1. Introduction. From the energy spectra of the heavy nuclei observed by the French-Danish experiment on HEAO-3, we have derived the source spectra of the mostly primary nuclei (C, O, Ne, Mg, Si, Ca and Fe) in the framework of an energy dependent leaky box model (Engelmann et al. 1985). The energy dependence of the escape length was derived from the observed B/C and sub-iron/iron ratios and the presently available cross sections for C and Fe on H nuclei (Koch-Miramond et al., 1983). A good fit to the source energy spectra of all these nuclei was obtained by a power law in momentum with an exponent $\chi = -2.4 \pm 0.05$ for the energy range 1-25 GeV/n (Engelmann et al. 85). Comparison with data obtained at higher energy suggested a progressive flattening of these spectra.

In the present paper we want to derive more accurate spectral indices by using better values of the escape length based on the latest cross section measurements (Webber 1984, Soutoul et al. this conference). Our aim is also to extend the analysis to lower energies down to 0.4 GeV/n (kinetic energy observed near earth), using data obtained by other groups. The only nuclei for which we have a good data base in a broad range of energies are O and Fe, so the present study is restricted to these two elements.

2. Derivation of the source spectra. We work along the same lines as in Engelmann et al. 1985. We first derive the interstellar spectrum by "demodulating" the observed spectrum, using the "force field approximation" (Gleeson and Axford, 1968); then we correct the demodulated flux values for the nuclei of secondary origin produced in the interstellar medium and for the energy loss suffered by the particles during their propagation. We get the interstellar flux of the "surviving" primaries $J(E)$, which is related to the source strength $dQ/dE$ by the relationship:

$$\frac{dQ}{dE} \propto J(E) \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{di}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_e(E)} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \left[ \frac{J(E)dE}{dx} \right]$$

(1)

where $\lambda_{di}$ is the pathlength for nuclear destruction of the element i in the interstellar medium and $\lambda_e(E)$ is the escape length, the value of which is derived in a companion paper (Soutoul et al., 1985). $\partial / \partial E$ is the ionization energy loss term and $dE/dx$ is the stopping power of the particle in pure H.

When applying this step by step procedure, we are faced with two difficulties: i) if the modulation correction is too large, the uncertainty on its value will lead to a large uncertainty in the interstellar flux value. To keep this kind of error at a relatively low level, we select among the published data those registered in such conditions (energy range, modulation level) that the modulation...
correction on the flux values does not exceed 35%. When this condition is
fulfilled an error of 0.10 GV on the modulation parameter around a mean
value of 0.40 GV leads to a maximum error of 10% on the flux value
corrected for modulation. ii) For the flux value registered by a
particular experiment at a given energy, there are two major causes of
errors: the statistical error and a systematic error due to the
uncertainties on the exposure factor of the instrument and on the
atmospheric corrections for balloon experiments. If we renormalize the
data in order to put certain flux values from different experimenters in
agreement we introduce a subjective feeling in the choice of these flux
values. To avoid this problem we do not try to derive from the data the
absolute flux values but merely the spectral indices measured by each
experimenter in given energy ranges.

3. Data base used in this study. Our data base is listed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Date of measurement</th>
<th>Mont Washington neutron monitor parameter</th>
<th>Type of Selected particle energy range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Webber 85(1)</td>
<td>1974, July</td>
<td>2290</td>
<td>0, Fe 0.95-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webber 85(1)</td>
<td>1977, Sept.</td>
<td>2360</td>
<td>0, Fe 0.65-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webber 85(1)</td>
<td>1974,77,78</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>0, Fe 10.5-112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEAO-3(2)</td>
<td>1979 Oct. to 1980 June</td>
<td>2190</td>
<td>0, Fe 1.3-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juliusson 74 1971-72 Sept</td>
<td></td>
<td>2350</td>
<td>0, Fe 23-76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orth &amp; al.78 1972 Sept</td>
<td></td>
<td>2350</td>
<td>0, Fe 2.4-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maehl &amp; al. 1973 Aug.</td>
<td></td>
<td>2350</td>
<td>0, Fe 0.85-2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caldwell 77 1974 Sept</td>
<td></td>
<td>2310</td>
<td>0, Fe 5.5-95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minagawa 81 1975 Sept</td>
<td></td>
<td>2404</td>
<td>Fe 1.5-8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon &amp; al.80 1976 Oct.</td>
<td></td>
<td>2420</td>
<td>0, Fe 2.5-630</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) These data consist of revisited flux values obtained in several
More accurate atmospheric corrections have been applied.
(2) Juliusson et al., 1983; Engelmann et al., 1985.

The modulation parameter $\phi$ characterising the conditions prevailing at
the time of each experiment can be correlated to the counting rate of the

The interstellar energy spectra $dJ/dE$ of O and Fe derived from these
selected data after demodulation have been plotted in Fig. 1 as a function of the momentum of the particle.

4. Results. As can be seen in Fig. 1, the differences between the
experimental points obtained at the same energy are much larger than the
errors quoted by the authors. As mentioned above this is probably due to
errors on the geometry and efficiency of the experiments and on the
atmospheric corrections. So we consider separately each experiment, and
for some of them we divide the energy range they cover into several
energy intervals. For each experiment and energy domain we derive the
spectral index $\gamma$ of the source strength assumed to follow the law:

$$dQ/dE = K P^{-\gamma}$$

where $P$ is the momentum of the particle in GeV/c/n. The values of the
$\gamma$ index for O and Fe have been plotted as a function of momentum in
For O the data seem to be consistent with a constant $\gamma$ index above 4GeV/c/n with a weighted mean value $\gamma_O=2.29\pm0.03$ (Note that the HEAO results are significantly above the average; excluding these data would lead to a weighted mean $\gamma_O=2.22\pm0.04$). Below 4GeV/c/n, the index seems to increase when the momentum decreases, up to $\gamma_O \simeq 2.9$ at 1.5GeV/c/n. For Fe we find for the weighted mean a value of $\gamma_{Fe}=2.36\pm0.05$ which is nearly the same as that found for O at high energy. But the increase observed for O at low energy does not seem present for Fe, although the large error bars and the scarcity of the points at low energy prevent us from drawing any definite conclusion.

The quoted errors on $\gamma$ are due to the errors on the flux values and to the spread of the corresponding points. If we include in addition the error on $\lambda_e$ due to cross section errors on the production of B by spallation of heavier nuclei and of Fe secondaries by spallation of Fe, we get the final values $\lambda$ (H.E.)$=2.29\pm0.06$ and $\lambda_{Fe}=2.36\pm0.07$. Therefore a unique power law in momentum does not hold for the O source spectrum.

What about a power law in total energy, which we have used earlier (Perron et al., 1981)?

$$\frac{dQ}{dE} = K_1 E^{-\gamma} \quad (3)$$

The $\gamma$ index values from the experimental data have been plotted as a function of the kinetic energy for O nuclei in Fig. 4. The weighted mean $\gamma$ value above 3 GeV/n is again 2.29$\pm$0.03 and the same type of increase is observed when going towards lower energies.

It is worthwhile to stress that this type of increase cannot be due to an error in the modulation correction (unless the modulation theory is grossly in error). An error of $+0.1$GV around an average value of $0.4$GV for the modulation parameter would lead to an error of $+0.07$ on the spectral index around 1GV/n, i.e. about 10 times less than the index variation observed between 1 and 3GV/n (interstellar kinetic energy).

4. Conclusion. We find, at least for O nuclei an apparent increase of the $\gamma$ index of the source spectrum below 3GV/n. Is this low energy steepening of the spectrum real? As discussed above, the careful selection of the data used in this study should prevent the demodulation to be responsible for this result. As concerns the partial cross sections from which the escape length is derived, the uncertainty in their values may introduce an error on the source abundances. If some energy dependences were left on the cross section errors, these would propagate into an error on the source spectral index. It is precisely in the energy region where the $\gamma$ index is changing (0.8 to 3GV/n) that the cross sections were measured with the best accuracy (Webber, 1984). From the quoted errors, we calculate that a possible energy dependence on $\gamma$ could be responsible at maximum for an apparent slope of 0.05, far smaller than the observed $\gamma$ variation.

This apparent increase of the source spectrum at low energy can be brought together with the flattening of the CNO source spectrum observed in the TeV energy region (Engelmann et al. 1985). It is in agreement with our suggestion that a soft component with a source spectral index around 2.7 may be superposed on a common source spectrum, including H and He, with a spectral index $\gamma \simeq 2.1$. In the few GeV/range, both components would contribute to the observed flux of heavies, leading to a spectral index around 2.3.
Fig. 1: Near-earth interstellar spectra of O and Fe nuclei, derived from experimental data corrected for the effect of solar modulation. The differential energy flux values have been plotted as a function of the momentum P. The spectra have been "flattened" by multiplication by p^{2.0}.

Fig. 2: Oxygen source spectral index γ plotted as a function of momentum P, assuming for the spectral shape a power law in momentum.

Fig. 3: Iron source spectral index plotted as a function of momentum, assuming for the spectral shape a power law in momentum.

Fig. 4: Oxygen source spectral index γ plotted as a function of kinetic energy, assuming for the spectral shape a power law in total energy.

References
Koch-Airamond L. et al., 1983, 18th ICRC, Bangalore, p. 275
Maehi, R.C. et al., 1977, Astrophys. and Space Science 47, 163
Soutoul, A. et al., 1985, this conf., paper C.C.1-3