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Summary

A 13-foot long Boeing 707 fuselage section was drop-tested at the
NASA Langley Research Center to measure structural, seat and occupant
response to vertical crash loads. Occupant response was simulated with
anthropomorphic dummies. The specimen had nominally zero pitch, roll,
and yaw at impact with a sink speed of 20 ft/sec. Results from this drop
test and other drop tests of different transport sections will be used to

Post-test inspection showed no apparent damage to the stiff fuselage
structure during the test, however, seat frame bending failures and seat
pan attachment failures occurred to the onboard seats. Preliminary data
traces indicated maximum normal accelerations of 70 g at the fuselage
bottom, 70 to 95 g at the cabin floor, and 44 g at the dummy pelvis.

INTRODUCTION

As part of the NASA LaRC Transport Crash Test program, reference i,
various fuselage sections from Boeing 707 transport aircraft were
acquired for dynamic drop testing. The structural response data from
these tests will be used to corroborate the DYCAST computer program,
reference 2, being developed for crash analysis of aircraft structures.
Another purpose of these tests is to determine structural, seat, and
occupant response to vertical crash loads in preparation for a full-scale
 Crash test of a remotely piloted B-720 to be conducted at NASA Dryden
Flight Research Facility in July, 1984 as part of a joint NASA/FAA
program.

This report presents photographs and preliminary data traces from
the second Transport Section Drop Test conducted June 8, 1983. This 12-
foot long, 14.2-foot high section located at the rear of the wing and
including the wheel wells, keel beam, and part of the rear wing spar (see
Fig. 1) was drop-tested at 20 ft/sec using the Vertical Test Apparatus
at NASA Langley Research Center. The first section, located just forward
of the wing, was tested under identical conditions on April 26, 1983
(Ref. 3). Results from these tests will provide an indication of
vertical loads and accelerations to be expected in the full-scale crash
test of the B-720.
TEST SPECIMEN

The fuselage section is shown suspended in the Vertical Test Apparatus at the Impact Dynamics Research Facility in figure 2. The 13-foot long section was cut from a Boeing 707 10-in forward of Body Station (BS) 820 to 10-in aft of BS 960 (Fig. 1) (Ref. 4). After removing nonstructural items, such as interior paneling, insulation, storage bins, ducting, etc., the bare section weighed 5400 lbs. The section weighed 7964 lbs when loaded with seats, anthropomorphic dummies, and instrumentation.

Figure 3 is a floor layout of seats, instrumentation junction box, a simulated power distribution pallet (for weight simulation) and a battery for camera power. Seats were located on the test section approximately as they are to be located on the full-scale airplane test. All seats were standard triple transport seats. They were surplus seats that were purchased with the transport sections and were used to apply the proper load factor to the floor. Eight 50th percentile, 165 lb, Part 572 anthropomorphic dummies (Ref. 5) were distributed among the four triple seats (designated as seats B, C, D, and E in Fig. 3) as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seat</th>
<th>Anthropomorphic Dummy Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Center, Inboard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Center, Outboard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Inboard, Center, Outboard</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All dummies had normal (aligned with the spine), longitudinal (fore-and-aft perpendicular to the spine), and transverse (left and right perpendicular to the spine) accelerometers in their pelvises. In addition, a normal accelerometer was in the head of each dummy. A 95th percentile dummy (195 lb) sat in the inboard location of seat D but was not instrumented with accelerometers. Each of the remaining three occupant locations were loaded with approximately 130 lb of weight (see Fig. 4). This weight represents 80 percent of the total weight of a 50th percentile occupant assuming the legs (20 percent of the total weight) do not load the seat pan. Table I gives the weight and coordinates of all articles onboard the test section. The origin (0,0,0) was chosen to be along the centerline of the fuselage (X), 10-in forward of BS 820 (front edge of section) (Y), and on top of the floor (Z). Positive axes directions were forward (X), to the right (Y), and down (Z). Figure 5 gives the seat leg locations in inches relative to the front edge of the test section floor.

TEST APPARATUS AND METHODS

The NASA Vertical Test Apparatus (VTA) (Fig. 1) was used to
drop-test the transport section and to provide a stable guide mechanism for the vertical impact test. The VTA was designed for the following conditions:

1. Maximum impact velocity - 50 ft/sec
2. Maximum specimen dimensions - 12 ft diameter, 26 ft long, 10,000 lb weight

Test conditions for the transport section test fell below these limits.

The VTA is located at the northwest leg of the gantry structure at the Impact Dynamics Research Facility (Ref. 6). The gantry provides support through lateral ties to the VTA. The VTA (70 ft high) consists of a 7 1/2 ton hoist platform on two support columns. Each column has rails to guide the vertical motion of a lift frame to which a specimen can be attached for drop testing. A power quick release hook is used to lift the support frame and specimen to the desired drop height. The specimen impacts a steel reinforced concrete pad at the bottom of the VTA while the support frame is decelerated by impacting shock absorbers.

For the transport section test, the section was connected to the support frame by a series of cables with turnbuckles to adjust cable length and control the impact attitude. The impact attitude for the test was 0 degree pitch, 0 degree yaw, and 0 degree roll. The section was raised 6 ft 2.5 in above the impact surface to obtain a vertical impact velocity of 20 ft/sec. The section contacted the concrete prior to the support frame impacting the shock absorbers.

INSTRUMENTATION AND DATA REDUCTION

DC accelerometers were used in the dummies and on the aircraft structure to obtain continuous recordings during the dynamic drop test. The accelerometers were mounted on aluminum blocks, which were then mounted to the structure (Fig. 6). Seat E (see Fig. 3) was mounted on four load cells to measure normal and longitudinal reaction forces at the seat leg attachment points. Figures 7a and 7b show the location of the accelerometers on the aircraft structure and the positive axes directions. All data were transmitted to a tape recorder through an umbilical cable that was hard-wired to the data acquisition system.

The analog signals were filtered during recording at 600 Hz and subsequently digitized at 4000 samples per second. The digitized accelerometer data were passed through the following digital filters:

- Dummy Head 180 Hz
- Dummy Pelvis 180 Hz
- Aircraft Structure 60 Hz
- Load Cells 60 Hz
Motion pictures were taken at 400 pictures per second during the experiment. The cameras were located onboard the test section and on the ground. Still photographs were taken before and after the test.

GENERAL RESULTS

Figures 8a and 8b show post-test damage to the transport section. The frontal view of the section in figure 8a shows that no apparent damage occurred to the fuselage during the test. This is an extremely stiff structure, which did not deform during the impact test; consequently, high loads were transmitted from the lower fuselage into the floor, upper fuselage, seats, and anthropomorphic dummies. Bending failures developed along the lateral support tube of the seat frame of seats B, C, and D (see Fig. 8b). The tube failed where the inboard and outboard seat locations are cantilevered over the seat legs. The failures occurred only at the seat locations that were loaded with ballast to simulate the weight of an anthropomorphic dummy. Obviously, the weights distributed the load over the seat frame differently than the anthropomorphic dummy. In the seat locations with dummies, failures occurred where the rubberized seat pans attached to the seat frame. High acceleration levels transmitted through the structure caused the onboard lights to fail (lighting for onboard cameras) and one camera to disconnect from its mount during impact.

Acceleration time histories for the structure and anthropomorphic dummies are given in figures 9a through 9e and 10a through 10p, respectively. The locations of the structural accelerometers are identified by word descriptions and by numbers, which are shown in figures 7a and 7b. The locations of the accelerometers in the dummies are also identified by word descriptions and by numbers referring to figure 3. Figures 11a through 11d show normal and longitudinal reaction forces measured at the seat attachment points for seat E. The letters N, L, and T on the data traces indicate normal, longitudinal, and transverse directions respectively. For the structural accelerometers and load cells, N, L, and T correspond to the Z, X, and Y axes of the transport section. However, for the anthropomorphic dummies, N, L, and T are relative to the dummy axis system as described in the Test Specimen section. These data traces are presented in an unrefined form in order to make them available quickly.

The maximum normal acceleration of the major pulse measured on the keel beam and wheel well walls and ceiling was approximately 71 g (60 Hz filter) for a time duration of 0.019 sec. Since no crushing of the lower fuselage occurred during impact, accelerations at the floor beams were of the same magnitude or higher than those measured at the lower fuselage. Maximum normal accelerations measured at the floor beam / inboard seat rail location were about 70 g (60 Hz filter) for 0.022 sec while those measured at the floor beam / frame location were higher, averaging 95 g (60 Hz filter) for 0.017 sec. The maximum normal pelvis acceleration for the dummies was approximately 44 g (filtered at 180 Hz) for 0.049 sec.
The maximum normal acceleration level measured at the roof was similar in magnitude to that at the floor beam/frame location. However, the roof normal accelerometers at BS 860 and 920 (Figures 9a and 9b) show an oscillatory rather than pulse response, as exhibited on the floor and undercarriage. Nearly 93 g (60 Hz filter) for 0.024 sec was measured during the first half wave at BS 860. The oscillatory response at the roof is in the 24 to 27 cycle per second range.

Figures 11a through 11d show forces measured by load cells at the seat attachment points of seat E. Compressive forces at the front legs ranged from 3200 to 4200 lbs in the normal direction. Since the front legs are mainly vertical, little force was applied in the longitudinal direction for a vertical impact. In contrast, the rear legs are angled and normal forces in the legs ranged from 2140 to 2860 lbs (compression) and longitudinal forces ranged from 960 to 1320 lbs.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

A Boeing 707 fuselage section was drop tested at the Impact Dynamics Research Facility, NASA Langley Research Center, to study structural, seat, and occupant response to vertical crash loads in preparation for a full-scale crash test of a B-720 in July, 1984. The section, located at the rear of the wing and including the wheel wells, keel beam, and part of the rear wing spar, was tested at 20 ft/sec vertical impact velocity without roll, pitch, or yaw.

From post-test inspection of the fuselage section and preliminary data traces the following were concluded:

1. No damage occurred to the fuselage or floor during the impact. High loads were transmitted from the lower fuselage to the floor, seats, anthropomorphic dummies, and upper fuselage.

2. Bending failures developed in several seats along the lateral support tubes of the seat frame where the seat is cantilevered over the legs. The failures occurred only at the seat locations where ballast was used in the seat to simulate the weight of an anthropomorphic dummy.

3. At other seat locations where anthropomorphic dummies were loading the seat, failures occurred where the rubberized seat pans attach to the seat frame.

4. A maximum normal acceleration of 71 g (60 Hz filter) was measured on the fuselage bottom.

5. Maximum normal acceleration at the floor beam/inboard seat rail was 70 g (60 Hz filter) while at the floor beam/frame it was 95 g (60 Hz filter).

6. Maximum normal pelvic acceleration measured in the
anthropomorphic dummies was 44 g (180 Hz filter).

This is a quick release of technical information before complete refinement and evaluation. Consequently, the above conclusions are preliminary and subject to reconsideration.
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### TABLE I.- TRANSPORT SECTION TEST WEIGHT DISTRIBUTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Weight (lbs.)</th>
<th>X (in)</th>
<th>Y (in)</th>
<th>Z (in)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empty weight</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>-64</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat B: inboard</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>center</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>-38</td>
<td>-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outboard</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>-57</td>
<td>-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat C: inboard</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>center</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outboard</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat D: inboard</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>-106</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>center</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>-106</td>
<td>-38</td>
<td>-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outboard</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>-106</td>
<td>-57</td>
<td>-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat E: inboard</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>-106</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>center</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>-106</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outboard</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>-106</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junction box</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-135</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pallet</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>-135</td>
<td>-36</td>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left front camera &amp; mount</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-41</td>
<td>-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left front light &amp; mount</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>-82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right front camera &amp; mount</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right front light &amp; mount</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left rear camera &amp; mount</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-98</td>
<td>-41</td>
<td>-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left rear light &amp; mount</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-99</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>-82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right rear camera &amp; mount</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-62</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right rear light &amp; mount</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-58</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camera on floor &amp; mount</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-155</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camera timing panel</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-140</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood foot rest for dummies in Seat E</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-88</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2.—Transport section suspended in Vertical Test Apparatus.
Figure 3.- Transport section test seat arrangement and accelerometer channel number identification for dummies.
Figure 4. Ballast used to load seats not occupied by dummy.
Figure 5.- Seat leg locations relative to front edge of section.
Figure 6. Method of mounting accelerometers.
(b) Side view.

Figure 7. - Concluded.
(a) Frontal view of section post-test.

Figure 8. - Post-test photographs of transport section test.
(b) Seat failure.

Figure 8.- Concluded.
(a) Structural acceleration time histories.

Figure 9.- Acceleration time histories measured on the aircraft structure.
Figure 9.— Continued.
(b) Structural acceleration time histories.
Figure 9.—Continued.

(c) Structural acceleration time histories.
Figure 9.- Continued.

(d) Structural acceleration time histories.
Figure 9.- Continued.

(e) Structural acceleration time histories.
Figure 9.- Continued.

(f) Structural acceleration time histories.
Figure 9. - Continued.

(g) Structural acceleration time histories.
Figure 9. Continued.

(h) Structural acceleration time histories.
Figure 9. - Continued.

(i) Structural acceleration time histories.
Figure 9. - Continued.

(j) Structural acceleration time histories.
Figure 9.- Continued.

(k) Structural acceleration time histories.
Figure 9.— Continued.

(1) Structural acceleration time histories.
Figure 9.- Continued.

(m) Structural acceleration time histories.
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Wheel well - front starboard side
N
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Wheel well - front starboard side
T
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Figure 9.- Continued.

(n) Structural acceleration time histories.
Figure 9. - Continued.

(o) Structural acceleration time histories.
Figure 9.- Continued.

(p) Structural acceleration time histories.
Figure 9.—Continued.

(q) Structural acceleration time histories.
Figure 9.- Continued.

(e) Structural acceleration time histories.

Channel 37
Wheel well - rear port side

Channel 36
Wheel well - rear port side
Figure 9.— Concluded.
(s) Structural acceleration time histories.
Figure 10. - Acceleration time histories measured in anthropomorphic dummies.

(a) Occupant accelerations.
Figure 10.— Continued.
(b) Occupant accelerations.
Figure 10.—Continued.

(c) Occupant accelerations.
Figure 10. - Continued.

(d) Occupant accelerations.
Figure 10—Continued.

(e) Occupant accelerations.
Figure 10.—Continued.

(f) Occupant accelerations.
Figure 10. - Continued.

(g) Occupant accelerations.
Figure 10.—Continued.

(h) Occupant accelerations.
Figure 10.—Continued.

(i) Occupant accelerations.
Figure 10.—Continued.

(j) Occupant accelerations.
Figure 10.- Continued.

(k) Occupant accelerations.
Figure 10.—Continued.

(1) Occupant accelerations.
Figure 10.—Continued.

(m) Occupant accelerations.
Figure 10.— Continued.

(n) Occupant accelerations.
Figure 10.— Continued.

(o) Occupant accelerations.
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Figure 10.— Concluded.

(p) Occupant accelerations.
Figure 11.- Reaction forces measured at seat attachment points.

(a) Reaction forces at left front leg.
Figure 11.- Continued.
(b) Reaction forces at right front leg.
Figure 11.- Continued.

(c) Reaction forces at left rear leg.
Force, lb
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Figure 11.- Concluded.
(d) Reaction forces at right rear leg.