General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.

- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.

- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.

- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.

- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)
Aircraft Icing Research at NASA

J. J. Reinmann, R. J. Shaw, and W. A. Olsen, Jr.

Lewis Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio

Prepared for the
First International Workshop on Atmospheric Icing of Structures
sponsored by the Electric Power Research Institute and the U.S. Army Cold
Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory
Hanover, New Hampshire, June 1-3, 1982

NASA
ABSTRACT

NASA is again actively involved in aircraft icing research. This paper briefly describes the new research activity in: ice protection systems, icing instrumentation, experimental methods, analytical modeling for the above, and in flight research. The renewed interest in aircraft icing has come about because of the new need for All-Weather Helicopters and General Aviation aircraft. Because of increased fuel costs, tomorrow's Commercial Transport aircraft will also require new types of ice protection systems and better estimates of the aeropenalties caused by ice on unprotected surfaces.

The physics of aircraft icing is very similar to the icing that occurs on ground structures and structures at sea; all involve droplets that freeze on the surfaces because of the cold air. Therefore all icing research groups will benefit greatly by sharing their research information.

INTRODUCTION

If an aircraft is to fly safely through icing clouds, it requires protection on those surfaces that suffer unacceptable aerodegradation from ice accretion. During the 1940's and 1950's, both the NACA and industry helped solve the icing problems for those aircraft that flew IFR (instrument flight rules), which included mainly the commercial and military transports, a few general aviation aircraft, but no helicopters (Refs. 1 and 2).

Today, due to technological advances in avionics and flight controls, nearly all general aviation aircraft and helicopters can be equipped to fly IFR. Yet only a few military helicopters have icing clearances, and no civil helicopter has yet been certified by the FAA for flight into forecasted icing. Many of today's general aviation aircraft are certified for icing, but they rely on ice protection technology that is over 20 years old. The relatively small payload fraction and low power margins of these smaller aircraft mean that their ice protection systems must be light in weight and low in power consumption. Since small objects accrete ice faster than large objects, all the deleterious effects of icing happen faster and are more serious on an unprotected small aircraft: drag rise, torque rise, power loss, lift deterioration, stall angle decrease, and stall speed increase.

Because of high fuel costs, today's large commercial transports need lighter and more efficient ice protection systems. Tomorrow's aircraft will need alternatives to the hot-air ice protection system because bleed air will be scarce on the more efficient high-by-pass-ratio engines or high speed turboprop engines.

Thus, the helicopter, general aviation, light transport, and commercial transport aircraft now share common icing requirements: highly
effective, lightweight, low-power-consuming deicing systems, and detailed knowledge of the aeropenalties due to ice on aircraft surfaces.

NASA has organized a new aircraft icing research program at the Lewis Research Center to help solve the icing problems for modern aircraft. This new program is concentrating on (1) new ice protection systems, (2) new icing instrumentation, (3) improved icing test facilities and testing techniques (especially for helicopters), and (4) widespread use of large high speed computers to lower development and certification time and cost. Our long-range plan is based on recommendations made in several studies of the icing needs for modern aircraft (Refs. 3 to 7). This report gives an overview of NASA's current efforts in this new icing research program.

NASA AIRCRAFT ICING PROGRAM

Figure 1 shows on the left the main elements of NASA's current aircraft icing research program, and on the right the detailed efforts included in each element. We shall briefly describe the research efforts in each element.

Ice Protection Systems

Pneumatic Deicers for Helicopters. Currently, helicopter rotor blades use electrothermal deicers. An alternative is the pneumatic boot deicer which offers the potential of lower weight, lower power consumption, simpler operating controls, and lower costs. In a joint research program, NASA Lewis and B. F. Goodrich Co. developed pneumatic deicer boots for UH-IH helicopter rotor blades (Ref. 8). The best deicer boots developed in the IRT (Lewis Icing Research Tunnel) tests (Fig. 2) were installed on a U.S. Army UH-IH helicopter at the U.S. Army Aviation Engineering Flight Activity at Edwards AFB, California. These boots will be tested on the UH-IH in icing next year under a joint program between the Army, NASA Ames, and B. F. Goodrich Co.

Electrothermal Deicers. Lewis is developing one- and two-dimensional transient heat conduction codes to analyze electrothermal deicer systems (Ref. 9). To obtain validation data for the codes, B. F. Goodrich Co. will install an electrothermal heater blanket on a UH-IH rotor blade section, and Lewis will instrument it with over 50 thermocouples between the various layers of the heater blanket. The UH-IH blade section will be tested on an oscillating blade rig in the IRT. These tests and the heat conduction codes may help determine proper heater power levels and on/off times as a function of outside air temperature and cloud liquid water content.

Glycol Fluid Systems. There is considerable interest in freezing-point-depressant systems. The University of Kansas, under a grant from Lewis, has tested (Ref. 10) the glycol system on two modern general aviation airfoils in the IRT (Fig. 3). The systems used the modern fluid distributor made of stainless steel mesh by TKS, Ltd., of Great Britain. We have also tested a fluid distributor made of a porous composite material that offers the potential advantages of lighter weight and lower costs than the stainless steel mesh. Further development of the composite distributor is needed before it can replace the stainless steel distributor. Another application for the leading edge fluid distributor is to keep bugs off laminar flow wings. In a joint program between NASA Langley and Lewis, a fluid distributor will be installed on a laminar flow wing and tested for bug and ice protection. Lewis is using all test data on the glycol system to develop a data base and design procedure for the modern porous leading edge fluid distributors, which are more efficient than the distributors tested in the 1940's and 1950's.

Electromagnetic Impulse Deicers. The electromagnetic impulse system offers potential savings in power over the conventional anti-icing systems (Ref. 6). The heart of this system consists of a flat spirally wound coil of copper wire (about number 10 gauge) mounted on a 2- to 3-in.-diameter disk made of an electrical insulator and installed inside the leading edge of the airfoil. The magnetic field of the coil induces eddy currents in the airfoil skin, causing it to deflect rapidly.

An electromagnetic impulse deicer system for commercial transports was recently tested in the IRT in a joint...
Lewis/industry program. Data from that test are being analyzed. Lewis also has a new effort to develop the impulse system for general aviation aircraft and to test it in the IRT. This involves a grant to Wichita State University who will work with Beech Aircraft and Cessna Aircraft.

Icephobics. Icephobics is the generic name given to any material that, when applied to a surface, reduces the adhesive bond between the ice and the surface. Besides reducing the adhesive bond of ice, an icephobic suitable for aircraft also must resist erosion by rain and sand, must not be carried away with the shed ice, and must withstand exposure to weather including the sun's heat and ultraviolet rays.

As part of a joint program between NASA, the Air Force, and the Army, several icephobic coatings were tested in the IRT with the interfacial shear rig shown in Figure 4. However, no coating met all of the above criteria. Lewis currently has a grant with Clarkson College of Technology to develop an icephobic coating. Dr. H. Jellinek, the principal investigator for this grant, successfully developed an icephobic coating for the St. Lawrence Seaway locks while he was working for the Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory.

A special need exists for designing experiments to measure ice adhesion and the various structural properties of ice while the IRT cloud is operating and at proper airspeed. While droplets are striking the test object and freezing, they release their heat of fusion which sometimes can result in a mushy water-ice mixture. The properties of this mixture could differ markedly from the ice that results after shutting off the spray cloud and lowering the airspeed, causing the mushy ice to freeze. This procedure could even induce stresses in the ice. These properties are needed in order to better understand and design mechanical ice removal systems such as pneumatic deicers and impulse deicers.

Icing Instrumentation

Cloud Instrument Evaluation. In a joint program between Lewis and the Air Force Flight Test Center (Edwards AFB, Calif.) a number of modern and old style icing cloud instruments were compared in the IRT spray cloud to determine their relative accuracy and their limitations over a broad range of conditions. The instruments tested were primarily those used to determine drop size and liquid water content (LWC). All instruments were installed and checked out by the user (owner) or the manufacturer of the instrument to insure that it was operating properly. The IRT spray cloud proved to be adequately repeatable and spatially uniform for the needs of the program.

LWC Instruments. The LWC indicated by all of the instruments tested were compared with the LWC set according to the standard IRT calibration. Figure 5 shows that all instruments agree within about 120 percent; the laser spectrometers generally exhibit a larger scatter in their LWC indications. The data shown were taken at a very low temperature to avoid any thermal error that causes water run-off.

Drop Size Instruments. Eight ASSP (Axial Scattering Spectrometer Probe) and three FSSP (Forward Scattering Spectrometer Probe) laser spectrometers were compared in the IRT spray cloud. Data from six of these were obtained; the others failed for various reasons. The ASSP data showed a scatter of about 14 μm over the range of 10 to 25 μm. The FSSP data were about 4 μm higher than the ASSP data. Figure 6 shows what a 14 μm variation in droplet size caused in ice shape and drag on a NACA 0012 airfoil (21-in. chord). The ice shape changed significantly and the resulting drag coefficient changed by a factor of five.

Ice Detectors. Lewis has funded Ideal Research, Inc., (Ref. 11) to develop an instrument to detect ice on the surface of an aircraft component and to measure the ice thickness and growth rate. The MIAMI (Microwave Ice Accretion Measurement Instrument) consists of a resonant surface waveguide with related electronics and a microprocessor. The wave guide, which mounts flush with the surface, is 0.2 in. wide by 1.41 in. long by 0.393 in. deep. It has a resonant frequency of 6.27 GHz. As ice builds up, the resonant frequency of the waveguide shifts. A plot of the experimental
resonant frequency shift versus ice thickness is shown and compared to an empirical curve fit in Figure 7. This curve-fit is programmed into the microprocessor to calculate ice thickness and ice growth rate.

Ideal Research, Inc., has demonstrated that the MIAMI works in principle. But further development is required to demonstrate that it can distinguish between water and ice, because under glaze icing conditions both water and ice are present on the surface. This problem seems to be solvable.

Experimental Methods

Icing Research Tunnel. The Lewis Icing Research Tunnel (IRT) is the largest icing wind tunnel in North America (Fig. 8). The IRT has a 6-ft high by 9 ft wide by 20 ft long test section; a top airspeed of 300 mph; a refrigeration plant which produces total air temperatures down to -30°F and which provides for year-round operation; and 77 air atomizing water nozzles which produce a simulated icing cloud with liquid water contents from 0.5 to over 2 g/m³. The IRT test section operates from sea level (at 0 mph) to 3000 ft altitude (at 300 mph). The IRT was built in 1944; today it is in continual use and constantly has a 2-year backlog of test requests. The IRT can test selected full-scale components such as airfoils and engine inlets, and it has even tested propellers and aircraft engines in the diffuser leg downstream of the main test section.

Airfoil Performance in Icing. There is a universal need for data on the aerodynamic degradation of two-dimensional airfoils in icing. From tests in the IRT during the 1940's and 1950's empirical formulas were developed (Ref. 12) that predicted lift and drag increments while accounting for chord and thickness of the airfoil, liquid water content and temperature of the cloud, airspeed, and duration of the icing encounter. We recently tested in the IRT two airfoils currently used on general aviation aircraft. One of these airfoils has a blunter leading edge that gives higher maximum lift coefficients and "softer" stall characteristics than the older airfoils that were tested to obtain the empirical formulas. Figure 9 shows the drag predicted from the empirical formula versus the measured drag for the two airfoils over a wide range of icing conditions. The data for the modern airfoils fall within the rather wide spread of results for the older airfoils. The results of the high LWC tests, which were only done for the modern airfoils, show that the empirical formula seriously overestimates the drag. These results point up the need for better analytical methods for predicting airfoil performance in icing.

Testing with Artificial Ice. High speed computers are now available and must be used to model the ice accretion process and to analyze the complex flow around airfoils having irregular shaped ice caps and rough surfaces that can cause flow separation and reattachment. To determine what physics must be included in the aerodynamic flow model, the surface static pressures must be measured around the airfoil including the ice cap. These surface pressures are extremely difficult to measure under icing conditions, so we have replaced the actual ice on the leading edge with a wooden replica and obtained static pressures and drag data in the IRT without the icing cloud (Ref. 13). Drag results are shown in Figure 10 for both the real ice and the wood replica (roughness was simulated with grit) for both rime and glaze ice. The drag for the artificial ice agrees satisfactorily with the real ice. Fixed-wing aircraft are often flown with artificial ice in order to determine the aeropnealties due to ice. Artificial ice may some day be applied to helicopter rotor blades to determine aeropnealties.

Helicopter Test Rigs. As mentioned earlier, no civil helicopter is yet certified by the FAA for flight into forecasted icing. A key reason for this lag in technology is the lack of adequate icing test facilities for helicopters and their components. Flight testing in natural icing clouds is extremely expensive because experience indicates that it would take several years of winter flying in natural icing conditions to prove that the helicopter meets icing certification criteria, and even longer to get research type of icing data.
Two icing simulators exist for testing complete helicopters: the Icing Spray Rig, a ground test facility at Ottawa, Canada; and the HISS (Helicopter Icing Spray System), the U.S. Army's inflight icing simulator. The Ottawa Spray Rig tests helicopters in hover or low-speed transition. The HISS tests helicopters in forward flight. Both operate only in the short winter season and are subject to the whims of the weather.

The Lewis IRT has tested full-scale engine inlets for nearly all U.S. helicopters that fly IFK. What the helicopter industry lacks is an icing tunnel that can test main rotor blades under simulated flight conditions. In an attempt to see if the IRT can be useful in testing rotor blades, we are building two rotorcraft test rigs (Fig. 11): an oscillating blade rig and a rotating blade rig. The oscillating blade rig will simulate variations in pitch angle during forward flight, thereby giving more realistic ice shape data on full-scale rotor airfoil sections. Lift and drag data can be obtained for these iced-up rotor blades. This aerodynamic data may be useful in predicting performance degradation of helicopters without ice protection. The oscillating rotor blade in the IRT may also prove useful for initial testing of deicer systems even though the oscillating rig does not simulate centrifugal forces and the air speed is less than Mach 0.4 in the IRT.

The rotating blade test rig will be used to test an OH-58 tail rotor (about 5 ft in diameter). For the OH-58 blade, rotating blade test results will be compared with oscillating blade test results to determine the importance of centrifugal force and Mach number on ice shape. The main usefulness of the rotating blade rig will be to study the ice formations and to measure the aerodynamic degradation caused by the ice. Model rotors could also be tested in the IRT, but the icing scaling laws must be verified and nozzles that produce water droplet volume median diameters less than 10 μm are required. We are working toward these goals.

Lewis has been advocating that their now dormant Altitude Wind Tunnel (AWT) be rehabilitated into an icing research (or extreme weather) and propulsion wind tunnel (Fig. 12). The new AWT would have two test sections: a 20-ft diameter section with speeds up to Mach 1 and a 45-ft diameter section with speeds up to 50 knots. The high speed section would test deicers on oscillating, full-scale rotor blades up to blade-tip Mach numbers; it would test helicopter inlets with simulated rotor downwash; and it would do complete rotor tests on typical scale model rotors. The low-speed section would test complete helicopters (with truncated blades), and it would have a rotor whirl rig for testing full-scale rotor blade deicer systems.

Icing Scaling Laws. All icing simulation facilities have limited capabilities in the velocity, size, altitude, droplet size, liquid water content, and temperature they can generally attain. As a consequence they cannot duplicate all of the conditions necessary to test an aircraft component flying through an icing cloud. To get around these facility limitations, icing scaling laws were derived in the 1950's (Ref. 14); however, these relationships have never been properly verified. Proper experimental verification is extremely difficult because of serious facility and icing instrument limitations.

In an attempt to verify the icing scaling laws, Lewis and AEUC (Arnold Air Development Center, Tullahoma, Tenn.) have entered into a joint research program. The experimental verification uses the complementary capabilities of the large low speed Lewis IRT and the AEUC small high-speed free jet. Lewis is performing research on the energy balance, the heat transfer coefficients, and the catch efficiency of airfoils to improve the existing icing scaling laws. AEUC is testing several spray nozzles to find one that produces the small droplets required for testing small scale models and also to improve all icing simulation facilities. Verification tests will consist of testing a series of airfoils under several sets of icing tunnel conditions that are predicted by the scaling laws to give equivalent drag and ice shape results.

Verified icing scaling laws would (1) permit accurate tests at actual facility conditions which duplicate results of conditions unattainable by that facility, and (2) permit tests of small-scale models of aircraft and
rotors to determine the aeropenalties of icing.

Analytical Methods

The NASA aircraft icing research effort includes extensive aircraft icing analysis. The long-term goal is to use computers to predict the details of an aircraft icing encounter. Computer codes will be developed to predict overall aircraft performance degradation due to ice accretions on unprotected surfaces and the resultant changes in aircraft handling characteristics. Other codes will be developed to design ice protection systems and analyze their performance.

Today's large, high-speed digital computers were not available to the NACA icing researchers in the 1940's and 1950's, and up until 1980 virtually no icing analysis codes were published in the open literature. With the increasing costs of conducting tests in icing wind tunnels and in icing flights there now is a strong motivation to develop an aircraft icing analysis methodology to hold test programs to the minimum.

Currently we are developing some of the required codes and verifying their accuracy with appropriate experiments. These codes are being developed through a combination of in-house efforts and various grants and contracts. Figure 13 indicates the large number of computer codes required. Also shown are some (but by no means all) of the required interfaces. The figure also shows areas of current research in NASA.

FWU Associates, Inc., is developing a particle trajectory code (Ref. 15) to calculate two-dimensional trajectories about single-con multi-element airfoils, two-dimensional inlets, and axisymmetric inlets at angle of attack (symmetry plane only). The flow fields are calculated using appropriate Douglas Aircraft potential flow codes.

Atmospheric Science Associates has developed a three-dimensional particle trajectory code which is capable of calculating trajectories about three-dimensional nonlifting (Ref. 1b) and lifting bodies. The code can calculate water droplet trajectories about the complete aircraft. Again, appropriate potential flow field codes developed by Douglas Aircraft are used to predict the aircraft flow field.

The University of Dayton Research Institute is developing an ice accretion modeling code (Ref. 17) which will calculate two-dimensional ice accretion shapes on airfoils for rime through glaze icing conditions. The approach extends the work of Stallabrass and Lozowski (Ref. 18) and Ackley and Templeton (Ref. 19). The code is compatible with the water droplet trajectory code developed by FWU, and allows the airfoil flow field and resultant collection efficiency to be recomputed as the ice accretion changes the airfoil contour.

The University of Toledo is developing one- and two-dimensional transient heat conduction codes to model electrothermal ice- ers. A preliminary version of the one-dimensional code is given in Reference 9. These codes include a moving water-ice interface.

The Ohio State University is developing a capability for predicting aerodynamic performance degradation of airfoils due to ice accretions (Ref. 20). They start with existing aerodynamic analysis codes for airfoils, and modify them wherever needed to model the flow around airfoils with ice accretions. As a separate activity, Ohio State is developing a simplified method to predict overall aircraft performance that uses the results of the various other two-dimensional codes being developed.

Texas A&M University is using the fixed-wing methodology developed at Ohio State University and extending it to calculate the performance degradation of propellers and helicopter rotors, both in hover and in forward flight (Ref. 21).

As Figure 13 indicates, many additional computer codes remain to be developed. Before many of them can be developed, fundamental experiments must be conducted to gain a better understanding of the physics to guide the modeling efforts. Also of critical importance is accurate verification data to determine computer code capabilities and limitations. Unfortunately little verification data exist and getting it will require the development of new icing simulation facilities, test rigs, and instrumentation capabilities.
Flight Research

Lewis has started an icing research flight program using NASA's Twin Otter airplane (Fig. 14). It will be flown out of Lewis during the icing season from November through April. The flight program is intended to insure that researchers conducting icing tests in the IRT or developing computer codes in support of icing have first-hand knowledge of how their results compare with flight test results in real icing conditions.

Validation Data for Icing Simulation Facilities. There does not seem to have been any systematic attempt to prove that icing simulators do a reasonable job of duplicating the natural icing conditions. Lewis plans to obtain during flights in natural icing conditions, ice shapes on standard cylinders and airfoils that any icing simulator can try to reproduce.

For example, the same airfoils and cylinders used in flight will be installed in the IRT where flight icing conditions (airspeed, LWC, drop size, and temperature) will be duplicated. Drag, ice shapes, and ice growth characteristics obtained in the IRT will be compared with those from natural icing. The flight and IRT data comparisons will measure the IRT's ability to simulate natural icing conditions.

Instrument Evaluation. This flight program affords an opportunity to compare several modern cloud instruments with one another and also with the rotating multicylinders and oil slide instruments that were used in the 1940's and 1950's. The Twin Otter will be equipped with all of the modern flightworthy cloud instruments.

Icing Cloud Data. Upon each icing flight NASA will collect icing cloud data and give it to the FAA who is collecting and correlating icing cloud data taken at lower altitudes with modern instrumentation.

Meteorology. NASA Langley has developed a numerical code (Ref. 22) to forecast the future state of the atmosphere at mesoscale. The code is entitled MASS (Mesoscale Atmosphere Simulation System). MASS uses a 50 km grid spacing over North America, with 14 levels in altitude and 51 sec computation time interval. After each icing flight, Lewis gives Langley the location and altitude where the Twin Otter encountered icing. Langley uses this data to validate MASS by backcasting the conditions at the specified location of the icing encounter.

Airplane Performance. NASA and the Ohio State University will conduct inflight icing experiments to measure lift and drag degradation of the Twin Otter's wings, and also overall performance loss. Ohio State will install a heated wake survey probe and a static pressure belt on one of the Twin Otter's wings. Thrust horsepower measurement techniques will be developed. Flight results will be compared with similar results of tests in the IRT on a Twin Otter wing section.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

As you can see from this review of NASA's new icing research program, it is broad-based and covers both basic research and engineering applications. Undoubtedly you have seen areas in the NASA program to which some of your own research applies and vice versa. We would like to take the opportunity provided by this international meeting to explore possible ways that we could cooperate, such as, comparing computer code predictions, or providing experimental data for guiding and validating analytical methods, conducting joint experiments, comparing experiences with various icing instruments, or devising experimental techniques for measuring structural properties of ice under actual operating conditions in the IRT.
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FIGURE 1. - Elements of NASA's Aircraft Icing Program.

Figure 2. - Pneumatic boot deicer on UH-1H rotor blade, and wake survey probe in the LeRC Icing Research Tunnel.
Figure 3. - Glycol fluid distributor (porous stainless steel) on leading edge of wing in the LeRC Icing Research Tunnel.

Figure 4. - Icephobics interfacial shear stress test rig in the LeRC Icing Research Tunnel.
Figure 5. - Results of tests comparing several liquid-water-content meters in the Lewis IRT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DROP SIZE (MICRONS)</th>
<th>C_D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRY</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. - Effect of cloud volume median droplet size on ice shape and drag, from measurements in the Lewis IRT.
Figure 7 - Relationship between resonant frequency shift and ice thickness for the Microwave Ice Accrretion Measurement Instrument (MIAMI).

Figure 8 - Layout schematic of the Lewis Icing Research Tunnel.
Figure 9 - Predicted drag increments due to ice accretion from NASA TN D-2166 versus drag increments measured in the Lewis IRT.

Figure 10 - Drag increments from real ice compared with the drag increments from wooden replicas of the ice.
Figure 11. - Rotor blade test techniques being developed for the Lewis IRT.

Figure 12. - Flow circuit for proposed rehabilitation of the Lewis Altitude Wind Tunnel (AWT).
Figure 13 - Flow chart showing NASA's methodology for aircraft icing analysis.

Figure 14 - The NASA icing flight research aircraft.