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Our work in numerical modeling is focused on the use of the random vortex method to treat turbulent flow fields associated with combustion while flame fronts are considered as interfaces between reactants and products, propagating with the flow and at the same time advancing in the direction normal to themselves at a prescribed burning speed. The latter is associated with the generation of specific volume (the flame front acting, in effect, as the locus of volumetric sources) to account for the expansion of the flow field due to the exothermicity of the combustion process. The model was applied to the flow in a channel equipped with a rearward facing step. The results we obtained revealed the mechanism of the formation of large scale turbulent structure in the wake of the step, while it showed the flame to stabilize on the outer edges of these eddies (1).

In the course of this year we concentrated upon three topics:

1. Study of the fundamental aspects of the modeling technique
2. Application of the model to the formation of a turbulent jet
3. Development of novel concepts bringing forth the aerodynamic properties of turbulent flames

On the first subject, three efforts have been successfully pursued. First is the development of the random element method, presenting the application of the random walk technique to model diffusion of energy. The concept of vortex sheets and vortex blobs is extended for this purpose to heat transfer sheets or temperature jump elements, and blobs of internal energy, that carry thermal gradients from heat conducting walls to the interior of the field and redistribute them by diffusion. Table 1 presents the fundamental idea of random
walk modeling of diffusion, exploiting the analogy between the
Green junction of the diffusion equation and the probability density
junction of a Gaussian random variable. Figures 1 and 2 show a
comparison between the exact solution and the numerical solution for
the problem of heating an infinite solid by an isothermal wall at
$y = 0$, in terms of the heat flux profiles and the temperature
profiles. Figures 3 and 4 depict the temperature profiles for a
finite solid bounded by two isothermal walls, and an isothermal and
an adiabatic wall, respectively. The extension of the procedure to
handle two-dimensional diffusion is presented in Table 2. A one-
dimensional approximation close to the walls is employed to imple-
ment isothermal boundary conditions, while energy elements are used
in the interior to diffuse the energy there. Figures 5 and 6 display
the temperature profiles along the diagonal of a corner and a square,
respectively, as compared to the corresponding exact profiles.

The second fundamental problem we have solved is concerned with the
generation of vorticity by the interaction between the pressure field and
density gradients -- a mechanism which is of importance to both flames
and buoyancy effects. The motion of the temperature jump elements,
governed by the algorithm of the random element method, generates ele-
ments of vorticity in the interior of the field while additional
elements are generated by the no-slip condition at the walls. Table 3
describes how random walk is used in conjunction with the principle of
time splitting to solve the system of equations that describes natural
convection flow over a vertical infinite isothermal wall. Figure 7
shows a comparison between our solution (thick lines) and a finite
difference solution for two values of the Prandtl number.$(3)$

The third problem in this category is that of flame propagation.
In our original model an interface advection and propagation algorithm,
based on the assumption that the flame is a jump in density, was used to
propagate the flame. In order to treat flame propagation as that of
a reacting surface governed by a finite rate of reaction, the problem
was recast in terms of a reaction-diffusion equation in temperature.
The algorithm of the random element method was extended to solve this
problem by adjusting the strength of the temperature jump elements as
they move according to the rate of reaction as described in Table 4.
The algorithm was tested by solving problems by the use of finite difference and finite element methods, demonstrating its capability of calculating flame propagation with proper accuracy. Figures 8 and 9 show a comparison between the exact solution, evaluated for
\[ f(T) = T(1-T), \]
and the numerical computations when the integration of the reaction part of the equation is done using a first-order Euler scheme in the first case, and an exact integral in the second case, respectively (4).

On the second subject, the random vortex method was applied to the problem of the formation of planar, two-dimensional turbulent jet at high Reynolds numbers. Figure 10 describes schematically the elementary processes of the random vortex method and how they are implemented to solve the convection-diffusion equation. The results, expressed in terms of the development of the vorticity field, are presented in Fig. 11. They reveal the formation of large scale turbulent eddy structures on both sides of the jet with a potential core inside. Few jet widths downstream, the two layers start to interact and the flow becomes dominated by the pairing of eddies from both sides. The turbulent eddies grow by entraining the non-turbulent fluid, while their trajectories become more and more convoluted as a result of the interaction between positive and negative vorticity. These pictures display a remarkable resemblance to experimental photographs obtained by Dimotakis, et al. (5) across the plane of symmetry of an exisymmetric jet.

The concept of flame aerodynamics has been developed on the basis of our numerical modeling studies, supported by experimental observations of turbulent flames. The ultimate conclusion of these studies is the dominance of large scale eddies over the flow field, while the flame itself becomes established at the outer edges of these eddies and acts as a semi-permeable membrane encompassing the burnt gases. It is the interaction between these eddies and the expansion associated with the exothermicity of combustion process that produces the characteristic aerodynamic pattern that we are now studying (6).
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TABLE 1. FUNDAMENTAL IDEA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Differential Equation</th>
<th>$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boundary Conditions</td>
<td>$\phi(y,0) = \delta(0) ; \phi(\pm \infty,t) = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constraint</td>
<td>$\phi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi , dy = 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formal Solution</td>
<td>$\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp[-(y/\sigma)^2] ; \sigma = \sqrt{2\alpha t}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stochastic Solution</td>
<td>$\Sigma \delta \phi_i = 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$y_i(t + \Delta t) = y_i(t) + n_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$E(n_i) = 0 ; E(n_i^2) = 2\alpha \Delta t$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Sampling</td>
<td>$\phi = \frac{1}{\partial y} \Sigma \delta \phi_i \delta(y-y_i)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Sampling</td>
<td>$\phi = \Sigma \delta \phi_i , H(y-y_i)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nomenclature

- H - Heavyside step function
- \(\delta\) - Dirac delta function
- E - Expected value
- \(\eta\) - Gaussian random variable
- \(\sigma\) - Standard deviation
TABLE 2. A HYBRID SCHEME FOR TWO-DIMENSIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Boundary $y &lt; \delta_2$</th>
<th>Interior $y &gt; \delta_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Differential Equation</td>
<td>$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \alpha \nu^2 T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boundary Condition</td>
<td>$T = 1$</td>
<td>$T = \delta(y - \delta_2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusing Element</td>
<td>$\delta T_i$</td>
<td>$\delta e_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupling</td>
<td>$\delta e_i = \delta T_i \cdot (y_i - \delta_2)$</td>
<td>$\delta T_i = \pm \delta e_i / \delta_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stochastic Solution</td>
<td>$r_i(t+\Delta t) = r_i(t) + n_i$</td>
<td>$r_i(t+\Delta t) = r_i(t) + n_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling</td>
<td>$T = \frac{\Sigma \delta e_i}{\delta_2 \cdot dx} + \Sigma \delta T_i H(y-y_i)$</td>
<td>$T = \frac{1}{\delta A_i} \Sigma \delta e_i \delta(r-r_i)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\delta_2 = 2\sigma$; thickness of 1-D diffusion layer

$\delta A_i$ = area element
| DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS | \( \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} \)  
\( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nu \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + g\beta (T-T_{\infty}) \) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INITIAL CONDITIONS</td>
<td>( T = 0 ; \ u = 0 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| BOUNDARY CONDITIONS | \( x = 0 \) \( T = 1 ; \ u = 0 \)  
\( x = \infty \) \( T = 0 ; \ u = 0 \) |
| FRACTIONAL STEPS | \( \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} \)  
\( \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} = \nu \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial x^2} \)  
\( \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} = g\beta \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \) |
| VORTICITY PRODUCTION | \( \delta \gamma = g\beta \delta T \)  
\( T = \sum_n \delta T \ H(x-x_i) \)  
\( \gamma = \sum_N \delta \gamma \ H(x-x_i) \)  
\( N(t+\Delta t) = N(t) + 2n \) |

\( n = \) number of temperature jump elements  
\( N = \) Number of vortex elements
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION</th>
<th>( \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + f(T) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INITIAL CONDITION</td>
<td>( T(x,0) = T_0(x) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOUNDARY CONDITIONS</td>
<td>( T(+\infty,0) = 0 ); ( T(-\infty,0) = 1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOLUTION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRACTIONAL STEPS</td>
<td>( T(n\Delta t) = [R(\Delta t) D(\Delta t)]^n T_0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REACTION</td>
<td>( \frac{dT}{dt} = f(T) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIFFUSION</td>
<td>( \frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DETERMINISTIC</td>
<td>( \delta T_i(t + \Delta t) = \delta T_i(t) + f(T_i) \Delta t )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STOCHASTIC</td>
<td>( T = \sum \delta T_i \cdot H(x-x_i) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( x_i(t + \Delta t) = x_i(t) + n_i )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( E[n] = 0 ); ( E[n^2] = 2 \Delta t )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Objective: Analytically model and conduct basic experimental tests to study the fundamentals of flame flashbacks in combustors.

Background: Flashback has been a recurrent problem with the present Chrysler automotive gas turbine combustor. It can be a potential problem for other types and applications of advanced combustors as well. An improved understanding of the phenomenon would lead to improved design techniques to avoid its occurrence.

Approach: It is proposed to model, test, and develop the fundamental conditions and process by which flashback occurs on combustor wall surfaces. An atmospheric rig consisting of a small dump combustor with a premixing channel would be required. Operation would be on a gaseous fuel. The primary variables would be inlet air and wall temperatures (up to 1500°F), boundary layer thickness, gas stream velocities, and controlled pressure disturbance level in the combustor. Both steady-state and transient tests will be conducted.

Status: A steady-state flame flashback model is in existence. A transient model is being considered. The experimental rig is being designed. The experiment will be performed at NASA Lewis Research Center by a Case Western Reserve University student.