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ABSTRACT

The stress and temperature sensitivities of the rupture life \( t_R \) and secondary creep rate \( \dot{\varepsilon}_S \) were examined in detail for a single heat of type 304 stainless steel (9T2796). Assuming that the rupture life \( t_R \) has a power law stress dependency, we observed relatively small differences in the stress exponent \( n_R \) over a broad range of stress and temperature. In contrast, large changes were observed for equivalent parameter \( n_S \) for secondary creep rate \( \dot{\varepsilon}_S \). As a result of these differences, the Monkman-Grant correlation was sensitive to stress and temperature below 650°C.

Metallurgical studies based on light and transmission electron microscopy suggested that the temperature and stress sensitivities of \( \dot{\varepsilon}_S \) at temperatures below 650°C were related to features of the substructure not present at higher temperature. Specifically, the presence of a fine dislocation network stabilized by precipitates altered the stress and temperature sensitivities relative to what might be expected from high-temperature studies.

INTRODUCTION

Structural components in high-temperature service usually experience variable loading conditions. Hence to assure that premature failure will not occur, the design analyst must use some parameter for summing damage. For nonreversing stresses time-under-stress, \(^1,^2\) creep strain, \(^3\) and strain rate \(^4\) are sometimes used to sum damage; for fatigue loadings, cycles-to-failure related to stress, \(^5\) strain, \(^6\) and work energy \(^7\) are sometimes used; and for creep-fatigue two or more damage parameters are sometimes used. \(^8\)

One of the difficulties in developing damage concepts for time-dependent failure is the need to verify that methods are valid for \( 10^9 \) s (3 x \( 10^5 \) h). Since fracture by creep or fatigue can be measured only once in a test, there is no direct way to measure damage without destroying the test specimen. Verification of a damage accumulation model therefore requires a large commitment of time and testing equipment. Crack growth rates can be measured under varying conditions, but the existence of cracks and the control of their growth in pressure boundary materials are not consistent with design to prevent crack initiation. In contrast, strain rate can be measured, does not require the preexistence of a crack, and on the basis of
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the Monkman-Grant\textsuperscript{9} correlation between minimum creep rate and rupture life seems to be related to fracture. Consequently, several cumulative damage models as proposed by Majumdar,\textsuperscript{10} Ostergren,\textsuperscript{11} and Manjoine\textsuperscript{4} use the strain-rate response to estimate damage and predict fracture.

To place confidence in predictions that are based on strain rate as a measure of damage, it is necessary to establish that the stress and temperature dependences of the secondary creep rate ($\dot{\varepsilon}_S$) and the rupture life ($t_R$) are similar. Further, it should also be demonstrated that this similarity persists for conditions for which fracture data are meager.

This paper examines the stress and temperature dependences of $\dot{\varepsilon}_S$ and $t_R$ for a heat of type 304 stainless steel on which a very large data base exists. We also examine the validity of the Monkman-Grant correlation and show how stress and temperature influences this correlation. Finally, metallurgical factors that influence creep rate and rupture life are discussed.

**DATA BASE AND ANALYSIS METHODS**

The data base consisted of several hundred tensile and creep-rupture tests, which covered times in the range 1 to $1.4 \times 10^8$ s and temperatures from 427 to 871°C. Data included 25-mm plate and 16-mm bar of a reference heat of type 304 stainless steel (9T2796). Although not used directly in the correlations, data on 51-mm plate extending to $2.2 \times 10^8$ s were of considerable value in estimating the behavioral trends at long times.

Most of the data on which calculations were based have been published elsewhere.\textsuperscript{12–14} In some instances engineering stresses were reported, while in others true stresses were reported. Our tensile stress data represent the saturation flow stresses obtained from a fit of the Voce equation to the true stress vs true strain data.\textsuperscript{14} The "rupture life" in tensile tests represents the time between the ultimate strength and fracture.\textsuperscript{12} The creep stress data represent true stresses obtained by multiplying engineering creep stress by the factor $1 + \varepsilon_P$ where $\varepsilon_P$ is the inelastic strain about halfway through the test.\textsuperscript{12} Below 650°C $\varepsilon_P$ was dominated by the plastic loading strain, and the stress changed by less than 10% during the creep test. Above 650°C $\varepsilon_P$ was dominated by the creep strain, which was in the 30–60% range.

The stress sensitivities of $\dot{\varepsilon}_S$ and $t_R$ were evaluated with the assumption that power relations existed between both $\dot{\varepsilon}_S$ and $\sigma/E$ and $t_R$ and $\sigma/E$. Thus, for any isothermal pair of points at $(\sigma_1/E, \dot{\varepsilon}_S)$ and $(\sigma_2/E, \dot{\varepsilon}_S)$, the stress exponent for creep rate, $n_\dot{\varepsilon}$, was calculated:

$$n_\dot{\varepsilon} = \frac{\ln(\dot{\varepsilon}_S)}{\ln(\sigma_2/\sigma_1)}$$

Similarly, the stress exponent for rupture, $n_{t_R}$, was calculated:

$$n_{t_R} = \left| \frac{\ln(t_R)}{\ln(\sigma_2/\sigma_1)} \right|$$
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Data pairs were read from visually smoothed curves through the experimental points. The two stresses, $\frac{\sigma_1}{E}$ and $\frac{\sigma_2}{E}$, were generally spaced about 0.15 log cycles apart.

RESULTS

Contour Maps

Figure 1 shows a contour map of the variation in the stress exponent for rupture ($n_R$) with the modulus-compensated stress ($\sigma/E$) and the temperature ($T$). Over most of the range where data are available $n_R$ falls between 6 and 8. A region also exists around 600°C for $\sigma/E$ less than $10^{-3}$ where $n_R$ appears to increase above 8. The stress exponent for creep rate, $n_g$, exhibits a more complicated pattern as $\sigma/E$ and $T$ are varied. This pattern is shown in Fig. 2. At temperatures above 600°C $n_g$ usually falls in the range 6 to 8. Thus, $n_g$ and $n_R$ agree. Higher $n_g$ values are observed for high $\sigma/E$, and this pattern is also consistent with the trend for $n_R$. Below 650°C the $n_g$ values differ from the $n_R$ values. For example, between 540 and 600°C the $n_g$ values are in the range 8 to 10 compared to $n_R$ values in the range 6 to 8. Below 540°C and at low stresses the $n_R$ values seem to decrease, but no data exist for $n_R^R$ hence, no comparison is possible.

Correlations Between Strain Rate and Rupture Life

Comparison of data in Figs. 1 and 2 leads us to conclude that the stress and temperature dependencies of $e_S$ and $t_R$ are similar above 650°C, at least over the range where data are available. Thus, it should be possible to use $e_S$ as a parameter to sum damage for varying stresses. It is equally apparent that the stress and temperature dependencies of $e_S$ and $t_R$ are dissimilar below 650°C. Even so, it might be possible to sum damage with $e_S$ if we can understand the functional relationship between $e_S$ and $t_R$. If we assume that both $e_S$ and $1/t_R$ can be represented by power law expressions, then we can eliminate stress and show that for isothermal conditions:

$$t_R \propto e_S^{-m} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (3)$$

where $m$ is the slope of the Monkman-Grant plot and is equal to the ratio $n_R/n_g$. In Fig. 3 we plot $\log t_R$ vs $\log e_S$ for temperatures of 816, 760, 704, 649, 593, 538, and 482°C. Data at the two highest temperatures plot as a straight line with a slope close to $-1.0$ (Fig. 3, Curve A). This trend covers six decades of $e_S$ and $e_S$ and $t_R$. Data for 704°C more-or-less follow a trend similar to data at higher temperatures (Fig. 3, Curve B).

Data for 649°C fall near Curve C in Fig. 3. Here the data at high $e_S$ follow the $m = 1.0$ trend, but for rates below $10^{-5}/s$ the slope decreases slightly and is closer to $-0.97$. The data base at 593°C is very extensive and covers approximately ten decades of $e_S$ (Fig. 3, Curve D). The curve starts out with a slope near $-1.0$ then shifts to a slope of near $-0.88$ until $e_S$ is $10^{-8}/s$. Below this $e_S$ the slope decreases precipitously and around $10^{-10}/s$ the value of $m$ is 0.5 or less. The large scatter in the data is attributable to grain size variations in the material. Finer grain sizes
(80 μm) lead to greater rupture lives than coarser grain sizes (180 μm). Relative to the high-temperature Monkman-Grant Curve A, Curve D at 593°C has shifted downward by a factor of two at high strain rates and considerable more at very low strain rates.

Data for 538°C are plotted in Fig. 3 and fall near Curve E. Here we see that \( m = 1.0 \) for high \( \varepsilon_G \) and 0.83 for lower strain rates. If the trend continued the Monkman-Grant curve for 538°C would intersect the curve for 593°C at a strain rate around \( 10^{-10}/s \). No data are available to verify this. Difficulties occur in defining the \( \varepsilon_G \) values at 482°C because the creep curves have tertiary creep character. Hence, the data plotted along Curve F in Fig. 3 for 482°C should be considered as tenuous. Over much of the data range \( m \) is near 0.75 at 482°C. Compared to the high-temperature Monkman-Grant Curve A, the curve at 482°C is displaced toward lower \( t_R \) by more than a decade at low strain rates.

In summary, at high strain rates \((10^{-4} \text{ to } 10^{-1}/s)\) the log \( t_R \) vs log \( \varepsilon_G \) plots follow the \( m = 1.0 \) trend for all temperatures, but there is a small decrease in \( t_R \) with decreasing temperature for the same \( \varepsilon_G \). Assuming that \( n_R = n_s \) at high \( \varepsilon_G \) values, it follows that the decrease in \( t_R \) is associated with a decrease in the post-uniform strain in the tensile tests with decreasing temperature. At intermediate strain rates \((10^{-9} \text{ to } 10^{-4}/s)\) the log \( t_R \) vs log \( \varepsilon_G \) plots fan out, with \( m \) decreasing and \( t_R \) decreasing with decreasing temperature for the same \( \varepsilon_G \). This implies that \( n_R \) is less than \( n_s \), which is consistent with the contour maps shown in Figs. 1 and 2. Very low-strain-rate data at 593°C exhibit low \( n \) values, but we do not know whether the other curves bend over. This is important from an engineering viewpoint since most of the creep-rupture damage is accumulated at strain rates in the range \( 10^{-11} \) to \( 10^{-8}/s \), while most fatigue damage is accumulated at rates in the range \( 10^{-6} \) to \( 10^{-5}/s \). Thus, service conditions often enter into the region of poorly defined behavior.

An alternative approach to the use of strain rate as a parameter in damage accumulation is the linear strain parameter. This is defined as the product \( \varepsilon_G t_R \) and is sometimes called the "plasticity resource."\(^{15,16}\) Whereas the Monkman-Grant approach attempts to "predict" rupture from the "known" strain rate, the linear strain parameter is not predictive since both the \( \varepsilon_G \) and \( t_R \) must be known to evaluate the parameter. The parameter \( \varepsilon_G t_R \) is highly sensitive to differences in the stress and temperature sensitivities of \( \varepsilon_G \) and \( t_R \). Assuming power law behavior:

\[
\varepsilon_G t_R = (\sigma/E)^{n_s-n_R} \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (4)
\]

Hence, a plot of log \( \varepsilon_G t_R \) vs log \( \sigma/E \) will define a curve with a slope that reflects the difference \( n_s - n_R \). Data are plotted in Fig. 4. Here we see that at high temperatures the isothermal data fall on horizontal lines. This implies that \( n_s = n_R \). At lower temperatures data fall on lines that exhibit slopes between 1 and 2. At low stresses the data trend is not well defined, but there is some evidence at 593°C of a very steep slope. The linear strain parameter is highly variable with stress and temperature, and its "predictive" capabilities for very low stresses are questionable.
The features of the metallurgical substructure (Figs. 5–8) are more-or-less consistent with the observed mechanical behavior. In the region of stress and temperature where $n_g = n_R$, subgrains form (Fig. 5) and precipitate when present consists of large and blocky particles. Matrix carbide particles exceed 0.1 μm (Fig. 6), and grain boundary carbide particles are 0.5 μm in size (Fig. 7). Failure is always intergranular and initiated at triple points and twin grain boundary intersections. At the lowest stresses we suspect that microvoid formation and coalescence are active, but we have not studied them quantitatively. Below 650°C the evolution of substructure is complex, and this complexity probably produces the variation of $n_g$ with stress and temperature. At high stresses cells generally form and grain boundary carbides are often present. These carbide particles quickly grow to a size in the range 0.1 to 0.2 μm. Matrix carbides may be absent or relatively fine (<0.05 μm). Failure is predominantly intergranular with wedge-type cracks nucleating at grain boundary triple points. When $σ/E$ values fall below 0.001 no cells or subgrains form. Rather, the substructure consists of a fine network of dislocations perhaps stabilized by the fine distribution of carbides, as suggested by Hopkin and Taylor. The change in dislocation density appears to be about 50% higher than for comparable $σ/E$ values at higher temperatures (Fig. 8). Large grain boundary carbide particles (0.1 to 0.4 μm) are present, and failure is intergranular with wedge-type cracking. At stresses below the range where rupture data are available, the substructure consists of coarse dislocation networks decorated by a fine precipitate. This is essentially the same substructure that developed in simply aged material. Below 510°C matrix carbides are not observed to 36 Ms, and even grain boundaries are relatively free of precipitates. Again, failure is initiated by wedge cracks at grain boundaries, but often these cracks are blunted by additional plastic deformation in the final stages of creep rupture. Thus, the transgranular creep rupture described by Ashby and coworkers dominates.

Cracking patterns were studied in approximately 50 specimens. Data were obtained in several categories including crack density, ratio of the number of triple point cracks to total cracks, ratio of crack length to grain boundary length, and the orientation angle between the crack and the stress axis. Most of the data were obtained by Bhargava, who used procedures developed by Nahm, Michel, and Moteff.

No cracks were observed in specimens with less than 3% total strain, and when cracks were present both the crack density and the crack length increased with creep strain. The orientation angle between the cracks and the stress axis increased with decreasing strain rate and was usually in the range 50 to 80°. Grain boundary migration was sometimes observed above 593°C, while recrystallization was sometimes observed above 704°C. These observations are consistent with literature data.

**DISCUSSION**

The strong stress and temperature dependencies of log $t_R$ vs log $ε_B$ and log $ε_Bt_R$ vs log $σ/E$ are not unique to heat 9T2796. Indeed, we have analyzed data on two other heats of type 304 stainless steel and find similar trends at 593 and 649°C. To some extent the rupture life is influenced by the pre-
cipitation of the M23C6 carbide, and this influence shows up as a slight cusp in the log $t_R$ vs log $\sigma$ curve.\(^{12}\) However, the variations in the stress and temperature dependencies of $t_R$ are not nearly as severe as the variations for $\dot{\varepsilon}_G$. The reasons for high $n_G$ values around 600°C are not altogether clear. One possibility is that the precipitation-stabilized dislocation network acts in much the same way as precipitation hardening. If so, we could speak of an internal stress, $\sigma_i$, which is relatively constant for a given temperature. Then $\dot{\varepsilon}_G$ in terms of an effective stress is

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_G = f\left(T, \frac{\sigma - \sigma_i}{E}\right) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (5)$$

where $[(\sigma - \sigma_i)/E]$ is the effective stress (Lagneborg,\(^{24}\) Wilshire,\(^{25}\) and Nix and coworkers\(^{6}\)). As the carbide particles grow in size and particle spacing increases, $\sigma_i$ could change. If it does not, then when the applied stress is below $\sigma_i$, the secondary creep rate would be zero (if we ignore the contribution of grain boundary sliding, diffusional flow, and climb over the particles). Creep would then consist of only transient and tertiary components. However, at 649°C subgrains sometimes form and the matrix carbide particle size is large. Thus, diffusion and thermal recovery proceed rapidly, and the possibility of hardening as outlined above is questionable. Tanaka and Shinoda\(^{27}\) link the creep strength at 650°C to the carbide particle size and spacing, which suggests that the carbide continues to influence $\sigma_i$. Our data on carbide particle sizes agree well with weak heats of 18-8 stainless steel studied by Tanaka and Shinoda\(^{27}\) and Etienne, Dortland, and Zeedijk.\(^{28}\) However, we emphasize that it is not the precipitate alone that produces the hardening. We say this because, as pointed out by Barnby\(^{29}\) and Sikka et al.,\(^{18}\) the results from creep tests on aged materials that develop precipitates show either a loss or no change in creep strength relative to the nonaged condition. Thus, dynamic precipitation gives rise to the strengthening effect of $\sigma_i$. Precipitation kinetics with or without strain is qualitatively understood in type 304 stainless steel. We know, for example, that the matrix carbide develops more rapidly under monotonic and cyclic strains than under simple aging. We do not know whether the dislocation substructure produced by dynamic precipitation is different from the substructure developed by creep testing aged material. This would be of considerable interest.

An alternative explanation for high $n_G$ values could be solid-solution strengthening, as proposed recently by Miller and Sherby.\(^{30}\) Here the solid solution is produced by a Cottrell atmosphere drag force on dislocations. The magnitude of the effect is a function of a temperature-compensated strain rate $\dot{\varepsilon}_G/\dot{\varepsilon}$, where $\dot{\varepsilon}$ is given by $\exp(-Q/RT)$ and $Q$ is the activation energy associated with the species that produces the Cottrell atmosphere. Miller and Sherby\(^{30}\) propose a "drag" rather than a "friction" strengthening effect. Hence, instead of Eq. (5) we have

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_G = f\left(T, \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_D(T, \dot{\varepsilon}_G)}\right) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (6)$$

where $\sigma_D$ is the strengthening effect due to drag. This is a very powerful modification, since it is possible to let $\sigma_D(T, \dot{\varepsilon}_G)$ reflect interstitial,
substitutional, and interaction solid-solution hardening effects. It is also possible to introduce a dependence of $\sigma_D(T, e_s)$ on the total deformation as well. With this much flexibility the complex variation of $n_s$ could be modeled to any degree of accuracy. If we restrict ourselves to only one solution strengthening mechanism, then by use of the treatment outlined by Miller and Sherby, $n_s$ should be a unique function of $\sigma/E$ and not vary with temperature for constant $\sigma/E$. If we assume that more than one solution hardening mechanism is present and that Eq. (6) could be used to represent $\varepsilon_s$, then we would expect that the slope of log $t_R$ vs $\varepsilon_s$ might return to -1 at sufficiently low stresses. Similarly, the linear creep component $\varepsilon_s t_R$ should always exhibit nonzero values and perhaps even increase at low stresses. Eventually, new deformation mechanisms and failure mechanisms may enter the picture, as suggested by Ashby and coworkers. However, it is beyond the scope of our experimental data to assess these new problems. Nevertheless, Morris and Harris recently suggest that a deformation "mechanism based on dislocation locking by solute atom complexes" occurs in type 316 stainless steel around 525°C. Hence, apparently more data are being obtained for this temperature range.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Above 650°C the stress and temperature dependences of the secondary creep rate ($\dot{\varepsilon}_s$) and the rupture life ($t_R$) are similar. The product $\dot{\varepsilon}_s t_R$ is relatively constant, and the exponent in the Monkman-Grant relation is close to 1.0. These observations apply to at least $4 \times 10^6$ s.

2. Below 650°C the stress and temperature dependences of $\dot{\varepsilon}_s$ and $t_R$ often differ. The product $\dot{\varepsilon}_s t_R$ decreases stress and decreasing temperature. The exponent in the Monkman-Grant changes from 1.0 toward zero as temperature and $\dot{\varepsilon}_s$ decrease. These observations apply in the range $10^4$ to $10^8$ s.

3. The fact that $\dot{\varepsilon}_s - t_R$ correlations are stress and temperature sensitive below 650°C is due primarily to changes in the $\dot{\varepsilon}_s$ behavior rather than in the rupture mechanism. Changes in the $\dot{\varepsilon}_s$ behavior could be attributed to either a high internal stress, $\sigma_I$, produced by the development or precipitation-stabilization dislocation network or to a somewhat complex solute hardening mechanism.

4. Although grain boundary carbide particle sizes and crack densities change over our range of stress and temperature, there was no evidence to indicate that these features of microstructure greatly influence the stress and temperature dependence of the rupture life.

5. Above 650°C $\dot{\varepsilon}_s$ can be a parameter for a damage accumulation model. Below 650°C $\dot{\varepsilon}_s$ can be used to sum damage over the range of stress and times where the relation between $\dot{\varepsilon}_s$ and $t_R$ is known.
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