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ABSTRACT

The equations of momentum and continuity are combined and linearized yielding the one dimensional nonhomogeneous acoustic wave equation. Three terms in the nonhomogeneous equation act as acoustic sources and are taken to be forcing functions acting on the homogeneous wave equation. The three source terms are: fluctuating entropy, turbulence gradients, and turbulence-flame interactions. Each source term is discussed. The turbulence-flame interaction source is used as the basis for computing the source acoustic pressure from the Fourier transformed wave equation. Pressure fluctuations created in turbopump gas generators and turbines may act as a forcing function for turbine and propellant tube vibrations in earth to orbit space propulsion systems and could reduce their life expectancy. A preliminary assessment of the acoustic pressure fluctuations in such systems is presented.

INTRODUCTION

Acoustic pressure fluctuations created in flowing systems may be the source of unwanted noise and serve as the unsteady driving force acting on mechanical systems associated with the flow. Aircraft type turbine engine noise has been a problem that has received attention over the past several years. High bypass turbofan engines with lower jet velocities have resulted in large reductions of aircraft jet noise. With the reduction of jet noise, other noise sources have emerged presenting additional problems for researchers working in the noise reduction field. The combustor is one of the acoustic sources whose noise characteristics are shown to be discernible in the farfield under some circumstances (1-2). Aircraft engines operating at reduced power settings have been shown to emit low frequency acoustic waves from the combustor that are transmitted through the turbine, ducting and nozzle to the farfield (3-4). Combustor noise may be expected from any turbulent combustion process.

Of particular timely interest is the similarity between components used in aircraft turbine engine combustor-turbine configurations and the turbopump preburner-turbine configurations used to pump propellants in earth to orbit propulsion systems (5). Two of the primary differences are the propellants and method of mixing the propellants prior to combustion. In both systems, however, after the fuel is mixed with the oxidizer, the combustion process proceeds, the resulting gas expands through the turbine and then flows through the remaining ducting to either the core engine nozzle or to the main rocket engine dome where it passes over liquid oxygen (LOX) tubes in semi-crossflow. For the aircraft engine the pressure fluctuations are the source of unwanted sound. For the space propulsion system the pressure fluctuations become an unsteady driving force causing vibrations in the LOX tubes that could be destructive if not accounted for in their design.

The combustor has been the subject of numerous theoretical and experimental investigations. Reference (6) discusses a few of the reported studies on combustion noise. The objective of the present paper is to present a simplified theoretical model, and prediction of the acoustic pressure generated in the combustor. Using this model a better understanding of the sound sources in combustors can be obtained enabling one to modify and predict their behavior.

All symbols used in this paper are defined in the symbol list, Appendix A.

COMBUSTION NOISE SOURCE MODEL

The model for combustion noise considers one-dimensional duct flow (Fig. 1). Fuel is sprayed into the airstream where turbulence generators, termed turbulators, promote mixing of the fuel with the air (oxidizer). A flame front perpendicular to the duct walls exists downstream of the turbulators at X = 0. The combustion process begins at the flame front and extends downstream to \( X = L_b \), the point where burning ceases. The region between \( X = 0 \) and \( L_b \) is called the combustion zone. The duct extends to infinity in the X direction.
THE GOVERNING DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND ASSUMPTIONS

The second order partial differential equation governing the noise generated within an inviscid, adiabatic, perfect gas, in a one-dimensional duct with negligible fuel mass may be derived using the equations for conservation of mass and momentum respectively given here as:

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} \quad (1)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \rho V}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \quad (2)
\]

ACOUSTIC SOURCE AND WAVE EQUATIONS

The acoustic wave equation describing the sound propagation in a duct is derived by first taking the partial derivative of Eq. (1) with respect to time; then taking the partial derivative of Eq. (2) with respect to \( x \), adding equations; and then adding \((1/C_0)^2 (\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial t^2})\) to both sides of the resulting equation giving:

\[
\frac{1}{C_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x^2} = \frac{1}{C_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \rho V}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \rho V^2}{\partial x^2} \quad (3)
\]

The assumption is made that the instantaneous pressure \( P \), density \( \rho \) and velocity \( V \) are the sum of mean and fluctuating components, that is:

\[
P = P(x) + p(x,t) \quad (4)
\]

\[
\rho = \rho(x) + \rho(x,t) \quad (5)
\]

\[
V = V(x) + v(x,t) \quad (6)
\]

Substituting Eqs. (4) to (6) into Eq. (3), expanding and equating like order terms yields the wave equation as:

\[
\frac{1}{C_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x^2} = \frac{1}{C_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \rho V}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \rho V^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial x^2} \quad (7)
\]

To this point in the derivation nothing has been assumed concerning \( C_0 \) in the above equation. In this work, \( C_0 \), is assumed to be the sonic velocity.

Equation (7) then becomes the acoustic wave equation. It is assumed that outside the combustion zone the sonic velocity is constant, though different, in the upstream and downstream portions of the duct. It is further assumed that turbulence generated pressure fluctuations anywhere in the duct external to the combustion zone are less than the acoustic pressures generated by the combustion process and that no externally generated acoustic waves pass through the combustion zone.

The acoustic pressure is usually given in terms of finite-bandwidth, mean-square pressure fluctuations as a function of frequency. This is accomplished by taking the Fourier transform of Eq. (7), which removes time as a variable and thus simplifies the method of solution. The Fourier transform of Eq. (7) in terms of wave number \( k \) is:

\[
\frac{s^2_p + k^2 p}{s^2_x} = -\sum_{n=1}^{3} \varphi_{n,t} (x,\omega) \quad (8)
\]

where \( \varphi_{n,t} \) are the Fourier transforms of the terms on the right side of Eq. (7). These terms are the acoustic sources, i.e., forcing functions, driving the acoustic wave equation. The source terms are of great interest since knowledge of their characteristics will give insight on how the fluctuating pressures found in the duct are generated. The primary thrust of this work is to define these source terms in detail. Before this can be accomplished, however, the nonhomogeneous equation (Eq. (8)) must be solved.

SOLUTION OF NONHOMOGENEOUS WAVE EQUATION

By using the methods given in Ref. (8) the complete solution of the homogeneous part (i.e., with \( \varphi = 0 \)) of Eq. (8) is

\[
p_{\omega,0} = C_1 e^{ikx} + C_2 e^{-ikx} \quad (9)
\]

With the above complete solution known, the Green's function (9) may be used to write the equation for the fluctuating pressure in the duct propagating away from the combustion zone which constitutes the solution of the nonhomogeneous wave equation (Eq. (8)). For the left and right running waves respectively the pressure is given by:

\[
p_{\omega,L} = \frac{e^{ikx}}{2ik} \int_{0}^{L_b} \varphi_{\omega}(\xi)e^{-ik\xi} d\xi \quad (10)
\]

\[
p_{\omega,R} = \frac{e^{-ikx}}{2ik} \int_{0}^{L_b} \varphi_{\omega}(\xi)e^{ik\xi} d\xi \quad (11)
\]

where

\[
\varphi_{\omega} = -(\varphi_{\omega,1} + \varphi_{\omega,2} + \varphi_{\omega,3}) \quad (12)
\]

The Fourier transformed source terms (i.e. Fourier transform of terms on the right side of Eq. (7)) are defined as:

\[
\varphi_{\omega,1} = -\omega^2 \left( \frac{\rho_{\omega}}{C_0^2} - \delta_{\omega} \right) \quad (13a)
\]

or from Appendix B, Eq. (B14) in terms of fluctuating entropy

\[
\varphi_{1,\omega} = -\frac{\omega p_\omega^2}{C_p} \quad (13)
\]

\[
\varphi_{2,\omega} = -\frac{\omega^2 v^2}{A_{\omega}} \quad (14)
\]
The third acoustic source term \( q_{3,\omega} \) (Eq. (15)) is due to the Laplacian of the product of the turbulent density and the mean velocity. It has been assumed in this work that the turbulent density fluctuations in the combustion zone are constant. With this assumption this source term becomes a function of the Laplacian of the mean velocity, the result of the heat energy added by the combustion process. This source is investigated in detail in Ref. (6).

\[
q_{3,\omega} = -\frac{\delta P_v}{\rho} \frac{V}{t'_{0,\omega}} \left( \frac{1}{L_T} \right)^2 e^{-\frac{\lambda}{L_T}}
\]  

(17)

The third source term from Appendix B, Eq. (B20) is:

\[
q_{3,\omega} = -\frac{\delta P_v}{\rho} \frac{V}{t'_{0,\omega}} \left( \frac{1}{L_T} \right)^2 e^{-\frac{\lambda}{L_T}}
\]  

(18)

ACOUSTIC SOURCE TERM EVALUATION

In this paper no attempt will be made to evaluate the fluctuating entropy or cold flow acoustic sources \( q_{1,\omega} \) and \( q_{2,\omega} \). The fluctuating entropy source \( q_{1,\omega} \) should have low pressure levels if the burner operates stably. The cold flow noise term \( q_{2,\omega} \) appears to act as an upstream fluctuating density generator and as such is amplified when passing through the combustion zone. Thus the third source term \( q_{3,\omega} \) is expected to be the dominant one.

To evaluate \( p_w \) (Eq. (10)), the source term \( q_{3,\omega} \) must be given as a function of distance \( x \). It is shown in Ref. (6) and Appendix B that for Mach numbers much less than unity the velocity is directly proportional to the heat energy input to the air (Appendix B, Eq. (B22)).

By assuming that the fuel droplet mass decreases exponentially with axial distance, the velocity distribution due to the energy released to the air can be determined as a function of \( x \). The second derivative with respect to \( x \) is then substituted into Eq. (15). The Fourier-transformed source term from Appendix B, (Eq. (21)) is

\[
q_{3,\omega} = -\frac{\delta P_v}{\rho} \frac{V}{t'_{0,\omega}} \left( \frac{1}{L_T} \right)^2 e^{-\frac{\lambda}{L_T}}
\]  

(19)

Inserting Eq. (19) into Eq. (10), assuming that the fluctuating density is constant with distance through the combustion zone, and integrating yields the acoustic pressure in polar form as (see derivation in Appendix B, of Ref.[6]).

\[
p_{w,L} = \frac{\eta_c \delta P_v}{\rho} \frac{V}{t'_{0,\omega}} \left( \frac{1}{L_T} \right)^2 e^{-\frac{\lambda}{L_T}} \left[ 1 + (k_x/l_x)^2 \right]^{1/2}
\]  

(20)

where \( 0 < X < L_p \). Equation (20), with dimensions of pressure per unit angular frequency, is used for calculating the overall sound pressure level and the narrowband acoustic spectrum. The amplitude for the right and left running waves are calculated using Eq. (20) with the appropriate sonic velocity inserted in the wave number, \( k \).

In deriving Eq. (20) the conversion of turbulent energy to acoustic energy was assumed to be complete. This, in reality, cannot be accomplished as evidenced by the fact that turbulence does exist downstream of a combustor. To account for this, an acoustic pressure efficiency \( \eta_p \) is introduced and defined as the ratio of the experimentally measured acoustic pressure generated by the combustor \( P_w \) to the acoustic pressure that would be generated if all of the turbulent energy had been converted to an acoustic pressure \( P_{w0} \). From Ref. (6) \( \eta_p \) is given by

\[
\eta_p = \frac{P_w}{P_{w0}}
\]  

(21)
Thus the acoustic pressure efficiency can now be determined for any specific combustor. The value of \( \eta_p \) will probably depend on the combustor type and configuration. Therefore it is necessary that \( \eta_p \) be determined for a number of combustors so that the proper value can be selected when making predictions of combustor pressure fluctuations.

OVERALL SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL

An expression for the overall sound pressure level can be obtained by multiplying Eq. (20) by the acoustic pressure efficiency \( \eta_p \), squaring and then integrating over the frequency range of interest. The resulting equation for \( P^2 \) is

\[
\left( \frac{p}{p_{\text{ref}}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\eta_p}{\eta_p} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\delta_w}{\delta_w} \frac{W_0H}{V_0} \right)^2 = \left( \frac{2p_{\text{ref}} \rho A C_p T_0}{\eta_p} \right)^2
\]

(22)

where the term \( \mathcal{F} \) contains all of the frequency dependent term and is defined as

\[
\mathcal{F}^2 = \int \frac{U_f}{U_L} \frac{2}{1 + (k/\lambda)^2} \, df
\]

(23)

Equation (23) indicates that \( \mathcal{F}^2 \) is inversely proportional to the frequency. Therefore the magnitude of \( \mathcal{F}^2 \) will be determined by the lower limit on frequency, providing that the upper limit is much greater than the lower limit. In determining the OASPL the combustion noise frequency limits are of the order of 50 and 2000 Hz. For a fuel mass decay constant \( \lambda \), defined as \( 2\pi f_L b \), and reasonable sonic velocities, Eq. (23) can be approximated by using the lower frequency limit by

\[
\mathcal{F}^2 = \frac{C^2}{f_L^2} \text{ sec}^{-1}
\]

(24)

The overall sound pressure level (OASPL) equation can now be written for the combustor from Eqs. (22) and (24) as

\[
\text{OASPL} = 20 \log_{10} \frac{\eta_p}{\eta_p} \frac{C_p}{C_p} \frac{\delta_w}{\delta_w} \frac{W_0Hf_0}{V_0} \frac{C}{C} \left( \frac{2p_{\text{ref}} \rho A C_p T_0}{\eta_p} \right)^{1/2}
\]

(25)

The acoustic pressure efficiency \( \eta_p \) can be determined from the measured overall sound pressure level and Eq. (25). The equation for experimentally determining the value of \( \eta_p \) is

\[
\eta_p = \frac{2p_{\text{ref}} \rho A C_p T_0}{\eta_p} \frac{\delta_w}{\delta_w} \frac{W_0Hf_0}{V_0} \frac{C}{C} \times 10^{\text{OASPL}/20}
\]

(26)

Where the local static temperature is used in calculating the sonic velocity, \( C \).

The fluctuating pressure in the combustor of the CF6-50 turbofan engine has been measured (\textcolor{red}{10-11}). The measured overall fluctuating pressure (assumed to be acoustic) in the combustor at 3.8 percent of design thrust has been used in conjunction with Eq. (26) to calculate the acoustic pressure efficiency, \( \eta_p = 0.030 \), for the CF6-50 combustor.

From this acoustic pressure efficiency the overall sound pressure level in the combustor was predicted over the engine thrust range by using Eq. (25). The results are shown in Fig. 2. The theory, given by the solid line, agrees well with the measured fluctuating pressure, given by the symbols.

In light of this discussion it can be concluded that the theory predicts the trends in the combustor overall acoustic pressure with engine operating conditions and, for CF6-50 combustors and similar geometries, an acoustic pressure efficiency of 0.030 can be used in Eq. (26) to predict the combustor overall acoustic pressure. The acoustic pressure efficiency has also been determined for a YF102 engine combustor. This combustor, unlike the CF6-50, is a reverse-flow combustor and is much smaller than the CF6-50. Its acoustic pressure efficiency is 0.016 at 30 percent of design speed. Using the acoustic pressure efficiency of 0.016 yields only a 0.2-dB error in predicting the OASPL at the 95-percent speed. Comparing the acoustic pressure efficiencies shows that the CF6-50 combustor converts the turbulence to acoustic pressure more efficiently than the YF102 combustor.

NARROW-BAND SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL SPECTRUM

The theoretical sound pressure spectrum is given by Eq. (20). Discarding the phase information contained in the exponential term and applying Eq. (21) to account for the efficiency of conversion of turbulence to acoustic pressure, Eq. (20) is written as

\[
\text{SPL} = 20 \log_{10} \frac{\eta_p}{\eta_p} \frac{C_p}{C_p} \frac{\delta_w}{\delta_w} \frac{W_0Hf_0}{V_0} \frac{C}{C} \left( \frac{2p_{\text{ref}} \rho A C_p T_0}{\eta_p} \right)^{1/2}
\]

(27)

The right side of Eq. (27) represents the spectral shape of the acoustic pressure given by Eq. (20).

The sound pressure level spectrum is given by

\[
\text{SPL} = 20 \log_{10} \frac{\eta_p}{\eta_p} \frac{C_p}{C_p} \frac{\delta_w}{\delta_w} \frac{W_0Hf_0}{V_0} \frac{C}{C} \left( \frac{2p_{\text{ref}} \rho A C_p T_0}{\eta_p} \right)^{1/2}
\]

(28)

This equation states that the acoustic pressure level is inversely proportional to the square of the frequency since the term under the radical is small for \( f_L < C \).

The predicted spectral shape is compared with the measured narrow-band spectra for the CF6-50 engine (\textcolor{red}{10}) in Fig. 3. The measured fluctuating pressure level in the combustor of the CF6-50 turbofan engine operating at 3.8 percent of design thrust is shown in Fig. 3(a). Also shown in Fig. 3(a) is a plot of Eq. (28) with the level matched to the measured spectrum at a frequency of 2000 Hz. The acoustic pressure efficiency is determined from Eq. (28) and is given by
Turbopump systems used in earth to orbit propulsion systems are subjected to fluctuating pressures that could cause a decrease in their life expectancy due to high cycle loading of structures. Equation (28) was used to predict the fluctuating pressures in the ducting downstream of the hydrogen pump preburner using, as a first approximation, the CF6-50 turbofan acoustic pressure efficiency reported herein. Reference [5] gives the geometry of the hydrogen pump preburner, turbine, and ducting leading to the main engine dome. Figure 5 compares the predicted pressure spectrum in the preburner to the measured pressure downstream of the turbine. The turbine will attenuate some of the preburner generated acoustic signal but it does not decrease the theoretically predicted value enough to cause serious disagreement.

**CONCLUSIONS**

By using the theory developed herein, expressions for the acoustic pressure generated by the combustion process have been derived. It has been shown that the overall acoustic pressure in large turbopump engine combustors can be predicted over the range of engine operating speeds, providing that the acoustic pressure efficiency, defined herein, can be determined. The following conclusions are based on the theory:

1. The major source of combustor pressure fluctuations is the interaction of the turbulence and the mean internal energy (i.e., heat) additions in the combustor.
2. For a typical large turbopump engine the ratio of the measured overall acoustic pressure in the combustor to the theoretical acoustic pressure (obtained by assuming that all of the turbulent energy is converted to acoustic pressure) is a constant with a magnitude of the order of 0.030. For smaller reverse-flow combustors the acoustic pressure efficiency has been found to be of the order of 0.020.
3. The turbulence-flame-generated noise is directly proportional to the square of the sonic velocity, the mass flow rate per unit cross-sectional area, the ratio of heat energy added per unit mass of air to the inlet enthalpy, the inlet velocity, the combustion efficiency, and the turbulence intensity. It is inversely proportional to the square of the frequency and the burning length of the combustor.
4. Because combustor size limits turbulence scales, larger scale low frequency turbulence is suppressed.

**APPENDIX A**

Symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>cross-sectional flow area, m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>sonic velocity, m/sec; constant of integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_p</td>
<td>specific heat at constant pressure, J/kg K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_0</td>
<td>flow or sonic velocity used in deriving generalized acoustic wave equation, m/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_1</td>
<td>constants used in complementary function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_2</td>
<td>for solving differential equations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>frequency parameter, Eq. (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f_L</td>
<td>frequency, Hz; function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f_U</td>
<td>lower limit on frequency, Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f_0</td>
<td>upper limit on frequency, Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δf</td>
<td>ratio of mass of fuel to mass of air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>frequency bandwidth, Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_t</td>
<td>acceleration of gravity, m/sec²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_v</td>
<td>total enthalpy, equals heat energy released by combustion, J/kg (cal/kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>heating value of fuel, J/kg (cal/kg)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B

Acoustic Source Term Derivation

The acoustic source terms as defined in Ref. (6) are:

\( \varphi_1 = \frac{a_1^2}{a_2^2} \left( \frac{P}{C_0} - \delta \right) \) (B1)

\( \varphi_2 = \frac{a_2^2}{a_1^2} \left( \frac{P}{C_0} - \delta \right) \) (B2)

\( \varphi_3 = \frac{a_3^2}{a_2^2} \left( \frac{P}{C_0} - \delta \right) \) (B3)

The first source term is related to the fluctuating heat release in the combustion zone. From the first law of thermodynamics in differential form:

\[ d\dot{Q} = d\dot{U} - \frac{P\dot{d\rho}}{\rho} \]  

(B7)
dividing by the temperature and specifying a calorically and thermally perfect gas yields this expression in terms of entropy as

$$\frac{dS}{C_v} = \frac{dT}{T} - \frac{R}{J C_v} \frac{dp}{p}$$

(B8)

$$\frac{dS}{C_v} = \frac{dT}{T} - (\gamma - 1) \frac{dp}{p}$$

(B9)

For the thermally perfect gas

$$\frac{dT}{T} = \frac{dp}{p} - \frac{dp}{p}$$

(B10)

Substituting Eq. (B10) into (B9) yields

$$\rho \frac{dS}{dp} = \rho \frac{dp}{p} - \frac{dp}{p}$$

(B11)

For the mean sonic velocity given by

$$C_0 = \sqrt{RT}$$

(B12)

Equation (B11) maybe written as:

$$\rho \frac{dS}{dp} = \frac{1}{p^2} - \delta$$

(B13)

The first source term Eq. (B4) may, by substituting Eq. (B13), be written in terms of the fluctuating entropy as:

$$\omega_{1,w} = -\omega \frac{\rho S'}{p}$$

(B14)

For $dQ = d(H_f 0)$

$$S' = H_f 0$$

(B15)

and Eq. (B14) becomes

$$\omega_{1,w} = -\frac{\omega \rho S'}{p}$$

(B16)

The only term in Eq. (B16) that is fluctuating with time is the fuel-air ratio. Hence this source term describes the contribution of the fluctuating fuel-air ratio to the acoustic pressure.

The second source term, $\omega_{2,w}$, Eq. (B5) may be written for steady mean flow as:

$$\omega_{2,w} = 2 \frac{\delta}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \omega_{T}}{\partial \epsilon} e^{-\frac{\lambda X}{T}}$$

(B17)

This acoustic source contains the turbulent velocities generated by either turbulators in air breathing turbine engine combustors or by the mixing of the propellants in rocket engine combustion chambers. To represent the turbulence source generation the turbulent velocity will be assumed to be an exponential function of distance given by:

$$v_w = v_{w,l} \left[ 1 - e^{-\lambda X} \right]$$

(B18)

where $X_T$ is measured from the upstream side of the turbulator and $L_T$ is defined as the axial distance measured from the front of the turbulence generator to the point where the turbulent velocity $v_{w,l}$ reaches its maximum. The value of $\lambda$ is taken as $2\pi$ so that at $X = L_T$, the turbulent velocity $v_w$ reaches the maximum. Taking the Laplacian of $v_w$

$$\frac{d^2 v_w}{dX^2} = -v_{w,l} \left[ \frac{1}{L_T} \right]^2 e^{-\frac{\lambda X}{T}}$$

(B19)

Substituting Eq. (B19) into Eq. (B17) gives:

$$\omega_{2,w} = -\frac{2 \delta}{\lambda} \frac{\omega_{T}}{\epsilon} \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-\frac{\lambda X}{T}}$$

(B20)

The third source term $\omega_{3,w}$, Eq. (B6) has been investigated in Ref. (6). An exponential decay of the fuel droplet with distance from the flame front was assumed due to mixing and burning of the fuel droplet. Steady flow was assumed and the source term written for low Mach number flow as:

$$\omega_{3,w} = -\frac{2 \delta}{\lambda} \frac{\omega_{T}}{\epsilon} \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-\frac{\lambda X}{T}}$$

(B21)
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Figure 1. - Combustion noise source model.

Figure 2. - Combustor pressure fluctuations as a function of CF6-50 turbofan engine thrust—experimental data and theory.
Figure 3. Comparison of theory to measured sound pressure level spectrum—CF6-50 turbofan engine combustor. Acoustic pressure efficiency, $\eta_p$. $4.5 \times 10^{-2}$; dimensionless fluctuating density, $\delta_\omega/\rho$, 0.3.
Figure 4. - 1/3-Octave-band fluctuating pressure level spectrum shape for CF6-50 turbofan engine combustor. Thrust, 3.8 percent of design.

Figure 5. - Comparison of the theoretically predicted earth to orbit propulsion systems hydrogen pump preburner fluctuating pressure spectrum to the measured spectrum downstream of the turbine.
The equations of momentum and continuity are combined and linearized yielding the one dimensional nonhomogeneous acoustic wave equation. Three terms in the nonhomogeneous equation act as acoustic sources and are taken to be forcing functions acting on the homogeneous wave equation. The three source terms are: fluctuating entropy, turbulence gradients, and turbulence-flame interactions. Each source term is discussed. The turbulence-flame interaction source is used as the basis for computing the source acoustic pressure from the Fourier transformed wave equation. Pressure fluctuations created in turbopump gas generators and turbines may act as a forcing function for turbine and propellant tube vibrations in earth to orbit space propulsion systems and could reduce their life expectancy. A preliminary assessment of the acoustic pressure fluctuations in such systems is presented.