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Summary

A helicopter transmission that was being considered for the Army’s Utility Tactical Transport Attack System (UTTAS) was tested in the NASA Lewis 2240-kW (3000-hp) test facility to obtain the transmission’s operational data. The results will form a vibration and efficiency data base for evaluating similar-class helicopter transmissions. The transmission’s mechanical efficiency was determined to be 98.7 percent at its rated power level of 2080 kW (2792 hp). At power levels up to 113 percent of rated the transmission displayed 56 percent higher vibration acceleration levels on the right input than on the left input. Both vibration signature analysis and final visual inspection indicated that the right input spiral-bevel gear had poor contact patterns.

The highest vibration meter level was 52 g’s rms at the aft accessory gear, which had free-wheeling gearsets (the transmission was tested without accessories). At 113-percent power and 100-percent rated speed the vibration meter levels generally ranged from 3 to 25 g’s rms. The facility was operated at and qualified for testing to 2600 kW (3500 hp).

Introduction

Modern helicopter transmissions are being required to achieve ever-higher levels of mechanical efficiency and transmitted power per unit weight (P/W) and significantly longer mean times between unscheduled removals (MTBUR). A transmission’s mechanical efficiency is to a large degree an index of its design sophistication. A 2240-kW (3000-hp) helicopter transmission is nearly 98 percent efficient at full rated load. Each of the many items in a helicopter gear train—gears, bearings, lubrication system circuitry, and seals—influences the total efficiency. In general there is a 3/4-percent loss for a planetary gear stage and a 1/2-percent loss for a single bevel or spur gear mesh (ref. 1). Further data on gear and gear system power losses can be found in references 2 to 5. The effect of lubrication and oil at gear meshes is reported in references 6 to 8. Oil traction effects on worm gear efficiencies are covered in reference 9. Bearing power losses are reviewed in reference 10. Even small efficiency degradations (e.g., an accumulative reduction of nearly 1 percent) are very significant (ref. 11). Efficiency studies on the Army’s smaller OH–58 helicopter transmission have also shown that even the composition of oils obtained under the same military specification has a significant effect (refs. 12 and 13).

A limitation to designing lighter weight geared transmissions arises from the dynamic tooth loads and noise produced by vibration within the transmission. Gearbox vibration is caused primarily by tooth meshing excitation and is characterized by a discrete rather than a continuous line spectrum. Excessive vibration may result if any of the meshing frequencies or strong sidebands happen to nearly coincide with a natural vibration frequency resonance of the transmission. In highly loaded, lightweight, fatigue-critical gearing such as that in helicopter transmissions, resonance is a much more critical phenomenon than in heavy-duty industrial drives (ref. 14). Further descriptions of the vibratory response of gearing are given in references 15 to 19.

For many years the two major conflicting field-operational performance indices, MTBUR (ref. 20) and P/W (ref. 21), have remained in need of substantial improvement. Field experience has shown that lighter, more flexible transmissions (for the same power) tend to be noisier. Because of the complexity of helicopter transmissions and the effect of component “coupling” on design, improvements in these indices require continuing programs of advanced analytical research and testing of the life, efficiency, and noise of full-scale transmissions. These programs will validate advanced components and technologies against the research and computer codes that spawned them. The NASA Lewis facility augments the ongoing need for facilities that can quantitatively evaluate technological research concepts in order to improve the conflicting requirements of increasing both MTBUR and P/W. The facility will help to advance helicopter transmission technology (refs. 22 to 24).

This work was conducted primarily to develop baseline efficiency and vibration data for the YUH–61A transmission to serve as documentation for improvements to transmission designs. A secondary objective was to establish the operational and control limits and integrity of the test facility. The data acquisition system is overviewed as well as the facility and its subsystems. Test results include transmission power ranges, mechanical efficiency, and vibration characteristics.

Apparatus

Test Transmission

The YUH–61A helicopter transmission was a candidate in the U.S. Army’s Utility Tactical Transport Attack System (UTTAS) competition. It has a rated power level of 2080 kW
(2792 hp) and an output rotor shaft speed of 286 rpm. The transmission (figs. 1 to 3) delivers power from the UTTAS helicopter's twin engines through separate external speed-reduction stages and twin input spiral-bevel gears to a combining spiral-bevel gear. This combining gear in turn is splined to the sun gear of a single-planetary-gear output stage. The input spiral-bevel gears provide a reduction of 121/25 from the input shaft speed of 7178 rpm. The planetary stage provides a further reduction ratio of 1 + 113/27. The overall speed reduction is 25.096. The numbers of gear teeth, the shaft speeds, and the calculated tooth meshing frequencies and their harmonics are listed in table I. The transmission was tested without its forward accessory gearbox. The aft accessory gearbox was mounted without the auxiliary power unit or generator installed. The main transmission has a dry weight of 383.3 kg (845 lb), the housing being primarily of magnesium with an aluminum upper cover. The lubricant for this transmission complied with the MIL-L-7808 specification.

The transmission's integral lubrication system (ref. 25) consists of a primary system and an auxiliary system. The primary lubrication pump has two elements: a pressure element and a scavenge element. The auxiliary lubrication pump is a single-element pump driven by the forward accessory spiral-bevel gear.

### Test Facility

The NASA Lewis 2240-kW (3000-hp) helicopter transmission facility is a regenerative (four square) testing power

---

**Table I.—Shaft Speeds and Tooth Meshing Harmonics for Rated Operating Speed of 286 rpm**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Number of teeth</th>
<th>Shaft speed, rpm</th>
<th>First mode</th>
<th>Second mode</th>
<th>Third mode</th>
<th>Fourth mode</th>
<th>First mode</th>
<th>Second mode</th>
<th>Third mode</th>
<th>Fourth mode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main power train</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input bevel pinion</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7 178</td>
<td>119.6</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>2991</td>
<td>5981</td>
<td>8 972</td>
<td>11 963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main bevel gear</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1 483</td>
<td>24.72</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>2991</td>
<td>5981</td>
<td>8 972</td>
<td>11 963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main-bevel sun gear</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1 483</td>
<td>24.72</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>1077</td>
<td>1 616</td>
<td>2 155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planet gears (four)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>8.76</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>1077</td>
<td>1 616</td>
<td>2 155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ring gear</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>1077</td>
<td>1 616</td>
<td>2 155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier (output)</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>9.53</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planet passing</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>19.07</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tail rotor</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main bevel gear</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1 483</td>
<td>24.72</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>2991</td>
<td>5981</td>
<td>8 972</td>
<td>11 963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tail takeoff gear</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7 178</td>
<td>119.6</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>2991</td>
<td>5981</td>
<td>8 972</td>
<td>11 963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell gear</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7 178</td>
<td>119.6</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>3350</td>
<td>6699</td>
<td>10 049</td>
<td>13 398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tail drive gear</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6 699</td>
<td>111.7</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>3350</td>
<td>6699</td>
<td>10 049</td>
<td>13 398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rear accessory gearbox</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessory drive</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6 999</td>
<td>1117</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>4689</td>
<td>9379</td>
<td>14 068</td>
<td>18 757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spur gear to clutch</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>5 742</td>
<td>95.70</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>4689</td>
<td>9379</td>
<td>14 068</td>
<td>18 375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spur gear from clutch</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5 742</td>
<td>95.70</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>4594</td>
<td>9187</td>
<td>13 781</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary lubrication pump</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>5 625</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>375</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idler gear</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>5 011</td>
<td>83.52</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>334</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fan gear</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>8 352</td>
<td>139.2</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary lubrication pump</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>5 625</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>375</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic pump</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>7 656</td>
<td>127.6</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>510</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubricant scavenge pump</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5 742</td>
<td>95.70</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idler gear</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4 594</td>
<td>76.56</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>306</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generator drive</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11 983</td>
<td>199.7</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>799</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idler gear</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4 594</td>
<td>76.56</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>306</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary-power-unit gear</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>7 656</td>
<td>127.6</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>510</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Shaft frequencies for sidebands (relative to carrier: sun gear, reduced to 19.95 Hz; planet gears, increased to 12.53 Hz; ring gear, 4.77 Hz).
loop driven by a constant-speed, 600-kW (800-hp) induction motor. The test stand was designed and built by the Boeing-Vertol Company. Speed control is provided by a dynamic (eddy current) clutch (fig. 4). The induction motor drive serves only to supply the mechanical frictional losses in the power loops. A 90° reduction gearbox connects the clutch to an input helical pinion gear located in the power distribution gearbox (fig. 5). The pinion gear in turn drives the large helical combining gear connected to the rotor output shaft and the smaller helical pinion gear on the opposite side. Torque is introduced into both rotating vertical shafts (representing the left and right transmission drive engines) by two two-stage planetary torquers. The upper ring gears of these torquers have external teeth that mesh with dc-motor-driven worm gears. Upon ring gear rotation a controlled torque is introduced into the rotating left and right shafts. Power flows through the input stage of the facility gearbox, through the transmission, and out the rotor shaft and is recirculated through the power distribution gearbox. The returning power flow to the two pinions completes the power flow loop. The transmission tail output shaft and torquer loop are similar (recirculating), but the controlled power flow is reversed to simulate the power requirements of the UTTAS helicopter’s tail rotor.

Immediately above the transmission and connected to its output rotor shaft is the rotor loader assembly. The loader assembly housing is attached to three vertical hydraulic cylinders positioned above it and located at three position points that fall on the apexes of an equilateral triangle. A fourth cylinder is attached and reacts horizontally. Controlling the cylinder pressures produces a bending moment in the transmission’s output shaft to simulate flight lift, two-axis moment, and drag (horizontal) loading. During operational testing the input speeds, torques, and rotor loads are controlled from a remote control room.

The lubrication system of the facility consists of two pumps rated at 0.66 m³/min (173 gal/min) and 690 kPa (100 psi) with oil flowing through three tube-and-shell oil/water heat exchangers located above the sump. The heat exchangers supply temperature-controlled oil to the facility’s gearboxes and torquers. Ten-micron filters are installed in each lubrication output branch. Lubrication oil temperatures and pressures to all facility gearboxes and torquers are connected to limiting warning annunciators. The facility lubrication oil is ASLE grade S-315.

The water system, from the cooling towers, supplies water at 586 kPa (85 psi) for the dynamic clutch and the facility oil/water heat exchangers.

Upon annunciator warning the CO₂ “cell flood” system can be manually activated. Four television cameras allow control room monitoring of the facility during testing. The facility has four flame detector “fire eyes” with fault self-checking circuitry.

The output rotor shaft speed is measured by an inductive speed pickup. Input and tail torques are measured, controlled, and displayed by a telemetry torsion measurement system that uses strain gauge bridges on the rotating shafts. The system was calibrated at torque intervals via the deadweight moment method.

Vibration transducers were commercial piezoelectric accelerometers. All thermocouples were Chromel/Alumel.

The NASA Lewis data acquisition system, Escort II (fig. 6), supports the steady-state experimental facilities at the Lewis Research Center (fig. 6). Escort II has real-time data acquisition and processing capability for up to 256 channels of measurement while maintaining a 2- to 3-sec update rate of facility displays. Mainframe computing capabilities are also available in the off-line batch mode. Data accumulated during testing are analyzed according to computer codes.

**Procedure**

**Efficiency Tests**

The test transmission was insulated to provide an adiabatic enclosure. Ten- to 15-cm (4- to 6-in.) thick blankets of fiberglass covered with an aluminized coated asbestos cloth were placed on the top and bottom of the enclosure. The vertical sides of the transmission’s sheet metal housing were internally lined with 2.5 cm (1 in.) of fiberglass insulation board and blankets. In addition, a series of 5-cm (2-in.) thick removable fiberglass blankets, similar to the top and bottom blankets, were wrapped around the sides of the transmission (fig. 7). In addition, closed-cell insulation foam was pressure fed into the openings of the enclosure required for the input and tail shafts. A close-fitting stainless steel tube over the transmission shafts (used as an insulation foam stop) permitted the nearly complete adiabatic encapsulation. The transmission had minor heat losses through the annulus of the input and tail shafts and by convection and radiation from the housing. Twelve thermocouples were placed on the sheet metal and on top of the upper transmission insulation blankets. One thermocouple was placed in each shaft annulus to allow calculation of all of the heat losses (refs. 26 and 27) from the housing. The flight oil/air heat exchanger (fig. 1), which was close coupled to the transmission, was not enclosed.

The testing comprised varying the output speed and torque under a matrix of set points (table II). Input power levels ranged from 3 to 113 percent of rated power at output rotor speeds of 25, 75, and 100 percent of nominal rated.

For each data point the specified torque and speed were set and the transmission operated at that setting until the close-coupled flight oil/air heat exchanger brought the oil inlet temperature to equilibrium. Data taken included oil inlet and exit temperatures and mass flow rates through the oil/air heat exchanger, the speeds and torques of the input shafts, and the temperatures of the transmission’s sheet metal housing. Transmission power loss, input power, and housing heat loss were determined. The mechanical efficiency of the transmission was calculated by
TABLE II.—YUH-61A Transmission Test Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Escort reading</th>
<th>Speed, percent of rated</th>
<th>Torque, percent of rated</th>
<th>Power, percent of rated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>259</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>261</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>262</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>263</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>264</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>265</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>266</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>267</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>268</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>269</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>271</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>272</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>273</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>274</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>275</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>276</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>277</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>279</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>281</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>282</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>284</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>286</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>287</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>288</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>289</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>291</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>292</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>293</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>294</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>296</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
adjacent to any gear tooth contact patterns (footprints) to be observed were whitened so as to highlight the footprints by light reflectance.

Results and Discussion

Efficiency

For the efficiency test 38 data points were taken for a matrix of nominal percentages of rated speed and input torque (table II). The resulting power levels are also shown.

The transmission's mechanical efficiency was 98.7 percent at its rated power level of 2080 kW (2792 hp) and rated output rotor speed of 286 rpm (fig. 9). This was only 0.1 percent higher than the value listed in reference 25. Figures 9 and 10 show the marked effect of speed on efficiency. Past NASA transmission programs (refs. 4, 5, 11, and 13) have shown that the higher efficiencies at 15 to 80 percent of rated load are a direct result of speed-related losses. Lubricant churning, windage, mesh (elastohydrodynamic, rolling traction), and bearing losses all are functions of speed; so operation at lower speed (for a specific input torque) results in reduced net losses.
and higher efficiency but at the penalty of operation at less than rated power. Sliding losses also significantly increase nearly in direct proportion to power except at very low torque levels (ref. 4). At torque levels less than 15 percent (fig. 11) the sliding losses approached zero and the power loss approached the tare (no load) loss. As the input power was further reduced, it very rapidly approached the almost constant tare loss, thereby resulting in the very rapid decrease in efficiency. Figure 11 also shows the effect of very low torque operation with increasing speed (at approximately 11 percent of nominal rated torque). The major losses at this loading—churning, windage, mesh, and bearing losses—became significantly more dominant as speed was increased. At full power all losses were contributors, their ratios being dependent on the transmission’s design and configuration.

Figures such as 9 to 11 can be useful for comparing the operational integrity of any two similar helicopter transmission designs when used in conjunction with other information such as vibration spectra analyses and wear metal and contamination analyses of the lubricating oil (refs. 28 and 29).

Vibration

Gear vibration is caused by nonuniform action (transmission error) of the gear teeth as they roll through the mesh. Mark (ref. 19) defines mean and random components of transmission error. The mean component, which is the same for all teeth, is due to deviation of the average tooth surface from a perfect involute shape. These deviations are caused by manufacturing errors as well as load-dependent tooth distortion (refs. 19 and 30). The mean component of transmission error produces vibration at the harmonics of the tooth meshing frequency. The random component of transmission error produces vibration at the sideband frequencies. Amplitude modulation (AM) sidebands are excited primarily by eccentricity (runout), which causes the depth of gear tooth engagement to vary as the gears rotate. AM sidebands occur at frequencies equal to the meshing frequency plus or minus multiples of the pinion or gear shaft frequency. Frequency modulation (FM) sidebands are excited by tooth spacing errors or by torsional vibration of the gear shafts (refs. 31 to 34). The combination of AM and FM may produce additional sidebands known as complex intermodulation components (ref. 15).

Any rigid body constrained by elastic supports will have natural modes of vibration at frequencies determined by the effective mass and stiffness properties of the system. A continuous structure will also have an infinite number of flexible body modes (independent of support conditions), each of which has a unique shape and frequency. In practice, however, one need not be concerned with modes of higher frequency than the first two to three harmonics of the highest excitation frequency (ref. 14).

The vibration levels reported by Escort II (converted to g’s rms) are plotted in figure 12. (Readings made within ±5 percent of the same speed and torque conditions were averaged.) This figure shows the effect of speed and torque (load) on vibration levels at various points on the transmission. The vibration level generally increased with speed and to a lesser extent with torque.

Vibration spectra from tape-recorded vibration signals for five accelerometers at six speed/torque combinations are shown in figures 13 to 19. These spectra were taken at speeds of 75 and 100 percent of nominal rated with torque levels of 112, 88, and 58 percent and 113, 88, and 11 percent of nominal rated, respectively. A speed map and zoom spectra are provided for VIB 8 (figs. 16 and 17). Spectra for VIB 6 and 7 are shown in figure 20.

The major frequency components at the full-speed, 113-percent-torque operating condition are summarized in table III. An overall acceleration value from the spectral plots (figs. 13 to 15 and 18 to 20) is shown. This value generally agreed within ±6 percent with the vibration meter reading as recorded by the Escort system (converted to rms). The peak-to-peak (double amplitude) displacement at each of the various frequency components is also given in table III.

Very high vibration levels (30 to 50 g’s rms) were found at three locations on the test transmission: VIB 11, on the aft accessory gearbox; VIB 8, at the right input pinion; and VIB 7, at the forward accessory pinion. All other accelerometers produced much lower vibration levels.

VIB 11.—The VIB 11 (aft accessory gearbox) vibration meter level (fig. 12(h), 99-percent speed, 113-percent torque) was 51.7 g’s. This very high vibration level was due to the several gear meshes (11) located in and near the aft accessory gearbox. The most prominent contributors to the vibration levels were the main bevel, tail drive, and accessory drive meshes (fig. 19). The planet mesh components had a much lower effect. The general trend that larger vibration amplitudes were associated with spiral-bevel gears rather than spur gears in a planetary stage is consistent with prior testing experience involving several transmissions of various sizes (ref. 20). The vibration amplitude at VIB 11 was much more affected by operating speed than by load level. (This was expected since no accessories were mounted and therefore the accessory gears were not loaded.) The spectra taken at 100-percent speed at the various torque levels show much more high-frequency harmonic content than do those taken at 75-percent speed (fig. 19).

VIB 8.—The spectra from VIB 8 at the right input pinion at nominally 100-percent speed (figs. 15(d) to (f)) reveal a band of increased vibration between 4500 and 6000 Hz that contains several prominent response peaks. These peaks were caused by the second harmonic of the main bevel gear meshing (5981 Hz) and by the ninth (4851 Hz) and tenth (5390 Hz) harmonics of the planet gear meshing. These tooth meshing harmonics were excited by the mean component of transmission error. When the operating speed was reduced from the 100-percent (286 rpm) level, these peaks were shifted out of the 4500- to 6000-Hz band (figs. 15(a) to (c)) and greatly reduced in amplitude, but the background vibration level within this band remained higher than outside the band. The band
of increased vibration suggests resonant response. A speed map of VIB 8 (fig. 16) was made at the 11-percent torque condition. The speed map shows a very strong component at the main bevel gear meshing frequency (2991 Hz) as 100-percent speed was reached and a tendency toward many minor vibration peaks within the 4500- to 6000-Hz band at speeds approaching 100 percent. (Compare this speed map with fig. 15(f).)

Reference 25 describes free-free modal testing performed on individual gears with no bearings, spacers, etc., installed. The results are reported in the form of Campbell diagrams. For the input pinions resonances were found at 5500 Hz (pinion shaft) and at 5800/6200 Hz (two-dimensional gear ring at 100-percent speed). Free-free modal testing will detect flexible body modes but not rigid body modes in which the pinion and gear move relative to their supports and to each other. Therefore additional modes may be present. No damping rings were installed on the input pinions (ref. 25) because none of the modes found coincided with the first harmonic of any tooth meshing frequency. The modal testing was not performed with the pinion installed with bearings, etc., in the transmission case. However, reference 14 reports less than a 10-percent increase in modal frequencies between free and installed states.

The sidebands associated with gear meshing frequency measured at VIB 8 (fig. 17) are shown as zoom spectra on a logarithmic amplitude scale with a frequency resolution of 0.78 Hz. The horizontal line at 0 dB indicates the 1.0-g reference level. (Compare the discrete frequency lines of fig. 17 with those of fig. 15(d).) The presence of gear shaft runout would be indicated by strong sidebands, but here the sidebands are at least 20 dB lower than the associated gear mesh frequency. These low levels indicate very little shaft runout in the right input pinion and gear.

The right input pinion produced consistently higher vibration (typically 50 percent higher g-levels) than the identical left input pinion throughout the testing sequence. The cause for this difference is suspected to be transmission error combined with an undamped resonance condition at the right input pinion (see the section Post-Test Inspection). The left input vibration levels (VIB 2 and VIB 9, figs. 13 and 18) were also less torque dependent (figs. 12(a) and (g)) and show much less evidence of resonance.

Of the 11 accelerometers the three on the transmission mounting arms produced similar data, and therefore only one of these (VIB 3) is reported in table III. Another was mounted on the split line between the upper and lower housings to monitor ring gear vibration levels. Its values were consistently low (less than 2 g's rms) and are not further reported.

VIB 7.—The forward accessory pinion (VIB 7, figs. 12(e) and 20(a)) showed a strong 30.9-g component in its spectrum at 2991 Hz. This is the common meshing frequency (at 99 percent speed) of the four pinions that mesh with the main bevel gear (two input pinions and two accessory pinions). In the test transmission the forward accessory pinion operated without load because the transmission was tested with no forward accessory gearbox.

VIB 5/6.—The vibration signals measured on the rotor housing near the output bearing (VIB 5 and VIB 6, figs. 14 and 20(a)) were dominated by planet meshing (i.e., sun/planet and planet/ring) frequencies. The second planet harmonic was relatively prominent in most of these spectra. Planet harmonics were greatly diminished at the low torque operating conditions, especially at the 99-percent speed and 11-percent torque of VIB 5 (fig. 14(f)). The torque dependence of the vibration level shows clearly in figures 12(c) and (d) (VIB 5 and VIB 6). Torque dependence suggests that tooth deflection is a major contributor to transmission error for the planetary gears. Houser (ref. 30) discusses the effect of transmission error on the compliance (deflection) of gear teeth. The first two planet mesh harmonics of VIB 6 are shown at expanded scale in figure 20(a) to display the sideband structure. Sidebands were distributed at the planet passing frequency (19 Hz) about the planet meshing harmonics. The amplitude of the sidebands was not more than 20 percent of the associated harmonic frequency. This suggests that the planet gears had little runout. The sidebands are distributed in a nonsymmetrical pattern about the tooth meshing frequency. The distortion effects of ring gear deflection acting at planet passing would produce a symmetrical pattern of tooth positioning errors (frequency modulation) plus a cyclic variation in the depth of gear tooth engagement (amplitude modulation). The two types of modulation acting together produce the typical nonsymmetrical pattern (ref. 15).

Additional sources of asymmetry include multiple mesh contact (due to the presence of several planets) and the smoothing effect of multiple tooth contact as vibration energy is transmitted through the gear mesh into the surrounding structure. A mathematical treatment of gear-transmission-error (in the frequency domain) mesh transfer functions appears in reference 19. For a discussion on planet passing vibration, see reference 32.

Vibration frequencies attributable to rolling-element bearings have not been identified. Minor bearing faults are not apparent in transmission gearbox spectra because of the overall dominance of gear meshing signals. Bearing faults can be difficult to detect unless transducers are mounted directly on bearing races (refs. 34 and 35).

Post-Test Inspection

The transmission was inspected after 50 hr of testing. The transmission oil particulate analysis revealed no significant increase in contaminant level. Oil system component surface integrities were acceptable. The general overall appearance of the gears and bearings was indicative of moderate to full loading. Light wearing of the black oxide coating on the load surfaces of the gears revealed the contact pattern. No spalling was observed. In figures 21 to 25 the adjacent tooth was painted white to highlight the gear tooth contact area by light reflectance.

The right input spiral-bevel pinion gear (fig. 21) had poor tooth contact with its mating bevel gear. The load-side contact
pattern (footprint) was positioned approximately normally at the gear tooth heel, but the contact area dropped below the pitch diameter and to near line contact at the toe. The poor contact pattern is suspected to be a result of angular misalignment. Poor tooth contact between pinion and gear is expected to cause tooth positioning errors (the mean component of transmission error) as the gear tooth rolls through the mesh. Tooth positioning errors excite harmonics of the tooth mesh frequency, and this combined with the resonance band observed (4500 to 6000 Hz, see VIB 8) is indicative of the cause of the high vibration levels measured at the right input pinion. The main bevel gear (fig. 22) as well as the left input pinion gear showed acceptable contact patterns. On the planetary stage (fig. 23) the addendum portion of the sun gear showed scuffing under strong, low-incident side lighting, indicating tip interference. The mottled appearance of the addendum also shows wear degradation of the surface, indicating a need for more tip relief. This degradation is consistent with the noted vibration harmonic sideband structure of VIB 6. One of the four planet gears (fig. 24) displayed light tooth addendum (tip) scuffing and a well-positioned contact area footprint. The footprint of the ring gear (fig. 25) displayed only loading effects.

The bearings in the main power flow path (roller, tapered roller, and ball) were visually inspected. There were no significant flaws that could contribute to the operational results reported herein.

**Facility**

The NASA Lewis 2240-kW (3000-hp) transmission test facility was initially operated at power levels to 2600 kW (3500 hp) so as to qualify all systems for testing advanced helicopter transmissions. The facility can be modified to allow testing of transmissions similar in power level but with different input and tail shaft configurations. The input configurations can range from opposite facing (lateral) to two parallel fore-aft facing. The input speed range is 7000 to 22 000 rpm with facility gearbox modifications (fig. 1).

The remote control room contains minicomputer and mainframe data acquisition and reduction capabilities. During full-scale performance testing of advanced helicopter transmissions the state-of-the-art instrumentation can also obtain data on the condition of internal components and subsystems. The data will allow analytical computer code verifications relating to increasing both the efficiency and the MTBUR and P/W performance indices of helicopter transmissions.

**Summary of Results**

A helicopter transmission that was considered for the Army's Utility Tactical Transport Attack System (UTTAS) was tested in the NASA Lewis 2240-kW (3000-hp) test facility to obtain baseline efficiency and vibration data for use as a data base for evaluating similar-power-class transmissions.

The following results were obtained:

1. The mechanical efficiency of the YUH-61A helicopter transmission, when tested at its rated power level of 2080 kW (2792 hp), was 98.7 percent.

2. At 113 percent of nominal rated torque and 100 percent of nominal rated speed, most of the vibration meter acceleration levels ranged from 3 to 25 g's rms.

3. For the right input the vibration meter level was 39 g's rms at 113 percent of nominal rated power, 56 percent higher than for the left input. Teardown inspection revealed poor tooth contact of the right spiral-bevel pinion gear.

4. The highest vibration level, 52 g's rms, was measured at the aft accessory gearbox.

5. The facility was operated and qualified for testing to 2600 kW (3500 hp).
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Figure 2.—Side view of YUH-61A transmission.

Figure 3.—Aft view of YUH-61A transmission.
Figure 4.—NASA Lewis 2240-kW (3000-hp) transmission facility.
Figure 5.—Schematic of helicopter transmission test stand.

Figure 6.—Escort II data acquisition system.
Figure 7.—Underside of YUH-61A transmission showing insulated side blanket and instrumentation.

Figure 8.—Vibration measurement system.
Figure 9.—Mechanical efficiency of YUH–61A as function of input power.

Figure 10.—Mechanical efficiency of YUH–61A as function of input torque.

Figure 11.—Mechanical efficiency of YUH–61A as function of rotor speed.
(a) VIB 2, left input, horizontal.
(b) VIB 3, right rear mounting arm.
(c) VIB 5, rotor housing, -45°.
(d) VIB 6, rotor housing, horizontal.
(e) VIB 7, forward accessory pinion.
(f) VIB 8, right input pinion.
(g) VIB 9, left input pinion.
(h) VIB 11, aft accessory drive case.

Figure 12.—Vibration amplitude as function of rotor speed and torque (see figs. 1 to 3 for accelerometer locations).
Figure 13.—Vibration spectra for VIB 2 (left input horizontal).
Figure 14.—Vibration spectra for VIB 5 (rotor housing, 45°).

(a) Rotor speed, 73 percent nominal rated; torque, 112 percent of nominal rated.
(b) Rotor speed, 73 percent nominal rated; torque, 88 percent of nominal rated.
(c) Rotor speed, 76 percent nominal rated; torque, 58 percent of nominal rated.
(d) Rotor speed, 99 percent nominal rated; torque, 113 percent of nominal rated.
(e) Rotor speed, 100 percent nominal rated; torque, 88 percent of nominal rated.
(f) Rotor speed, 99 percent nominal rated; torque, 11 percent of nominal rated.
(a) Rotor speed, 73 percent nominal rated; torque, 112 percent of nominal rated.
(b) Rotor speed, 73 percent nominal rated; torque, 88 percent of nominal rated.
(c) Rotor speed, 76 percent nominal rated; torque, 58 percent of nominal rated.
(d) Rotor speed, 99 percent nominal rated; torque, 113 percent of nominal rated.
(e) Rotor speed, 100 percent nominal rated; torque, 88 percent of nominal rated.
(f) Rotor speed, 99 percent nominal rated; torque, 11 percent of nominal rated.

Figure 15.—Vibration spectra for VIB 8 (right input pinion).
Figure 16.—Speed map for VIB 8 (right input pinion). Torque, 11 percent of rated.

Figure 17.—Zoom spectra showing sideband structure for VIB 8 (right input pinion). Rotor speed, 99 percent of rated; torque, 113 percent of rated.
(a) Rotor speed, 73 percent nominal rated; torque, 112 percent of nominal rated.
(b) Rotor speed, 73 percent nominal rated; torque, 88 percent of nominal rated.
(c) Rotor speed, 76 percent nominal rated; torque, 58 percent of nominal rated.
(d) Rotor speed, 99 percent nominal rated; torque, 113 percent of nominal rated.
(e) Rotor speed, 100 percent nominal rated; torque, 88 percent of nominal rated.
(f) Rotor speed, 99 percent nominal rated; torque, 11 percent of nominal rated.

Figure 18.—Vibration spectra for VIB 9 (left input pinion).
Figure 19.—Vibration spectra for VIB 11 (aft accessory drive case).

(a) Rotor speed, 73 percent nominal rated; torque, 112 percent of nominal rated.
(b) Rotor speed, 73 percent nominal rated; torque, 88 percent of nominal rated.
(c) Rotor speed, 76 percent nominal rated; torque, 88 percent of nominal rated.
(d) Rotor speed, 99 percent nominal rated; torque, 113 percent of nominal rated.
(e) Rotor speed, 100 percent nominal rated; torque, 88 percent of nominal rated.
(f) Rotor speed, 99 percent nominal rated; torque, 11 percent of nominal rated.
Figure 20.—Vibration spectra for various locations. Rotor speed, 99 percent of rated; torque, 113 percent of rated.

(a) VIB 6, rotor housing, horizontal.
(b) First two planetary harmonics from (a).
(c) VIB 7, forward accessory pinion.
(d) VIB 3 right rear mounting arm.
Figure 21.—Right input spiral-bevel pinion gear.

Figure 22.—Main bevel gear.
Figure 23.—Main-bevel sun gear.

Figure 24.—Planet gear and bearing.
Figure 25.—Ring gear.
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