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GROUND TEMPERATURE MEASUREMENT BY PRT-5 FOR MAPS EXPERIMENT

By
S.K. Gupta¹ and S.N. Tiwari²

SUMMARY

A simple algorithm and computer program have been developed for determining the actual surface temperature from the effective brightness temperature as measured remotely by a radiation thermometer called PRT-5. This procedure allows the computation of atmospheric correction to the effective brightness temperature without performing detailed radiative transfer calculations. Model radiative transfer calculations are performed to compute atmospheric corrections for several values of the surface and atmospheric parameters individually and in combination. Polynomial regressions were performed between the magnitudes or deviations of these parameters and the corresponding computed corrections to establish simple analytical relations between them. Analytical relations have also been developed to represent combined correction for simultaneous variation of parameters in terms of their individual corrections. These analytical relations can be used in all future work to determine the actual surface temperature without having to perform expensive radiative transfer calculations. Model calculations have also been made to examine the sensitivity of the retrieved surface temperatures to uncertainties in the various surface and atmospheric parameters.

¹ Research Associate, Old Dominion University Research Foundation, Norfolk, Virginia 23508.
² Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering and Mechanics, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia 23508.
1. INTRODUCTION

Measurement of concentrations of gaseous atmospheric pollutants like CO, CH₄, NH₃ and SO₂ is of great importance because of their considerable effect on various natural processes affecting human health, environment and meteorology [1]. Successful attempts have been made recently, to measure several of these pollutants by passive remote-sensing instruments mounted on aircraft [2,3] with the intention of eventually adapting them to satellite use. These instruments measure the upwelling infrared radiation in an appropriate spectral region, which in turn carries the signature of the particular pollutant molecules [4].

Extraction of the pollutant concentrations from these measured signals requires, in addition to sophisticated data reduction algorithms [5], prior knowledge of several parameters of the atmosphere as well as the underlying surface. The atmospheric parameters, e.g., pressure, temperature and humidity profiles can be obtained either from additional instruments mounted aboard the aircraft itself or from other independent sources (e.g., radiosondes). The surface parameters, namely, the emittance and temperature are not available from any such sources. Any meaningful physical contact measurement of these parameters is not feasible because of the vast areas covered in remote sensing experiments and large spatial variations of the quantities involved. Reliable estimates of surface emittance, however, can be obtained from an approximate knowledge of the color, texture and composition of the surface. This is possible because extensive tabulation relating basic characteristics of the surfaces to their emittance values are available in the literature [6]. This leaves the surface temperature as the important unknown quantity to be determined.

*Numbers in brackets indicate references.
The work presented in this report was performed in support of the project MAPS (Measurement of Air Pollution from Satellites) at the Langley Research Center. Current work under this project involves frequent flights of a gas-filter correlation radiometer (GFCR) on an aircraft. This instrument is designed to measure carbon monoxide concentration in the troposphere. A radiation thermometer called PRT-5 (Precision Radiation Thermometer-5, Barnes Engineering Company, Stamford, Connecticut) is flown alongside the GFCR for measuring the temperature of the underlying surface. This latter instrument is essentially a broad-band radiometer which operates in the 11 micron atmospheric window. Under certain ideal conditions, namely (i) when the emittance of the target surface is unity, and (ii) when the attenuation by the atmosphere between the target surface and the sensor is negligible, this instrument can directly read the surface temperature.

These ideal conditions, however, are always far from being met in the real world situations. In addition to the deviation of surface emittance from unity, line and continuum absorption by water vapor in this region [7,8] introduce error in the temperature measurement by PRT-5, which needs to be determined and corrected for. A strong temperature dependence of the continuum absorption coefficient [8,9] introduces additional uncertainty in this measurement. Anding and Kauth [10] and Prabhakara et al. [11] have discussed the use of differential absorption by water vapor in adjacent spectral regions to correct for the attenuation due to water vapor. Since PRT-5 is a single-channel, non-dispersive instrument, the above technique is not applicable in the present case.

The purpose of the present study is to develop algorithms and software for computing correction to the measured surface temperature arising from the above-mentioned causes. The attenuation due to water vapor absorption may be evaluated accurately using a line-by-line radiative transfer model.
Routine data reduction using line-by-line model tends to be extremely expensive [12] and, therefore, inexpensive algorithms have to be developed to determine the magnitude of the correction. This can be done by performing some model calculations and using those results to establish simple analytical relations between the magnitudes and deviations of the parameters from their standard values and the corresponding corrections required to compensate for them. These relations can then be used in the future to compute the corrections for any set of parameters without performing detailed radiative transfer calculations.

Relevant theory and the development of algorithm is presented in Section 2. Data sources and computation procedure are referred to in Section 3. Results of the model calculations are discussed in Sections 4 and 5. Application of the algorithm is presented in Section 6. Section 7 contains results of some sensitivity calculations. Important conclusions of this work are discussed in Section 8.
2. THEORY AND ALGORITHM

The quantity measured by any broad-band radiometer is the integrated, upwelling radiance which for a homogeneous nonscattering atmosphere can be expressed as \([4,13]\)

\[
E = \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} E_G(\omega) f(\omega) \, d\omega
\]

(2.1)

where \(\omega_1\) and \(\omega_2\) represent the frequency limits of the observed spectral region and \(f(\omega)\) is the instrument filter function. \(E_G(\omega)\) represents the monochromatic thermal radiance emitted by the underlying surface and the atmosphere and is given by

\[
E_G(\omega) = c(\omega) B(\omega, T_s) \tau(\omega, 0)
+ \int_0^h B[\omega, T(z)] \left[ d\tau(\omega, z)/dz \right] \, dz
\]

(2.2)

where \(c(\omega)\) is the surface emittance, \(B(\omega, T)\) is the Planck's blackbody radiance for temperature \(T\), \(T_s\) is the surface temperature, \(T(z)\) is the temperature at altitude \(z\), and \(\tau(\omega, z)\) is the monochromatic transmittance of the atmosphere. The first term on the right-hand side of equation (2.2) represents the radiation from the surface while the second term represents the radiation originating in the atmosphere. Solar radiation reflected from the surface and the scattered radiation make negligible contribution to the upwelling radiance in the 11 micron region.

The quantity measured by a direct-reading instrument like the PRT-5 is the effective brightness temperature (EBT) of the surface, denoted by \(T_e\) and is defined as

\[
\int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} B(\omega, T_e) f(\omega) \, d\omega = E
\]

(2.3)
where the black-body radiance corresponding to $T_e$ equals the total upwelling radiance. Simple inversion of equation (2.3) for radiometrically measured values of $E$ would yield $T_e$ for any given altitude and set of surface and atmospheric conditions. Inversion of equation (2.1) to extract the actual surface temperature $T_s$, on the other hand, would involve detailed radiative transfer calculations. Furthermore, the accuracy requirements of the atmospheric problems necessitate the use of line-by-line model for transmittance computation [14].

2.1 Analytical Formulation

The need to perform line-by-line radiative transfer calculations for every set of surface and atmospheric conditions may be eliminated by performing some model calculations and establishing relations between $T_s$, $T_e$ and the magnitudes or deviations of the input (surface as well as atmospheric) parameters. Since $T_e$ is equal to $T_s$ for surface emittance equal to unity and no water vapor in the atmosphere, these are adopted as the initial conditions. The quantities which give rise to the difference between $T_e$ and $T_s$ (i.e., the correction denoted by $\Delta T$) are the magnitude of water vapor burden and the deviation of surface emittance ($\varepsilon$) from unity. Analytical relations will be established, therefore, between the above quantities and the resulting corrections $\Delta T$. The correction may be expressed as

$$\Delta T = T_e - T_s$$  (2.4)

where $T_e$ is obtained by inverting equation (2.3). Since radiometrically measured values of $E$ cannot be obtained for all the desired conditions for establishing the necessary relations, they are computed from equation (2.1) for a series of values of the surface temperature, $T_s$ and several values of each of the input parameters.
Gupta and Tiwari [15] analyzed the magnitudes of corrections to EBT caused by deviations of various input parameters for radiometric measurements made in the 4.66 micron region and found that the correction $\Delta T$ can usually be expressed in terms of the deviation $\Delta x$ (of the parameter $x$) by simple analytical functions. For most cases, these relationships may be represented by a polynomial as

$$\Delta T = \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n (\Delta x)^n$$

(2.5)

where $N$ is a positive integer. The first few terms of this polynomial should adequately represent the correction $\Delta T$ for the expected range of the deviations $\Delta x$. The coefficients $a_n$ can be obtained by polynomial regression in the results obtained from model calculations. Future computations of $\Delta T$ can then be made from $a_n$ and $\Delta x$ without having to perform the lengthy radiative transfer calculations. Results of the model calculations for the deviation of a single parameter and their analysis is presented in Sec. 4.

A more complicated situation arises when more than one input parameters undergo simultaneous deviations. The combined correction, to be denoted by $\Delta T_c$, may be represented as a function of the individual corrections. For example, if $\Delta x$ and $\Delta y$ represent deviations of two input parameters $x$ and $y$ from their initial values, the combined correction may be expressed as

$$\Delta T_c = f(\Delta T_x, \Delta T_y)$$

(2.6)

where $\Delta T_x$ and $\Delta T_y$ represent the individual corrections caused by the deviations $\Delta x$ and $\Delta y$ separately. Additional model calculations have been performed to examine the nature of the above function for different sets of parameters. Results of these calculations and their analysis are presented in Sec. 5.
2.2 Water Vapor Absorption

The total absorption coefficient $k_t(\omega)$ for water vapor in the 11 micron window may be expressed as [9,11]

$$k_t(\omega) = k_2(\omega) + k_p(\omega)(p-p_{H_2O}) + k_e(\omega) p_{H_2O} \tag{2.7}$$

where

$k_2(\omega)$ - absorption coefficient due to water vapor lines,

$k_p(\omega)$ - continuum absorption coefficient due to pressure broadening by other gases,

$k_e(\omega)$ - continuum absorption coefficient due to self-broadening, and

$p, p_{H_2O}$ - the total pressure and partial pressure of water vapor respectively.

Absorptions represented by $k_p$ and $k_e$ are also called the p-type and e-type absorptions respectively. Measurements of $k_p$ and $k_e$ are reported by several workers [7,8]. Roberts et al. [9] reviewed these and other recent laboratory measurements by Burch and reanalyzed a bulk of field measurements [16] to conclude that in the vicinity of 300°K, $k_p$ is very small compared to $k_e$. The total absorption may, therefore, be expressed as

$$k_t(\omega) = k_2(\omega) + k_e(\omega) p_{H_2O} \tag{2.8}$$

A strong negative temperature dependence of $k_e$ was observed by Bignell [8] and Burch [7]. Roberts et al. [9] have analyzed the above temperature dependence data and that from several other workers and have shown that

$$k_e(\omega) = k_e^0(\omega) \exp\left[\frac{T_o}{T} - \frac{1}{296}\right] \tag{2.9}$$

gives the value of $k_e(\omega)$ at the temperature $T$, where $k_e^0(\omega)$ refers to a temperature of 296°K and the best estimate of $T_o$ is found to be 1800°K.

The frequency variation of $k_e^0(\omega)$ in the 8-12 micron region was also studied by Roberts et al. from an analysis of the experimental data from
the various available sources, Roberts et al. [9] have developed an empirical representation which can be expressed as

\[ k_0^e(\omega) = a + b \exp(-\beta \omega) \] (2.10)

where the constants \( a \), \( b \) and \( \beta \) are

\[ a = 1.25 \times 10^{-22} \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ atm}^{-1}, \]
\[ b = 2.34 \times 10^{-19} \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ atm}^{-1}, \]

and

\[ \beta = 8.30 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}. \]

It has been pointed out by the authors that while equation (2.10) can be used for the entire region up to 30 microns, the temperature dependence expressed by equation (2.9) may not be valid far beyond the 8-12 micron region.
3. DATA SOURCES AND COMPUTATION PROCEDURE

The model atmosphere adopted for the present work is essentially the U.S. Standard Atmosphere, 1962 and the water vapor profile is approximately an average of winter and summer mid-latitude distributions [17]. Since other minor atmospheric constituents (e.g., CO$_2$, N$_2$O) are inactive in this spectral region, they were excluded from consideration in the model atmosphere as well as the radiative transfer.

For the present work performed to support aircraft observations, troposphere up to an altitude of 17,500 ft. was considered and was divided into ten layers of unequal thicknesses. Pressure, temperature and water vapor concentration for these layers are given in Table 3.1. The altitudes at the layer boundaries are listed in the first column of the table. Data in the next three columns represent the averages for layers between the altitudes just below and above these values.

Table 3.1
Model Atmosphere used for the Study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Altitude (ft)</th>
<th>Pressure (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Temperature (°K)</th>
<th>Water Vapor Conc. (10$^5$ ppmv)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>763.16</td>
<td>287.66</td>
<td>7.626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>742.65</td>
<td>286.17</td>
<td>7.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>716.03</td>
<td>284.19</td>
<td>6.726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>677.55</td>
<td>281.22</td>
<td>5.972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>628.87</td>
<td>277.26</td>
<td>5.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,500</td>
<td>583.07</td>
<td>273.30</td>
<td>4.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,500</td>
<td>540.03</td>
<td>269.34</td>
<td>3.338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,500</td>
<td>499.59</td>
<td>265.38</td>
<td>2.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12,500</td>
<td>461.66</td>
<td>261.42</td>
<td>2.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14,500</td>
<td>417.57</td>
<td>256.48</td>
<td>1.493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Spectral parameters of individual lines of water vapor in the 9.8-13.2 micron (1020-760 cm\(^{-1}\)) region were obtained from the AFCRL line parameter compilation [18], and were used in evaluating the line component of the absorption coefficient using line-by-line model. The information presented in Sec. 2 was utilized to evaluate the continuum component (self-broadened) of the absorption coefficient.

Integrated upwelling radiance was computed using equation (2.1). Radiative transfer calculations were performed using total absorption coefficient obtained by combining the line and continuum components.

Effective brightness temperature is obtained by inversion of equation (2.3) using quadratic interpolation. The instrument filter function as supplied by the manufacturer is shown in Fig. 3.1 and was used in radiance calculation after digitization. A computer program named PRTFIVE has been developed to accomplish the above objectives and is listed in Appendix B.

It evaluates in a single run, the integrated upwelling radiance and therefrom the EBT for eight values of actual surface temperature from 290 to 325°K and for ten different altitudes at the top of each of the ten layers. Program runs were made for several values of each of the parameters covering realistic ranges for their variations. Analytical relations were developed by carrying out regression in the tables of \( \Delta x \) and corresponding tables of \( \Delta T \) for the various parameters. Starting from a linear fit, higher degree fits were attempted if the magnitudes of the residuals between the computed values and those obtained from the fit exceeded 0.1°K.
Fig. 3.1 The PRT-5 filter function.
4. MODEL CALCULATIONS FOR ONE PARAMETER

The input parameters considered important for this study are (i) surface emittance, (ii) water vapor distribution, and (iii) atmospheric temperature profile. Model calculations have been performed and analyzed for deviation of a single parameter at a time and also for simultaneous deviations of more than one parameter. Results obtained for deviations of a single parameter are discussed in this section. Discussion of the results obtained for simultaneous variation of two parameters is covered in the next section. The corrections for each value of the parameters are obtained for eight values of the actual surface temperature, $T_s$ and for all ten altitudes.

4.1 Surface Emittance

Integrated upwelling radiance and EBT were evaluated for five values of surface emittance between 1.00 and 0.80. Computations have been made in absence of any water vapor in the atmosphere as well as in presence of a standard water vapor burden. The dry atmosphere results are presented first and Table 4.1 lists the values of EBT and corrections for all eight values of $T_s$ for this case. Since there is no attenuation of radiation in the atmosphere in this case, these values of EBT and correction are independent of the altitude. Figure 4.1 shows the magnitudes of the correction as a function of the deviation of emittance for $T_s = 300, 310, \text{ and } 320^\circ\text{K}$. Polynomial regression in these data and those for other values of $T_s$ shows that these results can be adequately represented by quadratic expressions. The coefficients of quadratic regression, $a_0, a_1$ and $a_2$ were determined for all values of $T_s$. It can be seen from figure 4.1 that all these curves pass through the origin and hence, the coefficient $a_0$ is insignificantly small in all cases. The significant coefficients $a_1$ and $a_2$ for all values
Fig. 4.1 Variation of correction with the deviation of emittance for the dry atmosphere.
Table 4.1
Effective brightness temperature and correction as a function of surface emittance for a dry atmosphere.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual Surface Temp. (*K)</th>
<th>Effective Brightness Temp. and Correction (°K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \varepsilon = 1.00 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290</td>
<td>290.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295</td>
<td>295.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>300.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305</td>
<td>305.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>310.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>315.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>320.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325</td>
<td>325.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values obtained by extrapolation.
of $T_\alpha$ are presented in Table 4.2 and it shows that both coefficients are dependent on the actual surface temperature, $T_\alpha$. Figure 4.2 depicts the variation of $a_1$ and $a_2$ with actual surface temperature.

**Table 4.2**

Regression coefficients $a_1$ and $a_2$ as functions of the actual surface temperature for the emittance variation study in a dry atmosphere.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual Surface Temp. ($^\circ$K)</th>
<th>$a_1$</th>
<th>$a_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>290</td>
<td>65.183</td>
<td>-21.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295</td>
<td>67.235</td>
<td>-22.657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>69.447</td>
<td>-23.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305</td>
<td>71.666</td>
<td>-23.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>73.939</td>
<td>-24.286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>76.251</td>
<td>-24.743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>78.573</td>
<td>-25.257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325</td>
<td>80.934</td>
<td>-25.771</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Computations in the presence of a standard water vapor burden (or wet atmosphere) were also made for all eight values of $T_\alpha$ and all ten altitudes. Because of attenuation due to atmospheric water vapor, the EBT changes with the altitude in this case. Values of EBT and the correction for all values of $T_\alpha$ and the altitude of 17,500 ft. are listed in Table 4.3. Differences between $T_\alpha$ and the values of $T_\epsilon$ which correspond to $\epsilon = 1.00$ are due to the attenuation of the surface radiation by the atmospheric water vapor. Corrections due to deviation of emittance are, therefore, obtained by subtracting the values in the second column from those in columns 3-6 of this table and are listed right under the $T_\epsilon$ values.
Fig. 4.2 Variation of regression coefficients $a_1$ and $a_2$ with actual surface temperature for the dry atmosphere.
### Table 4.3

Effective brightness temperature and correction as a function of surface emittance for an altitude of 17,500 ft.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual Surface Temp. (°K)</th>
<th>Effective Brightness Temperature (°K)</th>
<th>( \varepsilon = 1.00 )</th>
<th>( \varepsilon = 0.95 )</th>
<th>( \varepsilon = 0.90 )</th>
<th>( \varepsilon = 0.85 )</th>
<th>( \varepsilon = 0.80 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>290</td>
<td></td>
<td>288.501</td>
<td>285.600</td>
<td>282.617</td>
<td>279.556*</td>
<td>276.415*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-2.901</td>
<td>-5.884</td>
<td>-8.945</td>
<td>-12.086</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295</td>
<td></td>
<td>292.910</td>
<td>289.898</td>
<td>286.805</td>
<td>283.620</td>
<td>280.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-3.012</td>
<td>-6.105</td>
<td>-9.290</td>
<td>-12.567</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td>297.341</td>
<td>294.214</td>
<td>291.006</td>
<td>287.707</td>
<td>284.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-3.127</td>
<td>-6.335</td>
<td>-9.634</td>
<td>-13.035</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305</td>
<td></td>
<td>301.786</td>
<td>298.546</td>
<td>295.223</td>
<td>291.806</td>
<td>288.282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-3.240</td>
<td>-6.563</td>
<td>-9.980</td>
<td>-13.504</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td></td>
<td>306.246</td>
<td>302.893</td>
<td>299.453</td>
<td>295.917</td>
<td>292.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-3.353</td>
<td>-6.793</td>
<td>-10.329</td>
<td>-13.971</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td></td>
<td>310.719</td>
<td>307.255</td>
<td>303.696</td>
<td>300.040</td>
<td>296.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-3.464</td>
<td>-7.023</td>
<td>-10.679</td>
<td>-14.444</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td></td>
<td>315.205</td>
<td>311.625</td>
<td>307.949</td>
<td>304.172</td>
<td>300.283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-3.580</td>
<td>-7.256</td>
<td>-11.033</td>
<td>-14.922</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325</td>
<td></td>
<td>319.700</td>
<td>316.006</td>
<td>312.214</td>
<td>308.313</td>
<td>304.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-3.694</td>
<td>-7.486</td>
<td>-11.387</td>
<td>-15.400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values obtained by extrapolation.
Figure 4.3 shows the values of the temperature correction as a function of the deviation of surface emittance for the altitude of 17,500 ft. and three values of $T_s$, 300, 310, and 320°K. Polynomial regression in these data shows again the curves can be represented by quadratic expressions with residues much smaller than the acceptable 0.1°K. The regression coefficients $a_0, a_1$ and $a_2$ were determined for all values of $T_s$ and the altitude and all $a_0$ are insignificant for the reason mentioned earlier. The coefficients $a_1$ and $a_2$ are presented in Table 4.4. This table shows again that both coefficients $a_1$ and $a_2$ are dependent on the surface temperature, $T_s$ as well as the altitude. As illustrations, the variation of these coefficients with $T_s$ for the altitude of 17,500 ft. is shown in Figure 4.4. Figure 4.5 shows the variation of these coefficients with altitude for $T_s = 300°K$.

It is important to note that the emittance correction determined here for the wet atmosphere case has to be combined with the correction due to the presence of water vapor. As a result, the total correction is this case is given by

$$\Delta T = \Delta T_c + \Delta T_w$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)$$

where $\Delta T_c$ is the correction determined from the coefficients given in Table 4.4 and $\Delta T_w$ corresponds to the presence of standard water vapor burden.

4.2 Water Vapor Distribution

The water vapor is an important absorbing constituent for infrared radiation in the troposphere and is the only absorber in the spectral region of this instrument. Its variation, therefore, has significant effect on the correction to EBT and is considered in two ways. These are (i) increasing or decreasing water vapor concentration as multiples of the standard water vapor profile in all layers, and (ii) increasing concentration in some layers
Figure 4.3 Variation of correction with the deviation of emittance for the wet atmosphere from 17,500 ft.
Fig. 4.4 Variation of regression coefficients $a_1$ and $a_2$ with actual surface temperature for the wet atmosphere and 17,500 ft.
Fig. 4.5 Variation of regression coefficients $a_1$ and $a_2$ with altitude for the wet atmosphere and $T_s = 300^\circ K$. 
Table 4.4
Regression coefficients $a_1$ and $a_2$ are functions of actual surface temperature and altitude for surface emittance study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Altitude (ft.)</th>
<th>Regression Coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>290°K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62.404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61.196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>59.572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58.568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57.961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57.617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57.418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57.309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57.234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
while decreasing in others such that the total water vapor burden in the atmosphere under consideration remains unaltered.

Computations of correction to the EBT were made for six multiples of the water vapor profile, namely, 0.0, 0.25, 0.5, 1.5, 2.0 and 3.0 in addition to the standard profile itself, for all values of $T_s$ and the altitude. Table 4.5 lists the values of EBT and corresponding corrections for all values of $T_s$ and the altitude of 17,500 ft. Results for this altitude and $T_s = 300, 310$ and $320^\circ K$ were chosen for graphical illustration and are shown in Figure 4.6. Polynomial regression in these results between $\Delta x$ (water vapor burden) and $\Delta T$ (correction) reveals that cubic expressions are required to adequately represent these relationships. Regression coefficients $a_0, a_1, a_2$ and $a_3$ were determined for all cases and $a_0$ are found to be insignificant again. Coefficients $a_1, a_2$ and $a_3$ are presented in Table 4.6 and are entered together for each value of $T_s$ and the altitude. It can be seen from this table that all these coefficients are functions of $T_s$ as well as the altitude. Figure 4.7 shows the variation of $a_1, a_2$ and $a_3$ with $T$ for the altitude of 17,500 ft. Variation of these coefficients with altitude for $T_s = 300^\circ K$ is shown in Figure 4.8.

The effect of redistribution of water vapor in the atmosphere (without changing the total water vapor burden) has been studied by generating two modifications of the standard water vapor profile. Modification A is generated by arbitrarily decreasing the water vapor burden in the lower five layers and increasing the burden by the same amount in the upper five layers. Modification B is generated by an exactly opposite operation. Table 4.7 shows a layer-by-layer distribution of water vapor burden for the standard and modified profiles.
Table 4.5
Effective brightness temperature as a function of water vapor burden for an altitude of 17,500 ft.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual Surface Temp. (°K)</th>
<th>Effective Brightness Temperature (°K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290</td>
<td>290.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>300.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305</td>
<td>305.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>310.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>315.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>320.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325</td>
<td>325.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 4.6 Variation of correction with water vapor burden from 17,500 ft.
Fig. 4.7 Variation of regression coefficients $a_1$, $a_2$ and $a_3$ with actual surface temperature for 17,500 ft.
Fig. 4.8 Variation of regression coefficients $a_1$, $a_2$ and $a_3$ with altitude for $T_s = 300^\circ$K.
### Table 4.6

Regression coefficients $a_1$, $a_2$ and $a_3$ as functions of actual surface temperature and altitude for water vapor burden study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Altitude (ft)</th>
<th>Regression Coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>290°K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>-0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>-0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4500</td>
<td>-0.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6500</td>
<td>-0.488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8500</td>
<td>-0.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10500</td>
<td>-0.751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12500</td>
<td>-0.841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14500</td>
<td>-0.912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17500</td>
<td>-0.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4.7
Water Vapor distribution for standard and modified profiles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer No.</th>
<th>Water vapor burden (cm-atm)</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Mod. A</th>
<th>Mod. B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>110.75</td>
<td>100.75</td>
<td>120.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>205.72</td>
<td>190.72</td>
<td>220.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>185.53</td>
<td>174.53</td>
<td>196.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>315.07</td>
<td>303.08</td>
<td>327.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>253.31</td>
<td>249.32</td>
<td>257.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>197.24</td>
<td>201.24</td>
<td>193.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>146.56</td>
<td>156.56</td>
<td>136.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>110.03</td>
<td>120.04</td>
<td>100.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>80.20</td>
<td>90.20</td>
<td>70.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>79.84</td>
<td>97.83</td>
<td>61.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Effective brightness temperatures have been obtained for all values of $T_s$ and the altitude of 17,500 ft. corresponding to the modified water vapor profiles and are presented along with the standard profile results in Table 4.8. The altitude of 17,500 ft. has been selected here so that the observed effect is as large as possible. Comparison of the EBT values presented in Table 4.8 shows that except for the highest value of $T_s$, the differences between the EBT values corresponding to the standard and modified profiles are always smaller than 0.1°C.

Table 4.8

Effective brightness temperature for standard and modified water vapor profiles at 17,500 ft.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual Surface Temp. (°K)</th>
<th>Eff. Brightness Temp. (°K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290</td>
<td>288.501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295</td>
<td>292.910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>297.341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305</td>
<td>301.786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>306.246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>310.719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>315.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325</td>
<td>319.700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3 Atmospheric Temperature Profile

Variations of atmospheric temperature distribution are expected to have significant effect on the upwelling radiance and the EBT in this spectral region, particularly because of the strong temperature dependence of the continuum absorption coefficient [8,9]. This effect was examined quantitatively by adopting four additional temperature profiles for the atmosphere. Since the temperature gradient in the troposphere is relatively constant, profiles with fixed biases of +2, +1, -1, and -2°K relative to the standard were considered as realistic variations.

Effective brightness temperature for all values of $T_s$ and altitudes were obtained corresponding to the above temperature profiles. Since variations of temperature profile become meaningless in absence of any water vapor, these computations have been carried out in the presence of a standard water vapor burden. EBT values for an altitude of 17,500 ft. are presented in Table 4.9. Corrections in this case are obtained relative to the EBT values which correspond to the standard temperature profile and are listed just below the EBT values in the same table. The differences between $T_s$ and $T_e$ values which correspond to the standard temperature profile are again due to the presence of water vapor.

Figure 4.9 shows the correction as a function of the temperature bias for two altitudes (4,500 and 17,500 ft.) and $T_s = 300°K$. Regression in these data shows that these results can be adequately represented by linear expressions. Coefficients of regression $a_0$ and $a_1$ were determined for all cases. It is again clear from Fig. 4.9 that all these lines pass through the (o,o) point and, therefore, the coefficients $a_o$ are insignificant. The coefficient $a_1$ for all values of $T_s$ and altitude are presented in Table 4.10. Figure 4.10 shows the coefficient $a_1$ as a function of $T_s$ for the altitudes of 4,500 and 17,500 ft. It can be seen from this figure as well as from
Table 4.9

Effective brightness temperature for different atmospheric temperature profile for the altitude 17,500 ft.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual Surface Temp(°K)</th>
<th>Effective Brightness Temperature (°K)</th>
<th>Atmospheric Temperature Profiles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T' = T-2</td>
<td>T' = T-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290</td>
<td>288.235</td>
<td>288.369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.266</td>
<td>-0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295</td>
<td>292.644</td>
<td>292.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.266</td>
<td>-0.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>297.068</td>
<td>297.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.273</td>
<td>-0.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305</td>
<td>301.509</td>
<td>301.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.277</td>
<td>-0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>305.965</td>
<td>306.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.281</td>
<td>-0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>310.433</td>
<td>310.578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.286</td>
<td>-0.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>314.914</td>
<td>315.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.291</td>
<td>-0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325</td>
<td>319.405</td>
<td>319.555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.295</td>
<td>-0.145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 4.9 Variation of correction with bias in atmospheric temperature profile for two altitudes and $T_s = 300^\circ K$.
Fig. 4.10 Variation of regression coefficient $a_1$ with actual surface temperature for two altitudes.
Table 4.10
Regression coefficient $a_1$ as a function of actual surface temperature and altitude for the temperature profile variation study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Altitude (ft)</th>
<th>Regression Coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>290°K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4500</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6500</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8500</td>
<td>0.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10500</td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12500</td>
<td>0.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14500</td>
<td>0.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17500</td>
<td>0.131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.10 that the temperature dependence of the coefficient $a_1$ in this case is very weak. Average values of $a_1$ (over all values of $T_s$) are obtained for each altitude. These are listed in the last column of Table 4.10 and shown in Figure 4.11. The figure shows $a_1$ as a strong function of altitude.

The total correction in this case also consists of an additional component due to water vapor and can be expressed as

$$\Delta T = \Delta T_c + \Delta T_w$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

where $\Delta T_c$ is computed from the coefficients given in Table 4.10 and $\Delta T_w$ corresponds to the presence of standard water vapor burden.
Fig. 4.11 Variation of regression coefficient $a_1$ (averaged over $T_s$) with altitude.
5. MODEL CALCULATIONS FOR TWO PARAMETERS

Deviation of only one parameter from its initial value, as considered in the previous section, represents a highly idealized situation for the real atmosphere where more than one parameter may undergo deviations at the same time. In this study we have examined some cases where two of the input parameters undergo deviations simultaneously. It is evident from the discussion in Section 4 that the important parameters affecting the effective brightness temperature are: surface emittance, water vapor burden, and the atmospheric temperature profile. Several combinations of these parameters have been examined in this section. It is intended to establish relationships between the combined correction $\Delta T_c$ and the individual corrections $\Delta T_x$ caused by separate variations of the two parameters.

5.1 Surface Emittance - Temperature Profile Variations

Extensive model calculations were made to examine the relationships between the individual and combined corrections in this case. Computations were made for five values of surface emittance, namely, 1.00, 0.95, 0.90, 0.85, and 0.80 and five atmospheric temperature profiles. These temperature profiles were characterized by fixed biases of +2°K, +1°K, -1°K and -2°K in addition to the standard profile as given in Table 3.1. Computations were made for all eight values of $T_s$ and calculations for an altitude of 17,500 ft. and $T_s = 325°K$ are presented in Table 5.1. This particular set of parameters has been chosen for illustration to observe the largest differences between the sum of the individual corrections $\sum \Delta T_x$ and the combined correction $\Delta T_c$. The first column of the table lists the values of surface emittance and the deviation of emittance from the initial value of unity. The next five columns list the values of the EBT and the differences $\sum \Delta T_x - \Delta T_c$ for the various temperature profiles. It can be seen from this table that the largest difference is 0.016°K
Table 5.1

Simultaneous Variation of Parameters
Surface Emittance - Temperature Profile
Alt. = 17,500 ft.; \( T_s = 325^\circ K \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emittance/Deviation</th>
<th>( T' = T-2 )</th>
<th>( T' = T-1 )</th>
<th>( T' = T )</th>
<th>( T' = T+1 )</th>
<th>( T' = T+2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>319.405</td>
<td>319.555</td>
<td>319.700</td>
<td>319.842</td>
<td>319.981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>315.714</td>
<td>315.862</td>
<td>316.006</td>
<td>316.146</td>
<td>316.282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>311.926</td>
<td>312.072</td>
<td>312.214</td>
<td>312.352</td>
<td>312.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.007</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>308.030</td>
<td>308.173</td>
<td>308.313</td>
<td>308.450</td>
<td>308.583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>304.021</td>
<td>304.162</td>
<td>304.300</td>
<td>304.435</td>
<td>304.567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>-0.007</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
which is much smaller than the acceptable 0.1°K. It can be inferred from these results that the combined correction in this case may be represented as the algebraic sum of the individual corrections. Again, because of the presence of the standard burden of water vapor in the atmosphere in this case (without which the variations of temperature profile will be meaningless), it becomes essentially a three-parameter case. The total correction may be expressed mathematically as

\[ \Delta T_c = \Delta T_x + \Delta T_y + \Delta T_w \]

(5.1)

where \( \Delta T_w \) represents the correction due to standard water vapor burden and \( \Delta T_x \) & \( \Delta T_y \) represent corrections due to the deviations of the other two parameters. Also, it is important to note that correction \( \Delta T_x \) due deviation of emittance has to be calculated using regression coefficients obtained for the wet atmosphere (Table 4.4).

The behavior of the corrections outlined above may be understood qualitatively in physical terms as follows: the decrease of surface emittance lowers surface radiance while the change in the atmospheric temperature profile affects the atmospheric radiance completely independently. The change in absorption of the surface radiation by atmospheric constituents under the modified temperature profile has very small effect on the total upwelling radiance and hence on the effective brightness temperature.

5.2 Surface Emittance - Water Vapor Burden Variations

Model calculations in this case were performed for the same five values of surface emittance as in subsection 5.1 and water vapor burdens of 0.0, 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0. Results of this calculation for the altitude of 17,500 ft. and \( T_s = 325°K \) were chosen again for illustration and are presented in Table 5.2. The first column of this table lists the water vapor burden and the next five columns list the EBT and the differences (\( \Sigma \Delta T_x - \Delta T_c \)) for the
Table 5.2

Simultaneous Variation of Parameters
Surface Emittance - Water Vapor Burden
Altitude = 17,500 ft.; $T_s = 325^\circ$K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H_2O$ Burden $\Delta \varepsilon$</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>1.0</th>
<th>2.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon$</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>325.000</td>
<td>320.881</td>
<td>316.646</td>
<td>312.283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>322.748</td>
<td>318.806</td>
<td>314.758</td>
<td>310.592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>319.700</td>
<td>316.006</td>
<td>312.214</td>
<td>308.313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>310.875</td>
<td>307.898</td>
<td>304.857</td>
<td>301.744</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effective Brightness Temperature (°K)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0.95</th>
<th>0.90</th>
<th>0.85</th>
<th>0.80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0.00</th>
<th>0.00</th>
<th>0.00</th>
<th>0.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>-0.425</th>
<th>-0.868</th>
<th>-1.330</th>
<th>-1.823</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-0.195</td>
<td>0.0196</td>
<td>0.0197</td>
<td>0.0200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>-1.142</th>
<th>-2.336</th>
<th>-3.586</th>
<th>-4.898</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-0.196</td>
<td>0.0198</td>
<td>0.0200</td>
<td>0.0201</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
various values of surface emittance. It is clear from Table 5.2 that the combined correction in this case cannot be represented by algebraic sum of the individual corrections as in equation (5.1). Several analytical forms were tried for representing the combined corrections in this case and it was found after considerable numerical experimentation that it can be represented as

$$\Delta T_c = \Delta T_x + \Delta T_y + k_1 \Delta T_x \Delta T_y$$

(5.2)

the last term on the right-hand side representing the difference or residual correction. Values of the coefficient $k_1$ obtained for the various combinations of parameters involved in Table 5.2 are listed just below the residual corrections. The blank spaces appear at those locations where the residual correction as well as the individual correction due to one of the parameters are both zero. For these cases, the coefficient $k_1$ is mathematically indeterminate although practically zero. It can be seen from Table 5.2 that the value of $k_1$ for this set is approximately constant. A mean value of $0.0197 \pm 0.003$ was obtained for this set. Similar mean values were obtained for all eight values of $T_s$ and all ten altitudes, and are presented in Table 5.3. Figure 5.1 shows $k_1$ as a function of $T_s$ for $z = 17,500$ ft. (circles and solid lines) and also as a function of altitude for $T_s = 300^\circ K$ (squares and dashed lines). Value of $k_1$ for any values of the parameters other than those listed in Table 5.3 may be obtained by linear interpolation.

It is important to note here that because water vapor burden is itself an independent variable in this case and individual correction due to water vapor, $\Delta T_y$ is calculated separately, the emittance correction, $\Delta T_x$ in this case has to be computed using regression coefficients obtained for the dry atmosphere (Table 4.2).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Altitude (ft)</th>
<th>Actual Surface Temperature (°K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.4510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.3130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.2400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4500</td>
<td>0.1690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6500</td>
<td>0.1330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8500</td>
<td>0.1130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10500</td>
<td>0.1010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12500</td>
<td>0.0939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14500</td>
<td>0.0889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17500</td>
<td>0.0845</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.3

Coefficient \( k_1 \) representing residual correction for Surface Emittance - Water Vapor Burden variations.
Fig. 5.1 Variation of coefficient $k_1$ with actual surface temperature (solid curve) and with altitude (dashed curve).
The observed differences or residual corrections in this case may be understood qualitatively in the following way. The atmosphere being cooler than the surface for the cases considered, its effect is to attenuate the surface radiation. Since a decrease of surface emittance decreases the input surface radiance to the atmosphere, the net attenuation will be smaller in the latter case. This in effect is responsible for the over-prediction of correction observed in Table 5.2 when both deviations are considered separately.

5.3 Water Vapor Burden - Temperature Profile Variations

Model calculations in this case were carried out for the five temperature profiles mentioned in subsection 5.1 and three values of water vapor burden, namely 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0. Zero water vapor burden was not considered in this case again, because temperature profile variations lose meaning in absence of any water vapor. Results obtained for the altitude of 17,500 ft. and $T_s = 325^\circ K$ are presented in Table 5.4 as an illustration, though they were obtained for all eight values of $T_s$ and all ten altitudes. The first column of the table lists the water vapor burden (and the deviation of the water vapor burden relative to the standard as explained later). The next five columns list the EBT values obtained for the various temperature profiles as indicated. It can be seen from Table 5.4 that for this case also the combined correction cannot be represented by the algebraic sum of the individual corrections. The numbers entered below the EBT values are the residual corrections or the differences $(\Sigma \Delta T_x - \Delta T_c)$. These differences are zero for $T' = T$ because this represents essentially a one-parameter situation. The differences also equal zero for the standard water vapor burden because the one-parameter temperature profile variation calculations (subsection 4.3) were made in the presence of standard water vapor burden. Again, because
the temperature profile variations become meaningless without water vapor, standard water vapor burden is considered as one of the new initial conditions in this case. The numbers entered below the water vapor burden values in the first column represent the deviations of water vapor burden relative to the new initial value.

Several analytical representations were tried and it was found that the total correction for this set of parameters may be represented as

\[ \Delta T_c = \Delta T_x + \Delta T_y + k_2 \cdot \Delta T'_x \cdot \Delta T'_y \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.3)

where \( \Delta T_x \) and \( \Delta T_y \) represent the individual corrections due to water vapor burden and temperature profile deviations respectively. The last term again, represents the residual correction and \( \Delta T'_x \) is defined as

\[ \Delta T'_x = \Delta T_w - \Delta T_x \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.4)

where \( \Delta T_w \) represents the magnitude of correction due to standard water vapor burden. The values of the coefficient \( k_2 \) for the cases covered in Table 5.4 are entered just below the residual corrections in the same table. Blank spaces occur at those places in this table where the residual correction and one of the individual corrections are both zero and, therefore, \( k_2 \) is again mathematically indeterminate though practically zero. Table 5.4 also shows that \( k_2 \) values for \( \Delta x = 2.0 \) (third row) are slightly higher than those for \( \Delta x = 0.5 \) (first row) while within each group the spread is very small. An average value of \( k_2 = 0.249 \pm 0.014 \) was obtained for this set and it was determined that the maximum error introduced in the computation of \( \Delta T_c \) using this average would still be much smaller than 0.1°K. Similar average values of \( k_2 \) were obtained for all eight values of \( T_s \) and all ten altitudes and the results are presented in Table 5.5.

The magnitudes of the residual corrections as shown in Table 5.4 can also be explained qualitatively in terms of changes in attenuation of surface
Table 5.4

Simultaneous Variation of Parameters
Water Vapor Burden - Temperature Profile
Alt. = 17,500 ft.; $T_s = 325°K$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Vapor Burden</th>
<th>Atmospheric Temperature Profile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T' = T-2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>322.666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.5)</td>
<td>-0.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>319.405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.0)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>309.893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.0)</td>
<td>0.687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.264</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 5.2 Variation of coefficient $k_2$ with actual surface temperature (solid curve) and with altitude (dashed curve).
Table 5.5
Coefficient $k_2$ representing residual correction for
Water Vapor Burden - Temperature Profile variations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Altitude (ft)</th>
<th>Actual Surface Temperature (°K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>7.319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>3.912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4500</td>
<td>1.988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6500</td>
<td>1.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8500</td>
<td>1.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10500</td>
<td>0.9199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12500</td>
<td>0.8383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14500</td>
<td>0.7886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17500</td>
<td>0.7510</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

radiation caused by changed water vapor burden and due to changes in
emission from atmospheric water vapor because of the bias in the atmospheric
temperature profile.
6. APPLICATION OF ALGORITHM

The information and algorithm developed in Sections 2, 4 and 5 can now be used to determine the correction to the measured effective brightness temperature for a known set of surface and atmospheric parameters. It can be evaluated using appropriate forms of equations (2.5) for one-parameter cases or (2.5) and (2.6) for more than one parameters. Various explicit forms of equation (2.5) were developed for specific cases as (4.1) and (4.2) and equation (2.6) as (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3). The regression coefficients required for these computations were determined and tabulated in Sections 4 and 5.

6.1 Evaluation of Correction

It is evident from Tables 4.2, 4.4, 4.6 and 4.10 that the regression coefficients $a_n$ determined for various cases are dependent on the altitude as well as the actual surface temperature. Coefficients $k_1$ and $k_2$ which are used in evaluation of the residual correction, listed in Tables 5.3 and 5.5, also exhibit similar characteristics. Figures 4.5, 4.8, 4.11, 5.1 and 5.2 show that all regression coefficients for any altitude between 500 and 17,500 ft. can be obtained with desired accuracy by linear interpolation from the tabulated values. Since the actual surface temperature, $T_s$ is the desired end result of the entire computation, an iterative procedure has to be developed to arrive at its appropriate value.

Values of the regression coefficients $a_n$ as well as $k_1$ and $k_2$ for the appropriate altitude referring to $T_s = 300\,^\circ$K are chosen as their initial estimates. Correction is computed using appropriate forms of equations (2.5) and (2.6). This correction combined with the measured value of EBT yields the first estimate of the actual surface temperature, say $T_{s_1}$. Values of the temperature-dependent coefficients $a_n$ as well as $k_1$ and $k_2$ are now obtained corresponding to $T_{s_1}$. It was not found possible to represent the
temperature-dependence of each of these coefficients by analytical relations
and, therefore, it was decided to obtain the coefficients corresponding to
$T_{s1}$ by linear interpolation between tabulated values. Correction is evalu-
ated again using these coefficients and equations (2.5) and (2.6). The
second estimate of actual surface temperature, $T_{s2}$, is obtained by combining
this latter correction with the measured EBT. This iterative process is
continued till successive estimates of $T_s$ become consistent within 0.01°K.

A computer program named CORRECT has been developed to accomplish the
evaluation of correction using the above procedure. The program generates
a table of regression coefficients for the altitude under consideration using
interpolation, if necessary. A set of five parameters, namely, EBT (effective
brightness temperature), ALT (altitude), EMI (surface emittance), DW (water
vapor burden) and DT (temperature profile bias) is supplied to this program.
Depending upon the values of the last three parameters, the program decides
if it is a one- or two-parameter case and automatically selects the appropriate
equations for evaluating the correction. The program performs up to a maximum
of ten iterations and if the $T_s$ value does not converge as desired, it
reports the last estimate of $T_s$ and indicates the nonconvergence. A listing
of this program is also reproduced in Appendix B.

6.2 Sample Calculations

Extensive sample calculations were made to check out the working of
program CORRECT using the EBT values obtained for various sets of parameters
from the program PRTFIVE. Table 6.1 shows a set of such results covering a
wide range of surface temperatures and other parameters. The first column of
this table lists the values of the actual surface temperature (TEMS) used
in the program PRTFIVE to obtain EBT. The last column lists the values of
the retrieved surface temperature (SURTEMP) obtained by the program CORRECT.
The other symbols used in this table have been explained earlier. The sets of parameters chosen for these calculations were intended to cover all possible combinations and also to show largest possible differences between the actual and retrieved surface temperatures. It is important to note that the combinations (i) surface emittance - water vapor burden, and (ii) water vapor burden-temperature profile (subsections 5.2 and 5.3) which involve the computation of residual correction are likely to exhibit larger differences. A comparison of TEMS and SURTEMP values in this table shows that the largest difference is only 0.14°K.
Table 6.1

Results of sample calculations made with program CORRECT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEMS(°K)</th>
<th>EBT(°K)</th>
<th>ALT(FT)</th>
<th>EMI</th>
<th>DW</th>
<th>DT(°K)</th>
<th>SURTEMP(°K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>325</td>
<td>307.78</td>
<td>10500</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>325.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>296.48</td>
<td>12500</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>314.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>297.33</td>
<td>6500</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>309.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295</td>
<td>293.21</td>
<td>8500</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-2.00</td>
<td>295.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>315.60</td>
<td>14500</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>+2.00</td>
<td>319.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305</td>
<td>288.02</td>
<td>17500</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-2.00</td>
<td>305.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>292.53</td>
<td>17500</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>+2.00</td>
<td>309.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>282.88</td>
<td>17500</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>300.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295</td>
<td>294.00</td>
<td>17500</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-2.00</td>
<td>294.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>304.51</td>
<td>17500</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>+2.00</td>
<td>314.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>295.36</td>
<td>17500</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>320.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>312.26</td>
<td>17500</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>+2.00</td>
<td>314.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. SENSITIVITY CALCULATIONS

It is important in a study of this type to examine the sensitivity of the retrieved surface temperature to the uncertainties in the various input parameters. It is possible in cases of certain parameters to estimate the sensitivity from the information already presented in Section 4. For others, however, separate calculations had to be made for this purpose. Effects of uncertainty in the values of surface emittance, water vapor burden, temperature distribution and altitude of observation are examined in this section.

7.1 Surface Emittance

Sensitivity of the retrieved surface temperature to uncertainties in assumed value of surface emittance may be estimated from the results presented in Tables 4.1 and 4.3 for dry and wet atmosphere conditions, respectively. These tables list the values of EBT as a function of $T_s$ for several values of surface emittance. Differences between EBT values referring to $\varepsilon = 1.00$ and 0.95 are indicative of the differences between the measured values of EBT expected as a result of a five percent variation of surface emittance. Since surface temperature is obtained directly from the EBT, it is reasonable to assume that these differences are approximately equal to the errors introduced in the retrieved surface temperature due to five percent uncertainty in the assumed value of surface emittance.

Figure 7.1 shows EBT as a function of the actual surface temperature obtained with two values of input emittance (1.00 and 0.95). The dry atmosphere results are independent of altitude and the wet atmosphere results refer to the altitude of 17,500 ft. It is evident from figure 7.1 that for both cases (dry and wet) the differences between the two EBT curves are
Fig. 7.1 Data showing sensitivity of EBT to uncertainty in the value of surface emittance.
substantial (an average of approx. 3.3°K). It is inferred, therefore, that surface emittance is a very important parameter and great caution should be exercised in assuming its value in the present work.

7.2 Water Vapor Burden

Sensitivity of the retrieved surface temperature to uncertainties in the assumed water vapor burden has been estimated by computing EBT for water vapor burdens of 0.75 and 1.25 and comparing the results with EBT values obtained for standard water vapor burden. Table 7.1 shows results for all eight values of $T_s$ and the altitude of 17,500 ft. The results presented in this table are depicted in Figure 7.2 and show that a 25 percent variation of water vapor burden affects the measured EBT from 0.5 to 1.5°K (average of about 1°K) for the range of $T_s$ covered in the present work. It is easy to visualize that the values of surface temperature obtained from these values of EBT will differ by an average of approximately 1°K. It can be inferred, therefore, that an uncertainty of 25 percent in the water vapor burden introduces an error of approximately 1°K in the retrieved surface temperature.

7.3 Atmospheric Temperature Distribution

It can be seen from Table 4.9 that a constant bias of 2°K on the atmospheric temperature profile affects the EBT measured from 17,500 ft. by an average of approximately 0.27°K for the range of $T_s$ under consideration. As discussed in the previous subsections, it can be inferred from these results that an uncertainty of 2°K in the atmospheric temperature distribution throughout the atmosphere introduces an error of approximately 0.27°K in the retrieved surface temperature.
Fig. 7.2 Data showing sensitivity of EBT to uncertainty in water vapor burden.
Table 7.1
Results showing the effect of uncertainty in water vapor burden on effective brightness temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual Surface Temp. (°K)</th>
<th>Effective Brightness Temp. (°K)</th>
<th>Water Vapor Burden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290</td>
<td>288.96</td>
<td>288.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295</td>
<td>293.55</td>
<td>292.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>298.16</td>
<td>297.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305</td>
<td>302.78</td>
<td>301.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>307.41</td>
<td>306.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>312.05</td>
<td>310.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>316.70</td>
<td>315.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325</td>
<td>321.35</td>
<td>319.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.4 Altitude of Observation

The effect of uncertainty in the altitude of observation on retrieved surface temperature is estimated by computing EBT as observed from 17,000 and 18,000 ft. and comparing the results with those obtained for 17,500 ft. The differences observed for both cases were very small (<0.02°K) and were not considered significant. It was inferred, therefore, that an uncertainty of up to 500 ft. (at an average altitude of 17,500 ft.) in the altitude of observation introduces no significant error in the retrieved surface temperature.
8. CONCLUSIONS

The present study shows that it is possible to determine the atmospheric correction to the effective brightness temperature as measured remotely by a radiation thermometer without having to perform detailed radiative transfer calculations. It is shown from the model calculations in Section 4 that corrections can be represented accurately by simple analytical expressions (linear, quadratic or cubic) in terms of the magnitudes or deviations of the corresponding surface or atmospheric parameters. It is also shown from the model calculations in Section 5 that combined correction for simultaneous variations of several parameters can be represented in terms of their individual corrections. It has been shown further (Section 6) that these simple expressions can be used to compute the corrections with a high degree of accuracy from a knowledge of the magnitudes or deviations of the various surface and atmospheric parameters over wide range conditions. The largest cumulative mathematical error introduced by this algorithm was found to be less than 0.15°K. It can also be seen from the results of the sensitivity calculations presented in Section 7 that uncertainties in the assumed values of surface emittance and water vapor burden introduce large errors in the retrieved surface temperature. Uncertainties in the atmospheric temperature distribution and the altitude of observation are found to have relatively smaller effects. It is estimated that the use of this algorithm instead of the expensive radiative transfer calculations will reduce the time and cost involved in surface temperature retrieval by at least an order of magnitude. Although the results presented here refer to one particular instrument (PRT-5), the technique is general and should be applicable to any radiometric measurement.
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### APPENDIX A1

**EXPLANATION OF SYMBOLS USED IN PROGRAM "PRTFIVE" AND ITS SUBROUTINES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Constant used in representing frequency variation of the continuum absorption coefficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>Total monochromatic absorption coefficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACB, ACE &amp; ACM</td>
<td>Wing contribution to the total absorption coefficient at the interval boundaries and center.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>Array of half-widths of the individual lines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALFT, ALKM</td>
<td>Altitude of observation in feet and kilometers, respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALX</td>
<td>Width of a narrow sub-interval near line center.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATR</td>
<td>Integrated upwelling radiance at the altitude of observation including atmospheric attenuation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Another constant used in representing frequency variation of the continuum absorption coefficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BETA</td>
<td>Exponent used for the above purpose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTR</td>
<td>Integrated upwelling radiance at the altitude of observation excluding atmospheric attenuation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEL</td>
<td>Width of an interval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLIM</td>
<td>Width of the region from which the direct contribution is obtained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>Array of energies of the lower states for individual lines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMI</td>
<td>Surface emittance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACT</td>
<td>Factor used for obtaining line-intensities corresponding to the temperature of each layer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIL</td>
<td>Array of filter function for all intervals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>Array of frequencies of the individual lines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRB</td>
<td>Frequencies at the boundaries of intervals.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FRC  Frequencies at the centers of intervals.
FRE  Frequencies of all lines within one interval.
FRG  Frequencies of all locations where absorption coefficient is calculated within one interval.
FRS  Frequencies at the sub-interval boundaries within one interval.
FRT  Tabulated values of filter function.
IG   Total number of frequency locations at which transmittance calculation is made, within one interval.
LA   Number of layers for a given altitude of observation.
LE   Total number of lines in the entire frequency range.
LLH  Number of different altitudes of observation.
LX   Array of number of layers for different altitudes of observation.
MP   Number of lines within one interval.
NQ   Number of sub-intervals in an interval.
NT   Number of surface temperature values for which calculations are made.
PART A lumped constant accounting for the variations of vibrational and rotational partition functions.
PL   Monochromatic optical thickness.
PLK  Planck functions for different atmospheric layers.
PNTP Pressure at NTP (760 mm Hg).
PPL  Pressure path length for each layer.
PREC Average pressure for each layer.
PSK  Planck functions for different values of surface temperature.
PW   Partial pressure of water vapor for each layer.
PXK  Planck functions for surface for the temperature array TEMX.
QV   Concentration of water vapor in different layers (in ppmV).
RAD  Upwelling radiance in one interval at one particular temperature.
RP Exponent which accounts for the temperature dependence of the rotational partition function.

SI Array of intensities for individual lines.

TEMC Average temperature for each layer.

TEMR Reference temperature for the water vapor line parameters.

TEMS Array of surface temperatures for which calculations are made.

TEMX Array of surface temperatures which are used for interpolation.

TEMY Computed values of effective brightness temperatures.

THC Thickness of each layer.

TNTP Temperature at NTP (273°K).

TR Monochromatic transmittance at each of the frequencies FRG.

TRA Averaged transmittance for each interval for different layers.

TRS Averaged transmittance for each interval in the Subroutine TRANS.

VPF Factor accounting for the temperature dependence of the vibrational partition function.

WF Frequencies at which the filter function is tabulated.

WIDF Factor used to convert line half-widths from reference values to those appropriate for each layer.

WL1, WL2 Weighting factors used in the Gauss-Legendre quadrature formula.

WLIM Width of the regions from which the wing contribution is obtained.

XL1, XL2 Abscissa values used in the Gauss-Legendre quadrature formula.
APPENDIX A2

EXPLANATION OF SYMBOLS USED IN PROGRAM "CORRECT."

A  Current values of the regression coefficients for the first parameter.

A1, A2, & A3  Regression coefficients for all altitudes, temperatures and parameters.

AA1, AA2, & AA3  Regression coefficients for all altitudes and temperatures for one particular parameter.

AD  Regression coefficients for the dry atmosphere surface emittance variation case.

ALFT  Altitudes at layer boundaries in feet.

ALT  Given altitude of observation.

AR1, AR2, & AR3  Regression coefficients for the given altitude of observation for all temperatures and one parameter.

ASTM  Initial estimate of the surface temperature (300°K in all cases).

ASTN  Current estimate of surface temperature during iteration.

AW, AX, & AY  Regression coefficients for water vapor, first parameter and second parameter, respectively, for the given altitude and all temperatures.

AZ1, AZ2, & AZ3  Regression coefficients for the given altitude, all temperatures and all parameters.

B  Current values of the regression coefficients for the second parameter.

C  Current values of the coefficients for the residual correction terms.

C1, C2  Coefficients for the residual correction terms for all altitudes and temperatures.

CZ1, CZ2  Coefficients for the residual correction terms for the altitude of observation.

DE  Deviation of surface emittance from unity.

DT  Bias in atmospheric temperature profile.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DW</td>
<td>Atmospheric water vapor burden in multiples of standard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DX, DY</td>
<td>Deviations of the two parameters under consideration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBT</td>
<td>Measured effective brightness temperature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMI</td>
<td>Surface emittance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICON</td>
<td>Convergence parameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SURTEMP</td>
<td>Retrieved surface temperature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMS</td>
<td>Array of surface temperatures for which calculations are made.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B1
LISTING OF COMPUTER PROGRAM "PRTFIVE"
AND ITS SUBROUTINES

PROGRAM PRTFIVE(INPUT•OUTPUT•TAPE2)
INTEGER X•Y•T
DIMENSION ALFT(10)•ALKM(10)•THC(10)•PREC(10)•LX(10)•
/VPF(10)•QV(10)•PPL(10)•FRT(25)•WF(25)•FGR(24)•FIL(120)•
/ATR(8•10)•STR(10)•FRE(15)•PL(2U0)•PSK(8)•PLK(10)•PXX(10)•
/TEMC(10)•TEMX(10)•TRA(11)•RAD(8)•TEMY(8•10)•IER(8•10)
COMMON/ABSORB/FR(320)•SI(320)•EL(320)•AL(320)•HG(2U0)•
/AC(1200)•FRB(121)•FRC(120)•W1OF(10)•FACT(10)•PAR(10)•
/TEMC(10)•PW(10)•DLIM•WLI•DEL•ALPHA•TEMP•TQ•LE•IG•PI•A•B
COMMON/TRANE/FRS(51)•TR(200)•WL1•WL2•NL•DEL
READ 10* EMI
READ 10* FRL•FRU•DEL
READ 11* LE•NT•JT•LLH
READ 10* DLIM•WLI•ALX
READ 10* PNTP•TNP•TEMP•TQ
READ 10* RP
READ 12* A•B•BETA
READ 13* (ALFT(LL)•LL=1•LLH)
READ 14* (PREC(L)•L=1•10)
READ 10* (TEMC(L)•L=1•10)
READ 10* (TEMS(T)•T=1•NT)
READ 10* (TEMX(J)•J=1•JT)
READ 14* (THC(L)•L=1•10)
READ 15* (FRT(M)•M=1•25)
READ 14* (VPF(L)•L=1•10)
READ 16* XL1•XL2•WL1•WL2
READ 12* (G(L)•L=1•10)
READ(2•17) (FR(X)•SI(X)•EL(X)•AL(X)•X=1•LE)
10 FORMAT(10F8•2)
11 FORMAT(1615)
12 FORMAT(5E16•3)
13 FORMAT(10F8•0)
14 FORMAT(10F8•4)
15 FORMAT(10F8•3)
16 FORMAT(8F10•6)
17 FORMAT(2(F10•3•E12•4•F10•3•F5•3•3X))
C DETERM
INES THE NUMBER OF LAVES FOR EACH OF THE
C SEVERAL ALTITUDES OF OBSERVATIONS
DO 100 LL=1•LLH
ALKM(LL)=ALFT(LL)*3.046E-04+0.5
L=0
STHC=0.
101 L=L+1
STHC=STHC+THC(L)
ALR = ALKM(LL) - STHC
IF (ALR < L T 0) GO TO 100
LX(LL) = L
GO TO 101
100 CONTINUE
C COMPUTES PARTIAL PRESSURE, PRESSURE PATH LENGTH
C FOR WATER VAPOR AND SOME OTHER ALTITUDE
C DEPENDENT PARAMETERS
PI = 3.14159
AWR = 1.6
CONST = 1.6*10**5*TNTP/PNTP
DO 102 L = 1, 10
PART(L) = VPF(L)*(TEMR/TEMC(L))**RP
FACT(L) = 1.439*(TEMC(L) - TEMR)/(TEMC(L)*TEMP)
WIDF(L) = (SORT(TEMR/TEMC(L)))*PREC(L)/PNTP
PPL(L) = CONST*QV(L)*PREC(L)*THC(L)/TEMC(L)
102 PW(L) = QV(L)*PREC(L)
C DIVIDES THE ENTIRE FREQUENCY RANGE INTO NARROW INTERVALS
DELA = 0.5*DEL
RK = (FRU - FRL)/DEL + 0.1
FRB(1) = FRL
DO 103 K = 1, KR
FRC(K) = FRET(K) + DEL
103 FRB(K + 1) = FRB(K) + DEL
C COMPUTES THE INSTRUMENT FILTER FUNCTION FOR
C EVERY INTERVAL FROM THE TABULATED VALUES
WF(1) = FRL
DO 104 M = 1, 24
WF(M + 1) = WF(M) + 10*
104 FGR(M) = (FRT(M + 1) - FRT(M))/(WF(M + 1) - WF(M))
DO 105 K = 1, KR
M = 0
106 M = M + 1
IF (FRC(K) < WF(M)) GO TO 105
IF (FRC(K) > WF(M + 1)) GO TO 106
FIL(K) = FRT(M) + FGR(M)*(FRC(K) - WF(M))
105 CONTINUE
ALY = 2.4*LX
CONS = 18.6*625*0.3/1.38
C INITIALIZES TOTAL UPWELLING RADIANCES FOR DIFFERENT
C ALTITUDES AND SURFACE TEMPERATURES
DO 107 LL = 1, LLH

68
C \( t = 1.1T \)
107 \( ATR(T,\text{LL}) = 0. \)
DO 108 J = 1, JT
108 \( BTR(J) = 0. \)

Y = 0
C STARTS COMPUTING TRANSMITTANCE AND UPWELLING
C RADIANCE FOR ONE INTERVAL AT A TIME
DO 109 K = 1, KR
M = 0
C DETERMINES THE NUMBER OF LINES IN ONE INTERVAL
MP = M
111 IF (Y.GE.LE) GO TO 110
Y = Y + 1
IF (FR(Y).LT.FRB(K)) GO TO 111
IF (FR(Y).GE.FRB(K+1)) GO TO 112
M = M + 1
FRE(M) = FR(Y)
MP = M
GO TO 111
112 Y = Y - 1
110 CONTINUE
N = 1
C DETERMINES THE NUMBER OF SUB-INTERVALS IN ONE INTERVAL
FRS(N) = FRB(K)
IF (MP.LE.0) GO TO 113
DO 114 M = 1, MP
DIF = FRE(M) - FRS(N)
IF (DIF.LE.ALX) GO TO 115
IF (DIF.LE.ALY) GO TO 116
FRS(N+1) = FRE(M) - ALY
FRS(N+2) = FRE(M) - ALX
FRS(N+3) = FRE(M)
N = N + 3
GO TO 117
116 FRS(N+1) = FRE(M) - ALX
FRS(N+2) = FRE(M)
N = N + 2
GO TO 117
115 FRS(N+1) = FRE(M)
N = N + 1
117 IF (M.GE.MP) GO TO 118
DIF = FRE(M+1) - FRS(N)
IF (DIF.LE.ALX) GO TO 114
IF (DIF.GT.ALY) GO TO 119
FRS(N+1) = FRE(M) + ALX
N = N + 1
GO TO 114

119 FRS(N+1) = FRE(M) + ALX
FRS(N+2) = FRE(M) + AYL
N = N + 2

114 CONTINUE

118 DIF = FRB(K+1) - FRE(M)
IF (DIF .LE. ALX) GO TO 113
IF (DIF .LE. AYL) GO TO 120
FRS(N+1) = FRE(M) + ALX
FRS(N+2) = FRE(M) + AYL
FRS(N+3) = FRB(K+1)
NP = N + 3
GO TO 121

120 FRS(N+1) = FRE(M) + ALX
FRS(N+2) = FRB(K+1)
NP = N + 2
GO TO 121

113 FRS(N+1) = FRB(K+1)
NP = N + 1

121 NO = NP - 1

C DETERMINES THE FREQUENCIES AT ALL GRID POINTS WITHIN ONE INTERVAL
IG = 4 * NO
DO 122 N = 1, NO
I = 4 * (N - 1) + 1
VAR = 0.5 * (FRS(N+1) - FRS(N))
CON = 0.5 * (FRS(N+1) + FRS(N))
FRS(I) = CON - VAR * XL1
FRG(I+1) = CON + VAR * XL2
FRG(I+2) = CON - VAR * XL2
FRG(I+3) = CON + VAR * XL1
PNUM = DEL * CONS * FRC(K) * FRC(K) * FRC(K) * 1.0E-07
EEX = CNST * FRC(K)
C COMPUTES VALUES OF PLANCK FUNCTIONS FOR DIFFERENT VALUES OF
C SURFACE TEMPERATURE AND DIFFERENT LAYERS OF THE ATMOSPHERE
DO 123 T = 1, NT
123 PK(T) = PNUM / (EXP(EEX / TEMS(T)) - 1.0)
DO 124 L = 1, 10
124 PK(L) = PNUM / (EXP(EEX / TEMC(L)) - 1.0)
DO 125 J = 1, JT
125 PXK(J) = PNUM / (EXP(EEX / TEMX(J)) - 1.0)
DO 132 J = 1, JT
132 BTR(J) = BTR(J) + PXK(J) * FIL(K)
C STARTS TO WORK FOR EACH ALTITUDE SEPARATELY
 DO 109 LL=1,LLH
 DO 126 I=1,IG

126 PL(I)=0.
 LA=lx(LL)
 LB=LA+1
 TRA(LB)=1.*

C CONSIDERS EACH LAYER SEPARATELY: CALLS SUBROUTINE
C COEFF TO EVALUATE ABSORPTION COEFFICIENT AT EACH
C GRID POINT, THEN COMPUTES THE OPTICAL DEPTH AND
C MONOCHROMATIC TRANSMITTANCE
 DO 127 M=1,LA
 L=LA+1-M
 CALL COEFF(L,K)
 DO 128 I=1,IG
 PL(I)=PL(I)+AC(I)*PPL(L)
 IF (PL(I).GT.675.) GO TO 129
 TR(I)=EXP(-PL(I))
 GO TO 128
129 TR(I)=0.*
128 CONTINUE

C CALLS SUBROUTINE TRANS TO COMPUTE AVERAGE
C TRANSMITTANCE FOR EACH INTERVAL
 CALL TRANS(TRA(L))

127 CONTINUE

C COMPUTES INTEGRATED UPWELLING RADIANCE FOR
C DIFFERENT ALTITUDES AND SURFACE TEMPERATURES
 COMP=0.
 DO 130 L=1,LA
 130 COMP=COMP+PL(I)* (TRA(L+1 confirming)-TRA(L))
 DO 131 T=1,NT
 RAD(T)=COMP+EMI*PSK(T)*TQA(I)
131 ATR(T+LL)=ATR(T+LL)+RAD(T)*FIL(K)
109 CONTINUE

NMAX=10
NN=10
NTAB=1
IOR=2

C USES THE INTERPOLATION ROUTINE IUNI TO EVALUATE
C THE EFFECTIVE BRIGHTNESS TEMPERATURE
 DO 133 LL=1,LLH
 DO 133 T=1,NT
 XO=ATR(T+LL)
 1PT=-1
CALL IUNI(NMAX,NN,BTR,NTAB,TEMX,1OR, XO,YO,1PT,1ERR)
TEMY(T+LL)=YO
IER(T+LL)=1ERR
133 CONTINUE
DO 134 LL=I+LLH
PRINT 60,('EMS(T•ATR(T•LL)•TEMY(T•LL)•IER(T•LL)•T=1•NT)
134 CONTINUE
PRINT 61, ('TEMX(J)•BTR(J)•J=1•JT)
60 FORMAT(1H1///(F15.2•E20.5•F15.3•I09//))
61 FORMAT(1H1///(F15.2•E20.5///))
STOP
END
SUBROUTINE COEFF(L,K)
INTEGER X
DIMENSION CONT(200)
COMMON/ABSOR8/FR(320)*SI(320)*EL(320)*AL(320)*FRG(200)*
/AC(200)*FRB(121)*FRC(120)*WIDF(10)*FACT(10)*PART(10),
/TEMC(10)*PW(10)*DLIM*WIL*DELTA*TEMER*TO*LE*IG*PI*A,B
C
C INITIALIZES ALL ABSORPTION COEFFICIENTS TO ZERO
ACB=ACM=ACE=0.
DO 300 I=1,IG
300 AC(I)=0.
C STARTS TO GO THROUGH THE LINES TO DETERMINE WHICH
C ONES CONTRIBUTE FOR THE PARTICULAR INTERVAL
DO 301 X=1,LE
DIF=ABS(FR(X)-FRC(K))
IF (DIF*GT*WLIM) GO TO 301
SIA=SI(X)*PART(L)*EXP(EL(X)*FACT(L))
ALB=AL(X)*WIDF(L)
IF (DIF*GT*DLIM) GO TO 302
C COMPUTES THE DIRECT CONTRIBUTION
DO 303 I=1,IG
FD=FR(X)—FRG(I)
DEN=PI*(FD*FU+ALB*ALB)
303 AC(I)=AC(I)+SIA*ALB/DEN
GO TO 301
C EVALUATES THE WING CONTRIBUTION AND ADDS
C THE DIRECT AND WING CONTRIBUTIONS
302 FB=FR(X)—FRB(K)
FM=FR(X)—FRC(K)
FE=FR(X)—FRB(K+1)
PNUM=SIA*ALB
ACB=ACB+PNUM/(PI*FB*FB)
ACM=ACM+PNUM/(PI*FM*FM)
ACE=ACE+PNUM/(PI*FE*FE)
301 CONTINUE
SL1=(ACM—ACB)/(FRC(K)—FRB(K))
SL2=(ACE—ACM)/(FRB(K+1)—FRC(K))
DO 304 I=1,IG
DIF=(FRG(I)—FRB(K))
IF (DIF*GT*DELA) GO TO 305
AC(I)=AC(I)+ACB+SL1*DIF
GO TO 304
305 AC(I)=AC(I)+ACM+SL2*(DIF—DELA)
304 CONTINUE
C COMPUTES THE CONTINUUM PART OF THE ABSORPTION COEFFICIENT
C AND ADDS TO THE LINE PART TO EVALUATE THE TOTAL
FAC=EXP(TO*(TEMR-TEMC(L))/(TEMC(L)*TEMR))*Pw(L)
DO 306 I=1,1G
   CON(T(I))=(A+B*EXP(-BETA*FRG(I)))*FAC*2*69E+19
306 AC(I)=AC(I)+CON(T(I))
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE TRANS(TRS)
COMMON/TRANE/FRS(51),TR(200),WL1*WL2,NO,DEL
C EVALUATES THE AVERAGE TRANSMITTANCE FOR EACH
C SUB-INTERVAL USING FOUR-POINT GAUSS-LEGENDRE
C QUADRATURE FORMULA AND THEN COMPUTES THE
C AVERAGE TRANSMITTANCE FOR THE EN'T'RE INTERVAL
TRS=0.
DO 400 N=1,NO
   I=4*(N-1)+1
   VAR=0.5*(FRS(N+1)-FRS(N))
   SUM1=TR(I)+TR(I+3)
   SUM2=TR(I+1)+TR(I+2)
   SUM=WL1*SUM1+WL2*SUM2
400 TRS=TRS+SUM*VAR/DEL
RETURN
END
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LISTING OF COMPUTER PROGRAM "CORRECT"

PROGRAM CORRECT(INPUT,OUTPUT,TAPE)
DIMENSION ALFT(10),TEMS(8),A1(10,8),A2(10,8),A3(10,8),
C1(10,8),C2(10,8),AZ1(10,8),AZ2(10,8),AZ3(10,8),
AR1(8),AR2(8),AX(8,3),AY(8,3),AW(8,3),AD(8,3),
B(10),C(10),IERL(10),IERC(10),IERX(10),IERY(10),IERW(10)
DATA ICON,IERC1,IERC2,IERL,ASTM/1,11*9,300/
DATA IERC,IERX,IERY,IERW/40*9/
DATA ALFT/500,500,500,500/6500,6500,6500,6500/8000,8000,8000,8000/9500,9500,9500,9500/11000,11000,11000,11000/12500,12500,12500,12500/14000,14000,14000,14000/15500,15500,15500,15500/17000,17000,17000,17000/18500,18500,18500,18500/20000,20000,20000,20000/21500,21500,21500,21500/23000,23000,23000,23000/24500,24500,24500,24500/26000,26000,26000,26000/27500,27500,27500,27500/30000,30000,30000,30000/32500,32500,32500,32500/
READ(2,10) ((A1(L,J,K),L=1,10),J=1,8),
((A2(L,J,K),L=1,10),J=1,8),
((A3(L,J,K),L=1,10),J=1,8),K=1,3)
READ(2,10) ((C1(L,J),L=1,10),J=1,8),
((C2(L,J),L=1,10),J=1,8),
((C3(L,J),L=1,10),J=1,8)
READ(2,11) ((AD(J,N),J=1,8),N=1,3)
READ 12, E6T,ALT,EMI,0W,10
10 FORMAT(1OF8*4)
11 FORMAT(8F8.4)
12 FORMAT(1OF8*2)
DE=EMI-1.
1 ORDER=1
C DETERMINES IF THE ALTITUDE OF OBSERVATION IS
C ON ONE OF THE LAYER BOUNDARIES
DO 100 L=1,10
ADF=U*C2*ALFT(L)
DIFF=AD5(ALFT(L)-ALT)
IF(DIFF*GT*ADF) GO TO 100
GO TO 101
100 CONTINUE
GO TO 102
C IF ON THE LAYER BOUNDARY. USES THE COEFFICIENTS
C FOR THAT ALTITUDE AS THEY ARE
101 IL=L
DO 103 K=1,3
DO 103 J=1,8
AZ1(J,K)=A1(IL,J,K)
AZ2(J,K)=A2(IL,J,K)
AZ3(J,K)=A3(IL,J,K)
103 CONTINUE
DO 104 J=1,8
CZ1(J)=C1(IL,J)
CZ2(J)=C2(IL,J)
104 CONTINUE
GO TO 139

102 NMAX=NN=10

NTAB=8

C IF NOT, GENERATES TABLE OF COEFFICIENTS AT THE
C ALTITUDE OF OBSERVATION BY LINEAR INTERPOLATION

DO 105 K=I,3
DO 106 J=I,8
DO 106 L=I,10
AA1(L,J)=A1(L,J,K)
AA2(L,J)=A2(L,J,K)
AA3(L,J)=A3(L,J,K)

106 CONTINUE

IPT1=IPT2=IPT3=-1
CALL IUNI(NMAX,NN,ALFT,NTAB,
/AA1,IORDER,ALT,1,IPT1,IERR)
IERL(1,K)=IERR
CALL IUNI(NMAX,NN,ALFT,NTAB,
/AA2,IORDER,ALT,AR2,IPT2,IERR)
IERL(2,K)=IERR
CALL IUNI(NMAX,NN,ALFT,NTAB,
/AA3,IORDER,ALT,AR3,IPT3,IERR)
IERL(3,K)=IERR

DO 107 J=I,8
AZ1(J,K)=AR1(J)
AZ2(J,K)=AR2(J)

107 AZ3(J,K)=AR3(J)
105 CONTINUE

IPT4=IPT5=-1
CALL IUNI(NMAX,NN,ALFT,NTAB,
/C1,IORDER,ALT,C1,IPT4,IERC1)
CALL IUNI(NMAX,NN,ALFT,NTAB,
/C2,IORDER,ALT,C2,IPT5,IERC2)

139 CONTINUE

NMAX=NN=8

NTAB=3

C DETERMINES THE COMBINATION OF PARAMETERS RELEVANT
C TO THE CASE AND SENDS CONTROL TO APPROPRIATE
C SEGMENT OF THE PROGRAM

IF (DE.EQ.0.) GO TO 108
IF (DW.EQ.0.) GO TO 109
IF (DT.EQ.0.) GO TO 117
GO TO 111

108 IF (DW.EQ.1.) GO TO 140
IF (DT.EQ.0.) GO TO 128
GO TO 116

140 IF (DT.EQ.0.0) GO TO 128
K=3
DX=DT
GO TO 130

109 CONTINUE
C COMES HERE IF IT IS AN EMITTANCE VARIATION
C CASE IN THE DRY ATMOSPHERE
DO 112 N=1,3
DO 112 J=1,8
AX(J,N)=AD(J,N)
112 CONTINUE
DO 129 N=1,3
129 A(N)=AX(3,N)
IT=0
DX=DE
115 IT=IT+1
IF (IT.GT.10) GO TO 113
COR=A(1)*DX+A(2)*DX*DX
ASTN=E3T-COR
DIF=ABS(ASTN-ASTM)
IF (DIF.LE.0.01) GO TO 114
ASTM=ASTN
IPT=-1
CALL IUNI(NMAX,NN,TIM5,NTAB,
/AX,ORDERH,ASTN,A,1PT,IER)
IERX(IT)=IER
GO TO 115
C COMES HERE IF IT IS A WATER VAPOR ONLY CASE
128 CONTINUE
K=2
DO 131 J=1,8
AX(J+1)=AZ1(J,K)
AX(J+2)=AZ2(J,K)
AX(J+3)=AZ3(J,K)
131 CONTINUE
DO 132 N=1,3
132 A(N)=AX(3,N)
IT=0
DX=0.0
133 IT=IT+1
IF (IT.GT.10) GO TO 113
COR=A(1)*DX*DX+A(2)*DX*DX*DX
ASTN=E3T-COR
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DIF=ABS(ASTN-ASTM)
IF (DIF.LE.0.01) GO TO 114
ASTM=ASTN
IPT=-1
CALL IUNI(NMAX,NN,TEMS,NTAB,/
AX*ORDER,ASTN,A,IPT,IERR)
IERX(IT)=IERR
GO TO 133
C COMES HERE IF IT IS AN EMITTANCE
C VARIATION CASE IN THE WET ATMOSPHERE
138 K=1
DX=DE
C COMES HERE IF IT IS A TEMPERATURE PROFILE VARIATION
C CASE IN PRESENCE OF STANDARD WATER VAPOR BURDEN
130 CONTINUE
DO 134 J=1,8
AX(J+1)=AZ1(J+K)
AX(J+2)=AZ2(J+K)
AX(J+3)=AZ3(J+K)
AW(J+1)=AZ1(J+2)
AW(J+2)=AZ2(J+2)
AW(J+3)=AZ3(J+2)
134 CONTINUE
DO 135 N=1,3
A(N)=AX(3,N)
135 C(N)=AW(3,N)
IT=0
136 IT=IT+1
IF (IT.GT.10) GO TO 113
CORX=A(1)*DX+A(2)*DX*DX
CORW=C(1)+C(2)+C(3)
COR=CORX+CORW
ASTN=EBT-COR
DIF=ABS(ASTN-ASTM)
IF (DIF.LE.0.01) GO TO 114
ASTM=ASTN
IPT1=IPT2=-1
CALL IUNI(NMAX,NN,TEMS,NTAB,/
AX*ORDER,ASTN,A,IPT1,IERR)
IERX(IT)=IERR
CALL IUNI(NMAX,NN,TEMS,NTAB,/
AW*ORDER,ASTN,C,IPT2,IERR)
IERW(IT)=IERR
GO TO 136

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY
C COMES HERE IF IT IS A SURFACE EMITTANCE -
C TEMPERATURE PROFILE VARIATION CASE IN THE
C PRESENCE OF STANDARD WATER VAPOR BURDEN

111 CONTINUE
   DO 118 J=1,8
   AX(J,1)=AZ1(J,1)
   AX(J,2)=AZ2(J,1)
   AX(J,3)=AZ3(J,1)
   AW(J,1)=AZ1(J,2)
   AW(J,2)=AZ2(J,2)
   AW(J,3)=AZ3(J,2)
   AY(J,1)=AZ1(J,3)
   AY(J,2)=AZ2(J,3)
   AY(J,3)=AZ3(J,3)
118 CONTINUE
   DO 119 N=1,3
   A(N)=AX(3,N)
   B(N)=AY(3,N)
   C(N)=AW(3,N)
119 CONTINUE
   IT=0
   DX=DE
   DY=DT
120 IT=IT+1
   IF (IT.GT.10) GO TO 113
   CORX=A(1)*DX+A(2)*DX*DX
   CORY=B(1)*DY
   CORW=C(1)+C(2)+C(3)
   COR=CORX+CORY+CORW
   ASTN=EBT-COR
   DIF=ABS(ASYN-ASTM)
   IF (DIF.LE.0.01) GO TO 114
   ASM=ASTN
   IPT1=IPT2=IPT3=-1
   CALL IUNI(NMAX,NN,TEM6,NTAB, /AX,ORDER,ASTN,A,IP1,IERR)
   IERX(IT)=IERR
   CALL IUNI(NMAX,NN,TEM6,NTAB, /AY,ORDER,ASTN,B,IP2,IERR)
   IERY(IT)=IERR
   CALL IUNI(NMAX,NN,TEM6,NTAB, /AW,ORDER,ASTN,C,IP3,IERR)
   IERW(IT)=IERR
   GO TO 120
COMES HERE IF IT IS A SURFACE EMITTANCE -
WATER VAPOR BURDEN VARIATION CASE BUT GOES TO
STATEMENT 138 IF THE WATER VAPOR BURDEN IS STANDARD

117 CONTINUE
   IF (DW.EQ.1) GO TO 138
   DO 137 J=1,8
   AX(J)=AD(J)
   DO 121 J=1,8
   AY(J)=AZ1(J)
   AY(J+1)=AZ2(J+1)
   AY(J+2)=AZ3(J+2)
121 CONTINUE
   CK=CZ1(3)
   A(N)=AX(3+N)
   B(N)=AY(3+N)
122 CONTINUE
   IT=0
   DX=DE
   DY=DW
123 IT=IT+1
   IF (IT.EQ.10) GO TO 113
   CORX=A(1)*DX+A(2)*DX*DX
   CORY=B(1)*DY+B(2)*DY*DY+B(3)*DY*DY*DY
   CORZ=CK*CORX*CORY
   COR=CORX+CORY+CORZ
   ASTN=AST-COR
   DIF = ABS(ASTN-ASTM)
   IF (DIF.LE.0.01) GO TO 114
   ASTM=ASTN
   NTAB=3
   IPT1=IPT2=IPT3=-1
   CALL IUNI(NMAX,NN,TEM,NTAB, 
   /AX,ORDER,ASTN,A, IPT1, IERR)
   IERX(IT)=IERE
   CALL IUNI(NMAX,NN,TEM,NTAB, 
   /AY,ORDER,ASTN,B, IPT2, IERR)
   IERY(IT)=IERE
   NTAB=1
   CALL IUNI(NMAX,NN,TEM,NTAB, 
   /CZ1,ORDER,ASTN,CK, IPT3, IERR)
   IERC(IT)=IERE
   GO TO 123
COMES HERE IF IT IS A WATER VAPOR BURDEN -

TEMPERATURE PROFILE VARIATION CASE.*

116 CONTINUE
   DO 124 J=1,8
      AX(J•1)=AZ1(J•2)
      AX(J•2)=AZ2(J•2)
      AX(J•3)=AZ3(J•2)
      AY(J•1)=AZ1(J•3)
      AY(J•2)=AZ2(J•3)
      AY(J•3)=AZ3(J•3)
   124 CONTINUE
   CK=CZ2(3)
   DO 125 N=1,3
      A(N)=AX(3*N)
      B(N)=AY(3*N)
   125 CONTINUE
   IT=0
   DX=DW
   DY=DT
   126 IT=IT+1
   IF (IT.GT.10) GO TO 113
   CORX=A(1)*DX+A(2)*DX*DX+A(3)*DX*DX*DX
   CORY=B(1)*DY
   CORW=A(1)+A(2)+A(3)
   CORZ=CK*(CORX-CORX)*CORY
   COR=CORX+CORY+CORZ
   ASTM=EBT-COR
   DIF=ABS(ASTM-ASTN)
   IF (DIF.LE.0.01) GO TO 114
   ASTM=ASTN
   NTAB=3
   IPT1=IPT2=IPT3=-1
   CALL IUNI(NMAX,NN,TEMSTAB,
   /AXORDER,ASTN,A,1PT1,1EPR)
   IERX(IT)=1ERR
   CALL IUNI(NMAX,NN,TEMSTAB,
   /AYORDER,ASTN,B,1PT2,1EPR)
   IERY(IT)=1ERR
   NTAB=1
   CALL IUNI(NMAX,NN,TEMSTAB,
   /CZ2ORDER,ASTN,CK,1PT3,1EPR)
   IERC(IT)=1ERR
   GO TO 126

C  RESETS THE CONVERGENCE PARAMETER IF THE RESULT
C  DID NOT CONVERGE AS DESIRED, AND SETS THE
C  LAST ESTIMATE EQUAL TO SURTEMP
  113 ICON=0
  114 SURTEMP=ASTN
  GO TO 127
  110 SURTEMP=EBT
  127 CONTINUE
      PRINT 60, SURTEMP
      PRINT 62, DIF
      PRINT 63, ICON
      PRINT 64
      PRINT 61, ((IERL(N,K),N=1,3),K=1,3)
      PRINT 61, (IERC1 IERC2)
      PRINT 61, (IERX1 ,I=1,10)
      PRINT 61, (IERY1 ,I=1,10)
      PRINT 61, (IERC1 ,I=1,10)
      PRINT 61, (IERW1 ,I=1,10)
  60 FORMAT(1H1 ///* SURFACE TEMPERATURE =** ,F8.2)
  62 FORMAT(///* ITERATION RESIDUAL =** ,F8.3)
  63 FORMAT(///* CONVERGENCE PARAMETER =** ,I7)
  61 FORMAT(///* IERL=N K=1,3)
  64 FORMAT(///* ERROR PARAMETERS FOR INTERPOLATION*)
      STOP
      END