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SUMMARY

The general design method for three-dimensional, potential, incompressible or subsonic-compressible flow developed in part I of this report is applied to the design of simple, unbranched ducts. A computer program, DIN3D1, is developed and five numerical examples are presented: a nozzle, two elbows, an S-duct, and the preliminary design of a side inlet for turbomachines. The two major inputs to the program are the upstream boundary shape and the lateral velocity distribution on the duct wall. As a result of these inputs, boundary conditions are overprescribed and the problem is ill posed. However, it appears that there are degrees of "compatibility" between these two major inputs and that, for reasonably compatible inputs, satisfactory solutions can be obtained. By not prescribing the shape of the upstream boundary, the problem presumably becomes well posed, but it is not clear how to formulate a practical design method under this circumstance. Nor does it appear desirable, because the designer usually needs to retain control over the upstream (or downstream) boundary shape.

The problem is further complicated by the fact that, unlike the two-dimensional case, and irrespective of the upstream boundary shape, some prescribed lateral velocity distributions do not have proper solutions.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

In part I of this report (ref. 1), a general design method is developed for three-dimensional, potential, incompressible or subsonic-compressible flow fields with arbitrary, prescribed velocity distributions as a function of arc length along streamlines on the boundary of the field. For (the present) part II of this report, a computer program, DIN3D1, has been developed for the design of simple, unbranched ducts with uniform velocities at the upstream and downstream boundaries and with arbitrary, prescribed velocity distributions along streamlines on the lateral boundaries.

The design of flow fields with satisfactory velocities along the boundary is important for the following reasons:

(1) Boundary-layer separation losses can be avoided by prescribing velocity distributions in the direction of flow, along the surfaces of the boundary, that do not decrease too rapidly.

(2) Shock losses in compressible flow and cavitation in incompressible flow can be avoided by prescribing velocities that do not exceed certain maximum values dictated by these phenomena.

(3) For compressible flow in ducts, the desired flow rate can be assured by prescribing velocities that do not result in premature choked flow.
However, the first objective of fluid dynamic design is to determine the shape of the flow-field boundary for which losses are minimum. For both incompressible and shock-free compressible flow, the fluid losses originate at the material surfaces along the flow-field boundary, and the magnitude of these losses depends on the velocity distribution along these surfaces. The characteristics of a desirable velocity distribution are relatively well known from boundary-layer theory.

The main program, DIN3D1, together with 21 major subroutines is described herein. The program input and output are also described and several numerical examples are presented.

2.0 SYMBOLS

All quantities are nondimensional; velocity is expressed as a ratio of the upstream velocity; linear quantities, expressed in any consistent unit for input, are made dimensionless in the program by dividing by the square root of the upstream boundary area; names for variables and parameters used in the computer program are not listed.

A \hspace{1em} \text{local continuity parameter, eq. (3.3.2)}

a \hspace{1em} \text{distance between adjacent nodal points of finite-difference star, figs. in section 3.2}

B \hspace{1em} \text{local continuity parameter, eq. (3.3.3)}

\{C_{C},C_{0}, C_{1}, \ldots, C_{6}\} \hspace{1em} \text{coefficients in governing finite-difference eqs. (3.3.4) and (3.3.5)}

\{C_{1}^{*},C_{2}^{*}, C_{3}^{*},C_{4}^{*}\} \hspace{1em} \text{coefficients given by eqs. (3.4.3) to (3.4.5)}

\mathbf{e} \hspace{1em} \text{unit vector}

\mathbf{e}_{1} \hspace{1em} \text{unit vector in direction of } q \text{ along streamlines, which are intersections of } \psi \text{ and } \eta \text{ stream surfaces, fig. in section 3.1}

\mathbf{e}_{2} \hspace{1em} \text{unit vector tangent to intersection of } \eta \text{ stream surface and } \varphi \text{ potential surface, fig. in section 3.1}

\mathbf{e}_{3} \hspace{1em} \text{unit vector tangent to intersection of } \psi \text{ stream surface and } \varphi \text{ potential surface, fig. in section 3.1}

I,J,K \hspace{1em} \text{indices in } \varphi, \psi, \text{ and } \eta \text{ directions, respectively}

\mathbb{I}_{ij,k} \hspace{1em} \text{unit vectors in } x, y, \text{ and } z \text{ directions, respectively, fig. in section 3.1}

JX,KX \hspace{1em} \text{indices for location of primary streamline, fig. in section 3.5}

k \hspace{1em} \text{adjustment factors for constraints, eqs. (4.2.2) and (4.2.9)}

m \hspace{1em} \text{path length in direction of } \mathbf{e}_{3} \text{ along intersection of } \psi \text{ stream surface and } \varphi \text{ potential surface, fig. in section 3.1}
n path length in direction of \( \vec{e}_2 \) along intersection of \( \eta \) stream surface and \( \phi \) potential surface, fig. in section 3.1

\( P_U \) path length along perimeter of upstream boundary, fig. in section 3.5

Q ln q

q velocity, expressed as ratio of upstream velocity

\( \bar{q} \) velocity vector, \( \vec{e}_1 q \), fig. in section 3.1

\( \mathcal{R} \) residual error, eq. (3.3.4)

r radius from axis of duct turn, fig. in appendix A

s path length along streamline in direction of \( \vec{e}_1 \), fig. in section 3.1

x,y,z Cartesian coordinates in physical space

\( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) angles of direction cosines in x,y,z space, fig. in section 3.1

\( \Delta \) finite increment

\( \Theta \) angle with which \( \psi \) and \( \eta \) stream surfaces intersect on potential surface, fig. in section 3.1; any angle

\( \rho \) local static density of fluid as ratio of upstream static density

\( \phi \) velocity potential, eq. (3.0.1)

\( \phi, \psi, \eta \) curvilinear coordinates in physical x,y,z space, or orthogonal coordinates of transformed \( \phi, \psi, \eta \) space

(·) dot-product operator of two vectors

Subscripts:

amp amplitude

D downstream boundary

max maximum

min minimum

o outer radius

\( \mathcal{P} \) principal streamline, IP on first fig. in section 7.1

U upstream boundary

0,1,...,6 grid points in finite-difference star, figs. in section 3.2

1,2,3 variables or components of variables associated with directions of \( \vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \) and \( \vec{e}_3 \), respectively
A major difficulty faced by all classical design methods is that they are boundary-value problems in which the velocity is specified along physical boundaries, the shapes of which are not known until the problem is solved. In this report, the difficulty was avoided by solving the problem in transformed \( \phi, \psi, \eta \) space, where \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) are the velocity potential and a stream function, respectively, and \( \eta \) is a second stream function associated with continuity in three-dimensional flow. The velocity distribution can then be expressed as a function of \( \phi \) along the boundary streamlines (lines of constant \( \psi \) and \( \eta \)) from the relation

\[
\phi = \int q(s) \, ds + \text{const}
\]

where \( q(s) \) is the prescribed velocity as a function of arc length \( s \) along the boundary streamline in physical \( x,y,z \) space.

3.1 Physical \( x,y,z \) space. - The flow field at a point in physical \( x,y,z \) space has two stream surfaces of constant \( \psi \) and \( \eta \), respectively, that intersect the potential surface at \( 90^\circ \) and intersect one another at an angle \( \Theta \) measured on the potential surface (ref. 1). The directions of these three intersections are given by the unit vectors \( \vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \) and \( \vec{e}_3 \), each defined by its direction cosines \( \cos \alpha, \cos \beta, \) and \( \cos \gamma \). Differential lengths along the intersections are given by \( ds, dm, \) and \( dn \), as shown in the following figure.
The velocity vector \( \overline{q} (elq) \) is tangent to the intersection of the \( \psi \) and \( \eta \) stream surfaces so that \( \overline{q} \) is normal to the potential surface \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) and \( \eta \) are constant along the streamline.

### 3.2 Transformed \( \varphi, \psi, \eta \) space

For a duct, the flow field in transformed \( \varphi, \psi, \eta \) space becomes a cylinder with a cross section

![Diagram of flow field in \( \varphi, \psi, \eta \) space](image)

the same shape as the upstream boundary configuration in \( x,y,z \) space, provided that the stream surfaces \( \psi \) and \( \eta \) at the upstream boundary are defined by lines of constant \( y \) and \( z \), respectively (ref. 1). Lines of constant \( \psi \) and \( \eta \) (paired values) on the lateral boundary are streamlines, and the velocity vector \( \overline{q} \) is everywhere parallel to the \( \varphi \) axis. The rectangular grid resulting from the intersections of surfaces of constant \( \varphi \), \( \psi \), and \( \eta \), for various specified values of grid spacing \( a_1', a_2', \) and \( a_3' \), respectively, is used to solve the governing differential equation by finite-difference methods. (The procedure is outlined in ref. 1.) Thus, for every internal grid point (numbered 0) in the flow field, at which points the finite-difference form of the governing equation must be satisfied, a finite-difference star is formed with six adjacent grid points numbered 1 to 6 and spaced \( a_1', a_2', a_3' \) distance away.
3.3 Governing differential equation. - From page 28 of part I, the governing, second-order, partial-differential equation for the distribution of $\ln q$ in transformed $\varphi, \psi, \eta$ space is

$$
\frac{\partial^2 \ln q}{\partial \varphi^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \ln \rho}{\partial \varphi^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \ln \sin \Theta}{\partial \varphi^2} - \frac{K_\varphi}{q^2} - \frac{K_\psi}{\rho^2} + B^2 \left( \frac{\partial \ln B}{\partial \varphi} \frac{\partial \ln q}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\partial^2 \ln q}{\partial \varphi^2} \right) - \frac{\partial \ln B}{\partial \varphi} \left( \frac{\partial \ln q}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\partial \ln B}{\partial \varphi} \right) + A^2 \left( \frac{\partial \ln A}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \ln \eta}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial^2 \ln q}{\partial \eta^2} \right) - \frac{\partial \ln A}{\partial \varphi} \left( \frac{\partial \ln q}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\partial \ln A}{\partial \varphi} \right) = 0 \tag{3.3.1}
$$

where $q$ is the local velocity expressed as a ratio of the upstream velocity ($q = 1.0$ at the upstream boundary), $\rho$ is the density expressed as a ratio of the upstream static density ($\rho = 1.0$ at the upstream boundary), $\Theta$ is the distortion angle, which is the angle of intersection between the $\psi$ and $\eta$ stream surfaces, as shown by the figure in section 3.1 (at the upstream boundary where the specified grid is rectangular, $\Theta$ equals $90^\circ$, i.e., there is no "distortion"), $K_\psi$ and $K_\eta$ are the total curvatures in $x,y,z$ space of the $\psi$ and $\eta$ stream surfaces ($K_\psi$ and $K_\eta$ equal 0 at the upstream boundary), and $A$ and $B$ are the "continuity" parameters defined by (ref. 1)

$$
A = \rho \frac{dn}{d\psi} \sin \Theta \tag{3.3.2}
$$

$$
B = \rho \frac{dm}{d\eta} \sin \Theta \tag{3.3.3}
$$

(A and B equal 1.0 at the upstream boundary, because there $d\psi = dn$ and $d\eta = dm$.)

In finite-difference form, equation (3.3.1) becomes (ref. 1)

$$
C_C + C_1 Q_1 + C_2 Q_2 + C_3 Q_3 + C_4 Q_4 + C_5 Q_5 + C_6 Q_6 - C_0 Q_0 = \mathcal{R} \tag{3.3.4}
$$

where
\[
\begin{align*}
C_C &= \frac{\partial^2 \ln \rho}{\partial \varphi^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \ln \Theta}{\partial \varphi^2} - \left( \frac{\partial \ln B}{\partial \varphi} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{\partial \ln A}{\partial \varphi} \right)^2 - \frac{K_\eta + K_\psi}{\exp(2Q_0)} \\
C_1 &= \frac{a_4}{a_1(a_1 + a_4)} \left( \frac{2}{a_4} - \frac{\partial \ln B}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{\partial \ln A}{\partial \varphi} \right) \\
C_2 &= \frac{a_5 B^2}{a_2(a_2 + a_5)} \left( \frac{2}{a_5} + \frac{\partial \ln B}{\partial \psi} \right) \\
C_3 &= \frac{a_5 A^2}{a_3(a_3 + a_6)} \left( \frac{2}{a_3} - \frac{\partial \ln A}{\partial \eta} \right) \\
C_4 &= \frac{a_1}{a_4(a_1 + a_4)} \left( \frac{2}{a_1} + \frac{\partial \ln B}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\partial \ln A}{\partial \varphi} \right) \\
C_5 &= \frac{a_2 B^2}{a_5(a_2 + a_5)} \left( \frac{2}{a_2} - \frac{\partial \ln B}{\partial \psi} \right) \\
C_6 &= \frac{a_5 A^2}{a_6(a_3 + a_6)} \left( \frac{2}{a_3} - \frac{\partial \ln A}{\partial \eta} \right) \\
C_0 &= \frac{2}{a_1 a_4} + B^2 \left( \frac{\partial \ln B}{\partial \psi} \frac{a_5 - a_2}{a_2 a_5} + \frac{2}{a_2 a_5} \right) + A^2 \left( \frac{\partial \ln A}{\partial \eta} \frac{a_6 - a_3}{a_3 a_6} + \frac{2}{a_3 a_6} \right) \\
&\quad - \left( \frac{\partial \ln B}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\partial \ln A}{\partial \varphi} \right) \frac{a_4 - a_1}{a_1 a_4}
\end{align*}
\]
where $Q$ is in $\mathbf{q}$, the numerical subscripts refer to the six adjacent grid points in the finite-difference star shown in the second figure of section 3.2, and the residual error $\mathcal{R}$ equals 0 when the governing equation (3.3.1) is satisfied locally. With the coefficients $C_0, C_1, ..., C_6,$ and $C_0$ at each internal grid point held constant, equation (3.3.4) is solved globally by changing the values of $Q_0$ according to standard relaxation procedures. These procedures involve repeated passes (IT counter) through the entire flow field, starting at the upstream boundary, until the maximum value of $\mathcal{R}$ in the entire field is less than the input value of $\text{EPSR}$. This set of calculations, involving fixed values of the coefficients, constitutes one major iteration (ITER counter). The coefficients are then recomputed from the new values of $Q_0$, and the procedure is repeated until the solution is complete.

3.4 Direction cosines. - With the velocity gradients known from the solution of the governing equation (3.3.1), the nine direction cosines associated with the three unit vectors $\mathbf{e}_1$, $\mathbf{e}_2$, and $\mathbf{e}_3$ (fig. in section 3.1) are obtained from their gradients in the $\mathbf{q}$ direction starting from the upstream boundary. (The boundary is assumed to lie on a $y,z$ plane so that $\cos \alpha_1$, $\cos \beta_2$, and $\cos \gamma_3$ are all 1.0 and the other six direction cosines are zero.) For example, the gradients of the direction cosines of the unit vector $\mathbf{e}_1$, which is in the direction of the velocity vector $\mathbf{q}$, are obtained (ref. 1) from the components of the irrotationality equation normal to the $\psi$ and $\eta$ surfaces and from the direction-cosine law

$$\cos^2 \alpha + \cos^2 \beta + \cos^2 \gamma = 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.4.1)

Thus,
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \cos \alpha_1}{\partial \varphi} &= \frac{
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \alpha_1 & 0 & \cos \gamma_1 \\
\cos \alpha_2 & C_1 & \cos \gamma_2 \\
\cos \alpha_3 & C_2 & \cos \gamma_3 \\
\end{bmatrix}
}{D_1} \\
\frac{\partial \cos \beta_1}{\partial \varphi} &= \frac{
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \alpha_1 & \cos \beta_1 & 0 \\
\cos \alpha_2 & \cos \beta_2 & C_1 \\
\cos \alpha_3 & \cos \beta_3 & C_2 \\
\end{bmatrix}
}{D_1} \\
\frac{\partial \cos \gamma_1}{\partial \varphi} &= \frac{
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \alpha_1 & \cos \beta_1 & \cos \gamma_1 \\
\cos \alpha_2 & \cos \beta_2 & \cos \gamma_2 \\
\cos \alpha_3 & \cos \beta_3 & \cos \gamma_3 \\
\end{bmatrix}
}{D_1}
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
D_1 = \frac{
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \alpha_1 & \cos \beta_1 & \cos \gamma_1 \\
\cos \alpha_2 & \cos \beta_2 & \cos \gamma_2 \\
\cos \alpha_3 & \cos \beta_3 & \cos \gamma_3 \\
\end{bmatrix}
}{D_1}
\]
and

\[ C_1^* = B \frac{\partial \ln q}{\partial \psi} \]  
\[ C_2^* = A \frac{\partial \ln q}{\partial \eta} \]  

Equations for the gradients of the direction cosines of \( \vec{e}_2 \) and \( \vec{e}_3 \) in the \( \psi \) direction are obtained in part I of this report (ref. 1) in a similar manner. In (the present) part II, however, the expressions for \( C_3^* \) and \( C_4^* \) (eqs. (22f) and (23f) in part I) have been reformulated, by making use of the continuity equations (16c) and (16d) in reference 1, to give

\[ C_3^* = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial \cos \Theta}{\partial \psi} - \cos \Theta \left( \frac{\partial \ln A}{\partial \psi} - \frac{\partial \ln B}{\partial \psi} \right) \right] \]

and

\[ C_4^* = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial \cos \Theta}{\partial \psi} + \cos \Theta \left( \frac{\partial \ln A}{\partial \psi} - \frac{\partial \ln B}{\partial \psi} \right) \right] \]

Finally, again by making use of the continuity and irrotationality equations in part I, equations can be developed (section 5.2) for the gradients of the direction cosines of the unit vector \( \vec{e}_1 \) in the \( \psi \) and \( \eta \) directions on potential surfaces. These gradients are used in subroutine ANGL (section 5.5).

3.5 Construction of flow field in \( x,y,z \) space. – With the velocity distribution known from the solution of equation (3.3.1) in \( \psi,\eta \) space, and with the distribution of the direction cosines likewise known from section 3.4, the shape of the flow field in \( x,y,z \) space can be constructed. The boundary of this flow field constitutes the design of the duct.

The construction starts in \( x,y,z \) space with the arbitrarily specified shape of the upstream boundary on the \( y_U,z_U \) plane at \( x_U = 0 \), where, for nondimensional variables (as defined in ref. 1), \( \psi \) and \( \eta \) equal \( y_U \) and \( z_U \), respectively, because the grid is rectangular (but not necessarily square).
From each intersection of the grid lines in the figure, a streamline (with constant paired values of $\psi$ and $\eta$) extends to the downstream boundary. The $x,y,z$ coordinates of the streamline at each successive potential surface (constant $\varphi$) are obtained by integrating the following equations (eqs. (26a), (26b), and (26c), ref. 1):

\[
x = x_U + \int_0^\varphi \frac{\cos \alpha_1}{q} \, d\varphi
\]

(3.5.1)

\[
y = y_U + \int_0^\varphi \left( \frac{\cos \beta_1}{q} \right) \, d\varphi
\]

(3.5.2)

and

\[
z = z_U + \int_0^\varphi \left( \frac{\cos \gamma_1}{q} \right) \, d\varphi
\]

(3.5.3)

3.6 Alternative construction of flow field in $x,y,z$ space. - An alternative method for constructing the flow field is to select one streamline (designated by the indices $JX$ and $KX$ as shown on the figure in section 3.5), obtained from equations (3.5.1) to (3.5.3), and to use this primary streamline as a backbone from which to obtain the $x,y,z$ coordinates of every grid point on each successive potential surface by integrating the following equations (ref. 1) in the $\psi$ direction on a potential surface,

\[
x = x_X + \int_{\psi x}^\psi \left( \frac{\cos \alpha_2}{qB} \right) \, d\psi
\]

(3.6.1)

\[
y = y_X + \int_{\psi x}^\psi \left( \frac{\cos \beta_2}{qB} \right) \, d\psi
\]

(3.6.2)

and

\[
z = z_X + \int_{\psi x}^\psi \left( \frac{\cos \gamma_2}{qB} \right) \, d\psi
\]

(3.6.3)

and in the $\eta$ direction on a potential surface,

\[
x = x_X + \int_{\eta x}^\eta \left( \frac{\cos \alpha_3}{qA} \right) \, d\eta
\]

(3.6.4)

\[
y = y_X + \int_{\eta x}^\eta \left( \frac{\cos \beta_3}{qA} \right) \, d\eta
\]

(3.6.5)
The continuity parameters $A$ and $B$ in equations (3.6.1) to (3.6.6) are computed by the program from equations (3.3.2) and (3.3.3), respectively, by using values of $\Delta n$ and $\Delta m$ from the previous iteration.

The location $JX,KX$ of the primary streamline is arbitrary. However, results should be best for locations near the center of gravity of the upstream boundary and should be biased somewhat toward the boundary streamlines with the highest prescribed velocities if the duct bends. For solutions with planar symmetry, the computer program DIN3D1 requires that $JX,KX$ be on the plane of symmetry.

In the program, both methods (sections 3.5 and 3.6) are used to find the $x,y,z$ coordinates. This is further discussed in section 4.7, where the input coefficient $CAVP$ is introduced to allow a weighted average of the two methods. The first method (section 3.5) is used in subroutine VARI (section 5.2), where $CAVP$ also is used, and the second method (section 3.6) appears in subroutine POTS (section 5.16).

4.0 ILL-POSED NATURE OF DESIGN METHOD WHEN APPLIED TO DUCTS

The design method applied to ducts requires two major inputs: (1) the upstream boundary configuration and (2) the velocity distribution on the lateral boundary. The lengths $\Delta s$ of all streamlines on the boundary are precisely fixed because along each streamline

$$\Delta s = \int_{\varphi_U}^{\varphi_D} \frac{d\varphi}{q}$$

(4.0.1)

where $q$ is a known function of $\varphi$ from equation (3.0.1) or, alternatively, is specified directly as a function of $\varphi$. Thus, for various upstream boundary configurations, which for a uniform (constant) upstream velocity with parallel flow must be plane, it appears unlikely that the downstream potential surface can also be plane with parallel streamlines normal to the surface, as required by the design method. If this is the case, boundary conditions are overprescribed and the design problem is ill posed.

For every prescribed upstream boundary configuration that lies on a flat, potential surface as assumed by the design method (section 7.2), there is an infinity of compatible velocity distributions that could exist on the lateral boundary, because there is an infinity of lateral boundary configurations for any upstream boundary shape. However, this consideration does not rule out the possibility of an infinity of lateral velocity distributions that are not compatible with the prescribed upstream boundary configuration.

Now, for a given duct configuration (completely specified) with upstream and downstream regions extended so that the upstream and downstream boundaries are flat potential surfaces, as assumed by the design method, a specific velocity distribution exists throughout the flow field and in particular on the lateral boundary. Presumably, this velocity distribution is unique to this duct shape (e.g., pp. 14 to 41, ref. 2); it then follows
that for a given lateral velocity distribution there is a unique upstream boundary configuration. Thus, although for a given upstream boundary an infinity of lateral velocity distributions exists, as discussed in the previous paragraph, for a given lateral velocity distribution there is only one compatible upstream area configuration. It is concluded that the general design method when applied to ducts is ill posed because the boundary conditions are overprescribed. However, fortunately, there are "degrees of incompatibility," as considered in the next section.

4.1 Compatibility between prescribed upstream boundary configuration and lateral velocity distribution. - For a given lateral velocity distribution, some upstream boundary configurations are less compatible than others. For example, a stellated upstream configuration such as 

![Diagram](image)

would be "highly incompatible" with a lateral velocity distribution corresponding to the flow through an elbow of constant, circular cross section. An elliptical upstream configuration with moderate aspect ratio should be "highly compatible" with such a lateral velocity distribution.

Program DIN3D1 has been so constructed that stream-tube areas are adjusted to local velocities (by means of the continuity parameters A and B; section 4.2 (constraint 6)), so that, unless the upstream boundary configuration is "highly incompatible," the continuity condition is essentially satisfied (the downstream-area error is printed) for each of a relatively large number of major iterations (ITER; end of section 3.3). The solution at first converges for each successive major iteration (as evidenced by decreasing maximum $A$ in the flow field). Eventually, because boundary conditions are overspecified, the solution must diverge and fail. For "highly incompatible" upstream boundary configurations, divergence is rapid and occurs after only a few major (ITER) iterations. For "highly compatible" cases, divergence is gradual and occurs only after many iterations. Thus, excellent approximate solutions are obtained by stopping the calculations before, or shortly after, divergence begins (section 4.4).

Finally, the program includes options (IVEL equals 2 or 3) for lateral velocity distributions that tend toward compatibility with the prescribed upstream boundary configuration. These "equilibrium" velocity distributions are based on the velocity distribution in ducts of constant cross section and very large turning angle. Under these conditions, near the middle of the turn, the velocity distribution on potential surfaces becomes a free vortex ($qr = constant$) with $r$ measured from the axis of the turn. A lateral velocity distribution around the periphery of each potential surface, based on this free-vortex distribution, and with $r$ related to the upstream surface configuration, constitutes the "equilibrium" velocity distribution on the lateral boundary (appendix A).
4.2 Constraints on calculation procedure. - Because of the ill-posed nature of the method when applied to ducts, it is beneficial to guide the calculations by imposing the following six constraints, all of which would be satisfied automatically in a well-posed case:

(1) The x,y,z coordinates of every internal grid point in the flow field are computed by two methods (sections 3.5 and 3.6), and the results are averaged according to the input value of CAVP, the decimal fraction of the first method that enters into the weighted average. Thus,

\[ 0.0 \leq \text{CAVP} \leq 1.0 \quad (4.2.1) \]

Although the optimum value of CAVP probably varies with the complexity of the upstream boundary configuration and with the lateral velocity distribution, a value of 0.5 is generally satisfactory (also see section 4.6).

(2) During the iterative calculations, values of the direction cosines computed from their gradients (eqs. (3.4.2), e.g.) can become greater than 1.0. If this occurs, the value is set equal to 1.0 by the program.

(3) Also, during the iterative calculations, the sum of the squares of the direction cosines may not equal 1.0, as required by equation (3.4.1). When this occurs, the program changes each cosine value by a factor \( k \), where

\[ k = \frac{1}{(\cos^2 \alpha + \cos^2 \beta + \cos^2 \gamma)^{1/2}} \quad (4.2.2) \]

(4.2.3) (4.2.4)

(4) At every interior grid point, the unit vector \( \bar{e}_2 \), which is tangent to the intersection of the \( \eta \) stream surface and the velocity potential surface \( \varphi \), must be normal to the unit vector \( \bar{e}_1 \), which is in the direction of the velocity (fig. in section 3.1). Thus,

\[ \bar{e}_1 \cdot \bar{e}_2 = 0 \quad (4.2.3) \]

or

\[ \cos \alpha_1 \cos \alpha_2 + \cos \beta_1 \cos \beta_2 + \cos \gamma_1 \cos \gamma_2 = 0 \quad (4.2.4) \]

When this relation is not so, the direction cosines of \( \bar{e}_2 \) are changed by the program so that \( \bar{e}_2 \) becomes normal to \( \bar{e}_1 \) and the plane of \( \bar{e}_1 \) and \( \bar{e}_2 \) remains unchanged. This same "normality" condition is imposed on the direction cosines of the unit vector \( \bar{e}_3 \) in the same figure. (Also, see appendix B.)

(5) Also, from the figure in section 3.1,

\[ \bar{e}_2 \cdot \bar{e}_3 = \cos \Theta \quad (4.2.5) \]

from which

\[ \cos \Theta = \cos \alpha_2 \cos \alpha_3 + \cos \beta_2 \cos \beta_3 + \cos \gamma_2 \cos \gamma_3 \quad (4.2.6) \]
From the following figure, which shows a stream tube bounded by adjacent surfaces of constant $\psi$ and $\eta$, the value of $\Theta$ must be greater than 0.0° and less than 180.0°; otherwise the stream-tube area becomes zero or negative. Thus, if from equation (4.2.6) the absolute value of $\cos \Theta$ is greater than 0.9962, the program sets $\cos \Theta$ equal to ±0.9962 so that the "distortion" angle $\Theta$ lies in the range

$$5^\circ \leq \Theta \leq 175^\circ$$

(4.2.7)

(6) Finally, the values of the continuity parameters $A$ and $B$, as computed by equations (3.3.2) and (3.3.3), respectively, are changed by the same factor $k$ so that the following continuity condition (eq. (10d), ref. 1) is satisfied:

$$AB = \frac{\rho \sin \Theta}{q}$$

(4.2.8)

from which

$$k = \frac{\sqrt{A B \rho}}{q \sin \Theta}$$

(4.2.9)

4.3 Mode of failure. - For those cases where total failure of the solution is approached after a sufficiently large number of major iterations (ITER), which number depends on the compatibility condition discussed in a previous section, 4.1, this failure usually occurs in the downstream region. Here the flow field in $x,y,z$ space distorts as shown by the following examples.
The lateral surfaces diverge, and the "distortion" angle $\Theta$ (constraint (5), section 4.2) may vary rapidly and greatly from its initially undistorted value of 90° at the upstream boundary (fig. in section 3.5). All of these distortions result from an accumulation of unreal values of $\ln q$ near the downstream boundary. This accumulation appears to result from the relaxation procedure, which always starts at the upstream boundary and marches through to the exit, continually pushing the effects of the ill-posed problem toward the downstream boundary. The constraints discussed in section 4.2 maintain an apparently well-behaved flow field elsewhere. (In section 4.8 the distortion near the downstream boundary is found to be essentially independent of the extent of the downstream region, supporting the preceding reasoning.)

4.4 Input option ISOLV. – As stated in section 4.1, excellent approximate solutions can be obtained for prescribed upstream boundary configurations and lateral velocity distributions that are moderately compatible. These solutions are achieved by stopping the calculations before or shortly after divergence begins. Input option ISOLV = 1 assumes that, because of the constraints discussed in section 4.2, an adequately converged solution is achieved after four or more major iterations (ITER), provided that the error in exit flow area, expressed as a decimal fraction, is less than 0.0033. Also, for ISOLV = 1, if these criteria are not met, the calculations are then stopped and the solution is printed out when the computed value of exit-area error changes sign – provided that the value of ITER is greater than 8. In this latter case, the solution may not be acceptable if the exit-area error (intermediate printout) is changing rapidly as the result of impending
failure. If none of the criteria are met, the solution continues until the number of major iterations equals the input value of ITERMX or until the solution fails entirely.

For an input value of ISOLV = 0, the solution continues until (1) the number of major iterations (ITER) equals the input value of ITERMX, (2) provided that ITER > 4, the maximum value of $\phi$ (eq. (3.3.4)) in the entire flow field at the beginning of a major iteration is less than or equal to 0.0020, or (3) the solution fails.

4.5 Effect of ITER on solution. - Because of the ill-posed nature of the design method when applied to ducts, all examples must eventually fail (with rare exceptions in simple cases) as the number of major iterations (ITER) increases indefinitely. Thus, as shown, the solution changes with ITER. The changes are most pronounced in the downstream-area configuration and to a lesser degree in the turning angle of the duct. It is suggested that, because the exit velocity is normal to the downstream boundary and therefore not influenced by its shape, large changes in the exit-area configuration can result from only minor changes in the lateral velocity distribution (appendix C). Likewise, for the same lateral velocity distribution during an approximate solution, small changes in the downstream boundary shape (but not in its area) can be expected from one major iteration (ITER) to the next. Because for the larger values of ITER the solution is approaching failure, the lower values of ITER are believed to give better approximate solutions. For ISOLV = 1, the solution is stopped at ITER = 4, provided certain criteria are met (section 4.4).
4.6 Effect of CAVP on solution. - In sections 3.5 and 3.6, two methods are discussed for computing the coordinates of the flow field in physical x,y,z space. The first method (section 3.5), which results in correct streamline lengths, determines x, y, and z by integrating along streamlines between adjacent potential surfaces. (For this method, the streamline lengths are correct, but the continuity condition may not be satisfied because of the ill-posed nature of the problem and its forced solution.) The second method (section 3.6), for which continuity is satisfied, starts from the "primary" streamline location (JX,KX; fig. in section 3.5) and determines the coordinates by integrating in the \( \psi \) and \( \eta \) directions on potential surfaces. (For this method, the continuity condition is satisfied by the essentially correct values of the continuity parameters A and B, but the streamline lengths may not be correct.) When moving from one potential surface to the next, these two sets of x,y,z coordinates are averaged by the input value of CAVP, which is the decimal fraction of the first set that enters into the weighted average of the two sets. As shown in the following figures, the effect of

![Diagram showing effect of CAVP](image-url)
CAVP on the solution for ITER = 72 is to go from the case where streamlines are normal to the downstream potential surface but not parallel with each other (CAVP = 0.0) to the case where the streamlines are parallel but not normal to the potential surface (CAVP = 1.0). A clearer picture of the difference is given by two side views:

For less extreme examples (ITER << 72), the two methods of computing the x,y,z coordinates should give more nearly equal results, and a value of 0.5 for CAVP should usually be satisfactory. For more complex upstream boundary configurations and for problems involving less compatibility between the upstream boundary shape and the pre-
scribed lateral velocity distribution, where the solution starts to diverge at relatively low values of \textit{ITER}, a better input value for \textit{CAVP} might be as low as 0.2. However, in some cases, because of the numerical integration procedure used by the second method (section 3.6) on the potential surface, the duct wall may develop slightly rippled regions. The ripples can be reduced by increasing the input value of \textit{CAVP} or eliminated by setting \textit{CAVP} equal to 1.0.

4.7 Effect of duct turning angle on solution. - As might be expected, the greater the duct turning angle $\Delta\Theta$, the lower the value of \textit{ITER} at which the solution begins to diverge. Or, as a corollary, for the same value of \textit{ITER}, other things being equal, the greater $\Delta\Theta$, the greater the distortion (if any) near the downstream boundary, as shown by the following figures:
4.8 Effect of extent of downstream region on solution. - The downstream region is that part of the duct near the downstream boundary where the lateral velocity is constant and equal to its value at the downstream boundary. Because solutions apparently start to diverge and distort near the downstream boundary, a question arises as to whether the extent of the downstream region influences the magnitude and type of this distortion. As the following figures indicate, the distortion is apparently not influenced appreciably by the extent of the downstream region. This observation supports the discussion in section 4.3 regarding the mode of failure, in program D1N3D1, for ill-posed problems.
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4.9 Effect of complexity of upstream boundary configuration on solution. - Examples of solutions with simple upstream boundary configurations and others with relatively complex configurations are given by the figures on the next page. Solutions for complex configurations require special care in prescribing lateral velocity distributions. Simple upstream configurations involve no particular difficulty.

4.10 Remarks. - In concluding this major section on the ill-posed nature of the general design method when applied to ducts, it is noted that the method is not ill posed when applied to the external design problem (i.e., to the design of bodies with prescribed velocities in infinite space). In this case, the velocity on the outer boundaries is everywhere constant, provided only that the upstream and downstream boundaries, which can have any suitable shape (e.g., circular), are sufficiently large. This situation eliminates the compatibility problem between prescribed boundary configuration and prescribed velocity distribution, but other problems, such as body closure, remain.

Thus far, it has been implied that the general design method when applied to ducts becomes well posed if the upstream boundary configuration is not specified. Under this circumstance, it is not clear how, and may not even be possible, to carry out a practical design procedure. Nor does it appear desirable, because the designer usually needs to retain control over the upstream boundary configuration (which, by reversing the direction of flow, becomes the downstream configuration, assuming that configuration needs to be controlled).
The difficulty of the three-dimensional duct design problem is further increased by the likelihood that, irrespective of the upstream boundary configuration, and unlike the two-dimensional case, proper solutions do not exist for every lateral velocity distribution. The situation is considered in more detail in appendix C.

5.0 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF COMPUTER PROGRAM DIN3D1

Program DIN3D1 is written in standard Fortran IV. Double precision is required for computers with 32-bit words. In addition to the main program, there are 21 major subroutines, 3 minor subroutines, and 8 external functions. The main program and the major subroutines are briefly described in this section.

5.1 Main program. - The main program governs the solution, as shown on the simplified flowchart. The integer I designates a potential surface, starting with 1 at the upstream boundary and ending with NI at the downstream boundary; the integer IT counts the number of passes through the entire flow field (I = 2 to I = NI - 1 = NII), all with the same global set of coefficients in the finite-difference equation (3.3.4); and the integer ITER counts the number of major iterations, each with an improved set of coefficients determined from the previous major iteration.
In general, the design procedure in program DIN3D1 is as outlined under Numerical Procedure on pages 56 and 57 in part I (ref. 1) of this report. The overall approach is to solve (in subroutine RELAX) the finite-difference form of the governing differential equation (3.3.4) everywhere on one potential surface at a time, starting at \( I = 2 \) (the first surface downstream from the upstream boundary) and marching through the entire flow field to \( I = N11 \). This procedure is continued (counter \( IT \)), with the same global set of coefficients in the finite-difference equation (3.3.4), until the maximum residual error \( RESMAX \) (flowchart) encountered anywhere in the flow field is less than the input value of \( EPSR \), or until \( IT \) equals the input value of \( ITMAX \). At this point, a new set of coefficients is generated (in subroutine COEF, by using major parameters determined in subroutine VARD); the error (ERRAR) in the downstream boundary area (expressed as a decimal fraction of the correct value) is computed (flowchart); and the procedure is repeated. This process is continued (counter \( ITER \)) until the maximum residual error \( REMAX \) (flowchart) encountered anywhere in the flow field on the first pass with a new set of coefficients is less than 0.002 (provided \( ITER > 4 \)), or until the value of \( ITER \) is equal to the input value of \( ITERMX \) (flowchart). This concludes the solution of the governing equation (3.3.4), which, as indicated on the flowchart, may also be concluded sooner if the input value of \( ISOLV \) is equal to 1 (section 4.4).

The flowchart for the main program involves 10 (of 21) major external subroutines. These are described shortly.

5.1.1 Input ICONX. - In the main program, to achieve better accuracy and to speed up the solution, the new global set of coefficients (for the governing equation) calculated after each major iteration (ITER) is iterated (counter ICON). This iteration is continued until ICON is equal to the input value of ICONX (flowchart). However, to further shorten the solution time, the value of ICONX is reduced by 1 after every seven major iterations (ITER) until a minimum value of 3 is attained, after which ICONX remains constant. (If the input value of ICONX is less than 3, it is changed to 3 in the main program and remains constant.)

5.2 Subroutine VARI. - Except for the main program, subroutine VARI is the most important routine in program DIN3D1. After each major iteration (ITER counter in main program), this subroutine determines, at every grid point in the flow field, the direction cosines of the unit vectors \( e_1 ', e_2 ', \) and \( e_3 ' \) (section 3.4); the \( x, y, \) and \( z \) coordinates (section 3.5); and the parameters \( A, B, \) and \( \Theta \) and the coefficient \( Cc \) (sections 3.3 and 4.2 (constraint 5)). The procedure is outlined in the simplified flowchart. In general, this procedure is as outlined in part I of this report (pp. 56 and 57, ref. 1). For a given potential surface \( I \), the overall approach in subroutine VARI is to compute the direction cosines of the unit vectors \( e_1 ', e_2 ', \) and \( e_3 ' \) on potential surface \( I + 1 \) from their known values on potential surface \( I \) and from their derivatives with respect to \( \varphi \) on both the \( I \) and \( I + 1 \) surfaces, as given by equation (3.4.2), for example. Because the derivatives of the direction cosines on surface \( I + 1 \) depend on the direction cosines themselves, the procedure is iterated three times (IT2.EQ.3, flowchart). Afterward (IT1.GT.1, flowchart) the direction cosines of the unit vector \( e_1 ' \) are determined in subroutine ANGL (flowchart) by a new method based on their known values at the primary streamline location (specified by the input values of \( JX \) and \( KK \); section 3.6 and fig. in section 3.5) on potential surface \( I + 1 \) and from their derivatives with respect to \( \psi \) and \( \eta \).
These $\psi$ and $\eta$ derivatives of the three direction cosines of $\xi_1$ are obtained by the following procedure. The derivatives with respect to $\psi$ are obtained from the simultaneous solution of the following three equations: (1) the $\psi$ derivative of the direction cosine law (eq. (3.4.1)), (2) the continuity equation (16c) from reference 1, and (3) the equation (5.2.1). This equation is obtained by adding the irrotationality equations (14c) and (14d) from reference 1 to obtain $\partial \cos \Theta / \partial \varphi$, after which equations (13g), (16c), and (16d), also from reference 1, are introduced to give

$$\bar{e}_3 \cdot \frac{\partial \bar{e}_1}{\partial \psi} = \frac{1}{2B} \left[ \frac{\partial \cos \Theta}{\partial \varphi} - \cos \Theta \left( 2 \frac{\partial \ln a}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\partial \ln A}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\partial \ln B}{\partial \varphi} \right) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.2.1)
In a similar fashion, the derivatives with respect to \( \eta \) are obtained from (1) the direction-cosine law, (2) the continuity equation (16d), and (3) equation (5.2.1) combined with equation (13g) from reference 1 to give

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{e}_1}{\partial \eta} = \frac{1}{2A} \left[ \frac{\partial \cos \Theta}{\partial \varphi} - \cos \Theta \left( 2 \frac{\partial \ln q}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\partial \ln A}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\partial \ln B}{\partial \varphi} \right) \right]
\]  

(5.2.2)

This new method for finding the direction cosines of \( \bar{e}_1 \) is needed to achieve truly parallel flow in the downstream boundary region. Using this method in subroutine ANGL, the procedure (in subroutine VARI) is to iterate NTRY additional times (where, if the input value of NTRY is less than 2, the program sets NTRY equal to 2).

Finally, as shown in the flowchart, subroutine VARI determines the x, y, z coordinates of the physical flow field (sections 3.5 and 3.6), the distortion angle \( \Theta \) (section 4.2 (constraint 5)), the continuity parameters A and B (section 4.2 (constraint 6)), and the coefficient \( C_{\text{C}} \), which is required by the finite-difference equation (3.3.4).

Subroutine VARI is called from the main program (section 5.1) and from subroutine PUTOUT (section 5.18).

5.2.1 ICX, ICY, and ITH counters. - If the three simultaneous equations (e.g., eq. (3.4.2)) for the derivatives of the three direction cosines of each of the unit vectors \( \bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2, \) or \( \bar{e}_3 \) are not independent, their determinant \( D \) will become zero. Thus, in subroutine VARI, if \(-0.00001 < D < 0.00001\), \( D \) is set equal to \( \pm 0.00001 \), and the counter ICX is increased by 1. (This condition can occur when the solution is diverging, and failure usually occurs soon after.) If, for a given potential surface I, the value of ICX is greater than zero, a CAUTION note appears in the intermediate printout, or if the value is greater than 10, another note appears and the solution is stopped.

If the absolute value of any direction cosine, obtained in subroutine VARI from the derivatives of the three direction cosines, is greater than 1.0, that value is changed to \( \pm 1.0 \), and the counter ICY is increased by 1. If, for a given potential surface I, the value of ICY is greater than zero, a CAUTION note appears in the intermediate printout. If the value is greater than 10, another note appears and the solution is stopped.

Also, in subroutine VARI, the "distortion" angle \( \Theta \) is constrained to values between 5° and 175° (section 4.2 (constraint 5)). If \( \Theta \) is less than 5° or greater than 175°, \( \cos \Theta \) is set equal to 0.9962, and the counter ITH is increased by 1. If, for a given potential surface I, the value of ITH is greater than zero, a CAUTION note appears in the intermediate printout. If the value is greater than 10, another note appears and the solution is stopped.

5.2.2 Averaging coefficients CAVD, CAVN, CAVX, CAVY, and CAVZ. - In subroutine VARI, during the iterations involving direction cosines and their derivatives, the new values are averaged with the previous values by the input values of CAVX and CAVD, respectively, where CAVX and CAVD are the decimal fractions of the previous (old) values entering into the weighted average.

Likewise, the input values of CAVN, CAVY, and CAVZ are the decimal fractions of the previous values of the continuity parameters A and B, the coefficient \( C_{\text{C}} \) (eq. (3.3.4)), and the cosine of the "distortion" angle \( \Theta \), respectively, that enter into the weighted average with the respective new values.
For design problems involving lateral velocity distributions to achieve the desired shapes of the downstream boundary, the input value of CAVN can be as low as 0.0, and certainly no higher than 0.1. In effect, the new values of A and B are not averaged with the previous values.

5.3 Subroutine AERIA. - Given the incremental lengths $S_1, ... , S_6$, shown in the figure, subroutine AERIA determines the incremental area $DAREA$ of an incremental, curved surface bounded by four, essentially straight, incremental lines. The area is divided into two sets of triangles by $S_5$ and $S_6$. For each of these four triangles

$$
\Delta A = [s(s - a)(s - b)(s - c)]^{1/2}
$$

where

$$
s = \frac{a + b + c}{2}
$$

Thus, $s$ is the semiperimeter of the triangle, and $a$, $b$, and $c$ are the lengths of its three sides. Subroutine AERIA is called from subroutine ERIA, where it is used to compute the area of potential surfaces. Starting with subroutine AERIA, the subroutines are discussed in alphabetical order.

5.4 Subroutine AKA. - Subroutine AKA assures that the sum of the direction cosines squared is equal to 1.0. (section 4.2 (constraint 3)). Subroutine AKA is called from subroutines ANGL, FINIS, and VARI.

5.5 Subroutine ANGL. - On potential surface $I + 1$, subroutine ANGL determines the distribution of the direction cosines of $\theta_1$, starting from the location of the primary streamline (input values of $JX$ and $KK$) and integrating along lines of constant $\psi$ and $\eta$ (eqs. (5.2.1) and (5.2.2)). Subroutine ANGL is called from subroutine VARI.

5.6 Subroutine BOUND. - The physical $x,y,z$ coordinates of the flow field at all interior grid points are determined by subroutine VARI. Using these values on a given potential surface $I$, subroutine BOUND extrapolates to determine the coordinates $XB$, $YB$, and $ZB$ (and the velocity $QB$) at every contour point along the boundary of the potential surface. Subroutine BOUND is called from subroutine PUTOUT.

5.7 Subroutine COEF. - On potential surface $I$, subroutine COEF determines the values of the coefficients $C_0, C_1, C_2$, etc., in the finite-difference form of the governing differential equation (3.3.4). Subroutine COEF is called from the main program.
5.8 Subroutine ENGL. - On potential surface \( I + 1 \), subroutine ENGL determines the \( \psi \) and \( \eta \) derivatives of the direction cosines of \( \vec{e}_1 \). These derivatives are required to obtain the direction cosines of \( \vec{e}_1 \). Subroutine ENGL is called from subroutine ANGL.

5.9 Subroutine ERIA. - On potential surface \( I \), subroutine ERIA computes the flow area (dimensional) of the potential surface. Subroutine ERIA is called from subroutine FINIS.

5.10 Subroutine FINIS. - Starting at potential surface \( NI - 1 \), subroutine FINIS determines the values of \( A, B, THET, \) and \( C_C \) and of the \( x,y,z \) coordinates at every internal grid point on the downstream potential surface \( NI \). This subroutine assumes that at the downstream boundary the \( \phi \) derivatives of all direction cosines are zero. Subroutine FINIS obtains the downstream flow area at \( NI - 1 \) by calling subroutine ERIA. Subroutine FINIS is called from the main program and from subroutine PUTOUT.

5.11 Subroutine FIRST. - On potential surface \( I \), subroutine FIRST establishes the values of the variables appearing in the coefficients (eqs. (3.3.5)) of the finite-difference form of the governing differential equation (3.3.5). Subroutine FIRST is called from the main program.

5.12 Subroutine FLAR. - Subroutine FLAR computes the sum (EXFLAR) of all incremental flow areas bounded by four internal grid points on potential surface \( I \). Subroutine FLAR is called from subroutine ERIA, which adds to the value of EXFLAR all of the incremental areas adjacent to the potential surface contour.

5.13 Subroutine GRID. - Subroutine GRID determines the area of the upstream boundary surface and the distance \( P \) around its contour. This subroutine also determines the grid spacings \( a_2, a_3, a_5, \) and \( a_6 \) (second fig. in section 3.2) on the potential surfaces. Further details regarding the potential surface grid are given in section 7.1 of this report. Subroutine GRID is called from subroutine PUTIN.

5.14 Subroutine ONEST1. - On potential surface \( I \), during the first major iteration (\( ITER = 1 \) only), subroutine ONEST1 determines the parameters \( A, B, C_C, \) and \( THET \) at every internal grid point. This subroutine assumes that the total curvatures \( K_\eta \) and \( K_\psi \) of the stream surfaces are zero, that \( \cos \Theta \) (i.e., \( THET \)) is also zero, that

\[
A = \left( \frac{\rho}{q} \right)^{1/2}
\]

and that

\[
B = A
\]

Subroutine ONEST1 is called from the main program.

5.15 Subroutine PARAM. - On potential surface \( I \), subroutine PARAM determines the values of all variables required to compute the coefficient \( C_C \) in equation (3.3.4). Subroutine PARAM is called from subroutine VARI.

5.16 Subroutine POTS. - On potential surface \( I + 1 \), subroutine POTS determines the distribution of the \( x,y,z \) coordinates of the internal grid points, starting from the known values of \( x, y, \) and \( z \) for the primary streamline at \( JX,KX \) (fig. in section 3.5) and integrating in the \( \psi \) and \( \eta \) directions (section 3.6). Subroutine POTS is called from subroutine VARI.
5.17 **Subroutine PUTIN.** - Subroutine PUTIN reads and writes all input data required by program DIN3D1. Detailed descriptions of these data are given in section 7.3. Subroutine PUTIN is called from the main program.

5.18 **Subroutine PUTOUT.** - Subroutine PUTOUT prints those results of the solution that are requested by subroutine PUTIN. There are three output tables: (1) output table I (internal grid points), (2) output table II (coordinate points along contours of selected potential surfaces), and (3) output table III (coordinate points along selected streamlines). For details of the data reported in each of these tables, see section 8.0. Subroutine PUTOUT is called from the main program.

5.19 **Subroutine RELAX.** - At every interior grid point on potential surface I, subroutine RELAX reduces the residual error $\mathcal{E}$ (section 3.3) to an absolute value less than the current value of EPSX by varying the value of $Q_0$ in the finite-difference equation (3.3.4). (The initial value of EPSX, which is 400 times the input value of EPSR, decreases 12 times by a factor of 0.5, after which its final value is approximately 0.1 times the input value of EPSR.) This subroutine uses an overrelaxation coefficient, the input value of which is $\Omega_{\text{ELAX}}$. The relaxation process is continued until the maximum residual error everywhere on the potential surface is less than 0.1 times the input value of EPSR or until ITX is equal to the input value of ITXMAX, whichever occurs first.

During the relaxation process the program marches across the potential surface first from left to right (increasing $\psi$ index $J$), then from top to bottom (decreasing $\eta$ index $K$), next from right to left (decreasing $J$), and then from bottom to top (increasing $K$).

Subroutine RELAX is called from the main program.

5.20 **Subroutine RESID.** - Subroutine RESID determines the residual error at every interior grid point on a given potential surface I. Subroutine RESID is called from the main program.

5.21 **Subroutine START.** - For $\text{ITER} > 1$, subroutine START establishes the values of certain variables ($\bar{\xi}_1$, $\bar{\xi}_2$, $\bar{\xi}_3$, $x$, $y$, $z$, $Q$, $\text{RHO}$, THET, $A$, and $B$) at potential surface $I = 1$. Subroutine START is called from the main program and from subroutine PUTOUT.

5.22 **Subroutine VELD.** - From various input data, subroutine VELD determines the input velocity distribution on the lateral boundary of the flow field. From this distribution, it estimates initial values of velocity at all interior grid points. For more detailed discussion of the input velocity distribution on the lateral boundary, see section 7.2. Subroutine VELD is called from subroutine PUTIN.

6.0 **MISCELLANEOUS FEATURES OF PROGRAM**

Various special features of the program, in addition to those already discussed, are described in this section. These features relate mainly to user options and to input parameters affecting the running time and accuracy of the calculations.

6.1 **Option IFLUID.** - The input option IFLUID relates to the type of fluid used in the duct design. At present, provision has been made for two types: incompressible fluids (IFLUID = 1), and perfect gases (IFLUID = 2). For incompressible fluids, no additional inputs are required (e.g., the fluid density is not required). For compressible fluids, two additional inputs are required: the upstream Mach number AMU, and the ratio of specific heats $\text{GAM}$. 

29
The following three figures show that compressibility, as measured by AMU (all other factors, including the ratio 2.0 of downstream to upstream velocity, being equal),
affects the turning angle, and of course, the magnitude of the downstream area. The incompressible solution and that for $AMU = 0.01$ are essentially equal.

If other types of fluid are required, additions can be made to the code in subroutine PUTIN. Of course, appropriate additions to the code must be made throughout the program, wherever the static density ratio $\rho$ appears.

6.2 Option ISYM. - Many duct designs have planar symmetry; that is, the duct shape on one side of the plane is a mirror image of that on the other. If the prescribed lateral velocity distribution has planar symmetry, so also will the resulting design, provided that the prescribed upstream boundary configuration is also symmetrical about the plane. For cases involving planar symmetry, provision is made in the code for solving only one of the two flow fields on either side of the plane of symmetry. This provision cuts the running time roughly in half, or alternatively permits a finer grid with the existing 21-by-36 array size.

The input option ISYM relates to three types of symmetry: first (ISYM = 1), there is no symmetry, or if planar symmetry exists, it is not made use of; second (ISYM = 2), there is, as shown in the following figures,
symmetry about a plane of constant \( y \) (i.e., a plane normal to the \( y \) axis of the upstream boundary (fig. in section 3.5)); and third (\( ISYM = 3 \)), there is, as shown in the following figure, symmetry about a plane of constant \( z \).

Both types of planar symmetry have been introduced because in program DIN3D1 the 21-by-36 arrays corresponding to the \( y \) and \( z \) directions, respectively, are not square.

6.3 Option \texttt{IPLOT}. – Because the output for three-dimensional solutions is usually very large, some sort of graphics display is almost a necessity. In program DIN3D1, the display data consist of the \( x,y,z \) coordinates of selected points along the contour of every selected potential surface \( IPS(200) \). These same points correspond to selected streamlines \( ISL(200) \) on the lateral boundary. The result is a three-dimensional plot of the lateral boundary of the flow field (i.e., the duct surface) consisting of a network of potential surface contours and streamlines as shown by figures in this report. (These same data are also printed in output table II (contour data, section 8.3) and output table III (streamline data, section 8.4).)

These display data are obtained by setting the input value of option \texttt{IPLOT} equal to 1. (For no graphics display data, the input value of \texttt{IPLOT} is zero.) These graphics data, which normally go to tape or disk, are provided for at the end of subroutine \texttt{PUTOUT} (section 5.18). It is assumed that a three-dimensional graphics program is available to the user, and only the following raw data, in the order presented, are supplied by program DIN3D1:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{NI} & \quad \text{total number of potential surfaces from upstream boundary to downstream boundary. (NI is computed by the program from the}\ \text{input value of NP (number of potential surfaces for which data are specified) and NSD (number of equal subdivisions along the principal streamline between each of the NP potential surfaces);} \\
& \quad \text{NI} = (\text{NP} - 1) \times \text{NSD} + 1. \\
\text{NCP} & \quad \text{total number of contour points around each potential surface. (Each contour point corresponds to a streamline on the lateral boundary, so there are NCP streamlines.)}
\end{align*}
\]
6.4 Overrelaxation factor (ORELAX). - At any point in the \( \varphi, \psi, \eta \) flow field, the residual error \( \mathcal{R} \) in the governing equation (3.3.4) can be eliminated by an incremental change \( \Delta Q_0 \) in the local value of \( Q_0 \). From equation (3.3.4), this value for \( \Delta Q_0 \) is given by

\[
\Delta Q_0 = \frac{\mathcal{R}}{C_0} \quad (6.4.1)
\]

To speed up the iterative process involved in the global solution of equation (3.3.4), the local incremental changes given by equation (6.4.1) are multiplied by the input value of the overrelaxation factor ORELAX. Thus,

\[
\Delta Q_0 = \left( \frac{\mathcal{R}}{C_0} \right) \text{ORELAX} \quad (6.4.2)
\]

where

\[
1.0 \leq \text{ORELAX} < 2.0 \quad (6.4.3)
\]

The optimum value of ORELAX for the shortest running time probably varies somewhat with the boundary conditions of the problem. A preliminary investigation indicated an approximate value of 1.35; however, the user is encouraged to try other input values.

6.5 Accuracy (EPS and EPSR). - The input values of EPS and EPSR determine the accuracy of various iterative processes in the program. The input value of EPSR relates to the solution of the governing equation (3.3.4) by finite-difference methods. It is the maximum allowable value of the residual error \( \mathcal{R} \) at any point in the flow field after the iterative solution has been completed globally for a given (fixed) set of the coefficients \( C_C, C_0, \ldots, C_6 \). The input value of EPS relates to other iterative processes.

The program uses double precision. The input values of EPS and EPSR used for the examples in this report were both 0.0000005 and occasionally an order of magnitude less. Because of the dimensionless form under which the solutions are obtained, the magnitudes of EPS and EPSR are independent of the size of the flow field. However, for comparable accuracy in solving the governing equation (3.3.4), the greater the number of grid points on a potential surface, the smaller the input value of EPSR, because the smaller will be the dimensionless grid spacings \( a_1, \ldots, a_6 \) in the coefficients of equation (3.3.4).
The two major inputs to the program are the shape of the upstream boundary with its associated grid and the velocity distribution on the lateral boundary of the duct. These inputs are discussed in detail in the next two sections, after which a formatted, line-by-line description of the complete input is given. This latter section constitutes a user's guide for preparation of the input.

7.1 Upstream boundary shape and associated grid. - The shape of the upstream boundary is specified by the coordinate points of its contour on the y,z plane for x = 0. These coordinate points are located at every intersection of the contour with a specified (input) grid of YG(J) and ZG(K) lines in the Y,Z plane. Thus, the coordinate points [YC(1), ZC(1)],..., (YC(NCP), ZC(NCP)] are numbered counterclockwise and consecutively from 1 to NCP, where the maximum allowable value of NCP is 200. The starting point is arbitrary, except for cases using planar symmetry (ISYM equal to 2 or 3), which are discussed later. The YG(J) and ZG(K) grid lines, at which the contour coordinate points occur, are numbered 1 to NJ and 1 to NK, respectively, where the maximum allowable values of NJ and NK are 21 and 36, respectively.

The spacing (a2, a3, a5, and a6) of the grid lines is arbitrary, except that at least three internal grid points (i.e., intersections of grid lines) must lie along every internal grid line segment bounded by the contour and at least two external grid points must lie along every external grid line segment bounded by the contour. It is also prudent to keep the grid spacings as nearly constant as the contour shape and other considerations permit and not too different from the a1 and a4 spacing in the ϕ direction (second fig. in section 3.2). Of course, grid size affects running time. Doubling the grid spacing on potential surfaces, but leaving the spacing between potential surfaces unchanged, decreased CPU time by more than 75 percent in the following examples.
The shape of the contour is completely arbitrary (but see section 4.1) except that (see following figure) (1) every contour point must be the end point of at least one internal grid line, (2) any interior straight line drawn between two contour points that are not adjacent must cut at least one grid line, and (3) unless a contour point lies on a grid point, it must be at least 10 times the input value of EPS away from any interior grid point.
Because of the approximate nature of the solutions (section 4.0), a "kink" may develop in the duct boundary if an external grid point is too close to two adjacent contour points along the boundary, particularly if the upstream boundary configuration is too complex or convoluted. This "kink" occurs because the x,y,z coordinates at boundary point A are obtained by extrapolating from the corresponding values at the interior points 1, 2, and 3, whereas the coordinates at boundary point B are obtained by extrapolating from the interior points a, b, and c. The "kink" is most easily eliminated by shifting one of the two grid lines so that the points A and B come together as shown in the following figure.
For planar symmetry solutions (section 6.2), only one of the two symmetrical halves of the upstream boundary configuration is used. If the plane of symmetry is normal to the Y axis (ISYM = 2) as shown, the left half must be used, and the contour points are numbered in the counterclockwise direction from 1 to NCP, starting at the plane of symmetry.

If the plane of symmetry is normal to the Z axis (ISYM = 3), the lower half must be used, and the contour points are numbered in the counterclockwise direction from 1 to NCP starting at the plane of symmetry as shown. Note that for ISYM equal to both 2 and 3, the input location (JX,KX) of the primary streamline must be on the plane of symmetry.

These various upstream boundary contours in physical X,Y,Z space are also the shapes of all potential surfaces in transformed Φ,ψ,η space, because paired values of Y (equals ψ) and Z (equals η) are constant along streamlines (section 3.2).

7.2 Prescribed velocity distribution on surface of duct. - The velocity distribution on the lateral boundary of the duct could be specified in a perfectly general, continuous way (but see section 4.1) at each of the NCP coordinate points along the boundary contour (section 7.1) for each of the NI potential surfaces from the upstream boundary (I = 1) to the downstream boundary (I = NI), where the maximum allowable value for both NCP and NI is 200. Because this is a large amount of input data (200 x 200), for convenience, in program DIN3D1, the velocity distribution on the lateral surface is specified by two components. First, the distribution of velocity QP(I) is specified as a function of distance SP(I) along the principal streamline (input value of IP; figs. in section 7.1). Thus,
Program assumes straight lines between adjacent points

where \( QP(I) \) is expressed as a ratio of the upstream velocity, and the dimensional unit for \( SP(I) \) is the same as for \( YG(J) \) and \( ZG(K) \) (section 7.1). The velocity \( QP(I) \) is constant in the upstream and downstream regions, which regions should normally be at least two hydraulic diameters of their respective flow areas in extent. These regions of constant velocity on the lateral boundary are required to justify the assumption of constant velocity over the upstream and downstream flow areas.

Second, but only if the input value of option IVEL is 1, the velocity variation \( DQ \) around the contour of each potential surface, which contour in \( \phi, \psi, \eta \) space is the same as the upstream contour (section 7.1), is specified by

In this figure, \( DQAMP(I) \) is the amplitude (plus or minus) of the velocity variation \( DQ \) and \( P \) is the decimal fraction of the distance around the contour. The \( XP(I) \) value of \( P \) locates the principal streamline \( IP \) relative to the velocity variation with \( P \). The variation in velocity with \( P \) is, therefore, specified for all potential surfaces by \( DP01(I), DP12(I), DP23(I), DQAMP(I), \) and \( XP(I) \) as functions of \( SP(I) \) from \( I = 1, \ldots, NI \). As for the distribution of \( QP(I) \) in the previous paragraph, the distributions of these parameters should also be constant in the upstream and downstream regions, and the values of \( DQAMP(I) \) must be zero.

In the regions of \( P \) defined by \( DP01(I) \) and \( DP23(I) \) in the figure, the velocity variation \( DQ \) is given by the cubic equation

\[
DQ = a + bP + cP^2 + dP^3
\]  

(7.2.1)
where the four coefficients \( a, b, c, \) and \( d \) are fixed by the four conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d(DQ)}{dP} &= 0 \quad \text{at the two end points} \\
DQ &= 0 \quad \text{at one end point} \\
DQ &= DQAMP(I) \quad \text{at the other end point}
\end{align*}
\]

To simplify the input further, values of these parameters, as well as of \( QP(I) \), need not be specified at all values of \( I \), but only at \( NP \) values, where

\[
NI = (NP - 1) NSD + 1 \quad (7.2.2)
\]

in which \( NSD \) is the specified (input) number of equal subdivisions between adjacent, specified \( NP \) values of the parameters. The program assumes linear variations in the parameters between the specified values.

For the "equilibrium" velocity distributions described in appendix A (input values of option \( IVEL \) equal to 2 or 3), in addition to the prescribed velocity \( QP(I) \) as a function of distance \( SP(I) \) along the primary streamline, only the amplitude \( DQAMP(I) \) of the velocity variation \( DQ \) (see previous fig.) is specified. The parameters \( DP01(I), DP12(I), DP23(I) \), and \( XP(I) \) must be omitted. Also, if the input value of \( ISYM \) is 2, the input value of \( IVEL \) must not be 3; and if the input value of \( ISYM \) is 3, the input value of \( IVEL \) must not be 2.

Option \( IVEL = 4 \) can be used only with option \( ISYM \) equal to 2 or 3 (planar symmetry cases). Here, only the parameters \( DP23(I) \) and \( XP(I) \) must be omitted, it being understood that for planar symmetry

\[
DP23(I) = DP01(I) \quad (7.2.3)
\]

and

\[
XP(I) = 0.5 + DP01(I) + 0.5 \times DP12(I) \quad (7.2.4)
\]

As for optional input \( IFLUID \) (section 6.1), provision is also made in subroutine \( PUTIN \) for adding new types of option \( IVEL \) by additions to the code, and of course, appropriate additions to the code must also be made in subroutine \( VELD \).

### 7.3 Line-by-line input for program DIN3D1

This section should be used when preparing the formatted, line-by-line input for program DIN3D1. It is also recommended that sections 7.1 and 7.2 be reviewed before starting.

**Line 1 -** FORMAT(20A4)  
**TITLE**  
title (center on field of 80 characters)

**Line 2 -** FORMAT(20A4)  
**SUBT1**  
first subtitle (center on field of 80 characters)

**Line 3 -** FORMAT(20A4)  
**SUBT2**  
second subtitle (center on field of 80 characters)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line 4</th>
<th>FORMAT(7I10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IFLUID</td>
<td>option equals 1 for incompressible flow, 2 for perfect gas (section 6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISYM</td>
<td>option equals 1 for complete flow field, 2 for half flow field with planar symmetry about y plane, and 3 for half flow field with planar symmetry about z plane (sections 6.2 and 7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGRID</td>
<td>option equals 1 for Cartesian YG(J),ZG(K) grid at upstream boundary (only option) (section 7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVEL</td>
<td>option equals 1 for standard, two-component, parametric method of specifying velocity distribution on lateral boundary of duct (section 7.2), 2 for &quot;equilibrium&quot; velocity distribution with turn in y plane (sections 4.1 and 7.2 and appendix A), 3 for &quot;equilibrium&quot; velocity distribution with turn in z plane (sections 4.1 and 7.2 and appendix A), and 4 for cases with ISYM values of 2 or 3 only (section 7.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPLOT</td>
<td>option equals zero for no graphics output, 1 for three-dimensional graphics output (section 6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISOLV</td>
<td>option equals zero if built-in criteria for successful solution are not used, 1 if criteria are used (section 4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISPACE</td>
<td>option equals zero if grid spacings (second fig. in section 3.2) for all internal grid points are not printed in output (space-saving option), 1 if spacings are printed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line 5</th>
<th>FORMAT(5I10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ITERMX</td>
<td>maximum number of major iterations (ITER) allowed; value depends on circumstances of case involved (sections 3.3, 4.4, 4.5, and 5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITMAX</td>
<td>maximum number of IT iterations allowed (each iteration involves entire flow field; values of coefficients $C_2$, $C_0$, $C_1$,...,$C_6$ in equation (3.3.4) are unchanged for all IT iterations; recommended value is 250) (sections 3.3 and 5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITXMAX</td>
<td>maximum number of passes allowed for iterative, finite-difference solution of governing differential equation (3.3.4) on a given potential surface $\varphi$; recommended value is 100 (section 5.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICONX</td>
<td>maximum number of ICON iterations, in main program, on coefficients of governing equation (3.3.4); recommended value is 4 and cannot be less than 3 (section 5.1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTRY</td>
<td>number of iterations, in subroutine VARI, on values of direction cosines; recommended value is 3 and cannot be less than 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line 6</th>
<th>FORMAT(6F10.3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAVD</td>
<td>coefficient for averaging new values of derivatives of direction cosines with previous values; recommended value is 0.5 (section 5.2.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CAVN coefficient for averaging new values of continuity parameters A and B with previous values; recommended value is 0.5 or less (section 5.2.2 and appendix C)

CAVP coefficient for averaging values (obtained by two methods) of x, y, z coordinates at each internal grid point; recommended value is 0.5 or less (sections 3.6, 4.2(constraint 1), and 4.6)

CAVX coefficient for averaging new values of direction cosines with previous values; recommended value is 0.2 (section 5.2.2)

CAVY coefficient for averaging new values of coefficient CC', in the governing equation (3.3.4), with previous values; recommended value is 0.5 or less (section 5.2.2)

CAVZ coefficient for averaging new values of THET (cosine of "distortion" angle Θ) with previous values; recommended value is 0.5 or less (section 5.2.2)

[If IFLUID = 1, incompressible flow, go to line 8.]

Line 7 - FORMAT(2F10.4)
AMU upstream Mach number (section 6.1)
GAM ratio of specific heats (section 6.1)

Line 8 - FORMAT(2I10)
JX value of J for primary streamline (fig. in section 3.5; sections 3.6, 5.2, and 7.1)
KX value of K for primary streamline (fig. in section 3.5; sections 3.6, 5.2, and 7.1) (For input values of ISYM equal to 2 and 3, the primary streamline (JX,KX) must lie on the plane of symmetry.)

Line 9 - FORMAT(3I10)
NJ number of YG(J) grid lines; maximum value is 21 (section 7.1)
NK number of ZG(K) grid lines; maximum value is 36 (section 7.1)
NCP number of contour coordinate points around upstream potential surface; maximum value is 200 (sections 6.3 and 7.1)

Line 10 - FORMAT(8F10.6)
YG(J) NJ values of Y grid lines; same dimensional unit of length used for SP on line 15; 6–decimal accuracy recommended (sections 3.2, 3.5, and 7.1)

Line 11 - FORMAT(8F10.6)
ZG(K) NK values of Z grid lines; same dimensional unit of length used for SP on line 15; 6–decimal accuracy recommended (sections 3.2, 3.5, and 7.1)
Line 12 - FORMAT(8F10.6)

YC(IX)  NCP values of Y for coordinate points along boundary contour starting at contour point 1 (which has arbitrary location for ISYM = 1 but must lie on the plane of symmetry for ISYM equal to 2 or 3); contour points must be read sequentially in counterclockwise direction; same dimensional unit of length used for SP on line 15; 6-decimal accuracy recommended (sections 3.2, 3.5, and 7.1)

Line 13 - FORMAT(8F10.6)

ZC(IX)  NCP values of Z for coordinate points along boundary contour starting at contour point 1; see YC(IX), above, for further comments

Line 14 - FORMAT(3I10)

IP  contour coordinate point corresponding to principal streamline; for ISYM equal to 2 or 3, IP must equal 1; for "equilibrium" velocity distributions (IVEL equal to 2 or 3), see appendix A (first and last figs. in section 7.1)

NP  number of stations (velocity potential surfaces) at which parameters are specified for velocity distribution on lateral surface; quantity (NP - 1)NSD + 1 must not exceed 200 (section 7.2)

NSD  number of subdivisions between each of the above NP stations (section 7.2)

Line 15 - (NP lines, one for each station), FORMAT(6F10.5, F10.6)

QP(I)  velocity (ratio) distribution along principal streamline (IP), expressed as ratio of upstream velocity; 5-decimal accuracy recommended (section 7.2)

SP(I)  distance along principal streamline; any unit of length permitted, and value of SP(I) at upstream boundary need not be 0.0 (section 7.2)

DP01(I)  percent of contour length (second fig. in section 7.2); omit if IVEL equals 2 or 3 (section 7.2)

DP12(I)  percent of contour length (second fig. in section 7.2); omit if IVEL equals 2 or 3 (section 7.2)

DP23(I)  percent of contour length (second fig. in section 7.2); omit if IVEL equals 2, 3, or 4 (section 7.2)

DQAMP(I)  amplitude (second fig. in section 7.2) of velocity variation DQ around contour of potential surface; velocity expressed as ratio of upstream velocity; DQAMP(I) may be positive or negative; DQAMP(I) must be 0.0
in upstream and downstream regions of duct (first fig. in section 7.2; section 7.2)

XP(I) location (percent of contour length) of principal streamline relative to velocity variation around contour of potential surface (second fig. in section 7.2); omit if IVEL equals 2, 3, or 4 (section 7.2)

Line 16 - FORMAT(4I10)

IA I value of initial potential surface for which output data are printed in table I

IZ I value of final potential surface for which output data are printed in table I; IZ ≤ NI (total number of potential surfaces; section 6.3); if IZ < IA, table I is omitted in printout

NPS number of potential surfaces for which output data at boundary contour points are printed in table II (and saved for three-dimensional graphics if input value of IPLOT is 1); NPS ≤ 200; if NPS = 0, table II is omitted and input line 17 is skipped (section 6.3)

NSL number of boundary-surface streamlines for which output data are printed in table III (and saved for three-dimensional graphics if IPLOT is 1); NSL ≤ 200; if NSL = 0, table III is omitted and input line 18 is skipped (section 6.3)

Line 17 - FORMAT(8I10)

IPS(I) NPS values of the I values of potential surfaces for which output data are printed in table II; numbered sequentially, starting from lowest value, but numbers can be skipped (section 6.3)

Line 18 - FORMAT(8I10)

ISL(I) NSL values of the I values of boundary contour points for which streamline data are printed in table III; numbered sequentially, starting from lowest value, but numbers can be skipped (section 6.3)

Line 19 - FORMAT(2F10.7,F10.4)

EPS standard maximum allowable error in various iterative procedures; recommended value is 0.000005 (section 6.5)

EPSR maximum allowable value of residual error $\mathcal{R}$ in finite-difference solutions of equation (3.3.4); recommended value is 0.000005 (sections 3.3, 5.19, and 6.5)

ORELAX overrelaxation factor (sections 5.19 and 6.4)
7.4 Sample printout of input data. - Program DIN3D1 prints out the input data in the same order in which they are read in. A sample printout of the input data follows.

PROGRAM DIN3D1

DESIGN OF THREE-DIMENSIONAL INTERNAL FLOW FIELDS
FOR ARBITRARY PRESCRIBED VELOCITY DISTRIBUTIONS
ON LATERAL BOUNDARY SURFACE

CASE NO. V

ELBOW C2

QD/QU = 2.0    M-UP = 0.4

INPUT DATA

OPTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IFLUID</th>
<th>ISYM</th>
<th>IGRID</th>
<th>IVEL</th>
<th>IPlot</th>
<th>ISOLV</th>
<th>ISPACE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INPUT DATA FOR LIMITS ON VARIOUS ITERATION CYCLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAX ITER</th>
<th>MAX IT</th>
<th>MAX ITX</th>
<th>ICONX</th>
<th>NTRY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ITERATIONS</td>
<td>ITERATIONS</td>
<td>ITERATIONS</td>
<td>ITERATIONS</td>
<td>ITERATIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INPUT DATA FOR VARIOUS DAMPING COEFFICIENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAVD</th>
<th>CAVN</th>
<th>CAVP</th>
<th>CAVX</th>
<th>CAVY</th>
<th>CAVZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INPUT DATA FOR PERFECT GAS (IFLUID = 2)

UPSTREAM RATIO OF MACH NO. SPEC HTS

0.4000 1.4000

INPUT DATA FOR PRIMARY STREAMLINE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>J-VALUE</th>
<th>K-VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(JX)</td>
<td>(KX)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**INPUT DATA FOR GRID SYSTEM ON UPSTREAM BOUNDARY SURFACE (IGRID = 1)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF Y GRID LINES</th>
<th>NO. OF Z GRID LINES</th>
<th>NO. OF POINTS ON BOUNDARY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INPUT DATA FOR UPSTREAM GRID (CONTINUED)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>J</th>
<th>V-VALUE OF GRID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.290635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.315019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.909010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.704505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.500000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.295495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.090990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.886485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.681981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>10.272971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>11.300355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>11.590990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INPUT DATA FOR UPSTREAM GRID (CONTINUED)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K</th>
<th>Z-VALUE OF GRID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.290635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.315019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.909010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.704505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.500000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.295495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.090990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.886485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.681981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>9.709365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>10.000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INPUT DATA FOR UPSTREAM GRID (CONTINUED)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I</th>
<th>Y-VALUE OF CONTOUR</th>
<th>Z-VALUE OF CONTOUR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.500000</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.295495</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.090990</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.886485</td>
<td>1.070871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.681981</td>
<td>1.290635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.272971</td>
<td>2.315019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>11.300355</td>
<td>3.909010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.590990</td>
<td>4.704505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.590990</td>
<td>5.500000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.590990</td>
<td>6.295495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11.590990</td>
<td>7.090990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>10.272971</td>
<td>8.681981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.681981</td>
<td>9.709365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>7.886485</td>
<td>9.929129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.090990</td>
<td>10.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.295495</td>
<td>10.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.500000</td>
<td>10.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.704505</td>
<td>9.929129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.909010</td>
<td>9.709365</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### INPUT DATA FOR VELOCITY DISTRIBUTION ON LATERAL BOUNDARY SURFACE (IVEL = 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRINCIPAL</th>
<th>NO. OF SPEC.</th>
<th>NO. OF SUBDIVISIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VEL. ON PRINCIPAL STREAMLINE</th>
<th>DISTANCE ALONG STREAMLINE</th>
<th>DEL-Q AMPLITUDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>1.500000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>3.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>4.500000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>6.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>7.500000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>9.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>10.500000</td>
<td>-0.019700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>12.000000</td>
<td>-0.074100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>13.500000</td>
<td>-0.156300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>15.000000</td>
<td>-0.259300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>16.500000</td>
<td>-0.356500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>18.000000</td>
<td>-0.459000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>19.500000</td>
<td>-0.562500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>21.000000</td>
<td>-0.670000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>22.500000</td>
<td>-0.776000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>24.000000</td>
<td>-0.881000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>25.500000</td>
<td>-0.984000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>27.000000</td>
<td>-1.085000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>28.500000</td>
<td>-1.184000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>30.000000</td>
<td>-1.279000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>31.500000</td>
<td>-1.370000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>33.000000</td>
<td>-1.457000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>34.500000</td>
<td>-1.540000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>36.000000</td>
<td>-1.618000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>37.500000</td>
<td>-1.691000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>39.000000</td>
<td>-1.758000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>40.500000</td>
<td>-1.820000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>42.000000</td>
<td>-1.876000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### INPUT DATA FOR PRINT FORMAT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MIN I-VALUE OF POT SURF (TABLE I)</th>
<th>MAX I-VALUE OF POT SURF (TABLE I)</th>
<th>NO OF POT SURF SURFACES (TABLE II)</th>
<th>NO OF STREAMLINES (TABLE III)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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INPUT DATA FOR POTENTIAL SURFACES (TABLE II)

NUMBER (NPS) OF POTENTIAL SURFACES = 29
I-VALUES OF POTENTIAL SURFACES:

<p>| | | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INPUT DATA FOR STREAMLINES (TABLE III)

NUMBER (KSL) OF STREAMLINES = 28
I-VALUES OF CONTOUR POINTS THROUGH WHICH STREAMLINES PASS:

<p>| | | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INPUT DATA RELATED TO ACCURACY OF CALCULATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EPS</th>
<th>EPS-R</th>
<th>O-RELAX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00000005</td>
<td>0.00000005</td>
<td>1.3500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.0 OUTPUT FROM PROGRAM

The five major outputs from the program are (1) an intermediate printout generated as the solution progresses; (2) output table I, with data at the internal grid points for the selected range (IA to IZ) of potential surfaces in x,y,z space; (3) output table II, with data around the contours of selected potential surfaces in x,y,z space; (4) output table III, with data along selected streamlines over the full range of potential surfaces (I equals 1 to NI); and (5) output data to tape or disk for three-dimensional graphics, provided that the input value of IPLOT is 1. Before printing these five outputs, the program prints out (1) the maximum Mach number along the principal streamline and (2) provided that the input value of ISPACE is 1, the values of the six grid spacings a1,...,a6 (second fig. in section 3.2) for all of the internal grid points.

8.1 Intermediate printout. - For each pass IT through the entire flow field for every major iteration ITER (with unchanged values of the coefficients C_C, C_0, C_1,...,C_6 in the governing equation (3.3.4)), the intermediate printout gives the magnitude and location (I-MAX, J-MAX, and K-MAX) of the absolute value of the maximum residual error \( R \) encountered during the pass. For a given value of ITER, after convergence (\( R < \) EPSR) or after IT becomes greater than the input value of ITMAX, the program prints the exit flow area EXFLAR (section 5.12) and its error ERRAR (section 5.1), expressed as a decimal fraction, for each of the ICON iterations (section 5.1.1). Also, any intermediate messages regarding, for example, the counters ICX, ICY, and ITH (section 5.2.1) are printed. A sample page of intermediate printout follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITER</th>
<th>MAX RES IN IT</th>
<th>I-MAX</th>
<th>J-MAX</th>
<th>K-MAX</th>
<th>ITERATION</th>
<th>EXIT FLOW AREA (DIM)</th>
<th>CORRECT FLOW AREA (DIM)</th>
<th>ERROR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8707286</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.396290</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2352487</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1334014</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0794505</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0698247</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0319226</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0192675</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.0125385</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0072951</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.0043539</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0027085</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.0016135</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0009475</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.0005948</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.0003137</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.0001780</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.0001002</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.0000562</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.0000315</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.0000176</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.0000098</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.0000039</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.0000017</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.0000009</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.0000001</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.2 Output table I. - Output table I gives the values of variables at all internal grid points for potential surfaces in the (input) range from IA to IZ. The headings in output table I are as follows:

- **L,J,K**: grid-point indices in directions of increasing velocity potential PHI, stream function PSI, and stream function ETA, respectively
- **PHI, PSI, ETA**: at grid point (I,J,K), values of velocity potential and two stream functions, respectively (sections 3.0 to 3.2)
- **X(DIM), Y(DIM), Z(DIM)**: at grid point (I,J,K), values of X, Y, Z coordinates, expressed in same dimensional unit as input values of SP(I) (sections 3.5 and 3.6)
- **Q/Q-UP**: at grid point (I,J,K), value of local velocity divided by upstream velocity (section 3.3)
- **MACH NO.**: at grid point (I,J,K), value of local Mach number
- **RO/RO-UP**: at grid point (I,J,K), value of local static density divided by upstream static density (section 3.3)
- **P/P-UP**: at grid point (I,J,K), value of local static pressure divided by upstream static pressure. For incompressible flow (IFLUID = 1), P/P-UP is defined as local difference between total and static pressure divided by the same difference at upstream boundary (which definition is equivalent to square of Q/Q-UP) (section 3.3)
- **SIN(THET)**: sine of "distortion" angle Θ (sections 3.1, 3.3, and 4.2 (constraint 5))
- **COS(AL1),..., COS(GM3)**: at grid point (I,J,K), values of direction cosines of three unit vectors \( \vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \) and \( \vec{e}_3 \) (sections 3.1, 3.4, and 4.2)
- **A,B**: at grid point (I,J,K), values of continuity parameters (eqs. (3.3.2) and (3.3.3) and sections 3.4 and 5.2)
A sample page of output table I resulting from the sample input in section 7.4 follows.

### OUTPUT TABLE NO. I (INTERNAL GRID POINTS)

| I  | J  | K  | PHI | PSI | ETA | X(DIM) | Y(DIM) | Z(DIM) | G/Q-UP | MACH NO | RD/RO-UP | P/Q-UP | SIN(THET) | COS(AL) | COS(BT) | COS(CM) | COS(AL1) | COS(BT1) | COS(CM1) | COS(AL2) | COS(BT2) | COS(CM2) | COS(AL3) | COS(BT3) | COS(CM3) |
|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|----------|--------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 14 | 10 | 9  | 2.51638 | 0.87683 | 0.87683 | 19.7351 | 7.4296 | 8.1175 | 1.5330 | 0.6269 | 0.8687 | 0.8212 | 1.0000 |
| 14 | 5  | 10 | 2.51638 | 0.42284 | 0.99499 | 19.5401 | 8.9232 | 6.6578 | 0.8569 | 0.7977 | 1.0000 |
| 14 | 6  | 10 | 2.51638 | 0.91362 | 0.99499 | 19.5925 | 6.8284 | 0.9252 | 1.4626 | 0.6575 | 0.8319 | 0.7977 | 1.0000 |
| 14 | 7  | 10 | 2.51638 | 0.46431 | 0.99499 | 19.5057 | 5.2955 | 0.9233 | 1.6026 | 0.6577 | 0.8510 | 0.7976 | 1.0000 |
| 14 | 8  | 10 | 2.51638 | 0.69023 | 0.99499 | 19.5297 | 6.8060 | 0.9252 | 1.6026 | 0.6577 | 0.8510 | 0.7977 | 1.0000 |
| 14 | 9  | 10 | 2.51638 | 0.78683 | 0.99499 | 19.5601 | 6.7220 | 0.9232 | 1.6026 | 0.6578 | 0.8509 | 0.7977 | 1.0000 |

| NO. | PHI | PSI | ETA | X(DIM) | Y(DIM) | Z(DIM) | G/Q-UP | MACH NO | RD/RO-UP | P/Q-UP | SIN(THET) | COS(AL) | COS(BT) | COS(CM) | COS(AL1) | COS(BT1) | COS(CM1) | COS(AL2) | COS(BT2) | COS(CM2) | COS(AL3) | COS(BT3) | COS(CM3) |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|----------|--------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 15  | 2   | 2   | 2.80440 | 0.42284 | 0.03317 | 23.4195 | 3.9438 | 0.3670 | 1.2076 | 0.5134 | 0.9277 | 0.9003 | 1.0000 |
| 15  | 2   | 6   | 2.80440 | 0.51563 | 0.03317 | 23.4093 | 4.6195 | 0.3671 | 1.2079 | 0.5134 | 0.9277 | 0.9003 | 1.0000 |
| 15  | 2   | 7   | 2.80440 | 0.69443 | 0.03317 | 23.4060 | 5.2955 | 0.3672 | 1.2079 | 0.5134 | 0.9277 | 0.9003 | 1.0000 |
| 15  | 2   | 8   | 2.80440 | 0.69520 | 0.03317 | 23.4093 | 5.9711 | 0.3671 | 1.2079 | 0.5134 | 0.9277 | 0.9003 | 1.0000 |
| 15  | 2   | 9   | 2.80440 | 0.78503 | 0.03317 | 23.4195 | 6.6472 | 0.3670 | 1.2076 | 0.5134 | 0.9277 | 0.9003 | 1.0000 |
| 15  | 2   | 5   | 2.80440 | 0.33204 | 0.15944 | 25.0729 | 3.2399 | 0.2860 | 1.3129 | 0.5913 | 0.9190 | 0.8885 | 1.0000 |
| 15  | 3   | 3   | 2.80440 | 0.42284 | 0.15064 | 25.0555 | 3.9438 | 0.2860 | 1.3129 | 0.5913 | 0.9190 | 0.8885 | 1.0000 |
| 15  | 3   | 6   | 2.80440 | 0.51563 | 0.15064 | 25.0493 | 4.6195 | 0.2861 | 1.3129 | 0.5913 | 0.9190 | 0.8885 | 1.0000 |
| 15  | 3   | 7   | 2.80440 | 0.69443 | 0.15064 | 25.0460 | 5.2955 | 0.2861 | 1.3129 | 0.5913 | 0.9190 | 0.8885 | 1.0000 |
| 15  | 3   | 8   | 2.80440 | 0.69520 | 0.15064 | 25.0493 | 5.9711 | 0.2861 | 1.3129 | 0.5913 | 0.9190 | 0.8885 | 1.0000 |
| 15  | 3   | 9   | 2.80440 | 0.78683 | 0.15064 | 25.0729 | 6.6472 | 0.2861 | 1.3129 | 0.5913 | 0.9190 | 0.8885 | 1.0000 |
| 15  | 3   | 5   | 2.80440 | 0.33204 | 0.25064 | 26.6111 | 3.2399 | 0.2860 | 1.3129 | 0.5913 | 0.9190 | 0.8885 | 1.0000 |
| 15  | 4   | 4   | 2.80440 | 0.33204 | 0.25064 | 26.5555 | 3.9438 | 0.2860 | 1.3129 | 0.5913 | 0.9190 | 0.8885 | 1.0000 |
| 15  | 4   | 5   | 2.80440 | 0.42284 | 0.25064 | 26.5297 | 4.6195 | 0.2861 | 1.3129 | 0.5913 | 0.9190 | 0.8885 | 1.0000 |

### Grid Points

- XCDIM = 3.2399
- YCDIM = 3.9438
- ZCDIM = 4.6195
- Q/Q-UP = 1.3129
- MACH NO = 0.5913
- RD/RO-UP = 0.9190
- P/Q-UP = 0.8885
- SIN(THET) = 1.0000

### Cosine Terms

- COS(AL) = 0.9277
- COS(BT) = 0.9003
- COS(CM) = 1.0000

### Phi and Psi Values

- PHI = 2.80440
- PSI = 0.42284

### Inner Grid Points

- Grid Points
- Inner Grid Points

---
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8.3 Output table II. - Output table II gives the values of variables along the boundary contour of potential surfaces selected by the input. The headings in output table II are as follows:

I  index number for potential surface (constant PHI)
ICP index number for contour point along boundary of potential surface I
X-CP(DIM), at contour point ICP of potential surface I, values of X,Y,Z
Y-CP(DIM), coordinates, expressed in same dimensional units as input values
Z-CP(DIM) of SP(I) (sections 5.6 and 6.3)

Remaining headings for table II are defined under output table I (section 8.2). A sample page of output table II resulting from the sample input in section 7.4 follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICP</th>
<th>X-CP(DIM)</th>
<th>Y-CP(DIM)</th>
<th>Z-CP(DIM)</th>
<th>Q/Q-UP</th>
<th>MACH NO</th>
<th>R/D-UP</th>
<th>P/R-UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>28.7558</td>
<td>4.6607</td>
<td>4.8332</td>
<td>1.6258</td>
<td>0.6672</td>
<td>0.8742</td>
<td>0.8284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>28.7557</td>
<td>5.2955</td>
<td>4.8339</td>
<td>1.6238</td>
<td>0.6672</td>
<td>0.8742</td>
<td>0.8284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>28.7558</td>
<td>5.9533</td>
<td>4.8332</td>
<td>1.6258</td>
<td>0.6672</td>
<td>0.8742</td>
<td>0.8284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>28.7572</td>
<td>6.5635</td>
<td>4.8844</td>
<td>1.6261</td>
<td>0.6683</td>
<td>0.8735</td>
<td>0.8276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>28.6372</td>
<td>7.2027</td>
<td>5.8498</td>
<td>1.6335</td>
<td>0.6715</td>
<td>0.8719</td>
<td>0.8254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>28.2048</td>
<td>8.4895</td>
<td>5.7998</td>
<td>1.6678</td>
<td>0.6870</td>
<td>0.8635</td>
<td>0.8163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>27.5470</td>
<td>9.3496</td>
<td>6.9658</td>
<td>1.7241</td>
<td>0.7125</td>
<td>0.8496</td>
<td>0.7560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>27.2229</td>
<td>9.5466</td>
<td>7.5464</td>
<td>1.7538</td>
<td>0.7260</td>
<td>0.8421</td>
<td>0.7862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>26.9089</td>
<td>9.6161</td>
<td>8.1165</td>
<td>1.7844</td>
<td>0.7401</td>
<td>0.8343</td>
<td>0.7760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>26.5003</td>
<td>9.5763</td>
<td>8.6898</td>
<td>1.9162</td>
<td>0.7546</td>
<td>0.9026</td>
<td>0.8754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>26.2611</td>
<td>9.6162</td>
<td>9.2252</td>
<td>1.8491</td>
<td>0.7700</td>
<td>0.8176</td>
<td>0.7533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>25.6212</td>
<td>9.6706</td>
<td>10.3732</td>
<td>1.9146</td>
<td>0.8026</td>
<td>0.7991</td>
<td>0.7356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>25.1999</td>
<td>7.2807</td>
<td>11.0851</td>
<td>1.9664</td>
<td>0.8253</td>
<td>0.7862</td>
<td>0.7164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>25.1974</td>
<td>6.6259</td>
<td>11.2567</td>
<td>1.9769</td>
<td>0.8255</td>
<td>0.7862</td>
<td>0.7164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>25.0756</td>
<td>5.9610</td>
<td>11.2864</td>
<td>1.9803</td>
<td>0.8320</td>
<td>0.7824</td>
<td>0.7093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>25.0714</td>
<td>5.2955</td>
<td>11.2670</td>
<td>1.9893</td>
<td>0.8320</td>
<td>0.7824</td>
<td>0.7093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>25.0736</td>
<td>6.0350</td>
<td>11.2664</td>
<td>1.9893</td>
<td>0.8320</td>
<td>0.7824</td>
<td>0.7093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>25.1064</td>
<td>5.0959</td>
<td>11.2657</td>
<td>1.9769</td>
<td>0.8303</td>
<td>0.7833</td>
<td>0.7104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>25.1999</td>
<td>5.3023</td>
<td>11.0531</td>
<td>1.9664</td>
<td>0.8255</td>
<td>0.7862</td>
<td>0.7164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>26.6212</td>
<td>1.9938</td>
<td>10.3732</td>
<td>1.9156</td>
<td>0.8026</td>
<td>0.7991</td>
<td>0.7356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>26.2611</td>
<td>1.7168</td>
<td>9.2252</td>
<td>1.8491</td>
<td>0.7700</td>
<td>0.8176</td>
<td>0.7543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>26.5003</td>
<td>1.0146</td>
<td>8.6898</td>
<td>1.8162</td>
<td>0.8026</td>
<td>0.7824</td>
<td>0.7093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>26.9089</td>
<td>0.9764</td>
<td>8.1165</td>
<td>1.7844</td>
<td>0.7401</td>
<td>0.8343</td>
<td>0.7760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>27.5470</td>
<td>1.2493</td>
<td>7.5464</td>
<td>1.7538</td>
<td>0.7260</td>
<td>0.8421</td>
<td>0.7862</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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8.4 Output table III. — Output table III gives the values of various variables along streamlines (constant ICP) selected by the input. X-SL(DIM), Y-SL(DIM), and Z-SL(DIM) are values of the X, Y, Z coordinates, respectively, along the streamline. The next four headings are the same as defined for output table II (section 8.3). The last two headings are the lengths (same dimension as SP(I)) of the streamline ICP computed in two ways as follows:

\[ S-I(DIM) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ (\Delta X)^2 + (\Delta Y)^2 + (\Delta Z)^2 \right]^{1/2} \]  

(8.4.1)

where \( \Delta X = X(i) - X(i-1) \), etc.

and

\[ S-II(DIM) = \int_{0}^{\varphi} \frac{d\varphi}{\varrho} \]  

(8.4.2)

A sample page of output table III resulting from the sample input in section 7.4 follows:

### OUTPUT TABLE NO. III (COORDINATE POINTS ALONG SELECTED STREAMLINES)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICP</th>
<th>X-SL(DIM)</th>
<th>Y-SL(DIM)</th>
<th>Z-SL(DIM)</th>
<th>Q/V-UP</th>
<th>MACH NO</th>
<th>RQ/RQ-UP</th>
<th>P/P-UP</th>
<th>S-I(DIM)</th>
<th>S-II(DIM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>26.5000</td>
<td>9.5763</td>
<td>8.6890</td>
<td>1.8162</td>
<td>0.7948</td>
<td>0.8261</td>
<td>0.7654</td>
<td>27.1785</td>
<td>27.2304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>27.9727</td>
<td>9.5391</td>
<td>9.4967</td>
<td>1.5845</td>
<td>0.7566</td>
<td>0.8402</td>
<td>0.7422</td>
<td>28.7838</td>
<td>28.8444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>29.2737</td>
<td>9.5172</td>
<td>10.2535</td>
<td>1.9526</td>
<td>0.8093</td>
<td>0.7994</td>
<td>0.7527</td>
<td>30.5392</td>
<td>30.6145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>30.5765</td>
<td>9.5066</td>
<td>11.1714</td>
<td>1.9568</td>
<td>0.8265</td>
<td>0.7855</td>
<td>0.7132</td>
<td>31.8665</td>
<td>31.9545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>31.8266</td>
<td>9.4984</td>
<td>12.0134</td>
<td>1.9918</td>
<td>0.8373</td>
<td>0.7792</td>
<td>0.7052</td>
<td>33.3881</td>
<td>33.4695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>33.6760</td>
<td>9.4972</td>
<td>12.9591</td>
<td>2.0000</td>
<td>0.8414</td>
<td>0.7770</td>
<td>0.7042</td>
<td>34.9068</td>
<td>34.9725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>34.9162</td>
<td>9.4964</td>
<td>13.7052</td>
<td>2.0000</td>
<td>0.8414</td>
<td>0.7770</td>
<td>0.7024</td>
<td>36.4094</td>
<td>36.4725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>35.5565</td>
<td>9.4953</td>
<td>14.5477</td>
<td>2.0000</td>
<td>0.8414</td>
<td>0.7770</td>
<td>0.7024</td>
<td>37.9015</td>
<td>37.9725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>36.7971</td>
<td>9.5000</td>
<td>15.3922</td>
<td>2.0000</td>
<td>0.8414</td>
<td>0.7770</td>
<td>0.7024</td>
<td>39.4032</td>
<td>39.4725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>38.0576</td>
<td>9.5000</td>
<td>16.2565</td>
<td>2.0000</td>
<td>0.8414</td>
<td>0.7770</td>
<td>0.7024</td>
<td>40.9055</td>
<td>40.9725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>39.3176</td>
<td>9.5000</td>
<td>17.0868</td>
<td>2.0000</td>
<td>0.8414</td>
<td>0.7770</td>
<td>0.7024</td>
<td>42.4023</td>
<td>42.4725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>40.6176</td>
<td>9.5000</td>
<td>17.9258</td>
<td>2.0000</td>
<td>0.8414</td>
<td>0.7770</td>
<td>0.7024</td>
<td>43.9023</td>
<td>43.9725</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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8.5 Output to tape or disk for three-dimensional graphics. - Output to tape or disk for three-dimensional graphics occurs at the end of subroutine PUTOUT and is described in section 6.3. A three-dimensional plot resulting from the sample input in section 7.4 follows. Running time on an IBM 370/3033 was 6.50 min.

9.0 NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

Five numerical examples of ducts are presented. For each example, the upstream boundary configuration and associated grid are given together with the prescribed velocity distribution on the lateral surface and a number of key input parameters. The results are presented by three-dimensional graphs. The first example is a completely general three-dimensional nozzle with a nonsymmetrical upstream boundary configuration and rapid acceleration of the flow with no deceleration along the surface streamlines. The second example is an accelerating elbow with the same upstream boundary configuration and again no deceleration along the surface streamlines. The third example is an accelerating S-duct with an elliptical upstream boundary configuration. The fourth example is a rapidly decelerating elbow with a circular upstream boundary and an unusually sharp turning angle. This solution, like the others, can be reversed to give, in this case, a rapidly accelerating elbow with no deceleration along the surface streamlines. Of special interest in this example is the pronounced initial turning of the inner wall in a direction opposite to that of the elbow itself. This phenomenon has also been observed (ref. 3) in designs of two-dimensional ducts. The last example is a preliminary design of a side-inlet duct such as might be used with various types of turbomachinery. The solution has planar symmetry (with a small amount of overlap in one region), and for the reverse flow case, is an accelerating flow into a circular annulus with no deceleration anywhere along the duct walls.
9.1 Numerical example I. - Straight, three-dimensional nozzle with rapid acceleration (no deceleration along streamlines)
NUMERICAL EXAMPLE I - UPSTREAM BOUNDARY AND ASSOCIATED GRID
INPUT FOR NUMERICAL EXAMPLE I

\[ q = a + bX + cX^2 + dX^3; \]
\[ X = (I - 1)/(I_2 - I_1) \]
\[ X = (I - I_2)/(I_4 - I_2) \]

\[ q_p, q_d, \Delta q_{amp} \]

\[ I_1 = 31, I_2 = 37, I_3 = 31, I_4 = 37, NI = 67, q_d = 2.0, \Delta S = 0.5 \]

Option ISYM = 1
Option IVEL = 1
Major iterations (ITER) = 4
Coefficient to average x, y, z (CAVP) = 0.5
Upstream Mach number (AMU) = 0.4
Ratio of specific heats (GAM) = 1.4
J location of primary streamline (JX) = 9
K location of primary streamline (KX) = 12
Number of subdivisions between adjacent input values of I (NSD) = 1
Accuracy of finite-difference solution (EPSR) = 0.000005
Overrelaxation factor (ORELAX) = 1.35
Exit-area error (ERRAR) = 0.0005
Running time (370/8033), min = 55.69
DEL-P-01 = 0.3
DEL-P-12 = 0.2
DEL-P-23 = 0.3
Location of principal streamline (XP) = 0.9
9.2 Numerical example II. – General case of three-dimensional accelerating elbow (no deceleration along streamlines)
NUMERICAL EXAMPLE II - UPSTREAM BOUNDARY AND ASSOCIATED GRID
INPUT FOR NUMERICAL EXAMPLE II

\[ q = a + bX + cX^2 + dX^3; \]

\[ X = (I - I_1)/(I_2 - I_1) \]

\[ X = (I - I_3)/(I_4 - I_3) \]

\[ I_1 = 13, I_2 = 19, I_3 = 19, I_4 = 25, NI = 105, q_0 = 2.0, \Delta S = 0.5 \]

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISYM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Accuracy of finite-difference solution (EPSR) = 0.000005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVEL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Overrelaxation factor (ORELAX) = 1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITER</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Exit-area error (ERRAR) = -0.0019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAVP</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Running time (370/3033), min = 103.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMU</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>DEL-P-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAM</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>DEL-P-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JX</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>DEL-P-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KX</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Location of principal streamline (XP) = 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\[ \text{Running time} = 103.14 \text{ min} \]
9.3 Numerical example III. - Accelerating S-duct with elliptical upstream boundary (no deceleration along streamlines)
NUMERICAL EXAMPLE III - UPSTREAM BOUNDARY
AND ASSOCIATED GRID
### INPUT FOR NUMERICAL EXAMPLE III

\[
q = a + bX + cX^2 + dX^3;
\]

\[
X = (I - I_1)/(I_2 - I_1);
\]

\[
X = (I - I_3)/(I_4 - I_3);
\]

\[
X = (I - I_5)/(I_6 - I_5);
\]

- \( q_D = 2.5 \)
- \( q_I = 1.75 \)
- \( q_{p} \)
- \( \Delta S = 1.5 \)
- \( \Delta q_{amp} > 0.0 \)
- \( \Delta q_{amp} < 0.0 \)
- \( q_{p} \)

### Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISYM</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVEL</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITER</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAVP</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMU</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAM</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JX</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KX</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSD</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Accuracy of finite-difference solution (EPSR) = 0.000005
- Overrelaxation factor (ORELAX) = 1.30
- Exit-area error (ERRAR) = 0.0005
- Running time (370/3039), min = 29.64
- DEL-P-01
- DEL-P-12
- DEL-P-23
- Location of principal streamline (XP) = *

*Not applicable.*
9.4 Numerical example IV. - Decelerating elbow with sharp turn and circular upstream boundary (no deceleration for reversed flow)
NUMERICAL EXAMPLE IV - UPSTREAM BOUNDARY AND ASSOCIATED GRID
INPUT FOR NUMERICAL EXAMPLE IV

\[ q = a + bX + cX^2 + dX^3 \]

\[ q = X \cdot (I - I_1) / (I_2 - I_1) \]

\[ q = X \cdot (I - I_2) / (I_4 - I_3) \]

\[ \Delta q_{amp} \]

\[ \Delta S \]

I_1 = 26, I_2 = 31, I_3 = 18, I_4 = 23, NI = 60, q_D = 0.25, \Delta S = 1.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option ISYM</th>
<th>Option IVEL</th>
<th>Major iterations (ITER)</th>
<th>Coefficient to average x, y, z (CAVP)</th>
<th>Upstream Mach number (AMU)</th>
<th>Ratio of specific heats (GAM)</th>
<th>J location of primary streamline (JX)</th>
<th>K location of primary streamline (KX)</th>
<th>Number of subdivisions between adjacent input values of I (NSD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>= 1</td>
<td>= 2</td>
<td>= 4</td>
<td>= 0.0</td>
<td>= 0.6</td>
<td>= 1.4</td>
<td>= 10</td>
<td>= 10</td>
<td>= 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accuracy of finite-difference solution (EPSR) = 0.000005
Overrelaxation factor (ORELAX) = 1.30
Exit-area error (ERRAR) = 0.0024
Running time (370/3033), min = 121.88
DEL-P-01
DEL-P-12
DEL-P-23
Location of principal streamline (XP) = *

* Not applicable.
9.5 *Numerical example V.* - Planar symmetry solution for side inlet (in reversed-flow case; no deceleration for reversed-flow direction)
NUMERICAL EXAMPLE V - UPSTREAM BOUNDARY AND ASSOCIATED GRID
INPUT FOR NUMERICAL EXAMPLE V

\[ q = a + bX + cX^2 + dX^3 \]

\[ X = (I - I_1)/(I_2 - I_1) \]

\[ X = (I - I_3)/(I_4 - I_3) \]

\[ q_p \]

\[ \Delta q_{\text{emp}} \]

\[ I_1 = 21, I_2 = 31, I_3 = 11, I_4 = 31, NI = 60, q_0 = 0.25, \Delta S = 2.0 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISYM</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVEL</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITER</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAVP</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMU</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAM</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JX</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KX</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSD</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPSR</td>
<td>0.000005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORELAX</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERRAR</td>
<td>0.0110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEL-P-01</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEL-P-12</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEL-P-23</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not applicable.*
10.0 CONCLUDING REMARKS

The general design method for three-dimensional, potential flow developed in part I of this report (ref. 1) is herein applied to the design of simple, unbranched ducts. A computer program, DIN3D1, is developed and five numerical examples are presented, including a nozzle, two elbows, an S-duct, and the preliminary design of a side inlet for turbomachines. The two major inputs to the program are the upstream boundary configuration and the lateral velocity distribution on the duct wall. As a result of these inputs, boundary conditions of the problem are overprescribed and the problem is ill posed. However, it appears that there are degrees of "compatibility" between the two major inputs and that for reasonably compatible inputs satisfactory, reliable solutions can be obtained. By not prescribing the shape of the upstream boundary, the problem presumably becomes well posed, but it is not clear how to carry out a practical design method under this circumstance. Nor does it appear desirable, because the designer usually needs to retain control over the upstream (or downstream) boundary configuration.

The problem is further complicated by the fact that, unlike the two-dimensional case, and irrespective of the upstream boundary shape, some prescribed lateral velocity distributions do not have proper solutions (appendix C).

The input data for an example solution together with example output tables and a three-dimensional plot of the solution are given in sections 7.4 and 8.1 to 8.5, respectively.
APPENDIX A

"EQUILIBRIUM" VELOCITY DISTRIBUTIONS FOR
INPUT OPTION IVEL EQUAL TO 2 OR 3

The "equilibrium" velocity distributions for input option IVEL equal to 2 or 3 refer to the velocity distributions around the contours of the potential surfaces; the velocity distribution along the principal streamline (section 7.2) is not affected. Variation in the velocity distribution around the contour (e.g., DQ in second fig. of section 7.2) causes the duct to bend and may be looked upon as the duct "loading."

Consider potential flow in an infinitely long duct with constant loading. Such a duct will turn an infinite number of degrees, and the duct cross section will be constant. Under these circumstances, the potential surfaces are flat planes, and the "equilibrium" velocity distribution normal to the planes is a free vortex

\[ q_r = \text{constant} \]  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

where the radius \( r \) is measured from the axis about which the duct bends.

Such an equilibrium duct shape halfway between \( \pm \infty \) can be considered to lie on the \( Y,Z \) plane corresponding to the upstream boundary. Thus,

For \( IVEL = 2 \), the axis of the bend is a line of constant \( Z \); and for \( IVEL = 3 \), the axis is a line of constant \( Y \), as shown.

For \( IVEL \) equal to 2 or 3, the "equilibrium" shape is assumed to be the input shape of the upstream boundary and the lateral velocity distribution corresponds to the "equilibrium" velocity based on that shape. (Other shapes could be used, but these would entail additional input and probably would not achieve the same degree of compatibility (section 4.1) between the prescribed upstream boundary shape and the prescribed lateral velocity distribution.)
For IVEL = 2, equation (A1) gives

\[ qr = q_{rP} = \left( q_P + \Delta q_{amp} \right) r_0 \]  

(A2)

where (preceding figure) the subscript \( P \) refers to the principal streamline (at which \( q_P \) is the input value of \( QP(I) \)) and subscript \( 0 \) refers to the maximum (outer) radius where \( q \) is equal to \( q_P + \Delta q_{amp} \) and \( \Delta q_{amp} \) is the input value (negative) of \( DQAMP(I) \) at potential surface \( I \). (Note that \( DQAMP(I) \) for IVEL options 2 and 3, as opposed to option 1, is the difference between the minimum velocity, which occurs at \( r_0 \) in the figure, and the input velocity \( QP(I) \) of the principal streamline.)

In the figure, the radius \( r \) is related to the \( Z \) coordinate by

\[ r = r_p + \left( r_0 - r_p \right) \left( \frac{Z_p - Z}{Z_p - Z_0} \right) \]  

(A3)

and

\[ r_0 - r_p = Z_p - Z_0 \]  

(A4)

From equations (A2) and (A4),

\[ q_{rP} = \left( q_P + \Delta q_{amp} \right) \left[ r_p + \left( Z_p - Z_0 \right) \right] \]

or

\[ r_p = \frac{q_P + \Delta q_{amp}}{-\Delta q_{amp}} \left( Z_p - Z_0 \right) \]  

(A5)

and from equations (A2), (A3), and (A5),
Thus, at each potential surface \( I \), for \( IVEL = 2 \), equation (A6) gives the lateral distribution of velocity \( q \) as a function of the coordinate \( Z \) around the upstream boundary contour.

As shown in the preceding figure, \( r_p \) (at which radius \( q = q_p \)) can be greater than \( r_{\text{min}} \) (at which radius \( q = q_{\text{max}} \)), but \( r_p \) must be reasonably greater than \( r_o \) (at which radius \( q = q_{\text{min}} \)). In subroutine \textsc{VELD}, for \( IVEL = 2 \), if

\[
\frac{r_o - r_p}{r_o - r_{\text{min}}} = \frac{Z_p - Z_o}{Z_{\text{min}} - Z_o} < 0.5
\]

the solution is stopped.

In a similar fashion, for \( IVEL = 3 \),

\[
q = \frac{q_p r_p}{r} = \frac{q_p}{1 - \frac{\Delta q_{\text{amp}}}{q_p + \Delta q_{\text{amp}}} \left( \frac{Y_p - Y}{Y_p - Y_o} \right)}
\]

and the solution is stopped if

\[
\frac{r_o - r_p}{r_o - r_{\text{min}}} = \frac{Y_p - Y_o}{Y_{\text{min}} - Y_o} < 0.5
\]
APPENDIX B

CONDITION FOR NORMALITY OF UNIT VECTORS \( \vec{e}_2 \) and \( \vec{e}_3 \) WITH UNIT VECTOR \( \vec{e}_1 \)

Consider the case in which the unit vectors \( \vec{e}_1 \) and \( \vec{e}_2 \) are not normal and find the direction cosines for a third unit vector \( \vec{e}_{2x} \), which lies in the plane of \( \vec{e}_1 \) and \( \vec{e}_2 \) and is normal to \( \vec{e}_1 \). Thus,

Because the three vectors are coplanar, they are related by

\[
\vec{e}_{2x} = k_1 \vec{e}_1 + k_2 \vec{e}_2 \tag{B1}
\]

from which

\[
\begin{align*}
\cos \alpha_{2x} &= k_1 \cos \alpha_1 + k_2 \cos \alpha_2 \\
\cos \beta_{2x} &= k_1 \cos \beta_1 + k_2 \cos \beta_2 \\
\cos \gamma_{2x} &= k_1 \cos \gamma_1 + k_2 \cos \gamma_2
\end{align*}
\tag{B2}
\]
where \( \cos \alpha_{2x} \), \( \cos \gamma_2 \) are the direction cosines of \( \bar{e}_{2x}, \bar{e}_1, \) and \( \bar{e}_2 \) and \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are constants.

The constants \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are determined from equation (B1) as follows:

\[
\bar{e}_{2x} \cdot \bar{e}_1 = 0 = k_1 + k_2 \cos \theta
\]

and

\[
\bar{e}_{2x} \cdot \bar{e}_2 = \cos (\theta - 90^\circ) = \sin \theta = k_1 \cos \theta + k_2
\]

from which

\[
k_1 = -\frac{1}{\tan \theta} \quad \text{(B3)}
\]

and

\[
k_2 = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \quad \text{(B4)}
\]

Thus, the direction cosines for \( \bar{e}_{2x} \), which is \( \bar{e}_2 \) adjusted to satisfy the normality condition, are known from equations (B2) to (B4).

In a similar fashion, the adjusted direction cosines for \( \bar{e}_3 \) are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\cos \alpha_{3x} &= k_1 \cos \alpha_1 + k_2 \cos \alpha_3 \\
\cos \beta_{3x} &= k_1 \cos \beta_1 + k_2 \cos \beta_3 \\
\cos \gamma_{3x} &= k_1 \cos \gamma_1 + k_2 \cos \gamma_3
\end{align*}
\]

where \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are given by equations (B3) and (B4), respectively.
The ill-posed nature of the three-dimensional duct design method when both the upstream boundary configuration and the lateral velocity distribution are prescribed is discussed in section 4.0. This ill-posed nature negates a proper solution. However, for relatively "compatible" upstream boundary configurations and lateral velocity distributions, reasonable solutions are forced by introduction of the six constraints in section 4.2 and by limiting the number of major iterations (ITER) to a range over which the solution is converging, as evidenced by decreasing maximum residuals $\mathcal{R}$. This appendix considers other problems and limitations of the design method, and for this purpose it is assumed that a method exists for assuring an absolutely compatible upstream boundary configuration (if such exists) for a given prescribed, lateral-velocity distribution. Also, without destroying the generality of the discussion, it is convenient to assume planar symmetry.

For the classical, two-dimensional, duct design problem (ref. 3) a solution exists for every prescribed, piecewise-continuous, velocity distribution along the duct walls. For the three-dimensional problem this universal existence does not appear to be the case. For example, consider a straight duct (which, if the velocity is not constant, implies two planes of symmetry at right angle, with the duct centerline along the intersection). Presumably, if the duct is straight, the upstream boundary configuration has biplanar symmetry, but is otherwise general. Thus,

where $P$ is the decimal fraction of distance around the contour. In the upstream and downstream regions (section 7.2), the lateral velocity $q$, expressed as a ratio of the upstream velocity, is 1.0 along every boundary streamline. Elsewhere, let the prescribed velocity be 1.0 along the streamlines through $a$ and $c$ ($P = 0.0$ and $0.5$, respectively), and let the velocity decrease along the contour in an arbitrary fashion, but with biplanar symmetry, to a finite value approaching zero for the streamline through $b$ ($P = 0.25$). If this distribution of velocity with $P$ is maintained along the boundary streamlines over a large range of the velocity potential $\Delta \phi$, then from equation (3.0.1)
\[ \Delta \varphi = q_{a,c} (\Delta s)_{a,c} = q_b (\Delta s)_b \]  

so that over the range \( \Delta \varphi \)

\[ (\Delta s)_b = \left( \frac{q_{a,c}}{q_b} \right) (\Delta s)_{a,c} \]  

from which the length \((\Delta s)_b\) of streamline \(b\) becomes many times larger than the streamline length \((\Delta s)_{a,c}\), and no solution (i.e., shape of flow field) appears likely. It might be argued that the large \((\Delta s)_b\) could be accommodated by a rapid outward fanning of streamline \(b\), but the pressure gradients associated with the velocity distribution preclude this. (The rapid outward fanning of streamline \(b\) would approach a two-dimensional configuration in which streamlines \(a\) and \(c\) come together, lie on the duct centerline, and have a velocity distribution that adjusts to the prescribed velocity of boundary streamline \(b\). In the three-dimensional case, however, the velocity distributions along streamlines \(a\) and \(c\) are prescribed and thus cannot adjust. This inability to adjust is probably the center of the problem.)

Finally, for the example just discussed the velocity \(q\) along the straight streamline on the centerline of the duct can be no higher than 1.0 (which is the highest velocity on the boundary streamlines in this example) and will be less than 1.0 where influenced by velocities less than 1.0 on the boundary. The lengths of the streamlines between the upstream and downstream potential surfaces are given by

\[ \Delta s = \int_0^{\Delta \varphi} \frac{d\varphi}{q} \]  

Thus, \(\Delta s\) for the centerline streamline with velocities less than 1.0 is longer than \(\Delta s\) for the boundary streamlines \(a\) and \(c\), which have a constant prescribed velocity of 1.0. However, in contradiction, the centerline streamline must be shorter than the boundary streamlines \(a\) and \(c\), because it is straight and normal to the upstream and downstream potential surfaces, which are flat and parallel. It is concluded that for three-dimensional design problems not every prescribed velocity distribution has a proper solution.

For velocity distributions without proper solutions program DIN3D1, using the constraints in section 4.2 and limiting the number of major iterations (ITER), forces a "reasonable" solution. A measure of this reasonableness is the difference in streamline lengths \(S-I\) and \(S-II\) (output table I), which lengths should be equal.

Another problem area in the application of program DIN3D1 occurs when certain characteristics in the shape of the downstream boundary configuration are desired. (There is, of course, no way to achieve a precise shape, because the downstream configuration is dictated by the upstream configuration and the prescribed lateral velocity distribution.) For example, consider a straight duct with a transition section in which the duct cross section changes from a circular upstream shape to an elliptical downstream shape of the same area. A normal design procedure, based on one-dimensional considerations, would keep the duct area constant and employ a linear variation in the fineness ratio of the elliptical cross section starting from 1.0 for the circle and ending with the desired value for the downstream shape. Here, to avoid large losses, the designer's objective is to keep the velocity on the duct wall constant (i.e., \(q = 1.0\) along the boundary streamlines); and provided that the transition length is not too short, this objective should be nearly achieved. Thus for this type of three-dimensional design problem very large
changes in the duct cross section occur for very small changes in the prescribed lateral velocity distribution. It is not easy to determine just how large and where these small changes in velocity should be. Furthermore because this type of problem is so sensitive to small changes in lateral velocity distribution, the downstream boundary shape is also sensitive to the necessarily approximate methods used in the forced, finite-difference solution of the governing differential equation (3.3.1). That is, the downstream shape varies appreciably with number of iterations (ITER), with upstream grid size and arrangement (section 7.1), with various damping coefficients (sections 4.6 and 5.2.2), and perhaps with such lesser iterations as ICONX (section 5.1.1) and NTRY (section 7.3).

In summary, it is not easy to control the downstream boundary shape of the duct by the prescribed lateral velocity distribution; although it is relatively easy to control the streamwise shape of the duct by this means. However, substantial differences in the downstream shape need not imply significantly different lateral velocity distributions, provided that the downstream areas are equal in size. Finally, in those cases where the downstream shape is important, the solution becomes more sensitive to the lateral velocity distribution, if the damping coefficient CAVN is reduced to 0.0, or at most is not greater than 0.1.
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The general design method for three-dimensional, potential, incompressible or
subsonic-compressible flow developed in part I of this report is applied to the
design of simple, unbranched ducts. A computer program, DIN3D1, is developed and
five numerical examples are presented: a nozzle, two elbows, an S-duct, and the
preliminary design of a side inlet for turbomachines. The two major inputs to
the program are the upstream boundary shape and the lateral velocity distribution
on the duct wall. As a result of these inputs, boundary conditions are overprescribed and the problem is ill posed. However, it appears that there are degrees of "compatibility" between these two major inputs and that, for reasonably com-
patible inputs, satisfactory solutions can be obtained. By not prescribing the
shape of the upstream boundary, the problem presumably becomes well posed, but
it is not clear how to formulate a practical design method under this circum-
stance. Nor does it appear desirable, because the designer usually needs to
retain control over the upstream (or downstream) boundary shape. The problem is
further complicated by the fact that, unlike the two-dimensional case, and
irrespective of the upstream boundary shape, some prescribed lateral velocity
distributions do not have proper solutions.
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