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NOMENCLATURE

A, a

A distortion amplitudes in x and y directions of Fig. 1

a, c

distortion amplitudes in i, j, k directions of Fig. 1

b

coefficient in (32)

b1, j

coefficients in description of subsonic vortical flow in (19)

c

chord

d

mean circumferential distance between blades, Fig. 1
c, d

combination of terms defined by (48) and (68) respectively

f

arbitrary function

h

blade height

I

integrand of (54)
i

unit orthogonal vectors in upstream distortion coordinate system

(-1)1/2

K, k

branch points in a space

Km, Kd

combination of terms defined by (23)

Km, Kd

combination of terms defined by (24)

wave numbers = \frac{M_u \nu}{\mu} and \frac{M_v \nu}{\mu} respectively

L

mean circumferential distance along blade row

L

lift

M

Mach number

n

moment number

N

integral number of distortion transverse wave lengths in distance s along x

P0, Pq

pressures perturbation non-dimensionalized by \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_0}

Q, R

Fourier indices in (1)

R

combination of terms used in (16)

S

solidity = chord/blade gap = \frac{1}{12} (s/c)

S

interblade distance along y in Fig. 1

s

(time non-dimensionalized by multiplying by \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_0})

\xi, \eta

combinations of terms defined by (33) and (34)

\xi

mean velocity

\xi, \eta, \xi

total, vertical, and acoustic velocity perturbations non-dimensionalized by \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_0}

x, y, z

blade coordinates (Fig. 1) non-dimensionalized by blade chord

x, y, z

x + s and x + s - 1 respectively

\xi, \eta, \xi

orthogonal coordinates in a fixed frame upstream of cascade

a

combination of terms defined by (69)

\xi

branch points in a space

(1 - \xi^2)^{1/2}

respectively
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\[ p_{n},r_{n} \text{ combination of terms defined by (50) and (67) respectively} \]
\[ \gamma \text{ ratio of specific heats of an ideal gas} \]
\[ \gamma_{u},\gamma_{d} (e^{2}-k_{u}^{2}+k_{d}^{2}/k_{u}^{2})^{1/2} \text{ and} \]
\[ (e^{2}-k_{u}^{2}+k_{d}^{2}/k_{u}^{2})^{1/2} \text{ respectively} \]
\[ A_{u},A_{d} \text{ combination of terms defined by (41) and (56) respectively} \]
\[ \delta \text{ imaginary part of integration contour} \]
\[ \delta_{j} \text{ Kronecker delta; } \delta_{i} = 0 \text{ if } i = j; \delta_{i} = 1 \text{ if } i \neq j \]
\[ c_{u},c_{d} \text{ small damping terms in } \nu_{u} \text{ and } \nu_{d} \text{ respectively} \]
\[ d_{u},d_{d} \text{ combination of terms defined by (52) and (55) respectively} \]
\[ \theta_{u},\theta_{d} \text{ arbitrary variable illustrating periodicity relation} \]
\[ x_{u},x_{d} \text{ variables defined by (43) and (50) respectively} \]
\[ \lambda_{u},\lambda_{d} \text{ combinations of terms defined by (40) and (66) respectively} \]
\[ \nu_{u},\nu_{d} \text{ angle between upstream and blade coordinate systems of Fig. 1} \]
\[ v_{u},v_{d} \text{ direction of transport of upstream distortion with respect to axis of turbomachine} \]
\[ x_{n} \text{ combination of variables defined by (49) and (51) respectively} \]
\[ \phi_{h} \text{ interblade phase angle defined by (3)} \]
\[ \phi \text{ amplitude of } \phi \text{ velocity potential} \]
\[ x \text{ steeper angle } = v - \nu \]
\[ \nu_{u},\nu_{d} (\nu_{u}^{2}+k_{u}^{2})^{1/2} \text{ and } (\nu_{d}^{2}+k_{d}^{2})^{1/2} \text{ respectively} \]
\[ \nu_{u},\nu_{d} (k_{u}^{2}+k_{d}^{2}/k_{u}^{2})^{1/2} \text{ and } (k_{d}^{2}+k_{u}^{2}/k_{d}^{2})^{1/2} \text{ respectively} \]
\[ \Omega \text{ arbitrary function in (13)} \]
\[ \omega \text{ frequency } = 2 \pi p_{d} \nu_{d}/L \text{ in dimensional form} \]
\[ \nu_{u},\nu_{d} \text{ reduced frequencies } \nu_{d}/\Omega_{d} \text{ and } \nu_{u}/\Omega_{d} \text{ respectively} \]

**SUBSCRIPTS:**

- \( b \) blade
- \( d,u \) downstream and upstream of shock respectively
- \( n \) integer
- \( p,q \) Fourier indices describing upstream distortion
- \( s \) shock
- \( 1,2,3 \) correspond to \( 1,1,1 \) and to \( x,y,z \) directions in upstream distortion and in blade coordinate systems of Fig. 1 respectively

**SUPERSCRIPTS:**

- \( d,u \) downstream and upstream of shock respectively
- \( +,- \) upper and lower half planes of a space imply both vertical and acoustic properties
- \( - \) vector
- \( - \) unit vector

**INTRODUCTION**

Associated with high performance axial fans and compressors of aircraft engines are slender blades with high tip Mach numbers [1]. Such blade rows may be vulnerable to distortion of the oncoming airstream such as atmospheric gusts, wakes from upstream struts and guide vanes, and maldistributions in air inlets and ducts; all of which tend to force the blades into vibratory motions. Of concern is the influence of strong shocks occurring in blade passages. When such shocks couple to the distortion pattern they can have an oscillatory influence on the blade pressure distributions, forces, and moments. The influence of the blade on the distortion is also of importance since it passes on to influence other components of the propulsion system.

The recent linearized theory of Goldstein, Braun, and Aczicz [2], which analyzes unsteady flow in supersonic cascades with strong in-passage shocks, is applied to the distortion problem in the investigation reported herein. The flutter boundary conditions of [2] are however replaced with those of the three-dimensional distortion of Goldstein [3]. This disturbance profile (Fig. 1) has components parallel to, and in two directions transverse to, a mean flow velocity \( \bar{u} \). Relative to the cascade the mean velocity, \( \Omega_{c} \), is supersonic in this study. The component of \( \Omega_{c} \) parallel to the axis of the turbomachine is, however, subsonic. The Mach waves from the leading edges of the blades extend forward of the edge of each successive blade permitting interaction between blades through the supersonic, as well as the subsonic, flow media. This is usually the case of interest in advanced type fans and compressors.

The cascade (Fig. 1) is envisioned as an "unrolled annulus" which translates with a blade velocity \( \bar{u} \). Integral multiples of distortion wavelength must however equal a mean circumferential distance along the face of the blade row. Spanswise flow distributions are considered at limited blade aspect ratios although centrifugal and Coriolis forces are neglected. Within the confines of linearized theory blade thickness, camber, and angle of attack make no contribution to the unsteady forces (Chap. 3 of [3]). Such time independent effects can therefore be superposed on the results of this study in which the blades take the form of flat parallel plates.

Separation of the flow into two distinct (sub- and supersonic) regions permits solution of the potential part of the flow field in each one by adaptation of the Wiener Hopf technique [2]. In the first region a supersonic flow extends from upstream infinity to a cascade which extends to downstream infinity. In the second region a subsonic flow is guided by parallel blade passages from upstream infinity, past the trailing edges, and on to downstream infinity. The supersonic solution is independent of downstream influence and the subsonic solution is kept sufficiently arbitrary to satisfy shock boundary conditions. Matching of solutions at the shock interface involves inversion of a large nearly diagonal matrix. This is easily done numerically.

In the present effort the shock is assumed to be located an arbitrarily small distance inside the leading edge of the blade so that it doesn't disturb the supersonic flow on the upper surface in this region.
FORMULATION

By the splitting theorem (chap. 5 of [3]) any linearized description of an inviscid compressible fluid can be decomposed into two non-interacting motions: one of which is vertical and the other acoustic in nature. The vertical motion is solenoidal, and its substantial derivative is equal to zero. Shear layers, for example, are vertical in nature, and are an effective means of forming flow distortions. The acoustic motion, on the other hand, is irrotational and has a velocity potential which satisfies the convected wave equation.

**Vertical Motion Upstream of Shock**

The upstream flow distortion of interest herein is vertical. Its velocity (Fig. 1) is specified by the double Fourier series (chap. 5 of [3])

\[
v = \sum_{p,q} \left( \mathcal{A}_{pq} \mathbf{e}^{i p \alpha / h} \right) \cos \left( \alpha y_3 / h \right) \cos \left( \alpha y_3 / h \right)
\]

For each set of Fourier indices the distortion amplitudes are \( \mathcal{A}_{pq} \), \( \mathcal{A}_{pq} \), and \( \mathcal{A}_{pq} \) for motion in the three orthogonal directions \( x, y, \) and \( z \). As in [3] there is an integral number, \( p, \) of distortion wave lengths parallel to the face of the plate row where \( L \) is a mean circumferential distance. The spanwise wave length is the hub-to-shroud distance, \( h, \) divided by Fourier index \( q. \) Distance and velocity are non-dimensionalized by plate chord and mean upstream velocity, \( u \) of Fig. 1, respectively.

**Acoustic Motion Upstream of Shock**

The total motion, \( \overline{u}_U = \overline{v}_U + \overline{w}_U \), will satisfy the inviscid tangency condition at the blade surface if the normal component of the acoustic (potential) motion satisfies

\[
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A}_{pq} \mathbf{e}^{i p \alpha / h} \mathbf{e}^{i q \beta / h} \cos \left( \alpha y_3 / h \right) \cos \left( \alpha y_3 / h \right) = \mathcal{A}_{pq} \mathbf{e}^{i p \alpha / h} \mathbf{e}^{i q \beta / h} \cos \left( \alpha y_3 / h \right) \cos \left( \alpha y_3 / h \right)
\]

Since final results can be superposed, only one set of Fourier components will be carried through the analysis; thus the \( p \) and \( q \) indices will normally be omitted from the flow variables.

For each Fourier index \( (p,q) \) the solution to the linearized convected wave equation

\[
(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{A}_{pq} \mathbf{e}^{i p \alpha / h} \mathbf{e}^{i q \beta / h} \cos \left( \alpha y_3 / h \right) \cos \left( \alpha y_3 / h \right) = \mathcal{A}_{pq} \mathbf{e}^{i p \alpha / h} \mathbf{e}^{i q \beta / h} \cos \left( \alpha y_3 / h \right) \cos \left( \alpha y_3 / h \right)
\]

is of the form

\[
u = \mathcal{A}_{pq} \mathbf{e}^{i p \alpha / h} \mathbf{e}^{i q \beta / h} \cos \left( \alpha y_3 / h \right) \cos \left( \alpha y_3 / h \right)
\]

where \( \mathcal{A}_{pq} \) is the wave amplitude, \( \mathcal{A}_{pq} \) is the wave phase angle, \( \mathcal{A}_{pq} \) is the wave number, \( \mathcal{A}_{pq} \) is the wave number, and \( \mathcal{A}_{pq} \) is the wave number. The exponent in (2b) sets the interface phase angle, \( \mathcal{A}_{pq} \) as

\[
e = \mathcal{A}_{pq} \mathbf{e}^{i p \alpha / h} \mathbf{e}^{i q \beta / h} \cos \left( \alpha y_3 / h \right) \cos \left( \alpha y_3 / h \right)
\]

The \( x \) and \( y \) dependence in (2a) and (2b) together satisfy the condition that the substantial derivative, \( \mathcal{A}_{pq} / \mathcal{L} \), is equal to zero. The components of the distortion amplitudes in the \( x, y, z \) directions are now
The procedure for solution of \( \phi_0(x, y) \) by use of the Wiener-Hopf technique is given in Appendix 1. The results for \( 0 \leq y \leq s \) are

\[
\phi_0(x, y) = -\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k_n} \left[ \frac{e^{i(k_n + k_n^*)x}}{(k_n + k_n^*)} \right] \left[ \frac{e^{-i(k_n + k_n^*)y}}{(k_n + k_n^*)} \right] \left[ \frac{e^{i(k_n + k_n^*)s}}{(k_n + k_n^*)} \right] \left[ \frac{e^{-i(k_n + k_n^*)n}}{(k_n + k_n^*)} \right],
\]

for \( x < -\beta(y - s) \) (10a)

where

\[ \left[ \frac{e^{i(k_n + k_n^*)y}}{(k_n + k_n^*)} \right] = 0, \]

and

\[
\phi_0(x, y) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \cos(n \pi y) \left[ \frac{e^{i(k_n + k_n^*)x}}{(k_n + k_n^*)} \right] \left[ \frac{e^{-i(k_n + k_n^*)y}}{(k_n + k_n^*)} \right] \left[ \frac{e^{i(k_n + k_n^*)s}}{(k_n + k_n^*)} \right] \left[ \frac{e^{-i(k_n + k_n^*)n}}{(k_n + k_n^*)} \right],
\]

for \( x > -\beta(y - s) \) (10b)

where

\[
Q_n = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k_n} \left[ \frac{e^{i(k_n + k_n^*)x}}{(k_n + k_n^*)} \right] \left[ \frac{e^{-i(k_n + k_n^*)y}}{(k_n + k_n^*)} \right] \left[ \frac{e^{i(k_n + k_n^*)s}}{(k_n + k_n^*)} \right] \left[ \frac{e^{-i(k_n + k_n^*)n}}{(k_n + k_n^*)} \right],
\]

Substitution of (10) into (8) and (9) determines the velocity of the acoustic motion and the pressure in the supersonic region ahead of the shock.

**Vertical Motion Downstream of Shock**

In this region let the velocity, \( \vec{v}_d \), take the form

\[
\vec{v}_d = \cos(k_0 z) e^{i\omega_d x - \omega_d t} \hat{a}(y) \tag{13}
\]

which satisfies the spanwise wall tangency condition and has a substantial derivative equal to zero. To satisfy periodicity let

\[
1 = e^{i\omega_d x - \omega_d t} \hat{a}(y) = e^{i\omega_d x - \omega_d t} \hat{a}(y) \tag{14}
\]

for blade number \( n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \). The solenoidal condition will be invoked later, completing the splitting theorem requirements for \( \vec{v}_d \) to be vertical.

It is anticipated that a part, \( \vec{v}_d \), of \( \vec{v}_d \) can be found which is both vertical and acoustic, as in Eq. (5.12) of [3]. Combining \( \vec{v}_d \) with the potential flow, \( \vec{v}_d \), we may then simplify determination of the remaining part of \( \vec{v}_d \). Such a procedure is used to advantage in [3]. Associated with \( \vec{v}_d \) is a potential \( \vec{v}_d \) such that \( \vec{v}_d \cdot \vec{v}_d = 0 \). Since \( \vec{v}_d \) is vertical, \( \vec{v}_d \) makes no contribution to the pressure distribution. Let \( \vec{v}_d \) take the form of (13)

\[
\vec{v}_d = \cos(k_0 z) e^{i\omega_d x - \omega_d t} \hat{a}(y) \tag{15}
\]

For \( \vec{v}_d \) to satisfy Laplace's equation, \( f \) must satisfy

\[
-\nabla^2 f + f = 0. \tag{16}
\]

A solution of (16) which enables \( \vec{v}_d \) to satisfy periodicity as well as to cancel the normal component of \( \vec{v}_d \) at the blade surfaces is,

\[
f = \frac{\alpha_2(n)}{1 + \alpha_2(n)} \left\{ \cos(\psi, s) \cos(\psi, y - ns) \right\} \left( \psi, s - \psi, y - ns - s \right) \right\} \tag{17}
\]

where \( \psi, s = \sqrt{\psi^2 + k_0^2} \). The subscripts 1, 2, 3 on identify the components of \( \vec{a} \) in the \( x, y, \) and \( z \) directions respectively.

Substitution of (12), (15), and (17) into the identify

\[
\vec{v}_d = (\vec{v}_d - \vec{v}_{\text{ord}}) + \vec{v}_d \tag{18}
\]

shows that the first term \( (\vec{v}_d - \vec{v}_{\text{ord}}) \) makes no contribution to the blade boundary conditions (since its \( y \)-component vanishes at \( y = 0 \) and \( s \)) although it does contribute to the matching of flows at the shock boundary. With sufficient generality the strictly vertical part of (18) can thus be sufficiently described by Fourier sine and cosine series as
The acoustic field downstream of the shock is composed of two parts. An infinite "duct" solution, to the convected wave equation, having downstream running waves accounts for shock boundary conditions. A "cascade" solution, on the other hand, gives the upstream (or reflected) waves. Each solution, as well as the vortical motion of the preceding section, individually satisfies the wall tangency condition. The sum of the two acoustic solutions must satisfy the Kutta condition. In both solutions the velocity potential, \( \psi_d \), again takes the form

\[
\psi_d(x,y,z) = \cos (k_d) e^{-i \omega_d t} \phi_d(x,y)
\]

The procedure to obtain the combined potential \( \phi_c = \phi_d + \phi_{\text{cascade}} \) is given in Appendix II. The results for \( 0 < y < s \) are

\[
\phi_c(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{k_n - \lambda(c)} \left[ \frac{\cos (\frac{kn}{s})}{\lambda(c)} e^{i \omega_d t} - e^{-i \omega_d t} \right]
\]

for \( 0 < x < 1 - s^+ \)
\[ \phi_c(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{i_m}{\gamma} \left[ e^{i(m-\gamma)} - e^{-i(m+\gamma)} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_c \left( \frac{-1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \right) \\
 \cosh \left( \phi_{c_1} \left( v - s \right) \right) - \cosh \left( \phi_{c_2} \left( v - s \right) \right) \end{bmatrix} e^{i \left( \phi_{c_1} \left( v - s \right) \right)} e^{i \left( \phi_{c_2} \left( v - s \right) \right)} \]

\[ + \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \cos \left[ \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}}{2} \left( e^{i(c_m) \left( v - s \right)} - e^{-i(c_m) \left( v - s \right)} \right) \right] \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_c \left( \frac{-1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \right) \\
 \cosh \left( \phi_{c_1} \left( v - s \right) \right) - \cosh \left( \phi_{c_2} \left( v - s \right) \right) \end{bmatrix} e^{i \left( \phi_{c_1} \left( v - s \right) \right)} e^{i \left( \phi_{c_2} \left( v - s \right) \right)} \]

for \( 1 \leq x \). (22c)

where

\[ \kappa_{m,n} = \frac{i_m}{2(1 + \delta_m^0)} \left[ e^{i(m-\gamma)} - e^{-i(m+\gamma)} \right] \left[ e^{i(m+\gamma)} - e^{-i(m-\gamma)} \right] \]

\[ \times \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_c \left( \frac{-1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \right) \\
 \cosh \left( \phi_{c_1} \left( v - s \right) \right) - \cosh \left( \phi_{c_2} \left( v - s \right) \right) \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ \times \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_c \left( \frac{-1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \right) \\
 \cosh \left( \phi_{c_1} \left( v - s \right) \right) - \cosh \left( \phi_{c_2} \left( v - s \right) \right) \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ \kappa_{m,n} = \frac{i_m}{2(1 + \delta_m^0)} \left[ e^{i(m-\gamma)} - e^{-i(m+\gamma)} \right] \left[ e^{i(m+\gamma)} - e^{-i(m-\gamma)} \right] \]

\[ \times \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_c \left( \frac{-1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \right) \\
 \cosh \left( \phi_{c_1} \left( v - s \right) \right) - \cosh \left( \phi_{c_2} \left( v - s \right) \right) \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ \times \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_c \left( \frac{-1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \right) \\
 \cosh \left( \phi_{c_1} \left( v - s \right) \right) - \cosh \left( \phi_{c_2} \left( v - s \right) \right) \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ \forall \gamma \neq \sqrt{\phi_{c_1} + \phi_{c_2}}, \text{ and } \delta_m^0 \text{ is the Kronecker delta.} \]

Determination of the coefficients \( \kappa_m \) of (22) and \( b_n \) (\( j = 1.7.3 \)) of (19) and (20) is made possible with the additional information supplied by the following three shock relations.

**Relations Across Shock**

The mean flow satisfies the Rankine-Hugoniot relations. Shock curvature and displacement are treated as perturbations as in [8]. The downstream vorticity, longitudinal velocity, and pressure are related to the upstream vorticity, longitudinal velocity, and pressure by the following three equations:

\[ \begin{align*}
\eta_1 & = - \frac{\mu^2}{2 \mu} p_0 \sin \left( \frac{\phi_{c_1} \left( v - s \right)}{2} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\phi_{c_2} \left( v - s \right)}{2} \right) \\
\eta_2 & = - \frac{\mu^2}{2 \mu} p_0 \sin \left( \frac{\phi_{c_1} \left( v - s \right)}{2} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\phi_{c_2} \left( v - s \right)}{2} \right) \\
\eta_3 & = - \frac{\mu^2}{2 \mu} p_0 \sin \left( \frac{\phi_{c_1} \left( v - s \right)}{2} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\phi_{c_2} \left( v - s \right)}{2} \right)
\end{align*} \]

These equations differ from those of [2] by addition of an upstream vorticity and a spanwise distribution of pressure and velocity.

**Matching of Solutions at Shock Boundary**

Equation (26) can easily be combined with (27) to yield

\[ \begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\mu^2}{\mu} \nu_3 \left( v - u \right) + \nu_3 \left( e \right) \right) & = k_0 \left( \nu_3 \left( e \right) \right) \left( \nu_3 \left( v - u \right) \right)
\end{align*} \]

since the \( z \) dependence enters only through \( \cos (\phi_{c_2}) \). Combining (28) with (2), (19), and solenoidal condition (26), and utilizing orthogonality relations between sine terms, permits determination of \( b_1 \) and \( b_3 \) in terms of \( b_2 \) and known quantities. The downstream vortical velocity of (19) can now be expressed as

\[ \begin{align*}
\psi_1 & = - \frac{\mu^2}{2 \mu} p_0 \sin \left( \frac{\phi_{c_1} \left( v - s \right)}{2} \right) \\
\psi_2 & = - \frac{\mu^2}{2 \mu} p_0 \sin \left( \frac{\phi_{c_1} \left( v - s \right)}{2} \right) \\
\psi_3 & = - \frac{\mu^2}{2 \mu} p_0 \sin \left( \frac{\phi_{c_1} \left( v - s \right)}{2} \right)
\end{align*} \]

(25)
\( v_c(x, y, z) = a \cos (k_c x) e^{i(w \tau + \omega \tau)} \)

\[
\left[ - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{w \tau / m} b_{z,m} \right] \frac{2 \pi \gamma}{m \exp (i \nu \gamma / m)} \right] e^{i(\nu \gamma / m)} \sin \left( \frac{\nu \gamma}{m} \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{2 \pi \gamma}{m \exp (i \nu \gamma / m)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{b_{z,m}}{i \tau} \cos \left( \frac{\nu \gamma}{m} \right) + \frac{2 \pi \gamma}{m \exp (i \nu \gamma / m)} \right]
\]

\[
= 0 \quad \text{for } m > 0
\]

\[
= 0 \quad \text{for } m = 0.
\]

Use of (27), (30), (31), (32), and (29) for velocities and pressures in shock relations (25) and (28) and utilizing (37), (38), (48), (49), and (50) of [9] gives the following equation for \( B_m \) in implicit form.

\[
\left[ a_n - \frac{\pi}{\gamma - 1} \frac{b_{z,m}}{i \tau} \frac{\nu \gamma}{m} \right] \eta_n + (i \nu \gamma / m) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B_{m,m,n} = 0
\]

The left side of (31) reduces to (33) of [2] as \( k_c = 0 \). The right side of (31) however replaces the flutter terms of [2] for this distortion problem. Solution of (31) for \( B_m \) is by inversion of an infinite matrix. The off-diagonal elements of this matrix came from the second term of (31) which represents acoustic waves reflected from the back end of the cascade. These are rapidly decaying waves for \((\nu \gamma / m)^2 + (b_{z,m} / i \tau)^2 > k_c^2 = M_v^2 / \gamma^2\) so that, in practical (31) can be approximated by a nearly diagonal matrix. This can be further truncated to a reasonably small number of terms. Numerical results suggest that as few as ten terms along the diagonal may, at times, give satisfactory results at values of reduced frequency less than one. Once the \( B_m \) are known the coefficients \( b_{z,m} \) in the Fourier series of (29) can be obtained from shock relation (26) as

\[
B_{m,m,n} = e^{-i \nu / m (1 - s^n)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{m,m,n} e^{i(\nu \gamma / m) (n + 1) / \gamma}
\]

Use of (35) in (29) determines the subsonic vortical velocity for \( 0 < \gamma \leq s \) and \( \gamma > 0 \) whereas use of partial derivatives of (22) in (21) determines the corresponding acoustic velocity components. Use
of (10) in (8) along with (2) and (4) gives the acoustic and vortical velocities for \(0 < y < s\) and \(x = 0\). Pressure can be obtained by use of (9) and

\[-i\omega u \cos(kx)\sinh(\zeta z)\]  

(10) and (4) give the acoustic and vortical velocities for \(0 < y < s\) and \(x = 0\). Pressure can be obtained by use of (9) and (4) in (8) along with (2) to relate pressure on the lower surface of blade 1 to the upper surface of blade 0.

A second cascade solution (not shown) for a distortion with a phase angle of \(\pi/2\) with respect to that of Fig. (3)(a) obtained from

\[-i\omega u \cos(kx)\]  

This has the same wavelength characteristics and in the supersonic region agrees with single airfoil theory. Consistent with shock curvature and displacement, the pressure perturbation amplitude increased for the imaginary part, but decreased for the real part of the solution across this boundary for the reference conditions of Fig. (3)(a).

The solution for Fig. (3)(b) is based on the same set of parameters (for the ordered vertical motion) as Fig. (3)(a) except \(\epsilon\), and therefore \(u_0^y\) by (3), are reduced from the basic reference conditions by a factor of 1/8. Single airfoil theory is no longer close to these results and has been omitted. Trends are quite smooth and, of course, much more gradual than those of Fig. (3)(b).

At very low interblade phase angles, \(\Omega (0.01)\), the pressure profile (not shown) across the channel is quite uniform and the pressure amplitude in back of the shock on the upper surface approaches that on the lower surface near the leading edge.

Influence of Cascade on Distortion Profile

Figure 4 uses the same basic reference conditions as in Fig. 3(a). Envision Fig. 4 as a view of the \(x-y\) plane of a blade channel. Located in this plane by use of the solid symbols are the leading and trailing edges of blades 0 and 1 and two reference Mach waves. Also located at various \(x\) stations are \(y\) distributions of the real part of the solution for the three transverse components of velocity in \(u_y = u_0^y + w_0\). Far upstream of the cascade the amplitude of the acoustic motion (given by the short dashed line) goes to zero, however the vortical motion (long dashed line) is transported undiminished as if frozen in the flow. In the vicinity of the cascade the acoustic motion with its characteristic short wave length, due to diffraction about the leading edges, becomes quite prominent. Once inside the leading edge Mach wave of the nearest blade (blade 0) the transverse component of the acoustic velocity, \(w_0\), is noticeably large only in the vicinity of the blade surface. The amplitude of the total motion, \(u_0\), away from the wall is then mainly comprised of the relatively long wave length vortical motion, \(w_0\). At \(x\) locations between the trailing edges of blades 0 and 1 the amplitude of the acoustic motion again becomes quite prominent, where diffraction in again encountered to satisfy the Kutta condition. Eventually \(w_0\) decreases at large \(x\) to the asymptotic value at the last station, \(x = X\). The amplitude of the vortical motion continues undiminished for downstream of the cascade and is not plotted at the last station.

The \(y\) distributions of \(w_0\) has much the same nature as \(w_0\). Although \(\Omega_0\) and therefore \(\Omega_0\) are zero, a longitudinal component of vorticity velocity, \(w_0\), arises at the shock. Beyond this station both acoustic and vortical motions have trends with \(x\) much like those of \(w_0\) and \(w_0\). Recall that part of the vortical motion, \(w_0\), has been included in \(u_0\) thus simplifying the wall boundary condition.
The $y$ distribution of $w(y)$ also follows a pattern somewhat similar to $w(x)$. The influence of the shock on $w(y)$ is small but quite pronounced on $w(x)$ for the particular set of conditions of Fig. 2.

The $z$ distributions of vortical and acoustic motions are not plotted since, by (2), (8), (19) and (39), they simply vary as $\cos(k_y z)$ for the transverse components of velocity and $\cos(k_x z)$ for the spanwise components in both subsonic and supersonic regions.

**Influence of Distortion and Cascade Parameters on Lift and Moment**

Lift, $\mathcal{L}$, and moment, $\mathcal{M}$, were obtained by integration of the pressure distributions over the blade surfaces. Moments were taken about the center of the blade located at $x = 1/2 - s^*$ away from the leading edge. The allowed displacements, $x_0$, of the shock footprints on the upper blade surface, however, causes a lift $\mathcal{L}$, with amplitude equal to $(R_0 - R_0)\lambda$, as well as in the boundary layer term, and thus their influence can be calculated. The influence of these distortion parameters in stability studies. The contribution of shock movement to these terms can be obtained from plots as on Fig. 6(a). As in [2], the shocks are assumed to be attached to the lower surfaces of the blades (Fig. 1) arbitrarily near their leading edges. The allowed displacements, $x_0$, of the shock footprints on the upper blade surface, however, causes a lift $\mathcal{L}$, with amplitude equal to $(R_0 - R_0)\lambda$, as well as in the boundary layer term, and thus their influence can be calculated.

The effect is included in the total lift and moment of Figs. 5(b) and (c). These figures are arbitrarily plotted at an interblade angle of $1/8$ of the reference set of conditions as in Fig. 3(b).

The variables $h$ and $\eta$ enter the equations for velocity potential (therefore for lift and moment) only as $\partial h/\partial x$ and thus their influence can be expressed by spanwise wave number $k_z = \pi h$. This wave number should be most influential on amplitudes $\Phi_h$ and $\Phi_0$, when it is greater than $k_0$ and $k_0$, respectively. This trend is illustrated in Fig. 5 by the large distance between tick marks on these curves at high $k_z$. Increase of upstream distortion amplitude ratio, $\alpha$, tends to increase the magnitude of $\Phi_h$ and $\Phi_0$ at large $k_z$ while preserving the spatial form of $\Phi_0$ dependence. Cusps and loops characterize both the $\Phi_h$ and $\Phi_0$ curves. The magnitude of $\Phi_0$ is a significant art of $\Phi_0$.

**Concluding Remarks**

The influence of the third dimension on this analysis is primarily through the azimuthal wave number $k_z$. As $k_z$ approaches zero the final equations and their solution reduce to much the same form as those of the flutter analysis of [2]. The main differences are in the boundary layer terms where blade oscillation expressions of [2] are replaced by flow distortion expressions of the present study.

Selection of a combination of cascade and distortion parameters for a very orderly initial condition gives in turn, through use of the blade surface boundary condition, an especially orderly acoustic motion as well. Numerical results based on such flows are relatively easy to interpret and aid in the analysis. For these general cases which are within the capabilities of the theory. At these special conditions the supersonic region of the cascade is quite evident in the blade pressure distribution. Influence of the reflected or forward running, waves is mostly confined to the relatively small distance of the trailing edges on the upper surfaces but is apparent over the distance $1 - 2s^* < x < 1 - s^*$ on the lower surfaces.

The strong in-passage shock has a large effect on lift and moment. Numerical results show large influences of interblade phase angle, and therefore reduced frequency, longitudinal as well as transverse distortion amplitude, and azimuthal wave number on total as well as shock induced lift and moment. This suggests that any one of these distortion parameters could have considerable influence on the forced vibration effect in a stability analysis.

**APPENDIX I**

**SOLUTION FOR ACOUSTIC MOTION UPSTREAM OF SHOCK**

Substitution of (7) into (6) gives

$$\begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{\xi^2}{\eta^2} - \frac{\eta^2}{\xi^2} + \frac{\beta^2}{\gamma^2} - \frac{\gamma^2}{\beta^2} + \frac{\zeta^2}{\eta^2} - \frac{\eta^2}{\zeta^2} \\
\end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{v}_0.$$

A solution by separation of variables is

$$\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(a - \beta_k u_0)x + \beta_k y_0\right] \sin\left(\beta_k y_0\right)$$

where $a$ is an arbitrary constant and $\beta_k = \sqrt{\left(k^2 - \gamma^2 - \eta^2\right)/\xi^2}$. The magnitudes of the $\beta_k$ reduce the overall response of $\Phi_0$ as well as the linear homogeneous Eq. (10), where $\Phi_0$ is seen to be determined.

Next, following the procedure of Lane and Friedman [2], apply this result to each of the blades using the local coordinate system $x = y - ks$, $y = x - ns$, and specify that $f_n(a)$ must satisfy the periodicity condition of

$$f_n(a) = e^{ian} f_n(a)$$

for $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$. A solution with jump conditions across the $n$th blade in proper form is then

$$f_n(a) = \frac{\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(a - \beta_k u_0)x + \beta_k y_0\right] \sin\left(\beta_k y_0\right)}{e^{ian}}$$

where $s_{\gamma} = \gamma$ for $\gamma \geq 0$. The branch points for $y_0$ are set at $s_{\gamma} + 1/2$ where $s_{\gamma} = k_0^2 + k_0 y_0$ and $s_{\gamma}$ is a small coupling term. Now, as in [2], let $\Delta = \beta_k u_0$ and $\Delta + 1 = k_0$, then along the integration contour of (38) and (39) for $\gamma > 0$, $\Delta + 1 = k_0$, and $\exp\left[(a - \beta_k u_0)x + \beta_k y_0\right] < 1$. Summing the
Equations (42) and (44) are in the form of the upwash and continuity expressions of Ref. [4]. They differ from those of Ref. [2] only by the presence of \( \dot{\varphi}_0 / \dot{u}_0 \) in \( \gamma \), and by the distortion pattern, \( e \), placing the flutter motion on the right side of (42). The integrals in both of these equations are in the form of inverse Fourier transforms forming a two-part boundary value problem which can be solved for \( f_0(\alpha) \) by the Wiener-Hopf technique [6]. Utilizing unilateral Fourier transforms [7] over \( \tilde{x}_n \), the two equations are combined and then factored and de-composed into parts which are analytic in respective half planes as in Appendix B of [2], have a common region of analyticity between them, and vanish as \( \tilde{x} \to -\infty \). The solution is completed by use of Liouville's theorem giving

\[
f_0(\alpha) = \frac{i \xi_0 \left( \frac{k_u}{k_u} - \frac{1}{\xi_0} \right)}{\left( \frac{k_u}{k_u} + \frac{1}{\xi_0} \right) \xi_0'(\alpha)}
\]

Here \( \xi_0 \) is a damping term on the distortion to ensure convergence as \( \tilde{x}_n \to -\infty \) and can be set equal to zero upstream of the shock.

The solution for \( f_0(\alpha) \) required that \( \kappa_u(\alpha) \) could be factored as \( \kappa_u(\alpha,0) = \kappa_0(\alpha) \kappa_u'(\alpha) \) where \( \kappa_0(\alpha) \) and \( \kappa_u'(\alpha) \) are analytic and non-zero in the upper \( (\text{Im} \alpha > \text{Re} \kappa_u) \) and lower \( (\text{Im} \alpha < \text{Re} \kappa_u) \) half planes respectively. The factoring procedure of Appendix C of [2] yields the results

\[
\kappa_u'(\alpha) = e^{-i \alpha \left( \xi^u - \xi^s \right) / \xi} \prod \frac{1}{\left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\text{Re} \kappa_u} \right)}
\]

and

\[
\kappa_0(\alpha) = e^{-i \alpha \left( \xi^u - \xi^s \right) / \xi} \prod \frac{1}{\left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\text{Im} \kappa_u} \right)}
\]

The potential of the irrotational field produced upstream of the cascade must be continuous across lines \( y = n s \), extending forward of the leading edges of the blades. Applying this boundary condition to (38) at the zeroth blade yields

\[
f_0(\alpha) e^{-i \left( \alpha - \text{Re} \kappa_u \right) x} \left| \tilde{x}_n \right| < 0
\]

for \( \tilde{x}_n < 0 \).
Equations (46) through (50) differ from those of Ref. [2] only by the presence of \( k_0 \) in the definitions of \( v_y \) and \( v_x \). Substitution of (45) into (39) enables determination of \( \psi_0(x,y) \) by the method of residues. The path of integration is closed in the upper half-plane for \( x < -\eta_0(t - y) \) and in the lower half-plane for \( x > -\eta_0(t - y) \).

APPENDIX II

SOLUTION FOR ACOUSTIC MOTION DOWNSTREAM OF SHOCK

An infinite "duct" solution to the convected wave equation for downstream running waves can be obtained by use of the method of separation of variables. This gives the result

\[
\phi_{\text{duct}}(x,y) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_n^2 - \frac{n}{L}^2}} \cos \left( \frac{n \pi y}{L} \right) \left( \frac{\alpha}{k_n^2} \right) \sin \left( \frac{n \pi y}{L} \right),
\]

where

\[
s_n = -\eta_0 k_n \ast i_n^{(0)},
\]

and

\[
i_n^{(c)} = i \left( \frac{\eta_0}{\eta_0 - k_n^2} - \frac{k_n^2}{\eta_0^2} \right)^{1/2}
\]

By chapter 5 of [3] the cascade potential for upstream running waves can be expressed as

\[
\psi_{\text{cascade}}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{\text{c}}(a) \psi_{\text{c}}(a,0) e^{-i(a-Mk_0)\frac{y}{a}} \, da,
\]

where, in slightly different nomenclature than [3]

\[
\alpha_c = \frac{1}{2L} \left[ \frac{e^{i \eta_0^{*} - \beta_0^{*} \gamma_{c}^{*} y}}{\sin \eta_{c}^{*}} - \frac{e^{i \eta_0^{*} + \beta_0^{*} \gamma_{c}^{*} y}}{\sin \eta_{c}^{*}} \right]
\]

\[
\beta_c = \frac{1}{2} \left( \eta_0^{*} e^{i \eta_0^{*} + k_n^{*} s^{*} - \alpha s^{*}} \ast \theta_0 \gamma_{c}^{*} y^{2} \right)^{1/2}
\]

\[
\gamma_c = \left( \eta_0^{*} - k_n^{*} \pm k_n^{*} \sqrt{\beta_0^{*}} \right)^{1/2}
\]

For this linear problem, where superposition applies, let

\[
f_{\text{c}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \psi_{\text{c}}(a) (58)
\]

By (54) and (58) the zero upwash condition will be satisfied if

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{\text{c},n}(a)e^{-i(a-Mk_0)^{y} \frac{y}{a}} \, da = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x < 0
\]

where

\[
\psi_{0}^{(a,c)}(a) = -2k_0 (e) y \int_{y=0}^{\infty} (a + k_0/M)^{-1} \frac{1}{2}
\]

A relation specifying zero pressure jump across lines extending in the downstream direction from the trailing edges of the blades is obtained by substituting (55) through (58) into \( P_{\theta} = \left( 1/2 \zeta - 2/(2\eta_0) \right) (\phi_{\text{duct}} - \phi_{\text{cascade}}) \) and utilizing the periodicity relation. The resulting expression can be reduced to

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{\text{c},n}(a)e^{-i(a-Mk_0)^{y} \frac{y}{a}} \, da = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x > 0
\]

Solution of Eqs. (59) and (61) again constitutes a Wiener-Hopf problem which can be solved in much the same manner as in the supersonic case yielding

\[
f_{\text{c},n}(a) = \psi_{0}(a) \psi_{0}^{(a,c)}(a)
\]

Here it is assumed that \( \psi_{0}(a) \) can be factored into the form \( \psi_{0}(a)/\psi_{0}^{(a,c)}(a) \) where \( \psi_{0}(a) \) and \( \psi_{0}^{(a,c)}(a) \) are analytic and non-zero in upper and lower half planes respectively. To perform this factorization substitute (55) into (60) and obtain

\[
(a + k_0/M)\psi_{0}(a) = \psi_{0}^{(a,c)}(a) \psi_{0}^{(a,c)}(a) \psi_{0}^{(a,c)}(a) \psi_{0}^{(a,c)}(a)
\]

The numerator of (F3) can be factored by the Weierstrass factorization formula [7]. Page 40 of [6] is helpful for application of this theorem to the denominator. Choose the half planes as in Fig. B of [2] but with branch points of \( \gamma_{c} \) at \( \gamma_{c}^{(0)} = \gamma_{c}^{(0)} \). Then

\[
\psi_{0} = k_{0} - k_{0}^{*}/\eta_{0}^{*}
\]

where
\[ e_{c}(a) = \frac{(a - q_{c}) \sin (p_{c} q_{c} s) e^{ib/\pi}}{\int_{0}^{\pi} \cos \left( a - k_{c} s^{2} \right) (1 - a/s_{c})} \]

\[ x \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - a/\n_{n} (d)}{(1 - a/\n_{n} (1 - a/\n_{n}^{*} (1 - a/\n_{-n}) (1 + a/\n_{n} (c))} \]

(64)

and

\[ e^{*}_{c}(a) = \frac{(a + k_{c}/\n_{c}) (1 - a/\n_{c}) e^{ib/\pi}}{\theta_{c} (a + \n_{c})} \]

\[ x \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - a/\n_{n} (1 - a/\n_{n}^{*})}{1 + a/\n_{n} (c)} \]

(65)

where

\[ h s \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \tan^{-1} (s \theta_{c} /\pi) \right] = s \theta_{c} \sin \left( s \theta_{c} /\pi \right) \cos \left( 1 - \theta_{c} /\pi \right) \]

(66)

\[ f_{n}(c) = (s\theta_{c} /\pi - k_{c} \theta_{c} s^{2}) /\pi \theta_{c} \]

(67)

\[ c_{c} = \left( s\theta_{c}^{2} + k_{c} \theta_{c}^{2} \right)^{1/2} \]

(68)

\[ f_{n} = f_{n}(s) s^{*} = \frac{c_{c}^{*}}{c_{c}} \left[ \left( f_{n}(c) \right)^{2} - k_{c}^{2} \frac{c_{c}^{2}}{\theta_{c}^{2}} \right]^{1/2} \]

(69)

and \( \theta_{c} \) in equal to \( k_{c}^{2} - k_{c}^{2} \theta_{c}^{2} /\pi^{2} \) and \( \left| k_{c}^{2} /\pi - k_{c}^{2} \right| \)

for \( k_{c}^{2} \) greater than and less then \( k_{c}^{2} /\pi^{2} \) respectively. Equations (64) through (69) reduce to those of Appendix E of [7] as \( k_{c} = 0 \). Substitution of (62) and (65) through (68) into (64) gives an integral expression for \( e_{c}^{*}(a) \) which can be evaluated by use of the residue theorem. The integrant of (54) can be expressed as \( 1 = 1_{1} - 1_{2} \) where the dependence enters \( 1_{1} \) as

\[ \cosh \left( \theta_{c} (y - s) \right) \left( e_{c}^{*} e_{c}(a) \sinh (p_{c} q_{c} s) e^{ib/\pi} \right) \]

(60)

as \( a \to \infty \).
Figure 1. - Orientation of upstream distortion with respect to cascade.
Figure 2. - Select distortion (vortical) pattern of $v_2$ entering blade channels for use as a reference condition: $N = 2$, $n = 2\pi$, $M_u = (32)^{1/2}$.

$X = \mu \cdot \tan^{-1}(d/2)$, and $A = 0$. 

axis of turbomachine
(a) Distortion and cascade at basic reference conditions of Figure 2 along with $S = 1.3$, $\omega_d = 20.0$, and $\theta_q = \pi/3$. Figure 3 - Pressure distribution on zeroth blade.

(b) Distortion and cascade conditions same as Figure 3(a), except that $\omega$ and $\omega_d$ are reduced to $2\pi/8$ and $20.08$, respectively. Figure 3 - Concluded.
Figure 4. - Channel-wise distribution of $y$ components of velocity at various longitudinal locations along blade. Distortion and cascade conditions same as in Figure 3.
Figure 4 - Concluded.
Figure 5. - Influence of azimuthal wave number, $k_q$, and distortion amplitude ratio, $A/a$, on lift and moment.