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INTRODUCTION

An advanced concept under development for the production of electric power from coal involves pressurized fluidized bed coal technology. In this concept granular coal is burned in the presence of granular limestone or dolomite. This technology permits the burning of coals with a wide range of chemical compositions, including those high in sulfur, while providing adequate environmental control. Another attraction of the pressurized fluidized bed (PF8) technology issues from the possibility of efficiently generating electric power both from steam and from the operation of a gas turbine directly in the combustion effluent. However, early experience with turbines operated in similar environments showed that turbine performance was seriously impaired by erosion and corrosion of airfoil surfaces or by fouling (refs. 1 to 6). To determine if this concept offers useful turbine lifetimes, it is essential that damage mechanisms be identified and located with respect to airfoil surface positions.

In the first phase of the Lewis Research Center PF8 turbine material project, wedge-shaped turbine material specimens showed extensive erosion and corrosion damage when rotated directly in the effluent from the PF8 combustor (ref. 7). Such damage was not unexpected however, since in these tests no provisions were made for cleanup of the limestone and coal ash in the combustion gas. In the next phase of the turbine materials project, a small, single-stage turbine was installed. A two-stage cyclone particle separator in the gas transport system between the combustor and the turbine reduced gas particulate loading. Three turbine runs were reported at gas and rotor velocities comparable to utility turbine operating conditions (ref. 8). The gas loadings were moderate to high (560 to 2800 ppm; 0.3 to 1.5 grains/std ft^3) for the several coal/sorbent combinations used in these tests. Heavy erosion and accelerated oxidation occurred on blade surfaces in all three tests.

Recent improvements in PF8 operations resulted in more stable operating conditions and lower solids loadings. Improvement of the collection efficiency of the cyclone particle separator reduced the loading in the combustion gases and made possible a 400-hr turbine test. The results of this test permit a more reliable projection of life limiting mechanisms applicable to superalloy utility turbines operating in PF8 effluents and are reported in this paper.

COMBUSTION PARAMETERS AND OPERATING PROCEDE

A cutaway view of the PF8 test cell is shown in figure 1, and a detailed schematic of the PF8 combustion and turbine test systems is shown in figure 2. The combustor (reactor) is about 300 cm (10 ft) high and conical in shape with top and bottom diameters of 47 and 23 cm (19 and 9 in.), respectively. Loal and sorbent materials are stored in hoppers within the test cell and are metered into the reactor. The reactor combustion gases flow through a cleanup system above the reactor before entering the test turbine on the building's top floor. A control room and shop are adjacent to the test cell.

Instrumentation of the facility was designed to measure about 200 different test variables, including temperatures, pressures, mass flows, and system weight changes (refs. 9, 10). A gas analyzer was connected to the combustor gas exit by means of a steam-jacketed sampling line to determine quantities of sulfur oxides (SOx), nitrogen oxides (NOx), carbon dioxide (CO2), carbon monoxide (CO), hydrocarbons, and oxygen (O2) in the gases. Gas composition analyses were, therefore, made at steam temperature. Particulate samples were collected both upstream (cyclone separator) and downstream (small cyclone separators and micropore filter) of the turbine, and the solids were subsequently analyzed for particle size and chemical composition. The computerized data collection system was also used for control monitoring and for limit violation warnings.

Combustion Operating Procedure

The PF8 facility was operated 5 days per week. Initially the reactor bed was loaded with about 110 kg (250 lb) of new or used bed material to bring the bed depth to about 180 cm (70 in.). Loal (Pittsburgh No. 8 or Ohio) and sorbent (Grove Lity limestone or dolomite) were metered and blended in about a 7:1 ratio and then loaded into a lock hopper injection system. Both coal
and limestone were screened to 7-12 mesh size (0.25 cm; 0.1 in.). The reactor bed was preheated to 760 °C (1400 °F) with a natural gas and air torch located in the side of the reactor. Combustion air was slowly brought on stream, and the bed was fluidized and pressurized to about 6 atm. During combustion the air, coal, and limestone flow rates were about 96, 13.5, and 2.0 kg/hr (180, 30, 4.5 lb/hr) respectively.

The coal flow rate was continuously adjusted during the test to maintain the reactor bed temperature close to 1000 °C (1830 °F), and the limestone flow rate was adjusted to maintain a Ca/S mole ratio of about 2:1. Fuel flow was controlled on the lower bed temperature. The upper bed temperature increased steadily with time until it reached a value 5 to 15 °C (10 to 30 °F) cooler than the lower bed temperature after about 4 days. The combustion air flow rate into the reactor was adjusted to maintain a lean fuel to air mixture and to maintain the velocity of gases flowing through the fluidized bed surface at less than 0.6 m/sec (2 ft/sec). In previous Lewis tests (refs. 9, 10), reduction of flow velocity also reduced solids loading. Attempts were made to keep the velocity low enough so that solids leaving the reactor would be less than 3700 ppm (2 grains/std ft³), and yet to keep airflow high enough so that the design speed of the turbine could be attained.

During the startup period, the reactor exhaust gases were vented to the atmosphere through a turbine bypass system. The combustion gases which passed through the cyclone solids separator were dead headed downstream of the turbine by means of a valve. When the reactor exhaust gases reached the desired temperature and pressure, the bypass system was slowly closed as the turbine valve line was opened to permit combustion gases to flow through the turbine. Because of heat losses in the piping, gases entered the cyclone separator 30 to 50 °C (50 to 100 °F) cooler than the top of the fluidized bed, and the drop through the separator was about 50 °C (100 °F). The total temperature drop from reactor to cyclone separator exit was therefore 75 to 100 °C (150 to 200 °F). The gases leaving the turbine flowed through a water cooling system before entering the downstream solids separators. Before exiting through the backpressure control valve, the warm air flowed through a heat exchanger that preheated the incoming combustion air to 38 °C (100 °F).

Gas and Solids Analysis

The average composition and particulate loading of gas flowing from the separator and into the turbine (on a weekly basis) are shown in Table II. The oxygen content in the gases during all test weeks, was 11 to 13 volume percent, reflecting the fuel-lean operating conditions. Carbon monoxide and hydrocarbon concentrations were generally less than 10 ppm. The nitrogen oxides in the gas ranged between 200 and 300 ppm, generally less than the pollution limit of 0.7 lb/million Btu. The average sulfur oxide concentration was about 300 ppm, with maximum values of 350 ppm during the middle phase of testing and about 250 ppm during the last phases of testing. The SO₂ and NOₓ concentrations appeared to decrease in proportion to the decrease in temperature.

The achievement of stable combustion conditions and low solids emission levels permitted the 400-hr turbine test and were the direct result of several key system improvements made before and during the test. These improvements include (1) redesign of the air feed system to the combustor to reduce plugging and channeling, (2) removal of the heat exchangers in the bed to permit adiabatic operation, and (3) redesign of the cyclone separator. The third factor was especially important in reducing solids loading levels. Other operating conditions were adjusted to maintain a gas temperature of about 770 °C (1420 °F) at the turbine as well as adequate pressure and mass flow to operate the turbine.

Over a five week period, 400 hours of test time were accumulated on the PFB system and test turbine. Table I shows the average values of combustion test parameters for each of the five weeks. Test conditions remained relatively constant at targeted values set on the basis of previous experiments.

System Improvements

The sulfur dioxide concentration was about 250 ppm during the middle phase of testing and about 250 ppm during the last phases of testing. The SO₂ and NOₓ concentrations appeared to increase and decrease proportionately. Only at
maximum concentrations did the SOx emissions exceed the Federal pollution limit of 1.2 lb/million Btu. Figure 4 shows the variation with time of gas temperature and pollutants during the entire test run.

The solids loading of gases from the bed (into the cyclone) averaged 2800 ppm (1.5 grains/std ft^3) and ranged from 1070 ppm (1 grain/std ft^3) to slightly over 374 ppm (2 grains/std ft^3) (table I). Solids samples were taken over 3 to 8-hour increments for a total of about 65 samples. Total solids were determined by taking the sum of solids collected at the cyclone separator and solids collected downstream of the turbine. The gases flowing through the separator emerged at about 800 ° to 900 ° C (1500 ° to 1600 ° F) and 5 atm pressure, and contained 20 to 200 ppm (0.01 to 0.10 grain/std ft^3) of solids during most of the test time (table III). Cyclone efficiency ranged from 90 to 99 percent.

The particle size distribution of solids which passed through the turbine was determined by the Coulter method. Sieving to 100 μm removed debris (>500 μm) which was most likely associated with scale from pipe downstream of the turbine and larger "particles" (100 to 500 μm) which may have agglomerated in the presence of moisture after capture by the separators. Scanning electron examination of particles in the 100 to 200 μm range reflects this compaction of smaller particles (fig. 5). Coulter analyses of the size distribution of particles less than 100 μm in diameter at several loading levels for several weeks during the test are shown in figure 6. The Coulter analysis was performed with a 280 μm aperture tube, and particles less than 4 μm in diameter were therefore not counted. (These small particles pass through the aperture but are not sensed by the electronic system, which is tuned to larger particle sizes.) Particles below 4 μm probably comprise no more than 10 percent of the sample. On the basis of the Coulter analysis, 70 to 80 percent of the particles less than 100 μm in diameter are less than 20 μm as compared with 55 to 80 percent in previous tests. The average particle size was approximately 15 μm.

The color of the solids samples ranged from light brown to rose, indicating variations in composition over the test period. X-ray and chemical analyses of typical solids samples are shown in table III. From sample to sample, the range of solid components detected by chemical analysis varied from 25 to 50 percent, but the average chemical composition of both solids collected at the separator and those passing through the turbine reflects the composition of the coal ash. In general the most dominant X-ray patterns are associated with SiO2, Fe2O3, and CaSO4 or hydrated CaSO4, but these compounds represent a very small percentage of the total sample. The low X-ray concentrations of SiO2 and Fe2O3 suggest the presence of more complex silicon and iron compounds, such as Al-Si compounds and various clays present in coal.

Mullite has been detected, as well as some X-ray lines which may be associated with anorthites.

The quantity of calcium in both the separator and turbine solids was reported as CaO in the chemical analysis. So that the amount of CaSO4 could be determined from chemical analysis, all the sulfur was assumed to be present in the form of CaSO4 (footnote b, table III), and the remainder of the calcium was then assumed to be present as CaO. The calculated and X-ray values of CaSO4 agree closely in the separator solids samples, but the calculated value appears to be too high in the turbine samples. This discrepancy between the calculated CaSO4 value and the X-ray value in turbine solids may indicate that some sulfur is tied up in other species, such as alkali-metal sulfates (Na2SO4) and alkali-metal-iron trisulfates (K3Fe(SO4)2).

The turbine test unit is designed to operate at a mass flow of 12 to 15 times greater than that generated by the NASA Lewis FFB coal combustor (300 kg/hr; 650 lb/hr). To accommodate the low flow capacity of the combustor and to generate rotor tip speeds comparable to those of utility turbines (~300 m/sec; 1000 ft/sec), the turbine was operated at 6 percent partial admission. Therefore, 3 blades of a total of 53 were directed only toward the high-velocity FFB effluent gas stream at any given time. At the test speed of 40,000 revolutions per minute and with a pressure ratio of 1.95, the turbine generated 5 to 8 horsepower with partial admission.

An isometric sectional drawing of the single-stage turbine is shown in figure 7. The turbine assembly contains turbine components in two subunits - the front and rear housings. The front housing consists of two Hastelloy flanges which form the cavity in which the rotor turns. The hot combustion gas enters the turbine through the single stator passage, expands in the rotor cavity, causing the rotor to turn, and exits the turbine through the flange opening shown in the figure. Two inspection ports permit visual examination of the rotor's leading and trailing edges during test downtime.

During turbine operation, pressure in the front housing is about 5 atmospheres while pressure in the rear housing is near 1 atmosphere.
This pressure difference is maintained by means of a double carbon seal assembly that is pres- fitted into the narrow region between the front and rear turbine housings. The two carbon ring seals just behind the turbine rotor fit snugly around the turbine shaft. A small high-pressure air purge between the carbon seals ensures the pressure integrity in each housing.

The turbine journal and thrust bearings are lubricated and cooled by the circulation of oil from a water-cooled oil reservoir. Temperatures of bearings and oil are measured by thermocouples which are incorporated into the automatic warning and turbine shutdown system. A dual-purpose air-starter/brake assembly is attached to the rear end of the rotor shaft. The air brake, which is operated by compressed air, regulates the rotational speed of the rotor.

To monitor turbine performance, the following sensors were mounted in the rear turbine housing along the turbine shaft - two proximity probes, two accelerometers, and three magnetic speed pickups. The proximity probes, one horizontal and the other vertical, were mounted 0.13 mm (0.005 in.) from the rotor shaft. The outputs of these probes were fed into an oscilloscope and produced an "orbit" on the screen. The size of the orbit and its position on the screen indicated whether the shaft was rotating true. Accelerometers or vibration sensors are solid-state devices used to indicate any roughness in the rotation of the rotor. The accelerometer outputs lead to an amplifier located in the control room. The amplifier has relay contacts which, if excited by a jolt such as the loss of a blade, will give an alarm and initiate turbine shutdown. Three magnetic speed pickups were positioned 0.20 mm (0.008 in.) from a six-toothed wheel which was attached to the end of the rotor shaft. The outputs of these devices indicated the number of rotor revolutions by responding to the number of teeth that rotated past them in a given time.

Pressure taps were located on the gas inlet tube wall, at the stator exit near the rotor tip, and in the rotor exit port. Turbine pressure ratio was regulated by adjusting the backpressure control valve to vary rotor exit pressure. Thermocouples located in the turbine housing indicated combustion gas inlet and exit temperatures.

Turbine Rotor

The integrally cast superalloy turbine rotors contained 53 blades on a 15-cm (6-in.) disk. The rotors were cast with arc slots beneath the blade platform. The purpose of this slotting was to contain any cracks that might issue from the blade platform during operation and thus prevent their propagation to the rotor hub. Shafts of 8640 steel were friction welded to the prepared rotor stubs. After rough machining, the friction-weld area was examined for integrity. If the weld proved sound, the steel shaft was machined to final dimensions. Before installation, three blades in each quadrant of rotor were measured at each of 12 surface positions and three lengths for reference after test exposure. The finished turbine rotor, with rotating components on the shaft, was spin balanced before it was installed in the turbine housing.

TURBINE TEST OPERATING PROCEDURES

During the preheat phase, which usually took 6 to 8 hr, the turbine was turned by means of the air-starter/brake assembly at a slow speed (500 to 1500 rpm). The slow rotation of the rotor prevented preferential buildup of deposit on exposed blades before testing. When the bed and combustion gas temperatures reached the desired level, hot combustion gases were permitted to flow through the turbine. The turbine rotor gradually increased speed until it was rotating at test speed. This required about an hour. The gas temperature at the rotor inlet gradually increased to 700° to 800° C (1300° to 1500° F) over a 3- to 12-hr period, during which time the pressure ratio and gas velocity through the turbine were maintained at the desired level. Temperature and pressure conditions were steady after the initial startup. In previous tests where solids loadings were high, the turbine eroded severely within 12 hr. During the 400-hr test the turbine was rotated at a moderate speed (15,000 rpm) until the solids loading level could be established and maintained below 200 ppm (0.1 grain/standard ft³). During shutdown the gases were diverted back through the turbine bypass line, and the rotor was allowed to cool gradually while rotating again at 500 to 1500 rpm.

Previous Turbine Tests

Previous 1BT tests were run with several nickel-base alloy blades and coal/sorbent compositions. The results of three runs (R3, R4, and R5) have been reported (ref. 8). Table IV is a summary of operating conditions for turbine tests R3 through R7, and Table V is a summary of erosion/corrosion results. The rotor alloy in one test was Alloy 713LC (12.5 percent Cr, 3 percent Ni) and in the remaining tests the alloy was IN-792 + Hf (12 percent Cr, 6 percent Ni, and 4.5 percent Ti). The pressure ratio across the turbine was maintained at 2:1 in tests R3 through R6, and the rotation speed was maintained at 40,000 rpm. At this rotation speed the relative gas velocity at the rotor inlet was about 300 m/sec (1000 ft/sec). The variation in erosion rate in tests R3 through R6 was a function of solids loading, gas velocity, and coal/sorbent type. Test R3 was longer because solids loadings were less than in other tests. Differences in corrosion results reflect, in turn, differences in erosion rate as well as temperature and material. The variation in solids loading through the turbine produced a wide variation of erosion/corrosion results ranging from complete loss of blades (test R4) to the successful
400-hr Test (R7).

As a result of previous test runs, Pittsburgh No. 8 coal with limestone was selected as the coal/sorbent combination that would yield the least solids carryover in the gas during test R7 and thereby diminish erosive damage to the turbine. In addition, the bed was burned adiabatically (without cooling tubes). Figure 9 shows the gas solids loading for several coal/sorbent combinations run in previous tests. The combustion solids were heaviest in the R4 test, run with dolomite (7300-ppm; 3.9-grain/std ft$^3$). This test was also run nonadiabatically. It is possible that the finer in-bed dolomite particles are efficient in reducing $SO_x$ emission, but generate a large amount of carryover when the combustor was operated nonadiabatically (test R5), and the cyclone did not operate efficiently. A return to Pittsburgh/limestone and adiabatic conditions (test R6) seemed to produce both lower bed solids carryover and more efficient cyclone operation. Further reduction in solids through the turbine in test R7 also reflects the improved cyclone efficiency after modification. During some 3 to 4-hr periods of the R7 tests, measured solids loading levels through the turbine were as low as 20 ppm (0.01 grain/std ft$^3$).

During the first 200 hours (test R7-A) the turbine was run at low speed (15,000 rpm) for about 30 percent of the time to keep the erosion rate down during periods of uncertain or high solids loading levels. The relative gas velocity at the rotor inlet was about 130 m/sec (400 ft/sec). The rotation speed and pressure ratio were chosen to maintain congruent turbine velocity diagrams, and thus preserve gas flow angles at 15,000 rpm similar to those at 40,000 rpm. Average solids loading during this period was about 400 ppm (0.2 grain/std ft$^3$) (table IV), with peaks as high as 1100 ppm (0.6 grain/std ft$^3$) prior to cyclone modification. After exposure for the first 200 hours, three blades were cut from the rotor for metallographic analysis, and erosion measurements were made on several of the previously measured blades. The rotor was then rebalanced and reinstalled in the turbine housing for further testing.

During the last 200 hours (test R7-B) solids loadings were consistently near 100 ppm (0.05 grain/std ft$^3$), and the turbine was run at low speed only 10 percent of the time. The rotation speed was 38,000 to 40,000 rpm 90 percent of the time. An effective erosion factor may be defined as

$$EF_1 = \frac{\alpha_{v^{2.5}}(\text{Solids loading})(\text{Time})}{\sum_1 \alpha_{v^{2.5}}(\text{Solids loading})(\text{Time})},$$

for each fraction of the run, where $v$ is velocity and $\alpha$ refers to the two operating relative gas velocities (130 m/sec at 15,000 rpm; 300 m/sec at 40,000 rpm). The velocity exponent is based on an earlier Lewis erosion result, and erosion dependence on solids loading is assumed to be linear in this model. If the fraction of total erosion suffered by the blade during the low- and high-velocity portions of the test is calculated by using this erosion factor, low-velocity erosion accounts for only 4 percent of the erosion loss during the first 200 hours and for 1 percent of the erosion loss during the last 200 hours. The low-velocity portion of the test was negligible from an erosion standpoint but must be included for corrosion. The total erosion time at high velocity was therefore 320 hours at partial admission, and the total corrosion time was 400 hours.

**EROSION/CORROSION RESULTS**

**Previous Turbine Results (Tests R3 through R6)**

Preliminary erosion/corrosion results obtained from the three previous IBT tests (R3, R4, and R5) shown in table V indicated that corrosion may be present on bare superalloy rotors even at severe erosion rates (0.01 to 0.33 mm/hr; 0.4 to 13 mils/hr) (ref. 8). In these studies, centrifugal separation of heavy particles produced nonuniform erosion on the leading edge of blades. With erosion to bare metal primarily at the leading edge center and the trailing edge tip. The presence of accelerated oxidation or sulfidation over the remainder of the blade at sites of lighter particle bombardment suggested two possible corrosion enhancement mechanisms: (1) an erosion/corrosion enhancement which may occur when protective oxide layers are continually eroded, exposing underlying nickel-rich depletion zones that may corrode more easily; and (2) a deposition/corrosion enhancement mechanism which may occur when sulfur-rich species in the deposit react with the metal or oxide surface. Oxide and sulfide morphologies that may be associated with both these mechanisms have been seen on R3, R4, and R5 blades. The gases contain significant quantities of $SO_x$ and may also be corrosive; however, it is unlikely that the exact $PSO_2/PO_2$ composition is present at various gas pressures over the blade surface to produce the oxy-sulfides observed. The results of these tests suggested that internal oxidation, nonprotective oxides, and sulfidation might be major damage mechanisms at lower solids loadings.

**Test R7-A - First 200 Hours**

The erosion/corrosion results for test R7-A are shown in table V. After 200 hours, the R7-A blades exhibited deposits of bed solids about 0.06 mm (2 mils) thick. Deposit and internal oxides covered nearly the entire blade surface (fig. 10). The absolute loss in blade thickness measured at various positions on the blade surface was negligible (<0.025 mm; 1 mil), although
erosion was evident near the leading edge in SEM photographs. Metallographic examination of the three extracted blades revealed an intricate oxide penetration network (fig. 11) beneath a thin (5 μm) surface layer of primarily aluminum and titanium oxides. Total penetration of both oxides and the depletion zone is about 20 μm. Uniform enhanced oxidation over the blade surface suggests (1) that centrifugal particle separation was reduced in this test from the level in previous tests because isolated areas of erosion to bare metal were less prevalent and (2) that erosive removal of aluminum-rich oxides over the entire blade surface reduced the protectiveness of the oxide (refs. 11, 12). Although the formation of surface oxides may be somewhat protective against erosion, accelerated oxide penetration is itself a problem.

Test R7-B: Last 200 Hours

The appearance of the R7-B blades after 400 hours is shown in figure 12. Adherent deposits covered the suction side and occurred primarily near the leading edge on the pressure side. Some evidence of heavy particle bombardment was visible at the trailing edge tip and near the center on the pressure side. However, the trailing edge tips were still structurally sound in contrast with the R5 blade tips. The maximum surface erosion loss was 0.15 mm (6 mils) at the leading edge, and the average erosion loss over the surface was 0.025 mm (1 mil) (fig. 13). From the average surface loss rate the projected full admission erosion rate at this loading and particle velocity is about 2 mm/1000 hr (70 mils/1000 nrs).

A metallographic cross-section of an R7-B blade after 400 hours is shown in figure 14. Corrosion occurred primarily beneath the most adherent deposit, and visible erosion sites were generally sites of accelerated oxidation but not sulfidation (fig. 15). The penetration of alumina (accelerated oxidation) and one form of sulfur penetration resembled forms seen in previous Lewis turbine tests (ref. 8). This type of sulfidation, seen in R3 blades (Alloy 713LC) at lower average temperatures 704 °C (1300 °F), is characterized by the presence of atypical chromium-rich oxides and a uniform sulfur front (fig. 16). There appears to be no γ′ depletion beneath the front. This morphology strongly suggests either nonequilibrium local PSO3/P2O5 concentrations or the release of sulfur from surface deposits, since the exact PSO3/P2O5 concentration required for the simultaneous formation of oxides and sulfides is not likely to occur in these combustion gases.

The morphology of another form of sulfidation on R7 blades is shown in figure 17. Nearly spherical chromium sulfides are seen in a nickel-rich depletion zone (~10 μm) beneath a very thin (~3 μm) surface oxide layer (ref. 13). This morphology closely resembles other forms of sulfidation in which the presence of molten phases can be detected. The presence of sulfidation after 400 hours but not after 200 hours may reflect a higher metal temperature or an incubation period after which sulfidation accelerates (ref. 14). Because of the possibility of an incubation period, care must be used in interpreting the results of short-term PFB tests. While sulfidation was not severe (~20 μm after 400 hours), sulfidation may become a serious problem after longer exposure times.

The deepest penetration of oxides and sulfides occurred at the trailing edge (fig. 18). Complex local corrosion areas extended up to 0.05 mm (2 mils) beneath the surface. Material loss from deep corrosion centers could be a major problem at thin trailing edge tips on bare blades or on severely eroded coated blades during longer tests.

Projected Life-limiting Mechanisms

On the basis of test R7 and previous rotor results, it appears that a severe form of superalloy corrosion can be initiated by the effluent of a PFB. This form may contain a liquid phase, and the onset may be related to reduced erosion rates and possibly an incubation period. Figure 19 shows the relative importance of corrosion and erosion in three of the previous tests. As solids loading (and thus erosion rate) is reduced, corrosion penetration becomes equal in importance to erosion as a source of material damage. The crossover point, that point at which they become equally important, appears to be at a solids loading of about 100 ppm (0.05 grain/standard ft3) for relative gas velocities of about 300 m/sec (1000 ft/sec).

At still lower erosion rates (<0.25 mm/1000 hr; 10 mils/1000 hr), the predominant material damage mechanisms are likely to be accelerated oxidation and sulfidation, with blade temperature distribution, deposition pattern, local erosion rate, and time, determining the nature of the local attack (fig. 20). Future research should continue to focus on attempts to reduce particulate loadings and average particle size to reduce erosion. In addition, efforts should also be placed on determining corrosion-resistant coatings and materials for PFB applications in order to minimize the damage of oxide and sulfide penetration.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

As a result of a 400-hr small turbine test in the effluent of a PFB at an average temperature of 770 °C (1420 °F), an average relative gas velocity of 300 m/sec (1000 ft/sec), and average solids loadings of 200 ppm (0.1 grain/standard ft3), the following results have been determined:

1. Improved gas cleanup with a modified two-stage cyclone separator and stabilized operations of a PFB coal combustor permitted a 400-hr turbine test in the PFB effluent. Two cyclones in series may adequately reduce solids loadings for utility turbine operation if the average particle size is small (~3 μm).
2. Erosion of a small superalloy turbine operated in PFB effluent is still severe (1.37 mm/1000 hr; 50 mils/1000 hr) under these conditions, and the depth of corrosion attack is comparable to the average surface erosion loss.

3. Hot corrosion attack (sulfidation and accelerated oxidation) may be the major life limiting factor at low erosion rates (0.025 to 0.125 mm/1000 hr; 1 to 5 mils/1000 hr). Hot corrosion appears to be directly associated with deposits which contain sulfur-bearing species.

4. Since an incubation period may be associated with such sulfidation, long term testing is necessary to determine the resistance of both bare and coated blades to hot corrosion attack.
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### Table 1. - Average Combustor Test Parameters - 400-Hr Turbine Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test period, week</th>
<th>Test time, hr</th>
<th>Combustor input flow rates</th>
<th>Combustor air flow rate</th>
<th>Combustor bed temperature</th>
<th>Combustor effluent solids loading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>Sorbent</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>lb/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. - Average Effluent Gas Analyses from Separator into Turbine - 400-Hr Turbine Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test period, week</th>
<th>Test time, hr</th>
<th>Separator exit gas temperature</th>
<th>Gas oxygen concentration, percent</th>
<th>Gas carbon dioxide content, percent</th>
<th>Gas nitrogen oxides content, ppm</th>
<th>Gas sulfur dioxide content, ppm</th>
<th>Gas carbon monoxide content, ppm</th>
<th>Gas hydrocarbon content, ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>°F</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>grain</td>
<td>std ft³</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>1492</td>
<td>1221</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>1619</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>1562</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>1510</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>.053</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>1657</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>.016</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. - Chemical and X-Ray Analyses of Composition of Selected Fuel, Sorbent, and Solids Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>PF8 solids</th>
<th>Fuel and sorbent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Separated by cyclone</td>
<td>Through turbine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chemical analysis</td>
<td>X-Ray analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of ash</td>
<td>% of ash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaSO₄</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium oxide</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium oxide</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calculated from total sulfur content.
*Adjusted for calculated CaSO₄ value.
*97% CaCO₃.
### TABLE IV - AVERAGE IBT TEST CONDITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Coal</th>
<th>Sorbent</th>
<th>Solids loading</th>
<th>Test time, hr</th>
<th>Inlet gas temperature, °C</th>
<th>Relative gas velocity, ft/sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>Alloy 713LL</td>
<td>Pittsburgh No. 8</td>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>560 ppm</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IN-792 + HF</td>
<td>Ohio Limestone</td>
<td></td>
<td>2500 ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td>780</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R5</td>
<td>IN-792 + HF</td>
<td>Ohio Limestone</td>
<td></td>
<td>2600 ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td>780</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R6</td>
<td>IN-792 + HF</td>
<td>Pittsburgh No. 8</td>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>750 ppm</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R7</td>
<td>IN-792 + HF</td>
<td>Pittsburgh No. 8</td>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>180 ppm</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R7-A</td>
<td>IN-792 + HF</td>
<td>Pittsburgh No. 8</td>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>370 ppm</td>
<td>U-194</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R7-B</td>
<td>IN-792 + HF</td>
<td>Pittsburgh No. 8</td>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>75 ppm</td>
<td>194-400</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Percentage of surface area.
Figure 14. - IN-792 16T - sulfide and oxide penetration of R7-6 blade after 400 hr at 750°C (1430°F) and at 370 ppm (0.2 grain/std ft³) for first 200 hr and 75 ppm (0.04 grain/std ft³) for last 200 hr.

Figure 15. - Suction-side erosion and corrosion of R7-8 blades after 400-hr test.
Figure 3. - Cyclone gas solids separator.

Figure 4. - Variation in gas temperature and pollutants with time.
(a) DRY SIEVED FRACTION GREATER THAN 100 \( \mu m \) IN SIZE.

(b) SIEVED FRACTION LESS THAN 100 \( \mu m \) IN SIZE.

Figure 5 - Particles through turbine.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEST PERIOD, WEEK</th>
<th>SEPARATOR EXIT GAS LOADING</th>
<th>ppm</th>
<th>grains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THIRD</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOURTH</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIFTH</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. - Particle size distribution by Coulter analysis. (Solute: 80 percent ethanol, 15 percent glycerin, and 5 percent LiCl by weight.)

![Isometric section of turbine drawing](image)

Figure 7. - Isometric section drawing of turbine.
Figure 8. - Erosion of R5 rotor blade tips after 12 hr at 780°C (1440°F) and 2600 ppm (1.4 grains/std ft³).

Figure 9. - Combustion gas solids cleanup.
Figure 10. IN-792 1BT - oxide penetration of R7-A blades after 200 hr at 780°C (1430°F) and 370 ppm (0.2 grain/standard ft3).

Figure 11. IN-792 + Hf - accelerated oxidation of R7-A blades after 200 hr at 780°C (1430°F) and 370 ppm (0.2 grain/standard ft3).
Figure 12. - Surface appearance of R7 rotor after 400 hr at 780°F (415°C) and 180 ppm (0.1 grain/std ft^3).

Figure 13. - IN-792 + Hf IBT - erosion loss of R7-B blades after 400 hr. Projected for full admission.

RELATIVE VELOCITY, m/sec ........... 960
EQUIVALENT TIME, hr ............... 24
SOLIDS LOADING, grain/std ft ....... 0.1
PROJECTED NORMALIZED LOSS RATE, (mils/hr)/(grains/std ft^3) ..... 0.7
AVERAGE LOSS RATE, mils/100 hr ... 7
Figure 17. IN-738 + Hf 18T - sulfidation of R7-B blades after 400 hr at 780°C (1430°F) and at 370 ppm (0.2 grain/ std ft³) for first 200 hr and 75 ppm (0.04 grain/ std ft³) for last 200 hr.
Figure 20. - PFB turbine projected life-limiting mechanisms. Gas velocity, 300 m/sec (1000 ft/sec).
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