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ABSTRACT

Recent advances in the understanding of turbofan noise generation and suppression in aircraft engines are reviewed with particular emphasis on NASA research. The review addresses each link in the chain of physical processes which connect unsteady flow interactions with fan blades to far field noise. Mechanism identification and description, duct propagation, radiation and acoustic suppression are discussed. Recent advances in the experimental technique of fan inflow control assure that in-flight generation mechanisms are not masked by extraneous sources in static tests. Rotor blade surface pressure and wake velocity measurements aid the determination of the types and strengths of the generation mechanisms. Approaches to predicting or measuring acoustic mode content, optimizing treatment impedance to maximize attenuation, translating impedance into porous wall structure and interpreting far field directivity patterns are illustrated by comparisons of analytical and experimental results. A persistent theme of the review is the interdependence of source and acoustic treatment design to minimize far field noise. Areas requiring further research are discussed and the relevance of aircraft turbofan results to quieting other turbomachinery installations is addressed.

INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade the noise generated by the fan component of aircraft turbofan engines has been the subject of vigorous research. The emphasis on the fan reflects the fact that, for the high bypass engine which dominates the world fleet of large commercial transports, the fan controls flyover noise on landing approach and is a strong contributor along with jet noise on takeoff. Indeed, for the next generation of turbofan engines the prominent contribution of the fan to propulsion system noise is projected to continue. Figure 1 shows the results of a system noise prediction done as part of an energy efficient engine design study. Component noise levels in terms of tone corrected perceived noise decibels are given at takeoff and approach conditions. The fan controls the totals at both conditions even with the suppression provided by substantial use of acoustic treatment. Similar conclusions about the importance of fan noise have been drawn in other studies. The purpose of this paper is to review recent results of research on fan noise generation and suppression, and to identify significant gains and remaining gaps in our understanding and ability to predict and control this annoying source.

The scope of this review is limited to results drawn mainly from NASA initiated work carried out in roughly the last five years. We believe that this definition of scope, relaxed and supplemented in specific areas, leads to a reasonably accurate picture of the state-of-the-art while not attempting to exhaustively cover parallel efforts and results. Several existing reviews provide extensive bibliographies and summarize earlier results in turbomachinery noise (4), flight effects (5), and suppressors (6,7). Our review builds upon, but, primarily, extends and updates these earlier efforts to cover significant advances in experimental flight simulation techniques, diagnostic measurements, theoretical modelling and computation.

The flow chart in Fig. 2 illustrates the chain of physical processes which links unsteady aerodynamics of the fan flow field to the resultant far field acoustic signature. Elements in ovals are inputs to, or outputs of, the processes in the rectangles. The four processes—(i) blade unsteady aerodynamic response, (ii) coupling to the duct, (iii) propagation in the duct which may have acoustically treated walls, and (iv) acoustic coupling (radiation) to the far field—have each been studied and modelled separately as convenient subdivisions of the overall problem. A knowledge of the inputs and outputs—(i) unsteady flow field disturbances, (ii) blade surface pressure distributions, (iii) duct acoustic mode content at the entrance, and (iv) exit of the duct—is required to link the processes and arrive at the final output which is far field directivity (and spectra). Of course, from an experimental viewpoint, the intermediate inputs or outputs are often missing; only acoustic measurements in the far field are available.
multiple of the blade passing frequency. At supersonic tip speeds the added phenomenon of multiple pure tones associated with rotor-locked leading edge shocks comes into play at multiples of half rotation frequency. The initial task of fan noise description is to identify the dominant mechanisms in terms of the origin of the source flow disturbance and the blade row with which it interacts. In the engine cross section in Fig. 3, some of the candidate turbofan mechanisms are labeled with flow disturbances grouped according to the blade row with which they interact. Sample narrowband spectra which identify the components associated with subsonic and supersonic tip speeds are also shown. Most progress has been made in understanding the generation of tones which usually dominate the spectrum levels; the relative importance of potential broadband generation mechanisms such as those associated with inlet boundary layer or wake turbulence remains vague.

Flow disturbances are divided into two categories in Fig. 2: those originating external to the engine but drawn into the inlet, and those originating inside the engine. While it has long been recognized that ingested external disturbances may control fan noise generation (8); it was the high bypass engine flyover noise data, acquired in connection with noise certification requirements, which established that ground test blade levels were controlled by extraneous inflow disturbances unrepresentative of flight (5). In fact, the practicality of the concept of choosing vane-blade ratio for cutoff (9, 10) to greatly reduce the fundamental tone was, at first, only confirmed in flight or in a wind tunnel as shown by the examples in Fig. 4.

**Flight Simulation.** The approach to controlling the inflow for flight simulation in static tests has evolved around the concept of inlet honeycomb-grid flow conditioners which must be acoustically transparent over the frequency range of interest. Figure 4 shows the range of inflow control devices (ICD's) investigated at NASA Lewis (11, 12, 13, 14). The sizes of the external devices, Figs. 5(a) and (b)
Figure 4. - Effect of forward velocity on the fan blade passing tone in the inlet duct. (Ref. 5)

Figure 5. - Inflow control devices for flight fan noise simulation tested at Lewis Research Center. (Ref. 12)
Figure 8 compares narrowband blade pressure spectra without and with inflow control. Without an ICD, Fig. 8(a), the spectrum shows strong harmonic content at all multiples of shaft rotation frequency resulting from multiple encounters of the blade transducer with circumferentially varying flow disturbances. The additional scales on the abscissa are distortion number (multiple of shaft frequency) and the circumferential acoustic mode number corresponding to blade number minus distortion number. Inflow control eliminates the randomly varying disturbances and the corresponding bulk of the shaft harmonics as illustrated in Fig. 8(b). Those distortion numbers that remain are associated with periodic, internally generated flow disturbances which are fixed in space or have fixed rotation rates with respect to the rotor. As a result, clues to the mechanisms governing flight levels are found from the prominent residual peaks.

Substantial effort has also been applied to the inflow control problem by industry (16-23) including flyover level comparisons to static projections (22) and development of ICD design procedures (23). The first generation of large engine ICD's, roughly 3 fan diameters in size, is currently in use. Although the quantitative agreement of inflow control and flight data is still subject to some improvement, the current "state-of-the-art" does allow the study of bona fide internal sources controlling fan noise generation in flight. An alternative to ICD's are anechoic wind tunnels (24-26) which also have been found to eliminate
the bulk of the extraneous inlet disturbances

In-Flight Sources. Once the study of internal mechanisms is made possible by inflow control, the task becomes one of identifying the interactions responsible for the tone levels observed over the range of engine speeds. Rotor wake-stator interaction remains a prime mechanism; but, even with the blade-to-blade interaction taken into account, other interactions may come into play. For example, the JT15D engine exhibits a strong fan fundamental tone which appears at a speed corresponding to the start of propagation of the 22-lobed acoustic mode as shown in Fig. 9(12). The source of the 22-lobed acoustic mode is the interaction between the 28 fan blades and the six structural support struts downstream of the fan stator. The blade pressure spectrum in Fig. 8(b) shows that a strong 6-per revolution disturbance is sensed on the rotor. A prime candidate for the interaction mechanism is a strut potential field extending upstream through the stators and interacting with the rotor. An alternate explanation would be the interaction of residual rotor wakes with the six engine struts generating the 22-lobed spinning acoustic mode which is sensed on the rotor as a 6-per revolution disturbance. Existing large high-bypass turbofans also contain downstream struts. The next generation of engines will incorporate integral strut-stator vane assemblies with a potential for still more complicated interactions (27).

Mechanism Description

Ducted Cascade Response. Considerable effort has been expended within the last five years to model the noncompact compressible response of a ducted cascade of blades to unsteady upwash velocities. Perhaps the most complete description available is the three-dimensional lifting surface theory (34) for a rotating cascade in an annular duct. This blade response and duct coupling analysis is the heart of specialized cascade analysis. Second, cascade analysis predicts integrated responses which differ substantially from single blade results (38,39) that ignore blade-to-blade interactions (solidity) and the interblade phase angle of the disturbance. Third, source non-compactness, retained by calculating chordwise in addition to spanwise pressure fluctuations, has been shown to produce significant differences in calculated power compared to compact analyses. The magnitudes of the differences, which depend on incident disturbance shape and
transducer sizes are indicated near the leading and trailing edges. For high disturbance frequencies the analysis indicates that measured blade pressure amplitudes are subject to uncertainty due to finite transducer size and sensitivity to transducer location. However, experimental checks of the cascade response analysis using carefully controlled flow disturbances are needed.

Rotor-Stator Interaction. To apply the cascade response analyses just described to one of the main tone noise generation mechanisms, rotor-stator interaction, a thorough description of the rotor produced disturbance flow field is required. The need to describe blade wakes has long been recognized and a large body of wake data including mean and turbulence properties has been accumulated on laboratory fans (e.g., 42, 43). In addition to mid-span wakes, secondary flows such as tip vortices have been recognized as potential noise contributors (44). Therefore, a linear cascade analysis including spanwise gust components has been developed to allow the relative noise contributions of tip vortices and mid-span wakes to be determined (45). What is lacking is a thorough model of the total rotor downstream flow field which is linked to fan design parameters and is validated by experimental data.

Some wake data have been obtained as functions of downstream distance on a fan operated with forward velocity in an anechoic wind tunnel (46). Mean wake stator upwash velocity profiles are shown in Fig. 14 as a function of spanwise position. The magnitudes vary substantially with radial location, but most significantly the profile near the tip is characterized by an extra upwash cycle between successive blades corresponding to strong secondary flows, probably a tip vortex. The variation of stator upwash harmonics, the required input to generation analyses, are shown in Fig. 15 as a function of downstream distance. From the complex variations observed, it must be concluded that simple Gaussian profiles which decay and spread monotonically with distance are an inadequate description of this flow field.

Acoustic data are available from rotor-stator spacing experiments on the same fan as was used for the wake measurements just described. Two stator vane-rotor blade ratios were examined: one for propagating and the other for cutoff fundamental tones. Figure 16 shows the inlet narrowband tone harmonic power level variation with rotor-stator spacing. Residual levels of the fundamental for the cutoff case (25 vanes) are
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nearly constant suggesting that a weak inflow disturbance-rotor interaction governs in this case rather than a rotor-stator interaction due to stator blade non-uniformities sufficient to generate other propagating modes (48). Note that 25-vane second and third harmonic levels are higher than corresponding harmonic generated by the 11-vane set indicating a difference in the response and/or coupling to acoustic modes of the two stators. The 11-vane stator had longer chords than the 25-vane stator in order to maintain the same solidity. Comparisons between the measurements and theory in Ref. 40 are in progress using the corresponding wake measurements as input. Tone powers measured in rotor-stator spacing and vane-blade ratio experiments in an anechoic chamber using inflow control have been compared to a 2-D (strip) model with encouraging results (49). Wake data were not acquired so a wake model was used. While 2-D theory may do relatively well for power predictions; calculating far field directivity and, therefore, acoustic mode content requires more sophistication in handling duct geometry and, probably, in describing the wake/vortex flow field.

Source Modal Content. Both the magnitudes and phases of all the acoustic modes generated are the fundamental inputs to propagation analyses. To date, most available mode information is much less complete. For tone sources circumferential mode numbers may be determined from rules about blade row interactions (50). No such simple rules exist for radial mode numbers. For example, in rotor-stator interactions the rotor wakes become increasingly radially skewed with downstream distance; one wake may simultaneously
interact several blades with the intersection points sweeping radially with time. In multimodal situations corresponding to random flow excitation, all possible modes that the duct can support are candidates.

Experimental techniques in the static test case, and one inlet stream tube only slightly larger than the inlet diameter in the flight case. The Wiener-Hopf technique, applicable only to inlet lips of negligible thickness, has been applied to two idealized cases. One is uniform external and internal flow at the same Mach number, and the other is a constant Mach number external flow bounding a cylinder of higher uniform Mach number extending out of the inlet. The former is an approximation to the flight case but the latter is unrepresentative of any real inlet flow. Two other approaches to analyzing inlet radiation have been followed. The first uses simplifying assumptions based on ray acoustic while the second uses a fully numerical solution incorporating the actual flow field and inlet lip geometry.

**Ray Acoustics in Terms of Cutoff Ratio.** Approximate expressions for inlet radiation have been developed in terms of mode cutoff ratio \( \xi = \frac{\text{M}_{n}}{\text{C}_{n}} \) where the dimensionless frequency \( \xi = f \text{D} / \text{C}; \) with the eigenvalue of the \((m,n)\)th mode, \( \omega_{mn}; \) duct diameter, \( \text{D}; \) duct Mach number, \( \text{M}_{n}; \) and speed of sound, \( \text{C}. \) The key simplification realized by the cutoff ratio formulation is that modes with the same \( m \) and \( n \) propagate similarly to the far field. This has been demonstrated for radiation from a flanged duct without flow (63) and is fairly accurate for principal lobe radiation (64). Two important duct mode propagation angles, \( \phi \) and \( \psi \), are defined in (65) as

\[
\cos \phi = -\frac{\text{M}_{n}}{\text{C}} + S \frac{1}{1 - \text{M}_{n}^2} \quad (1)
\]

and

\[
\cos \psi = S \sqrt{\frac{1 - \text{M}_{n}^2}{1 - \text{M}_{n}^2}} \quad (2)
\]

where

\[
S = \sqrt{1 - 1/\xi^2} \quad (3)
\]

Here, \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) are the angles which the vector normal to the wave front and the group velocity vector, respectively, make with the duct axis. The duct mode angle \( \psi \), given by Eq. (2), has been shown to closely approximate the angular location of the principal lobe in the far field (65). This conclusion was reached by inspection of the directivity coefficient appearing in the Wiener-Hopf solution for the case of uniform flow everywhere (66); an expression for the principal lobe angle identical to Eq. (2) was obtained. The approximate equality of in-duct propagation angle and far field principal lobe radiation angle suggests that ray acoustics arguments can be used to link the two angles for cases where the flow is not uniform.

For example, ray acoustics ideas have been applied to the case where far field velocity is substantially less than inlet duct velocity, the limit being the static case where far field velocity is zero. Based on ray acoustics analysis which showed that refraction in a potential flow is of second order in Mach number (67), the wavefronts were assumed to be un bent going from duct to far field. That is, \( \phi \) was assumed to be unchanged. Since \( \xi \) and \( \psi \) are identical if Mach number is zero, the group velocity in the far field was assumed to have been shifted. At \( \text{M}_{n} = -0.4 \) and \( \xi \approx 1 \) (near cutoff), the calculated radia-
tion peak is at $66^\circ$ while the group velocity in the duct propagates at $\psi_p = 90^\circ$. A peak near $66^\circ$ was observed in the far field for a nearly cutoff mode generated by a controlled fan source (52). However, the agreement of the observed peak with the theory which neglects lip shape may be misleading. A propagation phenomenon associated with the very thick inlet lip used in the experiment may have controlled the principal lobe location. An analysis of propagation in a variable area duct with gentle area variation shows that mode identity is preserved (68, 69) (i.e., no scattering occurs). Thus, as a mode propagates from the inlet throat to the highlight, $\xi$ increases causing $\psi_p$ and $\psi_h$ to decrease. Recent extensions of ray theory for propagation through an irrotational flow (70, 71) imply that it is the group velocity vector which is unchanged, not the normal to the wavefronts. The difference between the two assumptions is significant; e.g., $66^\circ$ vs. $90^\circ$ peak near cutoff. and current evidence points to preservation of group velocity as the better approach. Controlled experiments and possibly numerical simulations are needed to settle this issue.

Numerical Model. A hybrid numerical program has been developed (72) and exercised (73) to calculate both the internal and external sound propagation for actual engine inlet geometry and flow conditions. It is a hybrid program in the sense that a finite element method is used within the duct and in the near field and an integral radiation method handles the far field. Iteration is required to match the two solutions at the interface. A potential flow program is used to generate the steady flow for the actual inlet geometry; boundary layers are not included. The input to the program is the pressure profile for a given mode in the annulus at the fan source. While the program cannot handle the combination of high Mach number and high frequency due to computer storage limitations, some inlet geometry effects have been studied which were previously impossible to analyze.

Figure 17 compares the numerically predicted inlet tone directivity to the measured levels generated by the same controlled source mentioned previously—a JT15D engine with inlet rods (52). A single $(13,0)$ mode propagates at the fan speed shown. The excellent agreement between the hybrid solution and the data is in contrast to the Wiener-Hopf solution for an infinitely thin lip which is also shown. The thick lip used in the experiment (thickness-to-diameter ratio of 0.5) shifts the radiation peak toward the axis, as discussed in the preceding section, and acts as a shield to reduce the levels in the aft quadrant. The dependence of the directivity on inlet lip thickness is illustrated in Fig. 18 where the shielding effect is also clearly evident. The numerical results show that the radiation peak moves aft as the lip gets thinner. At a thickness-to-diameter ratio of 0.1, the radiation pattern agrees very well with the Wiener-Hopf (zero thickness) result shown in Fig. 17. The hybrid program is a powerful tool for the solution of "real-world" inlet radiation problems.

![Figure 17](image-url)

Figure 17. - Single mode inlet directivity comparison of theory with experiment 3150 Hz, $(13,0)$ mode. (Ref. 85)

Exhaust Radiation

In contrast to the complex inlet flow field, the exhaust flow, neglecting mixing, is much simpler. The fan exhaust may be approximated as an emerging cylindrical flow at Mach number $M_a$, surrounded by a uniform flow at Mach number $M_e$ which fits the requirements for an exact Wiener-Hopf radiation solution. The ray acoustics, mode cutoff/radiation approach to an approximate solution can also be applied with more confidence to the aft slip layer. Starting from the zero-flow flanged duct radiation equation, a coordinate transformation was applied to account for the duct flow, and ray acoustics arguments were applied across the slip layer (74). Single mode aft directivity from the approximate expression is compared to the full Wiener-Hopf solution (75) in Fig. 19. The good agreement builds confidence in the approximations used to generate the approximate solution. The Wiener-Hopf solution gives finite levels in the zone of silence in contrast to the ray acoustics result although the particular values from (75) are believed to be incorrect. The location of the principal lobe in the far field, $\psi_p$, is found from the approximate theory (74) to be:

$$
\cos \psi_p = \frac{\cos \psi - \frac{M_p}{D} + \left[ \frac{1}{2} (1 - \frac{M_p^2}{D^2}) \right].}{(1 - \frac{M_p^2}{D^2}) \left[ \frac{1}{2} (1 - \frac{M_p^2}{D^2}) \right]}
$$

(4)

for the static case ($M_a = 0$). For $M_a = 0.6$ and $\psi = 1$, $\psi = 160^\circ$ measured from the exhaust axis indicating that modes near cutoff radiate to the inlet quadrant.
The analogous inlet analysis, Eq. (2), indicated that near cutoff mode peaks remain in the inlet quadrant. Thus, the inlet quadrant contains the near cutoff mode peaks no matter where the sound originated.

SUPPRESSION - LINED DUCTS

Ducts between the turbomachinery components and the observer can be lined with sound absorbing materials to greatly reduce the radiated noise. Early workers (77) on suppressors considered the use of splitter rings in the duct to increase treated area and decrease the distance between treated surfaces.

Current emphasis is on the optimization of wall treatment alone to minimize aerodynamic losses and weight penalties in aircraft applications. The attenuation achieved is very sensitive to the source modal characteristics used as input (78, 79). Input cases of interest range from a limited number of modes associated with tone noise from periodic blade row interactions, to multi-modal situations associated with random processes exciting all the modes the duct can support. The latter number may be very large. At high frequencies considering modes spinning in one direction, the number can be estimated from Ref. (80) as

\[ N = \frac{\pi \eta / 8 (1 - M^2)}{D^2} \]

For typical values of \( M = -0.4 \) and \( n = 20 \) at blade passing frequency, \( N \approx 600 \). In such cases, some method of handling the modal distribution as a continuum subject to a simple rule describing the energy distribution is desirable.

The status of three aspects of suppressor research will be discussed: analytical propagation approaches including the cutoff ratio method, numerical propagation programs, and suppressor materials characterization.

Duct Acoustics - Analytical:

Much analytical effort has gone into describing propagation of acoustic modes in simple geometries such as cylinders and rectangular ducts lined with sound absorbing materials. A complex acoustic impedance (resistance and reactance) is used to specify the wall boundary condition for point reacting liners. Solution of the wave equation in the duct results in a complex eigenvalue and wave number for each mode. The real part of the wave number defines the attenuation while the imaginary part defines the axial wave speed.

From such single mode solutions, attenuation contours plotted in the impedance plane, as shown in Fig. 20, reveal an optimum impedance for which the maximum possible attenuation is obtained. The important parameters are duct Mach number, boundary layer thickness and frequency. Studies of optimum impedance led to the discovery (81) that mode cutoff ratio was a key correlating parameter: modes with similar cutoff ratios propagate in a similar fashion. Figure 21 shows the correlation of optimum resistance as a function of cutoff ratio for different boundary layer thicknesses. (Ref. 82)
methods to duct acoustics appear in Refs. (63) and (64). Cutoff ratios correlations have been found for far field directivity (63) duct termination loss (63) and transmission loss through a variable area duct based on $c$ at the throat (68, 69).

Multimodal cases, such as the example of $N = 600$ cited above, can be handled as a continuum in cutoff ratio. The modal number density function in a duct is given in (80) by:

$$\frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{d\xi} \approx \frac{2}{\xi^3}$$

The number density is converted into a modal power density by multiplying by a weighting function which must then be estimated by a technique such as a least squares fit to experimental hardwall directivity patterns as described in Ref. (6).

The goal of these approximate suppressor analyses is to predict the far field directivity. Additional work is needed to improve the quantitative results by including modal scattering at the hard-soft liner interface, refraction around the inlet lip at high Mach number and redirection of sound by the inlet lip contour. Analogous optimum impedance and attenuation correlations remain to be derived for the aft duct case.

Duct Acoustics - Numerical

Recent reviews of the application of numerical methods to duct acoustics appear in Refs. (83) and (84). The hybrid numerical program in the "Inlet Radiation" section also handles the case of acoustically treated inlet walls. Comparisons of the calculated and measured suppression for a series of very short inlet liners ($L/D = 0.15$) are shown in Fig. 22 (85). The experiment again used a JT15D engine configured to produce a single $(13,0)$ mode. Three different liner resistances were tested (86). For a single mode the attenuation is independent of angle. Therefore, at each resistance, data points are plotted for angles between $50^\circ$ and $80^\circ$ where the single mode at blade passing frequency dominates the levels. The calculated values agree well with the data which vary less than 5 dB with angle.

The hybrid program has been used to calculate attenuation contours in the impedance plane and thus define the optimum impedance (85) for the single mode as shown in Fig. 23. Optimum values of resistance and reactance are 0.6 and 0.85 compared to values of 1.14 and 0.5 calculated for a single $(13,0)$ mode in the soft-walled duct. Corresponding maximum possible attenuations differ by 20 dB (50 dB numerical vs. 30 dB single mode). These comparisons show the importance of the inclusion in the hybrid program of modal scattering at the hard-soft interface, particularly for a near cutoff mode entering a short liner. As the liner is lengthened, the numerical calculation approaches the analytical result which neglects scattering. A semi-empirical correction was applied in Ref. (85), but explicit inclusion of scattering in the analysis is preferable.

The challenge in applying the numerical approach is to remove the limitations on frequency and Mach number ranges imposed by computer storage and run time. One possibility is to develop a transient solution method which can potentially cut storage requirements by several orders of magnitude (87, 88).

![Figure 23. Calculated optimum impedances from a hybrid numerical solution with scattering compared to the single soft wall mode solution, 3150 Hz (13.0) mode, liner L/D_0 = 0.15. (Ref. 85)](image)

Suppressor Materials

In order to realize the attenuations calculated by the propagation analyses, the duct boundary conditions expressed as acoustic impedance must be translated into liner construction parameters, e.g., treatment thickness, wall porosity, fiber sizes and bulk densities, etc. For extended reaction liners, normal wall impedance is inappropriate and coefficients in the wave equation describing propagation in the liner itself must be related to real bulk absorbing material properties. The following discussion will briefly summarize the physics of the various dissipation mechanisms involved and some recent modelling efforts to link the suppressor construction details to the global properties needed for propagation analysis.
Impedance - Point Reacting Materials. Helmholtz resonator arrays formed by bonding a perforated plate to honeycomb have been widely used to acoustically treat airstruc- to provide resistance to flow conditions and maintain acoustic absorp- tion. The distributed dissipation mechanism is viscous pressure loss in fine pores. The high grazing flow present in engine applications, these por- es offer a high porosity face sheet which must be overcome. Absorption of liquids will destroy the acoustic effectiveness and can pose a safety problem. Non-wetting coatings may solve the problem for some liquids and allow removal of others using compatible solvents. To provide structural strength, while preserving extended reaction, porous (acoustically-transmitting) axial and circumferential face plate supports may be required.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Status and Outlook

This review has addressed the links in the chain of aeroacoustic processes which connect turbofan noise generation and suppression to the observed far field noise. Although the theoretical outlines and a body of often flawed empirical data have been in hand for a decade or more, the unified application of theory and controlled experiment to practical cases is just now occurring. This productive development was delayed by contaminated data and theoretical complexity whose physical implications were inadequately communicated to or assimilated by applied noise researchers. During the past half decade, key advances have been made in experimental technique and theoretical application which open the way to a much broader understanding and control of turbofan noise.

With respect to generation, the development of effective inflow control techniques makes possible the conduct of definitive experiments on internally controlled blade row interactions. The initial round of such experiments, focused on rotor-stator tone generation, has highlighted the question of the relative importance of secondary flow disturbances (e.g., tip vortices) compared to mid-span wakes. Both improved descriptions of the rotor-produced flow disturbances and the noise generation computer codes to use them are being developed to answer this question. With the help of rotor blade pressure measurements and inflow control, rotor interaction with struts downstream of the stator has been identified as a significant noise source. The experimental tools now exist to uncover rotor such mechanisms which set tone levels in specific engines. Computer codes which incorporate noncompact, cascade response to calculate the generated acoustic mode content are in the process of being validated by experiment. Tone power comparisons show good agreement. The next level of validation involves far field directivity which depends on a prediction of individual modes and their propagation behavior. At least a quasi-3D calculation approach must be used to give both circumferential and radial mode content.

Perforated plate-honeycomb treatment has cost and load carrying advantages, but its acoustic design is complicated by the requirement to know local flow con- ditions which vary with engine speed. (The associated resistance variation is unfavorable in an inlet but det- rimental in the exhaust.) To overcome the sensitivity of resistance to flow conditions and maintain acoustic linearity, treated surfaces having very small pores formed by densely packed wires or extremely fine screens have been used. In this case, the dissipation mechanism is viscous pressure loss in the fine pores as nearly stagnant fluid very near the wall is inges- ted. An impedance model for square weave screens supported by perforated plate has been developed (92). Mechanical problems associated with fine screens have been addressed. Corrosion at the bonds with dissim- lar metals can be prevented with coatings. Contam- ination is prevented by the self-cleaning action of the oscillatory velocities induced in the pores. Aside from the increased in situ impedance predictability, fine-pored materials have no acoustic advantage over perforated plate when used in a honeycomb-backed wall treatment.

Bulk Absorbers. Extended reaction liners formed by an uninterrupted layer of densely packed fibers retained by a high porosity face sheet do offer def- initive acoustic advantages. The distributed dissipa- tion damps back cavity resonances which smooths the reactance as a function of frequency and increases high frequency absorption.

At low frequencies the speed of sound in the fibrous matrix is decreased giving a greater apparent treatment depth and enhancing low frequency absorption. Beyond these inherently point reacting arguments, the possibility that axial and circumferential wave travel in the material may be used to advantage is being investigated (93). Studies of the effects of high sound intensities on bulk absorber properties have been com- pleted (94). Models for a range of bulk absorber types are under development (95).

There are mechanical difficulties associated with extended reaction liners which must be overcome. Absorption of liquids will destroy the acoustic effectiveness and can pose a safety problem. Non-wetting coatings may solve the problem for some liquids and allow removal of others using compatible solvents. To provide structural strength, while preserving extended reaction, porous (acoustically-transmitting) axial and circumferential face plate supports may be required.
The approximate analytical and the full numerical approaches also bracket the range of suppressor analyses. Cutoff ratio is again a very useful parameter correlating absorption and optimum wall impedances in lined ducts. The results from a full numerical solution, the hybrid code, have particularly emphasized the importance of modal scattering at the hardsoft interface for short treatment lengths. For the multimodal situation resulting from random generation processes, the key input to suppressor analysis is the modal energy distribution. While some broadband cases appear to follow equal energy, in general, the distribution must be inferred by empirical fits to data. The generation models now coming into use may provide some analytical guidance in this regard. The ability to specify acoustic treatment construction has been strengthened by improved understanding of the absorption physics which is concretely reflected in improved impedance models particularly for Helmholtz resonator arrays in flow environments. The quest for wider absorption bandwidth and enhanced low frequency attenuation for a given treatment depth spurs the continued investigation of bulk absorbers in full extended reaction configurations.

Other Turbomachinery Installations

Several research results highlighted in this review are relevant to the noise control of stationary turbomachinery. The importance of reducing inflow disturbances to rotating blade rows, particularly for tone noise reduction, and the inflow control devices demonstrated for turbofans apply to stationary cases. In contrast to the turboprop testing constraint, in-duct honeycomb devices are an option. The recent finding that strong tones can be generated by downstream structural struts which interact with upstream rotors through intervening stators is another example of a mechanism likely to be generally operative. Blade pressure diaphragms represent a helpful tool for such mechanism identification. Duct acoustic treatment can be effectively applied to produce a compact suppressed installation. The approximate design methods based on cutoff ratio may be particularly helpful for multimodal situations that are likely to arise in complex, multistage machines.
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