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THE RELATIONSHIP OF RED AND PHOTOGRAPHIC INFRARED SPECTRAL DATA TO GRAIN YIELD VARIATION WITHIN A WINTER WHEAT FIELD

ABSTRACT

Two-band hand-held radiometer data from a winter wheat field, collected on 21 dates during the spring growing season, were correlated with within field final grain yield. Significant linear relationships were found between various combinations of the red and photographic infrared radiance data collected and the grain yield. The spectral data explained ~64% of the within field grain yield variation. This variation in grain yield could not be explained using meteorological data as these were similar for all areas of the wheat field. Most importantly, data collected early in the spring were highly correlated with grain yield; a five-week time window existed from stem elongation through antheses in which the spectral data were most highly correlated with grain yield; and manifestations of wheat canopy water stress were readily apparent in the spectral data.
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THE RELATIONSHIP OF RED AND PHOTOGRAPHIC
INFRARED SPECTRAL DATA TO GRAIN YIELD
VARIATION WITHIN A WINTER WHEAT FIELD

BACKGROUND

Several approaches for remote sensing of winter wheat yield have been proposed. The Large
Area Crop Inventory Experiment (LACIE) involving NASA, NOAA, and the USDA, was perhaps
the most visible and the best known of various winter wheat yield prediction approaches. Simula-
tion or regression models were used to predict wheat yield based upon climatic conditions (MacDonald
and Hall, 1977).

The EarthSat Corp. (1976) has proposed a spring wheat yield prediction method. This approach
differs from the LACIE approach in that NOAA meteorological satellite data were used to estimate
grid cell precipitation which in turn drove the yield determination. Critical variables for this approach
were the calculation of soil moisture and grid cell weather from the meteorological satellite data and
the determination of crop phenology (LACIE, 1978).

Idso et al. (1977a,b) have proposed a method of winter wheat yield prediction using the stress
degree day concept. That is, the final yield of a crop was hypothesized to be linearly related to the
accumulated stress degree days over some critical period. This technique was developed in Phoenix,
Arizona under irrigated conditions and is currently being evaluated in dryland winter wheat growing
areas.

Hammond (1975) and Morain and Williams (1975) have discussed monitoring wheat production
with satellite remotely sensed data. Harlan and Liu (1975) and Colwell et al. (1977) have evaluated
the use of Landsat data for inferring direct winter wheat grain yield predictions. Spectral data were
used to estimate yield related variables such as stand density or leaf area index. Because grain yield
is usually highly correlated to stand density or leaf area index, a direct estimate of final grain yield
was usually possible. Colwell et al. (1977) concluded that Landsat (i.e., spectral) data explained a
considerable amount of yield variation which was not explained by meteorological data. In addition, Landsat derived yield predictions were as highly correlated with individual field yields as were estimates made using traditional sampling techniques, even, in some cases, if the Landsat data were collected several weeks before the field samples.

Heilman et al. (1977) reported a technique for estimating winter wheat grain yields using Landsat derived estimates of leaf area index coupled with an evapotranspiration model. More recently, Wiegand et al. (1979) discussed leaf area index estimates for winter wheat made from Landsat data and the implications for evapotranspiration and crop simulation modeling using these data.

Accurate inputs for crop canopy leaf area index are a necessity for successful evapotranspiration and crop simulation modeling. To achieve accurate leaf area index estimates from Landsat data, "ground-truth" sampling must occur which adequately samples the variability of the actual field leaf area index for enough Landsat pixels (~0.45 ha) to satisfy basic sampling theory requirements. This has often proven difficult to achieve. Heilman et al. (1977), Kanemasu et al. (1977), and Wiegand et al. (1979) all used three ground samples of 91 cm by 2 row widths (~40 cm) to establish each field's (>40 ha) leaf area index. Thus ~1 m² was used to determine the leaf area index of a large field. This could be a significant source of error for the evapotranspiration and crop yield modeling approaches (Wiegand et al., 1979). "Ground-truth" sampling must adequately account for the in situ population variability by inclusion of enough samples to allow for a reasonable estimate of the actual field leaf area index.

DESCRIPTION OF RESEARCH UNDERTAKEN

As part of an ongoing research program into future satellite sensor development including selection and evaluation of spectral bands, radiometric resolution, frequency of coverage, orbit selection, and other considerations for vegetational applications, we have been collecting hand-held radiometer data from a variety of agricultural crops in a NASA/GSFC-USDA/BARC cooperative research program. Previous research on alfalfa, corn, and soybeans had demonstrated that red and photographic infrared two-band radiometer data were highly correlated with various properties of plant canopies (Tucker et al., 1979a,b,c). Therefore, we decided to evaluate the applicability of these data for yield predictions
on wheat. A companion paper reports on the use of these same data for monitoring total dry matter accumulation (Tucker et al., 1979d).

Previous work with the green leaf or photosynthetically active biomass has suggested that this physiological entity integrated the various biotic and abiotic effects present in the plant canopies (Tucker et al., 1973; Colwell et al., 1977; Tucker et al., 1979a,b). Conditions which adversely affected plant growth and development resulted in a reduction in the photosynthetically active biomass. Because the photosynthetically active biomass or green leaf area is one of the basic system variables in primary production, monitoring this system variable throughout the growing season should enable inferences to be made regarding total dry matter accumulation and grain yield. This has been proposed as the Leaf Area Duration (LAD) concept (Colwell et al., 1977; Richardson et al., 1979).

However, the LAD concept needs to be modified in that the photosynthetically active biomass or leaf area is actually the interaction between the green LAI and the chlorophyll concentration. Expressed in other words, the photosynthetically active biomass can be defined as the interaction between inter- and intra-leaf scattering and chlorophyll absorption which occurs predominately in the green leaves of the plant canopy in question.

Red and photographic infrared spectral data have been demonstrated by many workers to be highly correlated with the photosynthetically active biomass of several cover types (reviewed in Tucker, 1979). The red spectral data are highly correlated with the in vivo chlorophyll concentration, whereas the photographic infrared data are highly correlated with LAI. Thus, various linear combinations of these two adjacent spectral regions are highly related to the photosynthetically active biomass (Tucker, 1979).

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Our experiment was conducted in a 1.2-ha soft red winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) field at the Beltsville Agricultural Research Center, Beltsville, Maryland. The field was plowed, disked, and planted with the cultivar ‘Arthur’ on October 6, 1977 at a rate of 107.6 kg/ha. A conventional grain drill with 17.8-cm row spacing was used for seeding. Before seeding the field was limed on the
basis of soil test recommendations and fertilizer was applied at a rate of 33.3 kg N, 53.8 kg P, and 53.8 kg K/ha. The following spring (early March 1978) the crop was topdressed with 20.4 kg N/ha.

Twenty 2-x 3-m plots were selected during the winter dormant period in the wheat field. Subsequently, for each plot, four pairs of red (0.65-0.70 μm) and photographic infrared (0.775-0.825 μm) spectral radiance measurements were obtained using a hand-held digital radiometer (Pearson et al., 1976) on 21 dates between March 21, 1978 (Julian date 80) and June 23, 1978 (Julian date 174). The intervals between dates ranged from 1 to 9 days; however, the average interval was 4.7 days and the median interval was a tie between four and five days (Table I).

The red and photographic infrared spectral radiance data were used to form the ir/red ratio and the normalized difference (ND) of Rouse et al. (1973) and Deering et al. (1975) where:

\[
ND = \frac{(IR - RED)}{(IR + RED)} \tag{1}
\]

The four pairs of the spectral measurements per plot were averaged to account for the spatial variability present in each plot. All spectral data were collected plus or minus 90 minutes of local solar noon, measured normal to the ground surface at a height of ~1 m above the plant canopy under sunny skies (Table I).

Throughout the growing season average plant height, percentage cover estimates, and phenological development notes were recorded for the field area (Table 2). The crop reached harvest maturity in late June 1978. On June 28, 1978 (Julian date 179) a 0.9- x 3.0-m swath was cut with a small sickle bar mower from the center of each plot and the grain thrashed with a small plot grain thrasher. The grain yields were oven dried at 60°C for 72 hours and were subsequently adjusted to 14% moisture and expressed in g/m².

A regression approach was taken in which the average red radiance, ir radiances, ir/red ratio, and ND were correlated with the grain yield for each of the 21 data collection dates. In addition, the ir/red ratio and the ND as a function of Julian date and growing degree days were integrated for four different time intervals and correlated with grain yield.
Table 1
Tabular Listing of the Days when Hand-Held Radiometer Data Were Collected from the 20 2 x 3 m Winter Wheat Plots in 1978

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling Sequence</th>
<th>Julian Date</th>
<th>Time (EST)</th>
<th>Conditions/Comments (Temperature, Sky, Wind, Etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1130-1215</td>
<td>12°C, clear with no clouds, wind = 16 kmh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>1215-1300</td>
<td>8°C, clear with no clouds, calm, soil damp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>1222-1310</td>
<td>15°C, clear with no clouds, calm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1220-1245</td>
<td>17°C, a few scattered clouds, wind = ~5-10 kmh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>1225-1247</td>
<td>21°C, a few scattered clouds, calm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>1110-1135</td>
<td>14°C, clear with no clouds, wind = ~5 kmh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>1210-1230</td>
<td>20°C, clear with no clouds, wind = 30-45 kmh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>1338-1415</td>
<td>18°C, scattered clouds, gusty wind = 5-20 kmh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1230-1310</td>
<td>22°C, clear with no clouds, gusty wind = 5-30 kmh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1200-1230</td>
<td>16°C, clear with no clouds, wind = 5-10 kmh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>1215-1250</td>
<td>18°C, clear with no clouds, calm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>1145-1210</td>
<td>24°C, a few scattered clouds, wind = ~10 kmh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>1145-1230</td>
<td>20°C, a few scattered clouds, wind = &lt;15 kmh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>1125-1205</td>
<td>19°C, a few scattered clouds, calm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>1130-1200</td>
<td>22°C, clear with no clouds, wind = 5-10 kmh, plots 33-35 crushed by animals (deer?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>1050-1120</td>
<td>22°C, a few scattered clouds, wind = ~10 kmh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>1230-1300</td>
<td>26°C, clear with no clouds, calm, plots 23-35 lodged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>1030-1125</td>
<td>17°C, a few scattered clouds, wind = 25-40 kmh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>1100-1200</td>
<td>20°C, high faint cirrus, calm, bird damage to plots 21-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>1030-1100</td>
<td>20°C, a few scattered clouds, wind = &lt;10 kmh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>1100-1130</td>
<td>28°C, clear with no clouds, calm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean time between sampling dates = 4.7 days
Range between sampling dates = 1-9 days
Medium time between sampling dates = 4.5 days (tie)
Table 2
Agronomic Data Pertaining to Average Plant Heights, Estimated Percentage Canopy Cover, and Crop Growth Stages at 11 Selected Dates for the 20 Winter Wheat Plots from 1978

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Date</th>
<th>Julian Date</th>
<th>Plant Height (cm)</th>
<th>Percentage Cover</th>
<th>Numerical (after Zadoks et al., 1974)</th>
<th>Descriptive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04/24/78</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>stem elongation, 4th node detectable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/01/78</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>stem elongation, 5th node detectable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/11/78</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>booting, boots just visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/19/78</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>inflorescence emerges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/25/78</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>112.0</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>anthesis, half-way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/01/78</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>112.5</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>early milk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/06/78</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>114.8</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>soft dough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/14/78</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>115.5</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>soft dough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/20/78</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>111.8</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>hard dough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/23/78</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>108.5</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>hard dough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/27/78</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>104.0</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>ready for harvest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Examples of the radiance data were plotted against Julian date (Figure 1). The red radiance vs. Julian date curve showed the influence of increasing chlorophyll absorption to ∼Julian date 139 followed by a gradual decrease in chlorophyll absorption as a result of the onset of senescence (Figure 1a). The photographic infrared radiance vs. Julian date curve showed a gradual increase with time to a maximum at ∼Julian date 139 followed by a decrease caused by senescence. This resulted from the direct relationship of the photographic infrared radiance to the foliar density of the plant canopy (Figure 1b). The ir/red radiance ratio and the normalized difference both exhibited
Figure 1. Red radiance, photographic infrared radiance, ir/red radiance ratio, and the normalized difference plotted against Julian date for one of 20 wheat plots sampled. (A) Red radiance, (B) Photographic infrared radiance, (C) Ir/red radiance ratio, and (D) Normalized difference (ND). Note how the ir/red radiance ratio and the normalized difference effectively compensate for the variability in the radiance data.
similar trends with respect to Julian date (Figures 1c and 1d, respectively). Both spectral variables showed the same five component trends with respect to Julian date: They both increased as the spring portion of the growing season began until ~Julian date 102 where their rate of increase declined; from Julian date ~102 to ~112 both showed rapid rates of increase; from Julian date ~112 to ~123 there was a decrease for both spectral variables; from Julian date ~123 to ~139 there was another increase in both spectral variables; and from Julian date ~139-175 there was the senescence caused decrease in both the ir/red radiance ratio and the normalized difference.

These same trends were observed for the majority of our experimental plots and were an example of a manifestation of transient wheat canopy water stress (Figure 2). The ir/red radiance ratio and the normalized difference both exhibited a decrease in their rate of increase by Julian date ~102. This decrease was ended by a period of rainfall on Julian date 102 which, coupled with warmer temperatures, resulted in a rapid rate of increase in the ir/red radiance ratio and normalized difference until Julian date ~112 where a period of mild drought stress again occurred, reaching maximum drought stress severity on ~Julian date 123. The later episode of drought stress was ended by a period of week-long rains which prevented any field data collection for the interval of Julian dates 125-130. The plant canopy subsequently recovered from the previous water stress condition and reached maximum values for the ir/red radiance ratio and the normalized difference on Julian date 139 which corresponded to full spike emergence (Table 2). From this date on, the progression of wheat canopy senescence resulted in a reduction of the ir/red radiance ratio and the normalized difference (Figure 2). The spectral manifestation of drought stress was consistent with previous research results (Thompson and Wehmanen, 1979; Tucker, et al., 1979c). Figure 2 also demonstrated the dynamic nature of plant canopies and how rapidly they respond to and recover from water stress conditions. We interpreted the mechanism for this spectral manifestation of plant canopy water stress to be largely due to a reduction in the leaf chlorophyll density. This followed from Figure 1a where there were decreases in red absorption (i.e., increases in radiance) for Julian dates 102 and 123 which corresponded to the episodes of water stress. The photographic infrared radiance, by contrast, did not show any effect which was attributed
Figure 2. The IR/red radiance ratio (A) and the normalized difference (B) from three 2- x 3-m plots plotted against Julian data. The vertical arrows represent episodes of rainfall. Note the response of the two spectral variables to the occurrence of precipitation which ended periods of water stress.
to the episodes of water stress (Figure 1b). This implied that the LAI remained fairly constant while
the leaf chlorophyll density was temporarily reduced, either through photooxidation, enzymatic, or
some other reducing mechanism (Tucker et al., 1973; Tucker et al., 1979c).

The next phase of the analysis regressed each of the 4 spectral variables against the final grain
yield for each of the 21 data collection dates. Our principal attention was focussed on the ir/red
ratio and the normalized difference as these linear combinations adjust for irradiational variability
(Figure 3). We observed lower correlations for the first four dates, higher correlations for dates 5
and 6, and a marked decrease in correlation with grain yield for date 7 which was attributed to very
windy conditions (Tables 1 and 3). Sampling dates 8, 9, and 10 were all highly correlated to grain
yield. There was a slight decrease for date 11, followed by high correlations for sampling date 13
after which the correlations decreased as senescence progressed (Figure 4).

We observed a 40-day period (from Julian date 112-152) during which our spectral data were
more significantly correlated to the final grain yield than earlier or later (Figure 4; Table 3). How-
ever, the regression relationships were not constant during this period, suggesting that a regional
application of these relationships was doubtful (Table 4). An alternative to this was attempted in
the form of integrating the spectral data in terms of Julian date or accumulated temperature units
(growing degree days or equivalent). This was a remote sensing application of the leaf area duration
concept.

The ir/red radiance ratio and the normalized difference were integrated for four periods during
the growing season: Julian dates 80-112; 112-152; 152-174; and 80-174. We observed that the plateau
portion (Julian dates 112-152) of the growth curves, corresponding to the maximum green leaf bio-
mass present, was the most highly correlated with final grain yield (Figure 5). The normalized differ-
ence spectral data from this period explained 66% of the within field variability in final grain yield while
the normalized difference spectral data from the entire growing season (i.e., Julian dates 80-174) ex-
plained 64% of the same grain yield variability (Figures 5 & 6). Spectral data alone thus explained ap-
proximately two-thirds of the variability in within field grain yield. This could not be explained by mete-
oro logical models as the micro-climatic effects were largely identical for our 1.2-ha field. The earlier
Figure 3. The ir/red radiance ratio and normalized difference plotted against grain yield for two sampling dates.
Table 3  
Correlation Coefficients for the Four Radiance Variables and Final Grain Yield  
for Each of the 21 Days where Spectral Data were Collected from 20 2 x 3 Plots  
in 1978. Refer also to Figures 3 and 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling Sequence</th>
<th>Julian Date</th>
<th>Red Radiance</th>
<th>IR Radiance</th>
<th>Ir/Red Radiance Ratio</th>
<th>Normalized Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.51*</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.48*</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>-0.71**</td>
<td>0.78**</td>
<td>0.62**</td>
<td>0.75**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>-0.71**</td>
<td>0.80**</td>
<td>0.61**</td>
<td>0.75**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>-0.51*</td>
<td>0.59**</td>
<td>0.55*</td>
<td>0.57**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>-0.75**</td>
<td>0.74**</td>
<td>0.69**</td>
<td>0.78**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>-0.82**</td>
<td>0.66**</td>
<td>0.73**</td>
<td>0.82**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>-0.82**</td>
<td>0.54*</td>
<td>0.76**</td>
<td>0.82**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>-0.78**</td>
<td>0.64**</td>
<td>0.68**</td>
<td>0.79**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>-0.76**</td>
<td>0.78**</td>
<td>0.75**</td>
<td>0.78**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>-0.78**</td>
<td>0.83**</td>
<td>0.83**</td>
<td>0.81**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>-0.73**</td>
<td>0.76**</td>
<td>0.77**</td>
<td>0.76**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>-0.78**</td>
<td>0.69**</td>
<td>0.78**</td>
<td>0.78**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>-0.73**</td>
<td>0.61**</td>
<td>0.71**</td>
<td>0.73**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>-0.55**</td>
<td>0.68**</td>
<td>0.66**</td>
<td>0.66**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>-0.51*</td>
<td>0.49*</td>
<td>0.56**</td>
<td>0.55**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>-0.57**</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.61**</td>
<td>0.58**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.51*</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>0.46*</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.48*</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Indicates significance at the 0.05 level of probability  
**Indicates significance at the 0.01 level of probability
Figure 4. Coefficients of determination resulting from regressing the (A) ir/red radiance ratio or (B) normalized difference against grain yield for each of the 21 data collection dates. The $r^2$ values presented in Figure 3 are plotted against Julian date along with the respective $r^2$ values for the other 19 dates. Note how the normalized difference was more highly correlated with final grain yield than was the ir/red radiance ratio earlier in the growing season.
Table 4
Regression Derived Estimates for $\beta_0$ and $\beta_1$ for Julian Dates 112-152
Using the Ir/Red Radiance Ratio and the Normalized Difference to Predict
the Final Grain Yield. The Equation used was of the Form Grain Yield =
$\beta_0 + \beta_1$ (Spectral Variable)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling Period</th>
<th>Julian Date</th>
<th>Ir/Red Radiance Ratio</th>
<th>Normalized Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta_0$</td>
<td>$\beta_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>225.6</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>220.9</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>224.4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>231.5</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>207.7</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>173.1</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>170.8</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>148.4</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5. The $r^2$ values resulting from the (A) ir/red radiance ratio -- Julian date and (B) normalized difference -- Julian date integrations for four different time periods: Julian dates 80-112; 112-152; 152-174; and 80-174. (Refer also to Figure 6).
Figure 6. The relationship between the (A) ir/red radiance ratio and (B) normalized difference integrations and final grain yield for the spring portion of the growing season (Refer also to Figure 5).
integration period of Julian date 80-112 only explained 0.48% of the variability in final grain yield (Figure 5).

Any successful application of these relationships for regional remote sensing prediction of winter wheat grain yield must be able to account for within region differences in winter wheat crop phenology. From Table 4 we showed that the regression derived equation coefficients varied for the 40-day period (Julian dates 112-152) where highly significant correlations between the spectral data and final grain yield were reported (Tables 3 and 4). Thus, slight crop phenology differences within a given region would preclude the effective use of one or two satellite images obtained during this 5- to 6-week period. Either accurate crop calendar information must be available or the leaf area duration technique must be employed.

Crop calendar information is frequently lacking in extra-country situations. A possible remotely sensed substitute for this could be the combination of spectral data and accumulated temperature units (Figure 7). This follows from the basic relationship of temperature to biological activity. When combined with red and photographic infrared spectral data, representing the plant canopy vigor or potential for growth, this may have between region and/or between year comparison utility.

![Figure 7. A possible spectral crop calendar using the normalized difference and accumulated temperature units (i.e., growing degree days).](image)
In the event that a combination of spectral and accumulated temperature unit data would be unsatisfactory, frequent satellite coverage would be required to apply the leaf area duration concept for growing season integration of spectral data. As shown in Figure 2, frequent satellite coverage is needed to record the rapid onset of plant canopy stress conditions. It is easy to visualize the situation in Figure 2 if there were only 4, 5, or 6 data points instead of the 21 we collected. Obviously, entire stress periods might not be detected if only a few observations were available. For yield considerations, the occurrence of the stress condition is of paramount importance. If a period of water stress occurs during heading or during the grain filling period, the reduction of the grain yield is much greater than if this same stress condition occurs at some other time.

Spectral data are capable of providing large-area information highly related to crop condition or vigor. Whether these data are used in conjunction with other remotely sensed information, by simulation modelers, or by themselves, it is apparent that remotely sensed red and photographic infrared spectral data can supply valuable crop condition information.
CONCLUSIONS

1. Frequently collected spectral data were shown to be highly related to crop condition. Two periods of water stress were readily apparent.

2. A 40-day period existed from the beginning of stem elongation through anthesis during which the spectral data were highly correlated with final grain yield and explained at least 60% of the variability.

3. The integrated spectral data explained 64% of the variability in within field final grain yield. This could not be explained using meteorological models as the micro-climate conditions were very similar for our experimental wheat field.

4. Large-scale extra-country application for our findings would require a spectral crop calendar. We propose a possible spectral-accumulated temperature unit crop calendar. If this is not feasible, we suggest frequent satellite observations and application of the leaf area duration concept.

5. The combination of spectral data and other environmental or agronomic data will improve the predictive utility of yield forecasting. While spectral data are useful by themselves, they are more useful in combination with other types of data.
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