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ABSTRACT

In this report a frequency-domain Green’s Function Method for unsteady supersonic potential flow around complex aircraft configurations is presented.

We focus here on the supersonic range wherein the linear potential flow assumption is valid. In this range the effects of the nonlinear terms in the unsteady supersonic compressible velocity potential equation are negligible and therefore these terms will be omitted in this report.

The Green’s function method is employed in order to convert the potential-flow differential equation into an integral one. This integral equation is then discretized, through standard finite-element technique, to yield a linear algebraic system of equations relating the unknown potential to its prescribed co-normalwash (boundary condition) on the surface of the aircraft. The arbitrary complex aircraft configuration (e.g., finite-thickness wing, wing-body-tail) is discretized into hyperboloidal (twisted quadrilateral) panels. The potential and co-normalwash are assumed to vary linearly within each panel.

The long range goal of our research is to develop a comprehensive theory for unsteady supersonic potential aerodynamics which is capable of yielding accurate results even in the low supersonic (i.e., high transonic) range.
LIST OF SYMBOLS

\(a_\infty\) speed of sound
\(\bar{a}_1\) contravariant base vector, see equation (29)
\(\bar{a}_2\) contravariant base vector, see equation (29)
\(\beta\) \((M_\infty^2 - 1)^{1/2}\)
\(B_{jk}\) source integral, equation (40)
\(C_{jk}\) doublet integral, equation (42)
\(E(\bar{P})\) domain function, see equation (19)
\(\delta(\bar{p})\) Dirac delta function
\(\delta_{jk}\) Kronecker delta
\(F_{ik}\) finite-element shape function
\(G\) Green's function
\(H\) Heaviside function
\(k\) reduced frequency, \(\omega \ell / U_\infty\)
\(\ell\) reference length
\(M_\infty\) free stream Mach number \(U_\infty / a_\infty\)
\(\bar{n}\) unit normal to \(\sigma_B\)
\(\bar{N}\) unit normal to \(\Sigma_B\)
\(N_e\) total number of elements
\(N_n\) total number of nodes
\(\omega\) circular frequency
\(\Omega\) non-dimensional frequency, \(\omega \ell / a_\infty \beta\)
\(\bar{p}\) point having coordinates \(x, y, z\)
\(\bar{p}_s\) control point, \((x_s, y_s, z_s)\)
\(\bar{P}\) point having coordinates \(X, Y, Z\)
\(\bar{P}_s\) control point, \((X_s, Y_s, Z_s)\)
\(p.f.\) Hadamard finite part
\(\bar{R}\) \(\bar{P} - \bar{P}_s\)
\(R'_p\) hyperbolic radius, see equation (14)
\(t\) time
\(T\) nondimensional time \(a_\infty \beta t / \ell\)
\(U_\infty\) velocity of undisturbed flow
\(x, y, z\) space coordinates
\(X, Y, Z\) nondimensional Prandtl-Glauert coordinates
\(X = x / \beta \ell, Y = y / \ell, Z = z / \ell\)
\(\sigma\) surface of body in \(x, y, z\) space
\[ \Sigma \] surface of body in \( X, Y, Z \) space
\[ \Sigma_i \] surface of element \( i \) in \( X, Y, Z \) space
\( \phi \) perturbation velocity potential
\( \Phi \) nondimensional perturbation velocity potential, \( \phi / U_\infty \ell \)
\( \hat{\Phi} \) = \( \Phi e^{i \Omega (T - M_\infty X)} \)
\( \psi \) co-normalwash in \( x, y, z \) space
\( \Psi \) co-normalwash in \( X, Y, Z \) space

Operators

\[ \nabla^2_0 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \]
Laplace operator in the physical space

\[ \nabla \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^2} \]
\[ \frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + U_\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \]
Supersonic dot product, see equation (5)

1. INTRODUCTION

In this report we demonstrate how the Green's Function Method of Potential Aerodynamics may be implemented in the frequency domain so as to enable it to handle unsteady supersonic flow around complex aircraft configurations.

We focus here on the supersonic range wherein the linear potential flow assumption is valid. In this range the effects of the nonlinear terms in the unsteady supersonic compressible velocity potential equation are negligible, and therefore these terms will be omitted in this report.

The Green's function method (Ref. 1) is employed in order to convert the potential-flow differential equation into an integral one. This integral equation is then discretized in space, through standard finite-element technique (Refs. 2 and 3), to yield a linear algebraic system of equations relating the unknown potential to its prescribed co-normalwash on the surface of the aircraft. The arbitrary complex aircraft configuration (e.g., finite-thickness wing, wing-body-tail) is discretized into hyperboloidal (twisted quadrilateral) panels. The potential and co-normalwash are assumed to vary linearly within each panel.

1.1 A Brief Description of the Green's Function Method

Before getting into the specifics of this report we begin with a brief description of the Green's Function Method. This method applies to the equation of the perturbation velocity potential. The potential function \( \Phi \) at any point \( P \), in the flow field is given by an integral of terms containing the value of the potential and its co-normal derivative on the surface, \( \sigma \), surrounding the body and its wake. An integral equation for the potential on the
surface of the body is obtained by letting the point \( P \) approach a point on the surface. With this method, the wake is a natural by-product and is treated as a layer of doublets. It may be noted that the integral equation does not require that the boundary condition on the co-normal wash be satisfied, but rather makes use of the continuity of the potential as the control point approaches the surface \( \sigma \). The tangency boundary conditions are automatically satisfied by the type of representation obtained with the Green’s Function Method.

In current applications, the surface of the aircraft is divided into small quadrilateral elements. Each element is replaced by a paraboloidal hyperboloid surface defined by the four corners of the element. In this process the continuity of the surface is maintained but discontinuities in the slopes are introduced. The aircraft wake, on the other hand, is divided into strips parallel to the streamlines. These wake strips originate from the trailing edge and extend to infinity downstream. It should be noted that integrals over these wake strips may be carried out in an analogous way to their subsonic counterpart (see Refs. 5 and 6).

In the 0th order theory, the unknown \( \Phi \) (in the Prandtl-Glauert Space) is assumed to be constant within each element, while in the 1st order theory \( \Phi \) is taken in the form \( \Phi = \Phi_0 + \xi \Phi_1 + \eta \Phi_2 + \xi \eta \Phi_3 \) where \((\xi, \eta)\) are local element-wise surface coordinates, and the coefficients \( \Phi_0, \ldots, \Phi_3 \) are chosen to interpolate the \( \Phi \) values at the four corners of the element. In either situation the integral equation is approximated by a system of algebraic equations. This system of algebraic equations is then solved by standard numerical methods. It has been found (see Ref. 4) that in the supersonic range at least a 1-st order theory is required in order to yield a nonsingular set of algebraic equations due to a numerical rather than physical anomaly.

**2. UNSTEADY SUPERSONIC FLOW**

Our point of departure is the linearized equation for the unsteady potential compressible aerodynamic flow

\[
\nabla^2 \phi - \frac{1}{a_\infty^2} \frac{d^2 \phi}{dt^2} = 0
\]

(1)

where \( \nabla^2 \) is the Laplace operator in the physical space while \( \phi \) is the perturbation potential. Choosing a frame of reference such that the undisturbed flow has velocity \( U_\infty \) in the direction of the positive x-axis, the linearized total time derivative is given by

\[
\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + U_\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.
\]

(2)

Introducing the generalized Prandtl-Glauert transformation

\[
X = x/\beta l, \quad Y = y/l, \quad Z = z/l, \quad T = a_\infty \beta t/l, \quad \Phi = \phi/U_\infty l
\]

(3)

\( \dagger \) The bar is used herein to indicate vector quantities.
where \( l \) is a characteristic length, \( M_\infty = U_\infty / a_\infty \) and \( \beta = (M_\infty^2 - 1)^{1/2} \), Eq. (1) yields

\[
\nabla \cdot \nabla \Phi + \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial T^2} + 2M_\infty \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial X \partial T} = 0
\]  

where \( \cdot \) stands for the supersonic dot-product defined as

\[
\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = a_x b_x - a_y b_y - a_z b_z
\]

where \( \bar{a} \) and \( \bar{b} \) are two arbitrary vectors. Thus the operator \( \nabla \cdot \nabla \) stands for

\[
\nabla \cdot \nabla = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^2}
\]

Note that \( \bar{a} \cdot \bar{a} \) is not necessarily positive. We define the 'super-norm' of a vector \( \bar{a} \) as

\[
a' = \| \bar{a} \| = |\bar{a} \cdot \bar{a}|^{1/2}
\]

and will use this notation in later sections of this report.

### 2.1 Oscillatory Supersonic Flow—The Potential Equation

Since in this report we shall be dealing exclusively with oscillatory flow, it is convenient at this point to introduce the complex potential \( \hat{\Phi} \) via the equation

\[
\Phi(X, Y, Z, T) = \hat{\Phi}(X, Y, Z)e^{i\Omega(T - M_\infty x)}
\]

with

\[
\Omega = \frac{\omega l}{a_\infty \beta} = kM_\infty / \beta
\]

where \( k = \omega l / U_\infty \) is the reduced frequency, and \( \omega \) is the circular frequency.

With this notation, equation (4) may be rewritten as:

\[
\nabla \cdot \nabla \hat{\Phi} + \Omega^2 \hat{\Phi} = 0
\]  

We remark that the case \( \Omega = 0 \) corresponds to steady supersonic flow.

### 2.2 Supersonic Integral Equation

In order to obtain the Supersonic Green’s Function integral equation we proceed as follows: With \( P \) and \( P^* \) representing the sending and receiving points respectively, the Green's Function \( G \) for Equation (10) satisfies

\[
\nabla \cdot \nabla G + \Omega^2 G = \delta(P - P^*, T - T^*)
\]  

* See Appendix C for derivation.
† The circumflex is used herein to indicate complex quantities.
‡ See Appendix C.
\[ G = 0 \text{ at } \infty \]

one well known solution of which is given by (see Ref. 4)

\[ G = \frac{H}{2\pi R'} \cos(\Omega R') \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where

\[ H(\bar{P}, \bar{P}_*) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X - X_* \leq 0 \text{ and } \bar{R} \circ \bar{R} \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Here we define

\[ \bar{R} = \bar{P} - \bar{P}_* = (X - X_*)i + (Y - Y_*)j + (Z - Z_*)k \]

\[ R' = ||\bar{R}|| = |(X - X_*)^2 - (Y - Y_*)^2 - (Z - Z_*)^2|^{1/2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Here \( \{\bar{P}|H(\bar{P}, \bar{P}_*) = 1\} \) defines the zone of influence, or Mach forecone, with vertex at \( \bar{P}_* \).

Multiplying equation (10) by the Green's function \( G \) and subtracting equation (11) multiplied by \( \hat{\Phi} \) gives

\[ G(\nabla \circ \nabla \hat{\Phi} + \Omega^2 \hat{\Phi}) - \hat{\Phi}(\nabla \circ \nabla G + \Omega^2 G) = -\delta(\bar{P} - \bar{P}_*)\hat{\Phi} \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Making use of the identity

\[ \nabla \circ (a \nabla b) = \nabla a \circ \nabla b + a \nabla \circ \nabla b \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

equation (15) reduces to

\[ \nabla \circ (G \nabla \hat{\Phi} - \hat{\Phi} \nabla G) = -\delta(\bar{P} - \bar{P}_*)\hat{\Phi}(\bar{P}_*) \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Next for a closed bounded surface \( \Sigma \) bounding a volume \( V \), we define the domain function

\[ E(\bar{P}_*) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \bar{P}_* \notin V \\ 0 & \text{if } \bar{P}_* \in V \end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

Note that for \( \bar{P}_* \) on \( \Sigma \) the function \( E(\bar{P}_*) \) will measure the so-called supersonic solid angle of \( \Sigma \) at \( \bar{P}_* \) (see Ref. 4 for details). Hence \( E(\bar{P}_*) \) satisfies the notation

\[ E(\bar{P}_*) = 1 + \iint_{\Sigma} \bar{N} \circ \nabla \left( \frac{H}{2\pi R'} \right) d\Sigma \]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

where \( \bar{N} \) is the outward unit normal to \( \Sigma \).

It can be shown that

\[ \iiint_{-\infty}^{\infty} f \nabla E dV = \iiint_{\Sigma} f \bar{N} d\Sigma \]  \hspace{1cm} (20)
for all \( f \) continuous where \( \nabla E \) is taken in distribution sense. Multiplying equation (17) by the domain function and integrating over the whole space yields

\[
\iint_{\mathbb{R}} E \nabla \phi \cdot (G \nabla \phi - \hat{\phi} \nabla G) \, dV = -E(\bar{P}_s) \hat{\phi}(\bar{P}_s) \tag{21}
\]

By suitable integrations by parts equation (21) yields

\[
\iint_{\mathbb{R}} \nabla E \cdot (G \nabla \phi - \hat{\phi} \nabla G) \, dV = E(\bar{P}_s) \hat{\phi}(\bar{P}_s) \tag{22}
\]

Finally making use of equation (20), equation (22) yields

\[
E(\bar{P}_s) \hat{\phi}(\bar{P}_s) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{N} \cdot (G \nabla \phi - \hat{\phi} \nabla G) \, d\Sigma \tag{23}
\]

If we utilize equation (12) in (23) we obtain

\[
2\pi E(\bar{P}_s) \hat{\phi}(\bar{P}_s) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{N} \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{H}{R'} \cos \Omega R' \right) \, d\Sigma
\]

and the above expression is equivalent to equation (A-12) of Morino (see Ref. 5).

3. NUMERICAL FORMULATION

In this section, a space discretization procedure will be introduced in order to approximate the integral equation by a linear algebraic system of equations. Solving this linear algebraic system of equations yields the desired perturbation velocity potential solution on the aircraft surface. Once the velocity potential is known, the pressure coefficient may be computed through Bernoulli’s Theorem.

3.1 Finite Element Formulation

Assuming that the surface \( \Sigma \) is divided into \( N_e \) small finite elements \( \Sigma_i \), Equation (24) yields

\[
2\pi E(\bar{P}_s) \hat{\phi}(\bar{P}_s) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} \iint_{\Sigma_i} \frac{H}{R'} \cos \Omega R' \bar{N} \cdot \nabla \hat{\phi} \, d\Sigma
\]

\[
- \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} \iint_{\Sigma_i} \hat{\phi} \bar{N} \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{H}{R'} \cos \Omega R' \right) \, d\Sigma \tag{25}
\]

Each surface element \( \Sigma_i \) is approximated by a hyperbolic paraboloid given in the form

\[
P = \bar{P}_c + \bar{P}_1 \xi + \bar{P}_2 \eta + \bar{P}_3 \xi \eta \tag{26}
\]
where \( \overrightarrow{P}_c, \overrightarrow{P}_1, \overrightarrow{P}_2 \) and \( \overrightarrow{P}_3 \) are obtained in terms of the locations of the four corner points as (See Fig. 1)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\overrightarrow{P}_c \\
\overrightarrow{P}_1 \\
\overrightarrow{P}_2 \\
\overrightarrow{P}_3
\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1 & -1 & 1
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\overrightarrow{P}_{++} \\
\overrightarrow{P}_{+} \\
\overrightarrow{P}_{+-} \\
\overrightarrow{P}_{-}
\end{pmatrix}
\] (27)

Here, \( \overrightarrow{P}_{+-} \), for instance, refers to the element corner for which \( \xi = +1 \) and \( \eta = -1 \). The other corners, \( \overrightarrow{P}_{++}, \overrightarrow{P}_{-} \) and \( \overrightarrow{P}_{-} \) are defined similarly. It may be noted that the surface defined according to Eq. (26) is continuous since adjacent elements have in common the straight line connecting the two common corner points.

### 3.2 Surface Geometry for Hyperboloidal Elements

We note that the geometry of the hyperboloidal element is a particular case of the general equation for a surface in three-dimensional Euclidean space which is given by

\[
\overrightarrow{P} = \overrightarrow{P}(\xi, \eta)
\] (28)

where \( \xi \) and \( \eta \) are generalized curvilinear coordinates on the surface. For a hyperboloidal surface, the two basis vectors \( \overrightarrow{a}_1 \) and \( \overrightarrow{a}_2 \) are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\overrightarrow{a}_1 &= \frac{\partial \overrightarrow{P}}{\partial \xi} = \overrightarrow{P}_1 + \eta \overrightarrow{P}_3 \\
\overrightarrow{a}_2 &= \frac{\partial \overrightarrow{P}}{\partial \eta} = \overrightarrow{P}_2 + \xi \overrightarrow{P}_3
\end{align*}
\] (29)

(See equation (26).

The unit normal to the surface is given by

\[
\overrightarrow{N} = \overrightarrow{a}_1 \times \overrightarrow{a}_2 / |\overrightarrow{a}_1 \times \overrightarrow{a}_2|
\] (30)

and is directed according to the right hand rule such that the normal points outward from the surface (see Fig. 2). The surface element \( d\Sigma \) is given by

\[
d\Sigma = |\overrightarrow{a}_1 d\xi \times \overrightarrow{a}_2 d\eta|
\]
or

\[
d\Sigma = |\overrightarrow{a}_1 \times \overrightarrow{a}_2| d\xi d\eta
\] (31)

### 3.3 First Order Space Discretization

In what follows we shall take the potential function \( \hat{\Phi}(\xi, \eta) \) over a surface element, say \( \Sigma_i \), as

\[
\hat{\Phi}^i(\xi, \eta) = [(1 + \xi)(1 - \eta)\hat{\Phi}_{+-} + (1 + \xi)(1 + \eta)\hat{\Phi}_{++} + (1 - \xi)(1 + \eta)\hat{\Phi}_{-+} + (1 - \xi)(1 - \eta)\hat{\Phi}_{--}] / 4
\] (32)
Equation (32) expresses the values of $\hat{\Phi}^i$ at any point $(\xi, \eta)$ of $\Sigma$, in terms of the values of $\hat{\Phi}$ at the four corner points.

More generally, consider the first-order global shape function with the following definition:

$$F_{ik}(\xi, \eta) = \begin{cases} 
(1 + \xi)(1 - \eta)/4 & \text{if node } k \text{ coincides with corner } ++ \text{ of element } i \\
(1 + \xi)(1 + \eta)/4 & \text{if node } k \text{ coincides with corner } +-- \text{ of element } i \\
(1 + \xi)(1 + \eta)/4 & \text{if node } k \text{ coincides with corner } --+ \text{ of element } i \\
(1 - \xi)(1 - \eta)/4 & \text{if node } k \text{ coincides with corner } --\text{ of element } i \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases} \tag{33}$$

With $F_{ik}$ defined by Equation (33), Equation (32) may be rewritten as

$$\hat{\Phi}^i(\xi, \eta) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} F_{ik}(\xi, \eta)\hat{\Phi}_k \tag{34}$$

where $N_n$ is the total number of nodes on the surface $\Sigma$, and $\hat{\Phi}_k$ denotes $\hat{\Phi}$ at the $k^{th}$ node.

Similarly, the supersonic co-normal wash $\hat{\Psi}(= \overline{N} \circ \nabla \hat{\Phi})$ may be represented by (See Ref. 5)

$$\hat{\Psi}^i(\xi, \eta) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} F_{ik}(\xi, \eta)\hat{\Psi}_k \tag{35}$$

The same first-order finite-element approximation, Equation (33), has been employed for $\hat{\Psi}$. Note that if $\hat{\Phi}$ is approximated by the 1st order finite-element expression while $\hat{\Psi}$ is represented by a 0th order formula, $\hat{\Psi}^i(\xi, \eta) = \hat{\Psi}^i(0,0) = \text{const.}$, a mixed type formulation would result. In subsequent portions of this report, $\hat{\Phi}$ and $\hat{\Psi}$ will be taken 1st order.

### 3.4 Numerical Approximation of the Integral Equation

Making use of the equations (34) and (35), equation (25) may be rewritten as

$$E(\overline{P}_s)\hat{\Phi}(\overline{P}_s) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \hat{\Psi}_k \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_i} F_{ik} \frac{H}{R'} \cos \Omega R' d\Sigma \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \hat{\Phi}_k \left[ -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_i} F_{ik} \overline{N} \circ \nabla \left( \frac{H}{R'} \cos \Omega R' \right) d\Sigma \right]$$

or

$$E(\overline{P}_s)\hat{\Phi}(\overline{P}_s) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} B_{sk} \hat{\Psi}_k + \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} C_{sk} \hat{\Phi}_k \tag{36}$$
where

\[ B_{jk} = \sum_{i=1}^{N^2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_i} F_{ik} \frac{H}{R'^i} \cos \Omega R' d\Sigma \tag{37} \]

\[ C_{jk} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N^2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_i} F_{ik} \frac{\nabla (H / R'_i \cos \Omega R')}{d\Sigma} \tag{38} \]

are the supersonic source and doublet integrals, respectively. The integrals summed in Eqs. (37) and (38) are zero except for those indices \( i \) which correspond to elements on which node \( k \) lies.

If we now select \( \bar{P}_k \) to coincide with the node \( j \) so that

\[ R' = \sqrt{(X - X_j)^2 - (Y - Y_j)^2 - (Z - Z_j)^2} \]

then equation (36) yields

\[ E_j \hat{\Phi}_j = \sum_{k=1}^{N^2} B_{jk} \hat{\Phi}_k + \sum_{k=1}^{N^2} C_{jk} \hat{\Phi}_k, \]

or in matrix notation

\[ [\delta_{jk} E_j - C_{jk}] \{ \hat{\Phi}_k \} = [B_{jk}] \{ \hat{\Phi}_k \} \tag{39} \]

where \( \delta_{jk} \) is the Kronecker delta.

Equation (39) is the derived numerical approximation of the oscillatory supersonic integral equation (24) of Section 2.

In order to use equation (39) we need to evaluate the following coefficients:

\[ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} B_{jk} \text{ first order supersonic source coefficients, see equation (37),} \\ C_{jk} \text{ first order supersonic doublet coefficients, see equation (38)} \end{array} \right. \]

By using hyperboidal surface geometry these integrals may be written as

\[ B_{jk} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N^2} \int_{\Sigma_i} \frac{H}{R'_i} \cos \Omega R' F_{ik}(\xi, \eta) |\bar{a}_1 \times \bar{a}_2| d\xi d\eta \tag{40} \]

\[ C_{jk} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N^2} \int_{\Sigma_i} F_{ik}(\xi, \eta) N \circ \nabla \left( \frac{H}{R'_i \cos \Omega R'} \right) |\bar{a}_1 \times \bar{a}_2| d\xi d\eta \tag{41} \]

Making use of equation (30) the doublet integral (41) becomes

\[ C_{jk} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N^2} \int_{\Sigma_i} F_{ik}(\xi, \eta) (\bar{a}_1 \times \bar{a}_2) \circ \nabla \left( \frac{H}{R'_i \cos \Omega R'} \right) d\xi d\eta \tag{42} \]

The appearance of the Heaviside function \( H \) under the integral restricts the integration to that portion of the panel within the Mach forecone.
4. PANEL INTEGRALS FOR THE SOURCE AND DOUBLET COEFFICIENTS

The supersonic oscillatory Green’s Function, equation (12), Section 2, involves an integrable singularity on the Mach forecone, so that the source integral, equation (40), may be interpreted in a classical sense. On the other hand the doublet integral, equation (41), involves a derivative of the Green’s Function and one must view it in distribution sense in order to properly interpret that integral.

With this in mind, we shall formulate the procedure for calculating the supersonic coefficients.

4.1 Some Definite Integrals

In what follows we shall allow the \( \eta \) integral to be evaluated numerically and will analytically compute the \( \xi \) integral.

By using equation (29) of Section 3.2, we deduce that

\[
|\bar{a}_1 \times \bar{a}_2| = |(\bar{P}_1 + \eta \bar{P}_3) \times (\bar{P}_2 + \xi \bar{P}_3)|
\]

We now make the approximation

\[
|\bar{a}_1(\eta) \times \bar{a}_2(\xi)| \sim A(\eta) + B(\eta)\xi
\]

where

\[
A(\eta) = |\bar{a}_1(\eta) \times \bar{a}_2(0)|
\]

and

\[
B(\eta) = \frac{|\bar{a}_1(\eta) \times \bar{a}_2(1)| - |\bar{a}_1(\eta) \times \bar{a}_2(-1)|}{2}
\]

Making use of Equation (46) and recalling the relationship (31), the integrals (40) and (41) may be reduced to the consideration of the following \( \xi \)-integrals:

\[
\alpha_m(\eta) = \text{p.f.} \int_{-1}^{1} \xi^m \frac{H}{(R^\eta)^3} d\xi
\]

\[
\beta_m(\eta) = \int_{-1}^{1} \xi^m \frac{H}{R^\eta} d\xi
\]

and

\[
\gamma_m(\eta) = \int_{-1}^{1} \xi^m H R^\eta d\xi
\]

for \( m = 0, 1, 2 \).
The $\beta_m(\eta)$ and $\gamma_m(\eta)$ are convergent integrals while the ‘p.f.’ in the $\alpha_m(\eta)$ integral indicates that these integrals must be interpreted in the sense of the Hadamard Finite Part in order to assume a finite value.

4.2 First Order Source Coefficients

Note that the function $\cos \Omega R'/R'$ is an analytic function of $R'$ except for a pole of order 1 at $R' = 0$. If we represent this function by a Taylor series about zero, we obtain

$$\frac{\cos \Omega R'}{R'} = \frac{1}{R'} - \frac{\Omega^2 R'}{2} + h(R')$$

where $h(R')$ is an analytic function of $R'$ with $h(0) = 0$.

Explicitly

$$h(R') = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\left( \cos \Omega R' - 1 + \Omega^2 R'^2/2 \right)/R' & \text{for } R' \neq 0 \\
0 & \text{for } R' = 0
\end{array} \right.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(49)}

Furthermore, it is more convenient to write $F_{ik}(\xi, \eta)$ as

$$F_{ik}(\xi, \eta) = F_{ik}^0(\eta) + \xi F_{ik}^1(\eta)$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(50)}

where

$$F_{ik}^0(\eta) = [F_{ik}(1, \eta) + F_{ik}(-1, \eta)]/2$$
$$F_{ik}^1(\eta) = [F_{ik}(1, \eta) - F_{ik}(-1, \eta)]/2$$

Then, it is easy to show that first order source integral given by equation (40) may be expressed as

$$B_{jk} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} \{ \int_{-1}^{1} A(\eta) F_{ik}^0 \beta_0(\eta) d\eta$$
$$+ \int_{-1}^{1} \left[ F_{ik}^1 A(\eta) + F_{ik}^0 B(\eta) \right] \beta_1(\eta) d\eta$$
$$+ \int_{-1}^{1} F_{ik}^1 B(\eta) \beta_2(\eta) d\eta - \frac{\Omega^2}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} A(\eta) F_{ik}^0 \gamma_0(\eta) d\eta$$
$$- \frac{\Omega^2}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \left[ F_{ik}^1 A(\eta) + F_{ik}^0 B(\eta) \right] \gamma_1(\eta) d\eta$$
$$- \frac{\Omega^2}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} F_{ik}^1 B(\eta) \gamma_2(\eta) d\eta \} + S_{jk}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(51)}
Thus to evaluate the supersonic source integral we must be able to compute the integrals (47) for \( m = 0, 1, 2 \).

We shall return to the source integral a bit later.

### 4.3 Doublet Coefficients

The integral \( C_{jk} \) given in equation (42), Section 3 will generally be singular when we deal with panels only partially within the Mach forecone, so that, by a simple calculation the Hadamard finite part of \( C_{jk} \) may be given by

\[
C_{jk} = \text{p.f.} \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1-1}^{N_e} \int \int H F_{ik}(\xi, \eta) \cos R' \Omega + \Omega R' \sin \Omega R' \frac{R \cdot \bar{a}_1 \times \bar{a}_2}{R^3} d\xi d\eta
\]

where \( R \) is given by equation (14).

By using equations (26) and (29) of Section 3 we can write

\[
R \cdot \bar{a}_1 \times \bar{a}_2 = M_0(\eta) + \xi M_1(\eta),
\]

where

\[
M_0(\eta) = \bar{P}_0 \cdot (\bar{P}_1 \times \bar{P}_2 + \eta \bar{P}_3 \times \bar{P}_2)
\]

\[
M_1(\eta) = \bar{P}_0 \cdot \bar{P}_1 \times \bar{P}_3 + \eta \bar{P}_2 \cdot \bar{P}_1 \times \bar{P}_3
\]

with

\[
\bar{P}_0 = \bar{P}_c - \bar{P}_j
\]

where \( \bar{P}_c \) is defined by equation (26).

We now focus our attention on the function

\[
f(z) = \frac{\cos \Omega z + \Omega z \sin \Omega z}{z^3}
\]

This function is analytic except for a pole of order 3 at \( z = 0 \). If we represent \( \sin \Omega z \) and \( \cos \Omega z \) by a Taylor series about zero, then we may write

\[
f(z) = \frac{1}{z^3} + \frac{\Omega}{2} \frac{1}{z} - \frac{\Omega^4}{8} z + g(z)
\]

where \( g(z) \) is an analytic function of \( z \) with \( g(0) = 0 \).
Explicitly
\[
g(z) = \begin{cases} 
(\cos \Omega z + \Omega z \sin \Omega z - 1 - \Omega^2 z^2/2 + \Omega^4 z^4/8)/z^3 & \text{for } z \neq 0 \\
0 & \text{for } z = 0
\end{cases}
\] (57)

Using the notation \( \alpha_m(\eta) \), \( \beta_m(\eta) \) and \( \gamma_m(\eta) \) as introduced in equations (47) and also introducing
\[
\begin{align*}
&u_{ik}(\eta) = F_{ik}^0 M_0(\eta) \\
v_{ik}(\eta) = F_{ik}^1 M_0(\eta) + F_{ik}^0 M_1(\eta) \\
w_{ik}(\eta) = F_{ik}^1 M_1(\eta)
\end{align*}
\]
we will be able to write down the doublet integrals \( C_{jk} \).

The expression for the doublet coefficient is
\[
C_{jk} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} \left\{ \int_{-1}^{1} u_{ik}(\eta) \alpha_0(\eta) d\eta + \int_{-1}^{1} v_{ik}(\eta) \alpha_1(\eta) d\eta \\
+ \int_{-1}^{1} w_{ik}(\eta) \alpha_2(\eta) d\eta + \frac{\Omega^2}{2} \left[ u_{ik}(\eta) \beta_0(\eta) d\eta \\
+ \int_{-1}^{1} v_{ik}(\eta) \beta_1(\eta) d\eta + \int_{-1}^{1} w_{ik}(\eta) \beta_2(\eta) d\eta \right] \\
- \frac{\Omega^4}{8} \left[ \int_{-1}^{1} u_{ik}(\eta) \gamma_0(\eta) d\eta + \int_{-1}^{1} v_{ik}(\eta) \gamma_1(\eta) d\eta \\
+ \int_{-1}^{1} w_{ik}(\eta) \gamma_2(\eta) d\eta \right] \right\} + D_{jk}
\] (58)

The last term on the right hand side of equation (58) is a non singular integral and may be integrated numerically. Explicitly we have
\[
D_{jk} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} \int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{-1}^{+1} H F_{ik}(\xi, \eta) \bar{R} \cdot \bar{a}_1 \times \bar{a}_2 \ g(R') d\xi d\eta
\] (59)

From the foregoing it is clear that the task before us is to develop a scheme for evaluating \( B_{jk} \) and \( C_{jk} \).

Our approach will be to first compute the \( \alpha_m(\eta) \), \( \beta_m(\eta) \) and \( \gamma_m(\eta) \) for \( m = 0, 1, 2 \) analytically and then carry out the \( \eta \)-integrations involving these functions in (51) and (58) using a Gaussian quadrature technique. The integral expressions \( S_{jk} \) and \( D_{jk} \) defined by (52) and (59) are to be evaluated numerically in both the \( \xi \) and \( \eta \) directions using Gaussian quadrature.
In the next section we focus our attention on the indefinite integrals in $\xi$ associated with $\alpha_m(\eta)$, $\beta_m(\eta)$ and $\gamma_m(\eta)$, $m = 0, 1, 2$.

5. SOME INDEFINITE INTEGRALS

In this section we shall explicitly obtain the indefinite integrals

$$
\alpha_m(\xi, \eta) = \int \frac{\xi^m d\xi}{R'^3} \\
\beta_m(\xi, \eta) = \int \xi^m d\xi \\
\gamma_m(\xi, \eta) = \int \xi^m R' d\xi
$$

and

for $m = 0, 1, 2$

which occur in the process of evaluating the first order supersonic source and doublet coefficients.

For convenience we write

$$R' = \|\hat{R}\| = (a\xi^2 + b\xi + c)^{1/2} \quad (61)$$

where

$$a = \bar{a}_1 \circ \bar{a}_1 \\
b = 2(\bar{P}_0 + \eta \bar{P}_2) \circ \bar{a}_1 \\
c = (\bar{P}_0 + \eta \bar{P}_2) \circ (\bar{P}_0 + \eta \bar{P}_2) \quad (62)$$

We also let

$$d = b^2 - 4ac = -4(\hat{R} \times \bar{a}_1 \circ \bar{R} \times \bar{a}_1) \quad (63)$$

From standard integral tables we obtain

$$\hat{\alpha}_0(\xi, \eta) = \int \frac{d\xi}{R'^3} = \begin{cases} 
-(4a\xi + 2b)/dR', & \text{for } d \neq 0 \\
-1/R'(2a\xi + b), & \text{for } d = 0, a > 0 \\
\xi c^{-3/2}, & \text{for } d = 0, a = 0, c > 0 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \quad (64)$$
\[ \dot{\alpha}_1(\xi, \eta) = \int \frac{\xi d\xi}{R_{i\bar{3}}} = \begin{cases} 
(aR')^{-1} - \left( b/2a \right) \dot{\alpha}_o & \text{for } a \neq 0 \\
2R'/b^2 + \left( 2c/b^2 \right) / R' & \text{for } a = 0 \\
(aR')^{-1} - \left( b/2a \right) \dot{\alpha}_o & \text{for } a > 0 \\
\xi^2 c^{-3/2}/2 & \text{for } a = 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \] (65)

A third basic integral we shall need is

\[ \dot{\beta}_o(\xi, \eta) = \int \frac{d\xi}{R'} = \begin{cases} 
\ln\left| (2R'\sqrt{a} + 2a\xi + b) / \sqrt{a} \right| / \sqrt{a} & \text{for } a > 0 \\
- \tan^{-1}\left( (2a\xi + b) / 2\sqrt{-aR'} \right) / \sqrt{-a} & \text{for } a < 0 \\
\ln\left| 2R'\sqrt{a} + 2a\xi + b \right| / \sqrt{a} & \text{for } a > 0 \\
2R'/b & \text{for } a = 0 \\
\xi / \sqrt{c} & \text{for } a = 0 \\
0 & \text{for otherwise} \end{cases} \] (66)

In terms of \( \dot{\alpha}_o, \dot{\alpha}_1 \) and \( \dot{\beta}_o \) above we are able to express the integrals \( \dot{\alpha}_2, \dot{\beta}_1, \dot{\gamma}_o \)

\[ \dot{\alpha}_2(\xi, \eta) = \int \frac{\xi^2 d\xi}{R_{i\bar{3}}} = \begin{cases} 
(\dot{\beta}_o - b\dot{\alpha}_1 - c\dot{\alpha}_o)/a & \text{for } a \neq 0 \\
\left[ (2/3)R_{i\bar{3}}^3 - 4cR' - 2e^2 / R' \right] / b^3 & \text{for } a = 0 \\
\xi^2 c^{-3/2}/3 & \text{for } a = 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \] (67)
\[
\hat{\beta}_1(\xi, \eta) = \int \frac{\xi d\xi}{R'} = \begin{cases} 
2R' - \hat{\beta}_0 b/2a & \text{for } a \neq 0 \\
(2/3)R^3/b^2 - (2/b^2)R'c & \text{for } a = 0, b \neq 0 \\
\xi^2 c^{-1/2}/2 & \text{for } a = 0, b = 0, c > 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\hat{\gamma}_0(\xi, \eta) = \int R'd\xi = \begin{cases} 
(2a\xi + b)R'/4a + (4ac - b^2)\hat{\beta}_0/8a & \text{for } a \neq 0, d \neq 0 \\
(2/3b)(b\xi + c)^{3/2} & \text{for } a = 0, d \neq 0 \\
\sqrt{c}\xi & \text{for } a = 0, b = 0, c > 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

Finally in terms of \(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1\) and \(\hat{\gamma}_0\) we obtain \(\hat{\gamma}_1, \hat{\beta}_2\) and \(\hat{\gamma}_2\)

\[
\hat{\gamma}_1(\xi, \eta) = \int \xi R'd\xi = \begin{cases} 
|(2/3)R^3 - b\hat{\gamma}_0)/2a & \text{for } a \neq 0 \\
|(2/5)R^5 - (2c/3)R^3)/b^2 & \text{for } a = 0, b \neq 0 \\
\xi^2 \sqrt{c}/2 & \text{for } a = 0, b = 0, c > 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\hat{\beta}_2(\xi, \eta) = \int \frac{\xi^2 d\xi}{R'} = \begin{cases} 
(\xi/2a)R' - (3b/4a)\hat{\beta}_1 - (c/2a)\hat{\beta}_0 & \text{for } a \neq 0 \\
(2/5)(b\xi + c)^{5/2}/b^3 - (2c/b)\hat{\beta}_1 - (c^2/b^2)\hat{\beta}_0 & \text{for } a = 0, b \neq 0 \\
\xi^2 /3\sqrt{c} & \text{for } a = 0, b = 0, c > 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\hat{\gamma}_2(\xi, \eta) = \int \xi^2 R'd\xi = \begin{cases} 
(\xi - 5b/6a)R^3/4a + (5b^2 - 4ac)\hat{\gamma}_0/16a^2 & \text{for } a \neq 0 \\
[(2/7)R^7 - (4/5)cR^5 + (2/3)c^2 R^3]/b^3 & \text{for } a = 0, b \neq 0 \\
\xi^3 \sqrt{c}/3 & \text{for } a = 0, b = 0, c > 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]
In sections 6 and 7 we shall see how the indefinite integrals \( \hat{\alpha}_m, \hat{\beta}_m \) and \( \hat{\gamma}_m \) given above are to be utilized in evaluating \( \alpha_m(\eta), \beta_m(\eta) \) and \( \gamma_m(\eta) \) for \( m = 0, 1 \) and 2.

### 6. EVALUATION OF THE INTEGRALS FOR FULL PANELS

In the case of a full panel, i.e. one in which \( \{(\xi, \eta) | -1 \leq \xi \leq +1, -1 \leq \eta \leq +1\} \) lies entirely within the open Mach forecone \( \{R|X - X_* < 0 \text{ and } R \circ R > 0\} \) the evaluation of the \( \alpha_m, \beta_m \) and \( \gamma_m \) follows easily. In this situation these integrals are convergent and the Hadamard Finite part is not needed.

The indefinite integrals \( \hat{\alpha}_m, \hat{\beta}_m \) and \( \hat{\gamma}_m \) given above are to be utilized in evaluating \( \alpha_m(\eta), \beta_m(\eta) \) and \( \gamma_m(\eta) \) for \( m = 0, 1, 2 \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_m(\eta) &= \hat{\alpha}_m(1, \eta) - \hat{\alpha}_m(-1, \eta) \\
\beta_m(\eta) &= \hat{\beta}_m(1, \eta) - \hat{\beta}_m(-1, \eta) \\
\gamma_m(\eta) &= \hat{\gamma}_m(1, \eta) - \hat{\gamma}_m(-1, \eta)
\end{align*}
\]

(73) for \( m = 0, 1, 2 \)

In this situation the \( \alpha_m(\eta), \beta_m(\eta), \gamma_m(\eta) \) are analytic functions of \( \eta \) for \(-1 \leq \eta \leq +1\) and the numerical computation of the definite integrals involving these functions and appearing in Section 4 may be carried out by a standard numerical integration such as Gaussian quadrature.

### 7. EVALUATION OF THE INTEGRALS FOR PARTIAL PANELS

In the situation where a panel lies partially within the Mach forecone the evaluation of \( \alpha_m(\eta), \beta_m(\eta) \) and \( \gamma_m(\eta) \) takes a bit more doing. We note that integrals \( \hat{\alpha}_m, m = 0, 1, 2 \) are singular on the Mach cone \( \{R|X - X_* \leq 0, R \circ R = 0\} \). Thus, for \( \xi_* \) such that \( R(\xi_*, \eta) \) lies on the Mach cone, we must evaluate \( \hat{\alpha}_m(\xi_*, \eta) \) in accordance with the Hadamard Finite Part. We obtain

\[
\text{p.f. } \hat{\alpha}_m(\xi_*, \eta) = 0, \quad m = 0, 1
\]

(74)

On the contrary, the integrals \( \hat{\beta}_m \) and \( \hat{\gamma}_m, m = 0, 1, 2 \), are not singular at \( (\xi_*, \eta) \) so in order to calculate the value of these integrals at that point it is enough to plug that point into the expressions for these integrals. There are a few provisos however. A problem will occur in calculating \( \hat{\beta}_o(\xi_*, \eta) \) from expression (66) in the case \( a < 0 \) and \( d \neq 0 \), since \( R'(\xi_*, \eta) = 0 \). However the identity

\[
\hat{\beta}_o(\xi, \eta) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-a}} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{2a \xi + b}{2\sqrt{-a}R'}\right) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-a}} \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{2a \xi + b}{\sqrt{d}}\right)
\]

(75)

for \( a < 0, d \neq 0 \) together with the fact that \( 2a \xi_* + b = \pm d \) and \( d > 0 \) for \( \xi_* \) with \( R(\xi_*, \eta) \) on the Mach cone shows that

\[
\hat{\beta}_o(\xi_*, \eta) = -\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{-a}} \text{sgn}(2a \xi_* + b)
\]

(75)
for $a < 0$, $d \neq 0$  \hspace{1cm} (76)

In addition, we show, in Appendix A, that

\[
p.f. \, \tilde{\alpha}_2(\xi_*, \eta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{2(-a)^{5/2}} \sgn(2a\xi_* + b) & \text{for } a < 0, d = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (77)

Since the expressions $\beta_1, \gamma_0, \tilde{\beta}_2$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_2$ are all given in terms of $\bar{\alpha}_0, \bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\beta}_0$ and $R'$, there is no difficulty in evaluating these functions at $\xi_*$ with $\bar{R}(\xi_*, \eta)$ on the Mach cone via use of Equations (68)--(72) where applicable.

We are now ready to investigate our integrals for a fixed $\eta$ with $-1 \leq \eta \leq 1$. We look at the intersection of the interval $-1 \leq \xi \leq +1$ with the Mach forecone $\{ RIR_{-R} \geq 0, X - X_* \leq 0 \}$. Four cases may occur:

(i) The intersection is empty.

(ii) The intersection is a closed interval $[\xi_l, \xi_u]$ with $\xi_l \leq \xi_u$. (See Figure 3.)

(iii) The intersection is a single point $\xi_0$ with $|\xi_0| = 1$ but $\bar{R}(\xi_0, \eta) \circ \bar{a}_1 \neq 0$. (See Figure 4.)

(iv) The intersection is a single point $\xi_0$ with

(a) $-1 < \xi_0 < 1$ and $\bar{R}(\xi_0, \eta) \circ \bar{a}_1 = 0$ (See Figure 5a.)

(b) $|\xi_0| = 1$ and $\bar{R}(\xi_0, \eta) \circ \bar{a}_1 = 0$ (See Figure 5b.)

A point $(\xi_o, \eta_o)$ where $X - X_* < 0$, $\bar{R} \circ \bar{R} = 0$ and $\bar{R} \circ \bar{a}_1 = 0$ is called a critical point if $-1 \leq \xi_o \leq 1$, $-1 \leq \eta_o \leq 1$, i.e., $(\xi_o, \eta_o)$ is a critical point in Case (iv) above.

Case (i)

In this case we define

\[
a_m(\eta) = 0, \; \beta_m(\eta) = 0, \; \gamma_m(\eta) = 0
\]  \hspace{1cm} (78)

for $m = 0, 1, 2$

Case (ii)

In this case we define

\[
a_m(\eta) = \bar{\alpha}_m(\xi_u, \eta) - \bar{\alpha}_m(\xi_l, \eta)
\]
\[
\beta_m(\eta) = \bar{\beta}_m(\xi_u, \eta) - \bar{\beta}_m(\xi_l, \eta)
\]
\[
\gamma_m(\eta) = \bar{\gamma}_m(\xi_u, \eta) - \bar{\gamma}_m(\xi_l, \eta)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (79)

for $m = 0, 1, 2$
where $\alpha_m$, $\beta_m$ and $\gamma_m$ are given as in Section 5. We remark however that if either $\xi_u$ or $\xi_t$ or both lie on the Mach cone the evaluation of the $\alpha_m$ and $\beta_m$ for such $\xi$ must follow 
(74), (76) and (77) of this section where applicable.

Case (iii)

In this situation, we have a limiting situation where either $\xi_t \to +1$ or $\xi_u \to -1$. The functions $\alpha_m(\xi, \eta)$, $\beta_m(\xi, \eta)$ and $\gamma_m(\xi, \eta)$ are continuous at such a point and thus we find that

$$
\alpha_m(\eta) = 0 \\
\beta_m(\eta) = 0
$$

and

$$
\gamma_m(\eta) = 0 \\
\text{for } m = 0, 1, 2
$$

Case (iv) - $(\xi_o, \eta_o)$ is a Critical Point

Assume at first that $-1 < \eta_o < +1$. Then the equation $R \circ R = a\xi^2 + b\xi + c = 0$ possesses a double root at $\xi_o = -b/2a$ with $-1 < \xi_o < 1$, provided $a < 0$. At this point, $d = 0$. (Note: If $a = a_1 \circ a_1 > 0$, we cannot have a point on the Mach cone with $R \circ a_1 = 0$ unless $|R| = 0$ identically.) Now in accordance with Eq. (A.19) of Appendix A, we find that in this case ($a < 0$, $d = 0$)

$$
\beta_0(\eta_o) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{-a}} \\
\beta_1(\eta_o) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{-a}} \xi_o \\
\beta_2(\eta_o) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{-a}} \xi_o^2
$$

while $\gamma_m(\eta_o) = 0$ for $m = 0, 1, 2$.

The $\alpha_m(\eta)$, $m = 0, 1, 2$ behave in a more complicated manner. These expressions may be given in the form

$$
\alpha_m(\eta) = \alpha_m^{\text{reg}}(\eta) + \alpha_m^{\text{sp}}(\eta - \eta_o) \quad \text{for } m = 0, 1, 2
$$

where:

$$
\alpha_o^{\text{reg}}(\eta_o) = 0 \\
\alpha_1^{\text{reg}}(\eta_o) = 0
$$

and

$$
\alpha_2^{\text{reg}}(\eta_o) = -\frac{\pi}{(-a)^{3/2}}
$$
and the special distributional contribution to \( \alpha_o, \alpha_1, \) and \( \alpha_2 \) is given by \( \alpha^\text{sp}_m \delta(\eta - \eta_o) \) where:

\[
\alpha^\text{sp}_m = -\pi \frac{\xi^m_0}{| \vec{R} \cdot \vec{a}_1 \times \vec{a}_2 |}
\]

for \( m = 0, 1, 2 \)

In the cases where \(-1 < \eta_o < 1 \) and \( |\xi_0| = 1 \) the only change with the above is that the special contributions \( \alpha^\text{sp}_o, \alpha^\text{sp}_1, \) and \( \alpha^\text{sp}_2 \) are divided in half.

Thus

\[
\alpha^\text{sp}_m = -\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\xi^m_0}{| \vec{R} \cdot \vec{a}_1 \times \vec{a}_2 |}
\]

We have not yet considered the situation where \( |\eta_o| = 1 \). Here if \( |\xi_0| < 1 \) we set

\[
\alpha^\text{sp}_m = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } \eta_o \vec{R} \circ \vec{a}_2 > 0 \\
-\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\xi^m_0}{| \vec{R} \cdot \vec{a}_1 \times \vec{a}_2 |} & \text{if } \eta_o \vec{R} \circ \vec{a}_2 < 0 
\end{cases}
\]

for \( m = 0, 1, 2 \)

and if both \( |\eta_o| = 1 \) and \( |\xi_0| = 1 \) we set

\[
\alpha^\text{sp}_m = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } \eta_o \vec{R} \circ \vec{a}_2 > 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2} \xi^m_0 \pi / | \vec{R} \cdot \vec{a}_1 \times \vec{a}_2 | & \text{if } \eta_o \vec{R} \circ \vec{a}_2 < 0 
\end{cases}
\]

8. RECIPE FOR COMPUTER PROGRAMMING

In this section we summarize the procedure to be used in implementing the mixed analytical-numerical evaluation of the source and doublet coefficients \( B_{jk} \) and \( C_{jk} \) as given in (40) and (42) respectively.

Step 1. Check if panel is entirely within the open Mach forecone = \{ \( \vec{R}| X - X_* < 0 \) and \( \vec{R} \circ \vec{R} > 0 \) \}. If so compute for each \( \eta, -1 \leq \eta \leq 1 \), the \( \alpha_m(\eta), \beta_m(\eta) \) and \( \gamma_m(\eta) \) according to (73). Go to step 3. If the panel is not entirely within the open Mach forecone, go to step 2.

Step 2. For each \( \eta, -1 \leq \eta \leq +1 \) classify the intersection of \(-1 \leq \xi \leq +1 \) with the closed Mach forecone = \{ \( \vec{R}| \vec{R} \circ \vec{R} \geq 0 \), \( X - X_* \leq 0 \) \}. Then compute the \( \alpha_m(\eta), \beta_m(\eta) \) and \( \gamma_m(\eta) \) in accordance with items (74)-(86). Go on to Step 3.

Step 3. Evaluate the \( \eta \)-integrals involving \( \alpha_m(\eta), \beta_m(\eta) \) and \( \gamma_m(\eta) \) for \( m = 0, 1, 2 \) and appearing in (40) and (42) by a numerical integration scheme such as Gaussian quadrature. In the case where there is a critical point \( (\xi_o, \eta_o) \) within the panel and the \( \alpha_m(\eta) \) have a distributional component \( \alpha^\text{sp}_m \), interpret the integrals in the form:

\[
\int_{-1}^{+1} L(\eta) \alpha_m(\eta) d\eta
\]
as
\[
\int_{-1}^{1} L(\eta) \alpha_m^{\text{reg}}(\eta) d\eta + L(\eta) \alpha_m^{\text{sp}}(\eta)
\]
where \( \alpha_m^{\text{reg}} \) and \( \alpha_m^{\text{sp}} \) are as given by items (82)-(86). Go on to step 4.

**Step 4.** Finally evaluate the double integrals \( S_{jk} \) and \( D_{jk} \) in an entirely numerical way by Gaussian quadrature in both \( \xi \) and \( \eta \) over the unit interval \(-1 \leq \xi \leq +1, -1 \leq \eta \leq +1\).

Care should be taken on two points:

(i) The integrand should be set to 0 where \( \bar{R} \circ \bar{R} \leq 0 \).

(ii) Where \( R' \) is sufficiently small then both \( g(R') \) and \( h(R') \) should be replaced by the approximation

\[
g(R') \sim \frac{\Omega^6 R'^3}{144}
\]

and

\[
h(R') \sim \frac{\Omega^4 R'^3}{24}
\]

without loss in accuracy.

**9. REFERENCES**


APPENDIX A—CRITICAL POINT AND SPECIAL SINGULARITY

In this appendix we shall study singular integrals of the form

\[ \text{p.f. } \int \frac{S(\xi, \eta)}{R^3} d\xi = F_s(\eta) \]  

(A.1)

where \( S(\xi, \eta) \) is a polynomial in \( \xi \) of degree \( \leq 1 \).

We focus on the situation where \( a = a_1 \circ a_1 < 0 \) in the neighborhood of a point \((\xi_o, \eta_o), -1 < \xi_o < +1, -1 < \eta_o < +1 \) where \( R \circ R = 0, R \circ a_1 = 0 \) and \( X - X_o < 0 \).

We shall find that this integral (A.1) exists only in distribution sense as a function of \( \eta \) and in fact takes the 'value'

\[ F_s(\eta) = -\frac{S(\xi_o, \eta_o)}{|R \cdot a_1 \times a_2|} \bigg|_{\xi = \xi_o, \eta = \eta_o} \pi \delta(\eta - \eta_o) \]  

(A.2)

\textbf{Proof:} We study in detail the prototype situation of Fig. 6 where \( R \circ a_2 > 0 \) at \((\xi_o, \eta_o)\) and the Mach cone intersects \( \eta = +1 \) at two \( \xi \) values both with \( |\xi| < 1 \). The situation with \( R \circ a_2 < 0 \) at \((\xi_o, \eta_o)\) with the cone inverted may be handled by analogy.

We note that for \( \eta_1 < \eta_o \) the cone does not interact the line \( \eta = \eta_1 \) so that clearly for such \( \eta_1 \), \( F_s(\eta_1) = 0 \).

Further for \( \eta_1 > \eta_o \) the integral (A.1) has a 3/2-order singularity at two distinct \( \xi \) values on the line \( \eta = \eta_1 \). By definition of the Hadamard Finite Part it follows that \( F_s(\eta_1) = 0 \) here again.

In order to demonstrate (A.2) we therefore need only show that

\[ \int -1^{+1} F_s(\eta) d\eta = -\frac{S(\xi_o, \eta_o) \pi}{|R \cdot a_1 \times a_2|} \bigg|_{\xi = \xi_o, \eta = \eta_o} \]  

(A.3)

In fact if we denote by

\[ W_s(\eta) = \text{p.f. } \int \int \frac{S(\xi, \eta)}{R^3} d\xi \ d\eta \]  

(A.4)

\text{for } \eta > \eta_o

it is clear from previous remarks about \( F_s(\eta) \) that

1: \( W_s(\eta) \) will be independent of \( \eta \) for \( \eta > \eta_o \), and that

2:

\[ W_s(+1) = \int -1^{+1} F_s(\eta) \ d\eta \]
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so that it suffices for us to prove that for any $\eta > \eta_o$

$$W_{s}(\eta) = \frac{S(\xi_o, \eta_o)\pi}{|\bar{R} \cdot \bar{a}_1 \times \bar{a}_2|}_{\eta = \eta_o} \quad (A.5)$$

Interchanging order of integration in (A.4) we find that for any $\eta_1 > \eta_o$

$$W_{s}(\eta_1) = \text{p.f.} \int_{\text{allowable } \xi}^{\eta_1} \frac{S(\xi, \eta)}{R'^3} \, d\eta \, d\xi \quad (A.6)$$

We now denote by $V(\xi, \eta_1)$ the integral

$$V(\xi, \eta_1) = \text{p.f.} \int_{\eta_o}^{\eta_1} \frac{S(\xi, \eta)}{R'^3} \, d\eta \quad (A.7)$$

for $\eta_1 > \eta_o$

We have previously seen that

$$\int_{\xi} V(\xi, \eta)d\xi = W_{s}(\eta) = W_{s}$$

is independent of $\eta$ for $\eta > \eta_o$.

Let us now evaluate the integral (A.7) explicitly.

We note that for any fixed $\eta > \eta_o$ we may write

$$R' = \sqrt{-a} \sqrt{\xi - \xi}\sqrt{R - \xi}$$

in the situation of Fig. 6. Here $\xi(\eta)$ and $\xi_u(\eta)$ are roots of $|\bar{R}| = 0$ with $\xi(\eta) < \xi_u(\eta)$.

If we now write

$$V(\xi, \eta) = \frac{U(\xi, \eta)}{[(\xi - \xi)(\xi - \xi)]^{1/2}}$$

we find that

$$W_{s} = W_{s}(\eta) = \int_{\xi}^{\eta_o} \frac{U(\xi, \eta)d\xi}{[(\xi_u - \xi)(\xi - \xi)]^{1/2}} \quad (A.8)$$

Next making the change of variable $\xi = (\xi_u - \xi)\rho/2 + (\xi_u + \xi)/2$ in (A.8) we obtain

$$W_{s} = W_{s}(\eta) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{U[(\xi_u - \xi)\rho/2 + (\xi_u + \xi)/2, \eta]}{(1 - \rho^2)^{1/2}} \, d\rho \quad (A.9)$$
From (A.7) we have seen that for \( \eta > \eta_o \) the integral (A.9) is independent of \( \eta \). Now letting \( \eta \downarrow \eta_o \) we of course have \( \xi_u(\eta) \downarrow \xi_o \) and \( \xi_l(\eta) \uparrow \xi_o \) and then obtain that

\[
W_s = U(\xi_o, \eta_o) \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{(1 - \rho^2)^{1/2}} = \pi U(\xi_o, \eta_o) \tag{A.10}
\]

Next we compute \( U(\xi_o, \eta_o) \) in terms of \( S(\xi_o, \eta_o) \).

We note that

\[
\frac{R'V(\xi, \eta)}{|\tilde{a}_1|} = U(\xi, \eta)
\]

so setting \( \xi = \xi_o \) and using L'Hôpital's Rule as \( \eta \downarrow \eta_o \) we obtain after simplification

\[
U(\xi_o, \eta_o) = \lim_{\eta \to \eta_o} \frac{S(\xi_o, \eta_o)}{-|\tilde{a}_1|(|R \circ \tilde{a}_2|)
\]

and then from (A.10) we have

\[
W_s = \frac{S(\xi_o, \eta_o)\pi}{-|\tilde{a}_1|(|R \circ \tilde{a}_2|)} \tag{A.11}
\]

Now in the situation as pictured in Fig. 6 \( R \circ \tilde{a}_2 > 0 \). In the situation where the Mach cone is inverted, \( R \circ \tilde{a}_2 < 0 \) at \( (\xi_o, \eta_o) \), (see Fig. 7) but \( \eta_2 < \eta_o \) in (A.6) causing a double sign reversal so that we obtain in either case

\[
p.f. \quad \int \frac{S(\xi, \eta)}{R^3} d\xi = -\frac{S(\xi_o, \eta_o)}{|\tilde{a}_1|(|R \circ \tilde{a}_2|)} \bigg|_{\xi = \xi_o} \pi \delta(\eta - \eta_o) \tag{A.12}
\]

To complete our proof we note from Appendix B that at a point \( (\xi_o, \eta_o) \) with \( R \circ \tilde{R} = 0 \) and \( \tilde{R} \circ \tilde{a}_1 = 0 \) we have that

\[
|R \cdot \tilde{a}_1 \times \tilde{a}_2| = |\tilde{a}_1||R \circ \tilde{a}_2| \tag{A.13}
\]

so that finally from (A.12)

\[
F_s(\eta) = \text{p.f.} \int \frac{S(\xi, \eta)}{R^3} d\xi = -\frac{S(\xi_o, \eta_o)}{|R \cdot \tilde{a}_1 \times \tilde{a}_2|} \bigg|_{\xi = \xi_o} \pi \delta(\eta - \eta_o) \tag{A.14}
\]

which completes the proof of (A.2).

We are now prepared to relate the foregoing to the evaluation of the \( \alpha_m(\eta) \) for \( m = 0, 1, 2 \) at a critical point \( (\xi_o, \eta_o) \). For simplicity we assume \(-1 < \xi_o < 1\) and \(-1 < \eta_o < 1\) in our discussion.

We recall that the \( \alpha_m(\eta) \) are defined as

\[
\alpha_m(\eta) = \text{p.f.} \int \frac{\xi^m}{R^3} d\xi \quad \text{for} \quad m = 0, 1, 2
\]
In the cases \( m = 0 \) or \( m = 1 \), \( \xi^m \) will of course be a polynomial of degree \( \leq 1 \) so that from item (A.2) we can immediately say that with \( S(\xi, \eta) = \xi^m \)

\[
\alpha_m(\eta) = -\frac{\xi^m \pi}{|R \cdot \bar{a}_1 \times \bar{a}_2|} \bigg|_{\xi=\xi^o} \delta(\eta - \eta^o)
\]

for \( m = 0 \) or \( 1 \)

In the notation of Section 7 we set \( \alpha_m^{\text{reg}}(\eta) = 0 \) and

\[
\alpha_m^{\text{sp}} = -\frac{\pi \xi^m}{|R \cdot \bar{a}_1 \times \bar{a}_2|} \bigg|_{\xi=\xi^o} \delta(\eta - \eta^o) \quad \text{for } m = 0 \text{ or } 1 \tag{A.15}
\]

In the case \( m = 2 \) we are dealing with

\[
\alpha_2(\eta) = \text{p.f.} \int \frac{\xi^2}{R^3} d\xi
\]

This integral contains both a regular and a singular component. To isolate them let us note that if \( R' = (a\xi^2 + b\xi + c)^{1/2} \) then \( \xi^2 = R'^2/a + S(\xi, \eta) \) where \( S(\xi, \eta) \) is a polynomial in \( \xi \) of degree \( \leq 1 \). Note that \( \xi^2_0 = S(\xi^o, \eta^o) \).

Thus we may write

\[
\alpha_2(\eta) = \frac{1}{a} \int \frac{1}{R'} d\xi + \text{p.f.} \int \frac{S(\xi, \eta)}{R^3} d\xi \tag{A.16}
\]

Now the second integral on the right of (A.16) will equal

\[
-\frac{S(\xi^o, \eta^o) \pi}{|R \cdot \bar{a}_1 \times \bar{a}_2|} \bigg|_{\xi=\xi^o} \delta(\eta - \eta^o)
\]

by (A.2) but since \( \xi^2 = S(\xi^o, \eta^o) \) this integral must equal

\[
-\frac{\pi \xi^2_0}{|R \cdot \bar{a}_1 \times \bar{a}_2|} \bigg|_{\xi=\xi^o} \tag{A.17}
\]

and we set

\[
\alpha_2^{\text{sp}} = -\frac{\pi \xi^2_0}{|R \cdot \bar{a}_1 \times \bar{a}_2|} \bigg|_{\xi=\xi^o}
\]

as we have stated in Eq. (83).

To proceed we must have

\[
\alpha_2^{\text{reg}}(\eta) = \frac{1}{a} \int \frac{1}{R'} d\xi .
\]
But this integral equals
\[ \frac{1}{a} \beta_o(\eta_o) \]
in our notation of Section 7.

To show
\[ \alpha_2^{\text{reg}}(\eta_o) = \frac{\pi}{(-a)^{3/2}} \]
it suffices to show that
\[ \beta_o(\eta_o) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{-a}} \]

We proceed with the latter. In fact we compute \( \beta_m(\eta) \) for \( m = 0,1,2 \) at once. Suppose the Mach cone cuts our panel as in Fig. 6 and we consider \( \eta > \eta_o \). Then with the notation \( R' = \sqrt{-a} \sqrt{(\xi_u - \xi)(\xi - \xi_t)} \) we see that
\[ \beta_m(\eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-a}} \int_{\xi_t}^{\xi_u} \frac{\xi^m}{\sqrt{(\xi_u - \xi)(\xi - \xi_t)}} \, d\xi \]  
(A.18)

for \( m = 0,1,2 \)

The change of variable \( \xi = (\xi_u + \xi_t)/2 + (\xi_u - \xi_t)\rho/2 \) transforms A.18 into
\[ \beta_m(\eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-a}} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{|(\xi_u + \xi_t)/2 + (\xi_u - \xi_t)\rho/2|^m}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \, d\rho \quad \text{for} \quad m = 0,1,2 \]

Now letting \( \eta \downarrow \eta_o \) we have that \( \xi_u \downarrow \xi_o \) and \( \xi_t \uparrow \xi_o \) so that in the limit
\[ \beta_m(\eta_o) = \frac{\xi_u^m}{\sqrt{-a}} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{d\rho}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} = \frac{\pi \xi_u^m}{\sqrt{-a}} \]
(A.19)

for \( m = 0,1,2 \)

An almost identical argument shows that
\[ \gamma_m(\eta) = \sqrt{-a} \int_{\xi_t}^{\xi_u} \xi^m \sqrt{(\xi_u - \xi)(\xi - \xi_t)} \, d\xi \]

for \( m = 0,1,2 \)

transforms into
\[ \gamma_m(\eta) = \sqrt{-a} \int_{-1}^{+1} [((\xi_u + \xi_t)/2 + (\xi_u - \xi_t)\rho/2]^m [((\xi_u - \xi_t)/2]^2 \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \, d\rho \]

for \( m = 0,1,2 \)

so that as \( \eta \downarrow \eta_o \), \( \xi_u \downarrow \xi_o \), \( \xi_t \uparrow \xi_o \) we obtain
\[ \gamma_m(\eta_o) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad m = 0,1,2 \]  
(A.20)
APPENDIX B.—A LEMMA CONCERNING SUPERDOT PRODUCT

In this brief appendix we prove an elementary lemma concerning the superdot product. This lemma comes into play in proving formula (A.2) of Appendix A.

**LEMMA:** Let \( \vec{a}, \vec{b} \) and \( \vec{c} \) be three vectors in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Assume that (i) \( \vec{a} \cdot \vec{a} = 0 \). (ii) \( \vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} = 0 \) and (iii) \( \vec{b} \cdot \vec{b} \leq 0 \). Then

\[
|a \cdot b \times c| = \sqrt{-b \cdot b} |a \circ c|.
\]

(B.1)

We refer the reader to Ref. 3 for definition and properties of the superdot product.

**PROOF:** Without loss of generality we may assume coordinates have been rotated so that \( a_x = 0 \). We may assume \( |a| \neq 0 \).

Then \( a \) takes the form

\[
a = a_x \hat{i} + a_y \hat{j} \text{ with } a_z^2 = a_y^2 \neq 0 \text{ from (i)}.
\]

Let us write

\[
\vec{b} = b_x \hat{i} + b_y \hat{j} + b_z \hat{k} \]

then \( 0 = a_x b_x - a_y b_y \) from (ii) or equivalently

\[
a_x b_x = a_y b_y \quad \text{(B.2)}
\]

We now proceed by cases.

Case 1: If \( a_x = a_y \neq 0 \) then from (B.2) it follows that \( b_x = b_y \). Then

\[
a \cdot b \times c = \text{Det}
\begin{pmatrix}
a_x & a_y & 0 \\
b_x & b_y & b_z \\
c_x & c_y & c_z
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
= a_x(b_y c_z - b_z c_y) - a_y(b_z c_x - b_x c_z)
\]

\[
= (a_x c_x - a_y c_y) b_z
\]

Thus \( a \cdot b \times c = (a \circ c) b_z \) and \( |a \cdot b \times c| = |a \circ c| \sqrt{-b \cdot b} \) in this case.

Case 2: Here \( a_x = -a_y \neq 0 \).

It follows from (B.2) that \( b_x = -b_y \)

Then again

\[
\vec{a} \cdot b \times \vec{c} = \text{Det}
\begin{pmatrix}
a_x & a_y & 0 \\
b_x & b_y & b_z \\
c_x & c_y & c_z
\end{pmatrix}
\]
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and so
\[ |\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} \times \bar{c}| = |\bar{a} \circ \bar{c}| \sqrt{-\bar{b} \circ \bar{b}} \text{ once again.} \]

APPENDIX C—DERIVATION OF THE SUPersonic
Oscillatory P.D.E.

We begin with the linearized potential flow equation:
\[ \nabla_\phi^2 \phi - \frac{1}{a_\infty^2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + U_\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^2 \phi = 0 \quad (C.1) \]

Passing to Prandtl-Glauert coordinates after the introduction of scaled variables as indicated in equation (3) we proceed as follows:

\[
\nabla_\phi^2 \phi - \frac{1}{a_\infty^2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} - \frac{2U_\infty}{a_\infty^3} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t \partial x} - \frac{U_\infty^2}{a_\infty^5} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} = 0 \\
- \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} - \frac{1}{a_\infty^3} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - \frac{2M_\infty}{a_\infty} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t \partial x} = 0 \\
- \beta^2 \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial (\beta l X)^2} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial (\beta l Y)^2} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial (\beta l Z)^2} \\
- \frac{1}{a_\infty^2} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial (l T/a_\infty \beta)^2} - \frac{2M_\infty}{a_\infty} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial (l T/a_\infty \beta) \partial (\beta l X)} = 0
\]

which results after simplification in
\[ -\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial Y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial Z^2} - \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial T^2} - 2M_\infty \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial X \partial T} = 0 \quad (C.2) \]

At this point we introduce \( \Phi(X, Y, Z) \) via the equation
\[ \Phi(X, Y, Z, T) = \hat{\Phi}(X, Y, Z) e^{i\Omega(T - M_\infty X)} \]
The consequences of this transformation are as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}}{\partial X} = \left( \frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}}{\partial X} - i \Omega M_\infty \hat{\Phi} \right) e^{\Omega (T - M_\infty X)}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial X^2} = \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial X^2} - 2i \Omega M_\infty \frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}}{\partial X} - \Omega^2 M_\infty^2 \hat{\Phi} \right) e^{i \Omega (T - M_\infty X)}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \hat{\Phi}}{\partial Y^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \hat{\Phi}}{\partial Y^2} e^{i \Omega (T - M_\infty X)}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial Z^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial Z^2} e^{i \Omega (T - M_\infty X)}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial T} = i \Omega \hat{\Phi} e^{i \Omega (T - M_\infty X)}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial T^2} = -\Omega^2 \hat{\Phi} e^{i \Omega (T - M_\infty X)}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \hat{\Phi}}{\partial X \partial T} = \left( \Omega^2 M_\infty \hat{\Phi} + i \Omega \frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}}{\partial X} \right) e^{i \Omega (T - M_\infty X)}
\]

Then equation (C.2) can be written

\[
- \frac{\partial^2 \hat{\Phi}}{\partial X^2} + 2i \Omega M_\infty \frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}}{\partial X} + \Omega^2 M_\infty^2 \hat{\Phi} + \frac{\partial^2 \hat{\Phi}}{\partial Y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \hat{\Phi}}{\partial Z^2}
\]

\[
+ \beta^2 \Omega^2 \hat{\Phi} - 2 \Omega^2 M_\infty^2 \hat{\Phi} - 2i \Omega M_\infty \frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}}{\partial X} = 0
\]

These equations finally lead to:

\[
\nabla \circ \nabla \hat{\Phi} + \Omega^2 \hat{\Phi} = 0 \tag{C.3}
\]

which is Eq. (10) of the text.
Fig. 1 Geometry of the hyperboloidal element

Fig. 2 Surface geometry
Fig. 3 Illustration of case (ii)

Fig. 4 Illustration of case (iii)
Fig. 5 Illustration of case (iv)

Fig. 6 Case of critical point, with $\bar{R} \circ \bar{a}_2 > 0$
Fig. 7 Case of critical point, with $\bar{R} \circ \bar{s}_2 < 0$
In this report a frequency-domain Green's Function Method for unsteady supersonic potential flow around complex aircraft configurations is presented.

We focus here on the supersonic range wherein the linear potential flow assumption is valid. In this range the effects of the nonlinear terms in the unsteady supersonic compressible velocity potential equation are negligible and therefore these terms will be omitted in this report.

The Green's function method is employed in order to convert the potential-flow differential equation into an integral one. This integral equation is then discretized, through standard finite-element technique, to yield a linear algebraic system of equations relating the unknown potential to its prescribed co-normal wash (boundary condition) on the surface of the aircraft. The arbitrary complex aircraft configuration (e.g., finite-thickness wing, wing-body-tail) is discretized into hyperboloidal (twisted quadrilateral) panels. The potential and co-normal wash are assumed to vary linearly within each panel.

The long range goal of our research is to develop a comprehensive theory for unsteady supersonic potential aerodynamics which is capable of yielding accurate results even in the low supersonic (i.e., high transonic) range.