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SUMMARY

This report applies the method of Zorumski and Weir (reference 8) to the prediction of static free field source mixing noise levels of subsonic circular and coaxial jet flow streams. An extensive jet noise data base has been developed from nine series of jet noise tests. These series include 214 tests of circular nozzles and 603 tests of coaxial nozzles.

The jet noise, which is a function of frequency and direction, is expressed in terms of four components. These components are the overall power level, the power spectrum level, the overall directivity index, and the relative spectrum level. The relative spectrum is the difference between the sound pressure spectrum level in a fixed direction and the sound power spectrum level.

Sound pressure level data from each test were curve fitted in both the frequency dimension and directivity dimension using bicubic splines. The curve fits, which provide smoothing of the experimental data, are made in the least squares sense. The bicubic splines use a standard grid of seven (7) frequency parameter values and seven (7) directivity angles. The values of the component noise levels at these grid points are referred to as noise level coordinates. These noise level coordinates define the component noise levels for all frequencies and directivities through the bicubic spline function.

Each of the noise level coordinates is a function of the jet flow state. The flow state of a coaxial jet is defined by five (5) independent parameters. The parameters employed here are the equivalent jet velocity, the equivalent jet total temperature, the velocity ratio (of the outer stream to the inner stream), the temperature ratio, and the area ratio. The equivalent flow state variables are defined by equating the values for mass flow, momentum (thrust), and enthalpy of the coaxial jet to an equivalent circular jet.

The noise level coordinates are curve fitted in the five dimensional flow state space by a third order Taylor series. Each jet noise test provides one data point in this five dimensional space for each noise level coordinate. The 817 tests were employed to determine the 56 independent terms in each Taylor series.
through the least squares criterion. Because many of the tests were grouped in limited regions of the flow state space while some of the regions of the space were empty, it was possible to determine only 36 unique constants of the 56 constants in the Taylor series.

The empirical method used here reduces the data base of approximately 200,000 sound pressure level measurements to a table of 2,300 constants which can be used to predict the jet mixing noise. Because this prediction method is derived from a least squares approximation to the data base, the mean error is 0 dB. The standard error of the prediction is less than 1.0 dB at the frequencies and directivities where the peak noise levels occur. The peak level frequency parameter (Strouhal number) is near -0.5 and the peak level directivity angles are near 150 degrees (measured from the forward axis of the jet). At high and low frequencies and at directivity angles near the jet axis the estimate of standard error is greater than 2.0 dB. The standard error based on all frequencies and directivity angles is 1.5 dB.

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report is to develop an empirical method for predicting the static source noise levels of jet mixing noise from both circular and coaxial subsonic flow jet streams. Supersonic flow jet streams were excluded because of the presence of shock noise in addition to jet mixing noise.

There are currently several empirical methods available for predicting the static source noise levels of both circular and coaxial jets. Usually these methods are restricted to certain jet flow regimes such as subsonic, supersonic, or coaxial jets with inverted flow profiles. Most of the current coaxial jet noise prediction methods, especially those with inverted flow profiles, employ two spectra, one for the outer stream alone and one for the premerged stream. Stone (reference 1), Pao (reference 2), Russell (reference 3), and Jaeck (reference 4) all use the two-spectra methodology. In addition there are several methods available for predicting circular jet noise. Among these is the method of Jaeck (reference 5), Stone (references 1 and 6), and the SAE method (reference 7). In addition Stone (reference 1) predicted noise levels of a wide range of nozzle types including coaxial jets. However, none of these jet noise prediction methods treat the circular jet and coaxial jet together. Therefore, in 1982 Zorumski and Weir
(reference 8) developed a curve fitting technique which provided for the empirical static jet source noise prediction for coaxial jets where the circular jet is treated as a special case of the coaxial jet.

The prediction method presented herein applies the empirical curve fitting method developed by Zorumski and Weir (reference 8) to the jet mixing noise. The correlations are based on a different set of jet flow properties than those of reference 8. Furthermore, this report extends both the range of directivity angles and the frequency range employed by Zorumski and Weir. Also additional model test data sets have been included in the prediction method of this report.

The method presented herein equates the coaxial jet to a single stream equivalent jet which has the same mass flow, energy flow, and thrust as the coaxial jet. The coaxial jet noise levels are then defined as functions of the equivalent jet state properties which are velocity, temperature, and three of the ratios of state properties of each jet flow stream. These ratios are velocity (outer stream to inner stream), temperature, and area.

The empirical correlations presented in this report are based on 817 static model jet noise tests from five different industry and government sources in three nations. This data base includes nine separate test series.

**LIST OF SYMBOLS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Nozzle exit flow area</td>
<td>m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aₑ</td>
<td>Nozzle exit flow area of single equivalent jet</td>
<td>m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aₑᵣᵉᶠ</td>
<td>Reference area used in computing normalized overall power level</td>
<td>m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A₁</td>
<td>Nozzle exit flow area of inner stream or circular jet</td>
<td>m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A₂</td>
<td>Nozzle exit flow area of outer stream</td>
<td>m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cₑᵣᵉᶠ</td>
<td>Speed of sound at ISA, SL conditions</td>
<td>m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cₑᵣᵉᶠ</td>
<td>Specific heat of gas at constant pressure</td>
<td>J/(kg k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cᵣᵉᶠ</td>
<td>Ambient speed of sound</td>
<td>m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D(θ)</td>
<td>Directivity Index</td>
<td>dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D(θₑᵣᵉᶠ)</td>
<td>Directivity Index of coordinate point</td>
<td>dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\( D_j (\theta_c) \) Derivative value for directivity index at coordinate point

\( D_e \) Nozzle exit flow diameter of single equivalent jet \( \text{m} \)

\( f \) One-third octave band center frequency \( \text{Hz} \)

\( f_p \) One-third octave band predicted center frequency \( \text{Hz} \)

\( F(f) \) One-third octave band normalized power spectrum at center frequency \( f \) \( \text{dB} \)

\( F(\eta) \) One-third octave band normalized power spectrum \( \text{dB} \)

\( F(\eta_c) \) One-third octave band normalized power spectrum at coordinate point \( \text{dB} \)

\( F(\eta_p) \) One-third octave band normalized power spectrum at predicted point \( \text{dB} \)

\( F_j (\eta_c) \) Derivative value of one-third octave band normalized power spectrum at coordinate point

ISA International Standard Atmosphere

\( j \) Index for derivative value

\( k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 \) Constant terms used in computation of SPL and PWL \( \text{dB} \)

\( \dot{m}_e \) Mass flow rate of single equivalent jet \( \text{kg/s} \)

\( \dot{m}_1 \) Mass flow rate of inner stream or circular jet \( \text{kg/s} \)

\( \dot{m}_2 \) Mass flow rate of outer stream \( \text{kg/s} \)

\( N \) Number of derivatives and derivative multipliers employed in computation of OAPWL, \( D(\theta_c) \), \( F(\eta_c) \) and RSL(\( \theta_c, \eta_c \))

OAPWL Overall acoustic power level \( \text{dB} \)

\( \overline{\text{OAPWL}} \) Normalized overall acoustic power level \( \text{dB} \)

OASPL(\( \theta \)) Overall sound pressure level \( \text{dB} \)

OASPL(\( \theta_c \)) Overall sound pressure level at directivity coordinate point \( \text{dB} \)

OASPL(\( \theta_m \)) Overall sound pressure level at measured directivity angle \( \text{dB} \)

OASPL(\( \theta_p \)) Overall sound pressure level at predicted directivity angle \( \text{dB} \)

\( \overline{\text{OASPL}} \) Average overall sound pressure level over surface area of sphere at microphone radius \( \text{dB} \)

\( P_{\text{ref}}^2 \) Reference mean square pressure level \( \text{N}^2/\text{m}^2 \)

PWL(\( \eta_c \)) One-third octave band power spectrum level at coordinate point \( \text{dB} \)

PWL(\( f_p \)) One-third octave band power spectrum level at predicted frequency \( \text{dB} \)
PWL, Derivative values for overall acoustic power level

\( r \) Radial distance from nozzle exit to observer m

\( R \) Gas constant J/(kg k)

\( \text{RSL}(\theta,f) \) Normalized relative spectrum level dB

\( \text{RSL}(\theta,n) \) Normalized relative spectrum level dB

\( \text{RSL}(\theta_c,n_c) \) Normalized relative spectrum level at coordinate point dB

\( \text{RSL}(\theta_p,n_p) \) Normalized relative spectrum level at predicted directivity angle and predicted normalized frequency parameter dB

\( \text{RSL},_j(\theta_c,n_c) \) Derivative values of normalized relative spectrum level at coordinate point dB

\( \text{SPL}(\theta,f) \) Sound pressure level dB

\( \text{SPL}(\theta,n) \) Sound pressure level dB

\( \text{SPL}(\theta_m,f) \) Measured sound pressure level dB

\( \text{SPL}(\theta_c,n_c) \) Smoothed sound pressure level at coordinate point dB

\( \text{SPL}(\theta_m,n_c) \) Smoothed sound pressure level from measured data dB

\( \text{SPL}(\theta_p,n_p) \) Sound pressure level at predicted directivity angle and predicted frequency dB

\( t_m \) Ambient static temperature k

\( T_e \) Nozzle exit flow equivalent jet total temperature k

\( T_1 \) Nozzle exit flow total temperature of inner stream or circular jet k

\( T_2 \) Nozzle exit flow total temperature of outer stream k

\( V \) Nozzle exit flow velocity m/s

\( V_e \) Nozzle exit equivalent flow velocity m/s

\( V_1 \) Nozzle exit flow velocity of inner stream or circular jet m/s

\( V_2 \) Nozzle exit flow velocity of outer stream m/s

\( W_{ref} \) Reference power level W

\( x \) Nozzle exit flow parameters

\( X_i \) Derivative multiplier values

Greek Symbols:

\( \alpha_i \) Prediction parameter

\( \alpha_{ir} \) Standard value for prediction parameter

\( \gamma \) Ratio of specific heats

\( \gamma_e \) Nozzle exit flow specific heat ratio of equivalent jet
\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma_1 & \quad \text{Nozzle exit flow specific heat ratio of inner stream or circular jet} \\
\gamma_2 & \quad \text{Nozzle exit flow specific heat ratio of outer stream} \\
\eta & \quad \text{Normalized frequency parameter} \\
\eta_c & \quad \text{Normalized frequency parameter at coordinate point} \\
\eta_p & \quad \text{Normalized frequency parameter at predicted point} \\
\theta & \quad \text{Directivity angle relative to inlet axis} \quad \text{degrees} \\
\theta_m & \quad \text{Measured directivity angle relative to inlet axis} \quad \text{degrees} \\
\theta_c & \quad \text{Directivity angle at coordinate point} \quad \text{degrees} \\
\theta_p & \quad \text{Directivity angle at predicted point} \quad \text{degrees} \\
\rho & \quad \text{Density} \quad \text{kg/m}^3 \\
\rho_e & \quad \text{Nozzle exit flow density of single equivalent jet} \quad \text{kg/m}^3 \\
\rho_{\text{ISA}} & \quad \text{Density of air under ISA, SL conditions} \quad \text{kg/m}^3 \\
\rho_\infty & \quad \text{Ambient air density} \quad \text{kg/m}^3 \\
\phi & \quad \text{Azimuth directivity angle} \quad \text{degrees}
\end{align*}
\]

**Abbreviations:**

GELAC  
Lockheed Georgia Company

LeRC  
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
  Lewis Research Center

NGTE  
National Gas Turbine Establishment (England)

PWA  
Pratt and Whitney Aircraft Company

SNECMA  
Societe Nationale d'Etude et de Construction de Motuens d'Aviation (France)

**DATA BASE DESCRIPTION**

The data base consists of noise and flow state data from nine different model test series listed in Table I. These data are from five different industry and government sources in three nations. They include 603 coaxial jet tests with subsonic flow in both the inner stream and outer stream and 214 subsonic flow circular jet tests. The test data were classified according to the flow state parameters of the jet which are used to define the jet noise levels. These flow state parameters are the equivalent flow velocity \((V_e/c_\infty)\), the equivalent total temperature \((T_e/t_\infty)\), the ratio of the secondary jet velocity to the primary jet velocity \((V_2/V_1)\), the ratio of the secondary jet total temperature to the primary jet total temperature \((T_2/T_1)\), and the ratio of the secondary jet area to the
primary jet area \((A_2/A_1)\). The detailed definitions of the equivalent flow state parameters are presented later in this report. The range of values for each of these flow state parameters was geometrically divided into four subsets with the geometric mean value of each parameter being used to identify the subset of the parameter range. Table II lists the ranges of the subsets and their geometric means for each flow state parameter.

The majority of the data (502 test cases) was supplied by the National Gas Turbine Establishment (NGTE) of England and includes both circular jet data and coaxial jet data from three different test series. The area ratio for the coaxial jet data varied from 2.0 to 6.0 for NGTE Set A and from 1.4 to 8.1 for NGTE Set C. For both coaxial jet data sets, the temperature of the outer stream was maintained near ambient and, because only subsonic jet data were employed, the outer stream velocity was less than the ambient speed of sound. The test data for NGTE Set A are classified graphically in figure 1 and the NGTE Set C test data are classified graphically in figure 2. NGTE Set B was not used. Figure 3 shows the graphical classification of equivalent velocity and equivalent total temperature for the circular jet data of NGTE Set D. The numbers shown on the figures indicate the number of tests which are classified in the particular subset defined by the mean value of the flow parameters.

Pratt and Whitney Aircraft (PWA) provided 50 test points which include both circular and coaxial jet data at area ratios of 0.75 and 1.20. The data obtained from reference 9 includes many tests with high temperature outer stream flow, resulting in higher outer stream velocities. Figure 4 shows the graphical classification of the circular jet and coaxial jet data respectively.

The Societe Nationale d'Etude et de Construction de Moteurs d'Aviation (SNECMA) of France provided 78 test data points from two test series. SNECMA Set A includes 4 circular jet tests and 30 coaxial jet tests at an area ratio of 3.52. These data are classified graphically on figure 5. SNECMA Set B consists of coaxial jet test data at area ratios of 2.25, 3.92, and 6.09. These data are classified graphically on Figures 6. Like the NGTE data, all the SNECMA coaxial jet tests maintain the outer stream flow temperature at or near ambient conditions, whereas for most tests the inner stream flow was heated.
The Lockheed Georgia Company (GELAC) provided two data sets. GELAC Set A
(reference 10) consists of 59 circular jet tests which are classified graphically
in figure 7. GELAC Set B consists of 32 coaxial jet tests at an area ratio of
2.93. Figure 8 shows the graphical classification of this data. The outer stream
velocity of the coaxial jet tests of Set B is less than the ambient speed of sound
even though the temperature of the outer stream ranges above the ambient
temperature level.

The National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center (LeRC)
provided one set of 96 tests (references 11 and 12), including both circular jet and
coaxial jet data with area ratios ranging from 1.2 to 3.33. The LeRC coaxial jet
data base does include tests with high temperatures and/or high velocity outer
streams. Figure 9 shows the graphical classification of the LeRC circular and
coaxial jet data.

The graphical classification of all the data is summarized in figure 10 for
the circular jet and each of the area ratio subset ranges listed in Table II. The
distribution of the test points shown in figure 10 has a significant effect on the
data reduction process. It is necessary to have test points in all regions of the
classification graphs in order to completely define the constants in the Taylor
series for the noise level coordinates. In figure 10(a) the circular jet test
points form a banded matrix pattern in the equivalent variables \(V_e/C_\infty\) and
\(T_e/T_\infty\), with the majority of tests on or near the diagonal. The coaxial jet
test points with area ratio near unity, figure 10(b), fall in the central region of
the graph with a bias toward velocity ratios greater than one and temperature
ratios less than one. Many regions of these classification graphs are empty, while
other regions have a large number of repeated tests. Note for the circular jet
figure 10(a), there are 75 tests in the subset defined by \(V_e/C_\infty = 0.707\) and
\(T_e/T_\infty = 1.414\). Also, figure 10(d) shows 69 tests in the subset defined by
\(V_e/C_\infty = 0.707\), \(T_e/T_\infty = 1.414\), \(V_2/V_1 = 0.667\) and \(T_2/T_1 = 0.354\). Table I
presents the ranges for the directivity angle, frequency, and the normalized
velocity and normalized temperatures of both the inner stream and outer stream for
each data set at each area ratio.

Also shown in Table I is the amount of protrusion of the primary nozzle for
the coaxial jet nozzle data. The NGTE Set C had primary nozzle protrusions varying
from 0 to 4.5 primary jet nozzle diameters. The SNECMA data had primary nozzle protrusions of 2.0 and 1.0 primary jet nozzle diameters for Sets A and B respectively. The PWA data had primary nozzle protrusions varying from 0.3 to 0.4 primary jet nozzle diameters. Cursory evaluations of the data have indicated that the effects of primary nozzle protrusion on the measured jet exhaust noise levels are minimal relative to the effects of flow state parameters. Therefore, the effect of primary nozzle protrusion on coaxial jet noise levels is not included in this report.

DATA BASE ORGANIZATION

The jet one-third octave band sound pressure level which is a function of directivity and frequency, SPL($\theta$,f), can be expressed as a summation of four components. These are the normalized overall power level, $\overline{OAPWL}$, the power spectrum level, $F(f)$, the directivity index, $D(\theta)$, and the relative spectrum level $RSL(\theta,f)$. Thus the sound pressure level can be expressed as

$$SPL(\theta,f) = \overline{OAPWL} + D(\theta) + F(f) + RSL(\theta,f) + k_1 + k_2 + k_3, \tag{1}$$

where $k_1$, $k_2$, and $k_3$ are constants to account for the size of the jet, the microphone distance, the ambient conditions, and the ratio between the reference power level and the reference mean square pressure level.

To correlate the jet noise from different jet flow conditions, a frequency parameter term was used rather than frequency. The frequency parameter, $n$, is related to frequency, $f$, by

$$n = 10 \log_{10} \frac{fD_e}{V_e}, \tag{2}$$

where $V_e$ and $D_e$ are the equivalent velocity and equivalent diameter of the coaxial or circular jet. Thus equation 1 can be expressed as

$$SPL(\theta,n) = \overline{OAPWL} + D(\theta) + F(n) + RSL(\theta,n) + k_1 + k_2 + k_3. \tag{3}$$
In addition to the OAPWL, the directivity, D(θ), the power spectrum level, F(ν), and the relative spectrum level, RSL(θ,ν), were empirically defined as a function of the flow state parameters at seven directivity coordinate points, θ_C, and seven frequency parameter coordinate points, ν_C. The directivity coordinate points are 0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, and 180 degrees. The frequency parameter coordinate points are -1.5, -1.0, -0.5, 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5. Figure 11 shows the coordinate point grid. By defining the four components at each of these coordinate or node points, bicubic spline curves can be fit to the grid and the jet noise characteristics can be defined for all directivities and frequencies.

For each test the measured sound pressure level data were curve fitted first in the frequency direction and then in the directivity direction using cubic splines in a least squares sense to obtain smooth one-third octave-band sound pressure levels. At each directivity angle, the data were smoothed over the frequency range using cubic splines having natural (zero curvature) end conditions. These smoothed sound pressure levels, SPL(θ_m,f), were then logarithmically summed to obtain the overall sound pressure levels at the measured directivities as follows:

$$\text{OASPL}(\theta_m) = 10 \log_{10} \sum_{f=1}^{10} \left( \frac{\text{SPL}(\theta_m,f)}{10} \right)$$  (4)

Furthermore the smoothed sound pressure level data were interpolated to obtain sound pressure level data at those frequency parameter coordinate points which lie within the data range SPL(θ_m,ν_C). Because of the limited frequency range, only four or five values of the frequency parameter coordinate points were computed for each test case. Most of the tests provided data for the midrange of the frequency parameter coordinate points. However at the end points (-1.5 and 1.5) there was limited data. The table below shows the number of tests for each frequency parameter coordinate point.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency parameter coordinate point, ν_C</th>
<th>Number of tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Also the test data generally did not cover directivity angles less than 45 degrees or greater than 160 degrees. Figure 12 shows typical examples of the range of test data relative to the grid coordinate points. By using cubic splines which have zero slope at the end points (θ = 0 and 180 degrees), the OASPL(θm) values and the SPL(θm,nC) values were curve fit in a least squares sense to obtain smooth OASPL values and smooth sound pressure level values, SPL(θm,nC), for all directivity angles, θ, at the frequency coordinate points where test data is available. By interpolation, the, OASPL values and the SPL values at the coordinate points were obtained, OASPL(θc) and SPL(θc,nC).

This is basically the same technique employed by Zorumski and Weir (reference 8) where the use of bicubic splines is discussed in more depth. From the values of OASPL(θ) and the values of SPL(θ,nC) for all directivities at the normalized frequency parameter coordinate points, the values of overall power level, OAPWL, and one-third octave band power spectrum level, PWL(nC), are computed as follows:

\[ OAPWL = 10 \log_{10} \left[ \int_{A} \left( \frac{OASPL(\theta)}{10} \right) dA \right] + k_4, \quad (5) \]

and

\[ PWL(nC) = 10 \log_{10} \left[ \int_{A} \left( \frac{SPL(\theta,nC)}{10} \right) dA \right] + k_4, \quad (6) \]

where \( k_4 \) is a constant to obtain the one-third octave band power spectrum in dB units and is defined by

\[ k_4 = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{p_{\text{ref}}^2}{\rho_{\infty} c_{\infty} W_{\text{ref}}} \right). \quad (7) \]

The incremental spherical area, dA, is defined by

\[ dA = r^2 \sin \theta \, d\phi. \quad (8) \]
For an axisymmetric source equations 5 and 6 can be written as

\[
\text{OAPWL} = 10 \log_{10} \left[ 2\pi r^2 \int_0^{\pi} 10 \left( \frac{\text{OASPL}(\theta)}{10} \right) \sin \theta \, d\theta \right] + 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{P_{\text{ref}}^2}{C_\infty \omega_{\text{ref}}} \right) \tag{9}
\]

and

\[
\text{PWL}(\eta_C) = 10 \log_{10} \left[ 2\pi r^2 \int_0^{\pi} 10 \left( \frac{\text{SPL}(\theta, \eta_C)}{10} \right) \sin \theta \, d\theta \right] + 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{P_{\text{ref}}^2}{C_\infty \omega_{\text{ref}}} \right). \tag{10}
\]

For this prediction method a normalized overall acoustic power level term was employed, \( \overline{\text{OAPWL}} \), which relates the overall power level to the product of the total mass flow and the square of the ambient speed of sound. The normalized overall acoustic power level is computed from the overall power level by

\[
\overline{\text{OAPWL}} = \text{OAPWL} + 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\omega_{\text{ref}}}{(\bar{m}_1 + \bar{m}_2) C_\infty^2} \right). \tag{11}
\]

Similarly a normalized one-third octave band power level, \( \text{F}(\eta_C) \), was used and is defined from one-third octave band power level and the overall power level by

\[
\text{F}(\eta_C) = \text{PWL}(\eta_C) - \text{OAPWL}. \tag{12}
\]

The directivity index, \( D(\theta) \), is a measure of the relative energy flux in the spherical direction \( \theta \). The integral of the energy flux over the spherical area is equal to the overall acoustic power. Thus from the acoustic power an average energy flux can be obtained and at a particular radius this can be related to an average overall sound pressure level, \( \overline{\text{OASPL}} \). \( \overline{\text{OASPL}} \) is defined from the normalized overall sound power level, \( \overline{\text{OAPWL}} \), by

\[
\overline{\text{OASPL}} = \overline{\text{OAPWL}} + 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{A_{\text{ref}}}{A_{\pi r^2}} \right) + 197.0, \tag{13}
\]
where $A_{\text{ref}}$ is the area associated with a cold jet at critical conditions which has the same mass flow as the hot jet and is defined as

$$A_{\text{ref}} = \left( \frac{\dot{m}_1 + \dot{m}_2}{\rho_\infty c_\infty} \right).$$

(14)

The 197.0 value in equation 13 is a normalized mean square reference pressure level and is defined by

$$197.0 = -10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{P_{\text{ref}}^2}{\rho_\infty^2 c_\infty^4} \right).$$

(15)

The directivity index at each coordinate point, $D(\theta_C)$, is then computed by

$$D(\theta_C) = OASPL(\theta_C) - OASPL.$$

(16)

The power spectrum level, $F(n)$, is a measure of the distribution of the acoustic power over the one-third frequency bands and satisfies the condition

$$\sum_n 10 \left( \frac{F(n)}{10} \right) = 1.$$

(17)

The directivity index, $D(\theta)$, is a measure of the distribution of the energy flux in a given direction and satisfies the condition

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi 10 \left( \frac{D(\theta)}{10} \right) \sin \theta \, d\theta = 1.$$

(18)

The two terms shown in equation 13 represent the $k_1$ and $k_2$ terms in equations 1 and 3. Thus equation 3 can be written as

"
The $k_3$ term is a correction term between ambient conditions and ISA conditions and is defined by

$$k_3 = 20 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\rho_w c_w^2}{\rho_{ISA} c_{ISA}^2} \right).$$

(20)

The relative spectrum level at each coordinate point, $RSL(\theta_c, \eta_c)$ is computed by substituting into equation 19 the overall power level term, the directivity term, the power spectrum term, and the smoothed sound pressure level at the coordinate point. Thus the relative spectrum level term is a measure of the deviation in spectrum shift due to directivity and is defined at each coordinate point by

$$RSL(\theta_c, \eta_c) = SPL(\theta_c, \eta_c) - OAPWL - D(\theta_c) - F(\eta_c)$$

$$- 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{A_{ref}}{4\pi r^2} \right) - 197.0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

The relative spectrum must satisfy the constraints in both the directivity direction and the frequency direction such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\pi} 10 \left( \frac{D(\theta) + RSL(\theta, \eta)}{10} \right) \sin \theta \, d\theta = 1 \text{ for all } \eta.$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

and

$$\sum_{\eta} 10 \left( \frac{F(\eta) + RSL(\theta, \eta)}{10} \right) = 1 \text{ for all } \theta.$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)
JET FLOW STATE PROPERTIES

At each of the noise level coordinate points, the noise level components or variables have been defined for each test point where data is available. At each coordinate point each noise level variable can be defined as a function of the jet flow state properties. An empirical fit for each of these noise level variables was obtained by employing a multidimensional Taylor series in terms of the jet flow state parameters. For this prediction the jet flow state parameters employed for the circular jet were the normalized jet velocity, \( V_1/c_\infty \), and the normalized jet total temperature, \( T_1/t_\infty \). Since only subsonic jet tests were employed, the jet exit static pressure is equal to the ambient pressure. Thus the jet velocity and jet total temperature are sufficient for the circular jet. For the coaxial jet the equivalent single jet velocity and equivalent jet total temperature were employed. The equivalent jet has the same mass flow, thrust, and energy flow as the coaxial jet. Also the coaxial jet requires three additional jet flow state parameters to define the noise level variables at the coordinate points. These parameters are (1) the ratio of the secondary jet velocity to the primary jet velocity, \( V_2/V_1 \), (2) the ratio of the secondary jet total temperature to the primary jet total temperature, \( T_2/T_1 \), and (3) the ratio of the secondary jet area to the primary jet area, \( A_2/A_1 \). These flow state parameters were selected rather than the total pressures and total temperatures of Zorumski and Weir (reference 8) because they readily provide a means of examining the effects of velocity ratio and/or temperature ratio on the noise levels of a coaxial jet. Because of the limitations of the test data, there is a limited range of operation for each of the jet flow parameters. The operating range for each of the jet flow state parameters is tabulated below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow State Parameter</th>
<th>Operating Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normalized equivalent jet velocity, ( V_e/c_\infty )</td>
<td>0.3 to 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalized equivalent jet total temperature, ( T_e/t_\infty )</td>
<td>0.7 to 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of secondary jet velocity to primary jet velocity, ( V_2/V_1 )</td>
<td>0.02 to 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of secondary jet total temperature to primary jet total temperature, ( T_2/T_1 )</td>
<td>0.2 to 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of secondary jet area to primary jet area, ( A_2/A_1 )</td>
<td>0.5 to 10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EQUIVALENT JET FLOW PROPERTIES

The single equivalent jet has the same mass flow, energy flow, and thrust as the coaxial jet as shown on figure 13. The mass flow of the single equivalent jet is related to the mass flow of the coaxial jet by

$$ \dot{m}_e = \dot{m}_1 + \dot{m}_2 $$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

where \( \dot{m} = \rho AV \).

The velocity of the single equivalent jet is obtained by equivalencing the mass flow and thrust of the coannular jet to the single jet by

$$ v_e = \frac{\dot{m}_1 V_1 + \dot{m}_2 V_2}{\dot{m}_1 + \dot{m}_2} . $$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

Since the gas constant of air is not significantly changed by the addition of a small amount of hydrocarbon fuel combustion products, the equivalent temperature is defined by

$$ T_e = \frac{\dot{m}_1 \left( \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_1 - 1} \right) T_1 + \dot{m}_2 \left( \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_2 - 1} \right) T_2}{\dot{m}_1 \left( \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_1 - 1} \right) + \dot{m}_2 \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_2 - 1}} , $$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

where \( \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} = \frac{c_p}{R} \).

The specific heat ratio of the equivalent jet is defined by

$$ \frac{\gamma_e}{\gamma_e - 1} = \frac{\dot{m}_1 \left( \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_1 - 1} \right) + \dot{m}_2 \left( \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_2 - 1} \right)}{\dot{m}_1 + \dot{m}_2} . $$  \hspace{1cm} (27)
Because the jet static pressure is equal to the ambient static pressure, the jet exhaust density of the equivalent jet can be defined by

$$\rho_e = \rho_\infty \left[ \frac{T_e}{T_\infty} - \frac{\gamma_e - 1}{2} \left( \frac{V_e}{c_\infty} \right)^2 \right]^{-1}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

The equivalent jet area for the coaxial jet is defined from the mass flow as

$$A_e = \frac{\dot{m}_e}{\rho_e V_e},$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

and the equivalent diameter is

$$D_e = \sqrt{\frac{4 A_e}{\pi}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

**TAYLOR SERIES**

The Taylor Series is a multidimensional function for expressing the jet noise variables at each of the noise level coordinate points as a function of the flow state parameters. The Taylor series depends on assigning a standard condition to each of the prediction parameters and then operating on values which are not far removed from the standard condition. The operating range for some of the prediction parameters such as velocity ratio \( (V_2/V_1) \) vary by a factor greater than 100. To minimize the range of operation the logarithmic values of the prediction parameters were employed rather than the actual values. If we define the parameter \( \alpha_i \) to represent the \( i \)th prediction parameter, and \( \alpha_{1r} \) to represent the standard value for the \( i \)th prediction parameter, the parameter value \( (x_i) \) for the \( i \)th source prediction parameter is defined by

$$x_i = \log_{10} \left( \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_{1r}} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)
For this study the five source parameter values are defined as follows

\[ x_1 = \log_{10} \frac{V_e/c_\infty}{1.0} \]  
(32)

\[ x_2 = \log_{10} \frac{T_e/t_\infty}{2.0} \]  
(33)

\[ x_3 = \log_{10} \frac{V_2/V_1}{1.0} \]  
(34)

\[ x_4 = \log_{10} \frac{T_2/T_1}{1.0} \]  
(35)

\[ x_5 = \log_{10} \frac{A_2/A_1}{1.0} \]  
(36)

Note that the standard values are 1.0 except for \( \alpha_2 \) which has a standard value of 2.0.

With the five source prediction parameters, the third order Taylor series has a constant plus 55 possible independent derivatives as presented in Table I of reference 8. Zorumski and Weir (reference 8) present a more detailed description of the Taylor series which was employed in this report.

Using the data base of 817 test points for which the source prediction parameters and the noise level coordinate values are defined, numerical values for the derivatives were obtained using a least squares fit. This is repeated for each of the noise level coordinates including the normalized overall power level, OAPWL, the seven directivity index coordinates, \( D(\theta_C) \), the seven normalized power spectra coordinates, \( F(\eta_C) \) and the forty nine normalized relative spectra coordinates, \( RSL(\theta_C, \eta_C) \).

Due to the distribution of the source prediction parameters not all of the third order derivative values were determined. In addition, for the coaxial jet, the area ratio prediction parameter, \( x_5 \), was not considered to be an independent first, second, or third order derivative by itself, but was used as part of a
second or third order derivative chain with derivatives from other source prediction parameters. For the circular and coaxial jet the least squares fit with the Taylor series obtained constant values for 36 derivative terms including the constant term. Table III shows the derivative terms and the derivative multiplier term for each of the 36 non-zero terms used for the coaxial jet. Since the values of $x_3$, $x_4$, $x_5$ are set equal to 0 for the circular jet, only the first eight derivative terms apply to the circular jet as shown in Table III. For the normalized power spectra level, $F(\eta_C)$, and the normalized relative spectra, $\text{RSL}(\theta_C, \eta_C)$, there are fewer data cases at the low normalized frequency parameter coordinate points ($\eta_C = -1.5$ and $-1.0$) and the highest normalized frequency parameter coordinate point ($\eta_C = +1.5$) and hence there were fewer derivative values obtained from the least squares fit of the Taylor series at these coordinates.

The values for each of the 36 normalized overall power level derivative terms, $\text{PWL}_j$, and the directivity index derivative term, $D_j(\theta_C)$, at the directivity angle coordinate points of 0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, and 180 degrees are presented in Table IV. Table V presents the values for each of the 36 normalized power spectra level derivative terms, $F_j(\eta_C)$ at the normalized frequency parameter coordinate values, $\eta_C$, of $-1.5$, $-1.0$, $-0.5$, 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5. It should be noted that there are several derivative values of 0.0 at $\eta_C$ values of $-1.5$, $-1.0$, and +1.5 because the limited amount of data available at these normalized frequency parameter values was not sufficient to define all 36 derivative terms. Table VI presents the values for the 36 derivative terms for each of the relative spectral level coordinates, $\text{RSL}(\theta_C, \eta_C)$, at the directivity angle coordinate points, $\theta_C$, of 0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180 degrees and at the normalized frequency parameter coordinate points, $\eta_C$, of $-1.5$, $-1.0$, $-0.5$, 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5.

**PREDICTION METHOD**

For a circular or coaxial jet, the noise levels at predicted directivity angles, $\theta_p$, and predicted one-third octave center band frequencies, $f_p$, are obtained by a step procedure method. This step procedure method provides for the computation of the overall sound pressure level, $\text{OASPL}(\theta_p)$, the one-third octave band power spectrum level, $\text{PWL}(f_p)$, and the one-third octave
band sound pressure levels, $SPL(\theta_p, f_p)$, at the directivity angles, $\theta_p$, and the one-third octave center band frequencies, $f_p$.

To obtain the values at the predicted frequencies or directivity angles, the known values of the directivity coordinates or normalized frequency parameter coordinates are interpolated using a cubic spline. The cubic spline is composed of a set of continuous third order polynomial basis functions over a number of subintervals which have continuous first and second order derivatives over the total interval. These continuous conditions relate the constants of the cubic polynomial for each subinterval provided that the magnitudes are defined at the ends of each subinterval, and either the slope or curvature is defined at the ends of the total interval.

The circular or coaxial jet noise levels are obtained from the following fourteen step prediction method.

**Step 1.** Compute the equivalent flow state properties including the equivalent velocity, $V_e$, equivalent total temperature, $T_e$, equivalent mass flow rate, $m_e$, and equivalent diameter, $D_e$, using equations 24 through 30.

**Step 2.** Compute the values of the exit flow parameter, $x_1$ to $x_5$ using the equivalent jet flow properties obtained from Step 1 and the jet flow property ratios in equations 32 through 36. For the circular jet where $A_2 = 0$, $V_e$ is set equal to $V_l$, $T_e$ is set equal to $T_l$, and the values of $x_3$, $x_4$, and $x_5$ are set equal to 0.0.

**Step 3.** Compute the values of the derivative multipliers $X_j$ to $X_N$ as listed in Table III using the values of $x_1$ to $x_5$ obtained from Step 2. $N$ has a value of 8 for the circular jet and a value of 36 for the coaxial jet.

**Step 4.** Compute the value of the normalized overall power level, $\overline{OAPWL}$, from the derivative multiplier values, $X_j$, obtained in Step 3 and the corresponding $N$ number of $\overline{OAPWL}$ derivative values, $PWL_j$, listed in Table IV, where $N$ is equal to 8 for the circular jet and 36 for the coaxial jet, using equation 37.
Step 5. Compute the values of the directivity index, \( D(\theta_c) \), at each of the directivity angle coordinate points of 0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, and 180 degrees, from the derivative multiplier values, \( x_j \), obtained in Step 2 and the corresponding \( N \) number of directivity index derivative values, \( D_{,j}(\theta_c) \), presented in Table IV using equation 38.

\[
D(\theta_c) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} D_{,j}(\theta_c) x_j
\]  

Step 6. Compute the values of the normalized power spectra, \( F(\eta_c) \) at each of the normalized frequency parameter coordinate points of -1.5, -1.0, -0.5, 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5 from the derivative multipliers, \( x_j \), and the corresponding \( N \) number of normalized power spectra derivative values, \( F_{,j}(\eta_c) \), presented in Table V using equation 39.

\[
F(\eta_c) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} F_{,j}(\eta_c) x_j
\]  

Step 7. Compute the values of the normalized relative spectrum, \( RSL(\theta_c, \eta_c) \), at each of the 49 coordinate points shown on Figure 11 including the directivity angle coordinate points of 0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, and 180 degrees corresponding to each of the normalized frequency parameter coordinate points of -1.5, -1.0, -0.5, 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5, from the derivative values, \( RSL_{,j}(\theta_c, \eta_c) \), presented in Table VI, using equation 40.

\[
RSL(\theta_c, \eta_c) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} RSL_{,j}(\theta_c, \eta_c) x_j
\]  

Step 8. Compute the values of overall sound pressure level, \( OASPL(\theta_c) \), at each of the directivity angle coordinate points of 0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, and 180 degrees from the \( OAPWL \) value obtained from Step 4, and the values of \( D(\theta_c) \) obtained from Step 5 using equation 41.
where $A_{\text{ref}}$ is defined from equation 14 as follows:

$$A_{\text{ref}} = \frac{m_e}{\rho_\infty c_\infty},$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)$$

and $r$ is the distance between the center of the nozzle exit plane and the observer position.

Step 9. Compute the OASPL values at the particular directivity angles at which noise levels are desired, $\theta_p$, by interpolating the OASPL($\theta_c$) values obtained in Step 8 using a cubic spline which has zero slope end conditions (directivity angles of 0 and 180° degrees) to obtain the values for OASPL($\theta_p$). The cubic spline is a piecewise third order polynomial with continuous slope and curvature at the node points which are the directivity angle coordinate points of 0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, and 180 degrees.

Step 10. Compute the relative spectrum level values at the particular directivity angles, $\theta_p$, by interpolating the RSL($\theta_c$, $\eta_c$) values obtained in Step 7 using a cubic spline which has zero slope end conditions to obtain the values of RSL($\theta_p$, $\eta_c$). See figure 14.

Step 11. For the particular one-third octave center band frequencies, $f_p$, compute the values of the particular normalized frequency parameters, $\eta_p$, using equation 2.

$$\eta_p = f_p D_e/V_e,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$
where $D_e$ and $V_e$ are the values of the equivalent jet diameter and equivalent jet velocity obtained in Step 1.

Step 12. Compute the values of the normalized one-third octave band power spectrum levels and the relative spectrum levels at the particular normalized frequency parameter values, $n_p$, obtained in Step 11 and at the particular directivity angles, $\theta_p$, by interpolating the $F(n_c)$ values obtained in Step 6 and the $RSL(\theta_p, n_c)$ values obtained in Step 10 using a cubic spline which has zero curvature end conditions ($n_c = -1.5$ and $+1.5$) to obtain values of $F(n_p)$ and $RSL(\theta_p, n_p)$. See figure 15.

Step 13. Compute sound pressure levels at the particular directivity angles, $\theta_p$, and the particular frequency levels, $f_p$, from the $OASPL(\theta_p)$ values obtained in Step 9, and the values of $F(n_p)$ and $RSL(\theta_p, n_p)$ obtained in Step 12 to obtain the values of $SPL(\theta_p, f_p)$ using equation 42.

$$SPL(\theta_p, f_p) = OASPL(\theta_p) + F(n_p) + RSL(\theta_p, n_p).$$

(42)

Step 14. Compute the one third octave band power spectrum level at the particular frequency levels, $f_p$, for which noise levels are desired from the $OAPWL$ value obtained in Step 4 and the $F(n_p)$ values obtained in Step 12 to obtain the values of $PWL(n_p)$ using equation 43.

$$PWL(n_p) = OAPWL + F(n_p) + 20 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\rho_\infty c_\infty^2}{\rho_{ISA} c_{ISA}^2} \right)$$

$$+ 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\bar{m}_e c_e^2}{\omega_{ref}} \right).$$

(43)

ERROR ANALYSIS

The application of the empirical prediction method developed by Zorumski and Weir (reference 8) which employs a Taylor series is a powerful and efficient method, in that it reduces a large data base with almost 200,000 elements to a table of about 2300 constants. Table VII presents a summary of the number of
directivity angles, the number of frequencies, the number of tests, and the total number of sound pressure levels in the data base. Table VIII shows that for the circular jet there are 512 constants and for the coaxial jet there are 2304 constants used to define the node points for prediction of the jet noise levels.

Associated with the Taylor series fit to each of the 49 coordinate points is a prediction error. These errors can be combined to obtain a standard error value for each of the noise variables which make up the noise prediction. The variables and the standard error are listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NOISE VARIABLE</th>
<th>STANDARD ERROR (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normalized Overall Power Level</td>
<td>1.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directivity</td>
<td>1.623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Spectra</td>
<td>1.385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Spectra</td>
<td>2.710</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, for each coordinate point, $\theta_c$, $\eta_c$, the standard errors associated with the normalized overall power level, the directivity, the power spectra, and the relative spectra can be combined to obtain a standard error of the predicted sound pressure level at the coordinate point, $\text{SPL}(\theta_c, \eta_c)$. Table IX summarizes the standard error for the sound pressure levels at each of the coordinate points. Figure 16 presents a contour plot of the standard error of the predicted sound pressure levels as a function of the directivity angle and normalized frequency parameter. From the table and the plot it can be seen that the greatest errors are at directivity angles below 20 degrees and above 165 degrees. The standard deviation is greatest at directivity angles of 0 degrees and 180 degrees. Also because of the available frequency range limitations of the data, there is less data available at the normalized frequency parameter values of -1.5 and +1.5 than there is at the other normalized frequency range parameter values. Again this reduction in the amount of available data acts to increase the value of the standard deviation in these regions.
VALIDATION OF PREDICTION METHOD

Comparisons were made between the prediction method and the measured one-third octave band sound pressure level data for both circular jet test cases and coaxial jet test cases at three directivity angles nearest 90, 120, and 150 degrees where measurements were taken. Figures 17 thru 28 present comparisons between the measured and predicted one-third octave band sound pressure levels for twelve circular jet tests. These tests were selected to cover a wide range of normalized equivalent velocities, $V_e/c_\infty$, and normalized equivalent total temperatures, $T_e/t_\infty$, and include at least one test case from each of the seven data sets. The normalized equivalent velocities covered in these tests range from 0.58 to 1.78. Similarly the normalized total temperature ranges from 1.00 to 4.13. For each test at each angle a standard error was computed to show the difference between the measured and predicted SPL level over the frequency range. Table X shows the minimum, maximum, and average standard error value for the twelve circular jet tests at each of the three directivity angles closest to 90, 120, and 150 degrees where measured data are available.

From Table X it can be seen that the average standard error increases from 1.6 to 1.9 dB as the directivity angle increases from 90 to 150 degrees. These standard errors may or may not be representative of the 214 circular jet tests, since they represent less than six percent of the tests. Furthermore, the prediction method is based on smooth data, whereas the standard error for each test is computed from the actual data. The data shown at 90 degrees and 120 degrees on figure 22 has several data points which are considerably displaced from the smooth broad band spectra. Also there are other tests that have unsmooth spectra distributions. Figures 17 thru 28 show that at directivity angles of 150 degrees, the predicted SPL values at the peak frequency are either less than or equal to the measured values. It is expected that other tests will show the predicted SPL value at the peak to be higher than the measured values. In general the measured and predicted peak noise levels occur at the same frequency.

Figures 29 thru 54 present comparisons between the measured and predicted one-third octave band sound pressure levels for 26 coaxial jet tests. These tests were selected to cover a wide range of normalized equivalent velocities, $V_e/c_\infty$, 
and normalized equivalent total temperatures, $T_e/t_o$, as well as different velocity ratios, $V_2/V_1$, temperature ratios, $T_2/T_1$, and area ratios, $A_2/A_1$.

Table X presents the minimum, maximum and average standard error values for the 26 coaxial jet tests at each of the three directivity angles closest to 90, 120, and 150 degrees where measured data are available. Table X shows that the coaxial jet like the circular jet has the largest average standard error which is 2.5 dB at the directivity angle of 150 degrees. Again these standard error values represent only 26 tests or less than 5 percent of the 603 coaxial jet tests in the database, and therefore may not be representative of all the tests. For the 26 tests, figures 29 thru 54 show at the directivity angle nearest 150 degrees, the peak SPL values are underpredicted in 13 tests and overpredicted in 6 of the tests. The predicted peak frequency appeared to be within one-third octave band of the measured peak frequency at a directivity angle of 150 degrees.

CONCLUSIONS

The empirical method presented in this report is an acceptable method for predicting the static free field source noise levels of subsonic circular and coaxial jet flow streams. It provides for defining the jet mixing noise levels of a coaxial jet using approximately 2300 constants, whereas for a single jet only 512 constants are required. In the actual data base there are approximately 200,000 sound pressure level values.

The technique employed is based on the method of Zorumski and Weir (reference 8). The prediction method employs different flow state properties than Zorumski and Weir to provide for direct examination of the effects of velocity ratio and temperature ratio on the noise level of a coaxial jet. Also the method of this report provides a greater range of predictions than reference 8 in that it predicts noise levels at directivities ranging from 0 to 180 degrees and frequency parameters ranging from -1.5 to 1.5.

At directivity angles of 120 and 150 degrees where the peak jet noise occurs, the standard error on the smoothed data is less than 1.5 dB as shown in Table IX and figure 15. For the 12 circular jet tests of figures 17 thru 28, the average
standard error over the range of one-third octave band frequencies at a directivity angle of 120 degrees is 1.8 dB and at 150 degrees is 1.9 dB. For the 26 coaxial jet tests of Figures 29 thru 54, the average standard error over the range of one-third octave band frequencies at a directivity angle of 120 degrees is 1.5 dB and at 150 degrees is 2.5 dB. Table X summarizes the maximum, minimum, and average standard errors for the circular and coaxial jet tests of figures 17 thru 54 at directivity angles near 90, 120, and 150 degrees. The actual data in the figures are unsmoothed and therefore the standard errors are greater than the standard errors obtained with the smoothed data. Also the actual data represents less than 6 percent of the circular jet tests and less than 5 percent of the coaxial jet tests and therefore may not be representative of the total data set.

The prediction method is limited to subsonic jets which have equivalent jet velocities ranging from 0.3 to 2.0 times the ambient speed of sound and equivalent total temperatures ranging from 0.7 to 4.5 times the ambient speed of sound. The table below shows the operating range for each of the five jet flow parameters employed in the empirical prediction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prediction Parameter</th>
<th>( \frac{V_e}{c_\infty} )</th>
<th>( \frac{T_e}{t_\infty} )</th>
<th>( \frac{V_2}{V_1} )</th>
<th>( \frac{T_2}{T_1} )</th>
<th>( \frac{A_2}{A_1} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range of Operation</td>
<td>0.3-2.0</td>
<td>0.7-4.5</td>
<td>0.02-2.5</td>
<td>0.2-4.0</td>
<td>0.5-10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Also the coaxial jet prediction is valid for primary nozzle protrusions varying from 0.0 to 4.3 primary jet nozzle diameters.
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### Table I. - Summary of Data Base Range of Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>TEST SERIES</th>
<th>NO. OF TESTS</th>
<th>GEOMETRIC VARIABLES</th>
<th>ACOUSTIC MEASUREMENT RANGES</th>
<th>FLOW STATE PARAMETER RANGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AREA RATIO</td>
<td>PRIMARY NOZZLE PROTRUSION</td>
<td>DIRECTIVITY ANGLE (DEGREES)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGTE</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>60-160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>60-160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>60-160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>60-160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGTE</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>45-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>45-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0,1.7</td>
<td>45-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>45-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.5,3.0,4.5</td>
<td>45-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>45-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0,4.4</td>
<td>45-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>45-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>45-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>45-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.0,4.3</td>
<td>45-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>45-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGTE</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>60-160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE I. - Concluded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>TEST SERIES</th>
<th>NO. OF TESTS</th>
<th>AREA RATIO</th>
<th>PRIMARY NOZZLE PROTRUSION</th>
<th>DIRECTIVITY ANGLE RANGE (DEGREES)</th>
<th>FREQUENCY RANGE (Hz)</th>
<th>INNER NORMALIZED VELOCITY $v_1/c_m$</th>
<th>STREAM NORMALIZED TEMPERATURE $T_1/t_\infty$</th>
<th>OUTER NORMALIZED VELOCITY $v_2/c_m$</th>
<th>STREAM NORMALIZED TEMPERATURE $T_2/t_\infty$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PWA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>60-165</td>
<td>100-80000</td>
<td>0.502-1.676</td>
<td>1.304-3.661</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>60-165</td>
<td>100-80000</td>
<td>0.518-1.466</td>
<td>1.278-3.671</td>
<td>0.485-1.685</td>
<td>1.267-3.674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>60-165</td>
<td>100-80000</td>
<td>0.864-1.259</td>
<td>1.306-2.726</td>
<td>0.921-1.697</td>
<td>2.344-3.639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNECMA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>20-160</td>
<td>200-100000</td>
<td>1.067-1.179</td>
<td>2.821-3.046</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>20-160</td>
<td>200-100000</td>
<td>0.555-1.270</td>
<td>1.000-3.046</td>
<td>0.482-0.833</td>
<td>0.983-1.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNECMA</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>20-160</td>
<td>200-100000</td>
<td>0.721-1.309</td>
<td>2.368-2.992</td>
<td>0.366-0.921</td>
<td>1.078-1.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>20-160</td>
<td>200-100000</td>
<td>0.721-1.302</td>
<td>2.365-2.984</td>
<td>0.374-0.922</td>
<td>1.091-1.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>20-160</td>
<td>200-100000</td>
<td>0.716-1.304</td>
<td>2.363-2.990</td>
<td>0.366-0.912</td>
<td>1.077-1.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GELAC</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>30-165</td>
<td>200-40000</td>
<td>0.347-1.483</td>
<td>0.992-3.649</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GELAC</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>70-160</td>
<td>250-31500</td>
<td>0.629-1.174</td>
<td>1.362-2.853</td>
<td>0.291-0.660</td>
<td>1.031-1.687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LERC</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>47-155</td>
<td>100-50000</td>
<td>0.857-1.788</td>
<td>0.989-4.154</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>47-155</td>
<td>100-50000</td>
<td>0.655-1.786</td>
<td>0.989-4.142</td>
<td>0.647-1.740</td>
<td>1.027-4.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>47-155</td>
<td>100-50000</td>
<td>0.685-1.783</td>
<td>0.995-3.989</td>
<td>0.677-1.720</td>
<td>1.005-3.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>47-155</td>
<td>100-50000</td>
<td>0.653-1.771</td>
<td>0.974-4.096</td>
<td>0.644-1.702</td>
<td>0.986-3.878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>47-155</td>
<td>100-50000</td>
<td>0.658-1.788</td>
<td>1.005-4.152</td>
<td>0.647-1.720</td>
<td>1.011-3.958</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE II. - SUBSET VALUE RANGE AND GEOMETRIC MEAN FOR FLOW STATE PARAMETERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subset</th>
<th>$V_e/c_\infty$ Range</th>
<th>$T_e/t_\infty$ Range</th>
<th>$V_2/V_1$ Range</th>
<th>$T_2/T_1$ Range</th>
<th>$A_2/A_1$ Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25-0.5</td>
<td>0.5-1.0</td>
<td>0.088-0.198</td>
<td>0.25-0.5</td>
<td>0.707-1.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Value</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5-1.0</td>
<td>1.0-2.0</td>
<td>0.198-0.444</td>
<td>0.5-1.0</td>
<td>1.414-2.828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Value</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>1.414</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.0-2.0</td>
<td>2.0-4.0</td>
<td>0.444-1.0</td>
<td>1.0-2.0</td>
<td>2.828-5.656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Value</td>
<td>1.414</td>
<td>2.282</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.414</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.0-4.0</td>
<td>4.0-8.0</td>
<td>1.0-2.25</td>
<td>2.0-4.0</td>
<td>5.656-11.312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Value</td>
<td>2.828</td>
<td>5.656</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.828</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE III. - NON-ZERO DERIVATIVE TERMS FOR TAYLOR SERIES
FIT TO CIRCULAR AND COAXIAL JET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Derivative</th>
<th>Derivative</th>
<th>Derivative Multiplier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Circular and Coannular Jet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$\Delta_{,1}$</td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$\Delta_{,2}$</td>
<td>$x_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$\Delta_{,11}$</td>
<td>$x_1^2/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$\Delta_{,12}$</td>
<td>$x_1 x_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$\Delta_{,22}$</td>
<td>$x_2^2/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$\Delta_{,112}$</td>
<td>$x_1^2 x_2^2/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$\Delta_{,122}$</td>
<td>$x_1 x_2^2/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coannular Jet Only</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$\Delta_{,3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$\Delta_{,4}$</td>
<td>$x_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>$\Delta_{,13}$</td>
<td>$x_1 x_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>$\Delta_{,14}$</td>
<td>$x_1 x_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>$\Delta_{,15}$</td>
<td>$x_1 x_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>$\Delta_{,23}$</td>
<td>$x_2 x_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>$\Delta_{,24}$</td>
<td>$x_2 x_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>$\Delta_{,25}$</td>
<td>$x_2 x_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>$\Delta_{,33}$</td>
<td>$x_3^2/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>$\Delta_{,34}$</td>
<td>$x_3 x_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>$\Delta_{,35}$</td>
<td>$x_3 x_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$\Delta_{,44}$</td>
<td>$x_4^2/2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE III. - Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coannular Jet Only</th>
<th>Derivative</th>
<th>Derivative Multiplier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>$\Delta \mu_{45}$</td>
<td>$x_4 x_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>$\Delta \mu_{113}$</td>
<td>$x_1^2 x_3 / 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>$\Delta \mu_{115}$</td>
<td>$x_1^2 x_5 / 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>$\Delta \mu_{133}$</td>
<td>$x_1 x_3^2 / 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>$\Delta \mu_{134}$</td>
<td>$x_1 x_3 x_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>$\Delta \mu_{135}$</td>
<td>$x_1 x_3 x_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>$\Delta \mu_{145}$</td>
<td>$x_1 x_4 x_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>$\Delta \mu_{155}$</td>
<td>$x_1 x_5^2 / 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>$\Delta \mu_{333}$</td>
<td>$x_3^3 / 6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$\Delta \mu_{334}$</td>
<td>$x_3^2 x_4 / 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>$\Delta \mu_{335}$</td>
<td>$x_3^2 x_5 / 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>$\Delta \mu_{344}$</td>
<td>$x_3 x_4^2 / 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>$\Delta \mu_{345}$</td>
<td>$x_3 x_4 x_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>$\Delta \mu_{355}$</td>
<td>$x_3 x_5^2 / 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>$\Delta \mu_{445}$</td>
<td>$x_4^2 x_5 / 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>$\Delta \mu_{455}$</td>
<td>$x_4 x_5^2 / 2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where $\Delta$ represents the noise coordinate and the source noise parameters, $x_i$, are

$$
x_1 = \log_{10} \left( \frac{V_e}{c_\infty} \right)
$$

$$
x_2 = \log_{10} \left( \frac{T_e}{(2t_m)} \right)
$$

$$
x_3 = \log_{10} \left( \frac{V_2}{V_1} \right)
$$

$$
x_4 = \log_{10} \left( \frac{T_2}{T_1} \right)
$$

$$
x_5 = \log_{10} \left( \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right)
$$
**TABLE IV. - DERIVATIVE VALUES FOR NORMALIZED OVERALL POWER LEVEL AND DIRECTIVITY INDEX COORDINATE POINTS, \( D(\theta_c) \)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Derivative Index, ( j )</th>
<th>Derivative Multiplier, ( x_j )</th>
<th>Derivative Values for ( x_j )</th>
<th>Derivative Values for ( x_j )</th>
<th>Derivative Values for ( x_j )</th>
<th>Derivative Values for ( x_j )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( PWL_{ij} )</td>
<td>( D_j(0) )</td>
<td>( D_j(30) )</td>
<td>( D_j(60) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-41.64</td>
<td>-12.43</td>
<td>-10.57</td>
<td>-7.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( x_1 )</td>
<td>64.84</td>
<td>-17.35</td>
<td>-16.34</td>
<td>-16.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>-4.85</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( x_1x_1/2 )</td>
<td>45.73</td>
<td>-7.93</td>
<td>-10.62</td>
<td>-11.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>( x_2 )</td>
<td>28.98</td>
<td>124.80</td>
<td>107.84</td>
<td>76.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>( x_2x_2/2 )</td>
<td>-67.28</td>
<td>-147.56</td>
<td>-178.07</td>
<td>-192.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>( x_2 )</td>
<td>65.60</td>
<td>180.93</td>
<td>146.22</td>
<td>119.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>( x_2 )</td>
<td>-3.61</td>
<td>-2.33</td>
<td>-3.30</td>
<td>-3.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>-12.14</td>
<td>9.26</td>
<td>8.54</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>( x_1x_3 )</td>
<td>-6.79</td>
<td>15.17</td>
<td>14.35</td>
<td>5.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>( x_2x_3 )</td>
<td>14.77</td>
<td>-11.61</td>
<td>-13.72</td>
<td>13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>-37.95</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>-1.10</td>
<td>-9.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>( x_2 )</td>
<td>-5.75</td>
<td>-53.84</td>
<td>-52.80</td>
<td>-39.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>( x_1 )</td>
<td>-11.83</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>( x_2 )</td>
<td>-6.53</td>
<td>43.94</td>
<td>46.06</td>
<td>42.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>12.77</td>
<td>-43.15</td>
<td>-34.62</td>
<td>-24.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>( x_2 )</td>
<td>-17.63</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>44.33</td>
<td>29.17</td>
<td>6.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>( x_1x_3 )</td>
<td>-1.12</td>
<td>-4.68</td>
<td>-2.74</td>
<td>-4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>( x_1 )</td>
<td>-1.12</td>
<td>-4.68</td>
<td>-2.74</td>
<td>-4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>-11.33</td>
<td>-1.70</td>
<td>-19.93</td>
<td>-59.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>40.51</td>
<td>10.73</td>
<td>16.16</td>
<td>12.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>-37.63</td>
<td>-23.67</td>
<td>-26.99</td>
<td>-27.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>29.26</td>
<td>-2.44</td>
<td>-24.44</td>
<td>-1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>33.74</td>
<td>-43.67</td>
<td>-32.07</td>
<td>-13.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>-12.19</td>
<td>-13.22</td>
<td>-27.97</td>
<td>-54.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>66.07</td>
<td>19.20</td>
<td>20.33</td>
<td>23.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>-69.25</td>
<td>-7.82</td>
<td>-1.94</td>
<td>-1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>23.09</td>
<td>-17.62</td>
<td>-12.62</td>
<td>-9.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>10.90</td>
<td>50.11</td>
<td>32.54</td>
<td>26.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>-1.15</td>
<td>23.04</td>
<td>11.21</td>
<td>4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>34.02</td>
<td>-2.11</td>
<td>-4.00</td>
<td>-1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>19.64</td>
<td>27.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>43.73</td>
<td>-8.43</td>
<td>-6.21</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coaxial Jet Only

CIRCULAR JET AND COAXIAL JET
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Derivative Index, j</th>
<th>Derivative Multiplier, $x_j$</th>
<th>$F_{i,j}(-1.5)$</th>
<th>$F_{i,j}(-1.0)$</th>
<th>$F_{i,j}(-0.5)$</th>
<th>$F_{i,j}(0.0)$</th>
<th>$F_{i,j}(0.5)$</th>
<th>$F_{i,j}(1.0)$</th>
<th>$F_{i,j}(1.5)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-27.59</td>
<td>-14.16</td>
<td>-10.17</td>
<td>-13.97</td>
<td>-17.56</td>
<td>-23.89</td>
<td>-29.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>-8.46</td>
<td>8.44</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>-4.45</td>
<td>-6.33</td>
<td>-20.25</td>
<td>-44.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>19.20</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-7.77</td>
<td>-1.52</td>
<td>-8.44</td>
<td>-1.12</td>
<td>-20.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$x_1 x_1/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>25.92</td>
<td>-3.57</td>
<td>-4.99</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>-24.48</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$x_2 x_2/2$</td>
<td>-40.02</td>
<td>15.36</td>
<td>-3.01</td>
<td>-16.49</td>
<td>-7.99</td>
<td>81.36</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$x_1 x_1 x_2/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-138.82</td>
<td>56.76</td>
<td>113.51</td>
<td>145.84</td>
<td>-87.26</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$x_2 x_2 x_2/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>103.69</td>
<td>46.69</td>
<td>-28.24</td>
<td>60.33</td>
<td>300.24</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Circular and Coaxial Jet

Coaxial Jet Only
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Derivative Index, J</th>
<th>Derivative Multiplier, Xj</th>
<th>RSL&lt;sub&gt;j&lt;/sub&gt; (0,-1.5)</th>
<th>RSL&lt;sub&gt;j&lt;/sub&gt; (0,-1.0)</th>
<th>RSL&lt;sub&gt;j&lt;/sub&gt; (0,-0.5)</th>
<th>RSL&lt;sub&gt;j&lt;/sub&gt; (0,0.0)</th>
<th>RSL&lt;sub&gt;j&lt;/sub&gt; (0,0.5)</th>
<th>RSL&lt;sub&gt;j&lt;/sub&gt; (0,1.0)</th>
<th>RSL&lt;sub&gt;j&lt;/sub&gt; (0,1.5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>-2.47</td>
<td>-1.86</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>4.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>X1</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>-23.49</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>-7.11</td>
<td>-3.09</td>
<td>-5.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>X2</td>
<td>-10.40</td>
<td>12.67</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>-2.71</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>10.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>X1 X1/2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-4.52</td>
<td>45.78</td>
<td>-58.04</td>
<td>-134.84</td>
<td>-144.66</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>X1 X2/2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>79.23</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>13.99</td>
<td>30.92</td>
<td>19.66</td>
<td>145.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>X2 X2/2</td>
<td>-18.95</td>
<td>-80.04</td>
<td>-26.44</td>
<td>-13.19</td>
<td>-11.66</td>
<td>48.07</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>X1 X1 X3/2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>129.39</td>
<td>-36.65</td>
<td>-13.42</td>
<td>-148.64</td>
<td>-310.26</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>X1 X2 X3/2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-110.57</td>
<td>-133.33</td>
<td>50.04</td>
<td>517.96</td>
<td>444.11</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Circular and Coaxial Jet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Derivative Index, J</th>
<th>Derivative Multiplier, Xj</th>
<th>RSL&lt;sub&gt;j&lt;/sub&gt; (0,-1.5)</th>
<th>RSL&lt;sub&gt;j&lt;/sub&gt; (0,-1.0)</th>
<th>RSL&lt;sub&gt;j&lt;/sub&gt; (0,-0.5)</th>
<th>RSL&lt;sub&gt;j&lt;/sub&gt; (0,0.0)</th>
<th>RSL&lt;sub&gt;j&lt;/sub&gt; (0,0.5)</th>
<th>RSL&lt;sub&gt;j&lt;/sub&gt; (0,1.0)</th>
<th>RSL&lt;sub&gt;j&lt;/sub&gt; (0,1.5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>X3</td>
<td>-14.34</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>11.36</td>
<td>-7.62</td>
<td>-27.22</td>
<td>-35.73</td>
<td>-16.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>X4</td>
<td>7.58</td>
<td>-8.73</td>
<td>-6.40</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>13.60</td>
<td>15.06</td>
<td>9.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>X1 X3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-1.96</td>
<td>-6.81</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>-10.47</td>
<td>-32.32</td>
<td>21.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>X1 X4</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-41.58</td>
<td>29.32</td>
<td>13.68</td>
<td>-21.77</td>
<td>-34.63</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>X2 X5</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-3.23</td>
<td>61.90</td>
<td>-17.86</td>
<td>-75.31</td>
<td>-82.60</td>
<td>55.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>X2 X6</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>-90.89</td>
<td>17.67</td>
<td>100.51</td>
<td>149.39</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>X2 X7</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>-14.99</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>22.38</td>
<td>19.47</td>
<td>35.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>X3 X8</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-30.43</td>
<td>13.60</td>
<td>-24.54</td>
<td>-57.11</td>
<td>-93.90</td>
<td>46.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>X3 X9</td>
<td>-56.30</td>
<td>72.74</td>
<td>29.52</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>-20.17</td>
<td>-23.78</td>
<td>-42.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>X4 X9</td>
<td>23.01</td>
<td>-20.76</td>
<td>26.84</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>-37.31</td>
<td>-39.03</td>
<td>17.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>X5 X9</td>
<td>116.22</td>
<td>-68.87</td>
<td>-49.73</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>34.58</td>
<td>75.72</td>
<td>6.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>X6 X9</td>
<td>-153.91</td>
<td>-14.73</td>
<td>52.93</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>63.15</td>
<td>87.70</td>
<td>24.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>X7 X9</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>X1 X1 X3/2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-5.21</td>
<td>-7.60</td>
<td>32.86</td>
<td>34.90</td>
<td>78.77</td>
<td>6.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>X1 X1 X4/2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>24.66</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>11.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>X1 X2 X3/2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>30.99</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>-51.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>X1 X3 X4</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td>-58.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>X1 X3 X5</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>X1 X3 X6</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-112.81</td>
<td>54.06</td>
<td>195.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>X2 X3 X4/2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-40.80</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>-18.37</td>
<td>-43.95</td>
<td>-43.08</td>
<td>118.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>X2 X3 X5/2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>23.94</td>
<td>-10.23</td>
<td>-6.37</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td>-57.94</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>X2 X4 X6/2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-14.94</td>
<td>-6.12</td>
<td>16.18</td>
<td>16.62</td>
<td>25.73</td>
<td>40.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>X3 X4 X7</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>97.14</td>
<td>89.70</td>
<td>-30.99</td>
<td>-50.66</td>
<td>-67.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>X3 X5 X8</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-25.07</td>
<td>27.76</td>
<td>-38.71</td>
<td>-55.94</td>
<td>-78.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>X3 X6 X9</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-1.62</td>
<td>-37.32</td>
<td>-3.53</td>
<td>54.04</td>
<td>26.98</td>
<td>-10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>X4 X5 X7/2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>52.79</td>
<td>43.69</td>
<td>-10.51</td>
<td>-3.60</td>
<td>-27.94</td>
<td>253.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>X4 X6 X8/2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>16.83</td>
<td>114.16</td>
<td>-27.20</td>
<td>-133.30</td>
<td>-135.23</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>X4 X7 X9/2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE VI. - Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Derivative Index, ( j )</th>
<th>Derivative Multiplier, ( x_j )</th>
<th>( RSL_j )</th>
<th>( RSL_j )</th>
<th>( RSL_j )</th>
<th>( RSL_j )</th>
<th>( RSL_j )</th>
<th>( RSL_j )</th>
<th>( RSL_j )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>((30,-1.5))</td>
<td>((30,-1.0))</td>
<td>((30,-0.5))</td>
<td>((30,0.0))</td>
<td>((30,0.5))</td>
<td>((30,1.0))</td>
<td>((30,1.5))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>-3.65</td>
<td>-2.37</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( x_1 )</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>-12.44</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>-2.10</td>
<td>-7.79</td>
<td>-3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( x_2 )</td>
<td>-4.83</td>
<td>11.92</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>-1.35</td>
<td>-4.34</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( x_1 x_2 / 2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>16.82</td>
<td>31.26</td>
<td>-26.27</td>
<td>-60.59</td>
<td>-78.79</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>( x_1 x_2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>29.00</td>
<td>-6.71</td>
<td>-2.08</td>
<td>21.60</td>
<td>29.71</td>
<td>48.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>( x_2 x_2 / 2 )</td>
<td>-26.42</td>
<td>-24.89</td>
<td>-1.74</td>
<td>-8.55</td>
<td>-50.63</td>
<td>-18.07</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>( x_1 x_1 x_2 / 2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-65.02</td>
<td>-98.78</td>
<td>-21.59</td>
<td>73.99</td>
<td>-88.84</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>( x_1 x_2 x_2 / 2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-61.09</td>
<td>-90.94</td>
<td>36.34</td>
<td>266.55</td>
<td>177.25</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>-9.00</td>
<td>-1.28</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>-6.66</td>
<td>-23.97</td>
<td>-30.07</td>
<td>-14.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>( x_4 )</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>-3.79</td>
<td>-5.02</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>7.71</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>4.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>( x_1 x_3 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-9.73</td>
<td>-9.05</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>-14.57</td>
<td>7.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>( x_1 x_4 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-35.24</td>
<td>30.51</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>-25.85</td>
<td>-35.86</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>( x_1 x_5 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-23.90</td>
<td>42.28</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td>-58.50</td>
<td>-47.12</td>
<td>25.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>( x_1 x_6 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-10.10</td>
<td>43.55</td>
<td>-14.30</td>
<td>-60.97</td>
<td>-41.76</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>( x_2 x_3 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>26.77</td>
<td>-77.65</td>
<td>11.22</td>
<td>54.81</td>
<td>92.39</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>( x_2 x_4 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>10.09</td>
<td>18.78</td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>28.37</td>
<td>18.83</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>( x_3 x_3 / 2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-37.30</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>-23.27</td>
<td>-57.94</td>
<td>-84.83</td>
<td>18.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>( x_3 x_4 )</td>
<td>-40.64</td>
<td>52.12</td>
<td>24.29</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>-6.73</td>
<td>-7.99</td>
<td>-35.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>( x_3 x_5 )</td>
<td>21.42</td>
<td>-32.66</td>
<td>25.96</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>-27.44</td>
<td>-29.21</td>
<td>-4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>( x_3 x_6 / 2 )</td>
<td>110.97</td>
<td>-36.49</td>
<td>-37.40</td>
<td>-5.28</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>41.15</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>( x_4 x_4 )</td>
<td>-139.11</td>
<td>-9.04</td>
<td>-45.04</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>36.34</td>
<td>53.83</td>
<td>8.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>( x_1 x_1 x_3 / 2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>40.74</td>
<td>-35.61</td>
<td>16.35</td>
<td>66.24</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>( x_1 x_1 x_4 / 2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-27.28</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>22.95</td>
<td>-11.08</td>
<td>26.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>( x_1 x_1 x_5 / 2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>32.81</td>
<td>7.86</td>
<td>-11.47</td>
<td>-62.92</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>( x_1 x_1 x_6 / 2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-2.58</td>
<td>15.43</td>
<td>24.57</td>
<td>-17.51</td>
<td>-3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>( x_1 x_2 x_3 / 2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>14.65</td>
<td>12.79</td>
<td>92.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>( x_1 x_2 x_4 / 2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-82.90</td>
<td>44.36</td>
<td>134.04</td>
<td>103.78</td>
<td>-25.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>( x_1 x_2 x_5 / 2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-10.65</td>
<td>-1.48</td>
<td>-20.26</td>
<td>-60.13</td>
<td>-56.46</td>
<td>57.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>( x_1 x_2 x_6 / 2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-6.73</td>
<td>-15.65</td>
<td>-7.14</td>
<td>20.79</td>
<td>-30.37</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>( x_1 x_3 x_3 / 2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td>-9.92</td>
<td>15.08</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>14.24</td>
<td>7.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>( x_1 x_3 x_4 / 2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>79.16</td>
<td>82.58</td>
<td>-16.82</td>
<td>19.56</td>
<td>-5.94</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>( x_1 x_3 x_5 / 2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-33.59</td>
<td>27.01</td>
<td>-35.62</td>
<td>-40.64</td>
<td>-95.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>( x_1 x_3 x_6 / 2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>34.95</td>
<td>-37.25</td>
<td>-4.91</td>
<td>41.64</td>
<td>15.97</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>( x_1 x_4 x_4 / 2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>29.77</td>
<td>28.91</td>
<td>-1.73</td>
<td>16.14</td>
<td>-2.06</td>
<td>14.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>( x_1 x_4 x_5 / 2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>38.85</td>
<td>93.89</td>
<td>-14.88</td>
<td>-82.56</td>
<td>-73.93</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>( x_1 x_4 x_6 / 2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-23.97</td>
<td>-82.56</td>
<td>-73.93</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>14.03</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Circular and Coaxial Jet

Coaxial Jet Only
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Derivative Index, $j$</th>
<th>Derivative Multiplier, $x_j$</th>
<th>RSL$_{ij}$ (60,-1.5)</th>
<th>RSL$_{ij}$ (60,-1.0)</th>
<th>RSL$_{ij}$ (60,-0.5)</th>
<th>RSL$_{ij}$ (60,0.0)</th>
<th>RSL$_{ij}$ (60,0.5)</th>
<th>RSL$_{ij}$ (60,1.0)</th>
<th>RSL$_{ij}$ (60,1.5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>-4.01</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>5.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>-10.40</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>13.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>-2.35</td>
<td>-2.66</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>-1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$x_1 x_1/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-15.22</td>
<td>15.47</td>
<td>-10.56</td>
<td>-16.59</td>
<td>-10.41</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$x_1 x_1$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>31.61</td>
<td>-10.11</td>
<td>-10.91</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>-18.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$x_2 x_2/2$</td>
<td>-54.75</td>
<td>-22.01</td>
<td>11.27</td>
<td>-3.47</td>
<td>-40.41</td>
<td>-60.99</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$x_1 x_1 x_2/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>67.03</td>
<td>-98.32</td>
<td>-37.53</td>
<td>78.35</td>
<td>104.97</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$x_1 x_2 x_2/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>63.85</td>
<td>-73.38</td>
<td>-15.96</td>
<td>-127.54</td>
<td>-146.86</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>-8.24</td>
<td>-3.07</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>-7.22</td>
<td>-21.48</td>
<td>-21.82</td>
<td>-3.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>-4.67</td>
<td>-3.65</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>-5.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>$x_1 x_2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-8.12</td>
<td>-10.09</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>15.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>$x_1 x_3$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-21.02</td>
<td>26.33</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>-20.13</td>
<td>-32.52</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>$x_2 x_3$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-17.50</td>
<td>23.21</td>
<td>-13.25</td>
<td>-46.79</td>
<td>-11.60</td>
<td>-13.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>$x_3 x_4$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-6.67</td>
<td>33.87</td>
<td>-14.76</td>
<td>-48.93</td>
<td>-29.83</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>$x_3 x_5$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>-56.95</td>
<td>16.79</td>
<td>36.10</td>
<td>30.35</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>$x_3 x_6/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-34.77</td>
<td>9.51</td>
<td>-22.56</td>
<td>-54.48</td>
<td>-71.08</td>
<td>-30.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>$x_3 x_4$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-34.87</td>
<td>9.51</td>
<td>-22.56</td>
<td>-54.48</td>
<td>-71.08</td>
<td>-30.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>$x_4 x_5$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-21.73</td>
<td>13.73</td>
<td>-20.55</td>
<td>-20.51</td>
<td>-27.21</td>
<td>-30.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$x_4 x_5$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-25.73</td>
<td>-22.37</td>
<td>-3.00</td>
<td>-6.65</td>
<td>10.03</td>
<td>-9.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>$x_4 x_5$</td>
<td>-94.66</td>
<td>-6.51</td>
<td>-28.63</td>
<td>6.53</td>
<td>21.71</td>
<td>23.89</td>
<td>-5.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>$x_1 x_1 x_2/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-19.09</td>
<td>19.66</td>
<td>52.86</td>
<td>14.42</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>$x_1 x_2 x_2/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>84.30</td>
<td>-17.47</td>
<td>-13.93</td>
<td>18.33</td>
<td>18.42</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>$x_1 x_3 x_3/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-24.30</td>
<td>-2.10</td>
<td>19.39</td>
<td>-7.09</td>
<td>8.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>$x_1 x_3 x_4$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>21.20</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>-7.37</td>
<td>-13.42</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>$x_1 x_3 x_5$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-5.07</td>
<td>15.67</td>
<td>20.17</td>
<td>-2.62</td>
<td>-23.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>$x_1 x_4 x_5$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-2.59</td>
<td>14.39</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td>55.69</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>$x_1 x_5 x_5/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-46.18</td>
<td>47.14</td>
<td>97.75</td>
<td>59.63</td>
<td>-7.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>$x_3 x_3 x_3/6$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-28.94</td>
<td>-5.50</td>
<td>-25.94</td>
<td>-62.34</td>
<td>-36.14</td>
<td>-30.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$x_3 x_3 x_2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.84</td>
<td>-13.79</td>
<td>-1.10</td>
<td>23.46</td>
<td>-14.60</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>$x_3 x_3 x_5/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-5.87</td>
<td>-12.63</td>
<td>12.37</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>-3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>$x_3 x_4 x_4/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>94.57</td>
<td>56.22</td>
<td>-20.01</td>
<td>38.73</td>
<td>33.84</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>$x_3 x_4 x_5$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-18.78</td>
<td>24.01</td>
<td>-33.64</td>
<td>-31.31</td>
<td>-36.27</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>$x_3 x_5 x_5/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>-31.07</td>
<td>-5.03</td>
<td>33.85</td>
<td>9.21</td>
<td>24.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>$x_4 x_5 x_5/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>22.52</td>
<td>15.73</td>
<td>-1.36</td>
<td>18.51</td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td>-23.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>$x_5 x_5 x_5/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>19.45</td>
<td>59.52</td>
<td>-20.12</td>
<td>-52.72</td>
<td>-22.75</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivative Index, ( j )</td>
<td>Derivative Multiplier, ( x_j )</td>
<td>( RSL_j ) ((90.0, 1.5))</td>
<td>( RSL_j ) ((90.0, 1.0))</td>
<td>( RSL_j ) ((90.0, 0.5))</td>
<td>( RSL_j ) ((90.0, 0.0))</td>
<td>( RSL_j ) ((90.0, 0.5))</td>
<td>( RSL_j ) ((90.0, 1.0))</td>
<td>( RSL_j ) ((90.0, 1.5))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.64</td>
<td>-5.07</td>
<td>-2.41</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>5.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( x_1 )</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>-5.84</td>
<td>-2.17</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>19.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( x_2 )</td>
<td>-10.22</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>8.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( x_1 x_1/2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-3.61</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-3.26</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>-16.48</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>( x_1 x_2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>-5.45</td>
<td>-17.12</td>
<td>-3.89</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>29.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>( x_2 x_2/2 )</td>
<td>95.96</td>
<td>-4.72</td>
<td>9.32</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>-11.66</td>
<td>-35.36</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>( x_1 x_1 x_2/2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>121.57</td>
<td>-71.18</td>
<td>-90.44</td>
<td>-28.79</td>
<td>20.68</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>( x_1 x_2 x_2/2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-115.10</td>
<td>-44.51</td>
<td>-4.80</td>
<td>-9.91</td>
<td>-70.58</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Circular and Coaxial Jet**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( x_3 )</th>
<th>( x_4 )</th>
<th>( x_5 )</th>
<th>( x_6 )</th>
<th>( x_7 )</th>
<th>( x_8 )</th>
<th>( x_9 )</th>
<th>( x_{10} )</th>
<th>( x_{11} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>-9.26</td>
<td>-7.37</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>-5.91</td>
<td>-11.96</td>
<td>-8.03</td>
<td>8.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>( x_4 )</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>-2.83</td>
<td>-2.47</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>-1.34</td>
<td>-6.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>( x_5 x_3 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-6.69</td>
<td>-3.32</td>
<td>-2.26</td>
<td>-11.98</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>23.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>( x_5 x_4 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-7.52</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>-1.24</td>
<td>-6.76</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>( x_5 x_5 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>-13.37</td>
<td>-14.77</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>-8.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>( x_5 x_6 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-4.25</td>
<td>11.58</td>
<td>-10.70</td>
<td>-12.29</td>
<td>-2.53</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>( x_6 x_5 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-9.20</td>
<td>-26.32</td>
<td>10.92</td>
<td>16.60</td>
<td>20.37</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>( x_6 x_6 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>-3.78</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>-4.28</td>
<td>-7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>( x_6 x_{10}/2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-34.43</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>-17.84</td>
<td>-29.55</td>
<td>-49.04</td>
<td>-39.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>( x_3 x_4 )</td>
<td>-24.61</td>
<td>14.69</td>
<td>-1.76</td>
<td>-1.14</td>
<td>10.36</td>
<td>23.52</td>
<td>28.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>( x_3 x_{10}/2 )</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>-29.04</td>
<td>8.14</td>
<td>9.82</td>
<td>-5.10</td>
<td>-13.15</td>
<td>-35.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>( x_4 x_{10}/2 )</td>
<td>30.79</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>-8.14</td>
<td>-9.00</td>
<td>-17.37</td>
<td>-10.68</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>( x_6 x_{10}/2 )</td>
<td>-13.68</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>-9.72</td>
<td>-4.43</td>
<td>-4.43</td>
<td>10.26</td>
<td>-5.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>( x_1 x_3 x_2/2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-9.46</td>
<td>-16.29</td>
<td>-19.67</td>
<td>57.36</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>( x_1 x_4 x_2/2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>52.85</td>
<td>-16.28</td>
<td>-5.91</td>
<td>-1.19</td>
<td>-30.38</td>
<td>-48.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>( x_1 x_5 x_2/2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>14.87</td>
<td>-15.15</td>
<td>-35.69</td>
<td>16.35</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>( x_1 x_6 x_2/2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>11.31</td>
<td>16.32</td>
<td>-13.90</td>
<td>-56.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>( x_1 x_7 x_2/2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>30.34</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>( x_1 x_8 x_2/2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>30.34</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>( x_1 x_9 x_2/2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>30.34</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>( x_1 x_{10}/2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-14.76</td>
<td>-9.06</td>
<td>-40.27</td>
<td>-56.67</td>
<td>-34.81</td>
<td>-8.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>( x_5 x_2/2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-27.12</td>
<td>-3.05</td>
<td>21.81</td>
<td>46.23</td>
<td>-11.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>( x_5 x_3/2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-7.97</td>
<td>-16.56</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>22.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>( x_5 x_4/2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>97.36</td>
<td>29.94</td>
<td>-12.12</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>-9.61</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>( x_5 x_{10}/2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-5.12</td>
<td>19.28</td>
<td>-27.67</td>
<td>-19.83</td>
<td>-30.84</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>( x_5 x_{10}/2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>32.30</td>
<td>-20.86</td>
<td>-16.58</td>
<td>-5.19</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>33.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>( x_6 x_{10}/2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9.43</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>12.36</td>
<td>-6.08</td>
<td>-64.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>( x_7 x_{10}/2 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-17.83</td>
<td>26.20</td>
<td>-9.70</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>-6.07</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivative Index, $j$</td>
<td>Derivative Multiplier, $x_j$</td>
<td>$RSL_{j}$ (120, -1.5)</td>
<td>$RSL_{j}$ (120, -1.0)</td>
<td>$RSL_{j}$ (120, -0.5)</td>
<td>$RSL_{j}$ (120, 0.0)</td>
<td>$RSL_{j}$ (120, 0.5)</td>
<td>$RSL_{j}$ (120, 1.0)</td>
<td>$RSL_{j}$ (120, 1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-3.79</td>
<td>-5.07</td>
<td>-1.38</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>-10.99</td>
<td>-5.83</td>
<td>-4.71</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>22.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>-1.15</td>
<td>-1.05</td>
<td>-5.86</td>
<td>8.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$x_1 x_2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-1.46</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>-1.37</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$x_1 x_2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>-5.25</td>
<td>-23.25</td>
<td>-7.79</td>
<td>23.37</td>
<td>74.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$x_2 x_2/2$</td>
<td>-27.09</td>
<td>20.42</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>-3.09</td>
<td>-23.47</td>
<td>-60.23</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$x_1 x_2 x_2/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-17.17</td>
<td>-79.12</td>
<td>-92.67</td>
<td>13.61</td>
<td>219.91</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$x_1 x_2 x_2/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-82.36</td>
<td>-4.86</td>
<td>-1.39</td>
<td>-11.57</td>
<td>-264.93</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>-1.98</td>
<td>-4.94</td>
<td>.77</td>
<td>-3.71</td>
<td>-4.01</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>9.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$x_4$</td>
<td>-6.66</td>
<td>-3.83</td>
<td>-1.68</td>
<td>-5.59</td>
<td>-2.15</td>
<td>-3.99</td>
<td>-7.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>$x_4 x_3$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-1.15</td>
<td>-16.01</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>-7.76</td>
<td>23.14</td>
<td>30.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>$x_5 x_4$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9.98</td>
<td>9.60</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>-2.78</td>
<td>-4.94</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>$x_5 x_5$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>18.91</td>
<td>-1.24</td>
<td>-9.72</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>14.36</td>
<td>-9.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>$x_6 x_4$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-9.64</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>-4.45</td>
<td>9.74</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>$x_6 x_5$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-7.59</td>
<td>-9.53</td>
<td>-1.42</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>14.15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>$x_6 x_6$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>-7.77</td>
<td>-12.63</td>
<td>-12.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>$x_6 x_5 x_3/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-23.61</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>-12.23</td>
<td>-12.54</td>
<td>-20.67</td>
<td>-34.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>$x_6 x_6 x_3/2$</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>-2.93</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>19.95</td>
<td>25.73</td>
<td>32.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>$x_6 x_6 x_5$</td>
<td>-3.56</td>
<td>-14.05</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>14.59</td>
<td>16.09</td>
<td>-9.74</td>
<td>-12.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$x_6 x_6 x_5 x_3/2$</td>
<td>-26.10</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>-6.91</td>
<td>-7.38</td>
<td>-26.63</td>
<td>-26.72</td>
<td>-20.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>$x_6 x_6 x_6 x_3/2$</td>
<td>26.47</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>-6.63</td>
<td>-6.65</td>
<td>-3.38</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>-2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>$x_1 x_1 x_3/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-39.90</td>
<td>14.80</td>
<td>35.21</td>
<td>74.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>$x_1 x_2 x_5/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-13.92</td>
<td>-18.90</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>16.38</td>
<td>80.70</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>$x_2 x_2 x_3/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-24.09</td>
<td>-4.64</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>-2.84</td>
<td>-44.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>$x_3 x_3 x_4$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-1.02</td>
<td>-16.51</td>
<td>-54.63</td>
<td>23.63</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>$x_3 x_3 x_5$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-15.83</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>16.82</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>50.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>$x_4 x_4 x_5$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-8.10</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>13.98</td>
<td>33.27</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>$x_4 x_4 x_5 x_3/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-25.06</td>
<td>26.55</td>
<td>31.90</td>
<td>45.37</td>
<td>20.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>$x_4 x_4 x_5 x_3/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>-5.22</td>
<td>-37.38</td>
<td>-47.57</td>
<td>-7.72</td>
<td>32.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$x_5 x_5 x_3/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-62.76</td>
<td>-5.59</td>
<td>23.58</td>
<td>54.21</td>
<td>-7.72</td>
<td>32.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>$x_5 x_5 x_5/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-10.84</td>
<td>-8.15</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>10.65</td>
<td>35.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>$x_6 x_5 x_3/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>97.85</td>
<td>43.33</td>
<td>10.87</td>
<td>-15.19</td>
<td>-46.29</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>$x_6 x_6 x_3/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-2.13</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>-20.43</td>
<td>-18.55</td>
<td>-24.10</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>$x_6 x_6 x_5/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-1.19</td>
<td>-14.11</td>
<td>-24.01</td>
<td>-25.52</td>
<td>-60.23</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>$x_6 x_6 x_5/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-2.44</td>
<td>14.15</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>9.84</td>
<td>-3.12</td>
<td>-40.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>$x_6 x_6 x_5/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>11.61</td>
<td>10.29</td>
<td>-6.60</td>
<td>10.36</td>
<td>-6.70</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivative Index, $j$</td>
<td>Derivative Multiplier, $x_j$</td>
<td>RSL$_j$ (150,-1.5)</td>
<td>RSL$_j$ (150,-1.0)</td>
<td>RSL$_j$ (150,-0.5)</td>
<td>RSL$_j$ (150,0.0)</td>
<td>RSL$_j$ (150,0.5)</td>
<td>RSL$_j$ (150,1.0)</td>
<td>RSL$_j$ (150,1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>-3.13</td>
<td>-3.29</td>
<td>-1.81</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>11.45</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>-7.24</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>-7.78</td>
<td>-9.83</td>
<td>-7.76</td>
<td>-3.31</td>
<td>10.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$x_1 x_1/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-20.25</td>
<td>-1.80</td>
<td>43.37</td>
<td>69.04</td>
<td>48.36</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$x_2 x_2/2$</td>
<td>68.97</td>
<td>-8.15</td>
<td>-22.85</td>
<td>-30.81</td>
<td>-25.27</td>
<td>18.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$x_3 x_3/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>12.63</td>
<td>31.68</td>
<td>242.00</td>
<td>152.09</td>
<td>125.90</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$x_4 x_4/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-67.21</td>
<td>-44.76</td>
<td>-99.58</td>
<td>-224.10</td>
<td>-68.30</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$x_5 x_5/2$</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>23.11</td>
<td>16.02</td>
<td>-2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$x_6 x_6/2$</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>-2.33</td>
<td>-1.43</td>
<td>-2.21</td>
<td>-6.60</td>
<td>-0.98</td>
<td>-1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$x_7 x_7/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>16.32</td>
<td>-10.12</td>
<td>-18.37</td>
<td>-19.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$x_8 x_8/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-4.78</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>-9.57</td>
<td>10.57</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>$x_9 x_9/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>15.12</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>31.06</td>
<td>34.53</td>
<td>10.93</td>
<td>10.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>$x_{10} x_{10}/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>-4.05</td>
<td>-2.26</td>
<td>53.96</td>
<td>20.53</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>$x_{11} x_{11}/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-11.26</td>
<td>-9.27</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>-21.05</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>$x_{12} x_{12}/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>-13.42</td>
<td>-25.25</td>
<td>-22.41</td>
<td>-5.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>$x_{13} x_{13}/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-3.72</td>
<td>11.77</td>
<td>-3.41</td>
<td>56.88</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>14.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>$x_{14} x_{14}/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-10.19</td>
<td>-6.48</td>
<td>13.93</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>-1.69</td>
<td>10.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>$x_{15} x_{15}/2$</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>14.22</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>-6.88</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>$x_{16} x_{16}/2$</td>
<td>-33.12</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-1.74</td>
<td>-3.54</td>
<td>-12.26</td>
<td>-5.68</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>$x_{17} x_{17}/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>23.56</td>
<td>14.44</td>
<td>-3.67</td>
<td>-79.52</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$x_{18} x_{18}/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-51.56</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>27.26</td>
<td>67.90</td>
<td>41.75</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>$x_{19} x_{19}/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>-22.91</td>
<td>-68.32</td>
<td>-43.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>$x_{20} x_{20}/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-25.37</td>
<td>36.64</td>
<td>15.03</td>
<td>103.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>$x_{21} x_{21}/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-1.25</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>-13.41</td>
<td>-25.53</td>
<td>-26.80</td>
<td>-22.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>$x_{22} x_{22}/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-24.99</td>
<td>37.73</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>26.87</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>$x_{23} x_{23}/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>21.93</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>93.37</td>
<td>55.56</td>
<td>-37.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>$x_{24} x_{24}/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-53.90</td>
<td>17.20</td>
<td>-31.01</td>
<td>-67.11</td>
<td>-66.72</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>$x_{25} x_{25}/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-1.44</td>
<td>-0.70</td>
<td>17.49</td>
<td>-3.57</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>-26.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>$x_{26} x_{26}/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>75.25</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>11.73</td>
<td>-9.13</td>
<td>-13.06</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>$x_{27} x_{27}/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-1.08</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>-5.40</td>
<td>23.62</td>
<td>-13.87</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$x_{28} x_{28}/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>-24.65</td>
<td>-26.73</td>
<td>-10.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>$x_{29} x_{29}/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
<td>-6.95</td>
<td>-32.98</td>
<td>-36.57</td>
<td>-45.42</td>
<td>-23.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>$x_{30} x_{30}/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>12.71</td>
<td>-10.21</td>
<td>9.01</td>
<td>29.39</td>
<td>17.86</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE VI. - Concluded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Derivative Index, $j$</th>
<th>Derivative Multiplier, $X_j$</th>
<th>RSL$_j$, (180,-1.5)</th>
<th>RSL$_j$, (180,-1.0)</th>
<th>RSL$_j$, (180,-0.5)</th>
<th>RSL$_j$, (180,0.0)</th>
<th>RSL$_j$, (180,0.5)</th>
<th>RSL$_j$, (180,1.0)</th>
<th>RSL$_j$, (180,1.5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$X_1$</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>-5.04</td>
<td>-11.39</td>
<td>36.90</td>
<td>36.22</td>
<td>25.34</td>
<td>17.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$X_2$</td>
<td>-27.22</td>
<td>-3.27</td>
<td>-8.87</td>
<td>-7.76</td>
<td>-9.06</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>47.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$X_1 X_2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-56.74</td>
<td>-20.87</td>
<td>165.10</td>
<td>229.84</td>
<td>206.89</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$X_1 X_2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>14.15</td>
<td>69.07</td>
<td>91.22</td>
<td>54.84</td>
<td>157.94</td>
<td>32.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$X_2 X_2/2$</td>
<td>301.38</td>
<td>64.74</td>
<td>-37.06</td>
<td>-141.02</td>
<td>-142.23</td>
<td>-83.90</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$X_1 X_2 X_2/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>29.51</td>
<td>268.47</td>
<td>436.49</td>
<td>333.42</td>
<td>825.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$X_1 X_2 X_2/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>201.67</td>
<td>-150.70</td>
<td>-987.25</td>
<td>-759.31</td>
<td>-510.89</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Circular and Coaxial Jet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X$_3$, $X_3/2$</th>
<th>RSL$_j$, (180,-1.5)</th>
<th>RSL$_j$, (180,-1.0)</th>
<th>RSL$_j$, (180,-0.5)</th>
<th>RSL$_j$, (180,0.0)</th>
<th>RSL$_j$, (180,0.5)</th>
<th>RSL$_j$, (180,1.0)</th>
<th>RSL$_j$, (180,1.5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$X_3$</td>
<td>-4.75</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>36.60</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$X_4$</td>
<td>-2.31</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>-10.21</td>
<td>-29.99</td>
<td>-8.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>$X_1 X_3$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>17.85</td>
<td>16.31</td>
<td>-23.56</td>
<td>-97.40</td>
<td>-114.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>$X_1 X_4$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-45.07</td>
<td>10.33</td>
<td>12.97</td>
<td>31.14</td>
<td>10.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>$X_1 X_5$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>12.86</td>
<td>-16.91</td>
<td>66.40</td>
<td>111.36</td>
<td>28.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>$X_2 X_4$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-38.36</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>28.36</td>
<td>129.73</td>
<td>-1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>$X_2 X_5$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-30.24</td>
<td>-18.53</td>
<td>15.12</td>
<td>-77.39</td>
<td>-25.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>$X_2 X_2 X_5$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>-9.87</td>
<td>-12.50</td>
<td>-12.68</td>
<td>-29.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>$X_3 X_3/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>16.54</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>86.47</td>
<td>-6.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>$X_3 X_4$</td>
<td>-59.36</td>
<td>-2.31</td>
<td>-37.03</td>
<td>29.44</td>
<td>49.06</td>
<td>65.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>$X_3 X_5$</td>
<td>12.66</td>
<td>-29.84</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>-31.95</td>
<td>-12.22</td>
<td>5.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$X_4 X_4/2$</td>
<td>183.17</td>
<td>57.93</td>
<td>37.41</td>
<td>-22.43</td>
<td>-85.53</td>
<td>-53.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>$X_4 X_5$</td>
<td>-144.23</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>11.86</td>
<td>11.33</td>
<td>-13.83</td>
<td>28.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>$X_1 X_3 X_3/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>56.87</td>
<td>-89.17</td>
<td>-95.05</td>
<td>-228.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>$X_1 X_4 X_3/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>27.43</td>
<td>35.98</td>
<td>-54.51</td>
<td>184.80</td>
<td>40.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>$X_1 X_5 X_3/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-9.77</td>
<td>28.36</td>
<td>-145.77</td>
<td>-222.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>$X_1 X_4 X_4/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-5.69</td>
<td>-8.15</td>
<td>91.04</td>
<td>226.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>$X_1 X_5 X_5$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>17.37</td>
<td>-11.99</td>
<td>-112.43</td>
<td>-59.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>$X_2 X_3 X_3/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-60.67</td>
<td>60.97</td>
<td>-33.31</td>
<td>5.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>$X_2 X_4 X_4/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>81.75</td>
<td>-111.60</td>
<td>-218.76</td>
<td>-11.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>$X_2 X_5 X_5/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-37.94</td>
<td>-26.52</td>
<td>105.37</td>
<td>322.06</td>
<td>28.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$X_3 X_3 X_3/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>102.07</td>
<td>32.66</td>
<td>-131.42</td>
<td>-371.95</td>
<td>-28.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>$X_3 X_4 X_4/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-134.85</td>
<td>-151.19</td>
<td>137.04</td>
<td>394.27</td>
<td>213.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>$X_3 X_5 X_5/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-69.43</td>
<td>29.27</td>
<td>-42.15</td>
<td>50.64</td>
<td>60.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>$X_3 X_4 X_5$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-21.05</td>
<td>-15.31</td>
<td>47.86</td>
<td>35.66</td>
<td>-22.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>$X_4 X_5 X_4/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>158.03</td>
<td>-1.66</td>
<td>46.07</td>
<td>48.81</td>
<td>39.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>$X_4 X_5 X_5/2$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>61.37</td>
<td>-73.92</td>
<td>40.43</td>
<td>56.84</td>
<td>84.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Coaxial Jet Only
### TABLE VII. - TOTAL NUMBER OF TEST POINTS IN DATA BASE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>SET</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANGLES</th>
<th>NUMBER OF FREQUENCIES</th>
<th>NUMBER OF CASES</th>
<th>TOTAL NUMBER OF SPL VALUES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NGTE</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>32,844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGTE</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>59,832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGTE</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3,822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNECMA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>14,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNECMA</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>18,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GELAC</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>26,904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GELAC</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA LEWIS</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>20,736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>817</td>
<td>195,938</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE VIII. - NUMBER OF PREDICTION CONSTANTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DERIVATIVE TERM</th>
<th>NUMBER OF DERIVATIVE MULTIPLIERS</th>
<th>NUMBER OF DIRECTIVITY ANGLES</th>
<th>NUMBER OF FREQUENCY TERMS</th>
<th>TOTAL NUMBER OF DERIVATIVE CONSTANTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CIRCULAR JET</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWL, j</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DI, j(θ_c)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F, j(n_c)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSL, j(θ_c,n_c)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COAXIAL JET</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWL, j</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DI, j(θ_c)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F, j(n_c)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSL, j(θ_c,n_c)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,304</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE IX. - STANDARD DEVIATIONS FOR PREDICTED SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL VALUES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normalized Frequency Parameter</th>
<th>Directivity Angle, $\theta_C$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$0^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_C$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean error is 0.0 dB for all values of $\eta_C$ and $\theta_C$. 
TABLE X. - STANDARD ERROR PREDICTION SUMMARY FOR SELECTED TESTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DIRECTIVITY ANGLE degrees</th>
<th>MINIMUM STD. ERROR dB</th>
<th>MAXIMUM STD. ERROR dB</th>
<th>AVERAGE STD. ERROR dB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIRCULAR JET (12 CASES)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td>2.861</td>
<td>1.559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.813</td>
<td>2.891</td>
<td>1.757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>1.140</td>
<td>3.134</td>
<td>1.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COANNULAR JET (26 CASES)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.618</td>
<td>3.135</td>
<td>1.588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>3.583</td>
<td>1.465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>1.181</td>
<td>5.491</td>
<td>2.542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalized Equivalent Velocity, $V_e/c_m$</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>1.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity Ratio, $V_2/V_1$</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normalized Equivalent Total Temperature, $T_e/T_a$</th>
<th>2.828</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Temperature Ratio, $T_2/T_1$</td>
<td>0.354</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

(a) Circular jet.

Figure 1. - Jet noise test points for NGTE set A.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normalized Equivalent Velocity, $V_e/c_{\infty}$</th>
<th>0.354</th>
<th>0.707</th>
<th>1.414</th>
<th>2.828</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Velocity Ratio, $V_2/V_1$</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normalized Equivalent Total Temperature, $T_e/T_{\infty}$</th>
<th>0.354</th>
<th>0.707</th>
<th>1.414</th>
<th>2.828</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Temperature Ratio, $T_e/T_1$</td>
<td>2.828</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>2.828</td>
<td>0.354</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area Ratio</th>
<th>2.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Figure 1. - Continued.
### Normalized Equivalent Velocity, $V_e/c_*$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$0.354$</th>
<th>$0.707$</th>
<th>$1.414$</th>
<th>$2.828$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Velocity Ratio, $V_2/V_1$</td>
<td>$0.132$</td>
<td>$0.296$</td>
<td>$0.667$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.132$</td>
<td>$0.296$</td>
<td>$0.667$</td>
<td>$1.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.132$</td>
<td>$0.296$</td>
<td>$0.667$</td>
<td>$1.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.132$</td>
<td>$0.296$</td>
<td>$0.667$</td>
<td>$1.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.132$</td>
<td>$0.296$</td>
<td>$0.667$</td>
<td>$1.5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normalized Equivalent Total Temperature, $T_{e}/T_1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2.828$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.828$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.828$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.828$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.828$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Temperature Ratio, $T_2/T_1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0.707$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.707$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.707$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.707$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.707$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normal Equivalent Total Temperature, $T_{e}/T_1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$5.556$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5.556$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5.556$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5.556$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5.556$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Coaxial jet, area ratio = 4.0.

Figure 1. - Continued.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normalized Equivalent Velocity, Ve/c∞</th>
<th>0.354</th>
<th>0.707</th>
<th>1.414</th>
<th>2.828</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Velocity Ratio, V2/V1</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalized Equivalent Total Temperature, Te/T∞</td>
<td>5.656</td>
<td>2.828</td>
<td>1.414</td>
<td>1.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Temperature Ratio, T2/T1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalized Equivalent Velocity, $Ve/c_m$</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>1.414</td>
<td>2.828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity Ratio, $V_2/V_1$</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Circular jet.

Figure 2. - Jet noise test points for NGTE set C.
(b) Coaxial jet, area ratio = 1.4.

Figure 2. - Continued.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normalized Equivalent Velocity, $V_e/c_m$</th>
<th>0.354</th>
<th>0.707</th>
<th>1.414</th>
<th>2.828</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Velocity Ratio, $V_2/V_1$</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalized Equivalent Total Temperature, $T_{e/1}$</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>0.707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.707</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.414</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.828</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.656</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.828</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.656</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.656</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.656</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.656</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.656</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Coaxial jet, area ratio = 1.5, 1.6, 1.9, and 2.0.

Figure 2. - Continued.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normalized Equivalent Velocity, $\frac{V_e}{c_m}$</th>
<th>0.354</th>
<th>0.707</th>
<th>1.414</th>
<th>2.828</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Velocity Ratio, $\frac{V_2}{V_1}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(d) Coaxial jet, area ratio = 4.0, 4.1, 4.3.

Figure 2. - Continued.
(e) Coaxial jet, area ratio = 7.9, 8.0, and 8.1.

Figure 2. - Concluded.
Figure 3. - Jet noise test points for NGTE set D circular jet.
(a) Circular jet.

Figure 4. - Jet noise test points for PWA set A.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normalized Equivalent Velocity, $V_e/c_e$</th>
<th>0.354</th>
<th>0.707</th>
<th>1.414</th>
<th>2.828</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Velocity Ratio, $V_2/V_1$</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Coaxial jet, area ratio = 0.75 and 1.2.

Figure 4. - Concluded.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normalized Equivalent Velocity, Ve/c&lt;sub&gt;n&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>0.354</th>
<th>0.707</th>
<th>1.414</th>
<th>2.828</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Velocity Ratio, V&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;/V&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Circular jet.

Figure 5. - Jet noise test points for SNECMA set A.
(b) Coaxial jet, area ratio = 3.52.

Figure 5. - Concluded.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normalized Equivalent Velocity, $V_e/c_\infty$</th>
<th>0.354</th>
<th>0.707</th>
<th>1.414</th>
<th>2.828</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Velocity Ratio, $V_2/V_1$</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Coaxial jet, area ratio = 2.25.

*Figure 6. Jet noise test points for SNECMA set B.*
(b) Coaxial jet, area ratio = 3.92.

Figure 6. - Continued.
(c) Coaxial jet, area ratio = 6.09.

Figure 6. - Concluded.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normalized Equivalent Velocity, Ve/c_0</th>
<th>0.354</th>
<th>0.707</th>
<th>1.414</th>
<th>2.828</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Velocity Ratio, V_2/V_1</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. - Jet noise test points for GELAC set A circular jet.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normalized Equivalent Velocity, $V_e/c_m$</th>
<th>0.354</th>
<th>0.707</th>
<th>1.414</th>
<th>2.828</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Velocity Ratio, $V_2/V_1$</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.354</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. - Jet noise test points for GELAC Set B coaxial jet with area ratio = 2.93.
### (a) Circular jet.

Figure 9. - Jet noise test points for LERC set A.
(b) Coaxial jet, area ratio = 1.2.

Figure 9. - Continued.
(c) Coaxial jet, area ratio = 1.5 and 2.0.

Figure 9. - Continued.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normalized Equivalent Velocity, $Ve/c_m$</th>
<th>0.354</th>
<th>0.707</th>
<th>1.414</th>
<th>2.828</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Velocity Ratio, $V_2/V_1$</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normalized Equivalent Total Temperature, $Te/t_m$</th>
<th>0.707</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Temperature Ratio, $T_2/T_1$</td>
<td>1.414</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| (d) Coaxial jet, area ratio = 3.3. |

Figure 9. - Concluded.
(a) Circular jet.

Figure 10. - Jet noise test points for all data sets combined.
(b) Coaxial jet, area ratio range from 0.707 to 1.414.

Figure 10. - Continued.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normalized Equivalent Velocity, $V_e/V_\infty$</th>
<th>0.354</th>
<th>0.707</th>
<th>1.414</th>
<th>2.828</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Velocity Ratio, $V_2/V_1$</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Normalized Equivalent Total Temperature, $T_e/T_\infty$:

- Total Temperature Ratio, $T_2/T_1$:

- Normalized Equivalent Total Temperature Ratio, $T_e/T_1$:

(c) Coaxial jet, area ratio range from 1.414 to 2.828.

Figure 10. - Continued.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normalized Equivalent Velocity, ( \frac{V_e}{c_w} )</th>
<th>0.354</th>
<th>0.707</th>
<th>1.414</th>
<th>2.828</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Velocity Ratio, ( \frac{V_2}{V_1} )</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(d) Coaxial jet, area ratio range from 2.828 to 5.656.

Figure 10. - Continued.
(e) Coaxial jet, area ratio range from 5.656 to 11.312.

*Figure 10. - Concluded.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Directivity angle coordinate points, $\theta_c$, degrees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11. Standard computational grid for cubic spline to jet noise data.
Figure 12. Single test data ranges relative to grid coordinate points.
Figure 13. Jet flow state parameters.
Figure 14. - Interpolation on directivity angle at $\theta_p$ to obtain OASPL($\theta_p$) and RSL($n_c, \theta_p$) values.
Figure 15. - Interpolation of normalized frequency parameter at $\eta_p$ to obtain $F(\eta_p)$ and $RSL(\eta_p, \theta_p)$ values.
Figure 16. - Contour plot of standard deviations for prediction sound pressure levels.
Figure 17. - Prediction method comparison for case NGTA0114.

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.

(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{V_d}{C_a} &= 1.120 \quad T_d/T_a = 2.430 \\
\frac{V_2}{V_1} &= 1.000 \quad T_{t2}/T_{t1} = 1.000 \\
\frac{A_2}{A_1} &= 1.000
\end{align*}
\]
Figure 18. - Prediction method comparison for case NGTA0138.

(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

\[ \frac{V_\omega}{C_\omega} = 0.895 \quad \frac{T_3}{T_4} = 1.004 \]

\[ \frac{V_2}{V_1} = 1.000 \quad \frac{T_2}{T_1} = 1.000 \]

\[ \frac{A_2}{A_1} = 1.000 \]
\[ V_2/C_{\infty} = 0.583 \quad T_{t_2}/T_{t_1} = 3.125 \]
\[ V_2/V_1 = 1.000 \quad T_{t_2}/T_{t_1} = 1.000 \]
\[ A_2/A_1 = 1.000 \]

(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

Figure 19. - Prediction method comparison for case NGTA0168.
(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

V_o/C_o = 1.497  \quad T_{b2}/T_{o} = 2.864
V_2/V_1 = 1.000  \quad T_{b2}/T_{b1} = 1.000
A_2/A_1 = 1.000

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

Figure 20. - Prediction method comparison for case NGTC0115.
EMPIRICAL NOISE PREDICTION BASED ON
ALL DATA SETS

Sound Pressure Level, SPL, dB

STD. ERROR = 0.819 dB

180 315 630 1250 2500 5000 10000 20000
Frequency, f Hz

(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

EMPIRICAL NOISE PREDICTION BASED ON
ALL DATA SETS

Sound Pressure Level, SPL, dB

STD. ERROR = 0.671 dB

180 315 630 1250 2500 5000 10000 20000
Frequency, f Hz

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.

EMPIRICAL NOISE PREDICTION BASED ON
ALL DATA SETS

Sound Pressure Level, SPL, dB

STD. ERROR = 1.479 dB

180 315 630 1250 2500 5000 10000 20000
Frequency, f Hz

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

Figure 21. - Prediction method comparison for case NGTD0018.
V_e/C = .883  T_e/T_e = 1.352
V_2/V_1 = 1.000  T_2/T_1 = 1.000
A_2/A_1 = 1.000

(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

Figure 22. - Prediction method comparison for case PWA00404.
(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

V_e/C_e = .792  \quad T_{e2}/T_e = 3.069
V_2/V_1 = 1.000  \quad T_{e2}/T_{e1} = 1.000
A_2/A_1 = 1.000

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

Figure 23. - Prediction method comparison for case PWA02205.
\[
V_e/C_e = 1.179 \quad T_w/T_e = 3.012
V_2/V_1 = 1.000 \quad T_w/T_1 = 1.000
A_2/A_1 = 1.000
\]

(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

Figure 24. - Prediction method comparison for case SNCA0092.
Figure 25. - Prediction method comparison for case LGCA0048.

\[
V_e/C_e = 1.324 \quad T_e/T_e = 3.131 \\
V_2/V_1 = 1.000 \quad T_2/T_1 = 1.000 \\
A_2/A_1 = 1.000
\]
Figure 26. - Prediction method comparison for case LEW0137.

(a) Directivity angle = 95 degrees.

(b) Directivity angle = 115 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 151 degrees.

\[
\begin{align*}
V_o/C_o & = 1.775 & \gamma_2/\gamma_1 & = 4.094 \\
V_2/V_1 & = 1.000 & \gamma_2/\gamma_1 & = 1.000 \\
A_2/A_1 & = 1.000
\end{align*}
\]
(b) Directivity angle = 113 degrees.

(a) Directivity angle = 93 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 147 degrees.

Figure 27. - Prediction method comparison for case LEW0224.
Figure 28. - Prediction method comparison for case LEW0229.
(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

Figure 29. - Prediction method comparison for case NGTA0038.
(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

$V_o/C_{oo} = .284 \quad T_o/T_{oo} = 1.227$

$V_2/V_1 = .398 \quad T_2/T_1 = .412$

$A_2/A_1 = 6.001$

Figure 30. - Prediction method comparison for case NGTA0050.
Figure 31. - Prediction method comparison for case NGTA0074.
Figure 32. - Prediction method comparison for case NGTC0004.

V_o/C_\infty = 1.050 \quad T_2/T_\infty = 1.466

V_2/V_1 = 0.602 \quad T_{2}/T_{1} = 0.359

A_2/A_1 = 2.000
Figure 33. - Prediction method comparison for case NGTC0039.

(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

\[ \frac{V_0}{C_0} = 0.977 \quad \frac{T_0}{T_0} = 1.256 \]

\[ \frac{V_2}{V_1} = 0.598 \quad \frac{T_2}{T_1} = 0.355 \]

\[ \frac{A_2}{A_1} = 4.300 \]
Figure 34. - Prediction method comparison for case PWA00703.

\[ \frac{V_e}{C_\infty} = 1.134 \quad \frac{T_{t_2}}{T_{t_1}} = 2.006 \]
\[ \frac{V_2}{V_1} = 0.802 \quad \frac{T_{t_2}}{T_{t_1}} = 0.482 \]
\[ \frac{A_2}{A_1} = 0.750 \]
V_o/C_o = 1.189  \quad T_3/T_o = 2.347  
V_2/V_1 = .704  \quad T_2/T_1 = .376  
A_2/A_1 = .750 

(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.  
(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

Figure 35. - Prediction method comparison for case PWA00803.
Figure 36. - Prediction method comparison for case SNCA0155.
(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

Figure 37. - Prediction method comparison for case SNCB0010.
\[ V_2/C_\infty = 0.756 \quad T_4/T_\infty = 1.372 \]
\[ V_2/V_1 = 0.706 \quad T_4/T_1 = 0.431 \]
\[ A_2/A_1 = 3.917 \]

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.

(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

Figure 38. - Prediction method comparison for case SNC80017.
(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

\[ V_e/C_\infty = 0.685 \quad T_{12}/T_{\infty} = 1.563 \]
\[ V_2/V_1 = 0.402 \quad T_{21}/T_{11} = 0.386 \]
\[ A_2/A_1 = 3.917 \]

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

Figure 39. - Prediction method comparison for case SNCB0027.
Figure 40. - Prediction method comparison for case SNCB0030.

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.

(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

\[ V_1/C_1 = 0.513 \quad T_1/T_4 = 1.466 \]

\[ V_2/V_1 = 0.597 \quad T_2/T_4 = 0.467 \]

\[ A_2/A_1 = 2.249 \]
(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

\[ V_w/C_w = 0.897 \quad T_{12}/T_{10} = 1.588 \]
\[ V_2/V_1 = 0.601 \quad T_{12}/T_{11} = 0.383 \]
\[ A_2/A_1 = 2.249 \]

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

Figure 41. - Prediction method comparison for case SNCB0040.
\( V_0/C_0 = 0.702 \quad T_0/T_\infty = 1.524 \)
\( V_2/V_1 = 0.591 \quad T_2/T_1 = 0.418 \)
\( A_2/A_1 = 2.249 \)

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.
(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.
(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

Figure 42. - Prediction method comparison for case SNCB0047.
Figure 43. - Prediction method comparison for case SNCB0054.
Figure 44. - Prediction method comparison for case SNCB0065.

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.

(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

\[ V_e/C_\infty = 0.733 \quad T_{t_2}/T_\infty = 1.275 \]
\[ V_2/V_1 = 0.695 \quad T_{t_2}/T_1 = 0.420 \]
\[ A_2/A_1 = 6.093 \]
(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

{Graph showing sound pressure level vs frequency with directivity angle 120 degrees and various data points.}

\[ \frac{V_v}{C_m} = 0.943 \quad \frac{T_{v2}}{T_{\infty}} = 1.305 \]
\[ \frac{V_2}{V_1} = 0.702 \quad \frac{T_{v2}}{T_{\infty}} = 0.384 \]
\[ \frac{A_2}{A_1} = 6.093 \]

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

{Graph showing sound pressure level vs frequency with directivity angle 150 degrees and various data points.}

Figure 45. - Prediction method comparison for case SNB006B.
Figure 46. Prediction method comparison for case LGCB0001.

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.

(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

\[ V_e/C_\infty = 0.658 \quad T_e/T_\infty = 1.687 \]
\[ V_2/V_1 = 1.016 \quad T_2/T_1 = 1.000 \]
\[ A_2/A_1 = 2.930 \]
(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

Figure 47. - Prediction method comparison for case LGCB0006.
(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.

(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

Figure 48. - Prediction method comparison for case LGCB0012.
(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

Figure 49. - Prediction method comparison for case LGCB0024.
V_0/C_0 = .648  \quad T_{t_0}/T_\infty = 1.691
V_2/V_1 = .919  \quad T_{t_2}/T_{t_1} = .511
A_2/A_1 = 2.930

Figure 50. - Prediction method comparison for case LGCB0026.
Figure 5.1 - Prediction method comparison for case LGCB0030.

(b) Directivity angle = 120 degrees.

(a) Directivity angle = 90 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 150 degrees.

\[ V_0/C_0 = 0.642 \quad T_{10}/T_{10} = 1.695 \]
\[ V_2/V_1 = 0.499 \quad T_{12}/T_{14} = 0.478 \]
\[ A_2/A_1 = 2.930 \]
Figure 52. - Prediction method comparison for case LEWO243.
\( V_0/C_\infty = .942 \quad T_{t_0}/T_\infty = 1.926 \)
\( V_2/V_1 = .365 \quad T_{t_2}/T_{t_1} = .250 \)
\( A_2/A_1 = 2.000 \)

(b) Directivity angle = 115 degrees.

(a) Directivity angle = 95 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 148 degrees.

Figure 53. - Prediction method comparison for case LEW0245.
Figure 54. - Prediction method comparison for case LEW0248.

(b) Directivity angle = 115 degrees.

(c) Directivity angle = 148 degrees.
This report presents an empirical method for predicting the static free field source noise levels of subsonic circular and coaxial jet flow streams. The method was developed from an extensive data base of 817 jet tests obtained from five different government and industry sources in three nations.

The prediction method defines the jet noise in terms of four components which are overall power level, power spectrum level, directivity index, and relative spectrum level. The values of these noise level components are defined on a grid consisting of seven frequency parameter values (Strouhal numbers) and seven directivity angles. The value of the noise level at each of these grid points is called a noise level coordinate and were defined as a function of five jet exhaust flow state parameters which are equivalent jet velocity, equivalent jet total temperature, the velocity ratio (outer stream to inner stream), temperature ratio, and area ratio. The functions were obtained by curve fitting in a least squares sense the noise level coordinates from the data base in a five dimensional flow state space using a third order Taylor series. The noise level coordinates define the component noise levels for all frequencies and directivities through a bicubic spline function.

The empirical method here reduces the data base of approximately 200,000 sound pressure level measurements to a table of 2300 constants, which can be used for predicting the jet noise. Because this prediction method is derived from a least squares approximation to the data base, the mean error is 0 dB. The standard error of the prediction is less than 1.0 dB at the frequencies and directivities where the peak noise levels occur.