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Summary

The ion-molecule and radical-molecule mechanisms are responsible for the dissociation of hydrocarbon, silane, and chlorosilane monomers and the formation of polymerized species, respectively, in an rf plasma discharge. In a plasma containing a mixture of monomer and argon the rate-determining step for both dissociation and polymerization is governed by an ion-molecule type of interaction. Adding hydrogen or nitrogen to the monomer-argon mixture transforms the rate-determining step from an ion-molecule interaction to a radical-molecule interaction for both monomer dissociation and polymerization.

Introduction

Hydrocarbons and chlorosilanes (silane or organosilicones) are the monomers from which refractory compounds or composite ceramic materials such as carbon-carbons (refs. 1 and 2), silicon-carbides (refs. 3 and 4), and silicon nitrides (refs. 5 and 6) are produced. Chemical vapor deposition (CVD) (refs. 7 to 9) and plasma processing (or plasma-activated CVD) (refs. 10 and 11) are techniques used in forming these materials and in improving the ceramic products obtained by other techniques such as sintering, reaction bonding, and hot pressing. Since these ceramics are potential materials for the transportation industry (refs. 12 to 14) and in semiconductors (refs. 15 and 16), better control of the refractory compound fabrication process is essential. Understanding the homogeneous and heterogeneous reactions occurring in the plasma (or gas) state and in the plasma-substrate region is a necessity for better control.

In radiofrequency (rf) discharges hydrocarbons such as methane, acetylene, and propylene (refs. 17 and 18) polymerize and form solid pyrocarbon (refs. 19 to 21). In similar discharges silane (refs. 22 to 25) or chlorosilanes (refs. 26 to 30) form solid silicon. Adding ammonia to silane or chlorosilanes in an rf plasma results in the deposition of Si3N4 (refs. 31 and 32); organosilicones such as tetramethyl silane (ref. 33) in an argon rf plasma form solid SiC. Introducing rare gases (Ar or He) into an rf plasma enhances the dissociation of the monomer, its polymerization, and the deposition of both pyrocarbon (refs. 19 to 21 and 34 to 36) and silicon (refs. 23 to 29). In an rf discharge argon, playing the role of a charge carrier, enhances the ionization of hydrocarbon species by charge transfer reactions and by Penning ionization (refs. 19 to 21). The presence of argon in the discharge enhances, as well, the deposition rate on grounded or negatively biased substrates (refs. 17 to 21).

The aim in the present work is to shed more light on the nature of the mechanism and kinetics in the homogeneous reactions of hydrocarbons and chlorosilanes in rf plasmas—in other words, to find the rate-determining steps in dissociating the monomer and forming the polymerized species. Two different plasmas, one containing the monomer mixed with argon and the other containing hydrogen (or ammonia) as well, were diagnosed and compared by the following characteristics:

1. Mean electron energy $T_e$ and plasma density $n_i$
2. Overall reaction rate $k_o$ and local reaction rate $k_L$ for monomer dissociation and formation of polymerized species, respectively, in different locations in the plasma
3. Relative concentration of free radicals in different locations in the plasma
4. Deposition rate as measured on floating, grounded, and biased (-100 V) solid substrates

These diagnostics were performed by varying plasma parameters such as total gas pressure, relative concentration of the monomer in the gas mixtures (i.e., its partial pressure), and net power input to the plasma at different locations in the plasma and at constant flow velocity.

Two general mechanisms of homogeneous reactions are found in the literature:

1. Positive ion-molecule reactions (refs. 34 to 41)
2. Radical-molecule reactions (refs. 43 to 45).

Which of the two mechanisms is rate determining in the homogeneous or the heterogeneous reactions in a given plasma system has not yet been solved. Both mechanisms are considered in the present work.

To discern which of the two mechanisms is rate determining in a plasma system, a general criterion is needed. Free radicals, positive ions, and negative ions are continuously formed in the plasma as a result of the interaction of the gas monomer molecules with the plasma's energetic electrons. The concentrations per unit volume of plasma (cm$^{-3}$) of free radicals $n_R$, positive ions $n_I$, and negative ions $n_N$ and their spatial gradients
are variables entering into the kinetics of reactions with monomer molecules. Another variable controlling the kinetics is the reactivity of the radicals and the charged ions (i.e., the cross sections \( \sigma_R, \sigma_i, \) and \( \sigma_N \) of such reactions). The probability \( P \) is defined as the product of \( n \) and \( \sigma \) in a given location \( z \) along the plasma system. Thus if \( n_R\sigma_R > n_i\sigma_i \), then \( P_R > P_i \) and the probability of radical-molecule interaction is higher than the probability of ion-molecule interaction at \( z \), and vice versa for \( n_i\sigma_i > n_R\sigma_R \) at \( z \).

**Experimental Procedure**

The rf plasma was induced by an rf coil wound around a 13-cm-diameter Pyrex reactor energized by a 13.56-MHz generator as described in references 21 and 40. The reactor was kept at constant conditions by adjusting the composition and flow of the gas mixture while maintaining the desired pressure between 1.0 and 10.0 torr. Starting materials for the hydrocarbon plasma were \( \text{C}_3\text{H}_6 \) (CP, Matheson) and argon (UHP, Matheson). For the silicon or silicon nitride plasma, \( \text{SiCl}_4 \) vapor was introduced from its liquid (UP, Alfa Venturon) by using argon as the carrier gas. Additional argon, hydrogen (UHP, Matheson), and ammonia (arhydrous, 99.99 percent, Matheson) were mixed with the \( \text{SiCl}_4 \)-saturated argon as necessary. Three positions were established and considered as shown in figure 1(a): position H—upstream, at the beginning of the plasma, where the fresh reactants approached the rf coil; position G—at the center of the rf coil, where maximum energy density was delivered to the plasma; and position F—downstream, where unreacted gases left the plasma. Positions H, G, and F were 5.0 cm apart, which in terms of time, at 10.0 torr for example, means that they were 45 ms apart at the given flow rate and pumping speed (refs. 40 and 45). This is referred to as the overall reaction time \( \tau_0 \).

Four methods were used to characterize the plasma:

(1) Double floating probes system (DFPS): This is a simple method whereby the values of electron energy \( T_e \) and ion density \( n_i \) can be evaluated. Essentially the method consists of inserting two tungsten probes into the plasma and measuring the volt-ampere characteristics. The setup and measuring procedures are quite simple, but the physical and mathematical evaluations of \( T_e \) and \( n_i \) are somewhat elaborate and are described elsewhere (ref. 21).

(2) Quadrupole mass spectrometry (QMS): In this method species from the plasma are extracted to the analyzing mass spectrometer by differential pumping through two small (200 \( \mu \)m) orifices. The fully detailed experimental data were presented previously (ref. 45). From the interpretation of the mass spectrometric data, kinetic information in the plasma can be obtained. By considering different plasma parameters, two kinds of kinetic constants were evaluated. The overall reaction rate constant \( k_o \) was evaluated from the plot of the \( m/e \) normalized concentration \( I/\Sigma I \) of each monomer mass peak versus its position in the plasma. The local reaction rate constant \( k_L \) (ref. 46) was evaluated from a plot of each mass species \( I/\Sigma I \) versus pressure.

In the \( \text{SiCl}_4 \) plasma, hydrogen or ammonia was introduced by mixing it with the additional argon at a known concentration. In the hydrocarbon plasma on the other hand, hydrogen was evolved from the fragmentation of the hydrocarbons, and its concentration in the plasma was measured by its mass spectrometric peak height. No additional hydrogen was added. The hydrogen concentration in the hydrocarbon plasma was varied by varying the amount of \( \text{C}_3\text{H}_6 \) in the argon flow (4 percent \( \text{H}_2 \) from 16 vol\% \( \text{C}_3\text{H}_6 \) and 12 percent \( \text{H}_2 \) from 66 vol\% \( \text{C}_3\text{H}_6 \) (refs. 19 and 40).

(3) Electron parametric resonance (EPR): The radical concentration in the plasma was indirectly determined by using an EPR spectrometer. Figure 1(b) shows a schematic setup for EPR sampling in position F. Radicals from the plasma were adsorbed and stabilized on alu-

---

**Figure 1.** Experimental apparatus.
The alumina was pretreated in the reactor enclave by slowly heating and degasing it before connecting it to the plasma system. Sampling at the various plasma positions (H, G, and F) was achieved by moving the rf coil along the reactor. The plasma was activated for a fixed time and radicals were adsorbed by the alumina. Before each set of experiments a blank sampling was taken for comparison. After each sampling process the alumina was transferred to an EPR tube and the EPR spectrum was recorded. Since the radicals were adsorbed on the solid, the resolution of the EPR spectrum was poor and different radicals could not be identified. However, the peak intensity served as an indicator for the relative radical concentration in the plasma.

Deposition rate: The deposition rate of silicon or pyrocarbon was evaluated by inserting a solid substrate into the plasma for a fixed time. Pyrocarbon was deposited on commercial ATJ graphite placed at position F. Silicon and Si3N4 were deposited on a similar graphite substrate and on stainless martensitic steel (AISI-410), both at position H. The significance and reasoning for placing the two substrates at the various locations in the plasma are discussed by Manory et al. (ref. 45). Both substrates were mounted on a metal rod extending out of the reactor so that the substrates could be left floating, grounded by earth connection, or connected to an external dc power source for biasing.

Deposition rates of pyrocarbon, silicon, and Si3N4 were evaluated by thickness measurements as \( \frac{dh}{dt} \) (\( \mu \text{m} \text{ h}^{-1} \)), where \( h \) is thickness and \( t \) is time. Corrections were made for the inequality of deposition rate on the two sides of the substrates. The coated substrates were cut and polished and the thicknesses were measured on the section by optical microscope (and by SEM for Si3N4 coatings).

**Results and Discussion**

**Electron Energy and Plasma Density**

In position G, at the center of the rf coil (maximum energy density delivered to the plasma), at 100-W net power input, adding hydrogen or ammonia to the plasma inhibited the values of both mean electron energy \( T_e \) and positive ion density \( n_i \) as shown by the ratios (smaller than 1.0) in table I. For the SiCl4-argon plasma when hydrogen or ammonia was added, the inhibition effects on \( T_e \) and \( n_i \) were stronger than the effect of hydrogen on the C3H6-argon plasma. As shown in table I, the inhibition effect of hydrogen or ammonia increased with increasing pressure in the plasma (i.e., the inhibition was an inverse function of the mean free path of the interacting particles in the plasma).

The lower values of \( n_i \) in the presence of 20 vol% hydrogen or 15 vol% ammonia show an inhibition in the ionization process for the following reasons:

1. The amount of energetic electrons (above 12 eV) needed for the ionization of SiCl4 was reduced as shown by the lower \( T_e \) values in table I.

**Table I. - Electron Energy and Ion Density RATIOS IN Radiofrequency or microwave Plasmas of Ar, Ar + H2, Ar + NH3 and Ar + N2 Gas Mixtures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure, torr</th>
<th>( \frac{C_3H_6(SiH_4) + H_2 + Ar}{C_3H_6(SiH_4) + Ar} )</th>
<th>( \frac{SiCl_4 + H_2(NH_3) + Ar}{SiCl_4 + Ar} )</th>
<th>( \frac{SiH_4 + N_2 + Ar}{SiH_4 + Ar} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Electron energy ratio, \( T_e \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion density ratio, ( n_i )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( a(66 \text{ vol\% } C_3H_6 + 12 \text{ vol\% } H_2)/Ar \)  
\( b[3.5 \text{ vol\% } SiCl_4 + 20 \text{ vol\% } H_2(15 \text{ vol\% } NH_3)]/Ar \)  
\( c(5 \text{ vol\% } SiH_4 + 15 \text{ vol\% } N_2)/Ar \)  

(5 vol% SiH4 + 10 vol% H2)/Ar  
5 vol% SiH4/Ar
The charge transfer reaction \( \text{Ar}^+ + \text{SiCl}_4^+ + \text{Ar} \) was partly replaced by excited \( \text{Ar}^* \) particles and \( \text{H}^* \) radicals (refs. 47 and 48):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ar}^+ + \text{H}_2 & \rightarrow (\text{ArH})^+ + \text{H}^- \\
\text{ArH}^+ + \text{H}_2 & \rightarrow \text{Ar} + \text{H}_3^+ \\
\text{ArH}^+ + e^- & \rightarrow \text{Ar}^* + \text{H}^-
\end{align*}
\]

\( \text{H}_3^+ + e^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{H}^* \) 

Overall and Local Kinetics

The species obtained from the \( \text{SiCl}_4 \)-argon-hydrogen (or ammonia) plasma and the \( \text{SiCl}_4 \)-argon plasma are presented in figure 2 at various plasma positions. Similar plots were obtained for the \( \text{C}_3\text{H}_6 \) plasma and were published in reference 40. The overall and local reaction rates were extracted as follows (ref. 40): Because of the high excess of the monomer in the plasma the chemical reaction of the sort \( \text{M} + S^\pm \rightarrow \text{P} \), where \( \text{M} \) is the reactant monomer, \( S^\pm \) is some species in the plasma (ion or radical), and \( \text{P} \) is the products, can be considered a pseudo-first-order reaction in \( \text{M} \) and \( S \). For the dissociation of \( \text{M} \) and the formation of \( \text{P} \) the overall kinetics apply. Since at all positions (H, G, and F) in the plasma steady-state conditions exist, the usual kinetic model applies:

\[
\int_{\tau_0=0}^{\tau_0=\text{G}} d \ln \left( \frac{I}{\Sigma I} \right) = k_0 \tau_o 
\]

for monomer

The overall reaction rate \( k_o \) was evaluated from the slope of the plot of \( I_{\text{monomer}}/\Sigma I \) versus position and the value of \( \tau_o \) (as described in the section Experimental Procedure) for the distances H-G and G-F at given flow rates and pressure.

For the species \( S \), whose residence time is considerably shorter, a different model for the rate constant was evaluated by Fields et al. (ref. 46). The final equation reads

\[
k_{LT} P = \ln(I/\Sigma I)
\]

where \( \tau_L \) is the ion or radical residence time and \( P \) is the reactant partial pressure. Thus by plotting \( \ln(I/\Sigma I) \) versus \( P \), the slope \( k_{LT} P \) is evaluated (ref. 40). The ionic residence time can be evaluated by using its mobility and the Langevin relationship (refs. 49 and 50). The radical residence time was evaluated from its velocity and collision frequency.

The overall reaction rate constants \( k_o \) for the dissociation of \( \text{C}_3\text{H}_6 \) and \( \text{SiCl}_4 \) between positions H and G, for the rf plasma, are given in table II at 100 W and 1.0 torr. Because the concentration of hydrogen in the 66 vol\% \( \text{C}_3\text{H}_6 \)-argon plasma (refs. 19 and 40) was higher than that in the 16 vol\% \( \text{C}_3\text{H}_6 \)-argon plasma, monomer dissociation and the formation of products were inhibited by a factor of about 3. In other words, the direction of the homogeneous reaction was reversed:

\[
\text{C}_3\text{H}_6 \quad \overset{\text{low (H\textsubscript{2})}}{\longrightarrow} \quad \text{Products} \quad \overset{\text{high (H\textsubscript{2})}}{\longrightarrow}
\]

This behavior is shown as well in figure 3, where the overall reaction rate constant \( k_o \) of \( \text{C}_3\text{H}_6 \) increased when the concentration of \( \text{C}_3\text{H}_6 \) in the gas mixture (\( \text{C}_3\text{H}_6 + \text{Ar} \)) was decreased. When 100 vol\% \( \text{C}_3\text{H}_6 \) was present in the plasma, its dissociation was due mainly to the interaction with the energetic plasma electrons, corresponding to \( k_o = 50 \text{ s}^{-1} \) for a pressure of 1.0 torr. The hydrocarbon concentration in the gas stream was lowered by mixing...
C₃H₆ with argon, and a charge transfer reaction from Ar⁺ and Penning ionization occurred with the argon-excited metastable state (11.55 eV). Thus the dissociation rate of the monomer was enhanced.

As shown in table II, for the SiCl₄-argon plasma, adding hydrogen or ammonia enhanced the dissociation of SiCl₄ and the resulting products. The admixtures of 20 vol% hydrogen to 3.5 vol% SiCl₄ in argon enhanced $k_0$ values about 40 times. For 15 vol% NH₃ the $k_0$ values were enhanced about 25 times.

The values of $k_L$, the local reaction rate constant, for some of the products (polymers) in the plasma state, formed from the dissociation of the monomer, are shown in table III and figure 4. The rate of formation of the polymeric species, represented by C₄H₈, C₃H₂, and C₄H₄, etc., was hindered by hydrogen for a higher concentration of propylene in the C₃H₆-argon stream. Values of the local reaction rate ratio $K_L$ were below 1.0 and were about the same order of magnitude as the values of the overall reaction rate ratio $K_o$ (table II). This indicated that in the hydrocarbon plasma the dissociation and formation rates of the polymeric species are governed by the same mechanism (refs. 21 and 40). Comparing the results given in table III with those of figure 3, where the reaction rate constant increases with increasing argon concentration in the gas stream, might lead to the conclusion that an Ar⁺ ion-molecule mechanism governs the kinetics of dissociation $k_o$ as well as formation $k_L$ of the polymerized molecules.

For the chlorosilane monomer the rate of formation of the polymerized silicon species was enhanced by adding hydrogen or ammonia in the gas stream, as shown in table III. In the SiCl₄ plasma the values of $k_L$ were about one order of magnitude lower than the values of $k_o$ from table II (i.e., $k_o > k_L$). Thus when hydrogen or ammonia is present in the SiCl₄-argon plasma, another mechanism besides the ion-molecule mechanism controls the values of both $K_o$ and $K_L$ for the chlorosilicones. The values of $K_L$ in table III were derived by assuming an ion-molecule interaction for the $\tau_L$ calculation. To find out if a radical or positive ion mechanism was responsible for the dissociation of the monomer, the formation of polymerized species, and the deposition of silicon, Si₃N₄, and pyro-

---

**TABLE II. OVERALL REACTION RATE CONSTANTS ($k_o$) FOR THE DISSOCIATION OF C₃H₆, SiH₄, AND SiCl₄**

[Input power, 100 W; positions H + G: pressure, 1.0 torr; overall reaction time, $\tau_o$, 2.2 m/s.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas mixture, vol%</th>
<th>Plasma</th>
<th>C₃H₆</th>
<th>SiH₄</th>
<th>SiCl₄</th>
<th>$k_o = \frac{k_o(Ar + H_2)(NH_3)}{k_o(Ar)}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C₃H₆ + Ar</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₃H₆ + Ar</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiH₄ + Ar</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiCl₄ + Ar</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiCl₄ + H₂ + Ar</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiCl₄ + NH₃ + Ar</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Figure 3. — Overall reaction rate constant as function of argon concentration in C₃H₆ plasma at 400 W and various pressures.
TABLE III. - LOCAL REACTION RATE CONSTANTS

[Input power, 100 W; pressure = 1 torr.]

(a) Position F

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasma species</th>
<th>Plasma</th>
<th>Local reaction rate constant, a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66 vol% C₃H₆ + Ar</td>
<td>kₐ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂H₄⁺</td>
<td>0.30x10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>1.9x10⁻¹⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂H₄⁺</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₄H₂⁺</td>
<td>.60</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₄H₆⁺</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₄H₈⁺</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Position H

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasma species</th>
<th>Plasma</th>
<th>Local reaction rate constant, b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.5 vol% SiCl₄ + 20 vol% H₂ + Ar</td>
<td>kₐ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si₂Cl₂⁺</td>
<td>10.0x10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>4.0x10⁻¹⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si₂Cl₄⁺</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si₃Cl₅⁺</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si₄Cl₇⁺</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si₅Cl⁺</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aSame kₐ values were obtained for silane species in 100 vol% SiH₄/5 vol% SiH₄ in argon.
bSame kₐ values were obtained in 3.5 vol% SiCl₄ + 15 vol% NH₃ in argon and 3.5 vol% SiCl₄ in argon.

carbon, the probability criterion (see Introduction) should be applied.

The following experiments were performed:
(1) Electron paramagnetic resonance spectrometry for evaluating the free radical concentration nᵣ at a given location in the plasma
(2) Deposition rate on floating or grounded substrates

The reason for performing these experiments is as follows: In general, the cross-section values for charge transfer reactions σₙ are in the range 10⁻¹⁵ to 10⁻¹⁶ cm² (refs. 50 and 51) and those for radical interactions σᵣ are in the range 10⁻¹⁸ to 10⁻²⁰ cm². Comparing only the closest extremes σₙ = 10⁻¹⁶ cm² with σᵣ = 10⁻¹⁸ cm² (i.e., approx two orders of magnitude) allows the product to be compensated by nᵣ = 10² nᵣ (refs. 42 and 43) at pressures above 1.0 torr, where nᵣ = 10¹¹ cm⁻³ (table I). For such conditions using the probability criterion means equal probability for both radical- and ion-molecule mechanisms.

Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR)

Typical EPR spectra with and without hydrogen in the SiCl₄-argon plasma are shown in figure 5. The spectrum for ammonia is shown in reference 31. The behavior of the normalized EPR intensity of free radicals at the three plasma locations (H, G, and F) with increasing power is shown in figure 6. For the C₃H₆-argon plasma with 20 vol% hydrogen at the gas feed, increasing the induced power increased the normalized EPR intensity for positions H and G, but for position F EPR intensity peaked at 100 W. At 150 W the normalized amount of free radicals was about 15 times higher in position G than
Argon concentration, vol%  

Figure 4. - Local reaction rate constant as function of argon concentration in C3H6 plasma at 100 W and 5.0 torr.
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Figure 5. - EPR spectra of free radicals adsorbed on alumina.
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Figure 6. - Normalized EPR intensity of free radicals as function of input power.

varying the rf power produced a maximum normalized EPR intensity in position H, a lower intensity in position G (where the maximum energy density was introduced to the plasma), and a minimum intensity in position F. In other words, the normalized amount of free radicals was highest upstream, where the gases entered the plasma, and lowest downstream, where the gases, after reacting, left the plasma.

In a plasma of solely 20 vol% hydrogen and argon no free radicals were detected in the alumina. The H· radicals quickly recombine to hydrogen in alumina. Therefore the free radicals detected in plasma containing hydrogen and argon were only those due to the partial dissociation of SiCl4 or C3H6. Moreover it should be remembered that adding 20 vol% hydrogen to a 16 vol% C3H6-argon plasma reduces the calculated values of k_o and k_i (tables II and III). The high relative concentration of radicals n_R shown in position G with increasing power indicates that the polymerized species were recombining. In other words, monomer is reformed. For the SiCl4-argon plasma adding either 20 vol% hydrogen or 15 vol% ammonia in positions H and G also resulted in higher relative n_R values and favorably affected k_o and k_i by increasing both the dissociation of the monomer and the formation of the polysilicon species.

The role played by hydrogen in forming free radicals from the monomers is indicated in table IV, where EPR
intensity ratios $\beta$ are given for position G at 100 W. For propylene concentrations of 16 and 66 vol%, without hydrogen added to the gas mixture, equal amounts of free radicals were formed (i.e., $\beta = \text{unity}$). In other hydrocarbon plasmas, when 20 vol% hydrogen was added to the gas stream, the amount of free radicals was enhanced by at least one order of magnitude. Similar results for $\beta$ were obtained for the chlorosilanes with 20 vol% hydrogen in argon. Assuming that the amount of free radicals adsorbed on alumina represented their concentration in the plasma, the addition of 20 vol% hydrogen increased $\beta$ values and promoted the free radical interaction with the monomer for the same $\sigma_R$. A higher concentration of free radicals $n_R$ hindered the polymerization of hydrocarbons but enhanced the polymerization of chlorosilanes.

### Deposition Rate on Floating and Grounded Substrates

The deposition rate ($\mu$m h$^{-1}$) of pyrocarbons on a graphite substrate placed in position F (position of highest deposition rate (ref. 40)) and the EPR intensity of free radicals are shown as functions of total gas pressure in a 16 vol% C$_3$H$_6$-argon plasma in figure 7. The deposition rate on the grounded substrate in the rf plasma was highest at about 7.0 torr. The maximum EPR intensity under identical conditions was obtained at 1.0 torr and decreased with increasing pressure. The deposition rate of pyrocarbon was modeled in a previous publication (ref. 51). From this model it emerged that the flux of positive ions, accelerated by the electrical field in the sheath, forms around the either grounded or negatively biased ($-100$ V) substrate, impinges on the substrate surface, and releases sputtered particles and secondary electrons from it. These emitted secondary electrons are accelerated in the sheath toward the plasma and form a high-energy electron beam that reacts with and ionizes the free particles in the plasma layer (ref. 51). The maximum deposition rate in figure 7 corresponds to

![Figure 7. Deposition rate and EPR intensity as function of total gas pressure for 16 vol% C$_3$H$_6$-argon plasma at 400 W.](image)
TABLE V. - SILICON AND Si3N4 DEPOSITION RATE FROM SiCl4 + Ar
WITH AND WITHOUT HYDROGEN OR AMMONIA ADDITION
FOR IDENTICAL PLASMA CONDITIONS
[Input power, 150 W; pressure, 2 torr; position H.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas mixture, vol%</th>
<th>Substrate connection</th>
<th>Deposition ratea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 vol% SiCl4 + Ar</td>
<td>Grounded</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 vol% SiCl4 + 15 vol% H2 + Ar</td>
<td>Grounded</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 vol% SiCl4 + 15 vol% NH3 + Ar</td>
<td>Floating</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grounded</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Floating</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Measured on one side of 20- by 40-mm steel substrate to compare deposition rates for all substrates. Calculated values (for one side) of \( \frac{dG}{dt} \), mg h\(^{-1}\), or \( \frac{dh}{dt} \), \( \mu \text{m h}^{-1} \), on the grounded connection are presented.

a minimum free radical concentration; thus it might be concluded that an ion-molecule mechanism governs the deposition of pyrocarbons rather than a radical-molecule mechanism. The highest deposition rate was obtained for a 16 vol% C3H6-argon plasma, indicating the upper limit of propylene concentration in the gas mixture. For plasmas with higher than 16 vol% C3H6 concentration, which evolve higher amounts of hydrogen, the pyrocarbon deposition rate decreased continuously. Thus a high hydrogen concentration, which hinders ion-molecule reactions, decreases the pyrocarbon deposition rate. The pyrocarbon deposition rate on a floating graphite substrate was even lower (below 1.0 \( \mu \text{m h}^{-1} \)). This is in accordance with the ion-molecule mechanism shown in table V for the deposition rates of silicon and Si3N4. If the rate-determining step in the presence of hydrogen or ammonia was an ion-molecule mechanism, the highest deposition rate should have been obtained on a negatively biased substrate or a grounded substrate. If it was a radical-molecule mechanism, a higher deposition rate of silicon or Si3N4 should have been obtained on a floating substrate. As shown in table V a higher deposition rate was obtained for a floating substrate than for a grounded substrate. Thus in the presence of hydrogen or ammonia a radical-molecule mechanism governs the formation and deposition of silicon and Si3N4.

Conclusions

It has been shown that two mechanisms are responsible for the dissociation, polymerization, and deposition in rf plasmas of hydrocarbons and chlorosilane monomers: (1) ion-molecule interactions and (2) excited radical-molecule interactions. When argon alone is present in the two plasmas, the rate-determining step is an ion-molecule mechanism. When hydrogen or ammonia as well as argon is present in the two plasmas, the rate-determining step is a radical-molecule mechanism.
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The ion-molecule and radical-molecule mechanisms are responsible for the dissociation of hydrocarbon, silane, and chlorosilane monomers and the formation of polymerized species, respectively, in an rf plasma discharge. In a plasma containing a mixture of monomer and argon the rate-determining step for both dissociation and polymerization is governed by an ion-molecule type of interaction. Adding hydrogen or ammonia to the monomer-argon mixture transforms the rate-determining step from an ion-molecule interaction to a radical-molecule interaction for both monomer dissociation and polymerization.
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