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I. INTRODUCTION

There are reasons to believe that a number of weak bosons exist:

1) We may very well have different generations gauge bosons just as exist for quarks and leptons. (1)
2) The increased interest and success in thinking about substructure "prequarks," "preons," or "rishons" may lead to heavier composite systems of all spins. (2)
3) Superunification investigations have recently led to a natural composite picture. (3)
4) Heavy "right-handed" W's may arise if parity is spontaneously broken. (4)
5) Heavy "right-handed" W's may arise in a gauge hierarchy of grand unified theories. (5)

These are not all of the contexts in which heavier W's arise. There is the general question about which gauge group is involved in the weak interaction field theory.

It is quite likely that only higher energy facilities like DUMAND could be used in a search for these new particles, in contrast to the up-and-coming search for the "standard" W. For clarity in presenting our program, we will assume that two W's exist, W and W', with masses

\[ M_1 = \frac{37.4}{\sin^2 \theta} \approx 80 \text{ GeV/c}^2 \]

\[ M_2 \gg 3M_W. \]

In section 2, we shall discuss how the presence of the second W changes the hallmark of the standard DUMAND W search, the propagator bendover in

\[ \nu N + \mu X. \]  

(i)

The "W" factory idea for DUMAND, (6)

\[ \bar{\nu}_e e^- + W^- \]

(ii)

can be extended to the heavier W case. There are, in fact, some advantages in doing so, relative to the lighter W. We discuss this in section 3.

The final step in our program addresses the profound question of whether or not large regions of antimatter exist in the universe. Neutrino astronomy with heavier W's playing a key role can answer this question and is discussed in section 4 and the companion paper II.

The new issue of neutrino oscillation and mass does not becloud our program. Rather, the possible existence of right-handed (left-handed) neutrinos (antineutrinos) adds to its attractiveness. We shall comment on the relevance of this issue in each section.
II. INELASTIC NEUTRINO SCATTERING

The propagator due to the $W$-boson should be clearly visible in deep inelastic $\gamma$-distributions for reaction (1). For our present purposes, we have investigated how the total cross section changes due to two $W$'s. The old, single bendover will be seen to have additional structure. The $\gamma$-distribution discussion will be included in an amended edition of this paper.

We can draw upon recent work on doubling weak bosons, for a representative calculation. Our philosophy is quite different, however. Rather than concentrate on the lighter $W_1$, and its phenomenology, the emphasis is on the heavier $W_2$. In the event that $M_1 \approx M_2$, the search for more involved structure in weak interactions will require higher energies and a focus on $W_2$.

Define

$$\kappa \equiv \left( \frac{M_2}{M_W} \right)^2, \quad \epsilon \equiv 1 - \left( \frac{M_1}{M_W} \right)^2. \quad (2)$$

Implementing (1),

$$\kappa \gg 1, \quad \epsilon \ll 1. \quad (3)$$

Then the leptonic decay widths ($W_i \rightarrow \ell \nu$) are

$$\frac{\Gamma^\ell}{M_{W_1}} \approx \frac{\Gamma^\ell}{M_W} \approx 0.3\%, \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma^\ell}{M_{W_2}} \approx \epsilon \kappa \frac{\Gamma^\ell}{M_W}. \quad (4)$$

We further stipulate

$$\kappa \epsilon \approx 1, \quad (5)$$

although larger values of $\kappa \epsilon$ will still leave us with sufficiently small total widths ($\Gamma = 12 \Gamma^\ell$ for the usual single-boson 3-generation model).

The standard propagator is now replaced:

$$\frac{1}{1+z} \rightarrow \frac{1}{1+z} \frac{2z+R}{z+R} \quad (6)$$
where
\[ z \equiv \frac{Q^2}{M_W^2}, \quad Q^2 = -(4\text{-momentum-transfer})^2.\]

With a numerical integration over the parton form of the deep inelastic differential cross section, the question of how much the total cross section is changed can be answered. Noting \( \kappa = \infty \) corresponds to the old standard, we look at the deviation from the single-boson total cross section in Fig. 1 for the case \( \kappa = 10 \). The deviation scales in \( s/M_W^2 \). For \( M_W = 80 \), the cosmic ray energy is
\[ E = 3.4 \frac{s}{M_W^2} \text{TeV}, \quad \text{TeV} = 10^{12} \text{eV}. \quad (7)\]
The asymptotic value for Fig. 1 is .36.

The conclusion is that a 20\% increase shows up around the next scale
\[ s/M_W^2 = \kappa. \quad (8)\]

(Remember that the first propagator effect takes place around \( s/M_W^2 = 1 \).)

We comment on this result by way of a list of points for future study:

1) As we increase \( \kappa \) without decreasing \( \varepsilon \), the deviation can be larger.
2) It appears that acoustic detectors are not crucial for this stage of our program. For very large \( \kappa \), the deviation is small, anyway.
3) The \( y \)-distributions should be examined in detail for bins in the TeV range, in the 10 TeV range, and so forth.
4) What if there are neutrino oscillations? Suppose that neutrinos oscillate into antineutrinos. In that case, their helicity is then wrong for the V-A charged currents. However, V+A currents and associated (heavy) weak bosons \( B \) then come into play. We would see a new incoherent contribution to deep inelastic events at the higher energies if \( B \)'s exist.
If the neutrinos oscillate among the generations \( (e, \mu, \tau, \ldots) \), the final lepton signature is changed. But the \( \tau \) and \( \mu \) signatures are both viable. In any case, the total neutrino flux is not so small that oscillations are a problem.
5) It will be of interest to extend the calculations for the reaction,
\[ \nu N + \ell \overset{\text{B}_1}{\rightarrow} \chi \quad (iii) \]
where the \( B_1 \) are actually produced. For larger \( \varepsilon \kappa \), we could have significantly larger cross sections than in the standard model.
6) Sequential \( W \)'s should also be considered.(10)
7) The ratio examined here is insensitive to many details such as scaling violations.
8) Finally, we emphasize again that it is possible that DUMAND could distinguish between various weak-EM gauge models.
Fig. 1. Total $\nu N$ inelastic cross section increase due to a second weak boson with $\kappa = 10$. 

$$1 - \frac{(\omega \not= \nu)0}{(0|\nu)0}$$
III. NEUTRINO-ELECTRON ANNIHILATION

The resonance reaction (ii) has been the subject of a proposal for a DUMAND cosmic ray experiment. However, for a standard weak-boson mass, there may be severe background problems from the general reaction (i). We note that the cosmic neutrino must now have energy

\[ E = 6.26 \frac{E}{M_W} \text{ PeV}, \]

\[ \text{PeV} = 1000 \text{ TeV} = 10^{15} \text{ eV}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

for \( \overline{\nu}_e^- \) c.m. energy \( \sqrt{s} \).

If there is a heavier \( W \), on the other hand, the background flux will have dropped off and we are back in business. The heavier of any forest of resonance spikes will stand out. It is here where acoustic detector developments are helpful. If we have a detection system which is (increasingly) sensitive to higher energies, then the new mass scales in weak interactions and lower backgrounds are at hand. (See paper II.)

If the flux and detector energy-dependence is negligible over the boson resonance width, the relevant quantity for the event rates is

\[ \int \sigma_{\text{res}} \, dE = \frac{1}{2m_e} \int \sigma \, ds = \frac{1}{2m_e} \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \frac{1}{M_W} \] \hspace{1cm} (10)

for narrow total width \( \Gamma_i \). We see that heavier bosons may very well have an increase in rates for a given flux and detection efficiency. The fact that acoustic detectors may be increasingly sensitive to higher energies and that the backbody process (see paper II) yields a shoulder in the flux of \( \overline{\nu}_e \) makes an even stronger case here.

Even if there are only extragalactic neutrinos left above a certain energy, there are showers to be expected from deep inelastic scattering (by the same neutrinos). However, this background is small:

\[ \frac{\sigma_{\text{back}} \, dE}{\sigma_{\text{res}} \, dE} < \frac{\sigma_{\text{back}} > \Delta S}{\sigma_{\text{back}} > \Gamma_i} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{back}} > \Gamma_i}{2\pi^2/2 12\Gamma_i \kappa_i} \]

\[ \kappa_i = \left( \frac{M_i}{M_W} \right)^2 \] \hspace{1cm} (11)

For

\[ 2\pi^2/2 = 1.2 \times 10^{-30} \text{ cm}^2 \], \hspace{0.5cm} < \sigma_{\text{back}} > = 3 \times 10^{-34} \text{ cm}^2 \],

\[ \Gamma_i = 12 \Gamma_i \], \hspace{0.5cm} \kappa_i = 10 \] \hspace{1cm} (12)
the number is $2.7 \times 10^{-3}$.

If the $\bar{\nu}_e$ oscillate into other neutrino states, then we lose events. But an important point is that the counterpart left-handed $\bar{\nu}_e$ [arising, perhaps, from $\nu_e\bar{\nu}_e$ transitions into $(\bar{\nu}_e\nu_e)$] will then produce the "right-handed" $\bar{\nu}_\mu^R$ and we can rephrase everything in terms of $\bar{\nu}_\mu^R$ sequences.

**IV. SEARCH FOR ANTIMATTER**

The third leg of this program for DUMAND involves the symbiotic relation between the existence of a heavy $W$ and the fact that high energy $\bar{\nu}_e$'s may come from large scale, distant antimatter sources. If the existence of heavier $W$'s can be established by the propagator effect, say, of section 2, then we can turn the resonance analysis discussed in section 3 around. The $\bar{\nu}_e$ flux can be determined—the expected number of events is a strong function of the acoustic detection characteristics—and the possibility of important extragalactic sources explored.

We leave the discussion of the third leg to the companion paper (II) where the event rates are discussed but we reiterate that any oscillation $\bar{\nu}_e \leftrightarrow \nu_e$ will not wash out this test for antimatter, since helicity is preserved. Thus sources of $\nu_e\bar{\nu}_e$ will not contaminate the $(\bar{\nu}_e)$ flux. Even better, the existence of additional $W$'s will provide more signature for this important test of antimatter in the universe.
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1. This is not yet an orthodox grand-unified-field-theory view, but experiment and superunified view are our bias here.
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