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SUMMARY

A local linearization technique has been successfully used to solve a system of stiff differential equations associated with a Magnetic Bearing Assembly. The technique has proven to be accurate, stable and extremely efficient. A variable order Adams method with a stiff option was used as a reference case.

INTRODUCTION

Certain models encountered in simulation studies require the solution of systems of stiff, nonlinear differential equations. Solving a system for the state as a function of time using general purpose flexible integration routines becomes costly because of overhead, satisfying error tolerance requirements and the fine integration step size which may be required to represent properly the system response. The problem is compounded when one or several of these models are required to be interfaced as subsystems of a large dynamic simulation which may have many time consuming derivative evaluations as well as slowly varying states. In such applications, the stiffness of the entire system may be amplified, thus requiring the entire simulation to operate at a very small integration step size. A method for solving this problem is to apply a stiff differential integration technique to only the stiff models of the simulation, thus allowing for stiff and nonstiff
integration algorithms to be used, and consequently improving the efficiency of the overall simulation. Therefore, a numerical integration technique, preferably with one derivative evaluation per step, which is stable, accurate, and efficient is needed to solve these stiff simulation models.

An integration technique which exhibits the desired characteristics is the local linearization (LL) technique described in reference 1. The LL algorithm is especially suited for models for which the Jacobian matrix is easily obtained.

An excellent model to demonstrate the application of the LL technique is the Magnetic Bearing Assembly (MBA) described in appendix A. The MBA is a magnetic actuator which is used in critical solar, stellar and earth pointing applications on Space Shuttle missions. This model has eigenvalues ranging from 10 to 2000. However, if the MBA’s are interfaced into the Annular Suspension and Pointing System (ASPS) simulation model, eigenvalues ranging from 0.1 to 2000 are obtained, thus considerably amplifying the stiffness of the system. The ASPS is described in reference 2.

The purpose of this study is to demonstrate the LL technique to simulate a MBA or other similar models which cannot be solved efficiently using general purpose, flexible integration methods.

The LL performance is documented in this report against a general purpose, variable order Adams method with a stiff option (VOADAM) which assumes a dense Jacobian.

**SYMBOLS**

\[ B, C, u, v, r, q, \delta \]

submatrices required for matrix inversion

\[
\begin{align*}
K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4, \\
K_{LD}, K_p, K_I
\end{align*}
\]

MBA current loop parameters
MBA circuit parameters

time varying Jacobian matrix

elements of B, where i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5

elements of A(t), where i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5

MBA scale factors

matrix representing special 5x5 inverse

n-dimensional vector composed of general nonlinear time-varying functions of the state vector $\hat{z}(t)$

force generated by upper magnetic pole, N

force generated by lower magnetic pole, N

MBA force output, N

force command input to MBA, N

maximum MBA force output, N

actual magnetic gap, m

nominal magnetic gap, m

integration step size, seconds

identity matrix

MBA current command, A

MBA bias current, A

MBA force constant, Nm/A²

payload mass, Kg

matrix coefficients in general LL algorithm for solution of nonlinear time-varying systems

Laplace operator

time, seconds

common terms used in inverting the 5x5 matrix B
\[ V \]
MBA voltage command, V

\[ \bar{Z}(t) \]
n-dimensional vector representing system states

\[ \Delta g \]
difference between nominal and actual magnetic gap, m

\[ \dot{\Delta g} \]
estimate of \( \Delta g, m \)

\( \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4 \)
functions of magnetic gap and gap coefficients

\[ \omega_B \]
MBA bandwidth, rad/sec

\[ \zeta \]
actual gap coefficient, \( /m \)

\[ \hat{\zeta} \]
estimated gap coefficient, \( /m \)

Subscripts:

\( k \)
integer constant

\( L \)
denotes lower MBA pole

\( n \)
denotes steps in construction of submatrices, \( n = 2, 3, 4, 5 \)

\( U \)
denotes upper MBA pole

Dot over symbol denotes time derivative

Abbreviation:

ASPS Annular Suspension and Pointing System

CPU Central Processing Unit

LL Local Linearization

MBA Magnetic Bearing Assembly

VOADAM Variable Order Adams with stiff option
PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

Shown in figure 1 is a block diagram of the MBA. The simplified ASPS dynamics shown was used purely for MBA model checkout and is not included in the state equations. The state differential equation of the MBA is given by:

\[
\dot{Z} = F(\overline{Z}, f_c, \Delta g, \Delta \dot{g}, \Delta \hat{g}, t) \tag{1}
\]

where \(\overline{Z}, f_c, \Delta g, \Delta \dot{g}, \Delta \hat{g}\) and \(t\) represent the state vector, the command force, gap, gap rate, gap estimate, and time, respectively. The LL solution at \(t_{k+1}\) is given by:

\[
\overline{Z}_{k+1} = \overline{Z}_k + P_k \dot{Z}_k + Q_k \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \left( \overline{Z}_k, f_c, \Delta g_k, \Delta \dot{g}_k, \Delta \hat{g}_k, t_k \right) \tag{2}
\]

where

\[
P = A^{-1}(e^{Ah} - I) \tag{3}
\]

\[
Q = A^{-1}(P - hI) \tag{4}
\]

\[
A = \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \overline{Z}} \tag{5}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial f_c} \frac{\partial f_c}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \Delta g} \frac{\partial \Delta g}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \Delta \dot{g}} \frac{\partial \Delta \dot{g}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \Delta \hat{g}} \frac{\partial \Delta \hat{g}}{\partial t} \tag{6}
\]
\[ I = \text{n x n identity matrix} \]

and \( h \) is the integration step size. The order of the system is \( n \), which for this system is 5 for the upper pole and 5 for the lower pole of the MBA. The \( n \)-differential equations of (1), the \( A \) matrix (5) and the \( n \)-vector (6) are given in appendix B.

The approach taken to efficiently solve equation (2) was to substitute a first order Pade \(^\prime\) approximation for the matrix exponential and to develop a special matrix inverse to take advantage of the zero elements of the resulting 5x5 matrix. Another approach which was not investigated, but should also be efficient when used in conjunction with the first order Pade \(^\prime\) approximation is to solve systems of differential equations in lieu of computing inverses. This would probably reduce the number of operations required to obtain a solution as compared to the approach taken.

If the Pade \(^\prime\) approximation

\[ e^{Ah} \approx (I - Ah/2)^{-1} (I + Ah/2) \quad (7) \]

is substituted into equations (3) and (4) \( P \) becomes:

\[ P = h (I - Ah/2)^{-1} \quad (8) \]

and \( Q \) becomes:

\[ Q = Ph/2 \quad (9) \]

The matrix \((I - \frac{Ah}{2})\) can be inverted using standard system matrix
routines. However, to take advantage of the many zero elements, a special inverse was obtained using the partitioning and bordering technique discussed in reference 3 and summarized in appendix C. This final code resulted in approximately a 76 percent reduction in execution time required for the inverse problem.

RESULTS

Several test cases were examined comparing the LL and VOADAM results. Forcing functions used were a step, triangular wave and sine wave. The triangular wave had a frequency of 1 Hz and a slope of 1 Newton/second. The sine wave had an amplitude of 4 Newtons and frequencies of 2.5 and 10 Hz. The LL test cases were run with a integration step size of 0.001 seconds. The error criteria used for the VOADAM solutions was selected such that the solution was to have no more than 125 units of error per 1,000,000 units of magnitude. Two test cases were made with each forcing function except for the step input where only one case was run. The two cases run were with and without the $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$ vector zeroed. The step, which was input at $t = 0.005$ seconds with a magnitude of 1.5 newtons, was only run with $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$ zeroed since by definition the derivative of a step has infinite slope. The purpose of examining the effect of zeroing the $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$ vector is that in practice it is seldom available. In some applications a backward difference calculation of $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$ can be used, however, caution should be exercised when using this procedure.

The LL and VOADAM solutions to a 2.5 Hz sine wave is shown in figure 2. The variables plotted are the MBA output, $f$, the error between the VOADAM and LL solutions, and the magnetic gap change, $\Delta g$, resulting from applying $f$
to a 90 Kg mass. Figures 3 to 5 show the LL and VOADAM solutions to a 10 Hz sine wave, a triangular wave and a step input, respectively. Presented in tables I to IV is a digital representation of portions of the data shown in the time history plots. The maximum error which occurred during the run is shown enclosed in the box.

The data presented shows LL to be extremely accurate even with the $\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial t}$ vector zeroed. As mentioned previously, the LL data presented was run at only one integration step size, 0.001 seconds. No attempt was made at the writing of this report to vary the step size or to investigate methods of approximating the $\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial t}$ vector with backward differences or other numerical methods of computing derivatives. It is reasonable to assume, however, that the LL results for $\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial t}$ equal to zero could be improved by one or both of the above methods.

Computer execution times comparing LL to VOADAM for an input sine wave frequency of 1, 5, and 10 Hz are shown in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LL</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOADAM</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%CPU saved</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>90.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The execution times are expressed as CPU-second/second of run time and the percent CPU saved is the amount of CPU time saved by LL. For timing purposes, a step size of 0.001 seconds was used for LL while VOADAM adjusts the step size to satisfy a maximum error criteria of 125 units of error per 1,000,000
units of magnitude. VOADAM was required to return to the calling program at 0.01 second intervals. Although this is not the most efficient use of VOADAM, it was required to simulate the operating environment of the MBA. The MBA receives inputs at 0.01 second intervals from a digital controller.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

A local linearization technique (LL) has been successfully used to solve a system of stiff differential equations associated with a Magnetic Bearing Assembly. The LL technique proved to be accurate, stable and extremely efficient when compared against a general purpose flexible Adams integration method with a stiff option. In large dynamic simulations, which require the simulation of models such as the Magnetic Bearing Assembly, the LL technique, when applicable, appears to be one of the most efficient methods to employ. When considering budget constraints, the LL technique may very well determine whether or not models such as the MBA can be included, without simplification, in large dynamic simulations.

The LL technique presented in this report is not restricted to the application discussed herein. For example, in the linear time-varying case, the Jacobian and LL coefficients (P and Q) are constant, which makes the LL technique especially suitable for real time simulation applications since only one initial computation of the Jacobian is required.
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APPENDIX A

DESCRIPTION OF THE MAGNETIC BEARING ASSEMBLY

The Magnetic Bearing Assembly (MBA) is a magnetic actuator used for fine pointing control in the Annular Suspension and Pointing System (ASPS) which is described in reference 2. Shown in figure 1 is a block diagram of the hardware model and the associated current loop electronics. Actuators of this type are inherently nonlinear. This particular model uses bias current linearization to remove the current squared nonlinearity and a signal proportional to the gap is used to multiply the coil currents to compensate for the inverse-gap-squared relationship. A current control loop is used to obtain the desired actuator response. The MBA parameters are shown in table V. The current control loop parameters are tabulated in table VI. The following functional relationships are used to calculate the bias current and current control loop parameters.

\[ I_o = \frac{g_o}{2} \sqrt{\frac{F_{\text{max}}}{K}} \]  
(A-1)

\[ K_{LD} = L_{LE} L g_o^2 \]  
(A-2)

\[ K_p = (L g_o R_{AC} + L g_o R_D + L_{LE} R_{AC}) \omega_B^2 \]  
(A-3)

\[ K_I = R_{AC} R_D \omega_B^2 \]  
(A-4)

\[ K_3 = L g_o \]  
(A-5)
\[ K_4 = R_{AC} \]  

\( \omega_B \) is the bandwidth and \( F_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum force output. The parameters \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) were selected to give desired damping characteristics. The value of the remaining parameters are the result of laboratory measurements.
APPENDIX B

STATE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS, "A" MATRICES AND THE $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$ VECTORS

The differential equations are

**Upper Pole**

\[ \dot{Z}_{1U} = K_2 (I_{CU} - Z_{4U}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-1)

\[ \dot{Z}_{2U} = (Z_{1U} + K_1 (I_{CU} - Z_{4U}) - K_4 Z_{2U}) / K_3 \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-2)

\[ \dot{Z}_{3U} = K_1 Z_{2U} \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-3)

\[ \dot{Z}_{4U} = \frac{1}{L_{LE}} (V_U + Z_{5U} R_{AC} - Z_{4U} R_{AC} - R_D Z_{4U}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-4)

\[ Z_{5U} = \frac{n_3}{L_{g_0}} (+ R_{AC} Z_{4U} - \frac{C_2 \Delta g Z_{5U}}{n_3} - R_{AC} Z_{5U}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-5)

**Lower Pole**

\[ \dot{Z}_{1L} = K_2 (I_{CL} - Z_{4L}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-6)
\[ z_{2L} = \frac{(Z_{1L} + K_1 (I_{CL} - Z_{4L}) - K_4 Z_{2L})}{K_3} \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-7) \\
\[ z_{3L} = K_1 z_{2L} \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-8) \\
\[ z_{4L} = \frac{1}{L_{LE}} (V_L + Z_{5L} R_{AC} - Z_{4L} R_{AC} - R_D Z_{4L}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-9) \\
\[ z_{5L} = \frac{n_4}{L_{g_0}} (+ R_{AC} Z_{4L} + \frac{C_2 \Delta \delta Z_{5L}}{n_4^2} - R_{AC} Z_{5L}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-10) \\

where 

\[ I_{CU} = (I_o + f_c C_1) \eta_1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-11) \\
\[ I_{CL} = (I_o - f_c C_1) \eta_2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-12) \\
\[ \eta_1 = (1 - \zeta \Delta \delta) \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-13) \\
\[ \eta_2 = (1 + \zeta \Delta \delta) \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-14) \\
\[ \eta_3 = (1 - \zeta \Delta \delta) \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-15)
\[ n_4 = (1 + \zeta A g) \quad \text{(B-16)} \]

\[ V_U = K_{LD} z_{2U} + K_p z_{2U} + z_{3U} - v_{LIM} \leq V_U \leq v_{LIM} \quad \text{(B-17)} \]

\[ V_L = K_{LD} z_{2L} + K_p z_{2L} + z_{3L} - v_{LIM} \leq V_L \leq v_{LIM} \quad \text{(B-18)} \]

\[ C_1 = \frac{g_o^2}{(4kI_o)} \quad \text{(B-19)} \]

\[ C_2 = \zeta L g_o \quad \text{(B-20)} \]

\[ F_U = \left( c_3/n_3^2 \right) z_{5U}^2 \quad \text{(B-21)} \]

\[ F_L = \left( c_3/n_4^2 \right) z_{5L}^2 \quad \text{(B-22)} \]

\[ C_3 = \frac{k}{g_o^2} \quad \text{(B-23)} \]

The \( \partial \bar{F}/\partial t \) vector for the upper pole is given by:
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial Z_{1U}}{\partial t} &= K_2 \left[ n_1 c_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - (I_o + c_1 f_c) \frac{\partial \Delta g}{\partial t} \right] = K_2 \cdot \text{VAR1} \\
\frac{\partial Z_{2U}}{\partial t} &= \frac{K_1}{K_3} \cdot \text{VAR1} \\
\frac{\partial Z_{3U}}{\partial t} &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial Z_{4U}}{\partial t} &= \frac{K_{LD} K_1}{L_{LE} K_3} \cdot \text{VAR1} \\
\frac{\partial Z_{4U}}{\partial t} &= 0 \quad -V_{\text{LIM}} > V_U > V_{\text{LIM}} \\
\frac{\partial Z_{5U}}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{L_{g_o}} \left[ \left( R_{AC} [Z_{5U} - Z_{4U}] - \frac{c_2 \Delta g Z_{5U}}{n_2} \right) \frac{\partial \Delta g}{\partial t} - \frac{c_2 Z_{5U}}{n_3} \frac{\partial \Delta g}{\partial t} \right] \quad \text{(B-29)}
\end{align*}
\]

The \( \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \) vector for the lower pole is given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial Z_{1L}}{\partial t} &= K_2 \left[ -c_1 n_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + (I_o - c_1 f_c) \frac{\partial \Delta g}{\partial t} \right] = K_2 \cdot \text{VAR2} \\
\frac{\partial Z_{2L}}{\partial t} &= \frac{K_1}{K_3} \cdot \text{VAR2}
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \frac{\partial Z_{3L}}{\partial t} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-32)

\[ \frac{\partial Z_{4L}}{\partial t} = \frac{K_{LD}}{L_{LE}} \frac{1}{K_3} \cdot \text{VAR2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-33)

\[ \frac{\partial Z_{4L}}{\partial t} = 0 \quad -V_{LIM} > V_L > V_{LIM} \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-34)

\[ \frac{\partial Z_{5L}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{Lg_o} \left[ \left( \zeta R_{AC} [Z_{4L} - Z_{5L}] - \frac{C_2 \Delta g \dot{Z}_{5L} \zeta}{\eta_4} \right) \frac{\partial \Delta g}{\partial t} + \frac{C_2 Z_{5L}}{\eta_4} \frac{\partial \Delta g}{\partial t} \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-35)

The "A" matrix, \( \frac{\partial Z_i}{\partial Z_j} \) (i = 1, \ldots, 5, j = 1, \ldots, 5) for the upper pole is given by:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & a_{14} & 0 \\
0 & a_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
a_{21} & 0 & 0 & a_{24} & 0 \\
a_{31} & 0 & a_{43} & 0 & a_{45} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & a_{54} & a_{55}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (B-36)

where

\[ a_{14} = -K_2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-37)
\[ a_{21} = \frac{1}{K_3} \quad (B-38) \]

\[ a_{22} = -\frac{K_4}{K_3} \quad (B-39) \]

\[ a_{24} = -\frac{K_1}{K_3} \quad (B-40) \]

\[ a_{32} = \frac{K_L}{L} \quad (B-41) \]

\[ a_{41} = \frac{K_{LD}}{K_3 L_{LE}} \quad (B-42) \]

\[ a_{42} = \frac{1}{L_{LE}} \left( -\frac{K_4}{K_3} \frac{K_{LD}}{L_{LE}} + \frac{K}{P} \right) \quad (B-43) \]

\[ a_{43} = \frac{1}{L_{LE}} \quad (B-44) \]

\[ a_{44} = -\frac{1}{L_{LE}} \left( \frac{K_1}{K_3} \frac{K_{LD}}{L_{LE}} + R_{AC} + R_D \right) \quad (B-45) \]

\[ a_{45} = \frac{R_{AC}}{L_{LE}} \quad (B-46) \]
The following elements are zero:

\[ a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{15}, a_{23}, a_{25}, a_{31}, a_{33}, a_{34}, a_{35}, a_{51}, a_{52}, \text{ and } a_{53}. \]

The "A" matrix for the lower pole is given by:

Elements \( a_{14} \) through \( a_{45} \) are identical to the upper pole "A" matrix elements. The remaining two elements are:

\[ a_{54} = \frac{\eta_4 R_{\text{AC}}}{L g_o} \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-49)

\[ a_{55} = \frac{\eta_4}{L g_o} \left( \frac{C_2 \Delta \dot{g}}{\frac{\eta_4}{2} - R_{\text{AC}}} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-50)

If \( V_U < -V_{\text{LIM}} \) or \( V_U > V_{\text{LIM}} \) the following upper pole elements are set to:

\[ a_{41} = a_{42} = a_{43} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (B-51)
If $V_L < -V_{\text{LIM}}$ or $V_L > V_{\text{LIM}}$ the following lower pole elements are set to:

$$a_{41} = a_{42} = a_{43} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (B-53)

$$a_{4,4} = -(R_{AC} + R_D)/L_{LE}$$  \hspace{1cm} (B-54)

For steady state initialization:

$$Z_{1U} = Z_{2U} = Z_{1L} = Z_{2L} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (B-55)

$$Z_{3U} = Z_{3L} = I_o R_D$$  \hspace{1cm} (B-56)

$$Z_{4U} = Z_{5U} = Z_{4L} = Z_{5L} = I_o$$  \hspace{1cm} (B-57)
APPENDIX C
MATRIX INVERSION TECHNIQUE

Given the matrix $B$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix}
B_{n-1} & u_n \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
v_n & b_{nn}
\end{bmatrix}$$

where $B_{n-1}$ is a $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ square matrix, $v_n$ is a $1 \times (n-1)$ row matrix, $u_n$ is a $(n-1) \times 1$ column matrix, $b_{nn}$ is a scalar. Assume the inverse to be of the form

$$B^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix}
C_{n-1} & r_n \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
q_n & \delta^{-1}_n \\
\end{bmatrix}$$

where $C_{n-1}$ is a $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ square matrix, $q_n$ is a $1 \times (n-1)$ row matrix, $r_n$ is a $(n-1) \times 1$ column matrix, $\delta^{-1}_n$ is a scalar. Using the relationship $BB^{-1} = I$ as in reference 2, it follows that:

$$\delta_n = b_{nn} - v_n B_{n-1}^{-1} u_n$$

$$q_n = -\delta^{-1}_n v_n B_{n-1}^{-1}$$
\[ r_n = -B_{n-1}^{-1} u_n \delta_n \]  \hspace{1cm} (C-5)

\[ C_{n-1} = B_{n-1}^{-1} - B_{n-1}^{-1} u_n q_n \]  \hspace{1cm} (C-6)

The 5x5 matrix inverse was calculated by starting with \( n = 2 \) to get the 2x2 inverse, with \( n = 3 \) to get the 3x3 inverse, etc., until \( n = 5 \).

If \( B \) is of the form

\[
B = \begin{bmatrix}
b_{11} & 0 & 0 & b_{14} & 0 \\
b_{21} & b_{22} & 0 & b_{24} & 0 \\
0 & b_{32} & b_{33} & 0 & 0 \\
b_{41} & b_{42} & b_{43} & b_{44} & b_{45} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & b_{54} & b_{55}
\end{bmatrix} \]  \hspace{1cm} (C-7)

the final 5x5 inverse, \( D \), is given by the following equations. Equations (C-8) through (C-23) give the 4x4 inverse. Equations (C-24) through (C-33) give the 5x5 inverse extended from the 4x4 inverse.

\[ d_{44} = \frac{1}{b_{44} - b_{14} T_1 - b_{24} T_2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (C-8)

\[ d_{41} = -d_{44} T_1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (C-9)

\[ d_{42} = -d_{44} T_2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (C-10)
\[ d_{43} = -\frac{d_{44} b_{43}}{b_{33}} \] (C-11)

\[ d_{14} = -\frac{d_{44} b_{14}}{b_{11}} \] (C-12)

\[ d_{24} = -d_{44} \left( \frac{b_{24}}{b_{22}} - \frac{b_{14} b_{21}}{b_{11} b_{22}} \right) \] (C-13)

\[ d_{34} = -d_{44} \left( \frac{b_{14} b_{21} b_{32}}{b_{11} b_{22} b_{33}} - \frac{b_{24} b_{32}}{b_{22} b_{33}} \right) \] (C-14)

\[ d_{11} = \frac{1}{b_{11}} - d_{41} T_3 \] (C-15)

\[ d_{21} = -\frac{b_{21}}{b_{11} b_{22}} - d_{41} T_4 \] (C-16)

\[ d_{31} = \frac{b_{21} b_{32}}{b_{11} b_{22} b_{33}} - d_{41} T_5 \] (C-17)

\[ d_{12} = -d_{42} T_3 \] (C-18)

\[ d_{22} = \frac{1}{b_{22}} - d_{42} T_4 \] (C-19)
\[ d_{32} = -\frac{b_{32}}{b_{22} \cdot b_{33}} - d_{42} T_5 \]  

\[ d_{13} = -d_{43} T_3 \]  

\[ d_{23} = -d_{43} T_4 \]  

\[ d_{33} = \frac{1}{b_{33}} - d_{43} T_5 \]  

\[ d_{55} = \frac{1}{b_{55} - b_{54} d_{44} - b_{45}} \]  

\[ d_{51} = -d_{55} b_{54} d_{41} \]  

\[ d_{52} = -d_{55} b_{54} d_{42} \]  

\[ d_{53} = -d_{55} b_{54} d_{43} \]  

\[ d_{54} = -d_{55} b_{54} d_{44} \]
\[ d_{15} = - d_{55} b_{45} d_{14} \] (C-29)

\[ d_{25} = - d_{55} b_{45} d_{24} \] (C-30)

\[ d_{35} = - d_{55} b_{45} d_{34} \] (C-31)

\[ d_{45} = - d_{55} b_{45} d_{44} \] (C-32)

\[ d_{ij} = d_{ij} + d_{55} d_{45} b_{45} b_{54} d_{4j} \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \] (C-33)

where

\[ T_1 = \frac{b_{41}}{b_{11}} - \frac{b_{42}}{b_{22}} \frac{b_{21}}{b_{22}} + \frac{b_{43}}{b_{11}} \frac{b_{21}}{b_{22}} \frac{b_{32}}{b_{33}} \] (C-34)

\[ T_2 = \frac{b_{42}}{b_{22}} - \frac{b_{43}}{b_{22}} \frac{b_{32}}{b_{33}} \] (C-35)

\[ T_3 = \frac{b_{14}}{b_{11}} \] (C-36)

\[ T_4 = - \frac{b_{21}}{b_{11}} \frac{b_{14}}{b_{22}} + \frac{b_{24}}{b_{22}} \] (C-37)
and

\[ T_5 = \frac{b_{21} b_{32} b_{14}}{b_{11} b_{22} b_{33}} - \frac{b_{32} b_{24}}{b_{22} b_{33}} \]  
(C-38)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum force output, $F_{\text{max}}$, N</td>
<td>34.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth, $\omega_B$, rad/sec</td>
<td>628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bias current, $I_O$, A</td>
<td>0.55522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal gap, $g_O$, m</td>
<td>0.00762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuator force constant, $K$, $\frac{N\cdot m^2}{A^2}$</td>
<td>0.00161284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap coefficients, $\xi$ and $\xi/m$</td>
<td>127.795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass inductance measured at nominal gap, $L_{gO}$, H</td>
<td>0.4347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leakage inductance, $L_{LE}$, H</td>
<td>0.243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC resistance, $R_{AC}$, ohms</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC resistance, $R_D$, ohms</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TABLE VI. - CURRENT LOOP PARAMETERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K_{LD}$</td>
<td>$4.16 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_p$</td>
<td>$6.48 \times 10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_I$</td>
<td>$6.94 \times 10^8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_1$</td>
<td>0.00136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_2$</td>
<td>0.2845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_3$</td>
<td>0.4347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_4$</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE I. - 2.5 Hz Sine Wave Comparison (Box denotes maximum error)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>t</th>
<th>VOADAM</th>
<th>LL, $\frac{\Delta F}{\Delta t} = 0$</th>
<th>LL, $\frac{\Delta F}{\Delta t} \neq 0$</th>
<th>error, $\frac{\Delta F}{\Delta t} = 0$</th>
<th>error, $\frac{\Delta F}{\Delta t} \neq 0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.210000000</td>
<td>1.17449790</td>
<td>1.148293576</td>
<td>1.16824035</td>
<td>0.030843786</td>
<td>-0.000625755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.211000000</td>
<td>0.054503260</td>
<td>0.085357574</td>
<td>0.053876353</td>
<td>0.030854314</td>
<td>-0.000626907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.212000000</td>
<td>-0.008456853</td>
<td>0.022400377</td>
<td>-0.009084756</td>
<td>[0.030857230]</td>
<td>-0.000627904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.213000000</td>
<td>-0.071415099</td>
<td>-0.040562478</td>
<td>-0.072043755</td>
<td>0.030852532</td>
<td>-0.000628745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.214000000</td>
<td>-1.34355673</td>
<td>-1.03515452</td>
<td>-1.34985104</td>
<td>0.030840221</td>
<td>-0.000629431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.215000000</td>
<td>-1.19726331</td>
<td>-1.166443010</td>
<td>-1.197893272</td>
<td>0.030820301</td>
<td>-0.000630961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.216000000</td>
<td>-1.260122395</td>
<td>-1.229329620</td>
<td>-1.260752731</td>
<td>0.030792775</td>
<td>-0.00063036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.217000000</td>
<td>-1.322917413</td>
<td>-1.292159762</td>
<td>-1.323547967</td>
<td>0.030757650</td>
<td>-0.000630555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.218000000</td>
<td>-1.385632865</td>
<td>-1.354917929</td>
<td>-1.386263483</td>
<td>0.030714936</td>
<td>-0.000630618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.219000000</td>
<td>-1.448253272</td>
<td>-1.417588630</td>
<td>-1.448883798</td>
<td>0.030664641</td>
<td>-0.000630526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.220000000</td>
<td>-1.510763177</td>
<td>-1.480156398</td>
<td>-1.511393456</td>
<td>0.030606779</td>
<td>-0.000630279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.221000000</td>
<td>-1.573147152</td>
<td>-1.542605789</td>
<td>-1.573777028</td>
<td>0.030541363</td>
<td>-0.000629876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.222000000</td>
<td>-1.635389798</td>
<td>-1.604921389</td>
<td>-1.636019116</td>
<td>0.030468409</td>
<td>-0.000629318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.223000000</td>
<td>-1.697475750</td>
<td>-1.667087615</td>
<td>-1.698104356</td>
<td>0.030387935</td>
<td>-0.000628605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.224000000</td>
<td>-1.759389684</td>
<td>-1.729089723</td>
<td>-1.760017422</td>
<td>0.030299961</td>
<td>-0.000627738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.225000000</td>
<td>-1.821116316</td>
<td>-1.790911807</td>
<td>-1.821743032</td>
<td>0.030204509</td>
<td>-0.000626716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.226000000</td>
<td>-1.882640407</td>
<td>-1.852538807</td>
<td>-1.883265948</td>
<td>0.030101600</td>
<td>-0.000625540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.227000000</td>
<td>-1.943946772</td>
<td>-1.913955510</td>
<td>-1.944570983</td>
<td>0.029991262</td>
<td>-0.000624211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.228000000</td>
<td>-1.005020275</td>
<td>-0.975146754</td>
<td>-1.005643003</td>
<td>0.029873520</td>
<td>-0.000622728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.229000000</td>
<td>-1.065845839</td>
<td>-1.036097435</td>
<td>-1.066466932</td>
<td>0.029748405</td>
<td>-0.000621093</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE II.- 10 Hz Sine Wave Comparison (Box denotes maximum error)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>VOADAM</th>
<th>$LL, \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t} = 0$</th>
<th>$LL, \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t} \neq 0$</th>
<th>Error, $\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t} = 0$</th>
<th>Error, $\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t} \neq 0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.460000000</td>
<td>.513067197</td>
<td>.638874723</td>
<td>.51264777</td>
<td>.125787525</td>
<td>-.001822420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.461000000</td>
<td>.256069556</td>
<td>.382617966</td>
<td>.254010125</td>
<td>.126528409</td>
<td>-.002079432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.462000000</td>
<td>-.001919442</td>
<td>.124848986</td>
<td>-.004248421</td>
<td>.126768427</td>
<td>-.002328980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.463000000</td>
<td>-.259912634</td>
<td>-.133413296</td>
<td>-.262489983</td>
<td>.126499338</td>
<td>-.002577349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.464000000</td>
<td>-.516860116</td>
<td>-.391147979</td>
<td>-.519693729</td>
<td>.125732137</td>
<td>-.002813613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.465000000</td>
<td>-.771808873</td>
<td>-.647336238</td>
<td>-.774842931</td>
<td>.124472639</td>
<td>-.003034058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.466000000</td>
<td>-.1023688522</td>
<td>-.900965369</td>
<td>-.1026928999</td>
<td>.122723153</td>
<td>-.003240477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.467000000</td>
<td>-.1271522436</td>
<td>-.1151032816</td>
<td>-.1274955495</td>
<td>.120489622</td>
<td>-.003433056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.468000000</td>
<td>-.1514330397</td>
<td>-.1396550151</td>
<td>-.1517942083</td>
<td>.117780246</td>
<td>-.003611686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.469000000</td>
<td>-.1751152273</td>
<td>-.1636546999</td>
<td>-.1754928434</td>
<td>.114605374</td>
<td>-.003776061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.470000000</td>
<td>-.1981052266</td>
<td>-.1870074899</td>
<td>-.1964978028</td>
<td>.110977368</td>
<td>-.003925762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.471000000</td>
<td>-.2203121571</td>
<td>-.2096211060</td>
<td>-.220718183</td>
<td>.106910511</td>
<td>-.004060312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.472000000</td>
<td>-.2416462944</td>
<td>-.2314062036</td>
<td>-.2420662156</td>
<td>.102420908</td>
<td>-.004179212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.473000000</td>
<td>-.2620293642</td>
<td>-.252276259</td>
<td>-.2624575626</td>
<td>.097526382</td>
<td>-.004281985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.474000000</td>
<td>-.2813748851</td>
<td>-.2721502461</td>
<td>-.2818117040</td>
<td>.092246390</td>
<td>-.004368189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.475000000</td>
<td>-.2996084862</td>
<td>-.2909482934</td>
<td>-.3000522296</td>
<td>.086601927</td>
<td>-.004437435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.476000000</td>
<td>-.3166582075</td>
<td>-.3085966639</td>
<td>-.3171071474</td>
<td>.080615435</td>
<td>-.004489400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.477000000</td>
<td>-.3324567843</td>
<td>-.3250257140</td>
<td>-.3329091675</td>
<td>.074310703</td>
<td>-.004523832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.478000000</td>
<td>-.3469419126</td>
<td>-.3401706355</td>
<td>-.3473959679</td>
<td>.067712771</td>
<td>-.004540553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.479000000</td>
<td>-.3600564942</td>
<td>-.3539717111</td>
<td>-.3605104402</td>
<td>.060847831</td>
<td>-.004539460</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE III.- TRIANGULAR WAVE COMPARISON (BOX DENOTES MAXIMUM ERROR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>t</th>
<th>VOADAM</th>
<th>$L_L, \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = 0$</th>
<th>$L_L, \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \neq 0$</th>
<th>error, $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = 0$</th>
<th>error, $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \neq 0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.541000000</td>
<td>.499271067</td>
<td>.498859295</td>
<td>.499337367</td>
<td>-.000411772</td>
<td>.000066300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.542000000</td>
<td>.499841323</td>
<td>.499663338</td>
<td>.500043179</td>
<td>-.000177985</td>
<td>.000201856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.543000000</td>
<td>.499947785</td>
<td>.500023750</td>
<td>.500181731</td>
<td>.000075964</td>
<td>.000233946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.544000000</td>
<td>.499615176</td>
<td>.499908124</td>
<td>.499828048</td>
<td>.000292949</td>
<td>.000212873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.545000000</td>
<td>.498926654</td>
<td>.499380925</td>
<td>.499095039</td>
<td>.000454271</td>
<td>.000168384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.546000000</td>
<td>.497978622</td>
<td>.498539647</td>
<td>.498096720</td>
<td>.000561025</td>
<td>.000118098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.547000000</td>
<td>.496860664</td>
<td>.497482348</td>
<td>.496931430</td>
<td>.000621683</td>
<td>.000070765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.548000000</td>
<td>.495645870</td>
<td>.496293016</td>
<td>.495676123</td>
<td>.000647145</td>
<td>.00030253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.549000000</td>
<td>.494388219</td>
<td>.495036759</td>
<td>.494386464</td>
<td>.000648540</td>
<td>-.00001755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.550000000</td>
<td>.493124903</td>
<td>.493760065</td>
<td>.493099624</td>
<td>.000635162</td>
<td>-.00025279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.551000000</td>
<td>.491879290</td>
<td>.492493394</td>
<td>.491838045</td>
<td>.000614105</td>
<td>-.00041245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.552000000</td>
<td>.490664179</td>
<td>.491254554</td>
<td>.490613202</td>
<td>.000590375</td>
<td>-.00050977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.553000000</td>
<td>.489484820</td>
<td>.490052042</td>
<td>.489428937</td>
<td>.000567222</td>
<td>-.00055883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.554000000</td>
<td>.488341439</td>
<td>.488887967</td>
<td>.488284159</td>
<td>.000546528</td>
<td>-.00057281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.555000000</td>
<td>.487231212</td>
<td>.487760394</td>
<td>.487174910</td>
<td>.000529182</td>
<td>-.00056302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.556000000</td>
<td>.486149720</td>
<td>.486665122</td>
<td>.486095856</td>
<td>.000515402</td>
<td>-.00053865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.557000000</td>
<td>.485091961</td>
<td>.485596948</td>
<td>.485041292</td>
<td>.000504986</td>
<td>-.00050669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.558000000</td>
<td>.484053002</td>
<td>.484550510</td>
<td>.484005779</td>
<td>.000497508</td>
<td>-.00047223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.559000000</td>
<td>.483028362</td>
<td>.483520807</td>
<td>.482984495</td>
<td>.000492445</td>
<td>-.00043867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.560000000</td>
<td>.482014203</td>
<td>.482503472</td>
<td>.481973390</td>
<td>.000489269</td>
<td>-.00040813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>VOADAM</td>
<td>LL, $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = 0$</td>
<td>error, $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = 0$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.005</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.162252170</td>
<td>.147347430</td>
<td>-.014904740</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.007</td>
<td>.493552465</td>
<td>.480234865</td>
<td>-.013317600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.008</td>
<td>.844168912</td>
<td>.837443361</td>
<td>-.006725552</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.009</td>
<td>1.146302109</td>
<td>1.146273165</td>
<td>-.00028943</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.010</td>
<td>1.376957376</td>
<td>1.381929791</td>
<td>.004972415</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.011</td>
<td>1.536057579</td>
<td>1.543985964</td>
<td>.007928385</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.012</td>
<td>1.633890697</td>
<td>1.642993687</td>
<td>.009102990</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.013</td>
<td>1.684160618</td>
<td>1.693123399</td>
<td>.008961780</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.014</td>
<td>1.700371363</td>
<td>1.708349390</td>
<td>.007978028</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.015</td>
<td>1.694159157</td>
<td>1.700710234</td>
<td>.006551077</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.016</td>
<td>1.674726022</td>
<td>1.67907480</td>
<td>.004981459</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.017</td>
<td>1.64861594</td>
<td>1.65233073</td>
<td>.003473479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.018</td>
<td>1.621246513</td>
<td>1.62396321</td>
<td>.002149808</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.019</td>
<td>1.594859358</td>
<td>1.59599238</td>
<td>.001069880</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.020</td>
<td>1.571388877</td>
<td>1.571636786</td>
<td>.000247909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.021</td>
<td>1.551602082</td>
<td>1.55170672</td>
<td>-.00033140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.022</td>
<td>1.535648199</td>
<td>1.53497799</td>
<td>-.000700399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.023</td>
<td>1.523294906</td>
<td>1.522395924</td>
<td>-.000898982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.024</td>
<td>1.514102440</td>
<td>1.513134309</td>
<td>-.000968131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1.- Magnetic Bearing Assembly Model
Figure 2. - 2.5 Hz sine wave comparison (+ symbol denotes VOADAM solution)
Figure 3. - 10 Hz sine wave comparison (+ symbol denotes VOADAM solution)
Figure 4.— Triangular wave comparison (+ symbol denotes VOADAM solution)
Figure 5.— Step comparison (+ symbol denotes VOADAM solution)
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Application of a Local Linearization Technique for the Solution of a System of Stiff Differential Equations Associated with the Simulation of a Magnetic Bearing Assembly

**Abstract**
A digital local linearization technique has been successfully used to solve a system of stiff differential equations which simulate a Magnetic Bearing Assembly. The technique has proven to be accurate, stable, and efficient when compared to a general purpose variable order Adams method with a stiff option.
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