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Abstract

Aircraft icing flight research was performed in natural icing conditions with a typical twin engine commuter type aircraft. Development of a data base consisting of icing cloud parameter measurements, ice shapes, and aerodynamic measurements was begun. Results from five icing research flights are presented. During research icing encounters, icing cloud parameters such as temperature, liquid water content, and droplet size were measured. After the icing encounter, ice shapes were documented and aerodynamic measurements were taken. The ice accretion shape on the wing was documented with a stereo photography system. The increase in wing section drag was measured with a wake survey probe. The overall aircraft performance loss in terms of lift and drag coefficient changes was obtained by taking steady level speed/power measurements. Selective deicing of airframe components was performed to determine their contributions to the total drag increase. Engine out capability was analyzed for the iced aircraft. It was shown that the stereo photography system can be used to document ice shapes in flight and that the wake survey probe can measure increases in wing section drag caused by ice. On one flight, the wing section drag coefficient \( C_d \) increased approximately 120 percent over the uniced baseline at an aircraft angle of attack of 6°. On another flight, the aircraft drag coefficient \( C_D \) increased by 75 percent over the uniced baseline at an aircraft lift coefficient \( C_L \) of 0.5.

Nomenclature

- \( C_L \) aircraft lift coefficient
- \( C_D \) aircraft drag coefficient
- \( C_1 \) wing section lift coefficient
- \( C_d \) wing section drag coefficient
- KIAS indicated airspeed, \( \text{kn} \)
- KTAS true airspeed, \( \text{kn} \)
- LWC liquid water content, \( \text{g/m}^3 \)
- MED mean effective droplet diameter, \( \text{μm} \)
- MVD median volume droplet diameter, \( \text{μm} \)
- RMC rotating multicylinder
- SHP shaft horsepower, \( \text{hp} \)
- SS sooted slide droplet catcher
- THP thrust horsepower, \( \text{hp} \)
- \( \alpha \) aircraft angle of attack referenced to floor line, deg
- \( \delta f \) flap deflection, deg

Introduction

The NASA Lewis Research Center is conducting an aircraft icing research program. Flight testing is performed in natural icing conditions to support several major program elements. Among these elements are flight experiments that provide ice accretion shape and attendant aerodynamic drag data for the purpose of validating the NASA Lewis Icing Research Tunnel and computer codes. As a part of this program 19 icing research flights were flown during the 1983/1984 season. Because the results of many flights were similar and in order to avoid repetition and to focus in on key observations and results, data from only five icing flights are described.

This paper presents results of NASA's icing flight research in the following areas: (1) documenting wing ice shape by stereo photography, (2) measuring section drag for the iced wing with a wake probe, and (3) continuation of the work described in NASA TM-83564 which deals with measuring the overall performance loss of the aircraft caused by ice and the contributions of various iced airframe components to the overall drag increase. In addition, measurements of the corresponding icing environments are reported and related to the FAR Part 25, Appendix C, certification criteria.

A short film is available which documents the NASA icing research flight program.

The Aircraft

The icing research aircraft is a typical twin engine commuter type aircraft. It has PT6A-20A turbine engines (which generate 550 SHP each at sea level standard conditions) driving three bladed propellers. Maximum gross weight is 11,000 lbs. Long range cruise speed is 127 KTAS at 10,000 ft, standard day, and maximum gross weight.

The aircraft is equipped with electrothermal anti-icers on the propellers, engine inlets, and windshield. Pneumatic deicers located on the wing outboard of the engine nacelles, on both horizontal and vertical stabilizers, on the wing struts, and on the rear landing gear struts (the aircraft has fixed landing gear). The pneumatic deicers located on the vertical stabilizer, wing struts, and landing gear struts are nonstandard...
items allowing additional capability in measuring component drag through selective icing.

Instrumentation

Icing Cloud Parameters

Measurements were made of cloud liquid water content, volume median diameter droplet size, droplet size distribution, air temperature, reference ice accretion rate, duration of the icing encounter, and flight speed. Icing environment data from electronic sensors were recorded on a digital tape recorder. See Fig. 1 for instrument locations on the aircraft.

Instrumentation included:

For liquid water content: Johnson and Williams (J-W) heated wire probe, produced by Cloud Technology.

For cloud droplet size distribution and volume median diameter: rotating multicylinder and sooted slide droplet catcher.

For air temperature: platinum resistance total temperature probe, produced by Rosemount, Inc.

For reference ice accretion: Pressure-type Icing Rate and Accretion Meter (PIRAM), developed by NACA.

It should be noted that icing cloud parameters are difficult to measure. Accuracy is difficult to determine and absolute calibration standards are lacking. Measurements were not, in general, corrected for local flow field effects caused by the presence of the aircraft. The philosophy of this report is to correlate available icing cloud data and icing accretion properties with corresponding ice shapes and aerodynamic data. For further details about icing instrument results see Ref. 2.

Stereo Photography System

Employing technology developed by the U.S. Air Force Arnold Engineering and Development Center (AEDC), NASA Lewis personnel designed and built a wing stereo photography system for the icing research aircraft. The objective of the system was to obtain stereo pair photo images of the wing's iced leading edge that would enable measurement of the ice surface through photogrammetric analysis to generate profiles of the ice shape with a minimum acceptable resolution of \(+0.03\) in \((+0.04\) percent chord). The system's cameras are two Hasselblad 70 mm format motor drive units with 250 mm lenses mounted in the nose of the aircraft behind optical glass viewports (Fig. 2(a)). The cameras' fields of view encompassed a common portion of the wing leading edge located at about 69 percent of the semispan. To provide spatial references in the photo-images needed for photogrammetric analysis, an array of control points was painted on the wing leading edge section and the wing fence. The points' precise positions were then measured and catalogued. The control point array with general dimensions is diagrammed in Fig. 2(b). To increase contrast and character of the ice surface in the image, a 2000 W/sec flash unit which sidelonged the viewfield was discharges during the exposure. An electronic control system was used to synchronize the shutters and flash.

The system was activated from a switch at the copilot's station. The photo images were positive color exposures on Kodak Ektachrome ASA 64 film.

Photogrammetric analysis of the ice accretion images was performed using a K and E DSC 3/80 computerized analytical system. An analysis of the images under high magnification would generate a finely spaced array of ice surface spot measurements. These measurements were made over approximately a 10 in spanwise section of the wing, concentrating on ice peaks and valleys in order to get a measure of ice surface roughness. Each measurement's spatial coordinates were then plotted on one composite profile view expressing the representative shape and roughness of the ice accretion.

Wing Wake Survey Probe and Surface Pressure Belt System

The wing wake survey probe and surface pressure belt system was designed and built by the Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio. The wake survey probe consists of a geared, motor driven probe, with separate pitot and static probe tips located 1/4 chord aft of the wing trailing edge at about 69 percent of the semispan. The probe can traverse an arc of 180° through the wing wake (Figs. 1 and 3). The probe is driven by a stepper motor in the wing. Static and total pressures are measured in the wing wake along with the corresponding probe position in the wake. Pressures are measured by transducers located in the cabin. A minicomputer is used as a controller and data logger. The computer calculates wing section drag coefficients by using momentum theory (the velocity deficit in the wing wake is related to the drag of the wing section). During icing flights, heaters in the wake probe kept the probe free of ice and a nitrogen purge system kept pressure lines clear of water.

A pressure belt is located at the same spanwise wing station as the wake probe, but on the opposite wing (Fig. 4). The pressure belt measures wing surface static pressures during clear air flights and consists of strip-tubes wrapped around the wing. Static pressure holes are located on the pressure belt around the wing surface. Each static hole has a pressure line feeding into the cabin. A Scanivalve is employed to read the pressures. Cutoff valves are used to instantaneously drop all pressures in the lines before the pressures are read. Data can be reduced "on line" during a flight or on a post flight basis. The on line data reduction capability allows real time evaluation of data quality. Raw data are processed more accurately at the Ohio State Aeronautical and Astronautical Research Laboratory on their Harris/4 computer than can be in flight. See Refs. 13 and 14 for a more complete discussion of the wake survey and pressure belt system.

Aircraft Performance Instrumentation

Aircraft performance measurements were made with calibrated service system instruments. The only instrument used that was not part of the ship's service system was the heated angle of attack probe. Performance data was recorded manually. Instrumentation included:

- Engine torque: Edison Torque Pressure Gauge (10/lbf)
- Propeller RPM: General Electric (percent)
Flight Test Procedures

The aircraft was flown in clear air to establish an unciced performance baseline in terms of a lift curve and drag polar. Steady, level, performance methods (speed/power measurements) were employed. The unciced lift curve and drag polar were derived to establish a basis for comparison between the iced versus unciced aircraft (see Aircraft Performance Loss Due to Ice section).

The wake survey and pressure belt system was also flown in clear air to establish an unciced baseline in terms of a wing section lift curve and drag polar. Wake probe measurements were made on the right wing while wing surface pressure measurements were made at the same spanwise location on the left wing. The wing pressure belt was never used in icing conditions.

The general procedure during icing flights was (1) accrete ice while measuring the icing environment, (2) exit the icing cloud and document the wing ice shape with stereo photography, (3) measure the increase in wing section drag with the wake survey probe, (4) measure the decrease in overall aircraft performance, and (5) selectively deice airframe components and obtain drag decrements.

Airframe ice was accreted at cruise flight conditions (approx. 135 KIAS). While in icing, a relatively constant cruise airspeed was maintained by slowly increasing power; however, in heavy icing, once maximum continuous power was reached, a speed decay of 10 to 15 KIAS would sometimes occur. Icing instrumentation continuously measured icing cloud parameters. The pneumatic deciers on the wings, empennage, and struts were not activated during the icing encounter; however, propeller and engine inlet heaters were always kept on. After a sufficient amount of ice had been accreted on the airframe, the aircraft would be flown to exit the icing area. Generally, the quickest means was to climb and fly above the icing cloud.

At this point, level flight speed/power measurements as well as wake survey measurements were made. The first speed/power measurements were made with the aircraft all iced, excluding propellers and engine inlets. Subsequent speed/power series were made after selectively deicing airframe components in order to establish various component contributions to the overall drag. If it was determined visually that ice was shedding during the measurements, the series would be abandoned. Measurements were not attempted unless the aircraft was totally free of ice prior to the icing encounter. That is, all ice on the aircraft was due solely to the documented icing encounter. All performance measurements were made with flaps up ($\delta_f = 0^\circ$).

Wake survey measurements of the iced wing were made alternately with speed/power measurements. During wake survey runs the aircraft was flown at constant airspeed and angle of attack. It took about 160 sec for the wake probe to traverse behind the wing while taking data.

Results and Discussion

Nineteen icing research flights were flown during the 1983/84 season. To avoid repetition and to focus in on key results, data from five icing flights are presented herein. These flights are referred to by their numbers and were chosen for the following reasons. Flight 84-19 provided aircraft performance measurements which included some component deicing. Flight 84-27 provided a severe glaze icing encounter after which both aircraft performance and wake survey measurements were made. Flight 84-34 provided the most complete set of data which included stereo photographs, performance measurements, and wake survey measurements. Discussed in less detail are Flights 84-29 and 84-32 which along with Flight 84-34 provided stereo photography data showing a correlation of ice accretion extent on the wing surface with droplet size.

The following results and discussion are organized by the subjects: characterization of icing encounters, ice shape documentation with stereo photography, wing section drag increase due to ice, and aircraft performance loss due to ice.

Characterization of Icing Encounters

Aerodynamic measurements and stereo photographs of ice shapes taken following an icing encounter are referenced to those icing cloud parameters that created the icing condition. Icing environment observations and measurements are presented in Table I under two categories: the basic icing cloud variables and the properties of the ice accretions. Measured cloud variables include liquid water content, droplet size distribution, median volume diameter, temperature, and the duration and extent of the icing. Ice accretion properties include icing rate, reference amount of ice accreted, and size of the ice formation as determined by observation. Plots of cloud liquid water content versus time during the icing encounter are given in Fig. 5 for Flights 84-19, 27, and 34.

The icing encounter is characterized by two approaches. The first approach relates the encounter to its frequency of occurrence. Lewis and Bergrun presented charts showing the probability of specific icing conditions. For example, the LWC measured on Flight 84-19 (0.30 g/m$^2$) would be equalled or exceeded in only 1 out of 450 icing encounters when found in combination with the other measured icing parameters (Table I). This low probability stems primarily from the unusual duration of the icing produced by the flight test procedure of holding within the icing cloud. The second approach for characterizing the icing encounter involves the FAR Part 25 icing parameter envelopes.

For many years the extremities of icing conditions have been gaged by the FAR Part 25 icing parameter envelopes used to design and certify aircraft. Thus, it is of interest to assess the intensity of a given icing encounter in relation to these envelopes. Figure 6 from FAR Part 25 gives the maximum liquid water content to be found...
continuously in stratiform clouds as related to mean effective drop diameter, air temperature, and horizontal extent of the icing encounter. This FAR envelope of maximum conditions is for a standard distance of 20 miles (17.4 n mi). For icing encounter distances other than the standard distance, the maximum liquid water content may be increased or decreased depending on whether the encounter distance is shorter or longer than the standard distance. Data have shown that the higher the average liquid water content during an encounter, the more localized the encounter will be. A liquid water content correction factor relating maximum liquid water content with horizontal distance has been developed from previous data gathered by NASA (Figs. 6(a) to (c)). The maximum liquid water content is modified by multiplying values of maximum liquid water content for the standard distance by the appropriate LWC correction factor determined for the actual distance flown in icing.

Data from Flights 84-19, 27, and 34 are referenced to the FAA design and certification envelopes in Figs. 6(a) to (c) (Flights 84-29 and 32 are not referenced to the certification criteria since no performance data are presented for these flights.) Shown in Fig. 6(a), for example, are the design envelopes and the icing encounter data of Flight 84-19. The measured values of mean effective droplet diameter (11 μm) and liquid water content (0.3 g/m³) are plotted on the top graph (solid symbol). The actual horizontal distance gives an LWC correction of 0.43. The air temperature measured in this icing encounter was 16.8 °C (19.8 °F), which for a mean effective droplet diameter of 11 μm, gives a maximum liquid water content of 0.8 g/m³ (as shown by the interpolated value of 0.3 g/m³). The maximum liquid water content is for the standard distance and should be reduced by the LWC correction factor of 0.43 to 0.34 g/m³ (0.43 x 0.8 g/m³). This is shown by the solid line on the top graph. Comparing the maximum value of 0.34 g/m³ to the measured value of 0.3 g/m³, we find that the measured value was 88 percent of the maximum (0.30/0.34) as given in Table I. Figures 6(b) and (c), Flights 84-27 and 84-34 respectively, were constructed by employing the same procedure.

The correction factor relating maximum LWC with distance is of primary interest in determining the amount of ice which can accumulate on unprotected surfaces during an icing encounter. However, for design of most ice protection systems, the maximum LWC (from the FAR Part 25 Appendix C) for the standard distance (17.4 nm) is used.

Ice accretion shapes are documented by photographs for Flights 84-19, 27, and 34, and are presented in Figs. 7 to 9. The photographs show examples of ice accretions on various components of the airframe including the wing, tail, struts, wheels, and antenna.

Ice Shape Documentation With Stereo Photography

Additional stereo photography results, from Flights 84-29, 32, and 34, are presented in Fig. 11 and show a correlation of ice accretion extent limits with droplet size measurements. The profiles exhibit a definite variation in the extent of the ice accretion on the upper and lower surfaces. Ice accretion extent is related to droplet impingement limits. At the nearly constant conditions of airspeed and altitude that were flown, the different impingement limits would primarily be a function of the cloud droplet sizes. Figure 11 shows that the wide range of droplet sizes measured during the encounters did in fact, produce large changes in impingement area. Very small droplets created a narrow ice accretion (FLT 84-32) whereas much larger droplets gave a wide ice band along the leading edge (FLT 84-29). Droplet sizes were measured either by the rotating multicylinder method (RMC) or by exposure of sooted slides. Both measurements were analyzed for the volume median droplet size (or mean effective in the case of the RMC measurements), distribution of the sizes, and maximum droplet size determined by the distribution as defined by Langmuir in the RMC analysis procedure. An E distribution was common to the examples shown in Fig. 11. As a result, the maximum droplet size, which determines the limits of impingement, is the same multiple of the volume median for each of the three examples.
Wing Section Drag Increase Due to Ice

Results of the uniced baseline tests for the wake survey and pressure belt system are shown in Figs. 12 and 13. Figure 12 shows a plot of wing section lift coefficient versus aircraft angle of attack. It should be noted that this is not the local angle of attack at the wing, rather, it is the aircraft angle of attack referenced to the floor line. The wing is at a 2.5° inclination to the floor line and is also subject to an induced angle of attack effect. Figure 13 shows the wing section drag coefficient versus the wing section lift coefficient for the uniced wing. This measured drag polar exhibits an unusual plateau at section $C_{D_{1}}$ from about 0.65 to 0.9. It should be noted that the aircraft has NACA type double-slotted flaps on the full span with the outboard trailing sections being ailerons (wake survey measurements were made on the outboard region).

Iced wing data are presented and compared to uniced measurements. Iced wing section $C_{D_{1}}$ measurements are plotted against aircraft angle of attack, rather than $C_{l}$, since the pressure belt was not used in icing conditions. In Fig. 14, wing section drag coefficient versus aircraft angle of attack for icing Flights 84-27 and 84-34 is plotted and compared to the uniced wing drag data to illustrate the drag increase caused by ice. The largest drag measurements were recorded on Flight 84-27, which was a severe glaze icing encounter. At an angle of attack of 3.1°, with an airspeed of 121 KTAS, the drag increased 56 percent over the fairled baseline. At an angle of attack of 6.0°, with an airspeed of 101 KTAS, the drag increased by 120 percent over the baseline. The other icing Flight shown, Flight 84-34, shows a much smaller drag increase. At an angle of attack of 0.9°, with an airspeed of 148 KTAS, the drag increased 6 percent over the baseline. At an angle of attack of 7.2°, with an airspeed of 97 KTAS, the drag increased 19 percent over the baseline. The magnitude of the drag increase is a function of the amount of ice on the wing, the ice shape, and the ice roughness. From photographs (Figs. 8(a) and 9(a)), it can be seen that the ice on Flight 84-27 was a great deal rougher than that on Flight 84-34. The wake survey measurements from Flight 84-34 were made during the time period beginning 12 min after the icing encounter and ending 30 min later. The shape of the ice accretion during this period was documented with stereo photography and is presented in Fig. 10.

The efforts reported herein represent the first attempt to perform in-flight measurements of wing section drag increases caused by ice accretions. The unusual form of the uniced drag polar suggests that additional work should be done to thoroughly understand and verify the measurements; nevertheless, the wake survey probe did detect significant increases in drag and therefore warrants continued future effort.

Aircraft Performance Loss Due to Ice

The baseline lift curve and drag polar for the uniced aircraft were obtained to establish a basis for lift and drag coefficient comparisons. The Iced versus uniced aircraft (Figs. 15(a) and (b)). Baseline performance measurements from the 1983/84 season show a slight shift towards lower drag from those reported in Ref. 4. The exact reason for the shift is unknown. However, since the interest lies in measuring performance differences, the 1983/84 icing data are compared to the 1983/84 uniced baseline data. Next, performance data from three icing flights are presented as well as a discussion on engine out capability.

Flight 84-19. Flight 84-19 was flown in glaze icing conditions with LWC of 0.3 g/m$^2$, static temperature of $-6.8^\circ\mathrm{C}$, and an encounter time of 49 min. Figure 7 shows photographs of resulting ice accretions on the aircraft. The aircraft exited the icing cloud at 5700 ft for a series of performance measurements. Six steady-state performance measurements were made taking a total of 30 min to complete. Results are plotted on $C_{l}$ versus $\alpha$ and $C_{D}$ versus $(C_{l})^2$ graphs shown in Figs. 16(a) and (b). Referring to Fig. 16(b), three measurements were made with the aircraft all iced. At $C_{l} = 0.25$, the all iced aircraft showed a 31 percent increase in drag over the uniced baseline. The next three measurements were made with the wing struts and landing gear struts deiced. By faring a line through these three data points and looking at the drag and lift, it is reduced to 18 percent over the baseline. This drag reduction appears too large since it corresponds to 42 percent of the total drag caused by ice. If the low data point at $C_{l} = 0.4$ is ignored, and another line drawn, then the drag reduction becomes 22 percent over the baseline. Thus, the ice on the wing struts and landing gear struts contributed about 29 percent of the total drag increase due to ice. Ice also caused a decrease in lift as shown on Fig. 16(a). At an angle of attack of 6° the lift coefficient is about 12 percent lower than the uniced baseline.

The performance measurements quoted above took place over a period of 23 min. Conventional photographs taken during this time indicate that some ice sublimation or erosion occurred. Stereo photographs were not available for this flight. The amount of reduction in drag due to sublimation and erosion is unknown. Although the general ice shape remained, the characteristic roughness was reduced.

Flight 84-27. Flight 84-27 was flown in mixed (i.e., rime/glaze) icing conditions for 25 min with LWC of 0.34 g/m$^2$ and static temperature of $-5.2^\circ\mathrm{C}$. Figure 8 shows photographs of resulting ice accretions on the aircraft. Ice degraded the aircraft performance significantly. Three measurements were made with the aircraft all iced. Figures 17(a) and (b) show plots of $C_{l}$ versus $\alpha$ and $C_{D}$ versus $(C_{l})^2$. The drag increase for the all iced aircraft was very large. Referring to Fig. 17(b), at $C_{l} = 0.25$, it can be estimated that the drag increased to 75 percent over the uniced baseline. The ice also caused a decrease in lift. Referring to Fig. 17(a), at an angle of attack of 6° the lift coefficient is 16 percent lower than the uniced baseline. Sublimation of the ice accretion was not a problem since the aircraft was not in the sun.

Flight 84-34. Flight 84-34 was flown in mixed icing conditions (i.e., mixture of rime and glaze) for 22 min, with LWC of 0.50 g/m$^2$, and static temperature of $-6.5^\circ\mathrm{C}$. Figure 9 shows photographs of resulting ice accretions on the aircraft. Data plots are shown in Figs. 18(a) and (b). Three measurements were made with the aircraft all iced. Referring to Fig. 18(b), at $C_{l} = 0.25$ the
drag increased for the alliced aircraft to 38 percent over the uniced baseline. Two measurements were made with the wings deiced. With the wings deiced, at $C_f = 0.25$, the drag was 26 percent over the baseline. Thus, at $C_f = 0.25$, the ice on the deicable portion of the wings contributed 35 percent of the total drag increase due to ice. One measurement was made with the empennage and wings deiced and resulted in a drag of 22 percent over the baseline. Thus, ice on the empennage contributed approximately 11 percent of the total increase in drag due to ice. Ice also caused a decrease in lift. Referring to Fig. 16(a), at an angle of attack of $6^\circ$, the lift coefficient is about 11 percent lower than the uniced baseline. Sublimation of the ice accretion was documented and discussed previously in the Stereo Photography section. Performance data was acquired during the time period beginning 10 min after the icing encounter and ending 49 min later (refer these times to the times of the stereo profiles shown in Fig. 10).

Engine out capability with ice. For Flight 84-27, thrust horsepower versus velocity plots were developed to present the effect of glaze icing on engine performance. These plots were constructed by comparing the two engine data derived thrust horsepower required curves for the uniced and iced aircraft against a calculation of one engine thrust horsepower available. One engine THP available was calculated by using (1) maximum continuous power setting charts in the pilots handbook with 100 percent propeller rpm at sea level and 96 percent propeller rpm at any altitude, and (2) propeller efficiency charts to calculate propeller efficiencies used to obtain THP. Trim drag caused by asymmetric thrust were measured on the uniced aircraft by feathering one propeller and were found to be negligible; i.e., within the scatter of our baseline measurements. Engine out capability in this discussion refers to power requirements and does not address possible handling qualities problems caused by an engine out with iced control surfaces.

For the uniced aircraft, Fig. 19(a) shows the baseline thrust horsepower required versus velocity for standard day, standard weight, and sea level conditions. For icing Flight 84-27, Fig. 19(b) shows approximate engine out capability where data has been corrected to standard day, standard weight, and sea level conditions. Figure 19(c) shows engine out capability for Flight 84-27 at test altitude conditions and standard weight. The results show that the glaze ice accretion of Flight 84-27 increased the required thrust horsepower enough that the engine out capability of the aircraft was seriously reduced. Although further measurements were attempted after selective deicing of the wings and empennage, test conditions were not conducive to acquiring data. Based on our experience from past measurements, deicing the wings, empennage, wing struts, and landing gear struts would have provided safe engine out capability.

In general, it can be seen that glaze ice conditions can rapidly degrade engine out capability. Undesirable conditions would exist if an ice protection system failed or did not protect a sufficient area of the aircraft. Such would be the case if an ice protection system failed or did not protect a sufficient area of the aircraft.

Conclusions

1. It has been shown that stereo photography can be used as a means to measure natural ice shape profiles in the flight environment.

2. It has been shown that a wake survey probe can be used in flight to measure increases in wing section drag caused by natural ice.

3. The time required for aerodynamic data acquisition must be reduced in order to minimize errors caused by ice sublimation and erosion.

4. Glaze ice affects the performance of aircraft far more seriously than rime or mixed ice (i.e., combination of rime and glaze).

5. Glaze ice can rapidly erode engine out capability if an ice protection system fails or does not protect a sufficient area of the aircraft in order to reduce enough of the drag increase caused by ice.
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TABLE I. - ICING CLOUD DATA AND ACCRETION PROPERTIES FOR ICING FLIGHTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flight number</th>
<th>84-19</th>
<th>84-27</th>
<th>84-34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flight number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight date</td>
<td>2/3/84</td>
<td>3/15/84</td>
<td>4-18-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Average pressure altitude, ft</td>
<td>5306</td>
<td>8526</td>
<td>5578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Average true airspeed, kn</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Average aircraft angle of attack, deg</td>
<td>1.4°</td>
<td>2.2°</td>
<td>1.6°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(referenced to aircraft floor line)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Start time of icing encounter</td>
<td>14:16</td>
<td>12:39</td>
<td>10:40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Icing cloud data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>84-19</th>
<th>84-27</th>
<th>84-34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5(a) Total temperature, °C</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
<td>-4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5(b) Static temperature, °C</td>
<td>-6.8</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
<td>-6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6(a) Average LWC, gms/cu m</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6(b) Maximum LWC, gms/cu m</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7(a) Duration of encounter, min</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7(b) Extent of encounter, m</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8(a) Mean effective droplet diameter, μm</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8(b) Droplet size distribution</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8(c) Maximum droplet size, μm</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Cloud type</td>
<td>Stratus</td>
<td>Stratus</td>
<td>Strato-Cu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ice accretion properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mix</th>
<th>Glaze</th>
<th>Mix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 Type of ice (see photo)</td>
<td>Mix</td>
<td>Glaze</td>
<td>Mix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Shape of ice (see photo)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Average reference accretion rate, in/hr</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Reference total accretion, in</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Characterization of icing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Frequency of occurrence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(number of icing encounters to equal or exceed)</td>
<td>1 in 450</td>
<td>1 in 150</td>
<td>1 in 900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Certification criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(percent of max LWC)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See reference 4 for complete explanations of table elements.
Figure 1. - NASA Lewis Research Center icing research aircraft and locations of icing instruments, wake survey probe, and wing surface pressure belt. All dimensions are in m (ft).
Figure 2. - Stereo camera system used to document ice shape on wing ahead of wake survey probe.
Figure 3. - Wake survey probe on right wing.

Figure 4. - Surface pressure belt on left wing.
Figure 5. - Variation of liquid water content (LWC) with time for three icing encounters. LWC measured continuously by Johnson and William's liquid water content meter and intermittently by rotating multicylinders.
Figure 6. Icing encounters superimposed on FAR appendix C of part 25 continuous maximum (stratiform clouds).
ACTUAL DATA FROM FLIGHT 84-27 (SEE TABLE I)

- FAR ENVELOPES AT CONSTANT TEMPERATURE FOR STANDARD HORIZONTAL EXTENT OF 17.4 n mi (20 st mi)
- MAXIMUM LIQUID WATER CONTENT AT THE FLIGHT MEASURED STATIC TEMPERATURE OF -5, 20°C AND FOR STANDARD HORIZONTAL EXTENT OF 17.4 n mi
- LIQUID WATER CONTENT CORRECTION FACTOR USED WHEN HORIZONTAL EXTENT IS DIFFERENT FROM 17.4 n mi
- MAXIMUM LIQUID WATER CONTENT AT -5, 20°C CORRECTED FOR HORIZONTAL EXTENT OF 57 n mi

TEMPERATURE, °C

MAXIMUM LIQUID WATER CONTENT, g/m³

MEAN EFFECTIVE DROPLET DIAMETER, μm

LIQUID WATER CONTENT CORRECTION FACTOR

CLOUD HORIZONTAL EXTENT, n mi

(b) Flight 84-27.

Figure 6. - Continued.
Figure 6. - Concluded.
(a) Wing leading edge ice formation.

(b) Ice formation on wing strut.

Figure 7. - Ice accretions on aircraft at time of aerodynamic measurements for flight 84-19.
(a) Wing leading edge ice formation.

(b) Ice formation on wing strut.

Figure 8. - Ice accretions on aircraft at time of aerodynamic measurements for flight 84-27.
(c) Ice formation on empennage.

(d) Ice formation on wheel and landing gear strut.

Figure 8. - Concluded.
Figure 9. - Ice accretions on aircraft at time of aerodynamic measurements for flight 84-34.
(c) Ice formation on empennage.

Figure 9. - Concluded.
Figure 10. - Stereophotography results showing variation in composite ice profiles with time (after exiting the icing cloud).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flight</th>
<th>MVD (SOOT SIDE), μm</th>
<th>MED (RMC), μm</th>
<th>Langmuir Distribution</th>
<th>Maximum Diameter, μm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>84-32</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>E (SLIDES)</td>
<td>16 (SLIDES)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84-34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>E (RMC)</td>
<td>28 (RMC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84-29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>E (RMC)</td>
<td>40 (RMC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(5% vol. ABOVE THIS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWC, g/m³</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static Temperature, °C</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True Airspeed, KTAS</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>145</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure Altitude, ft</td>
<td>8200</td>
<td>5600</td>
<td>7600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Icing Duration, min</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11. - Correlation of ice accretion extent on wing surface with cloud droplet size (larger drop sizes produce greater ice coverage of wing surface).
Figure 12. - Uniced lift curve for wing section. $\delta_F = 0^\circ$.

Figure 13. - Uniced drag polar for wing section. $\delta_F = 0^\circ$. 
Figure 14. - Comparison of wing section drag coefficient as a function of aircraft angle of attack for the iced and un-iced wing. $\delta_F = 0^\circ$. 
Figure 15. - Lift curve and drag polar for uniced icing research aircraft (baseline). \( \delta_F = 0^\circ \).
Figure 16. - Change in aircraft lift curve and drag polar due to ice for flight 84-19. $\delta_F = 0^\circ$. 
Figure 17. - Change in aircraft lift curve and drag polar due to ice for flight 84-27. \( \delta_r = 0^\circ \).
Figure 18. - Change in aircraft lift curve and drag polar due to ice for flight 84-34. \( \delta_F = 0^\circ \).
(a) Thrust horsepower required as a function of velocity for the uniced aircraft. Flight test data corrected to standard day, sea level, and standard weight conditions (11 000 lb) (baseline).

Figure 19. - Flight 84-27, effect of glaze icing on thrust horsepower required relative to calculated one engine thrust horsepower available, $\delta_r = 0^\circ$. 

FLIGHT NUMBER
84-18
84-21
84-22
Figure 19. - Concluded.
Icing Flight Research: Aerodynamic Effects of Ice and Ice Shape Documentation With Stereo Photography
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Aircraft icing flight research was performed in natural icing conditions. A database consisting of icing cloud measurements, ice shapes, and aerodynamic measurements is being developed. During research icing encounters the icing cloud was continuously measured. After the encounter, the ice accretion shapes on the wing were documented with a stereo camera system. The increase in wing section drag was measured with a wake survey probe. The overall aircraft performance loss in terms of lift and drag coefficient changes was obtained by steady level speed/power measurements. Selective deicing of airframe components was performed to determine their contributions to the total drag increase. Engine out capability in terms of power available was analyzed for the iced aircraft. It was shown that the stereo photography system can be used to document ice shapes in flight and that the wake survey probe can measure increases in wing section drag caused by ice. On one flight, the wing section drag coefficient (\(C_d\)) increased approximately 120 percent over the uniced baseline at an aircraft angle of attack of 6°. On another flight, the aircraft drag coefficient (\(C_D\)) increased by 75 percent over the uniced baseline at an aircraft lift coefficient (\(C_L\)) of 0.5.
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