL</th>
<th>AUG</th>
<th>SEP</th>
<th>OCT</th>
<th>NOV</th>
<th>DEC</th>
<th>JAN</th>
<th>FEB</th>
<th>MAR</th>
<th>APR</th>
<th>MAY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Semi Continuous Poly Ribbon</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Assembly</td>
<td>Demo.</td>
<td>Operate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Length Growth (Cm)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Quality</td>
<td>Doping</td>
<td>Sub. Contam.</td>
<td>Si Util Eff 70%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. RTR Growth Rate (Cm²/min)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Length (Cm) (Thickness: 4-10 mils)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Standard Cell Efficiency (CVD Ribbon)</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Solar Cell Area (Cm²)</td>
<td>2x2</td>
<td>2x4</td>
<td>4x4</td>
<td>4x6</td>
<td>4x8</td>
<td>6.5x5.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Thermal Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Ribbon Samples (avg 800 Cm²/mo.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Solar Cells (avg 4 cells/mo)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Meetings
- Task Integration
- Program Review
- Annual Workshop

### Documentation
- Revised Work Breakdown Structure
- Revised Baseline Cost Est.
- Financial Management and Cost Reports (NASA Form 533Q, JPL 3645)
- Initial Program Plan
- Monthly Technical Progress Reports
- Quarterly Reports
- Annual Report
- Draft Final Report
- Laboratory Notebooks

### Milestone Chart

#### Legend
- SCHEDULE
- COMPLETED
- DELIVERY SCHEDULE
- DELIVERED