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SECTION I. INTRODUCTION

Engelhard's objective under the present contract is to contribute substantially to the national fuel conservation program by developing a commercially viable and cost-effective phosphoric acid fuel cell powered on-site integrated energy system (OS/IES). The fuel cell offers energy efficiencies in the range of 35-40% of the higher heating value of available fuels in the form of electrical energy. In addition, by utilizing the thermal energy generated for heating, ventilating and air-conditioning (HVAC), a fuel cell OS/IES could provide total energy efficiencies in the neighborhood of 80%. Also, the Engelhard fuel cell OS/IES, which is the objective of the present program, offers the important incentive of replacing imported oil with domestically produced methanol, including coal-derived methanol.

Engelhard has successfully completed the first two phases of a five-phase program. The next three phases entail an integration of the fuel cell system into a total energy system for multi-family residential and commercial buildings. The mandate of Phase III is to develop a full scale 50kW breadboard power plant module and to identify a suitable type of application site. Toward this end, an initial objective in Phase III is to complete the integration and testing of the 5kW system whose components were developed during Phase II. Following the test of this sub-scale system, scale-up activities will be implemented as a total effort. Throughout this design and engineering program, continuing technology support activity will be maintained to assure that performance, reliability, and cost objectives are attained.
SECTION II. TECHNICAL PROGRESS SUMMARY

TASK I - 5kW POWER SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT (97046)

This task is of limited duration and has as its objective the complete integration of 5kW components developed during Phase II. This integrated 5kW system is automated under microprocessor control.

Most operating features of this system have been successfully checked out, as described in the Quarterly Report for August-October, 1981. Since that time a sub-stack voltage scanning capability has been added to the control system and tested for proper operation. An endurance system test at full load will be deferred until the stack is rebuilt in early 1982. Pfizer is producing ABA plates using improved technology for this rebuild. Small test pieces submitted by Pfizer based upon this technology have had satisfactory conductivity and edge-seal capability.

TASK II - ON-SITE SYSTEM APPLICATION ANALYSIS (97047)

The purpose of this task is to develop an application model for on-site integrated energy systems, with some emphasis on a system of 50kW (electrical) modular capability. The model will consider fuel availability and costs, building types and sizes, power distribution requirements (electrical and thermal), waste heat utilization potential, types of ownership of the OS/IES, and grid connection vs. stand-alone operation. The work of this task is being carried out under sub-contract by Arthur D. Little, Inc.

The major conclusions from A. D. Little's analysis to date are:

- Fuel cell OS/IES economics are relatively attractive under Con Edison rate structures.
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- Centrifugal chiller-based systems with a fuel cell system that is small with respect to the electrical load yield a higher return on investment than absorption-chiller-based systems with a higher ratio of fuel cell capacity to electrical load. However, total savings potential is greater for the latter option.

- Fuel cell OS/IES are operated for best rate of return on investment as base-load rather than peak-load systems. For maximum savings potential, an intermediate-load design appears preferable.

- Methanol-based systems appear to have substantially lower rates of return than methane systems, based on today's price projections.

- Electric utility rate structures are probably more important in determining rate of return than are building loads and climate conditions. These latter variables are likely to affect optimum percentage of load met by the OS/IES.

- Target building types for further analysis are:
  - Hospital
  - Office
  - Apartment/dormitory
  - Retail store

Some background and details of these conclusions are given below.
The basic scope of the A.D.L. project is to determine the market potential for fuel cell-based OS/IES by examining their cost and performance in representative building types, climate zones and electric utility rate structures. To be recommended is a test site or sites based on the economic and market analysis.

The approach includes four basic steps:

- Assembly of building and energy statistics
- Analysis of fuel cell OS/IES economics in selected applications
- Determination of market size and potential
- Recommendation of test sites

To date, data on buildings and building energy consumption have been collected and an operating analysis of a fuel cell OS/IES in a retail store with electric utility grid connection has been conducted.

On a preliminary basis, it appears that electric utility rate structures will be the key element in determining economic attractiveness of OS/IES. Building load profiles, the building type, and climate zone dependent variables are likely to affect the size of the OS/IES rather than its inherent operating economics.

As the next step, a full economic analysis is proposed of:

- One building type in multiple climate zones,
- Four building types in one climate zone, and
- Multiple electric rate structures on one or two building types.
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OS/IES OPERATION ANALYSIS

In an earlier contract to NASA/Lewis, Arthur D. Little developed a model to determine:

- Necessary fuel cell OS/IES capacity and operating characteristics,
- Cost of a building's HVAC system with and without OS/IES, and
- Return on investment from a fuel cell OS/IES.

This earlier model assumed a stand-alone system; i.e., a building without connection to the electric utility grid structure. With the passage of the Public Utilities Regulatory Policy Act (PURPA) and the growing interest in cogeneration, the absence of electric utility grid connection appears to be overly restrictive and detracts from OS/IES market potential. Consequently, A.D.L. modified its model to accept grid connection, allowing the building to both purchase and sell electricity.

In order to test its modified model and to provide consistent information on OS/IES performance, A.D.L. conducted its first analysis on the retail store originally analyzed in the earlier NASA/Lewis work. This is a 112,000 square foot retail store (Table I), a size typical of moderate department stores. A.D.L. also assumed climate characteristics based on the earlier Washington, D.C. location.

The HVAC system and building loads for the base-case building are typical of buildings of that size and location. The HVAC system is an electric centrifugal chilled-water system for cooling and a hot-water boiler for heating. This is a slightly more sophisticated and costly system than might ordinarily be found in such a building, which biases the analysis slightly in favor of OS/IES.
The operating analysis consists of six elements to determine the:

- Capital cost of HVAC systems for the base-case building,
- Capital costs for fuel cell OS/IES and associated modifications of the HVAC system,
- Energy and other operating costs for the base-case systems,
- Energy and other operating costs for fuel cell OS/IES,
- Incremental costs and savings for fuel cell OS/IES alternatives, and
- Return on investment for fuel cell OS/IES

A.D.L. has, so far, conducted an operating analysis for fourteen basic OS/IES systems, using different numbers of fuel cell stacks and different combinations of heat (absorption) and electric (centrifugal) driven cooling systems.

In order to estimate the operating and energy costs for the fuel cell OS/IES, A.D.L. developed an operating strategy subject to basic constraints. The fuel cell OS/IES must meet the requirements of the Public Utilities Regulatory Policy Act (PURPA) which sets the conditions for qualified cogenerators. (Qualifications were set forth in the Quarterly Report for August-October, 1981.) This, basically, states that the OS/IES, or other cogenerator, must annually use on-site a certain portion of the energy not consumed in generating electricity. For the retail store, and most other buildings in reasonably northern climates, this is not a problem during the winter, when space heating requirements are high, but this constraint can be a problem in the summer.
For buildings with electrical air-conditioning, the cogeneration rules place a limit on the total operating hours which can be achieved with a fuel cell OS/IES in the summer because demands for the waste heat do not equal electricity needs. In buildings with electric air-conditioners, the waste heat from the fuel cell OS/IES basically must be used to heat domestic hot water, and the summer domestic hot-water load serves as the limit on operating time for the fuel cell OS/IES. The PURPA cogeneration limitations can be overcome by substituting heat-activated air-conditioners, typically absorption chillers, for the electric air-conditioners. This substitution allows the fuel cell OS/IES to operate for more annual hours. However, absorption chillers are relatively inefficient and quite costly to operate when directly fired by fossil fuels. Consequently, it is desirable not to operate absorption chillers except with waste heat.

In addition to consistency with PURPA cogeneration requirements, fuel cell OS/IES systems were constrained to maximize the rate of return on the building owner's investment. In some instances, this tends to limit the size or operating schedule in ways that would not be preferred by the electric utilities. Utilities might prefer using a fuel cell OS/IES solely as a load-control device, operating only during peak periods. The building owner, however, prefers base-load fuel cell OS/IES operation.

In conducting this analysis, A.D.L. made basic assumptions on two key issues:

- Fuel cell OS/IES cost, and
- Fuel prices

A.D.L. assumed an installed cost of $1,173 per kW (1980 dollars) for the fuel cell OS/IES. A.D.L. derived this cost from review of all
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cost estimates available for phosphoric acid fuel cell systems over all size ranges.

For fuel costs, a consistent series of estimates has been used. Electricity costs are based on estimates of PURPA electricity rates for the Consolidated Edison Company, adjusted for consistency on oil-cost escalation. Natural gas prices are assumed to equilibrate with #6 heavy oil on a cost-per-BTU basis in 1985. For 1985 on, natural gas prices are predicted to increase with the price of oil as projected by the U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Information Agency. Methanol costs were developed based on coal-source methanol plants and Arthur D. Little, Inc. estimates (Table II).

The economic analysis begins in 1985, the earliest year for practical fuel cell OS/IES availability, and is conducted in constant 1980 dollars.

PRELIMINARY ECONOMIC CONCLUSIONS

Based on the analysis to date, the fuel cell OS/IES has its best rate of return on the building owner's investment:

- Operating as a base-load electricity generator
- In conjunction with centrifugal chillers
- Sized to operate at virtually 100% utilization

Under these conditions, a fuel cell OS/IES using one 50kW fuel cell module (Case Centrifugal Chiller 4) in the retail store using Consolidated Edison electric rates and natural gas has a constant dollar, post-tax rate of return of approximately 39% (Tables III and IV). Adding one more 50kW fuel cell module (Case Centrifugal Chiller 2) lowers the rate of return
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to 28% compared to the base-case building and gives an incremental rate of return of 20% compared to the one-module case. Adding three more modules (increasing to five 50kW modules, Centrifugal Chiller Case 1) still yields an incremental return of 20%. By most conventional investment criteria, this is still an attractive constant-dollar rate of return. While it is still too early in this study to draw conclusions on market potential, fuel cell OS/IES systems do seem attractive to the building owner under the assumed conditions. A major goal of the remaining study is to ascertain the sensitivity of these results to other assumptions.

Certain other observations are also possible at this stage. The first is that substituting absorption air-conditioners for electric ones allows for greater utilization of fuel cell waste heat, but substantially cuts the rate of return. The best return for an absorption chiller system is in a ten-module system and is 16% relative to the base case. This system, however, has a 5% return versus the next nearest centrifugal-chiller option. The detailed reasons for this have not been fully analyzed, but it appears that the extra capital cost of the absorption chiller cannot be effectively recouped from fuel savings. However, consideration of day/night thermal storage and chiller integration with the fuel cell system could substantially alter these results.

The analysis so far indicates that building type, load profile, and climate zone, all interrelated variables, will affect the size (in kW per square foot of building) of the fuel cell OS/IES. Electric utility rate structures are likely to affect the absolute value of the rate of return. All variables will be tested in further analysis, with concentration on electric rate issues.
BUILDING TYPE SELECTION

Beyond determining operating parameters and user economics of a fuel cell OS/IES, A.D.L. has also analyzed the electrical/thermal match between fuel cell OS/IES and various building types, leading to a determination of fuel cell OS/IES market potential and to a recommendation of a demonstration building type. The determination of appropriate building types includes a variety of considerations:

- Typical energy load profile,
- New construction volume and existing stock,
- Adaptability of design process, and
- Receptivity of owners

On the basis of all four of these considerations, A.D.L. recommends and plans to conduct further analysis on four broad building types:

- Hospitals
- Offices
- Dormitories/apartments
- Retail stores

The best operating performance, based on return on investment, and the maximum attractive size, in kW per square foot of building, appear to be obtained in buildings with a high non-seasonal heat load compared to the peak electric load. For virtually all building types, this translates into a preference for large hot-water loads (non-seasonal) relative to the air-conditioning (seasonal) loads, and a high ratio of hot-water energy demand to air-conditioning energy
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demand provides a useful figure-of-merit as one step in assessing appropriateness of buildings for fuel-cell-based OS/IES.

Based on the ratio of hot-water demand to hot-water plus air-conditioning (Table V), apartments have the most suitable load profiles, followed by hospitals. At the opposite extreme, retail stores with high air-conditioning demand plus low hot-water needs have load profiles less suited to the use of cogeneration systems.

Load profiles are not the only determinant of attractiveness of building types as users of fuel cell OS/IES. The number of available buildings and the ease of adaptation also will be key influences. Ease of adaptation is dependent on the first-cost sensitivity of the building owner and on the ability to successfully incorporate the fuel cell OS/IES into the building design process. A.D.L. has ranked building types by first-cost sensitivity of their owners (Table VI) based on background knowledge of the building industry, where an "A" implies low first-cost sensitivity. On this basis, government, institutional and other publicly-owned buildings are favored as are owner-occupied offices. Speculative or leased buildings are not favored.

A.D.L. has also identified the presence of field-engineered HVAC systems as a route to designing and incorporating a fuel cell OS/IES into the building. Buildings with simple, roof-top or unitary air-conditioners are not typically designed by engineers capable of integrating a fuel cell OS/IES. Conversely, buildings which use field-engineered air conditioners (large chillers) have sophisticated engineers who can integrate the fuel cell OS/IES. Offices, industrial buildings, and health-care facilities have a high penetration of field-engineered air-conditioners and are, thus, prime markets on thi.
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criterion for fuel cell OS/IES (Figure 1). Office buildings also have
the largest total number of square feet of new construction using
field-engineered HVAC equipment, making it a relatively more attractive
market (Table VII). Interestingly, retail stores also have a large
number of square feet of field-engineered HVAC equipment because that
building end use is a large portion of the total area of new
nonresidential buildings.

Further analysis of four building types is suggested:

- Hospitals, because of attractive
  load characteristics
- Offices, because of the size of
  the field-engineered HVAC market
- Apartments, because of attractive
  load characteristics
- Retail stores, because of total
  market size

TASK III - ON-SITE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT

This task forms the core of the Phase III Contract. Work
under this task will result in the breadboard design of a system for
an on-site application. The power plant will be designed for a rated
output of 50kW (electrical) or some multiple thereof. The fuel
processor and power conditioner will each be 50kW modules, while the
50kW fuel cell will comprise two 25kW stack modules. This task is
accordingly broken down into four sub-tasks as follows:

3.1 Large Stack Development (97048)
3.2 Large Fuel Processor Development (97038)
3.3 Overall System Analysis (97051)
3.4 Overall System Design and Development (97064)
A large part of Sub-Task 3.3 is being carried out by Physical Sciences Inc. (PSI) under subcontract.

LARGE STACK DEVELOPMENT (97048)

The variable-stack test fixture for full-sized cells (0.33 x 0.56 m, 13" x 22") was described in the Quarterly Report for August-October, 1981. The fixture has been completely fabricated and Teflon-coated by an outside vendor. Handling facilities and test station for this unit are currently being readied. The fixture will accommodate any number of full-sized cells from one to twenty-four. The first test in the unit will be a single-cell test, anticipated to begin in March.

A design for a full-sized metallic cooling plate has been submitted to a vendor for fabrication; these plates will be needed for the first 24-cell test, scheduled for May.

A-elements (grooved needled-felt) and electrodes for the single-cell test have already been completed. The cathode catalyst for this cell is of the stabilized-Pt/stabilized-support type containing 10% Pt. Its original Pt surface-area is 86 m^2/g. This catalyst is formulated with Teflon (TFE, Type 30) such that the final mix is 50% polymer. The anode catalyst is of the Pt-only type (10%) and has an initial Pt surface-area averaging 100 m^2/g. It is formulated such that the final mix is 45% fluorocarbon polymer. The matrix for both electrodes is of the silicon carbide paste type.
Recent emphasis in the design of a 50kW fuel processor has shifted away from a custom approach and toward the use of off-the-shelf shell-and-tube heat exchangers. The Perry Products Corporation of Hainesport, N.J. has been selected as the vendor for suitable units for this program. Their standard exchangers are constructed of 316 stainless steel.

A 5 kW-equivalent unit will be procured first as a sub-scale unit for testing the concept. Data from this test program will be used to specify optimum baffle spacing for a 50 kW-equivalent unit. Quoted data on these two units are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tube Surface Area</th>
<th>kW-Equivalent</th>
<th>Shell Diameter</th>
<th>Overall Length</th>
<th>Price (One Unit)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2m² (13 ft²)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15cm (6&quot;)</td>
<td>1.7m (5.5')</td>
<td>~$4,875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.8m² (126 ft²)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>41cm (16&quot;)</td>
<td>1.8m (6')</td>
<td>~$10,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OVERALL SYSTEM ANALYSIS (97051)

Work is proceeding at Physical Sciences Inc. on development of off-design modules to be used in predicting and controlling behavior of the system at less-than-design-load operation. This work is nearly complete and the program package is scheduled to be delivered to Engelhard in May.

Previous program packages developed by PSI on stack, reformer and HVAC subsystems were translated from BASIC to FORTRAN and are installed and operational in the Engelhard PDP 11/34 system.
OVERALL SYSTEM DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT (97064)

PSI has prepared the basic tools for a computer analysis of a novel air-conditioning concept (See Appendix to Quarterly Report of February - April, 1981). Following some modifications of PSI's assumptions, Engelhard's computer group has devised a program for the thermodynamic analysis of the concept. This program is now being run with a variety of assumptions regarding parameter values. The key output variable to be calculated is the coefficient of performance (C.O.P.); i.e., the ratio of useful air-conditioning and heating output to total thermal and electrical input.

Heat-of-fusion materials are still being considered for day/night condenser cooling storage. A new paraffin sample from Compounders, Inc. has a melting range around 290 K and is being evaluated. Its function would be to provide a relatively cool condensing temperature for absorption chillers used in the HVAC, thus improving their C.O.P. and cooling capacity.

TASK IV - STACK SUPPORT (97049)

The purpose of this task, which will continue throughout the contract, is to investigate new materials and component concepts by experimentation and the use of small-stack trials. The criteria for choosing activities under this task will be the possibilities of improved performance or reduced cost, or both. Improvements in and performances of electrocatalysts, though generated under Engelhard-sponsored Task VI, will be reported under Task IV.
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CARBON-MONOXIDE POISONING

Cyclic-voltammetry has been employed to investigate the
effect of CO on the hydrogen oxidation reaction. Figure 2 shows
typical voltammograms for a 10 wt % Pt on carbon (0.45 mg/cm²)
electrocatalyst in 25% H₃PO₄ at 25°C, saturated with H₂ and 5%
CO/H₂, respectively. The oxidizing current in Sweep 3 beginning
around 0.6 V is due to the removal of adsorbed CO as CO₂. Inte-
gration of appropriate areas under these curves shows that the
reduction in hydrogen adsorption charge is quantitatively related
to the portion of the electrocatalyst surface occupied by carbon
monoxide. Hence, the hydrogen adsorption charge retention in the
presence of carbon monoxide serves as a measure of carbon-monoxide
tolerance.

Hydrogen adsorption charge at 25°C in the presence of
5% CO/H₂ and hydrogen-gain* measurements obtained from typical
fuel cell performance tests have been studied for various catalysts.
Figure 3 indicates that catalysts with high hydrogen adsorption
charge (high platinum surface-area retention) show superior
carbon-monoxide tolerance (low hydrogen-gain).

An observation of additional interest, shown in Figure 4,
is the correlation between the platinum surface-area of the catalyst
and the amount of time required to saturate the catalyst surfaces with
CO (while exposed to 100% CO).

* Hydrogen-gain: Fuel cell voltage gain (at 161 mA/cm², 190°C)
when changing the fuel from reformate (65% H₂, 23% CO₂,
10% H₂O, 2% CO) to pure hydrogen.
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CORROSION STABILITY OF CARBON SUPPORTS

A screening and monitoring program is maintained in which existing and candidate carbon supports for catalysts are tested for the magnitude of their corrosion currents at 1.0 V. The test is more severe than conditions met in actual service. The reason for conducting this screening test is the possibility of long-term attrition of support material in service and attendant loss of precious metal.

Typical short-term data from this test are shown for several types of support in Figure 5. Longer-term data are also obtained and will be presented at a later time.

BIPOLAR PLATE DEVELOPMENT

Work during this quarter in bipolar plate development and construction consisted of the following activities:

1. Development of an improved ABA bonding technique.
2. Manufacture of 1 ft² plates for the rebuild of the 5 kW stack.
3. Manufacture of 2 ft² A-elements for full-size single-cell test (0.33 x 0.56 m, 13" x 22").
4. Fabrication of prototype ABA plates in 2 ft² size.
5. Final set-up and check-out of the grooving machine for grooving of both 1 ft² and 2 ft² plates.

These items are discussed individually below:

Earlier ABA plates bonded with graphite adhesive exhibited variations in electrical conductivity and thickness due to corrugations
and lack of compaction in the bond layers between the A-elements and the B-elements. These conditions were corrected by incorporating the following steps into the bonding procedure:

1. A-elements are pre-sealed by applying a thin layer of adhesive to the bonding surface and curing.

2. Bonding adhesive is applied to the A-elements in a uniform thickness by a modified doctor blade method.

3. The three elements are pressed together at a pressure of 70-140 kPa (10-20 psig) prior to curing.

4. During curing the elements are held in contact in a clamping fixture.

5. The cured ABA plate is heat-treated at 1100°C to carbonize all of the cured adhesive.

Seventy-two 1 ft² ABA bipolar plates were manufactured by Pfizer using the sequence outlined above. In addition, 48 A-elements were produced. This set of A and ABA plates, after grooving and edge-sealing, will be used to rebuild the 5 kW stack.

Twenty 2 ft² plates were CVD'ed to approximately 75% of final density. Four of these plates were used to fabricate prototype 2 ft² ABA plates. The balance were further CVD'ed for use in a full-size single-cell test and in machining trials.

The two 2 ft² ABA prototype plates were made using the bonding sequence above. The purpose of this fabrication was to determine whether any warpage or other dimensional problems would
result due to the larger size of the plate or due to the furnace fixturing used. No such difficulties were encountered.

The grooving machine was checked for proper operation by grooving a lot of 100 plates for an Engelhard-sponsored project. Cutting arbors have been assembled for the larger 2 ft$^2$ plate size for the NASA program. Initial grooving trials on the full-size plates will be conducted in early February.

**CORROSION BEHAVIOR OF GRAPHITE ADHESIVE**

Tests on small sections of completed ABA plates were performed in concentrated H$_3$PO$_4$ at 204°C at a potential of 0.9 V vs. the reversible hydrogen electrode. As-received ABA plate sections (adhesive heat-treated at 1100°C) showed relatively high corrosion currents. Tests on samples of the individual A and B components showed that the high currents were sustained in the graphite adhesive layer and not in the A or B elements themselves. (See the upper curve in Figure 6.)

In order to improve the corrosion resistance of the bonding adhesive layer two approaches were tried: 1) Heat treatment of the ABA plate at higher temperatures to further graphitize the adhesive, and 2) Application of additional CVD to coat the graphite adhesive layer. Figure 6 shows the corrosion currents as a function of time for samples heat-treated at 1100°C, 1700°C and 2400°C. It is seen that corrosion currents were significantly reduced as heat-treatment temperatures were elevated. For these tests, the ABA plate samples were split apart and the adhesive only, attached to the B-element, was subjected to test.
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The effects on corrosion of adding an additional CVD layer on top of 1100°C heat-treated adhesive are shown in Figure 7. It is shown that the CVD layer reduces the corrosion of the adhesive layer by about two orders of magnitude.

It is planned to check whether corrosion products from this adhesive layer, when they enter the electrolyte, become surface poisons for catalysts. This test will be done by cyclic-voltammetry.

ELECTRICAL MEASUREMENTS

A series of resistance measurements on plates made of needled-felt, Fiberform carbon, reticulated vitreous carbon (RVC) and graphite/polyethersulfone (PES) composites shows that, for plate thicknesses less than 1 cm, the major resistive contribution (at least 80%) occurs at the pressure contact interfaces (i.e., at surfaces that are neither glued nor otherwise permanently bonded). Nevertheless, at any given pressure and surface flatness condition, the contact resistance is roughly proportional to the bulk conductivity of the plate material.

It has become evident that standard methods for measuring the electrical resistance of ABA plates, cooling plates, and contacts to and between such plates do not yield reliable and repeatable results. The problem stems from unavoidable variations in the bulk and contact resistivities of the plates. Such local variations generate skewed conduction paths which do not fit the model of parallel current paths on which the standard 4-probe measurement techniques are based. This problem has been solved by making two basic changes in the measuring technique.
Gold-plated copper screens are used as the outer contacting surfaces with Grafoil sheets in direct contact with those screens. The screens provide an equipotential surface that averages out the effects of skewed conduction paths, while the Grafoil sheets supply high quality contacts between the screen surface and that of the sample to be measured.

The other change is the use of a substitution method. The resistance from screen to screen is measured with and without the component or components whose resistance is to be determined, and the result of one measurement is subtracted from that of the other. Since these changes were made, plate and interface resistance measurements have become consistently accurate and reproducible.

The method described above has yielded the following typical voltage drops at 161 mA/cm² and at pressures of 345 kPa (50 psig):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Description</th>
<th>Voltage Drop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Needled-felt ABA plates (1 ft², ungrooved)</td>
<td>2.9 mV/3mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVC plates (ungrooved)</td>
<td>2.3 mV/3mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiberform carbon, cooling plate pair; cold</td>
<td>22 mV/3mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>670 K</td>
<td>15 mV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NICKEL-PHOSPHOROUS COATING FOR METALLIC COOLING PLATES**

This coating was recommended by the National Bureau of Standards as being possibly resistant to chemical attack by H₃PO₄ at 470 K (fuel cell temperature). Corrosion tests of an NBS-supplied sample of the coating about 0.5 mm thick showed a weight loss of 58% in 800 hours when submerged in 100% phosphoric acid at 280 K. This kind of coating is thus ruled out for cooling plate protection.
CORROSION OF FIBERFORM CARBON

Fiberform carbon, a partially resin-based carbon material with possible uses in fuel cell plates, was tested at various anodic potentials in phosphoric acid at 280 K. The following table shows the initial corrosion currents on Fiberform carbon compared to those on needled-felt:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anodic Potential, V</th>
<th>Fiberform</th>
<th>Needled-Felt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data show that Fiberform corrodes much faster than needled-felt. A contributing factor is likely to be the much greater pore surface-area of the former. Through chemical vapor deposition (CVD) of additional carbon it may be possible to upgrade Fiberform by plugging a large percentage of its open pores.

GRAPHITE/POLYETHERSULPHONE (PES) COMPOSITES

For these potential plate materials, the contact resistance is adversely affected by the tendency of the PES sealant to smear over the plate surface, forming a high resistance film. A way to sand off this film has been devised. It entails blowing off the abraded surface material rapidly while sanding takes place. IR drops in the range of 3-10 mV have been achieved at 161 mA/cm² and 345 kPa (50 psig) following this procedure.
ACID MANAGEMENT

Two 3-cell stacks which are equipped with semi-automatic electrolyte management systems have been on long-term test. The components of both these stacks have been described in previous reports.

An updated performance for the first of these stacks (H11483-1) is given in Figures 8-10. The total duration of testing so far is 6000 hours. Figure 8 shows the history of the open-circuit voltage, which is a good measure of the effectiveness of electrolyte management. Figure 9 gives the voltage at standard load current (161 mA/cm²). This stack also incorporates an advanced cathode catalyst in two cells. Figure 10 shows individual cell performances and indicates that the cells with the advanced catalyst have given the highest voltages.

A second 3-cell stack with a more advanced electrolyte-replenishment system has been run for 3400 hours. Its performance history is shown in Figure 11 (open-circuit voltage) and Figure 12 (voltage under load). A feature to be noted is the very flat and steady behavior of the open-circuit voltage, indicating excellent acid management.

TASK V - FUEL PROCESSING SUPPORT (97050)

The intent of this task is to provide background data and information to support the design and construction of an optimized 50kW fuel processor under Task III. This support function will continue throughout most of the period of the contract. Most of the effort of this task is devoted to screening and longevity testing of catalysts for methanol/steam reforming.
SECTION II. - CONTINUED

CATALYST TESTING

The ethanol-poisoning tolerance test on methanol/steam reforming catalyst C70-2RS (zinc/chromium oxides) continued to 2750 hours. During the last 750 hours the catalyst was exposed to ethanol contaminant (800 ppm) with no sign of deactivation at 670 K. The weight-hourly-space-velocity was 0.60 and methanol conversion was near 100%. Results of the last portion of the run are shown in Table VIII, which is a continuation of Table III of the Quarterly Report for August-October, 1981.

The conversion data for the entire test are plotted in Figure 13. At 1144 hrs., addition of 800 ppm ethanol decreased methanol conversion from 85 to 74% when operating at 640 K. Returning to uncontaminated feed at 1575 hrs, the conversion recovered to 85% (Actually, as shown in Figure 13, recovery started during the ethanol contamination period.) Returning again to ethanol contamination at 1968 hrs, approximately 100% conversion was achieved at 670 K with no decline noted over a period of about 750 hours.

Figure 14 is offered for comparison of C70-2RS with T2107RS catalyst (zinc/copper oxides). In Figure 14 the copper-zinc catalyst did not recover to initial conversion (85%) after contamination and return to clean feed. Higher operating temperatures could not be employed with this catalyst because it deactivates above 543 K.

In conclusion, C70-2RS catalyst has been shown to be much more resistant to contamination than T2107RS. Although the former is less active than the latter, compensation can be attained by operating at higher temperatures. Higher temperature operation seems desirable for contaminated feeds. The Cr/Zn catalyst has the needed stability to operate at these high temperatures, yet produces an acceptable level of CO (about 2.5%).
SECTION II. - CONTINUED

SINGLE-TUBE STUDIES

Radial profiles have been measured in a 2" diameter tubular reactor heated by gas flowing in the annulus. The results are being compared with those predicted by the two-dimensional model. Calculations have shown radial temperature gradients in excess of 50°F for tubes 2" in diameter. A key parameter in these calculations is $H_w$, the heat transfer coefficient at the wall. Since the estimation of $H_w$ is not straightforward, experimental data are required to select an appropriate value of $H_w$.

The experimental set-up is shown in Figure 15 where catalyst is contained in a 2" diameter tube. The outer shell is a 3" diameter tube and flue gas flows in the annulus. Prevaporized and superheated process gas (MeOH/H$_2$O) enters the reactor through a flared section which serves to distribute the gases uniformly across the 2" bed.

Preliminary studies with inert packing had shown unusual radial profiles at the 10.2 cm position. This was possibly due to entrance effects. The set of thermocouples at the 39.4 cm position gave a smooth profile of the expected magnitude. Therefore, catalyst was loaded into a zone centered about this middle set of thermocouples, with inert packing above and below the catalyst zone.

Heating tape on the outside of the shell prevented heat-loss to the outside. Air was used to simulate flue gas and this was metered to give the same mass flow rate as flue gas in the actual reactor. This air was preheated before entering the reactor shell.

The results from two runs are presented to illustrate the type of data obtained with this equipment. In both runs, 1100 ml/hr of methanol/water mix (1.3 H$_2$O/CH$_3$OH) was fed to the unit containing
259 g of T2107RS (Cu/Zn) catalyst. The WHSV* in both cases was 1.90 g/hr/g catalyst. The condensate was collected and analyzed for CH₃OH and H₂O by gas chromatography. The gas was measured for volumetric flow rate using a dry test meter and analyzed for H₂, CO, CO₂, H₂O, and CH₃OH.

Figure 16 shows the temperature profile obtained in Run 3ST. The flue gas (FG) temperature was essentially constant across the zone of the catalyst at about 640 K. The temperature dropped in the axial direction above the catalyst bed (due to axial heat conduction). The radial profile showed 66 K difference between the center-line and the wall. About 67% methanol conversion was observed with an average bed temperature of 493 K (428°F).

In Run 4ST (Figure 17) the flue-gas temperature was lowered to about 489 K by cutting back on the power to the heating tapes. The radial temperature difference was only 22 K. Methanol conversion was 28% at an average bed temperature of 467 K (381°F).

Figure 18 summarizes the results of these two runs. The very steep radial profile of Run 3ST results from the high wall temperature and strong driving force between the flue gas and the tube wall. When this driving force is lowered as in Run 4ST, the radial gradient is lower. Note that in the two cases the temperatures at the center-line are nearly equivalent.

A comparison of average bed temperature and conversion level is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>3ST</th>
<th>4ST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Bed Temp, K</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol Conv., %</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Weight-hourly space-velocity (g CH₃OH/g catalyst/hr)
The increase in conversion is as expected for a first-order reaction with an activation energy of 84 kJ/mole.

These two runs illustrate how radial temperature gradient changes with driving force (difference in temperature between flue gas and wall) and reaction rate (as shown by methanol conversion). Tests in progress at the same flue gas and inlet temperatures, but with ethanol added to the feed, will show the effect of changing catalyst activity on the radial temperature gradient. A test with C70-2RS, which is much less active, is also scheduled.

**TASK VI - IMPROVED ELECTROCATALYSTS (97039)**

Developmental electrocatalyst formulations are being prepared under Engelhard sponsorship. These are provided to the main program, and results are reported under Task IV.
SECTION III. CURRENT PROBLEMS

NONE.
SECTION IV. - WORK PLANNED

TASK I

• A and ABA plates for 5 kW stack rebuild to be sized and grooved.

TASK II

• A. D. Little, Inc. to develop rate structure analysis of four utilities.

TASK III

• Test station to be readied for full-size single-cell test.

• Specifications to be completed for 5kW shell-and-tube reformer.

TASK IV

• Developmental work on non-metallic cooling plate and acid management system to continue.

TASK V

• Single-tube reformer study of C70-2RS to be completed.

• Catalyst selection for 50 kW processor to be made.
SECTION V. FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS

TASK I - 5kW POWER SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT

Essentially no expenses were incurred during January. Activity was limited to Pfizer's preparation of new ABA bipolar plates for the 5kW stack.

TASK II - ON-SITE SYSTEM APPLICATION ANALYSIS

No expenditures by Arthur D. Little, Inc. are indicated during January because of late invoicing. Cumulative costs remain below projections. Roughly one-third of the funds have been expended.

TASK III - ON-SITE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT

1. Large Stack Development

Expenditures on this sub-task were high in January as hardware development activities for large-area stacks remained substantial. Total labor expenditures to date are at the planned level. Materials expenditures are running somewhat ahead of the anticipated rate.

2. Large Fuel Processor Development

Costs for January were slightly below the projected amount. Cumulative expenditures remain about 15% below the plan.

3. System Analysis

No costs were sustained on this sub-task during January because of a subcontract invoicing lag. Effort at Physical Sciences Inc. is
being directed toward analysis of the system under off-design conditions. Total spending to date is slightly below the planned level.

4. System Integration

A small amount of work was performed on this sub-task during January. Earlier, in-house effort on the HVAC sub-system was conducted to lay the groundwork for an anticipated subcontract. Accordingly, manpower expenditures remain above budget (by about 80% through January). However, overall costs are well below the projected level because of the lack of subcontract effort in 1981.

TASK IV - STACK SUPPORT

The Pfizer subcontract for bipolar plate scale-up and cost reduction development began in January. However, invoicing has not yet been received. The rate of spending for this task is about one-third below projections.

TASK V - FUEL PROCESSING SUPPORT

Sub-scale tests involving qualification of large batches of catalyst are near completion. Manpower requirements for these activities have been reduced from anticipated levels. Total expenditures, therefore, remain at roughly half of those projected.

TASK VI - IMPROVED ELECTROCATALYSTS

The development of advanced anode and cathode catalysts is proceeding under Engelhard sponsorship. Evaluation of these catalysts is accomplished under Task IV.
SECTION V. - CONTINUED

TASK VII - MANAGEMENT AND DOCUMENTATION

Expenditures in the management and documentation area are proceeding substantially according to plan.
TABLE I

TEST BUILDING DESCRIPTION

- Building Type - Retail Store
- Size - Floor Area
  Ceiling Height 112,000 ft² 10 ft
- Test Location - Washington, D.C.
- Base Case HVAC System - Centrifugal Chillers Plus Boiler
- Space Heating Load 7,900 Million Btu/Year
- Cooling Load 4,800 Million Btu/Year
- Hot Water Load 450 Million Btu/Year
- Non-HVAC Electrical Load 7,100 Million Btu/Year (2 Million kWh/year)
- Peak Heating Load 1.1 Million Btu/Hour
- Peak Cooling Load 4.1 Million Btu/Hour
- Peak Non-HVAC Electrical Load 1.6 Million Btu/Hour (470 kW)
- Peak Electric Load 2.9 Million Btu/Hour (850 kW)

Source: NASA/Lewis
**TABLE II**

**ENERGY COSTS - TEST ANALYSIS**

*(1980 Dollars)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Electricity Buys ($/kWh)</th>
<th>Electricity Sells ($/kWh)</th>
<th>Gas ($/MBtu)</th>
<th>Methanol ($/MBtu)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>18.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>18.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>10.22</td>
<td>18.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>0.1076</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>12.38</td>
<td>19.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Demand Charge: $11.00/kW - Winter  
$14.50/kW - Summer

**1** Based on rates projected for Consolidated Edison. Only lowest buying and highest selling rates are quoted. ADL is developing more complete information.

**2** Some corrections have been made in this column since this table first appeared in the November report.

### TABLE III

### PRELIMINARY COMPARISON OF FUEL CELL OS/IES OPTIONS

#### RETAIL STORE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>No of Fuel Cell Modules</th>
<th>Size of the Absorption Chiller (Tons)</th>
<th>Size of the Centrifugal Chiller (Tons)</th>
<th>Size of Thermal Storage (Gallons)</th>
<th>Yearly Electricity Generated (Million kWh)</th>
<th>Yearly Electricity Sold (Million kWh)</th>
<th>Yearly Electricity Purchased (Million kWh)</th>
<th>Yearly Gas Used (Million Btu)</th>
<th>Economic Ranking for All units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absorption Chillers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>24,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>0.643</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td>32,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>0.782</td>
<td>30,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>39,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>46,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>53,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrifugal Chillers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>16,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>9,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>5,962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>6,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.788</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>15,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrifugal and Absorption Chillers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>0.798</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>0.509</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>22,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Each fuel cell module is 50 kW.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Base Case</th>
<th>Previous Line OS/IES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Centrifugal Chillers, 1 module</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrifugal Chillers, 2 modules</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrifugal Chillers, 5 modules</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrifugal &amp; Absorption, 5 modules</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption Chillers, 10 modules</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption Chillers, 7 modules</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; , 15 modules</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; , 5 modules</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrifugal Chillers, 10 modules</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption Chillers, 3 modules</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# TABLE V

**ENERGY USE IN EXISTING NONRESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type</th>
<th>YAC (000 Btu/sq.ft./yr)</th>
<th>Hot Water (000 Btu/sq.ft./yr)</th>
<th>Air Conditioning (000 Btu/sq.ft./yr)</th>
<th>Hot Water + Air Conditioning %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Offices</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>107.7</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apartments</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>50.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Arthur D. Little, Inc., estimates
### TABLE VI

**ON-SITE FUEL CELLS**

**ELIGIBLE MARKET BY BUILDING TYPES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type</th>
<th>Load Profile</th>
<th>HVAC System Complexity</th>
<th>Owner Occupied</th>
<th>Prime Market Size 1980 (100,000 Sq. Ft.)</th>
<th>First Cost Sensitivity</th>
<th>Overall Ranking</th>
<th>Key Decision Maker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospital &amp; Health</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Owner, Architect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apartments</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Developer, Contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motel &amp; Motel</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar &amp; Restaurant</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Architect, Engineer University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stores &amp; Mercantile</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Developer, Contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratories</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Owner, Engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries &amp; Other Government</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Architect, Engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amusement &amp; Misc.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Contractor, Owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Churches</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Builder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Developer, Contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garage</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Offices &amp; Schools</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Architect, Engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Architect, Building Committee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Other
**Included with schools
***Included in others
****Included with amusement & other
*****Included with amusement

A - Favorable to fuel cell OS/IES
D - Unfavorable to fuel cell OS/IES
### TABLE VII

**FIELD-ENGINEERED AIR CONDITIONING**

**NEW CONSTRUCTION - 1980**

(million square feet)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Field-Engineered</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Offices</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodging</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1251</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
<td><strong>352</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Excludes apartments

*Source: Arthur D. Little, Inc., estimates*


**TABLE VIII**

**METHANOL/STEAM REFORMING CATALYST TEST**

Water/Methanol Molar Feed Ratio = 1.3

Catalyst: UCI C70-2RS Zinc/chromium oxide

1/8" x 1/8" Pellets

10 cc in 90 cc alpha alumina, mixed logarithmically

(All data with 800 ppm ethanol in feed.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hours on Stream</th>
<th>Avg. Bed Temp., K</th>
<th>Exit Bed Temp., K</th>
<th>% CO</th>
<th>% MeOH Conversion</th>
<th>WHSV*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>674.2</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>99.45</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>673.0</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>99.44</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2133</td>
<td>669.3</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2228</td>
<td>672.0</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2300</td>
<td>672.3</td>
<td>665.6</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>99.46</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Shut down for ten hours -

| 2420            | 672.8            | 672               | 1.70 | 98.9             | 0.60  |

- Shut down for seventy-six hours -

| 2505            | 671.8            | 665.7             | 3.58 | 97.9             | 0.56  |
| 2554            | 672.2            | 679               | 2.86 | 100.0            | 0.56  |
| 2649            | 672              | 675               | 2.31 | 100.0            | 0.56  |
| 2721            | 671.0            | 677               | 2.54 | 100.0            | 0.61  |

* Weight-Hourly Space-Velocity (kgCH₃OH/hi: kg catalyst)
FIGURE 1  1980 FIELD-ENGINEERED/UNITARY SPLIT BY END USE

Source: Arthur D. Little, Inc., estimates.
FIGURE 2  Voltammograms for 10% Pt on Carbon Electrocatalyst in 25% H$_3$PO$_4$ at 25°C
FIGURE 3  $H_2$-Gain ($190^\circ\text{C}, 150 \text{ mA/cm}^2, 70\% H_2$ Utilization) vs. Hydrogen Adsorption Charge ($25^\circ\text{C}, 5\% \text{CO/H}_2$) for 10\% Pt on Carbon Electrocatalysts
FIGURE 4

PLATINUM SURFACE AREAS OF SEVERAL CATALYSTS VERSUS
TIME REQUIRED FOR COMPLETE COVERAGE BY CARBON MONOXIDE

ORIGINAL PAGE IS
OF POOR QUALITY

ADSORPTION CONDITIONS

TEMPERATURE: 25°C
POTENTIAL: 0.0 VOLTS VS. RHE
ELECTROLYTE: 25% H₃PO₄, CO SATURATED

Pt surface area, M²/g Pt

Adsorption time for θ_CO = 1, min.
TEMPERATURE: 204°C
POTENTIAL: 1.0 VOLTS VS. RHE
ELECTROLYTE: CONC. H₃PO₄(N₂)

△: ANODE SUPPORT
◆: STABILIZED ANODE SUPPORT
○: CATHODE SUPPORT
●: STABILIZED CATHODE SUPPORT
□: EXPERIMENTAL TYPE #1
×: EXPERIMENTAL TYPE #2

FIGURE 5  CORROSION CURRENTS OF CARBON SUPPORTS

3/5/82
TEMPERATURE: 204°C
POTENTIAL: 0.9 VOLTS VS. RHE
ELECTROLYTE: CONC. H₃PO₄(N₂)

○: 1100°C HEAT-TREATED
■: 1700°C HEAT-TREATED
▲: 2400°C HEAT-TREATED

FIGURE 6  CORROSION CURRENTS OF GRAPHITE ADHESIVE

2/19/82
TEMPERATURE: 204°C
POTENTIAL: 1.0 VOLTS VS. RHE*
ELECTROLYTE: CONC. H₃PO₄(N₂)

○: 1100°C HEAT-TREATED*
●: ABOVE, UPGRADED WITH CVD

(* SAMPLE CONFIGURATION AND POTENTIAL ARE DIFFERENT FROM THOSE OF THE UPPER CURVE IN FIGURE 6.)

FIGURE 7 CORROSION CURRENTS OF GRAPHITE ADHESIVE

2/9/82
RUN NO.: 11483-1 (BEGAN 5-11-81)
CELL AREA: 0.178 m x 0.272 m (7 IN. x 10.7 IN.)
CATHODE CATALYST: 0.46 mg Pt/cm²
  TOP CELL (STANDARD)
  MIDDLE CELL (ADVANCED)
  BOTTOM CELL (ADVANCED)
ANODE CATALYST: 0.46 mg Pt/cm²
TEMPERATURE: 464 K (191°C)
REACTANTS: H₂/AIR

FIGURE 8  OPEN-CIRCUIT VOLTAGE STABILITY OF 3-CELL STACK
- ADVANCED CATALYST
- SEMI-AUTOMATED ELECTROLYTE-MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
RUN NO.: 11483-1 (BEGAN 5-17-81)
CELL AREA: 0.178 m x 0.272 m (7 IN. x 10.7 IN.)
CATHODE CATALYST: 0.46 mg Pt/cm²
   TOP CELL (STANDARD)
   MIDDLE CELL (ADVANCED)
   BOTTOM CELL (ADVANCED)

ANODE CATALYST: 0.46 mg Pt/cm²
TEMPERATURE: 464 K (191°C)
CURRENT DENSITY: 161 mA/cm² (150 A/ft²)
REACTANTS: H₂/AIR

FIGURE 9  VOLTAGE STABILITY OF 3-CELL STACK
- ADVANCED CATALYST
- SEMI-AUTOMATED ELECTROLYTE-MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
FIGURE 10  INDIVIDUAL CELL VOLTAGE STABILITY OF 3-CELL STACK

RUN NO.: 11483-1 (BEGAN 5-11-81)
CELL AREA: 0.178 m x 0.272 m (7 IN. x 10.7 IN.)
CATHODE CATALYST: 0.46 mg Pt/cm²
TOP CELL (STANDARD)
MIDDLE CELL (ADVANCED)
BOTTOM CELL (ADVANCED)
ANODE CATALYST: 0.46 mg Pt/cm²
TEMPERATURE: 464 K (191°C); CURRENT DENSITY: 161 mA/cm² (150 A/ft²)
RUN NO.: 11248-3 (Began 9-3-81)
CELL AREA: 0.178 m x 0.272 m (7 IN. x 10.7 IN.)
CATHODE CATALYST: 0.46 mg Pt/cm² (STANDARD)
ANODE CATALYST: 0.46 mg Pt/cm²
TEMPERATURE: 464 K (191°C)
CURRENT DENSITY: 161 mA/cm² (150 A/ft²)
REACTANTS: H₂/AIR

FIGURE 11  OPEN-CIRCUIT STABILITY OF 3-CELL STACK UTILIZING ADVANCED ELECTROLYTE REPLENISHMENT SYSTEM
**Figure 12**

**Performance Stability of 3-Cell Stack Utilizing Advanced Electrolyte Replenishment System**

**Run No.:** 1124B-3 (BEGAN 9-3-81)

- **Cell Area:** 0.178 m x 0.272 m (7 IN. x 10.7 IN.)
- **Cathode Catalyst:** 0.46 mg Pt/cm²
- **Anode Catalyst:** 0.46 mg Pt/cm²
- **Temperature:** 464 K (191°C)
- **Current Density:** 151 mA/cm² (150 A/ft²)
- **Reactants:** H₂/Ar%
PER CENT METHANOL CONVERSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

FIGURE 13
SUMMARY OF TEST OF C70-2RS CATALYST
2% WHEELER 1-28-82

TIME ON STREAM, HRS.
2000
3000

Catalyst: Zn-Cr Oxide
WHSV = 0.6 gm methanol/gm cat/hr

Temperature, K
670
1800 ppm ethanol

of poor quality
original page 15
FIGURE 14
AGING STUDY WITH T210' RS 1/8" CATALYST

CONDITIONS:
H2/C3H6O = 1.8
TEMP. 525 K
WHSV, 0.68 K/2

OF POOR QUALITY
ORIGINAl RATE IS
Figure 15: Reactor for Single-Tube Studies

- Process Gas Outlet
- Flue Gas Outlet
- Process Gas Flared Gas Distributor
- Flared Gas
- Gas Inlet

Dimensions:
- 66 cm
- 38.4 cm
- 31.8 cm
- 18.1 cm
- 10.2 cm
- 3.8 cm
Figure 16  Temperature Profiles: 50mm D. Tube  12/3/81
FIGURE 17  TEMPERATURE PROFILES: 50mm D. TUBE
FEED RATE: 1100 ML/HR

RUN 3ST
(FG-TW) = 78K

RUN 4ST
(FG-TW) = 11K

FG: FLUE GAS
TW: TUBE WALL

FIGURE 18  RADIAL TEMPERATURE PROFILES: 50 mm D. TUBE  12/3/81