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ABSTRACT

For the solar panels of the European Space Agency (ESA) satellites OTS/MAROTS and ECS/MARECS the thermal cycling tests were performed by using solar simulation methods. The performance data of two different solar simulators used for these tests and the thermal test results are described. The solar simulation thermal cycling tests for the ECS/MARECS solar panels were carried out with the aid of a rotatable multipanel test rig by which simultaneous testing of three solar panels was possible. As an alternative thermal test method the capability of an infrared radiation method was studied and infrared simulation tests for the ultralight panel (ULP) and the INTELSAT V solar panels were performed. The setup and the characteristics of the infrared radiation unit using a quartz lamp array of approx. 15 m² and LN2-cooled shutter and the thermal test results are presented. The irradiation uniformity, the solar panel temperature distribution, temperature changing rates for both test methods are compared. The results indicate the infrared simulation is an effective solar panel thermal testing method.

INTRODUCTION

Thermal vacuum tests are required for qualification and acceptance of spacecraft subsystems. The purpose of qualification tests is to prove the subsystem design by checking its performance capability under thermal vacuum conditions more severe than predicted for orbit. The aim of the more severe temperature stress is to demonstrate design safety margin and to accelerate failure in marginal design. The objective of the acceptance tests is to demonstrate the performance of the subsystems of proven design in the temperature range expected in flight and to verify the workmanship according to flight standard quality. The cycling between temperature extremes is to induce temperature gradients in the subsystem thereby permitting observation of operation at other than stabilized conditions.

Thermal vacuum facilities are currently available with four distinct types of energy control: temperature controlled shrouds, solar simulators, infrared radiators, surface blanket heaters.
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The development of infrared simulators with tungsten filament quartz lamps (refs. 1 to 4) and other methods for infrared simulation (carbon cloth heat post, fluid controlled panels, thermal canister, electrical heaters) were described in several papers (refs. 5 to 8). The application of the different methods for thermal balance testing was investigated in these studies and the performance data, testing philosophy, and cost effectiveness were compared (refs. 9 to 14).

For satellite programmes thermal vacuum tests have to be performed for a number of units according to the same specification. It is especially true for acceptance testing of the different solar panels of communication satellite's solar array. The cost effectiveness of solar panel thermal testing can be improved by testing simultaneously more than one panel. In this paper the development of an infrared radiation unit for simultaneous testing of three solar panels is described. The performance data and operational conditions of the infrared radiator setup were studied.

Thermal cycling test results and cost effectiveness for infrared simulation, for solar simulation for one solar panel, and for multi-panel solar simulation are compared in the following.

1. TEST REQUIREMENTS AND FACILITIES

Thermal vacuum testing of solar panels can be performed by irradiating the panel front side by a solar simulation or an infrared simulation setup. By radiation to LN2-cooled shrouds the temperature gradients through the panel and the temperature changing rates during the transient phases are similar to the expected orbit conditions.

The main test requirements for solar panel thermal cycling tests for different programmes are summarized in Table I. In general the primary requirement for solar panel thermal testing by solar simulation methods is the specification of the solar irradiance to be simulated during test. For the ECS/MARECS solar panel tests this irradiance was defined equivalent to a definite value of the open voltage readout during the sunphases of the thermal cycling test. For the infrared radiation methods the equilibrium temperatures were specified and therefore the power of the infrared radiators had to be adjusted to values to achieve the temperatures required.

1.1 Solar Simulation Facility (Fig. 1 and 2)

The solar panel thermal cycling tests for the OTS/MAROTS programme were performed in the 3m-space simulation facility of IABG (ref. 16). The facility is equipped with an LN2-cooled shroud of 3 m diameter and 7 m length. The solar simulator is an off-axis system. The radiation is performed by four 25 kW and five 5.5 kW Xenon Arc lamps. The light is collected in elliptical mirrors, folded by a plane mirror system to a collimation mirror which directs the radiation into the reference plane. By means of a light integrator the radiation of the different light sources is superimposed, hence improving the uniformity in the reference plane.
The collimation mirror consists of about 1000 adjustable mosaic elements and was adjusted to irradiate a reference plane of 1.9 m diameter, which was sufficient for the dimensions of the solar panels with 1.31 m width and 1.45 m height. The uniformity measurements showed a maximum deviation from the mean value of radiation intensity in the reference plane of ± 8%. For simulation of the cold phases an LN2-cooled shutter between collimation mirror and test article shielded the simulated solar radiation.

The relatively low overall efficiency of the solar simulator was due to the fact that the solar simulator was in a modification phase which was not completed when the tests had to be performed.

The ECS/MARECS solar panel thermal cycling tests were carried out in the 3m-space simulation facility with the complete modified solar simulator implemented (Fig. 2). Compared to the original design the folding mirror system for the modified solar simulator could be deleted. The solar simulator was equipped with an integrator adjusted to the efficient operation of five 25 kW Xenon Arc lamps. The mosaic mirror was exchanged by a segment mirror consisting of 37 elements. Due to the optical system modification a solar beam diameter of 2.4 m in the reference plane and an overall efficiency of the solar simulator of approximately 10% could be achieved. For the ECS/MARECS solar panel thermal cycling tests the facility was equipped with a rotatable multipanel test rig of triangular shape (ref. 21). By this additional device the cost effective simultaneous testing of 3 solar panels was possible: one panel being irradiated by the solar simulator while for the other two a cold phase was simulated.

1.2 Infrared Radiation Facility
(Fig. 3, 4, 5)

The thermal vacuum tests for the INTELSAT V solar panels (dimensions 1.65 m width, 1.91 m height) were carried out in the thermal vacuum facility 3.5 m-TVA of IABG (ref. 18). The facility is equipped with a GN2-cooled shroud of 3.5 m diameter and 6.3 m height. For the infrared simulation thermal cycling tests the facility was furnished with an infrared radiation unit with quartz-line lamps and a liquid nitrogen-cooled, movable shutter (Fig. 3 and 4). The lamp array can be shielded during the cold phase by moving the LN2-cooled shutter in front of the lamp radiators. The infrared radiation unit consists of three lamp array modules with a total number of 300 quartz-line lamps (nominal power 500 W each). Fig. 3 shows a schematic sketch of two modules of the lamp array used for irradiating two solar panels. For the third solar panel a third lamp array module on the rear side of the two exhibited ones was used. In between the first two and the third lamp array module an LN2-cooled shroud is located to minimize the radiation of not LN2-cooled surfaces to the panels during cold phase. By 150 electrical control units and power supplies the 150 lamp pairs can be controlled separately.
For the thermal vacuum cycling tests of the ultralight panel (ULP, dimensions 1.1 m width and 3.22 m height) (ref. 19) two lamp array modules as shown in Fig. 3 were used.

The primary requirements for an infrared radiator setup to be applied for thermal cycling tests are optimum uniformity of irradiance in the test plane and minimum blockage of cold shroud during the coldphases. The blockage of the shroud was reduced by the LN2-cooled shutter as shown in Fig. 4. By measuring the irradiance distribution before the test and adjusting the different control units the irradiance uniformity was optimized.

To monitor the irradiance distribution of the infrared lamp array modules a solarcell sensor of 16 cm² surface area was moved vertically and horizontally in the testplane. A typical readout of the sensor measured by scanning along a distance of approximately 5000 mm is shown in Fig. 5. The result of the evaluation of a total number of 765 measured extreme values for the three infrared lamp array modules is given in Table II. This Table indicates that for the testplane of 15 m² an irradiance uniformity of ± 10% could be achieved with approx. 75% of the measuring points within the range of ± 5%.

2. TEST RESULTS

The temperature $T_P$ of a solar panel in a space simulation facility is described by the following equation:

$$mc \frac{dT_P}{dt} = \alpha F I - \sigma e \varepsilon F (T_P^4 - T_{sh}^4) \quad (1)$$

$mc$ : Thermal capacity of test article

$\frac{dT_P}{dt}$ : Temperature change of test article with time

$I, F$ : Irradiance and surface area, respectively

$\sigma$ : Stefan-Boltzmann-Constant

$e, T_{sh}$ : Viewfactor to shroud and shroud temperature, respectively

$\varepsilon, \alpha$ : Emissivity and absorptivity, respectively

The solar panel thermal equilibrium temperature $T_E$ can be derived from equation 1:

$$T_E = \sqrt[4]{\frac{I \alpha}{2 \sigma \varepsilon} + T_{sh}^4} \quad (2)$$

From equation 2 the relative temperature change $\Delta T_E/T_E$ due to a relative intensity variation $\Delta I/I$ can be calculated (ref. 20):

$$\frac{\Delta T_E}{T_E} = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{\Delta I}{I} \quad (3)$$
Taking into account the irradiation uniformity and the heat conductivity in the panel surface (characterized by the expression $\lambda F_1^2$) the following expression for the temperature change $\Delta T_{El}$ is found from equation 1:

$$ \Delta T_{El} = \frac{\alpha}{8 \sigma \varepsilon_{El} T_{El}^3 + \lambda F_1^2} \Delta I $$

(4)

For the transition from warm phase to cold phase from equation 1 the ratio $f$ of the slopes of the temperature-time-plots for solar simulation and infrared simulation can be derived:

$$ f = \frac{d T_p / d t|_{solar}}{d T_p / d t|_{IR}} = \frac{T_p^4 - T_{shl}^4}{T_p^4 - T_{sh2}^4 - F_1 e_1 \lambda F_1^2}{2 \varepsilon F e_1} T_1^4 $$

(5)

For the infrared radiation method surface parts of the lamp array which are not completely covered by the LN2-cooled shutter are taken into account by including an irradiance of an emissivity $\varepsilon_1$, of a viewing factor $e_1$, of an area $F_1$ and of a temperature $T_1$.

2.1 Solar Panel equilibrium Temperature Distribution

In Fig. 6 a typical transient phase for solar simulation thermal cycling is exhibited. The temperatures on the solar panel frontside were measured in the range $61 \pm 4^\circ C$. According to equation 2 an equilibrium temperature of $T_p = 60^\circ C$ can be calculated. By using equation 3 and a value $58 \pm 8 \%$ for the irradiance uniformity a maximum temperature variation of $\pm 7^\circ C$ is estimated. The measured temperature uniformity of $\pm 4^\circ C$ can be interpreted by the effect of heat conductance in the panel surface as described by equation 4.

A typical transient phase for infrared simulation cycling tests with three solar panels is plotted in Fig. 7. The temperatures on the three solar panels were measured in the range $85 \pm 5^\circ C$. The lamp array modules with 300 radiators were operated with a total power of 39 kW on a surface area of 15 m$^2$. Using the given reflectivity of the lamp reflector and the viewing factor determined by geometry of the test setup an irradiance of $I = 1.83 \text{ kW/m}^2$ is calculated. From equation 2 an equilibrium temperature of $T_p = 83^\circ C$ is determined in good agreement with the experimental results.

The panel temperature distribution at the end of the cold phases is measured in the range $-158 \pm 5^\circ C$ for solar simulation cycling tests with one and with three panels and for infrared simulation tests with one and with three panels.
2.2 Temperature Changing Rates

During transition from warmphase to coldphase the temperature changing rates \( \Delta T_p/\Delta t \) for solar simulation and for infrared simulation were measured as indicated in Table III. There is no essential difference in the temperature changing rates of solar simulation and infrared simulation in the temperature range \( T_p > 50^\circ \text{C} \) to \( T_p = -50^\circ \text{C} \). For a panel temperature of \( T_p = -150^\circ \text{C} \) the temperature changing rate for infrared simulation is by a factor of 2 lower than the rate for solar simulation. In this temperature range the influence of structure parts of the lamp array modules which are not completely covered by the shutter has to be considered.

2.3 Cost effectiveness

The primary test cost saving effect can be achieved by simultaneous testing of three instead of one solar panel. Using a solar simulation facility and a multipanel test rig for three solar panels the operational test costs per panel amount to approximately 40% of the costs for single panel testing. With a setup of infrared lamp array modules for three panels the operational test costs per panel are about 38% of the operational costs for single panel solar simulation testing.

3. CONCLUSIONS

The comparison of multipanel thermal testing data for solar simulation and infrared simulation with single panel testing data indicate that the essential thermal cycling test requirements can be fulfilled for the two multipanel testing methods.

During an operational time of approximately 1,300 hours for the infrared lamp array modules including an LN2-cooled shutter no essential operational problems occurred and, therefore, the reliability of the system is promising.

In cases where no spectral match and no low collimation angle of the radiation are required infrared simulation thermal cycling of solar panels is advantageous because of cost effectiveness of multiple panel testing, high efficiency of infrared radiation setups and high life time of radiators.
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### Table I: Test Requirements for Solar Panel Thermal Cycling Tests
(ref. 15, 17, 19, 22)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Test Method</th>
<th>( P ) ( \text{mbar} )</th>
<th>( I_\text{SC} )</th>
<th>( I_\text{W} ) ( \text{C} )</th>
<th>( I_\text{C} ) ( \text{C} )</th>
<th>( n_c )</th>
<th>( t_\text{W} ) ( \text{min} )</th>
<th>( t_\text{C} ) ( \text{min} )</th>
<th>( n_p )</th>
<th>Additional Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OTS/MARES</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Solar Simulation</td>
<td>( 1 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>( 1 \pm 0.03 )</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Equilibrium criterion: ( \Delta I/\Delta t \leq 12 \text{C/hr} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTS/MARES</td>
<td>Acceptance</td>
<td>Solar Simulation</td>
<td>( 1 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>( 1 \pm 0.03 )</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECS/MARECS</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Solar Simulation</td>
<td>( 1 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( 1.26 \pm 0.04 )</td>
<td>appr. -170</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Adjust solar irradiance to a value I equivalent to an open voltage of 64.1 ( \pm 0.2 ) V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECS/MARECS</td>
<td>Acceptance</td>
<td>Solar Simulation</td>
<td>( 1 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( 1.08 \pm 0.04 )</td>
<td>appr. -170</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30 ( \pm 3 )</td>
<td>90 ( \pm 3 )</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULP</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Infrared Simulation</td>
<td>( 1 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>( 70 \pm 10 )</td>
<td>-170 ( \pm 10 )</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Transition coldphase to warmphase: ( \Delta I/\Delta t \leq 10 \text{C/min} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTELSAT V</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Infrared Simulation</td>
<td>( 1 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>( 90 \pm 5 )</td>
<td>-170 ( \pm 5 )</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Equilibrium criterion for mean value of reference thermocouples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTELSAT V</td>
<td>Acceptance</td>
<td>Infrared Simulation</td>
<td>( 1 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>( 85 \pm 5 )</td>
<td>-170 ( \pm 5 )</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- \( P \) : Chamber pressure
- \( I \) : Irradiance of solar simulator in testplane
- \( I_\text{W}, I_\text{C} \) : Equilibrium temperature of panels during warmphase and coldphase, respectively
- \( t_\text{W}, t_\text{C} \) : Duration of warmphase and coldphase, respectively
- \( n_c \) : Number of cycles
- \( n_p \) : Number of panels per test
Fig. 1: 3m-Space Simulation Facility with Solar Simulator

- Reference plane
- Collimating mirror
- Cool shroud
- Quartz window
- Integrator
- Collector with high pressure Xenon lamp
- Folding mirrors
- Filters

Fig. 2: 3m-Space Simulation Facility with Modified Solar Simulator

Measures in mm
Table II:
Number of extreme values $n$ which show deviations from the mean value of

$$\frac{100 (I_1 - I_M)}{I_M}$$

$I_1$: local irradiance,
$I_M$: mean value of irradiance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$100 \frac{I_1 - I_M}{I_M}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>568</td>
<td>$\pm 0%$ to $\pm 5%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>$&gt;\pm 5%$ to $\pm 7%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>$&gt;\pm 7%$ to $\pm 10%$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3: Two Infrared Lamp Array Modules with Shutter Panels
Fig. 4: Shutter Position during Warm- and Coldphase

Fig. 5: Typical Irradiance Distribution
Fig. 6: Typical Transient Phase of Solar Simulation Cycling. TC 6: Frontside Thermocouple; TC 13: Rear Side Thermocouple

Fig. 7: Typical Transient Phase of Infrared Simulation Cycling of Three Solar Panels.

Table III: Temperature changing rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_p$ $^\circ\text{C}$</th>
<th>$\frac{\Delta T_p}{\Delta t}$ $^\circ\text{C} / \text{min}$</th>
<th>$f_m$</th>
<th>$f_c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.5 7</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.9 2.3</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.2 0.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>0.03 0.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$f_m$: ratio measured

$f_c$: ratio calculated

(equation 5)