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SUMMARY: The development of Mn-Al-C permanent magnets is briefly reviewed. The general properties of the material are discussed and put into perspective relative to alnicos and ferrites. The commercial material now available from the Matsushita Electric Industrial Co. is described by the manufacturer's data.

The traction-motor designer's demands of a permanent magnet for potential use in electric vehicle drives are reviewed. From this, a list of the needed specific information is extracted. A plan for experimental work is made which would generate this information, or verify data supplied by the producer. These planned tests and measurements were executed in our laboratory.

The results of these measurements are presented in the form of tables and graphs. The data is discussed and interpreted. The tests determined magnetic design data and some mechanical strength properties. Easy-axis hysteresis and demagnetization curves, recoil loops and other minor-loop fields were measured over a temperature range from -50°C to +150°C. Hysteresis loops were also measured for three orthogonal directions (the one easy and 2 hard axes of magnetization). Extruded rods of three different diameters were tested. The non-uniformity of properties over the cross section of the 31 mm diameter rod was studied. Mechanical compressive and bending strength at room temperature was determined on individual samples from the 31 mm rod.

This report presents the data as measured in considerable detail. In this form it would be of use in motor design calculations. Simpler summary graphs and tables that allow one to get a quick picture of the behavior of Mn-Al-C magnets in general are also included. If the use of this material in motors is seriously considered, more information about the temperature dependence of the flux at different operating points, irreversible losses on heating, and the long-term flux stability at elevated temperatures should be generated.
INTRODUCTION: Experimental characterization of the magnetic and some mechanical properties of the new permanent magnet material, Mn-Al-C, was called for in support of work undertaken by various groups designing propulsion motors for electric vehicles. The characterization work has now been completed and the results are herewith reported. However, to put our data into the proper perspective and make them more meaningful, the report sections on our experimental results are preceded by a general background discussion of Mn-Al-C, a review of the properties reported for it in the literature, information on the availability of the material, and some economic considerations.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON Mn-Al-C MAGNETS

In the last few years the Matsushita Electric Industrial Co. in Japan has developed to commercial maturity a permanent magnet material which is based on a manganese-aluminum intermetallic phase. Basically the same material had first caused excitement as a potential new permanent magnet about twenty years ago. Several industrial magnet laboratories (including those of the Indiana General Corporation in the U.S. and the Philips Company in Holland) attempted then to develop useful properties and fabrication methods, but the results were disappointing. No commercial production resulted, and work in the U.S. was discontinued. The principal problem was that of obtaining a sufficiently good metallurgical grain orientation which would result in a single-easy-axis magnetic anisotropy and, as a consequence, should yield good remanence, squareness of the intrinsic magnetization curve in the second quadrant, and a high energy product.

Development work continued, however, in Japan. As the result of a determined effort in the last decade, scientists at Matsushita developed a technique of warm extrusion and heat treatments which results in a favorable crystal texture and in technologically interesting magnetic properties. Chemically, the material was slightly modified by the addition of small amounts of carbon and nickel. (The C metallurgically stabilizes the hardmagnetic phase, and the Ni facilitates extrusion.)

In terms of their room temperature magnetic properties, the best magnets of this kind (as described in Matsushita publications) combine moderately high remanence values \( B_r \approx 6 \text{ kG} \), approaching those of Alnico 8 HC, with a coercive force \( H_c \approx 2.7 \text{ kOe} \) in the range of that of high-energy hard
This $H_C$ is four times that of Alnico 5, or 1.5 times that of Alnico 8. The energy product of the best Mn-Al-C (7 - 7.5 MGOe) is comparable to that of grain-oriented Alnico 5. The material is not brittle like Alnico or ferrite; it has a moderate degree of ductility and is machineable on a lathe or milling machine with carbide-tipped tools. These are unusual mechanical properties for good permanent magnet materials, which must normally be shaped by grinding or electric discharge machining, or by other special techniques suitable for hard and brittle materials.

However, all these advantages (there are also some drawbacks; see below) might not have sufficed to give the material a promising commercial future in competition with the well-established Alnico magnets, had it not been for a cobalt supply crisis which developed in 1977-78. Cobalt is the principal alloying partner of iron in the higher-grade Alnicos (24-38% Co). Cobalt is a by-product of either copper or nickel production, and the United States has relied heavily on the African countries, Zaire and Zambia, as its cobalt suppliers. For a variety of reasons, the price of cobalt quintupled between mid-77 and mid-79, from about $5.00 to $25.00 per pound, and there was a time when manufacturers found it difficult to purchase cobalt even at this highest price. In contrast, manganese and aluminum - the principal constituents of the magnet material discussed here - are at present quite inexpensive (about $0.60/lb.), and the raw materials are plentiful. (It should be noted, however, that the U.S. presently imports practically all its manganese.)

In any case, due to the cobalt shortage, magnet users and producers have looked for potential replacements for Alnico, and the Mn-Al-C magnets are one attractive possibility. 6

On the negative side, it must be said that the Mn-Al-C has a very low Curie temperature, $\sim 290^\circ$C, compared with 700°C to 800°C for the Alnicos and 450°C for the ferrites. This has the consequence that all magnetic design parameters of these magnets are strongly temperature dependent, and the values of $B_r$, $H_C$, $(BH)_{\text{max}}$, etc., fall off rapidly as the magnet is heated above room temperature. Another apparent drawback at the present time is that the warm extrusion is a difficult process requiring heavy machines and therefore large capital investment; short lifetime of the extrusion dies appears to be a problem too. This has the consequence that the present price of Mn-Al-C magnets is in the same range as that of oriented Alnico 5. It is quite possible that, in spite of the low raw material price, Mn-Al-C may remain a relatively expensive magnet material in the long run.
The need for the extrusion step puts restrictions on the shapes and sizes in which the material can be manufactured. The material is always extruded in the form of a long rod of relatively small and simple cross-section, with the easy direction of magnetization along the extrusion axis. (But note that Matsushita has also published a laboratory method of producing a lower-energy magnet featuring an isotropic easy plane of magnetization perpendicular to the extrusion axis. This is achieved by cutting a slice from the extruded bar and subjecting it to additional plastic deformation steps. Such a material could have application in small size, multi-pole structures such as the rotor in certain stepper motors.) In its laboratory pilot production, Matsushita has apparently produced a variety of rods of circular cross section, ranging from about 4 mm to 31 mm diameter. Some of these sizes are now going into a larger-scale commercial production (including 4 mm, 6.5 mm and 31 mm rods). Engineering samples of sufficient quantity for the evaluation in motors were promised to the General Electric R&D Center and possibly other U.S. customers for delivery in October 1980. Bars of rectangular cross section 5 x 10 mm were said to have been extruded successfully, but the problems of die wear and breakage are apparently so severe that no commercial production is contemplated at present.

According to information given us by Mr. Sakamoto during his visit at the University of Dayton in May 1980, and earlier conversations with him and Mr. Kubo during K. Strnat's visit to the Matsushita Research Center at Osaka in June, 1979, Mn-Al magnets have been in a pilot line production there for 3-1/2 years now. Several million pieces of ~1 gram weight, cut from 4 mm and 6.5 mm extruded bars, have been sold to electric clock manufacturers. (This is a total quantity of several tons.) Slices from 24 and 31 mm diameter bars were used in commercial loudspeakers by another division of the Matsushita Company. A "pancake", axial-field electric motor for a bicycle drive is apparently also in commercial production. It uses the 31 mm diameter discs.

A new commercial manufacturing plant has recently been built by Matsushita about 100 miles from Osaka. It was scheduled to begin production in the summer of 1980. The production plan includes 10 diameters of circular rods, from 4 to 31 mm.

Matsushita continues to be the only source for Mn-Al-C magnets in the world. Apparently, no other company has been licensed by Matsushita for their patented extrusion process; and although the laboratories of Brown, Boveri (Switzerland), Colt Industries, General Electric, General Motors and the Indiana General Corporation say that they are doing some R and D work, there is no prospect for a commercial production outside Matsushita in the near future.
TYPICAL PROPERTIES ADVERTISED BY MATSUSHITA

Table 1 and Figure 1 are reproduced from an illustrated Panasonic announce­ment and data sheet received in May 1979. The sheet was undated, but the properties agree well with those given in Ref. 2 (July 1977), from which Table 2 is taken. Note that Table 2 contains some additional information not in Table 1.

### TABLE 1: Specifications (Preliminary)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Mn-Al-C Magnet (anisotropic)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Energy Product</td>
<td>(BH)max</td>
<td>x10^6 G·Oe</td>
<td>5.0 - 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Induction</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>5.200 - 6.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coercive Force</td>
<td>Hc</td>
<td>Oe</td>
<td>2.000 - 2.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeance Coefficient</td>
<td>Bd/Hd</td>
<td>G/Oe</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Recoil Permeability</td>
<td>µr</td>
<td>G/Oe</td>
<td>1.0 - 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversible Temperature Coefficient</td>
<td>%/°C</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curie Temperature</td>
<td>Tc</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>g/cm³</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistivity</td>
<td>ρ</td>
<td>x10⁻⁶ Ω·cm</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of Thermal Expansion</td>
<td>x10⁻⁶/°C</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness (Rockwell C)</td>
<td>HRC</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>50 - 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Strength</td>
<td></td>
<td>kg/mm²</td>
<td>&gt; 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive Strength</td>
<td></td>
<td>kg/mm²</td>
<td>&gt; 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse Strength</td>
<td></td>
<td>kg/mm²</td>
<td>&gt; 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FIGURE 1: Demagnetization Curve of Anisotropic Mn-Al-C Permanent Magnet

![Demagnetization Curve of Anisotropic Mn-Al-C Permanent Magnet](image)

### FIG. 2: Demagnetization Curves for Anisotropic Mn-Al-C Magnet at Different Temperatures. (From Reference 1, Ohtani et al.)

![Demagnetization Curves](image)

### TABLE 2: Summary of properties (Ref. 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Mn-Al-C magnet (anisotropic)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residual Induction</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>5200 - 6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coercive Force</td>
<td>Hc</td>
<td>Oe</td>
<td>2000 - 2600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Energy Product</td>
<td>(BH)max</td>
<td>x10^6 G·Oe</td>
<td>5.0 - 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band H at (BH)max</td>
<td>Bd</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>3600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Hd)</td>
<td>Oe</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeance Coefficient</td>
<td>(Bd/Hd)</td>
<td>G/Oe</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Recoil Permeability</td>
<td>µr</td>
<td>G/Oe</td>
<td>1.0 - 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recoil Energy Product</td>
<td>(Er)</td>
<td>x10^6 G·Oe</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversible Temperature Coefficient</td>
<td>%/°C</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curie Temperature</td>
<td>Tc</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute Maximum Temperature</td>
<td></td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>g/cm²</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistivity</td>
<td>ρ</td>
<td>x10⁻⁶ Ω·cm</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of Thermal Expansion</td>
<td>x10⁻⁶/°C</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Heat</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cal/g°C</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness (Rockwell C)</td>
<td>HRC</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>50 - 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Strength</td>
<td></td>
<td>kg/mm²</td>
<td>&gt; 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive Strength</td>
<td></td>
<td>kg/mm²</td>
<td>&gt; 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse Strength</td>
<td></td>
<td>kg/mm²</td>
<td>&gt; 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinability</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>machinable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Another 1977 news release\(^9\) gave somewhat more optimistic upper limits for the remanence (6200G) and energy product (7 MGOe). The demagnetization curves at different temperatures shown in Fig. 2 are from Ref. 1 (we drew in the B/H load lines). They belong to a magnet that has 7 MGOe at \(+20^\circ C\).

Mr. Yoichi Sakamoto provided us with machining instructions for turning Mn-Al-C magnets on a lathe. These are reproduced here as Table 3. Mr. Y. S. stated that on ordinary SiC cutoff wheel may be used, too, and we have also successfully cut the material with an electric discharge machine (EDM).

**DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR TRACTION-MOTOR USE OF Mn-Al-C AND THE GENERAL PLAN FOR OUR EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES**

1. For the contemplated use of Mn-Al-C magnets in the rotors of traction motors, it would be desirable to have a high air-gap flux density of about 6 kG. However, if the magnet is placed directly at the gap, as in the GE axial-field, "advanced motor" design,\(^10\) \(B_{\text{gap}}\) is only about 3 to 4 kG if the material is used close to its maximum volumetric efficiency. The high motor currents possible during vehicle climb or in a stall/start condition, and the self-demagnetizing fields due to the air gaps, require that the magnet have a high resistance to demagnetization. The coercive force of Mn-Al-C, \(\sim 2600\) Oe at room temperature, is relatively favorable compared to the values for Alnico grades (600-1900 Oe).

Nevertheless, the combination of \(B_r\) and \(H_c\) offered by Mn-Al-C is said to require the use of large magnet blocks in the motors. These are cubes or trapezoidal prisms of about 1 inch edge length. Considering the production limitations on Mn-Al-C shapes and sizes, each such block must be assembled from several pieces that have to be cut from the 31 mm cylindrical extruded stock. In the process, certain inner portions of the bar are selected for use, while some of the outer rim will be cut off and discarded. It is thus desirable to know if there is a variation of properties over the cross section. One aspect of our experimental study addressed this question.

2. The flux direction in the magnet is not always or in every volume element parallel to the easy axis of magnetization\(^11\) (i.e., the extrusion axis in Mn-Al-C). Attempts to take this fact quantitatively into account in the design of machines require a detailed knowledge of the magnetization characteristics of the permanent magnet, not only for the easy-axis magnetization direction for which they are usually published by the manufacturer, but for three mutually perpendicular directions.\(^12\) We undertook it to describe the magnetic anisotropy by measuring major hysteresis loops for the extrusion axis, the radial and the circumferential ("transverse") directions of the 31 mm extruded bar. This was done at several temperatures, and at room temperature for two locations on the bar cross section.
### TABLE 3: MACHINING CONDITIONS FOR THE ANISOTROPIC Mn-Al-C PERMANENT MAGNET USING A LATHE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bit</td>
<td>Cementated Carbide Bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotating Speed</td>
<td>1,115 r.p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed</td>
<td>0.02-0.03 mm/rev.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of Cut</td>
<td>2.0 mm (rough), 0.25 mm (finish)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nose Radius of Bit</td>
<td>0.1-0.2 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Cutting</td>
<td>Point Nose Straight Tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge Cutting</td>
<td>Knife Tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side Cutting</td>
<td>side cutting edge angle (5°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End Cutting</td>
<td>end relief angle (4-6°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal Rake Angle</td>
<td>parallel rake angle (5-10°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side Relief Angle</td>
<td>side relief angle (4-6°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drilling</td>
<td>Straight Drill (all cemented carbide drill)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3. According to the motor designers we interviewed on this question, the new vehicle traction motors are designed to operate at winding temperatures of about 150°C. Peak temperatures of 180°C to 200°C might be tolerable from the point of view of electrical insulation and structural integrity. Although the magnets will generally be cooler, they certainly could get as hot as about 150°C unless special provisions are made for cooling them. Because of its low Curie temperature, Mn-Al-C shows fairly severe flux losses on heating. While the generally reported temperature coefficient of $B_r(H=0)$ is moderate ($-0.12\%$ per °C, average between 0 and 100°C) inspection of the set of curves in Fig. 2 shows that the intrinsic coercive force and hysteresis loop shape deteriorate much more rapidly on heating than does the zero-field remanence. As a consequence, the temperature coefficient of the useful flux density, $B_d$ at a realistic operating point of perhaps $B_d/H_d = -2.3$ to -2.5, is going to be much less favorable. Also, the losses above 100°C will rise at an increasing rate. The severe loss of $M_{Hc}$ on heating means a poor resistance to demagnetization under the combined influence of motor overheating and large currents during a prolonged steep climb, an operating condition that must be expected on occasion. The designer, who must protect the magnet against demagnetization under such worst-case conditions, thus needs detailed information about the temperature dependence of the hysteresis curves and recoil loops. While low temperatures do not pose a threat to the stability of Mn-Al-C magnets, their characteristics down to the lowest expected environmental temperatures should also be known. With these requirements in mind, we measured demagnetization curves $B$ vs. $H$ and $(B-H)$vs. $H$ over the range from -50°C to +150°C.

4. The variable effective air gap during motor operation, current surges occurring for any reason, or partial disassembly of the motor cause the magnet material to change its operating permeance, to "recoil," and thus to work on a minor hysteresis loop in the second quadrant of $(B-H)$ vs. $H$. We have measured recoil loop fields (full recoil to $H=0$) at several different temperatures in order to allow designers to accurately assess the effects of such operating point changes.

For some samples (at room temperature only) an extended minor-loop field was plotted with the recoil lines continuing through the first quadrant to the full original forward magnetizing field. These curves will be useful in determining how to initially charge the magnets in the fully or partially assembled motor, or how to remagnetize them after accidental demagnetization, or in similar operations. Minor loop fields were plotted only for the extrusion axis, i.e., the normal magnetization direction.
MAGNET SAMPLES USED IN OUR TESTS AND SUMMARY OF MEASUREMENTS MADE ON THEM

The following is a description of the samples as received and as they were prepared for the measurements by us. We also present tables of the commonly given room-temperature quantities as reported by the Matsushita Research Laboratory and measured by us. This section will also serve as a guide to the following recorder plots and graphs which show the detailed results of our measurements.

Sample Group I

- Material supplied: Three cylinders, 6.4 mm diameter x 8 mm long, magnetized along axis. Cut from 6.5 mm extruded rod stock by Matsushita. Received at Osaka on June 3, 1979. These samples were machined and selected by Matsushita. Room temperature hysteresis loops were measured and provided with the samples. The cylinders were marked as No. 6, 7 and 8.

- Reported composition: 70 wt. % Mn, 29.5% Al, 0.5% C. (Letter from Mats.)

- TABLE 4. Salient Room-Temperature Magnetic Properties:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identification</th>
<th>Matsushita (26°C)</th>
<th>Univ. of Dayton (25°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identification</td>
<td>B_r</td>
<td>H_c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mat. U. Dayton</td>
<td>kG</td>
<td>kOe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 6 M-1483</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 7 M-1481</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 8 M-1482</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Comments on these results:

Note that Matsushita reported conservative numbers. We measured slightly higher values for remanence, coercivity and energy product. This is unusual. We normally find the claims of vendors exaggerated and measure lower properties than reported by the producers.
Measurements made on these samples:

1. Major hysteresis loops at room temperature (RT = 25°C), for all three cylinders. Intrinsic loop, (B-H) vs. H, directly recorded. Normal demagnetization curve, B vs. H, manually drawn. (Figures 7, 8 and 9 - See Appendix I)

2. Recoil loop fields, 2nd quadrant (abbrev. Q-2) curves (B-H) vs. H (directly recorded) and B vs. H (manually replotted from B-H curves). For sample M-1482 (No. 8) only; 25°C. (Figure 10)

3. Minor loop field, (B-H) vs. H. From Q-2 and Q-3 back to +H peak. For sample M-1482 (No. 8) only. At 25°C. (Figure 11)

Sample Group II:

Material supplied: One disc, ~31 mm diameter x 6 mm thick, magnetized through thickness // cylinder axis // extrusion direction. Cut at Matsushita from ~31 mm extruded rod stock. (Pilot plant product, probably early 1979). Received at Osaka on June 3, 1979.

Samples machined at U. Dayton for magnetic measurements: Two cylinders, 6.35 mm (1/4") diameter x 6 mm long were EDM machined from two locations, the center of the disc and near the rim, as shown in the sketch.

Reported "typical" composition: 69.5 wt. % Mn, 29.3% Al, 0.5% C, 0.7 wt. % Ni.

TABLE 5. Salient Room-Temperature Magnetic Properties:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matsushita (22°C)</th>
<th>University of Dayton (25°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( B_r ) kG</td>
<td>( H^c ) kOe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured on the whole disc</td>
<td>M-1639</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Measurements made on these samples:

1. Major hysteresis loops at RT (25°C) for both samples, (B-H) vs. H. demag. curve B vs. H manually drawn in. (Figures 12 & 13)

2. Recoil loop fields, Q-2, (B-H) vs. H and B vs. H. For center sample M-1638 only, 25°C (Figure 14)

3. Minor loop field, (B-H) vs. H, from Q-2 and Q-3 back to +H peak. For center sample M-1638 only, 25°C (Figure 15)

• Objectives of tests:

1. To study the variation of properties over the cross section of the extruded rod.

2. To study the dependence of the magnetic properties on the diameter of the extruded stock.

3. To generate minor and recoil loop fields characteristic of the largest diameter rod stock (31 mm) in pilot production before June 1979. (Compare with minor loops of the small, 6.5 mm rod stock of Sample Group I.)

• Notes on results:

1. Again, it appears that Matsushita reported properties conservatively. Our weighted average energy product value would be almost 6 MGOe, compared with Matsushita's 5.1 MGOe.

2. The $B_r$ and $(BH)_{max}$ for the 31 mm rod are poorer than those of the 6.5 mm rod stock. It appears that the smaller the diameter of the extruded bar, the better is the grain orientation achieved, and therefore the remanence, loop squareness and energy product.

3. There is a radial gradient of the properties. $B_r$ and $(BH)_{max}$ near the rim are about 7% and 18% higher than the respective quantities at the center of the rod. This is certainly due to better crystal orientation. It is likely that the greater shear stresses near the die wall during the extrusion process favor the formation of the desired crystal texture there.
Sample Group III:

- Material supplied: Discs, 31 mm diameter x 8.15 mm thick, magnetized through thickness // cylinder axis // extrusion direction. Cut at Matsushita from 31 mm extruded rod stock. (Commercial product, early 1980). Received by mail from Mr. Sakamoto in June, 1980. (Letter dated 5/29/80.)

- Samples machined from Disc B at Inland Motor/Kollmorgen and the University of Dayton:
  
  (a) Three cubes, along a diameter; one at center of disc, two symmetrically located halfway between center and rim. Edge length 8.13 mm (0.320 inch). Axes parallel to extrusion direction, a radius, and a tangent to the circumference ("transverse direction" for outer cubes)

  (b) Two bars of cross section 3.18 x 3.18 mm (0.125 inch) and ~27 mm length, located as shown in sketch.

- TABLE 6. Salient Room-Temperature Magnetic Properties:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matsushita (27°C)</th>
<th>University of Dayton (25°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample Identification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br kG</td>
<td>M&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt; kOe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>3.15 2.55 5.0 M-1646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured on the whole disc. (Identified as sample B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Note concerning the magnetic measuring method:

  The UD values in the above table are from hysteresis loops measured with a (B-H)-compensated coil arrangement. It uses a close-fitting, centered, surface H-coil that inductively senses dH/dt for both the H deflection and the H-flux compensation. For the measurements of the temperature and direction dependence of properties, another coil fixture was used which contains a square H-coil identical to the B-coil, and where these coils are side-by-side rather than concentric. The absolute accuracy of the concentric coils is better than for the side-by-side ("dual coil") arrangement. However, only the latter allows controlled temperature variation. The use of these different coil arrangements is the main reason for the minor
variations between magnetization curves measured on the same sample at the same temperature. The curves are presented as-measured, and no attempt was made to normalize all values to the concentric-coil values as a standard. For most purposes, the differences are negligible.

- Measurements made on the cubic samples. (All the measurements described below were performed on all three cubes but only the hysteresis loops of cube M-1646 are shown in the Appendix.)

1. Major hysteresis loops at RT (25°C): (B-H) vs. H measured and B vs. H calculated. Note that equivalent measurements were made with the concentric coils and with the dual coil. The latter was first used by itself with the sample in direct contact with the yoke poles, and then inside a heating/cooling fixture which contains fixed extension pole pieces that may introduce minor air gaps. (Figures 16, 17, and 18)

2. Q-2 recoil and full minor-loop fields at RT as before, for easy axis only, using the dual coils with heating/cooling fixture in place. (Figures 19 and 20)

3. Major hysteresis loops for all three cube axes at RT, using the concentric and the dual coils with fixture. (Anisotropy measurement.) (Figures 21 and 22)

4. Easy-axis major loops (B-H) and B vs. H at the temperatures -50°C, 0°C, (+25°C, see No. 1) +50°C, +100°C and +150°C. Dual coils with fixture. (Figures 23 through 27)

5. Major hysteresis loops for all three cube axes at -50°C, 0°C, (+25°C), +50°C, +100°C and +150°C. Dual coils with fixture. (Figures 28 through 32)

6. Q-2 recoil loop fields (B-H and B) for the same temperatures. Dual coils with fixture. Easy axis. (Figures 33 through 37)

7. Q-2 demagnetization curves (B-H and B) for all temperatures, summary graphs (recorded independently). Dual coil with fixture. Easy axis. (Figure 38)
Sample Group IV:

- Material supplied: Two cylinders, 6.35 mm diameter x 30 mm long. Magnetized in long direction // cylinder axis // extrusion direction. Machined at Matsushita from 24 mm extruded rod stock. (Presumably pilot line product, early 1980). Sample location on bar cross section as shown in sketch. Received from Mr. Sakamoto in June, 1980. (Letter dated 6/10/1980.)

- Samples cut at U. of Dayton for magnetic measurements: Four cylinders of different lengths from each of the two bars. (D=6.35 mm = 1/4 inch).

TABLE 7. Dimensions and Open-Circuit Permeances of Cylinders.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length mm</th>
<th>L/D</th>
<th>Bd/Hd G/Oe</th>
<th>8.8</th>
<th>7.1</th>
<th>5.6</th>
<th>4.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relationship between L/D and Bd/Hd based on the ballistic demagnetization factor of Joseph.

- Reported "Typical" Composition (per Matsushita letter): 69.5 wt.% Mn., 29.3 wt.% Al., 0.5 wt.% C., 0.7 wt.% Ni.

- TABLE 8. Salient Room-Temperature Magnetic Properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Identification</th>
<th>Length mm</th>
<th>Br kG</th>
<th>Mc kOe</th>
<th>Hc kOe</th>
<th>(BH)m MGOe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matsushita (27°C) - Average for 24 mm extruded rod.</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extruded Rod</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Univ. of Dayton (25°C) - 2 samples cut from 6.35 mm cylinder.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-1642</td>
<td>8.84</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-1643</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-1644</td>
<td>8.84</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-1645</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Values</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>5.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note concerning the measuring method: The hysteresis loop measurements on these samples (as on the 1/4" cylinders of Sample Groups I and II) were made with a (B-H)-compensated coil arrangement with a closely fitting, concentric surface H-coil used for the H-deflection and the H-flux compensation. The pole faces of the magnetizing yoke (Varian 4" DC laboratory electromagnet) were in close contact with the sample ends. (B-H) was calibrated against pure iron standards of dimensions close to the samples. The saturation of Fe was taken as 21,580 Gauss.

Measurements made on these samples:
At room temperature only, full hysteresis loops (B-H) vs. H were recorded, and Q-2 demagnetization curves B vs. H were calculated and manually drawn into the recorder graphs. This was done for two of the four samples of different length which were cut from each of the two 1/4" rods received. (See Figures 39 to 42 and the above table.)

Objectives of the tests:
1. To characterize material from the third extruded rod diameter available to us, i.e., 24 mm rod stock. (Compare with 6.5 mm and 31 mm measured before.)
2. To document the initial room-temperature properties of these samples on which we plan to make open-circuit flux stability studies at a later date.
3. To compare again our measurements with those of Matsushita.
4. To demonstrate the slight variation of our measured values for \( B_r \) and \( (B\cdot H)_{\text{max}} \) on the length of the sample for presumably identical material.

Notes on the results:
1. Again, we measure slightly better properties (all! See table) than Matsushita reported: +4% for \( B_r \), \( H_c' \), +3% for \( H_c' \), and +6.5% for \( (B\cdot H)_{\text{max}} \).
2. There is a slight dependence of the properties - measured with our coil arrangement - on the length of the sample. It is in the sense that the measured values of \( B_r \), \( H_c' \), and \( (B\cdot H)_{\text{max}} \) are slightly lower for the shorter samples than for longer ones. Comparing the 8.8 mm and the 5.6 mm cylinders, the differences were -1% for \( B_r \), -1.2% for \( H_c' \), and -3.5% for \( (B\cdot H)_{\text{max}} \), not much more than the reproducibility limits. \( H_c' \) is independent of the sample geometry within the error limits, as it should be.
3. The remanence and energy values for this 24 mm rod stock lie between those of the 6.5 mm rod and those of the 31 mm rod, but closer to the latter. Using the Matsushita figures (because they are averages over the whole rod cross section), the salient properties are compared in Table 9.

**TABLE 9. Comparison of the Salient Magnetic Properties.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rod Diameter</th>
<th>$B_r$</th>
<th>$H_{cc}$</th>
<th>$(BH)_{m}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mm</td>
<td>kG</td>
<td>kOe</td>
<td>MGOe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plans for future experiments on these samples:
We hope to conduct temperature cycling and elevated temperature/long-term flux stability studies on the samples of Group IV. In these, the open-circuit remanent flux would be measured at different temperatures, and as a function of time-at-temperature, with a resolution of 0.01%. The different L/D ratios determine different $B_d/H_d$ operating permeance and allow one to simulate the circuit behavior of the magnet at different operating points.

**MECHANICAL TESTS**

1. **Machining Experience**

During the machining of test samples it became obvious that the extruded Mn-Al-C(Ni) alloy is not really ductile at room temperature. While it can certainly be machined with carbide tools according to Matsushita's instruction, the workpiece chipped severely during drilling on the exit side. In spark-erosion machining (EDM), too, a piece broke off the corner of one cube. The latter fracture may have started at a flaw that was present in the 31 mm disc from the extrusion process. The fracture surfaces look like those of ceramics and are indicative of brittle fracture.

2. **Bending Test for Flexural Strength**

According to the test plan, room-temperature bending tests were performed on two specimens cut from a 31 mm disc. (Sample Group III; see sketch on page 12 for sample location, specimens 4 and 5.) However, only the ultimate strength was determined. The samples were too small to instrument them for elastic modulus and Poisson's ratio measurements, as was originally intended.
The flexural tests were performed on prismatic bar specimens of 3 x 3 x \(26\) mm (0.13 x 0.13 x \(\sim\) 1 in) size, with the load applied parallel and perpendicular to the magnetization/extrusion direction. The long direction of the bar was essentially along a diameter of the 31 mm disc, i.e., a magnetically hard axis. The samples were magnetized.

Four-point flexure testing was used in preference to a uniaxial tension test for several reasons. Uniform-stress, uniaxial tensile tests using the familiar "dogbone" shaped specimens would be too wasteful of material. We did not have enough to make standard-size samples. Moreover, direct tension tests are very susceptible to parasitic stresses resulting from eccentric loading, and to cracking of specimens in the test grips, in the case of brittle materials.

In contrast, the four-point flexure test uses a very simple specimen geometry and permits the use of quite small samples. Under load, a constant moment is developed between the two inner load points. Consequently, a uniform surface stress is developed over a significant portion of the sample and valid test data can be obtained for failures which occur anywhere in that region. To minimize errors from fixture misalignment, friction effects, and contact point wedging, a kinematically designed bend fixture is employed. This fixture incorporates the basic design features recommended by the NATO Advisory Group for Aerospace Research and Development. A universal testing machine is used to load specimens at a rate intended to produce failure in not less than two minutes.

From the machining experience described above we had reasons to suspect that the material is indeed relatively brittle. The fracture mode of the flexure test bars confirmed this. The broken surfaces again look like those of the machining fractures, and there is no evidence of plastic deformation before failure of the bars.

### TABLE 10. Results of the Flexure Tests:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen Type</th>
<th>Dimensions b[mm]</th>
<th>d[mm]</th>
<th>Load [kgf]</th>
<th>Ultimate Strength [MPa]</th>
<th>[kgf/mm²]</th>
<th>[kpsi]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F // M</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>150.8</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F ⊥ M</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>171.0</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Compressive Strength Test:

Cube M-1647, cut from the 31 mm diameter stock, Sample Group III, was subjected to a compressive strength test. An Instron Universal Tester with self-alignment fixture and load pacer was used in this compressive strength test. A suitable test fixture had been fabricated during a previous program and was available for this investigation. The sample was loaded in the compression fixture with the force parallel to the extrusion direction // magnetic easy axis // thickness of the cylindrical disk A-3. A stress-strain curve was recorded. Numerical values for Young's modulus of elasticity and for ultimate strength were derived from the curve (Figure 3, Top and Table 11). T-type strain gauges were bonded on the side of the cube thus measuring strain in both the longitudinal and transverse directions for given static loads. A transverse strain vs. longitudinal strain curve was plotted (Figure 3, Bottom) from which Poisson's Ratio was calculated (Table 11).

| TABLE 11: Numerical Results of the Compressive Strength Test for Cube M-1647 |
| DIMENSIONS: b = 8.11 mm = 0.3193 in. |
| d = 8.01 mm = 0.3153 in. |
| COMPRESSION FAILURE LOAD = 8550 kgf = 18,800 lbs. |
| ULTIMATE COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH = 1290 MPa = 131 kgf/mm² = 186.7 kpsi. |
| YOUNG'S MODULUS, E = 180,000 MPa = 18,300 kgf/mm² = 26,000 kpsi. |
| POISSON'S RATIO, ν = 0.25 |

SUMMARY OF MAGNETIC RESULTS:

The results of all the magnetic measurements performed on cubes M-1646, M-1647 and M-1648 are summarized in Tables 12, 13 and 14. In the graphical representation of these results (illustrated by Figures 4, 5 and 6), μr, the recoil permeability, was arbitrarily defined as the slope of the line connecting the two tips of the minor loop for complete recoil from the operating point B/H = -2.5 G/Oe.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 12. Summary of the Average Magnetic Properties of Cube M-1646</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H — EXTRUSION AXIS *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* R = Radial Direction; T = Tangential Direction.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 13. SUMMARY OF THE AVERAGE MAGNETIC PROPERTIES OF CUBE M-1647</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurements at 25°C with 3 different coils.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurements at low and high temperatures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual coil with heating/cooling fixture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONC. COILS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_r [kG]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H c [kOe]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_c [kOe]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_K [kOe]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(BH) max [MGOe]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H EXTRUSION AXIS</th>
<th>B_r [kG]</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>2.93</th>
<th>3.15</th>
<th>3.06</th>
<th>2.93</th>
<th>2.91</th>
<th>2.64</th>
<th>2.35</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M c [kOe]</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* R = Radial Direction; T = Tangential Direction.
FIGURE 5. Temperature Dependence of Selected Second Quadrant Properties for Cube M-1647.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 14. SUMMARY OF THE AVERAGE MAGNETIC PROPERTIES OF CUBE M-1648</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurements at 25°C with 3 different coils.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurements at low and high temperatures. Dual coil with heating/cooling fixture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONC. DUAL DUAL Measurements at 25°C with 3 different coils. Measurements at low and high temperatures. Dual coil with heating/cooling fixture. COIL COIL COILS With Heat Fixture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_r [kG]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M_Hc [kOe]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_Hc [kOe]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_K [kOe]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(BH)_max [MGOe]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* R = Radial Direction; T = Tangential Direction.
CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY OF RESULTS: An experimental characterization of selected magnetic and some mechanical properties of the new permanent magnet material, Mn-Al-C, was undertaken in support of work by various groups designing propulsion motors for electric vehicles. The samples were provided by the only commercial producer, the Japanese Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd. Pieces of extruded rods of three different diameters (6.5 mm, 24 mm and 31 mm) were tested.

The magnetic results may be highlighted as follows:

(1) Matsushita reported conservative numbers for the magnetic properties. We measured slightly higher values for remanence, coercivity and energy product. This is unusual. We normally find the claims of vendors exaggerated and measure lower properties than reported by the producers.

(2) The $B_r$ and $(BH)_{max}$ for the 31 mm rod are poorer than those of the 24 mm or 6.5 mm rod stock. It appears that the smaller the diameter of the extruded bar, the better is the grain orientation achieved, and therefore the remanence, loop squareness and energy product are better. The remanence and energy values for the 24 mm rod stock lie between those of the 6.5 mm rod and those of the 31 mm rod, but closer to the latter.

(3) There is a radial gradient of the properties, at least in the 31 mm rod. $B_r$ and $(BH)_{max}$ near the rim are about 7% and 18% higher than the respective quantities at the center of the rod. This seems again to be due to better crystal orientation. It is likely that the greater shear stresses near the die wall during the extrusion process favor the formation of the desired crystal texture there. Not enough of the 24 mm material was available to make a similar homogeneity check.

(4) The hardest axes of magnetization perpendicular to the extrusion direction - in the radial and transverse directions - are practically identical in their magnetic properties.

(5) As a consequence of the low Curie temperature of Mn-Al-C ($T_C = 275 - 300^\circ C$), all of the magnetic properties are strongly temperature dependent. They deteriorate very rapidly as the samples are heated to $\sim 150^\circ C$. Although $B_r$ only drops from $\sim 5.78$ kG at $25^\circ C$ to $\sim 4.78$ kG at $150^\circ C$, the quantities $M^H_{C}$ and $(BH)_{max}$ fall off much faster: the intrinsic coercive force goes from 2.85 kOe down to 1.46 kOe, and the energy product from 5.2 MGOe to only 2.7 MGOe when the temperature rises from $25^\circ C$ to $150^\circ C$.

(6) Detailed information was obtained on the recoil behavior of Mn-Al-C at various temperatures between $-50^\circ C$ and $+150^\circ C$. The second-quadrant recoil loop fields reported should be a valuable aid in the proper design of motors. It was found that the intrinsic recoil loops of Mn-Al-C have an unusual sickle shape. This finding is strictly of basic-science interest.
The mechanical tests showed the following results:

(1) The extruded Mn-Al-C (Ni) alloy is not really ductile at room temperature. While it can be machined with carbide tools according to Matsushita's instructions, the workpiece easily chips and one has to be very careful. In spark erosion machining (EDM), too, a piece broke off. The latter fracture may have started at a flaw that was present in the 31 mm disc from the extrusion process. The fracture surfaces look like those of ceramics and are indicative of brittle fracture.

From the machining experience described above we concluded that the material is indeed relatively brittle. The fracture mode of flexure test bars confirmed this. The broken surfaces again look like those of the machining fractures, and there is no evidence of plastic deformation before failure of the bars.

(2) The ultimate strength measure during the flexure tests was 150.8 MPa when the force was parallel to the direction of magnetization, and 171.0 MPa when perpendicular.

(3) In a compression test of a cubic sample, the ultimate compressive strength was 1290 MPa. Young's modulus is 180,000 MPa and Poisson's ratio is 0.25.

In conclusion, it must be said that the Mn-Al-C does not look very attractive for use in automotive propulsion motors because of the severe loss of coercivity on heating above 100°C. At least, the engineers will have to carefully design for the worst-case current load at the highest magnet temperature expected in use. The limited sizes and shapes in which the material is presently available are also a disadvantage. However, there is no question that Mn-Al-C has the potential of replacing Alnico 5 in many other applications where the magnet stays essentially at room temperature and/or the operating point is essentially fixed.
## APPENDIX I

HYSTERESIS LOOPS, DEMAG. CURVES, MINOR LOOP FIELDS

FIGURES 7 THROUGH 42
PAGES 29 THROUGH 64

### SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Group</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Figures</th>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample Group I</td>
<td>Cylinders from 6.5 mm rod</td>
<td>7-11</td>
<td>29 to 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Group II</td>
<td>Cylinders from 31 mm rod</td>
<td>12-15</td>
<td>34 to 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Group III</td>
<td>Cube from 31 mm rod</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) Room temperature data</td>
<td>16-22</td>
<td>38 to 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Data for -50°C to +150°C</td>
<td>23-38</td>
<td>45 to 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Group IV</td>
<td>Cylinders from 24 mm rod</td>
<td>39-42</td>
<td>61 to 64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE 7 Major Hysteresis Loops For Cylinder M-1481 at 25°C.
FIGURE 8 Major Hysteresis Loops For Cylinder M-1482 at 25°C.
FIGURE 9 Major Hysteresis Loops For Cylinder M-1483 at 25°C.
FIGURE 10 Q-2 Recoil Loops, (B-H) & B, For Cylinder M-1482 at 25°C.
FIGURE 11 Full Minor-Loop Field For Cylinder M-1482 at 25°C.
FIGURE 12 Major Hysteresis Loops For Cylinder M-1639 at 25°C.
FIGURE 13 Major Hysteresis Loops For Cylinder M-1638 at 25°C.
FIGURE 14 Q-2 Recoil Loops, (B-H) & B, For Cylinder M-1638 at 25°C.
FIGURE 15 Full Minor-Loop Field For Cylinder M-1638 at 25°C.
FIGURE 16 Major Hysteresis Loops For Cube M-1646 at 25°C (Using Conc. Coils).
FIGURE 17 Major Hysteresis Loops For Cube M-1646 at 25°C (Using Dual Coils Without H/C Fixture).
FIGURE 18 Major Hysteresis Loops For Cube M-1646 at 25°C (Using Dual Coils With H/C Fixture).
FIGURE 19 Q-2 Recoil Loops, (B-H) & B, For Cube M-1646 at 25°C (Using Dual Coils With H/C Fixture).
FIGURE 20 Full Minor Loop Field For Cube M-1646 at 25°C (Using Dual Coils With H/C Fixture).
FIGURE 21  Major Hysteresis Loops For All Three Cube Axes For M-1646 at 25°C (Using Conc. Coils).
FIGURE 22 Major Hysteresis Loops For All Three Cube Axes For M-1646 at 25°C (Using Dual Coils With H/C Fixture).
FIGURE 23 Major Hysteresis Loops For Cube M-1646 at \(-50^\circ C\).
FIGURE 24 Major Hysteresis Loops For Cube M-1646 at 0°C.
FIGURE 25 Major Hysteresis Loops For Cube M-1646 at +50°C.
FIGURE 26 Major Hysteresis Loops For Cube M-1646 at +100°C.
FIGURE 27 Major Hysteresis Loops For Cube M-1646 at +150°C.
FIGURE 28 Major Hysteresis Loops For All Three Cube Axes For M-1646 at -50°C.
FIGURE 29 Major Hysteresis Loops For All Three Cube Axes For M-1646 at 0°C.
FIGURE 30  Major Hysteresis Loops For All Three Cube Axes For M-1646 at +50°C.
FIGURE 31 Major Hysteresis Loops For All Three Cube Axes For M-1646 at +100°C.
FIGURE 32 Major Hysteresis Loops For All Three Cube Axes For M-1646 at +150°C.
FIGURE 33 Q-2 Recoil Loops, (B-H) & B For Cube M-1646 at -50°C.
FIGURE 34 Q-2 Recoil Loops, (B-H) & B For Cube M-1646 at 0°C.
FIGURE 35 Q-2 Recoil Loops, (B-H) & B For Cube M-1646 at +50°C.
FIGURE 36 Q-2 Recoil Loops, (B-H) & B For Cube M-1646 at +100°C.
FIGURE 37 Q-2 Recoil Loops, (B-H) & B For Cube M-1646 at +150°C.
FIGURE 38 Composite Q-2 Loops, (B-H) & B For Cube M-1646 At the Various Temperatures
(-50°C, 0°C, 25°C, 50°C, 100°C, and 150°C).
FIGURE 39 Major Hysteresis Loops For Cylinder M-1642 at 25°C.
FIGURE 40 Major Hysteresis Loops For Cylinder M-1643 at 25°C.
FIGURE 41 Major Hysteresis Loops For Cylinder M-1644 at 25°C.
FIGURE 42 Major Hysteresis Loops For Cylinder M-1645 at 25°C.
### APPENDIX II: LIST OF SYMBOLS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYMBOLS</th>
<th>NAME OF QUANTITY</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Total Induction or Flux Density</td>
<td>Gauss (G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Applied Magnetic Field</td>
<td>Oersted (Oe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Magnetization</td>
<td>(emu/cm³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((B-H) = 4\pi M = B_i)</td>
<td>Intrinsic Induction</td>
<td>Gauss (G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_r</td>
<td>Remanence or Residual Induction</td>
<td>Gauss (G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_c = BH_c</td>
<td>Induction Coercive Force</td>
<td>Oersted (Oe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M^H_c</td>
<td>Intrinsic Coercive Force</td>
<td>Oersted (Oe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((BH)_{max})</td>
<td>Maximum Static Energy Product</td>
<td>Million Gauss Oersted (MGOe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_K</td>
<td>Knee Field (H when B_i = 90% B_r)</td>
<td>Oersted (Oe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_d</td>
<td>B at ((BH)_{max})</td>
<td>Gauss (G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_d</td>
<td>H at ((BH)_{max})</td>
<td>Oersted (Oe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/H</td>
<td>Permeance or Unit Permeance</td>
<td>Gauss/Oersted (G/Oe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_d/H_d</td>
<td>Permeance at ((BH)_{max})</td>
<td>Gauss/Oersted (G/Oe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mu_r)</td>
<td>Recoil Permeability</td>
<td>Gauss/Oersted (G/Oe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_c</td>
<td>Curie Temperature</td>
<td>Degree Centigrade (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>Gram per Cubic Centimeter (g/cm³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho)</td>
<td>Electric Resistivity</td>
<td>Micro Ohm. Centimeter (10^{-6}\Omega . cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRc</td>
<td>Hardness (Rockwell C)</td>
<td>Million Gauss Oersted (MGOe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E_r</td>
<td>Recoil Energy Product</td>
<td>Million Gauss Oersted (MGOe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_{gap}</td>
<td>Gap Flux Density</td>
<td>Gauss (G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>Room Temperature (~25°C)</td>
<td>Degree Centigrade (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-2</td>
<td>Second-Quadrant (of hysteresis loop)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-3</td>
<td>Third-Quadrant (of hysteresis loop)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Millimeter (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>Millimeter (mm)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The development of Mn-Al-C permanent magnets is briefly reviewed. The general properties of the material are discussed and put into perspective relative to alnicos and ferrites. The commercial material now available from the Matsushita Electric Industrial Co. is described by the manufacturer's data. The traction-motor designer's demands of a permanent magnet for potential use in electric vehicle drives are reviewed. From this, a list of the needed specific information is extracted. The results of measurements we made to fill the information gap are presented in the form of tables and graphs. The data is discussed and interpreted. The tests determined magnetic design data and some mechanical strength properties. Easy-axis hysteresis and demagnetization curves, recoil loops and other minor-loop fields were measured over a temperature range from -50°C to 150°C. Hysteresis loops were also measured for three orthogonal directions (the one easy and two hard axes of magnetization). Extruded rods of three different diameters were tested. The nonuniformity of properties over the cross section of the 31 mm diameter rod was studied. Mechanical compressive and bending strength at room temperature was determined on individual samples from the 31 mm rod.
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