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ABSTRACT

This paper presents an experimental study of the short term frequency stability of masers equipped with an external feedback loop to increase the cavity quality factor. The frequency stability of a hydrogen and a rubidium maser are measured and compared with theoretical evaluation. It is observed that the frequency stability passes through an optimum when the cavity Q is varied. Long term fluctuations are discussed and the optimum mid term frequency stability achievable by small size active and passive H-masers is considered.

INTRODUCTION

Much effort has been devoted recently to hydrogen masers in order to reduce their size, their weight, and to increase their long term frequency stability. New technology and design improvements applied to conventional masers [1] led to the realization of the most stable atomic frequency standards in the mid term region of averaging time [2], and to a sufficiently light and rugged device to be space-borne [3]. Small size masers with various types of microwave cavities were proposed and tested [4,5]. Masers with dielectric loaded cavities operated as passive frequency standards were also investigated [6,7]. The latest development is a small cavity oscillating maser, equipped with an external loop to enhance the quality factor [8,9].

A theoretical model, established to evaluate the amplitude noise and the phase noise in actively and passively operated masers [10,11] made possible the prediction of the short term frequency stability of a maser equipped with an external feedback loop [12]. Subsequently, the ultimate performance of such a device were evaluated and compared to the corresponding passive standard [13]. The following gives an experimental check of that theory applied to a hydrogen maser and a rubidium maser of conventional design [14,15], so equipped.
THEORY

The time domain frequency stability of an oscillator is expressed by the two sample variance of the relative frequency fluctuations over an averaging time $T$, with no dead time (Allan variance) [16]. In the case of a maser, the dominant frequency fluctuations considered arise from both the thermal noise within the electromagnetic cavity and the thermal noise added in the receiver necessary to detect the signal [17]. When the spectrum of the fluctuations is limited by a low-pass filter of cut-off frequency $f_c$, it can be shown [15, 18] that the short term frequency stability, in the time domain, is expressed by the relation:

$$\sigma^2_y(\tau) = \frac{4k\theta}{P_0} \left\{ \frac{3\pi f}{2\omega_0^2} \left[ 1 + (P - 1) \frac{Q_{ext}}{Q_c} \right] \frac{1}{\tau^2} + \frac{1}{8Q_c^2} \frac{1}{\tau} \right\}$$

where $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, $\theta_c$, $Q_c$ and $Q_{ext}$ are respectively the temperature, the loaded and the external quality factors of the cavity, $\theta_r$ and $P_r$ are the temperature and the noise factor of the receiver, $\omega_0$ is the maser angular frequency, $Q_\lambda$ is the atomic line Q and $P_0$ is the power delivered to the cavity by the atoms.

The first two terms of equation (1) come from the thermal noise added to the maser signal by the cavity and the receiver respectively; they correspond to white phase noise. The third term is white frequency noise resulting from the stimulated emission of radiation by the cavity's thermal noise within the atomic linewidth. The atomic power can be expressed simply, in terms of the cavity Q, by the following [19]

$$P_0 = \frac{1}{2} S \hbar \omega_0 \frac{Q_c - Q_t}{Q_c}$$

where $S$ is a flux term, $\hbar$ is the Planck constant divided by $2\pi$ and $Q_t$ is a threshold Q value determined by various maser parameters.

A feedback loop, external to the cavity, can be utilized to increase the quality factor; a schematic diagram is given in figure 1. Part of the maser signal is taken out, amplified and re-injected into the cavity. If the phase of the injected signal is properly adjusted, it will add to the signal already in the cavity, thus simulating a lower loss cavity. In this set-up, the cavity is used in transmission, with coupling loop coefficients $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2$ at the injection and output ports respectively. The loaded cavity Q becomes [20]:

$$Q_c = \frac{Q_0}{1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2}$$

(3)
where $Q_0$ is the unloaded cavity $Q$ associated with ohmic losses. Two external cavity $Q$'s are defined as follows:

$$Q_{\text{ext},1} = \frac{Q_0}{\beta_1} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{\text{ext},2} = \frac{Q_0}{\beta_2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The enhanced cavity $Q$ has a maximum value given by:

$$Q_e = \frac{Q_0}{1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 - 2G\sqrt{\beta_1\beta_2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $G$ is the total voltage gain of the feedback loop. The atomic power of such a maser will now be:

$$P_0 = \frac{1}{2} S\tilde{\kappa}\omega_0 \frac{Q_e - Q_t}{Q_e}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The presence of the feedback loop alters the thermal noise within the cavity. Part of the cavity noise undergoes the same process as the maser signal and the loop amplifier adds a certain amount of thermal noise. These supplementary contributions give to the cavity an effective noise temperature which can be written [21]:

$$T_c = \theta_c \frac{Q_e}{Q_c} \left[ 1 + \beta_1 (F_a - 1) G^2 \frac{Q_c}{Q_0} \frac{\theta_a}{\theta_c} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $\theta_a$ and $F_a$ are the temperature and the noise figure of the loop amplifier.

If we substitute equations (3), (4), (5), (6) and (7) into equation (1), we obtain for the time domain short term frequency stability of a maser equipped with an external feedback loop, an expression of the form:

$$\sigma^2(\tau) = K_{-2}\tau^{-2} + K_{-1}\tau^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where the white phase noise contribution is:

$$K_{-2} = \frac{12\pi k\theta_c f_c}{S\tilde{\kappa}\omega_0} \frac{Q_e}{Q_t} \left[ \frac{\theta_c}{\theta_a} \frac{Q_e}{Q_0} \left[ 1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \right. \right.$$  

$$\left. \frac{\theta_a}{\theta_c} (F_a - 1) \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \left[ 1 + \beta_2 + \beta_2 - \frac{Q_0}{Q_e} \right]^2 \right] + \left( F_a - 1 \right) \frac{1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2}{\beta_2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)
and the white frequency noise contribution is:

$$K_1 = \frac{k\theta_c}{\omega_0 Q_e^2} \frac{Q_e^2}{Q_0 - Q_e} \left[ \frac{1}{Q_0} \left( 1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \frac{\theta_a}{\theta_c} (p_a - 1) \right) \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \left( 1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 - \frac{Q_0}{Q_e} \right) \right]$$ (10)

These equations are explicitly expressed in terms of the fixed cavity parameters $\beta_1$, $\beta_2$ and $Q_0$ and its enhanced $Q$.

Computation of equation (8) with the parameters given in table 1 for a conventional H-maser and a conventional Rb-maser yields the results shown in figure 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>H-maser</th>
<th>Rb-maser</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_0$</td>
<td>2$\pi(1.42 \times 10^9)$ rad/sec</td>
<td>2$\pi(6.83 \times 10^9)$ rad/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_e$</td>
<td>2.2 $\times 10^9$</td>
<td>5.5 $\times 10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S$ (normalization parameter)</td>
<td>7.15 $\times 10^{11}$ at./sec</td>
<td>3.65 $\times 10^{15}$ ph./sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_t$</td>
<td>40 000</td>
<td>22 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_0$</td>
<td>64 400</td>
<td>28 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_1$</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_2$</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_c$</td>
<td>300 K</td>
<td>337 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_r = F_a$</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_r$</td>
<td>293 K</td>
<td>290 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_a$</td>
<td>300 K</td>
<td>290 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_c$</td>
<td>5 Hz</td>
<td>50 Hz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Maser parameters used to evaluate equation (8).

The behavior of the two types of noise is drawn from the two asymptotical lines obtained for the very short averaging times and for the long averaging times respectively.

EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

In order to verify the model just described, both an hydrogen and a rubidium maser were equipped with a feedback loop as illustrated in figure 1. The cavity $Q$ was varied by changing the value of the attenuator and measured with the usual technique of the r.f. pulse decay [19]. For the
H-maser phase of the loop was adjusted, at each attenuator setting to cause no frequency shift from non-enhanced operation. In the case of the Rb-maser, the phase was adjusted to make the output power maximum.

The short term frequency stability for various values of $Q_e$ with the parameters shown in table 1 are given in figure 3. It is observed that the stability decreases when the cavity $Q$ reaches high values. In the case of the Rb-maser, a roll-over is observed in the very short term region ($\tau < 0.02$ sec). In both systems, unpredicted sources of instabilities dominate for long averaging times. The solid lines are best fit polynomials.

From the coefficient of the polynomials we can extrapolate the white phase noise contribution, $K_{2}$, and the white frequency noise contribution, $K_{1}$. The square root of each contribution is shown in figure 4. The solid lines are the result of the evaluation of equations (9) and (10) as a function of $Q_e$. They are normalized with the flux term, $S$, so that the $\sqrt{K_{2}}$ curve passes over the experimental point indicated by an arrow. These results are experimental evidence that each noise contribution can be minimized by choosing the proper value for $Q_e$. Consequently, if the overall frequency stability is to be optimized, the $Q_e$ value will be selected according to the averaging time considered.

A comparison between the experimental observation and the theoretical evaluation of the frequency stability at different averaging times, as functions of the enhanced $Q$, is given in figure 5. We see that the stability is optimum for a certain value, $Q_{e opt}$. This value depends slightly on the averaging time chosen since the dominant type of noise evolves from the white phase noise to the white frequency noise when the averaging time is varied from very short term to mid term. In this last comparison, the averaging time was limited to values smaller than 300 sec for the H-maser and .07 sec for the Rb-maser in order to reduce the influence of the long term instabilities.

In figure 3 frequency instabilities other than the ones predicted by equation (8), are evident. Since their contribution increases with the cavity $Q$, we are tempted to relate them to a cavity pulling effect. We measured the relative frequency shift due to temperature variation of the feedback loop components for different cavity $Q$'s. The results are given in figure 6 for each maser. The coefficients are approximately $1 \times 10^{-13}/^\circ C$ at 40 000 for the H-maser and $3 \times 10^{-11}/^\circ C$ at 25 000 for the Rb-maser. These shifts are due to a phase pulling effect related to a change in the length of the feedback loop and explain some of the long term instabilities. It is seen from these measurements that a very precise control of the loop temperature will be necessary if high performance is required. Automatic cavity tuning such as the fast auto-tuning system [7,22] would then improve the long term
frequency stability of masers actively operated with an external feedback loop.

SMALL SIZE HYDROGEN MASER

The theoretical model seems to fit well the reality observed. We will now use it to predict the ultimate frequency stability achievable by small size active H-maser with enhanced cavity Q. To do so, the maser parameters found in equation (8) are expressed in terms of more fundamental parameters which are associated with the gain and linewidth of the atomic system. They are the spin exchange parameter \[1\] and the threshold flux:

\[ q = \frac{\sigma v_r \hbar T_b}{2\mu_0 \mu_B} \frac{1}{V_b} \eta Q_c \frac{I_{tot}}{I} \]  

\[ I_{th} = \frac{\hbar}{\mu_0 \mu_B} \frac{V_c}{\eta Q_c} \frac{1}{T_t} \]  

In these equations \( I_{tot} \) is the total atomic flux entering the bulb, \( I \) is that portion of the flux in the upper active quantum state, \( \mu_0 \) is the magnetic permeability of vacuum, \( \mu_B \) is the Bohr magneton, \( \eta \) is the filling factor, \( V_c \) is the cavity volume, \( \sigma \) is the spin exchange cross-section, \( v_r \) the relative average hydrogen velocity, \( T_b \) is the bulb storage time constant, \( V_b \) is the bulb volume and \( T_t = T_1^t T_2^t \) with \( T_1^t \) and \( T_2^t \) the longitudinal and transversal relaxation time constants.

Considering only the effect of the white frequency noise contribution, it has been shown \[13, eq. 44\] that the frequency stability of an active maser, with enhanced cavity Q, is expressed by the relation:

\[ \sigma_{e,a}^2 \left( \tau \right) = \frac{4 k T}{A \omega_0^2} \frac{\eta Q_c}{\mu_0 \mu_B} \frac{\eta Q_c}{V_c} \left[ \frac{\eta Q_c}{\eta Q_c} \right]^2 \frac{H_a \left( q, I/I_{th} \right)}{1 - \frac{I_{th}}{I}} \]  

The function \( H_{a,e} \left( q, I/I_{th} \right) \) \[13, eq. 17\] has to be minimized in order to reach the ultimate frequency stability. If the parameters of currently existing small size masers are substituted in equation (13), we obtain:

\[ \sigma_{e,a,\text{opt}}^2 \left( \tau \right) \approx 0.6 \times 10^{-26} \tau^{-1} \]

The same approach can be applied to the case of a passive H-maser. One can show \[13, eq. 25\] that the optimum frequency stability is expressed by:

\[ \sigma_{e,a,\text{opt}}^2 \left( \tau \right) \approx 0.6 \times 10^{-26} \tau^{-1} \]
Here again the function $H_p(q, I/I_{th})$ must be minimized by a proper choice of $q$ and $I/I_{th}$. When evaluating equation (14) with the parameters of existing passive masers [13, table 1] we have the value:

$$
\sigma_p^2(\tau) = \frac{4kT}{h^2\omega_0^3} \mu_B^2 \frac{\eta_c}{V_c} H_p \left( q, \frac{I}{I_{th}} \right) \frac{1}{\tau}
$$

(14)

Both types of small size H-masers have then approximately the same ultimate frequency stability in the mid term region.

CONCLUSION

This experimental study as well as the previous one on amplitude noise [11] give great confidence in the theoretical model developed to consider the effect of thermal noise on the amplitude and the phase of actively or passively operated masers. When a maser is operated with a feedback loop to enhance the cavity $Q$, an optimum is found where the frequency stability is best. Small size H-masers of the same design can then be operated either actively or passively; they will offer about the same mid term frequency stability when all the parameters are optimized. Automatic cavity tuning is needed on both devices to improve the long term stability: the electronic complexity will then be of the same level.
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Fig. 2 Short term frequency stability: theoretical evaluation.
Fig. 3 Short term frequency stability: measurement.
Fig. 4 White phase noise and white frequency noise contributions.
Fig. 5 Overall short term frequency stability.
Fig. 6  Relative maser frequency shift associated to a variation of 1 °C of the loop temperature.