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There are two projects running concurrently, one dealing with solar prediction and the second is developing an intelligent machine.

The solar prediction program is aimed at reducing or eliminating the need to thoroughly understand the process previously developed and to still be able to produce a prediction. Substantial progress has been made in identifying the procedures to be coded as well as testing some of the presently coded work.

The second project involves work on developing ideas and software that should result in a machine capable of learning as well as carrying on an intelligent conversation over a wide range of topics. The underlying idea is to use primitive ideas and construct higher order ideas from these, which can then be easily related to one to another.

NASA Colleague: Robert T. Savely  FM7 X4751
There are two projects being developed concurrently, the first deals with the prediction of solar activity as measured by sunspot numbers and the second project pertains to the development of an intelligent machine.

The method developed in 1978-79 for predicting monthly mean sunspot numbers\(^1\) has been refined in order to reduce the amount of time and expertise required to produce an eight to ten year prediction of monthly mean sunspot numbers. The availability of such predictions are clearly useful for well known technical reasons such as communications and orbit determination.

The refined method was developed with the aid of the work of J. Parker and M. Boarnet that provided an environment in which to ferret out the step by step procedure that a person generating a prediction actually does, and to then capture this procedure in code, thus removing the requirement that a person thoroughly understand the process before attempting to generate a prediction.
Mr. J. Parker created LISP code to perform the extensive calculations required. His work adds several enhancements to the original FORTRAN code in that it allows maximum use of the available data at each step in the computations.

Mr. M. Boarnet then built an interface that provides an easily operated interactive interface as well as graphics displays, both of which were essential in the development work leading to the present procedure. The interface allows the operator to control all essential parameters that guide the calculations needed to generate the prediction.

It was found that the implementation on the SYMBOLICS machine required excessive machine time, on the order of fifty hours. To reduce this time by a substantial amount, the calculations will be done on the FLEX to gain from the advantages of parallel processing. The code is being written in C by K. Fields for the FLEX, who has added enhancements to the previously written code, which should result in shorter execution time on the order of four to five hours. The results of the computations will then be transferred to the SYMBOLICS for display.

When the process is fully operational on the FLEX, then the daily sunspot prediction will be tried. This would involve a thirty fold increase in data to be processed. However, it is planned that the long term monthly mean prediction would have been generated, and fine grain daily prediction will then be made, and should require only a small amount of additional time on the order of four hours.

The underlying support for this method is the anharmonic technique of A. K. Paul which provides us with a tool to search for and
extract particular sine functions from the solar data.

The search is restricted to sine functions i.e., frequencies, amplitudes and phases, that have periods between six months and forty years and amplitudes greater than some preset minimum. There is no attempt to completely decompose the data set into a sum of sine functions, but rather to find approximately thirty sine functions such that the sum of those found, when subtracted from the original data set, results in a "smooth" curve that can be extrapolated. After the extrapolation is completed the subtracted sine functions are added back, resulting in the original data set as well as the predicted values.

The second project concerns the work of developing ideas and code that should result in a machine capable of learning as well as carrying on an intelligent conversation. The code is being written in COMMON LISP by L. Wang on the SYMBOLICS.

Preliminary coding to find first and second level relationships has been written. The underlying notion that drives this development is that of primitive ideas. Ideas contained in the words such as toward, hold, place, time, are examples of primitive ideas. The number of words in the list of primitives is about thirty five, and it is from this short list that all the ideas are formed. The ideas form patterns that are then compared and related.

The next part to be encoded deals with analogies that should produce "new" ideas. The machine will not store results given in response to statements but each time will reconstruct anew the proper response.
If the machine does not understand a statement given to it it will inquire as to the meaning of the word or words that it does not recognize. The response to a machine's inquiry will be stored and internally related to previously understood ideas. The new ideas given will then be used to respond to the initial statement. In addition, when a statement is given to the machine the machine will then, unbeknownst to the person communicating with the machine, form a view of all the ideas that relate to the subjects in the first statement, so that if additional statements that deal with ideas similar to those initially given to the machine are presented to the machine it will be able to respond quickly.

There are many levels within the machine, the first consists of the ideas closely and directly related to a statement given the machine, the second level consists of all ideas directly related to content of the statement, the third level consists of all ideas related to the ideas in the second level. The higher levels are formed in a similar fashion. The forming of the first two levels is automatic. The machine's response to the initial statement is usually formed from the ideas found in the first two levels. If there is insufficient information to respond, then the machine proceeds to higher levels in its search for a response. The various ideas in the various levels are retained in preparation for expected statements related to the first statement. If a second statement that is related to the first statement is given to the machine it may cause the machine to enlarge the pool of ideas in all levels. However, if the second statement is on an entirely different topic, then the machine will form new first, and second levels.
This report is a brief description of the development, and is only the start of a much more complex involvement with machines that should result in a machine that will converse in a "natural" manner with any person.
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ABSTRACT

Reaction rate data have been collected for chemical reactions occurring at high temperatures during reentry of space vehicles. Large differences in reaction rate data are reported by various authors in the literature. The principle of detailed balancing is used in modeling kinetics of chemical reactions at high temperatures. Although this principle does not hold for certain transient or incubation times in the initial phase of the reaction, it does seem to be valid for the rates of internal energy transitions that occur within molecules and atoms. That is, for every rate of transition within the internal energy states of atoms or molecules, there is an inverse rate that is related through an equilibrium expression involving the energy difference of the transition. Future goals include theoretical modeling to include internal energy transitions into overall rate expressions that can then be used to predict radiation heating effects for reentry vehicles under non-equilibrium conditions.
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INTRODUCTION

Data from Space Shuttle flights and experimental work indicate that non-equilibrium radiation due to chemical non-equilibrium in the shock layer of reentry vehicles may result in a significant added heat load.\(^{(1,2,3)}\) For OTV and AOTV with higher reentry velocities, these non-equilibrium effects may be even more significant.\(^{(4)}\)

This work is a continuation of work from last summer which will focus in on reaction rate data reported in the literature and also consider further the principle of detailed balancing as applied to transitions within the internal energy states of molecules.

CHEMICAL REACTION RATES

At an altitude of 80-100 kilometers, the reactant substances in the atmosphere include \(N, O, N, O, NO,\) various positive ions and electrons. However, with these few reactants, a multitude of reactions with various interdependent relationships could possibly occur upon the initiation of a shock wave by a reentry vehicle. The low pressure at these altitudes and the high energy input due to the shock wave from Mach 20-35 results in a region of chemical non-equilibrium between the reentry vehicle's surface and the shock front. This chemical non-
equilibrium is not just due to the progress of reactions from reactants to products in the ordinary sense of chemical reactions. A more significant and complicating factor is that internal energies of atoms and molecules also take a finite time to change with the rapid input of energy. During this time of internal energy equilibration, the ordinary rate constant of a chemical reaction is not definable. This time of internal energy equilibration has been referred to in the literature as an incubation time or a pre-quasistationary time. (5,6,7)

The chemical reactions that could occur are many and some of the representative ones are given on the next page.

Carlson and Rieper (8) considered only the first twelve reactions given on the next page in their investigations on medium shock waves (5-7 km/sec). Their numerical predictions agreed with their experimental data on the electron temperature variation in these shock waves.
\[ \begin{align*}
o_2 + o & \rightarrow 2o + o \\
o_2 + o_2 & \rightarrow 2o + o_2 \\
o_2 + m & \rightarrow 2o + m \\
n_2 + o & \rightarrow no + n \\
o_2 + n & \rightarrow no + o \\
n + o & \rightarrow no^+ + e \\
 & \rightarrow m + n + o + m \\
n + n & \rightarrow n_2^+ + e \\
o + o & \rightarrow o_2^+ + e \\
n_2 + n_2 & \rightarrow 2n + n_2 \\
n_2 + n & \rightarrow 2n + n \\
n_2 + m & \rightarrow 2n + m \\
 & \rightarrow no + n + o_2 \\
o + o_2^+ & \rightarrow o_2 + o^+ \\
n_2 + n^+ & \rightarrow n + n_2^+ \\
o + no^+ & \rightarrow no + o^+ \\
n_2 + o_2^+ & \rightarrow o + n_2^+ \\
n + no^+ & \rightarrow no + n^+ \\
o_2 + no^+ & \rightarrow no + o_2^+ \\
o + no_2^+ & \rightarrow o_2 + n^+ \\
 & \rightarrow no^+ + o + n_2^+ \\
o + e & \rightarrow o^+ + e + e \\
n + e & \rightarrow n^+ + e + e \\
\end{align*} \]
Chul Park\(^{(9,10)}\) has used all of the above reactions in a computer program (NEQAIR) to calculate the extent of reactions and radiation in the non-equilibrium zone for a shock wave speed of 10 km/sec. At this higher shock speed, calculated results did not fit the limited experimental data from shock tube experiments.

There are still discrepancies in the literature for reaction rate data as reported by various authors. Some of these are given in Table 1 below.

Some of these differences in reaction rate data are small in magnitude and would not affect the results of computations drastically. However, many of the reactions have orders of magnitude differences in the rate constants and also differences in the temperature dependency of the reactions. These include the nitrogen, oxygen and nitric oxide dissociations as well as the impact ionization reactions.

Further work on the effect of varying the rate constants upon the output of computer programs would seem to be a worthwhile effort. Better agreement with experimental data may be obtained by adjusting rate parameters within the computer program itself.
Table 1. Reaction Rate Data

Rate Constant, \( k = A \cdot T^s \cdot e^{-\frac{B}{T}} \) (cc/mole-sec)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>s</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{N}_2 + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{N} + \text{N} + \text{N}_2 )</td>
<td>3.7(21)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>113,200</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.7(17)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>113,200</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.3(29)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>113,200</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{N}_2 + \text{N} \rightarrow \text{N} + \text{N} + \text{N} )</td>
<td>1.1(22)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>113,200</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.1(22)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>113,200</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.5(25)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>113,200</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O} + \text{O} + \text{O}_2 )</td>
<td>2.8(19)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>59,500</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.2(19)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>59,500</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.2(24)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>59,500</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O}_2 + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{O} + \text{O} + \text{O} )</td>
<td>8.3(19)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>59,500</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0(19)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>59,500</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.0(18)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>59,500</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{N}_2 + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{N} )</td>
<td>3.2(13)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>37,700</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.3(13)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>38,000</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.8(14)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>38,300</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{NO} + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{N} + \text{O} + \text{M} )</td>
<td>2.3(17)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>75,500</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M = O or N)</td>
<td>3.9(20)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>75,500</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.4(14)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>74,600</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.2(15)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>75,800</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{NO} + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{N} + \text{O} + \text{M} )</td>
<td>4.6(17)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>75,500</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M = O, N or NO)</td>
<td>7.8(20)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>75,500</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0(14)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>76,400</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.2(15)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>75,800</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{N} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{NO}^+ + \text{e} )</td>
<td>1.5(10)</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.4(6)</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>31,900</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0(13)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>32,500</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{N} + \text{N} \rightarrow \text{N}_2^+ + \text{e} )</td>
<td>1.8(10)</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>67,500</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.4(13)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>67,800</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(5.4 \times 10^{17} \times (1 + 1.3 \times 10^{-1} \times T + 3.3 \times 10^{-2} \times T^{-1}) \times e^{-}\frac{27300}{T} )</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{O}_2^+ + \text{e} )</td>
<td>3.9(10)</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>80,600</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.6(17)</td>
<td>-0.98</td>
<td>80,800</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.9 \times 10^{17} \times (1 + 7.5 \times 10^{-1} \times T + 2.2 \times 10^{-2} \times T^{-1}) \times e^{-}\frac{27300}{T} )</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DETAILED BALANCING PRINCIPLE

For ordinary, one-step chemical reactions, the principle of detailed balancing can be shown to follow from equilibrium relationships. Consider the reaction

\[ AB + M \xrightleftharpoons[k_d][k_r] A + B + M \]

at equilibrium, \( k_d (AB)(M) = k_r (A)(B)(M) \)

and therefore \( k_d / k_r = (A)(B)/(AB) = K \), the equilibrium constant.

Even away from equilibrium, \( k_d / k_r = K \) because the \( K \)'s do not depend upon concentrations.

Usually \( k_d \) and \( k_r \) are not both available from experimental data. Especially at high temperatures, \( k_r \) is expressed as \( k_d / K \) in computer programs and calculations involving these rates of reactions.

Work last summer led to the conclusion that this relationship, \( k_d / k_r = K \), also called the principle of detailed balancing, does not hold under certain conditions.

If a large, sudden change in temperature occurs in a system, such as that generated by a high energy shock wave, then the equilibration of energy into the internal states will take a finite time and this time may become comparable to the reaction time of the system. When this occurs, the ordinary chemical rate constant is not well defined since
it usually represents an average rate of reaction over many individual rates from internal energy states. There is then an incubation time before the reaction rate reaches a steady-state or defined value. During this incubation time, the principle of detailed balancing does not hold for overall chemical reaction rates. (7)

During the incubation time, internal energy transitions become important and the approach to considering all of these transitions is summarized in the "Master Equation Approach" which is shown diagramatically below.

\[
\frac{d[AB_i]}{dt} = \sum_j k_{ij} [AB_j] - \sum_j k_{ji} [M][AB_i] - k_i[AB_i] + K_i[A][B]
\]
This equation could be solved if all $k_{ij}$, $k_{ji}$, $k_i$ and $k_j$ are known. Approximations to this equation have been tried using detailed balancing for the internal energy transitions ($k_{ij}$ and $k_{ji}$), and also assuming a harmonic oscillator. This development is shown in several references such as "Introduction to Physical Gas Dynamics" by Vincenti and Kruger (18). This particular development is for vibration levels only and is briefly summarized below.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{k_{ij}}{k_{ij}'} &= \frac{[AB]_j}{[AB]_j} = K = e^{-\frac{(E_j-E_i)}{KT}} = e^{-\frac{h\nu}{KT}} \\
\therefore \quad \frac{k_{ij}'}{k_{ij}} &= e^{-\frac{h\nu}{KT}} \\
k_{ij} &= i \cdot k_{i,o}, \quad i - j = 1 \\
k_{i,o} &= \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{h\nu}{KT}}}{\tau} \\
\tau &= \text{RELAXATION TIME}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\frac{d[AB_j]}{dt} = k_{j,O} \left[ -i[AB_j] + (i+1)[AB_{j+1}] + e^{\frac{-h\nu}{KT}}(-i+1)[AB_i] + i[AB_{i-1}] \right]
\]

\text{IN TERMS OF ENERGY}

\[
\frac{dE_v}{dt} = k_{j,O} \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{h\nu}{KT}} \right) \left[ \frac{[AB]h\nu}{e^{\frac{h\nu}{KT}}-1} - E_v \right] = \frac{E^* - E_v}{\tau} \\
[AB] = \sum [AB_j] \quad E_v^* = \text{EQUILIBRIUM ENERGY}
\]
Probably the best assumption in this development is the principle of detailed balancing for the internal energy states. At the molecular level, where elementary collisions cause internal energy transitions, the exchange from translational to vibrational energy or vice-versa in a collisional process should be reversible in time by energy conservation principles. Thus there is no reason to suspect the failing of the principle of detailed balancing at this elementary level unless some other energy equilibration procedure is occurring that is not known. Rotational energies are lower than vibrational energies, but those transitions are assumed to occur very quickly even at low pressures so that rotational energies and translational energies are very quickly equilibrated. The effect of anharmonicity of the vibrational mode within the molecule is an effect that should be considered. This effect becomes more pronounced at higher energies and it is these higher energies that are important for dissociation.

Thus the development of the Master Equation using detailed balancing and harmonic oscillator assumptions is a valid first approximation to calculation of rates of reaction in high energy shock waves. However, other approaches are also presently being worked on and these are summarized below.
OTHER APPROACHES TO NON-EQUILIBRIUM REACTION RATES

Dove, et al have calculated the effects of coupling for the internal modes upon the relaxation of internal energies for hydrogen. (19) They concluded that at high rotational energies ($J \geq 10$), coupling between translational, vibrational and rotational becomes almost as significant as translational-rotational coupling and is greater than translational-vibrational coupling. Thus at high rotational energies, equilibration in the internal energies occurs through combined rotational-vibrational energy level changes.

Koshi has calculated the effect of vibrational-dissociation and dissociation-vibrational coupling (CVDV effect) and compared it with experimental data on NO dissociation. (15) His agreement is very good and indicates that as the translational temperature increases, the vibrational temperature lags behind and seems to approach a limit in the range of 5000K even though the translational temperature is above 7500K. The CVDV effect is due to the reduction of the dissociation rate due to the lack of vibrational equilibration within the molecule and also the coupled effect of a slower vibrational equilibration time due to dissociation removing energy from the upper vibrational levels and thus depleting them too rapidly.
This could result in a "bottle-neck" effect. That is, with a very rapid and high energy input, such as that from a high energy shock wave, the dissociation rate is limited by internal energy transitions within vibrational levels and not by the dissociation of the molecule from highly excited vibrational energies into two atoms.

Carlson compared experimental results with calculations on nitrogen in moderate shock waves studies and included the CVDV effect as well as electronic-vibrational coupling. His calculated electronic temperature and the measured values agreed well within experimental error for this series of experiments. (8)

Finally, Chul Park has worked extensively on this problem and has written a computer program for predicting the non-equilibrium radiation in a shock front using available rate data. (9, 10) His approach utilizes a two or three temperature model assuming different temperatures for different internal modes of energy and also a translational temperature. For some reactions, he uses the translational temperature, for some, the vibrational temperature and for others, the electronic temperature. Although this is an interesting approach, the calculated distances in the shock wave for peak and equilibrium temperatures, do not agree with experimental data.
SUMMARY

The most significant finding this summer was that there are large differences in important reaction rates as reported in the literature by various authors. These large differences could have significant effects on any computer programs or calculations of chemically reacting systems utilized in shock wave heat load predictions. It would be worthwhile to try some of these other rates in present computer programs and check the results with known data. The principle of detailed balancing is used widely in calculations of reaction rates. It is not valid during short incubation times in high energy shock waves in terms of the overall dissociation or recombination rate expressions. It does appear to be valid at the micro energy level concerning transitions within molecules. These transitions occur when translational energy is exchanged for vibrational energy in a collision for example. However, another significant find this summer is that in collisional processes, multi-jump transitions in vibrational levels can and do occur. This does not violate the law of detailed balancing, but makes it more complicated to take this into account.

Further refinements in calculation on these high energy reactions would benefit from the use of coupling effects.
such as some of those mentioned in this paper. These include translation-vibration-rotational coupling, electronic-vibrational coupling and vibration-dissociation, dissociation-vibration coupling.

Future investigations should include models with limiting expressions such as the vibrational temperature reaching a limit and thus simplify the overall rate expressions. This may or may not occur within a time period that is insignificant in high energy shock waves. Approaches utilizing partition functions and activated complex theory also should be investigated. One final approach for future work would include the information theory approach by such authors as Levine to predict products of chemical reactions on the basis of excess entropy. (20)
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Abstract

Eight size separates of grains from the Fayetteville meteorite ranging from less than 20 microns to greater than .1 millimeter are being analyzed for their rare gas elemental and isotopic composition. At this time measurements on five of the samples have been performed. All five reveal a mixture of solar, planetary, cosmic ray produced and radiogenic gases. The solar component is of particular interest since it suggest that the meteorite may represent a fragment of an ancient protoplanetary regolith which was exposed to the solar wind. Solar wind elements are implanted in the outer few hundred Angstroms of exposed grains and are therefore expected to be surface correlated. At present the data do not suggest that such a correlation exists, but the final conclusion must await further analyses and data reduction.
1. Introduction

Elemental and isotopic studies of meteoritic and lunar material have been extensively used to characterize the physical and chemical environment in which these materials formed and currently exist. Rare gases are particularly attractive for this purpose because their low abundance allows for the effects of processes such as cosmic ray induced nuclear reactions and radioactive decay to be detected easily above the trapped primordial gas background. The inert character and wide mass range of these gases make them particularly useful monitors of the thermal history of planetary materials. The ages and duration of these events may be determined from those rare gases that are products of nuclear reactions and radioactive decay as well.
Before the Apollo program, meteorites provided the most important source of information on the nature of solids in the extraterrestrial environment, both past and present. Unlike the Earth, most meteorites show little evidence for having experienced severe thermal metamorphism and therefore become useful objects of study for the earliest events in the solar system, including the formation itself. The Apollo missions added abundant information about lunar materials to the realm of our knowledge regarding extraterrestrial matter. Since the moon has at present no magnetic field, it is a passive object to solar wind, solar flares, and galactic cosmic rays and thus is a good target for recording these radiations in the grains at its surface. A very large database for this record now exists from laboratory measurements made on lunar soil samples. Lunar soils have proven to be particularly useful in providing well-defined compositions for solar wind implanted particles. Since solar wind elements have typical energies of 1 keV/nucleon, they are implanted in the outer few hundred Angstroms of exposed grains and are therefore
expected to be surface correlated. Analyses of size fractions of lunar soils show such a correlation exist for solar wind implants and these surface correlated elements have been used to define the solar wind composition albeit fractionated by a large number of regolith processes (see for example [1]).

One of the goals of lunar soil studies has been to determine the composition of the ancient solar wind. Indeed such information would not only aid in the understanding of the behavior of the sun at the time of solar system formation 4.5 billion years ago, but it would enhance our knowledge of stellar processes in general. The soil studies have failed to provide the answer to this question since the history of the regolith of the moon is extremely complex. Down to a depth of greater than a meter evidence exist for grains having been exposed to the solar wind, indicating that they had resided at the very surface at one time [2]. There has not been any satisfactory means found thus far, however, for determining when and
how long an individual grain was at the very surface.

To address the problem of the ancient solar wind interest has turned once again to meteorites. Some meteorites may represent the surface of their parent body which apparently broke up very early in the history of the solar system. These "regolith" meteorites have probably recorded an earlier solar wind. Rare gas analyses of these meteorites have revealed that they are "gas-rich" compared to others and that the composition is both elementally and isotopically distinct from other meteorites as well [3]. Lunar samples confirmed the long standing suspicion that a large portion of the gas in these objects is from the solar wind.

For the aforementioned reasons we have undertaken the study of the Fayetteville meteorite, the most gas rich of this class of meteorites. Our goal was to carry out rare gas analyses of several size separates of a disaggregated portion of this meteorite to determine if a surface correlation exists and better define the composition of this gas. A large number of rare gas analyses have been
performed on Fayetteville, but none were done on size fractions from a disaggregated sample [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11,]. It is indeed a challenging task to disaggregate a compacted meteorite into its constituent grains and the meaningfulness of the rare gas data depends in part on the success of that experiment. It represents an important first step for expanding our understanding of parent body regoliths and their record of the ancient solar wind.

2. Experimental

Prior to rare gas analyses 561 mg of the Fayetteville meteorite was disrupted by D. McKay and co-workers with a freeze-thaw technique using alternating cycles of liquid nitrogen and hot water baths. A total of 24,440 cycles taking 64.5 days were performed before stopping the procedure because of Fe oxidation. Disaggregation was not complete. Following this procedure the disrupted portion was sieved into seven size fractions ranging from less than 20 microns to 1 mm in diameter.

Small masses of each size fraction were wrapped
in aluminum foil "boats" and loaded in the ultrahigh vacuum system (<10E-8 mmHg) gas extraction system. Gas extraction was performed by heating the sample at 1550 C in an RF induction furnace. The evolved gas was cleaned with a hot Ti-getter and two Zr-getters. Separation of lighter from heavier gases was accomplished through "freezing" gases on a charcoal finger with liquid nitrogen (Ar, Kr, Xe bound; He and Ne measured), liquid nitrogen+isobutonal (Ar freed, some Kr), and liquid nitrogen+hexonal (Kr freed, some Xe). Xe was released from the charcoal finger with hot water.

The separated gases are admitted through a gas inlet valve to a static mode rare gas mass spectrometer. The sensitivities for He, Ne, Ar are of the order of magnitude 10E-10 cc/mv and 10E-13 cc/mv for Kr and Xe when the accelerating voltage, ionization potential, electron current, magnetic field, and electrometer resistance are appropriately set. The sensitivities are accurately determined using air pipettes (1/10th cc) as standards. Sample gas isotopes were measured by computer driven magnetic field
changes (peak jumping) 10 - 15 times and
extrapolation of peak values and isotopic ratios to
the time of gas inlet was done by fitting the data to
a fourth order polynomial.

3. Results and Discussion

The rare gas concentrations of five of a total
eight samples (including 1 undisrupted sample)
measured thus far are listed in Table 1. Elemental
ratios of the same are given in Table 2 and isotopic
ratios may be found in Tables 3 - 5. It is clear from
all of these data that there is no correlated
variation with the diameter of the grains obtained
through the freeze - thaw technique. Our results
agree well with previous measurements of bulk samples
of Fayetteville suggesting that the failing
correlation was not the result of an experimental
mishap.

The failure to obtain a correlation of rare gas
contents with grain size may be due to the disruption
technique. It is not absolutely certain that any grain
size fraction is made up of completely disaggregated
material. Grains may have broken across their boundaries as well. This technique must be compared with others before one can relate these results to grains as they were in the regolith.

4. Conclusions

It should not be concluded at this stage that the solar wind surface correlation never existed in the regolith of the Fayetteville parent body. One should first appeal to other methods of extracting this information such as disruption by sonification or stepwise heating. The former method will call for additional rare gas measurements of grain size separates. The latter method allows for measurement of bulk sample but requires many temperature steps. In this procedure advantage is taken of the different activation energies of rare gas components in meteoritic minerals. Both of these methods will be investigated in the future.
Table 1

FAYETTEVILLE RARE GAS DATA FOR GRAIN SIZE SEPARATES
ccSTP/g

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIZE (MICRONS)</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HE</td>
<td>HE</td>
<td>NE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>8.351</td>
<td>8.282</td>
<td>1.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-45</td>
<td>1.362</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>1.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-90</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-150</td>
<td>4.069</td>
<td>12.072</td>
<td>3.0235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-250</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250-500</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500-1000</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>2.0397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>2.465</td>
<td>6.971</td>
<td>2.137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIZE (MICRONS)</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>86</th>
<th>136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>KR</td>
<td>XE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>1.207</td>
<td>82.86</td>
<td>90.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-45</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>4.921</td>
<td>2.631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-90</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-150</td>
<td>1.666</td>
<td>8.585</td>
<td>5.942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-250</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250-500</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500-1000</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>12.14</td>
<td>8.832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>1.105</td>
<td>4.802</td>
<td>1.735</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*) Not measured at this time
### Table 2: Elemental Ratios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIZE (MICRONS)</th>
<th>4/20</th>
<th>20/36</th>
<th>36/84</th>
<th>84/132</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>363.5</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-45</td>
<td>168.9</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-90</td>
<td>353.6</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>596.4</td>
<td>1.537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-150</td>
<td>352.4</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>111.8</td>
<td>1.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-250</td>
<td>389.6</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>700.6</td>
<td>2.942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250-500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500-1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Isotopic Ratios - Light Gases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4/3</th>
<th>20/22</th>
<th>21/22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>991.6</td>
<td>11.43</td>
<td>0.0547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-45</td>
<td>2334.2</td>
<td>10.63</td>
<td>0.0876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-90</td>
<td>2967.1</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250-500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500-1000</td>
<td>2719.1</td>
<td>11.31</td>
<td>0.0621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>2827.8</td>
<td>11.26</td>
<td>0.0517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36/38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40/36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4/3</th>
<th>20/22</th>
<th>21/22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>5.207</td>
<td>36.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-45</td>
<td>5.018</td>
<td>43.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-90</td>
<td>5.189</td>
<td>29.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250-500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500-1000</td>
<td>5.011</td>
<td>35.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>30.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table 4
VALUES OF ISOTOPE $^{86}_{\text{Kr}}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISOTOPE</th>
<th>$&lt;20$</th>
<th>90-150</th>
<th>500-1000</th>
<th>$&gt;1000$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>0.0623</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.0803</td>
<td>0.1422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.1651</td>
<td>0.1439</td>
<td>0.1624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.6609</td>
<td>0.6862</td>
<td>0.6877</td>
<td>0.6855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.6574</td>
<td>0.6723</td>
<td>0.6588</td>
<td>0.6756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.257</td>
<td>3.253</td>
<td>3.256</td>
<td>3.284</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5
VALUES OF XE $^{136}_{\text{Xe}}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISOTOPE</th>
<th>$&lt;20$</th>
<th>20-45</th>
<th>90-150</th>
<th>500-1000</th>
<th>$&gt;1000$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>0.01183</td>
<td>0.04835</td>
<td>0.02133</td>
<td>0.01372</td>
<td>0.0214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>0.01146</td>
<td>0.04639</td>
<td>0.0216</td>
<td>0.01332</td>
<td>0.02158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>0.2159</td>
<td>0.3294</td>
<td>0.2616</td>
<td>0.2288</td>
<td>0.2702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>2.885</td>
<td>3.167</td>
<td>3.302</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>3.355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.4502</td>
<td>0.5096</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>0.4636</td>
<td>0.4983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>2.344</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>2.448</td>
<td>2.386</td>
<td>2.493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>2.979</td>
<td>3.044</td>
<td>3.058</td>
<td>3.014</td>
<td>3.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>1.169</td>
<td>1.221</td>
<td>1.198</td>
<td>1.177</td>
<td>1.201</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ABSTRACT

Refueling of satellites on orbit with storable propellants will involve venting part or all of the pressurant gas from the propellant tanks. This gas will be saturated with propellant vapor, and it may also have significant amounts of entrained fine droplets of propellant. The two most commonly used bipropellants, monomethyl hydrazine (MMH) and nitrogen tetroxide (N204), are highly reactive and toxic. This study examines various possible ways of neutralizing the vented propellants.

The amount of propellant vented in a typical refueling operation is shown to be in the range of 0.2 to 5 percent of the tank capacity. Four potential neutralization schemes are examined: chemical decomposition, chemical reaction, condensation and adsorption. Chemical decomposition to essentially inert materials is thermodynamically feasible for both MMH and N204. It would be the simplest and easiest neutralization method to implement. Chemical decomposition would require more complex control. Condensation would require a refrigeration system and a very efficient phase separator. Adsorption is likely to be much heavier.

A preliminary assessment of the four neutralization schemes is presented, along with suggested research and development plans for more detailed investigation of the problem.

Note: A more extensive report on this project has been written and will be published as a NASA JSC Internal Note.
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SUMMARY

Venting of propellants on orbiting spacecraft is an area that has not received a great deal of attention in the past. As refueling and other servicing operations become more common, however, propellant venting will be required more often. The commonly used bipropellants, monomethyl hydrazine (MMH) and nitrogen tetroxide (N2O4) are both toxic, highly reactive chemicals. If they must be vented, it would be far better to neutralize them to more innocuous species first.

The amounts of bipropellants vented during various on-orbit servicing operations are not well established. Preliminary estimates indicate that the amount of propellant vented during a typical refueling operation is likely to be about 0.2 to 5 percent of the total fuel tank capacity. Depending on the operational mode, the fuel may or may not be highly diluted with pressurant gas, helium or nitrogen. Tank leakage or deliberate propellant dumping could vent considerably more propellant.

Four potential propellant neutralization methods have been identified in the course of this study: catalytic chemical decomposition, chemical reaction, condensation and adsorption.

Catalytic decomposition is thermodynamically feasible for both MMH and N2O4. Previous experience with catalytic decomposition of hydrazine indicates a high probability of finding a suitable catalyst for MMH decomposition. With MMH, the desired reaction products (methane, nitrogen and hydrogen) are thermodynamically favored at temperatures below about 300 C. Above that temperature, however, catalytic decomposition of MMH will most likely lead to deposition of solid carbon on the catalyst, thus making it inactive. With N2O4, the desired products (nitrogen and oxygen) are thermodynamically favored from ambient temperature up to about 500 C. Previous work in development of catalysts for air pollution control, however, has never been successful in finding a decompose N2O4 to nitrogen and oxygen.

A laboratory investigation of propellant decomposition was started at JSC. Laboratory equipment was assembled to examine the products obtained when dilute streams of MMH and N2O4 are passed over catalysts. Only very limited data have been collected to date due to the limited time available during the author’s summer visit.

Chemical reaction systems for neutralization of MMH and N2O4 should be feasible. It is easy to get MMH to react with an oxidizer and to get N2O4 to react with a reducing agent. The most practical system is likely to use small amounts of N2O4 to neutralize MMH and small amounts of MMH to neutralize N2O4. At the present time, however, there is very little information available on feasible mixture ratios and the effects of diluent helium or nitrogen for such systems. Operation and control of a reaction system will be considerably more complex than for simple catalytic decomposition.
Condensation and adsorption systems trap vented propellant vapors for return to earth or for later disposal in space. Condensation systems cool the vapors to low enough temperatures to condense them, then collect the droplets. Such a system would require an extremely efficient liquid-vapor phase separator, something which does not now exist. The vapor-pressure curve and very low freezing point of MMH make it a suitable candidate for a condensation system. N204, however, freezes at 11.2 C, at which point it still has a fairly high vapor pressure. It would be a poor candidate for a condensing system. Both MMH and N204 should be suitable candidates for adsorption systems, in which the propellants are adsorbed on the surface of highly porous solids. The major disadvantage to these systems is their relatively high intrinsic weight. A system capable of collecting ten pounds of propellant is likely to weigh fifty pounds.

Suggested research and development programs are outlined for each of the four potential propellant neutralization processes.

A preliminary system comparison indicates that chemical decomposition would be the simplest system to operate and control. Chemical reaction systems represent a lower development risk. Adsorption systems also have a very low development risk, but they are likely to be much heavier. Condensing systems appear to be impractical.

Conclusions and recommendations presented in this report must be considered very preliminary. The single most significant conclusion is that hard data are lacking on the feasibility of many of the candidate processes.

Note: Sections 2 through 8 are contained in the full report only, not in this abridged version.
SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

Venting of excess liquid propellants and vapors during on-orbit refueling operations is an area that has not been studied a great deal in the past. On-orbit servicing of satellites is becoming more common, however, and on-orbit refueling is expected to become a fairly routine operation in the near future. NASA's Orbital Spacecraft Consumables Resupply Systems (OSCRS) is being developed for just this purpose.

When propellants are supplied to a satellite, the vapors present in the satellite tank must be displaced, either by venting them to space or by collecting them. In many refueling operations, there will also be pressurant gas, helium or nitrogen, present as well as propellant vapors. In addition, any venting of vapors and gases is likely to entrain significant amounts of liquid droplets or mist as well.

The most commonly used bipropellants are monomethyl hydrazine (MMH) and nitrogen tetroxide (N2O4). These are highly reactive, toxic chemicals. It is imperative that they not be spilled or vented toward astronauts or toward sensitive materials, such as optical surfaces and solar cells, on spacecraft. To accomplish refueling, then, the vented propellants must either be dispersed far from the satellite or must be treated in some manner to make them more innocuous.

1.1 ORBITAL REFUELING OPERATIONS

In a typical refueling operation, a satellite will be secured to the Shuttle Orbiter. Fuel lines will be connected by astronauts. The satellite tanks will be depressured, and possibly completely emptied, by venting to space or by venting to a catch tank on the Orbiter. Propellants will then be loaded into the satellite by pressurization or pumping from the Orbiter. If this is done with the receiving tanks kept at constant pressure, additional venting will be required as the liquids enter the tanks. If the receiving tanks are pressured by the refilling, additional venting may not be necessary.

The amount of propellant that will be vented during a refueling operation depends on many factors, some of which are very poorly defined at this time. Clearly, the size and type of tank involved will have an influence, as will the physical properties of the propellant, particularly the vapor pressure and liquid density. The
amount vented will also depend on the amount and type of pressurant gas vented in the operation. To the extent possible in this limited study, these factors are addressed in Section 2.

To place the venting problem in perspective, however, it is useful to have some idea of the possible ranges of vent flows. The studies in Section 2 generally indicate propellant venting on the order of one percent of the propellant tank capacity, with various factors pushing this from near zero to 5 percent or more. Typical refueling operations are likely to involve several thousand pounds of propellant at a time. The total to be vented, then, will be on the order of several tens of pounds of propellants along with an equal or lesser amount of pressurant.

1.2 PROPELLANT NEUTRALIZATION METHODS

There are four candidate neutralization methods considered in this study: chemical decomposition, chemical reaction, condensation and adsorption. This section describes each one briefly. More details are given in Sections 3, 4, 6, 7 and 8.

1.2.1 Decomposition

A chemical may decompose to other smaller molecules provided the overall free energy of the decomposition is negative. This criteria establishes that the process is thermodynamically feasible. Whether or not the chemical will actual decompose, assuming the decomposition is thermodynamically feasible, depends on the kinetics of the decomposition reaction. Some chemicals will decompose spontaneously at ambient temperatures; others will decompose only at higher temperatures or in the presence of an appropriate catalyst. Still others are virtually inert.

MMH can decompose in at least six ways, all of which are thermodynamically possible:

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{NHNNH}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + \text{N}_2 + \text{H}_2 \quad (1-1) \\
\Delta F^\circ = -56.8 \text{ kcal/g.mole}
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{NHNNH}_2 \rightarrow \text{C(s)} + 2\text{NH}_3 \quad (1-3) \\
\Delta F^\circ = -52.4 \text{ kcal/g.mole}
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{NHNNH}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{NH}_2 + \frac{1}{3}\text{N}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2 \quad (1-2) \\
\Delta F^\circ = -37.0 \text{ kcal/g.mole}
\]
\[
\text{CH}_3\text{NNH}_2 \rightarrow C(s) + N_2 + 3H_2 \quad \Delta F^\circ = -44.7 \text{ kcal/g.mole} \quad (1-4)
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{NNH}_2 \rightarrow \text{HCN} + \text{NH}_3 + H_2 \quad \Delta F^\circ = -18.7 \text{ kcal/g.mole} \quad (1-5)
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{NNH}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{NH}_2 + \frac{1}{3}\text{NH}_3 + \frac{1}{3}N_2 \quad \Delta F^\circ = -10.8 \text{ kcal/g.mole} \quad (1-6)
\]

The free energies listed above are for standard conditions, one atmosphere pressure at 25° C. The free energies vary considerably with temperature; so the most thermodynamically stable products vary with temperature. Thermodynamics also favors reactions creating the largest number of product moles at lower pressures and reactions creating the fewest number of product moles at higher pressures.

N2O4 can decompose by two paths which are thermodynamically possible:

\[
N_2O_4 \rightarrow N_2 + 2O_2 \quad \Delta F^\circ = -23.4 \text{ kcal/g.mole} \quad (1-7)
\]

\[
N_2O_4 \rightarrow 2 \text{NO}_2 \quad \Delta F^\circ = +1.1 \text{ kcal/g.mole} \quad (1-8)
\]

Even though its free energy of reaction is slightly positive, the second of these reactions takes place spontaneously, but it does not go to completion. An equilibrium mixture of N2O4 and NO2 is always present; by convention it is usually referred to simply as N2O4.

Decomposition of MMH by Equation 1-1 and N2O4 by Equation 1-7 would be the most desirable in terms of propellant neutralization. Ideally, a simple catalytic packed-bed reactor could be placed in vent lines to promote these reactions. Decomposition is examined in more detail in Sections 3 and 4.

1.2.2 Chemical Reaction

If simple chemical decomposition is not feasible, an alternative
would be chemical reaction. In this mode of operation, each propellant would be reacted with another chemical to yield innocuous products. The reactions might take place over a catalyst, or they might be simple spontaneous homogeneous reactions. MMH, a strong reducing agent, would be reacted with an oxidizing agent. N2O4, a strong oxidizer, would be reacted with a reducing agent. One obvious set of choices would be to use N2O4 in substoichiometric amounts to react with MMH, and to use MMH to react with N2O4. There are, however, other choices which should also be considered.

A chemical reaction neutralization process would obviously be more complex and require more equipment than would a simple decomposition process. In addition to the reactors themselves, there would have to be supplies of reactants and a control system to provide the right amounts when needed. Chemical reaction systems are discussed in more detail in Section 6.

1.2.3 Condensation

In a condensation system, the vent gases would be cooled to a temperature low enough to cause virtually all of the propellent to condense out of the pressurant gas. Propellant droplets, or solid particles if the temperature were low enough, would be collected by impingement devices. Collected propellents would be returned to their tanks or held in separate containers for later use or later release.

A condensation system would have the advantage of avoiding chemical reactions entirely. It would require a refrigeration system and a very efficient collection system. Condensation systems are discussed in more detail in Section 7.

1.2.4 Adsorption

An adsorption system would be similar to a condensation system in that the propellents would be trapped for later use or controlled venting. An adsorption system, however, would operate at ambient temperature. Propellant vapors and mist droplets would be adsorbed and held by suitable high-surface-area materials, such as activated carbon or silica gel. The system is simpler than a condensation system, but it may be somewhat larger since the net mass of propellant adsorbed per unit volume of sorbent is considerably less than the density of liquid or solid propellant. Adsorption systems are discussed in more detail in Section 8.

1.3 SCOPE OF THIS STUDY

The purpose of this study is to examine the bipropellant venting problem, with emphasis on methods of neutralizing MMH and N2O4. Section 2 of this report addresses the problem of estimating the amounts of propellants likely to be vented during typical operations. Sections 3 and 4 go into some detail on chemical decomposition.
processes for MMH and N2O4, respectively. Section 5 discusses the laboratory program started at JSC in 1986 to assess the feasibility of propellant decomposition. Sections 6, 7 and 8 look at the other three possible neutralization processes: chemical reaction, condensation and adsorption. Section 9 presents some preliminary comparisons among the four processes.

Conclusions and recommendations presented in this report must be considered very preliminary. The single most significant conclusion is that hard data are lacking on the feasibility of many of the candidate processes. Outlines of suggested research and development programs to provide the necessary data are presented.
SECTION 9
PRELIMINARY NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEM COMPARISON

The various possible bipropellant neutralization systems can best be compared at this time by a simple summary of the pros and cons associated with each system. Neither venting system requirements nor neutralization system designs are well enough established for a quantitative comparison at this time.

9.1 DECOMPOSITION SYSTEMS

MMH and N204 must be considered separately in terms of chemical decomposition processes. First consider MMH:

Pro:

In terms of chemical thermodynamics, the most stable decomposition products of MMH at temperatures up to 300 to 400 C are methane, nitrogen and hydrogen, all quite harmless. Previous experience with hydrazine decomposition leads to the expectation that suitable decomposition catalysts can be developed relatively easily. Operation and control would be quite simple; the MMH and accompanying pressurant gas, if any, would simply be vented through a packed catalyst bed. The system would be fairly light weight and compact.

Con:

Carbon deposition on the catalyst surface, resulting in catalyst poisoning, can be expected at high temperatures. Either a temperature control system on the catalyst bed or a highly selective catalyst may be required. Considerable effort may be required to obtain a catalyst which will work successfully for an extended period of time.

For N204:

Pro:

In terms of chemical thermodynamics, the most stable decomposition products of N204 at temperatures up to 1800 C are nitrogen and oxygen, both quite harmless. Operation and control would be quite simple; the MMH and accompanying pressurant gas, if any, would simply be vented through a packed catalyst bed. The system would be fairly light weight and compact.

Con:

A great deal of effort has been spent in the past searching for catalysts to decompose nitrogen oxides to nitrogen and oxygen for use in air pollution control systems. To date, no such catalyst has been found.
9.2 CHEMICAL REACTION SYSTEMS

Pro:

Both MMH and N204 are highly reactive. They can be reacted with each other, or with other suitable chemicals. If reacted with each other, no additional chemical supply systems would have to be carried, only the extra amounts of propellant needed for reaction. System development and design should be fairly simple. The total amount of hardware needed for the system would be quite small.

Con:

A moderately complex sensing and control system would have to be developed to feed the right amounts of reactants to the venting gases at the right times. The reaction products which would be obtained from non-stoichiometric combustion, especially ion the presence of large amounts of diluent gases, are not fully established. Ignition and stable operation over a wide range of non-stoichiometric mixtures must be established.

9.3 CONDENSATION SYSTEMS

Pro:

Chemical reactions are avoided. The propellants are trapped for return to earth or for venting at a later, safer time.

Con:

A complex system is required, including a refrigeration system and an extremely efficient phase separation device. The latter is not available at this time. In the case of N204, freezing takes place under conditions where the vapor pressure is still fairly high. An effective condensation system for N204 would have to operate in the vapor-solid region, not in the vapor-liquid region.

9.4 ADSORPTION SYSTEMS

Pro:

Solid adsorption systems have been developed for many different vapors in the past. It should be fairly easy to develop systems for MMH and N204. Such systems are inherently simple to design, build and operate. Propellants would be captured for return to earth or for release to space at more convenient, safer times.

Con:

Adsorption systems are likely to be quite heavy compared with other alternatives. Sorbent capacities of a few tenths of a pound of propellant per pound of sorbent can be expected. The systems have fixed capacities. Once their sorption capacities are reached, any additional propellant will simply pass on through them.
The following principal conclusions have been reached as a result of this study:

1. There has been little systematic study of the problem of on-orbit venting of storable bipropellants, either in terms of requirements for various servicing operations or in terms of methods for dealing with the propellants.

2. Preliminary estimates indicate that propellant venting during refueling operations may amount to 0.2 to 5 percent of the capacity of a propellant tank. Amounts on the order of tens of pounds of propellants may be expected.

3. Four potential processes for treating vented storable bipropellants have been identified: chemical decomposition, chemical reaction, condensation and adsorption.

4. Catalytic chemical decomposition of MMH is probably feasible. The major uncertainty in the process is carbon deposition and poisoning of the catalyst surface. This problem may be avoided by operation at relatively low temperatures (below about 300°C) or by developing a catalyst that is highly selective.

5. Catalytic decomposition of N2O4 to less innocuous materials is probably not feasible.

6. Chemical reaction systems (reacting MMH with a small amount of an oxidizer and reacting N2O4 with a small amount of a reducing agent) represent a low-risk development alternative. On-orbit operation and control, however, will be considerably more complex than for chemical decomposition.

7. Condensing systems appear to be of limited practical value. Any such system would have to incorporate an extremely efficient vapor-liquid phase separation device. A system for N2O4 is further complicated by the relatively high vapor pressure of N2O4 at its freezing point (about 2.7 psia at 11.8°F).

8. Adsorption systems represent a low development risk alternative, but are likely to be much heavier than other systems for comparable performance.
SECTION II
RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations are made based on the conclusions reached in this study:

1. System requirement studies should be carried out to define the needs and operational limitations associated with on-orbit venting of bipropellants, both during satellite servicing and other operations.

2. Catalytic decomposition of MMH should be explored as a prime treatment method. Initial emphasis should be on catalyst screening and development to obtain a catalyst that will work effectively without becoming poisoned due to carbon deposition.

3. Catalytic decomposition of N2O4 should be explored to a limited extent only, with emphasis on determining whether the process is at all feasible.

4. Chemical reaction systems should be examined as a low-risk alternative to decomposition systems. Initial work should be aimed at determining non-stoichiometric reaction behavior of MMH and N2O4 diluted with pressurant gases.

5. If the amounts of vented propellants are expected to be very small, or if the weight penalty is tolerable, adsorption systems should be examined as a low-risk alternative to chemical treatment methods. Initial work should be aimed at identifying the best sorbents for MMH and N2O4 and determining their capacities under a variety of conditions.
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ABSTRACT

The understanding and analysis of three-dimensional fluid behavior around reentry vehicles, like the Space Shuttle Orbiter, is of considerable importance for flight applications. Vehicle designers need pressure, heat transfer, and shear distributions to support design activities. Traditionally these have been obtained by experimental data. Significant reduction in design costs can be obtained if the calculated results based on finite difference procedures are used to verify and supplement experimental results.

Computing the flowfield over Space Shuttle Orbiter, which has complex cross-sectional geometries is a major problem. A first step in this direction is development of body definition and grid generation procedure. In this project a digitized body definition and grid generation procedure, developed earlier at JSC, is modified and applied to the Shuttle Orbiter X24C-10D. Two-dimensional grid and body definition for various sections of the space vehicle is obtained using the differential equation method.

This study demonstrates that this geometry and grid generation procedure can be applied to other aerospace vehicles such as aerospaceplane.
1. **INTRODUCTION**

Analysis of three-dimensional fluid behavior around reentry vehicle such as X-24C-10D is of considerable importance for flight applications. A vehicle like this can produce very complex flow fields. The flow field changes radically with leeside of vehicle becoming dominated by large regions of cross flow separation, when angle of attack is increased. Calculated results are useful to verify and supplement the experimental results. For the chosen aircraft a detailed experimental data base exists in the form of a flow field pitot survey, surface pressures, heat transfer, force and moment measurements.¹, ², ³

A first step in calculating the flow parameters using finite difference methods is to generate a satisfactory grid. The grid generation process must achieve the following:

1. Develop accurate surface definition
2. Distribute grid points on the body surface
3. Generate a clustered smoothly varying interior mesh.

Algebraic or differentiated equation techniques⁴ can be used to generate grid on complicated three-dimensional problems. In this study a digitized body definition and grid generation procedure developed earlier at Johnson Space Center is modified and applied to the Shuttle Orbiter X-24C-10D in order to test the procedure. This hypersonic research aircraft is selected in this investigation for its rather blunt leading edges, canopy, strake fin and wing formations, which are typical
of those encountered in a modern aerospace vehicle. The object of this testing is to apply this procedure to other aerospace vehicles such as the aerospaceplane at a later date. A differential equation method developed by Thompson et al.\textsuperscript{5} and windslow\textsuperscript{6} is used to generate two-dimensional elliptic grid in each cross section plane between two control surfaces.

2. \textbf{PROCEDURE}

The block diagram of the digitized procedure used to generate the body and the two-dimensional grid for various sections of the Shuttle Orbiter X-25C-10D is shown in figure 2. \textit{X}-axis is chosen along the body axis. The \textit{X} = constant plane in which \textit{Y} and \textit{Z} vary is chosen as a plane normal to the body axis. Coordinates of points on various segments of sections of the body are obtained from a blue print supplied by Martin Marietta Corporation, Denver, Colorado. The coordinate system is constructed by a series of coaxial cross sections. In each cross section plane a two-dimensional grid system is established between the body contour and an ellipse.

The grid is generated by using the differential equation method, which is one of the highly developed techniques for generating acceptable grids. The procedure transforms the physical plane into computational plane where the mapping is controlled by a poission
equation. Elliptic partial differential equations are used to generate the grids.

The mapping is constructed by specifying the desired grid points \((Y,Z)\) on the boundary of the physical domain. The distribution of points on the interior is then determined by solving:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\delta}{\delta Y} Y + \frac{\delta}{\delta Z} z &= P(\xi, \eta) \\
\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta} \eta + \frac{\delta}{\delta \zeta} z &= Q(\xi, \eta)
\end{align*}
\]

\[\ldots (1)\]

Where \((\xi, \eta)\) represent the coordinates in the computational domain and \(P\) and \(Q\) are terms which control point spacing on the interior of physical domain. Equations (1) are then transformed to computational space by interchanging the roles of the independent and dependent variables. This yields a system of two elliptic equations of the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha \frac{\delta}{\delta \xi} \xi - 2 \beta \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta} \eta + \gamma \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta} \eta &= - J^2 (P \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta \xi} + Q \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta}) \\
\alpha \frac{\delta}{\delta \zeta} \zeta - 2 \beta \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta} \eta + \gamma \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta} \eta &= - J^2 (P \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta \zeta} + Q \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta})
\end{align*}
\]

Where

\[
\alpha = \gamma^2 + \zeta^2 \]

\[
\beta = \gamma \frac{\delta}{\delta \xi} \gamma + \zeta \frac{\delta}{\delta \zeta} \zeta \]

\[
\gamma = \gamma \frac{\delta}{\delta \xi} + \zeta \frac{\delta}{\delta \zeta}
\]

19-5
\[ J = \frac{\partial (y, z)}{\partial (\zeta, \eta)} = y_{\zeta} z_{\eta} - y_{\eta} z_{\zeta} \] 

This system of equations is solved on a uniformly spaced grid in the computational plane. This provides the \((Y, Z)\) coordinates of each point in the physical space. The advantage of using this method is that the grid is smooth, the transformation is one to one and complex boundaries are easily treated. All of this is accomplished by the main program GRID. The functions of the subroutines used in the program are given below briefly.

**INPUT-** Specifies number of points in normal and circumferential direction of grid, number of segments in a section of body and number of points supplied in each segment.

**GEOM-** Interpolates body points (obtained from a blue print) between two given meridionals.

**PNTIB-** Distributes points on the body at equal space.

**PNT2B-** Helps to distribute and cluster the points on the body.

**KEYPT-** Defines points on a section of the body.

**COEFF-** Patches the end points of each segment of a section of the body.

**CUBSPL-** Fits cubic splines inbetween points.

**TRID-** Solves a tridiagonal system of equations.

**PLOT-** Calls various plotting routines.
3. **CONCLUDING REMARKS and RECOMMENDATIONS**

A digitized procedure is used to obtain body definition and two-dimensional grid perpendicular to the body axis. A few sections of the body defined by this procedure along with elliptic grid at several axial stations is presented in figures 4 to 8. The body definition obtained by the method for each section is remarkably close to the ones obtained by the author\(^7\) analytically for the same reentry vehicle. In figure 3 a section of the body at axial station \(X=430\) before the elliptic grid is generated is shown. The advantage of this method is that it is very easy to obtain any body section and two-dimensional grid by supplying a few points on segments of the Shuttle Orbiter. It is highly recommended that this procedure be used for other aerospace vehicles such as aerospaceplane to obtain body definition and grid.
X-24C-10D BODY

Figure 1 X24C-10D Configuration
Figure 2: Digitized Body Definition and Grid Generation Procedure - Block Diagram
Figure 3: Body Profile for Shuttle Orbiter X - 24C - 10D at Axial Station X = 430 before the Elliptic Grid is Generated.
Figure 4: Body Profile and Elliptic Grid for Shuttle Orbiter X-24C-10D at Axial Station X=430.
Figure 5: Body Profile and Elliptic Grid at Axial Station X=475.
Figure 6: Body Profile and Elliptic Grid at Axial Station X=500.
Figure 7: Body Profile and Elliptic Grid at Axial Station X=550.
Figure 8: Body Profile and Elliptic Grid at Axial Station X=575.
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A simplified model of the communications network for the Communications and Tracking Data Processing System (CTDP) is developed. It is simulated by use of programs running on several on-site computers. These programs communicate with one another by means of both local area networks and direct serial connections. The domain of the model and its simulation is from Orbital Replaceable Unit (ORU) interface to Data Management Systems (DMS).

The simulation was designed to allow status queries from remote entities across the DMS networks to be propagated through the model to several simulated ORU's. The ORU response is then propagated back to the remote entity which originated the request. Response times at the various levels were investigated in a multi-tasking, multi-user operating system environment.

Results indicate that the effective bandwidth of the system may be too low to support expected data volume requirements under conventional operating systems. Instead, some form of embedded process control program may be required on the node computers.
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Introduction

Whatever the form of flight hardware which eventually comprises Space Station, distributed processors linked by local area networks are certainly to be incorporated. This study addresses one such distribution which supports the Communications and Tracking Data Processor (CTDP). This system is complex and incorporates many functions, but may be considered a data portal to other satellites and earth stations. Consequently, CTDP accepts and encodes on-board data for transmission, receives data from external sources, and points antennas as required. Additionally, various housekeeping tasks are performed. Some of these are computationally intensive. As it progresses in orbit, for example, Station presents parts of itself as obstacles to antenna patterns. A real time obscuration map must be maintained so that transmit antennas do not illuminate Station structure, experimental apparatus, or extravehicular crew.

Baseline concepts of the CTDP computer network have been proposed, and work on the implementation has started. This study examines a simplified version of the network, reduced in number of nodes and branches. This is not a graph-theoretic study. Instead, a network of processors have been linked in an approximation of expected requirements and simple programs have been installed at the computational nodes.
The objectives of the study are straightforward. The ability of the network to handle data loads by measuring data throughputs is of interest. So is the ability of off-shelf multi-tasking systems to operate in an environment of mixed local area networks (LANs) and dedicated point to point links. Lastly, some judgements are made concerning the applicability of different operating systems and programming languages.

The approach of the study is direct. The linked computers executed simple communication programs. Data loads of the magnitude estimated for some Space Station equipment were applied to the system. The coding was performed in C and Pascal under the Ultrix and VMS operating systems running on a Digital Micro-Vax and a Digital 11/780. Conclusions are drawn from the outcome.
Hardware Environment

The simplified model of the CTDP network consists of a tree whose nodes are computers and whose branches are either dedicated RS-232 links or a common LAN, TCP-IP. The overall system which was modeled consisted of 3 layers in this tree.

The root of the tree is the main CTDP processor. It communicates via LAN with some number of subordinate processors called controllers (short for communication controllers).

The controllers in turn communicate via point to point links such as RS-232 with hardware modules such as signal processors, antenna gimbal systems, and so on. These hardware modules are termed orbital replaceable units (ORU) and are considered physically proximate to the associated controller.

At the time of this study the CTDP main computer (a VAX 11/750), a single controller (Micro-VAX), and a software simulation of three ORU's on a VAX 11/780 are available.

The study utilized the controller and the ORU simulation under simulated loads. The CTDP main computer was not included in this study at the time of writing. Therefore the hardware under consideration is a Micro-VAX connected via three dedicated RS-232 lines to three simulations of ORU's on a VAX 11/780.
The structure of parent (controller) with three children (ORU's) may be further elaborated. Specifically, the RS-232 line characteristics become important. These three lines are connected at each computer to a multiplexer, such as a Digital DZ. The salient characteristic of the multiplexer is that the four to eight lines which it supports are handled by a single processor local to the multiplexer. As a result, the multiplexer throughput is significantly less than the individual line rates supported by the device.

In this case, the three lines are operated asynchronously at 19,200 baud, with 8 bit data and single stop bit. After accounting for parity and so on, each line could support 1,920 characters per second. The effect of having a multiplexer for the three lines is that a total throughput of about 775 characters per second is attained in aggregate, as opposed to an ideal aggregate rate of 3 times 1,920 or about 6,000 characters per second. This will be seen to be a major limitation of the simulation.
Software Environment

The controller is a Micro-VAX operating under Ultrix-32m. This is a port of a Berkeley Unix operating system and supports a range of languages and utilities. Of chief interest are the following features: the operating system detached process utilities, the interprocess communication support, and the programming language used for the simulations.

Unix provides a simple mechanism to start processes detached from the user's terminal. Almost as convenient is an interprocess communication facility called a socket mechanism. The combination of these abilities makes it possible to create some number of detached processes which may still communicate with each other via sockets.

Since it was determined early in the study that these features would be required, the C language was chosen to code the programs which would process data. Other languages were available, but an unfortunate characteristic of Unix systems is an apparent disinterest in providing "equal access" from all supported languages to the operating system features. Although another language such as Pascal might have been used, the differences between C and Pascal did not justify any effort to make the Berkeley Pascal implementation compatible with all the operating system features readily accessed from C.

The controller software consists of a controlling process called CMTR, and three subordinate processes called RX7, RX6, and RX5. The socket mechanism provides communication between CMTR and each of the RX programs.
The three RX programs act as interfaces to the three ORU simulations. The processes are run detached, and thereby provide some degree of parallelism in the multitasking system. Unfortunately, the three processes each use the same serial line multiplexer, which essentially destroys any efficiency gained by using detached processes.

CMTR periodically queries RX7, RX6 and RX5. Each of these three programs in turn interrogates the software ORU simulations on the 11/780. Normally, the ORU simulations will return between 100 and 1000 characters as a response, and once these are received by the RX programs they are passed on to CMTR. CMTR then indicates to the user the total number of characters transferred, the time required to transfer, and calculates the rate of transfer. The number of transfers and size of data transferred may be varied.

As has been indicated, the serial communication lines pass only 775 characters per second. This is the rate limiting path in the system. The socket mechanism in comparison is far faster, and easily exceeds 50000 characters per second. As a result, of the two mechanisms used to transfer data between processes, only degradation in response due to the effective throughput rate of the serial communication lines need be considered.
On receipt of a status query, the RSP programs open an associated
text file containing data representing ORU status, and write this data
to an associated RS-232 port. The RSP programs do little else but
housekeeping, and each program is only 30 lines of code. In practice,
the programs exist as blocked tasks waiting to read a status query.

The ORU simulation programs running on the 11/780 are quite simple.
There are three called RSP7, RSP6, and RSP5. These programs are like
their counterparts RX7, RX6, and RX5 in that they are identical to each
other except for the I/O ports to which they are attached. However,
these programs run detached under the VMS operating system, and are
coded in Pascal.
Simulation Behaviour

The simulation was operated for several hours of CPU time. Data rate from CMTR query through the return of the data from the three ORU's was calculated under various conditions of system loading, data block size, and program priority.

The result may be summarized easily. Using standard DZ style multiplexers and standard Digital terminal drivers allows data rates of the three lines to be less than 775 characters per second under any conditions. Data rates may be as low as 100 characters per second if task priorities are low while the system is heavily loaded. Estimates made by experienced NASA staff indicated that the traffic to be expected from three ORU's is likely to range from 1000 to 8000 characters per second. In short, the commonly available hardware and software, even with informed tuning, is unacceptable in terms of realistic data requirements.

The immediate conclusion is that specialized drivers for communication need to be developed to support block data transfer under multi-tasking operating systems.

Several other conclusions may be drawn from observations made during the development of the system.
Neither C nor Pascal are particularly appropriate languages in the application. Neither language inherently supports concurrency or inter-process communication. These are very desirable attributes of languages for this application. One advantage of C is that it is probably a good choice of a language in which to write a customized driver for the serial communication lines. The two languages are otherwise equally ill-suited to the task of networking support.

During the course of the study, some attention was paid to the use of ADA as a programming environment. Although availability precluded use of ADA for this study, it appears that ADA incorporates concurrency and communication features which make it a reasonable choice for an embedded control application such as the present study.

Note that since concurrency and interprocess communication in the present study are provided by the operating system, the code and techniques are non-portable. It is in fact unlikely that the C code or the Pascal code could be ported to any other operating systems and still be made to work. This unhappy result is due to the fact that the socket mechanism provided under Ultrix is not part of the C language, but of Ultrix itself. Similar comments may be made about features of the Pascal code.
Summary

The CTDP network may be simulated in non-real time by use of typical operating systems and typical driver packages. Real time simulation, and simulation of extended systems will require customized software.

Traditional modular programming languages such as C and Pascal are unsuitable when compared to ADA. Although ADA code was not written, examination of ADA features indicate that ADA is a potentially superior language in the application.
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Introduction

Stimulation of the vestibular system by angular acceleration during head movements results in a reflexive eye movement called nystagmus. The resulting response (nystagmus) resembles a sawtooth waveform of which slow rotation of the eyes to maintain gaze on an object are related to the stimulus (slow phase) while rapid resets of the eye position are related to some centering mechanism (fast phase). Quantitative properties of nystagmus are important in the characterization of the vestibular and ocular systems. Thus, the specific objective of this study is to develop the necessary software programs to characterize the vestibular and optokinetic responses to sinusoidal stimuli by distinguishing between the two components of nystagmus.

Background

The methods used to analyze nystagmus vary from manual, to real-time automated processing in the time domain. Massoumnia presented models of the slow and fast phase velocities to establish that the slow and fast phase velocity spectra were superimposed. Thereby, he concluded that it was not possible to distinguish between the slow phase velocity and the fast phase velocity by analysis in the frequency domain (7).
In general, there are two time domain methods for identification of a fast phase event. One method is based on the analytical geometric property that points at which the first derivative waveform equals zero correspond to point at which the position waveform is an extremum (maximum or minimum). This method involves digital filtering and differentiating the ocular position signal prior to detecting the fast event with some velocity criterion. The second method is based on identification of the position waveform extrema by a search algorithm. Then a psuedo position waveform is obtained by connecting straight line segments between maxima and minima. The psuedo position waveform is used to calculate slopes (velocities), position changes, and time durations which in turn, are used to identify the fast phase events (8). An assumption of the method is that the information of value which is contained in the nystagmus can be obtained by replacing the detailed time-varying path of the EOG with straight-line approximations between points of maxima and minima. Seven parameter can be extracted by the method as is shown in figure 1. To date, one major vestibular research laboratory in the United States, out of the seven major laboratories which were selected for this study, uses the psuedo waveform method.
Fig. 1. Upper trace shows the pseudo position EOG waveform superimposed on the raw data. Lower drawing is an expanded version of the straight line approximation between points of extrema (MAX and MIN). SA denotes slow phase amplitude, FA is fast phase amplitude, SV is slow phase velocity, FV is fast phase velocity, SD is the slow phase duration, FD is the fast phase duration and T is the period; where frequency is $1/T$. 
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Characteristic Parameters

In characterizing the slow phase velocity component vestibular researchers have used the engineer's approach to the analysis of a system, i.e., observe the response to a predetermined excitation in order to determine the system's transfer function. Knowledge of the system's transfer function permits prediction of the system response to other deterministic excitations. The most commonly used excitation signals in signal analysis are single frequency sinusoid, step, and impulse functions. The most popular analytical method is the use of a single frequency sinusoidal stimulus which applies only to linear systems analysis. The only parameters that can vary are the magnitude and phase of the resulting sinusoid. The frequency of the input and output are unchanged unless the system is nonlinear. Thus, gain (the ratio of output magnitude to input magnitude) and phase (the delay of signal transmission through the system) at a constant frequency are the only two parameters necessary to characterize the slow phase velocity systems.

Characterization of the fast phase velocity components of nystagmus (saccades) is not obtained by the frequency response method but rather by identifying true saccades, then measuring the maximum velocity of the fast phase segment (saccade), the total change of amplitude from the
start to the end of the fast phase segment, and the duration of the saccade. A least square exponential curve fit to the saccade maximum velocity versus total change of the saccade amplitude data results in two best fit coefficients. In addition saccade velocity, accuracy, and reaction time were used to test the oculomotor system (2,3,4 and 5).

**Current Processing**

A general review of the analog and digitizing processes used by the laboratory reveals no agreement on filtering or digitizing as seen in Table I. Most laboratories used DEC LSI-11 computers with 12 bit analog-to-digital converters. The sampling rate at which the EOG data is digitized depends upon the objective of the analysis. If the principle goal is to identify and quantify the characteristics of the fast phase velocity components of nystagmus (saccades) as a means of diagnosing vestibulo-ocular disorders (3), then the data is sampled at 200 samples per second. Whereas, if the primary interest is solely on removal of the fast phases as a means of obtaining a more accurate estimate of the slow phase velocity parameters, then lower sampling rates are used.

The algorithms for processing the eye movements vary in structure primarily because of the ultimate aim of the analysis. Laboratories interested in retaining fast phase
TABLE I
Comparison of Analog Antialiasing
Filtering and Digitizing
in Vestibular Laboratories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAB</th>
<th>ANALOG</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PREAMPS</td>
<td>IH</td>
<td>IH</td>
<td>IH</td>
<td>IH</td>
<td>IH</td>
<td>IH</td>
<td>IH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER TYPE</td>
<td>Bu</td>
<td>6-pt Bessel</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Bu</td>
<td>Bu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUTOFF (Hz)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAIN</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIGITAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPUTER</td>
<td>PDP 11/34 LSI 11</td>
<td>LSI 11</td>
<td>LSI 11/73</td>
<td>LSI 11/73</td>
<td>LSI 11/73</td>
<td>LSI 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/D (Bits)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMPLING RATE (S/S)</td>
<td>122.8</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IH Fabricated in-house
Bu Butterworth filter
S/S Samples per second
information use higher bandwidth digital filters in order to maintain waveform and timing accuracy.

Two laboratories use optimal band limited derivatives (BLD) in lieu of some smoothing routine followed by a two-point central difference equation (CDE). The two-point central difference is popular as a first order differentiator because of its speed, simplicity, accuracy and low pass filtering (1). The laboratories using optimal filtering techniques convolve a finite impulse response (FIR) filter with an finite impulse differentiator to obtain velocity or acceleration filters. TABLE II compares the digital processes for eye movement analysis used by the seven vestibular laboratories.

It is interesting to note that three laboratories identify three classes of fast phase events but only one laboratory uses the saccade velocity information. In the evaluation of the slow phase velocity, most laboratories have algorithms which remove the fast phase events and fill-in the removed points with a linear extrapolation across either the position or velocity waveform. Two laboratories use a least squares sinusoidal fit to the velocity curve without filling the gap between slow velocity segments. Three laboratories have equally spaced intervals after the waveform reconstruction and only two of those laboratories
TABLE II
Comparison of Digital Processes
For Eye Movement Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FILTER TYPE</th>
<th>Laboratory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CUTOFF (Hz)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>2-pt CDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEL. FILTER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUTOFF</td>
<td>31-pt LP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCEL. FILTER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUTOFF</td>
<td>61-pt LP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXTRAPOLATION</td>
<td>Lin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLOW PHASE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAVE</td>
<td>Vel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECONSTRUCTION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAST PHASE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLASSIFICATION</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAST SQUARES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSFORM</td>
<td>-- Sin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIRECT FOURIER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSFORM</td>
<td>-- yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFT</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BLD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>7-pt</td>
<td>LP</td>
<td>BLD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>15-pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>R̅F</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CDE Central difference equation  Sin Sinusoidal
FWD Forward difference equation  Pos Position
FFT Fast Fourier Transform  Lin Linear
Vel Velocity  ReF Recursive Filter
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use a fast fourier transform to obtain the frequency response parameters.

NASA FPID Program

Development of the fast phase identification (FPID) program began prior to evaluation of the seven U.S. laboratories. The engineering approach dictated the analytical geometric method. Horizontal eye movements (VOR) response to a sinusoidal stimulation of the vestibular system is digitized at 120 samples per second (Fig 2) and filtered with a digital 15-point, low-pass, finite-impulse-response (FIR) filter. The FIR filter cutoff is set at 25 Hz. The signal at 36 Hz is -40.1 db. Several FIR filters and smoothing routines were evaluated before selection of the final 15-point, FIR filter. The filtered EOG (position) signal is shown in figure 3.

The filtered signal is then differentiated with a central difference equation. The program permits the operator to select the polynomial order of the differentiator up to a sixth order central difference equation with error of order \( (h^n) \), where \( h \) is the sampling interval of 0.00833 seconds (8.33 msec.). Higher order equations result in better accuracy, but increase computation time. Hence, the fourth order (4-point) central difference equation with error of order \( (h^4) \) is used to obtain the first derivative of the EOG since it gives
Fig. 2. Raw horizontal eye movements are shown after removal of the D.C. offset.
Fig. 3. Horizontal eye movements after FIR filtering.
accurate results with only two points on either side of center (fig. 4).

The second derivative is obtained by differentiating the filtered EOG position signal with a 5-point, second derivative central difference equation of error order (h^2). The second derivative is shown in figure 5. The third derivative of the EOG position signal was also obtained with a 7-point central difference equation (6). The third derivative waveform was noisier than the lower derivative filters so it was removed from the program.

Following differentiation, the program computes the root-mean-square (RMS) value of the various derivatives waveforms in order to set a threshold value above the noise level so as to reduce false detection errors. From RMS values and approximate signal-to-noise ratios of each derivative waveform, detection of a fast velocity event is based on exceeding the first derivative threshold value rather than the second derivative as used by Massoumnia (7). The first derivative offers the least amount of noise and the best signal-to-noise ratio for threshold detection.

Once the threshold of the EOG velocity signal is exceeded the search point is move backward to find where the derivative zero crossing occurs. This point is flagged as the beginning of the fast phase event and the search is
FIRST DERIVATIVE OF DATA

Fig. 4. First derivative of the filtered eye movements. The RMS value is 585 A/D counts for 2.08 seconds of data. The signal-to-noise ratio is 3.3:1.
Fig. 5. The second derivative of the filtered eye movements. The RMS value was $485 \times 10^2$ A/D counts for 2.08 seconds of data. The signal-to-noise ratio is 2.3:1.
reversed (forward direction) until a zero crossing occurs. This point was flagged as the end of the fast phase event.

In the next steps, the program uses the filtered eye position (EOG) waveform to perform a least squares linear regression on the slow phase velocity segment preceding the starting index of the current fast phase event. Then the points between start and end of the fast phase event are extrapolated and added to the position waveform.

Prior to output of the reconstructed slow phase EOG signal, a correction of slow segment height is necessary. This is accomplished by obtaining the change of position (height) from the last point of the extrapolated EOG position (end of fast phase index) and the start of the following slow phase segment (end point + 1). Height corrections are cumulative and must carry the proper sign. The reconstructed slow phase EOG position waveform is shown in figure 6. The FPID program listing is given in the appendix.

Conclusion and Recommendations

Although the fast phase identification (FPID) and slow phase reconstruction appear to work, the program needs to be evaluated with various types of VOR and OKN data. At the present time only short segments of data can be analyzed. The program needs a circular buffer, so that long data records can be analyzed. Additionally, the reconstructed
Fig. 6. The reconstructed slow phase horizontal eye movements.
wave must be written to a new data file prior to being used by the spectral programs written for NASA last summer.
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APPENDIX

FAST PHASE IDENTIFICATION
(FPID)

PROGRAM LISTING
PROGRAM FFID
C
INTEGER Z(512), DSKINC, NBLK, FPOSN(16), MAX(16), ICHNUM(16), LENGTH
REAL AMPL, PERIOD, INTRAT, HF0G(512), XT512, COEF(15),
1 YHEOG(512), SDEOG(512), SFOEG(512), SFYHFOG(512), FDEOG(512)
C
EQUIVALENCE (AMPL, Z(150)), (PERIOD, Z(151)), (INTRAT, Z(152)),
1 (DSKINC, Z(167)), (NBLK, Z(172)), (ICHNUM, Z(177)),
2 (FPOSN, Z(225)), (MAX, Z(241))
C
COEF(1) = .0037156503
COEF(2) = .020335427
COEF(3) = .013599956
COEF(4) = -.040643737
COEF(5) = -.066073574
COEF(6) = .06115263
COEF(7) = .30294424
COEF(8) = .43047285
NEH = 8
DO 5 N = 1, 7
COEF(16-N) = COEF(N)
5 CONTINUE
C
MHT = 0.
THT = 0.
ISLG = 0
C
INTEGER BUFR2(1024), IERR
BYTE FILNAM(12)
DO 20 K = 1, 12
FILNAM(K) = 0
20 TYPE 30
FORMAT (' ENTER COMPLETE FILENAME/' FILENAME ?', $)
ACCEPT 40, FILNAM
FORMAT (12A1)
CALL DISKIO(FILNAM, -3, Z, 256, 0, NDUMM, IERR)
IF (IERR .NE. 0) TYPE **, ERROR CODE', IERR,' DURING READING'
C
TYPE **, CHAN NO.,
ACCEPT **K
C
TYPE **, ENTER THE NUMBER OF DATA POINTS FOR EOG'
ACCEPT **LENGTH
C
TYPE **, DO YOU WANT FILES LEFT OPEN? (1=YES)
ACCEPT **LOPEN
C
LOPEN = 1
C
TYPE **, ENTER STARTING BLOCK NUMBER'
ACCEPT **IBLK
C
TYPE **, DO YOU WANT TO PLOT EVERY POINT? ENTER STEP SIZE!
ACCEPT **STEP
C
STEP = 1
C
NWRDS = DSKINC* 256
ITEMP = 0
**FORTRAN IV**  
**Vol 2.6**  
*Wed 09-Aug-66 17:01:54*

0041 48 TYPE 50, NBLK, NRwDS, IBLK, D$KINC  
0042 50 FORMAT ( ' NBLK=',IS, ' NRwDS=',IS, ' IBLK=',IS, ' D$KINC=',IS)  
0043 51 TYPE 51, MAX(K), IPoSH(K)  
0044 51 FORMAT ( ' MAX(K) = ',IS, ' IPoSH(K) = ',IS)  
  
C  
0045 I$RMODE=3  
0046 IF (OPEN (.EQ. 1)) I$RMODE = -3  
0047 TYPE **' NOW READING FROM DISK'**  
  
C  
0048 CALL DISKIO(FILNAM, I$RMODE, BUFR2, NRwDS, IBLK, NDUMmY, I$ERR)  
0049 IF (I$ERR .NE. 0) TYPE **' ERROR CODE', I$ERR, ' DURING READ'**  
0050  
C  
0051 NPTS = (MAX(K)-IPoSH(K)+1)/ISTEP  
0052 DO 57 L = 1, NPTS  
0053 MEQG(L+ITEMP) = BUFR2(IPoSH(K) + L*ISTEP)  
0054 CONTINUE  
0055  
C  
0056 DO 950 I = 1, 26  
0057 TYPE 951, I, HEOG(I)  
0058 951 FORMAT ( ' I = ',I4, ' HEOG = ',F12.5)  
0059 CONTINUE  
0060 DO 960 I = LENGTH-26, LENGTH  
0061 TYPE 961, I, HEOG(I)  
0062 961 FORMAT ( ' I = ',I4, ' HEOG = ',F12.5)  
0063 CONTINUE  
0064 TYPE 962, NPTS, ITE$P  
0065 962 FORMAT ( ' NPTS = ',I8, ' ITE$P = ',I8)  
0066 TYPE **' WAITING TYPE 1'**  
0067 ACCEPT*, ISN  
  
C  
0068 I$BLK = I$BLK + D$KINC  
0069 ITE$P = ITE$P + NPTS  
  
C  
0070 IF (ITE$P .LT. LENGTH) GO TO 52  
0071 XLGT = FLOAT(LENGTH)  
0072 TYPE 50, NBLK, NRwDS, IBLK, D$KINC  
  
C  
0074 DO 60 J = 1, LENGTH  
0075 XT(J) = FLOAT(J)  
0076 CONTINUE  
0077 TYPE **' SET YMIN'**  
0078 ACCEPT **'YMIN'**  
0079 TYPE **' SET YMAX'**  
0080 ACCEPT **'YMAX'**  
  
C  
0081 ILFT = 600  
0082 IRIT = 3500  
0083 IBOT = 1000  
0084 ITOP = 2500  
0085 XMIN = O.  
0086 XMAX = XLGT  
  
C  
0087 TYPE **' DO YOU WANT TO REMOVE D.C.? (YES=1)'**  
0088 ACCEPT **'IES'**  
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IF (IYES .NE. 1) GO TO 162
COMPUTE THE MEAN OF THE DATA

DO 58 I = 1, LENGTH
XSUM = XSUM + HEOG(I)
CONTINUE
XMEAN = XSUM/XLGT

DO 59 I = 1, LENGTH
HEOG(I) = HEOG(I) - XMEAN
CONTINUE

CALL TSXCHK
CALL GRINIT(4014,4631,1)
CALL CHRSIZ(2)
CALL ERASE
CALL GRID(10*10,ILFT,IRIT,IBOT,ITOP,97)
CALL ANOTAT(10*10,ILFT,IRIT,IBOT,ITOP,XMIN,XMAX,YMIN,YMAX)
CALL XYPLOT(XT,HEOG,LENGTH,ILFT,IRIT,IBOT,ITOP,XMIN,XMAX,
YMIN,YMAX,1,0)
CALL HFLUT(ILFT+500,ITOP+300,-1)
TYPE 61
FORMAT('+RAW DATA ')

TYPE *, 'DO YOU WANT A HARD COPY? (YES=I)'
ACCEPT *,IS
IF (IS .NE. 1) GO TO 62
CALL COPY(0)

DO 500 I = 3, LENGTH-2
YHEOG(I) = .11*(HEOG(I-2)+HEOG(I+2))+.22*(HEOG(I-1)+HEOG(I+1))
+ .33*HEOG(I)
CONTINUE

YHEOG(1) = YHEOG(3)
YHEOG(2) = YHEOG(3)
YHEOG(LENGTH) = YHEOG(LENGTH-2)
YHEOG(LENGTH-1) = YHEOG(LENGTH)

DO 510 I = 1, LENGTH
HEOG(I) = YHEOG(I)
CONTINUE

NCO = 15
FILTERING DATA WITH 15-POINT FIR FILTER!

DO 80 I = 2, LENGTH+NCO
SUM = 0.0
DO 70 J = 1,NCO
L = J
IF (J .GE. I) GO TO 70
IF (I-J .GT. LENGTH) GO TO 70
H = COEF(J) * YHEOG(I-J)
SUM = SUM + H
YHEOG(I-1) = SUM
CONTINUE
J2 = 14
DO 81 J = 15, LENGTH+J2
YHEOG(J-J2) = YHEOG(J)
CONTINUE
DO 630 I = 1, 14
YHEOG(LENGTH-I-I) = YHEOG(LENGTH-14)
CONTINUE
J2 = 14
DO 81 J = 15, LENGTH+J2
YHEOG(J-J2) = YHEOG(J)
CONTINUE
CALL TSXCHK
CALL GRINIT(4014,4631,1)
CALL CHRSIZ(3)
CALL ERASE
CALL GRID(10,10,ILFT,IRIT,IBOT,ITOF,97)
CALL ANOTAT(IO,IO,ILFT,IRIT,IBOT,ITOF',xMIN,xMAX,YMIN,YMAX)
CALL XYFLOT(xT,YHEOG,LENGTH,ILFT,IRIT,IBOT,ITOF,xMIN,xMAX,1)
CALL MPLOT(ILFT+500,ITOF+300,-1)
TYPE 261
FORMAT(’FIR FILTERED DATA ’)
CALL COPY(O)
H = FLOAT(ISTEP)/120.
L = LENGTH
TYPE ’, SET DESIRED HALF ORDER OR DIFFERENTIATOR’
ACCEPT *,NDIF
GO TO (84,86,88),NDIF
DO 85 I = 2, LENGTH
FDEOG(I) = (YHEOG(I+1) - YHEOG(I-1))/(H * 2.)
CONTINUE
FDEOG(L) = FDEOG(1)
FDEOG(2) = FDEOG(3)
GO TO 1000
DO 87 I = 3, L-2
FDEOG(I) = ((YHEOG(I-2) - YHEOG(I+2)) + (8.*(YHEOG(I+1) - 1 YHEOG(I-1)))/(H*12.,
CONTINUE
FDEOG(1) = FDEOG(3)
FDEOG(2) = FDEOG(3)
FDEOG(L) = FDEOG(L-2)
REAL XMFSD

C 

TYPE **' SETTING MULTIPLYING FACTOR FOR 2ND DERIVATIVE'

C ACCEPT **XMFSD

C

DO 400 I = 1, L

SDEOG(I) = XMFSD*SDEOG(I)

CONTINUE

C

FDRMS = 0.

SSFD = 0.

DO 700 I = 1, LENGTH-20

SSFD = SSFD + FDEOG(I)**2.

CONTINUE

SSFD = SSFD/FLOAT(LENGTH-20)

FDRMS = SQRT(SSFD)

C

TYPE 725,FDRMS

FORMAT (' RMS OF 1ST DERIV. =',F8.3)

SDRMS = 0.

SSSD = 0.

DO 750 I = 1, LENGTH-20

SSSD = SSSD + SDEOG(I)**2.

CONTINUE

SSSD = SSSD/FLOAT(LENGTH-20)

SDRMS = SQRT(SSSD)

C

TYPE 775,SDRMS

FORMAT (' RMS OF 2ND DERIV. =',F8.3)

C

TYPE **' WAITING! TYPE 1 TO CONTINUE.'

ACCEPT *, JES

C

GO TO 90

C

DO 89 I = 4, L-3

FDEOG(I) = ((YHEOG(I+3) - YHEOG(I-3)) + (9.*(YHEOG(I-2) - 1 YHEOG(I+2))) + (45.*(YHEOG(I+1) - YHEOG(I-1))))/(H*60.)

CONTINUE

FDEOG(1) = FDEOG(4)

FDEOG(2) = FDEOG(4)

FDEOG(3) = FDEOG(4)

FDEOG(L) = FDEOG(L-3)

FDEOG(L-1) = FDEOG(L-3)

FDEOG(L-2) = FDEOG(L-3)
0222   GO TO 1000
0223      YMIN = YMIN*10.
0224      YMAX = YMAX*10.
0225      CALL TSXCHK
0226      CALL GRINIT(4014,4631,1)
0227      CALL CHRSIZ(3)
0228      CALL ERASE
0229      CALL GRID(10,10,ILFT,IRIT,IBOT,ITOP,97)
0230      CALL ANOTAT(10,10,ILFT,IRIT,IBOT,ITOP,XMIN,XMAX,YMIN,YMAX)
0231      CALL XYFLOT(XT,FDEOG,LENGTH,ILFT,IRIT,IBOT,ITOP)
0232          1 YMIN,YMAX,1,0)
0233      CALL MLOT(ILFT+500,ITOP+300,-1)
0234  282 TYPE 282
0235      FORMAT ('+ FIRST DERIVATIVE OF DATA')
0236      TYPE **,' DO YOU WANT A HARD COPY? (YES=1)'
0237      ACCEPT **,IS
0238      IF (IS .NE. 1) GO TO 283
0239      CALL COPY(0)
0240  283 TYPE **,' DO YOU WANT A SINGLE CURVE PLOT? (YES=1)'
0241      ACCEPT **,IYES
0242      IF (IYES .NE. 1) GO TO 210
0243      CALL TSXCHK
0244      CALL GRINIT(4014,4631,1)
0245      CALL CHRSIZ(3)
0246      CALL ERASE
0247      CALL GRID(10,10,ILFT,IRIT,IBOT,ITOP,97)
0248      CALL ANOTAT(10,10,ILFT,IRIT,IBOT,ITOP,XMIN,XMAX,YMIN,YMAX)
0249      GO TO 250
0250  210 IBOT = 1250
0251      ITOP = 2750
0252      CALL XYPLOT(XT,FDEOG,LENGTH,ILFT,IRIT,IBOT,ITOP)
0253          1 YMIN,YMAX,1,0)
0254      CALL MLOT(ILFT+500,ITOP+300,-1)
0255  382 TYPE 382
0256      FORMAT ('+ SECOND DERIVATIVE OF DATA')
0257      TYPE **,' DO YOU WANT A HARD COPY? (YES=1)'
0258      ACCEPT **,IS
0259      IF (IS .NE. 1) GO TO 92
0260      CALL COPY(0)
0261  92 TYPE **,' ANOTHER DIFFERENTIATOR? (YES=1)'
0262      ACCEPT **,IS
0263      IF (IS .EQ. 1) GO TO 83
0264      CALL ERASE
0265      TYPE **,' IDENTIFICATION OF FAST PHASES'
0266      FDRMSTH = FDRMS*2.
0267      CALL MLOT(ILFT+500,ITOP+300,-1)
0268      TYPE 410, FDRMSTH

21-27
FORMAT ("1ST DERIV. RMS THRESHOLD = ", F9.3)

C

TERM = LENGTH - ISLG
DO 112 J = 1, TERM
IF (ABS(FDEOG(ISLG+J)) .GT. ABS(FORMSTH)) GO TO 115
CONTINUE

C

ITLST = J + ISLG
TYPE 411, ITLST
FORMAT ("INDEX TSH EXCD AT I = ", I5)

C

IF (FDEOG(ITLST) .GE. 0.) GO TO 120
TYPE ** NEGATIVE VALUES START'
DO 117 L = 1, 10
IF (FDEOG(ITLST - L) .GE. 0.) GO TO 124
CONTINUE

C

TYPE ** POSITIVE VALUES START'
DO 122 L = I, 10
IF (FDEOG(ITLST - L) .LT. 0.) GO TO 128
CONTINUE

C

TYPE ** NEGATIVE VALUES END'
ISTRT = ITLST - L
TYPE 412, ISTRT
FORMAT ("STRT NEG INDEX AT I = ", I4)

C

DO 126 K = 1, 30
IF (FDEOG(ITLST + K) .GE. 0.) GO TO 135
CONTINUE

C

TYPE ** POSITIVE VALUES END'
ISTRT = ITLST - L
TYPE 414, ISTRT
FORMAT ("STRT POS INDEX AT I = ", I4)

C

DO 130 LN = 1, 30
IF (FDEOG(ITLST + LN) .LT. 0) GO TO 134
CONTINUE

C

ISTP = ITLST + LN
TYPE 415, ISTP
FORMAT ("END POS INDEX AT I = ", I4)
GO TO 136

C

ISTP = ITLST + K
TYPE 416, ISTP
FORMAT ("END NEG INDEX AT I = ", I4)

C

LEAST SQUARES FIT
XN = 10.
DXSUM = 0.
DXSSUM = 0.
DYSUM = 0.
DXYSUM = 0.
DO 140 ISL = ISTRT-10, ISTRT
F12 = FLOAT(ISL)
DXSUM = DXSUM + F12
DXSSUM = DXSSUM + FI2*FI2
DYSUM = DYSUM + YHEOG(ISL)
DXYSUM = DXYSUM + FI2*YHEOG(ISL)
CONTINUE
C
DENOM = (DX*DXSSUM - DXSUM*DXSUM)
SLOPE = (DX*DXYSUM - DXSUM*DXYSUM)/DENOM
YINT = (DYSUM*DXSSUM - DXSUM*DXYSUM)/DENOM
TYPE 417, SLOPE, YINT
FORMAT (' SLOPE =',F12.5, ' YINT =',F12.5)
C
DO 142 ILF = ISTRT, ISTP
XILF = FLOAT(ILF)
YHEOG(ILF) = SLOPE*XILF + YINT
TYPE *, ILF, YHEOG(ILF)
CONTINUE
C
TYPE **', TO CONTINUE TYPE: 1
ACCEPT**, IX
CALL ERASE
C
TYPE **', RECONSTRUCT SLOW PHASE'
C
HHT = HHT + YHEOG(ISTP) - YHEOG(ISTP+1)
TYPE 420, HHT
FORMAT (' HEIGHT CORRECTION =',F12.5)
C
TYPE 421, ISLG, ISTP
FORMAT (' STRT SP INDX = ',I4,'STP SP INDX = ',I4)
C
DO 145 I = ISLG+1, ISTP
SPEOG(I) = YHEOG(I) + THT
TYPE *, I, SPEOG(I)
CONTINUE
C
THT = HHT
ISLG = ISTP
TYPE 425, ISLG
FORMAT (' NEW SEARCH STARTS AT I = ',I4)
TYPE **', TO CONTINUE TYPE: 1'
ACCEPT **, IXS
C
IF (ISLG .LT. LENGTH) GO TO 110
C
TYPE **', PLOT RECONSTRUCTED SLOW WAVE? (YES = 1)
ACCEPT *, IYES
IF (IYES .NE. 1) GO TO 149
C
YMIN = YMIN +1
YMAX = YMAX +1
C
CALL TSCXCHK
CALL GRINIT(4014,4631,1)
CALL CHRSIZ(3)
CALL ERASE
CALL GRID(IO,IO,ILFT,IRIT,IBOT,ITOF,97)
CALL ANOTAT(IO,IO,ILFT,IRIT,IBOT,ITOF,XMIN,XMAX,YMIN,YMAX)
CALL XYPLOT(XT,SPYEOG,LENGTH,ILFT,IRIT,IBOT,ITOF,XMIN,XMAX,
1 YMIN,YMAX,1,0)
CALL MFLOT(ILFT+500,ITOF+300,-1)
TYPE 882
FORMAT ('+ RECONSTRUCTED SLOW PHASE EOG POSITION DATA')
TYPE *,' DO YOU WANT A HARD COPY? (YES=1)'
ACCEPT *,I8
IF (I8 .NE. 1) GO TO 149
CALL COPY(0)

DO 600 I = 3, LENGTH-2
SPYHEOG(I) = .11*(SPYEOG(I-2)+SPYEOG(I+2))+.22*(SPYEOG(I-1)
1 +SPYEOG(I+1)) + .33*SPYEOG(I)
CONTINUE
SPYHEOG(1) = SPYHEOG(3)
SPYHEOG(2) = SPYHEOG(3)
SPYHEOG(LENGTH) = SPYHEOG(LENGTH-2)
SPYHEOG(LENGTH-1) = SPYHEOG(LENGTH)
CALL TSXCHK
CALL GRINIT(4014,4631,1)
CALL CHRSIZ(2)
CALL ERASE
CALL GRID(IO,IO,ILFT,IRIT,IBOT,ITOF,97)
CALL ANOTAT(IO,IO,ILFT,IRIT,IBOT,ITOF,XMIN,XMAX,YMIN,YMAX)
CALL XYPLOT(XT,SPYHEOG,LENGTH,ILFT,IRIT,IBOT,ITOF,XMIN,XMAX,
1 YMIN,YMAX,1,0)
CALL MFLOT(ILFT+500,ITOF+300,-1)
TYPE 82
FORMAT ('+ FIR FILTERED AND 5-PT SMOOTHED DATA')
TYPE *,' DO YOU WANT A HARD COPY? (YES=1)'
ACCEPT *,I8
IF (I8 .NE. 1) GO TO 149
CALL COPY(0)

DO 100 I = 2, LENGTH+NCO
DSUM = 0.0
21-30
IF (J .GE. I) GO TO 95
IF (I-J .GT. LENGTH) GO TO 95
DH = COEF(J) * SPEOG(I-J)
DSUM = DSUM + DH
CONTINUE
C
DO 101 M = 15, LENGTH+J2
SPYHFOG(M-J2) = SPYHFOG(M)
CONTINUE
C
DO 102 I = 1, 14
SPYHFOG(LENGTH-I-1) = SPYHFOG(LENGTH-14)
CONTINUE
C
CALL TSXCHK
CALL GRINIT(4014,4631,1)
CALL CHRSIZ(3)
CALL ERASE
CALL GRID(10*10,ILFT,IRIT,IBOT,ITOP,97)
CALL ANOTAT(10*10,ILFT,IRIT,IBOT,ITOP,XMIN,XMAX,YMIN,YMAX)
CALL XYFLOT(XT,SPYHFOG,LENGTH,ILFT,IRIT,IBOT,ITOP,XMIN,XMAX,YMIN,YMAX,I)
CALL MPLOT(ILFT+500,ITOP+300,-I)
TYPE 104
FORMAT ('+ 15-PT FILTERED SLOW PHASE EOG DATA')
CALL COPY(0)
C
IBLK = IBLK - 3
IF( IBLK .LT. NBLK) GO TO 48
C
TYPE *, 'ANOTHER CHANNEL OF DATA? (YES=1)' ACCEPT *,JES
IF (JES .EQ. 1) GO TO 42
C
TYPE *, 'TRY ANOTHER FILE? (1=YES) ? ' ACCEPT *, MORE
IF (MORE .EQ. 1) GO TO 10
C
STOP
END
Fortran IV Storage Map for Program Unit FFID

Local Variables, .F.SECT $DATA, Size = 042652 (6917, words):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Offset</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Offset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMPL</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>000454</td>
<td>Eav</td>
<td>DENOM</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSMINC</td>
<td>IX2</td>
<td>000516</td>
<td>Eav</td>
<td>DSUM</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXXSUM</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>042470</td>
<td>Eav</td>
<td>DXYSUM</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDRMS</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>042414</td>
<td>Eav</td>
<td>FDRMST</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>042404</td>
<td>Eav</td>
<td>HHT</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IALK</td>
<td>IX2</td>
<td>042302</td>
<td>Eav</td>
<td>IBOT</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILF</td>
<td>IX2</td>
<td>042352</td>
<td>Eav</td>
<td>ILFT</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIT</td>
<td>IX2</td>
<td>042344</td>
<td>Eav</td>
<td>IRMODE</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISL</td>
<td>IX2</td>
<td>042510</td>
<td>Eav</td>
<td>ISLG</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISTEP</td>
<td>IX2</td>
<td>042304</td>
<td>Eav</td>
<td>ISTRT</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITEMP</td>
<td>IX2</td>
<td>042310</td>
<td>Eav</td>
<td>ITOP</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>IX2</td>
<td>042320</td>
<td>Eav</td>
<td>JES</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>IX2</td>
<td>042274</td>
<td>Eav</td>
<td>J2</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LN</td>
<td>IX2</td>
<td>042460</td>
<td>Eav</td>
<td>LOFEN</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>IX2</td>
<td>042554</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>MORE</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBLK</td>
<td>IX2</td>
<td>000530</td>
<td>Eav</td>
<td>NCO</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDUMY</td>
<td>IX2</td>
<td>042276</td>
<td>Eav</td>
<td>NEH</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURDS</td>
<td>IX2</td>
<td>042306</td>
<td>PERIOD</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>000460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLOPE</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>042522</td>
<td>SSFD</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>042420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSHDF</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>042420</td>
<td>Eav</td>
<td>SSFD</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THT</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>042266</td>
<td>Eav</td>
<td>THT</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XMAX</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>042356</td>
<td>Eav</td>
<td>XMAX</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XMIN</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>042352</td>
<td>Eav</td>
<td>XMIN</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YINT</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>042526</td>
<td>Eav</td>
<td>YINT</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local and COMMON Arrays:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Offset</th>
<th>------</th>
<th>Size------</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BUFR2</td>
<td>IX2</td>
<td>$DATA</td>
<td>036076</td>
<td>(1024.)</td>
<td>(1024)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COEF</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>$DATA</td>
<td>012002</td>
<td>000074</td>
<td>(30.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FREQ</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>$DATA</td>
<td>032076</td>
<td>004000</td>
<td>(1024.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILM</td>
<td>IX1</td>
<td>$DATA</td>
<td>042076</td>
<td>000014</td>
<td>(6.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEUG</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>$DATA</td>
<td>002002</td>
<td>004000</td>
<td>(1024.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICHNUM</td>
<td>IX2</td>
<td>$DATA</td>
<td>000542</td>
<td>000040</td>
<td>(16.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPOSN</td>
<td>IX2</td>
<td>$DATA</td>
<td>000702</td>
<td>000040</td>
<td>(16.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>IX2</td>
<td>$DATA</td>
<td>000742</td>
<td>000040</td>
<td>(16.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBEQ</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>$DATA</td>
<td>016076</td>
<td>004000</td>
<td>(1024.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPEQ</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>$DATA</td>
<td>022076</td>
<td>004000</td>
<td>(1024.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPYHEQ</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>$DATA</td>
<td>026076</td>
<td>004000</td>
<td>(1024.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XT</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>$DATA</td>
<td>006002</td>
<td>004000</td>
<td>(1024.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THEQ</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>$DATA</td>
<td>012076</td>
<td>004000</td>
<td>(1024.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>IX2</td>
<td>$DATA</td>
<td>000002</td>
<td>002000</td>
<td>(512.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subroutines, Functions, Statement and Processor-Defined Functions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARS</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>ANOTAT</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>CHRSIZ</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>COPY</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERASE</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>FLOAT</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>GRID</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>GRINIT</td>
<td>R*4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SORT</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>TSXCHK</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>XYPLOT</td>
<td>R*4</td>
<td>MFLOT</td>
<td>I*2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Summary

A minimally processed composite material
derived from lunar soil and possessing tensile
strength is described. Laboratory work
conducted during June and July of 1986 and the
direction of future work are discussed.

Introduction

The National Commission on Space suggests
"Research to pioneer the use, in construction
and manufacturing, of space materials that do
not require chemical separation, for example,
lunar glasses and metallic iron concentrated in
the lunar fines."\(^1\)

Lunar glasses can be produced simply by
melting certain kinds of regolith (lunar soil).\(^a\)
Like terrestrial glasses, they have considerable
strength in tension and compression,\(^b\) but are
too brittle to be safely used in construction.
Under moderate tensile loads, cracks of less
than a millimeter depth propagate spontaneously
at the speed of sound.\(^2\) That is why a dropped
dish cracks. While glass with an unflawed
surface exhibits considerable tensile strength,
there is no inspection method which can tell
whether any given surface is, in fact, flawed.
Therefore, bulk glass (like bulk rock) is an
inherently unsafe structural material if subject
to tensile stresses.

A material which cannot tolerate tensile
stresses cannot be used for beams.\(^c\)
Architecturally, its applications are restricted to thick walls, columns, domes, and arches (although flying buttresses can alleviate the severity of these restrictions to some extent). Structures employing only these forms are typically massive and require considerable labor to erect; in part for this reason stone architecture has been largely restricted to public buildings on Earth. There is no reason to believe that costs would be less on the lunar surface.

Two methods of avoiding the crack propagation failure mode are of interest. One is pre-stressing. A glass plate, for example, can be solidified rapidly by air blast. If the solidification is only partial, an outside shell forms around a molten center. When the center cools, it contracts, putting itself in tension and the shell in compression. The center part, in tension, has to all intents and purposes an unflawed surface. The outer part, in compression and thus insensitive to cracks, protects the inner part. This pre-stressed system is quite strong and tough, but will release its stress energy suddenly if a crack does manage to penetrate the compressed region. The energy release is often enough to reduce the system to glass chips.

A second method of avoiding crack propagation is to make the unit glass structure smaller than the critical crack length. This is done by forming glass into long strands of ten to twenty microns thickness and several centimeters length. The glass fibers are held together by a matrix, and thus are one component of a composite material. The most commonly encountered material of this sort is fiberglass, which typically uses epoxy resin for the matrix.

The glass fiber composite appeared most suitable for initial investigation, primarily on grounds of safety. A glass matrix composite is not subject to catastrophic failure when its design stresses are exceeded, whereas a pre-stressed system (including, interestingly enough, pre-stressed concrete in compression) is.
Microstructure

A lunar derived glass fiber composite cannot very well use epoxy as a matrix; neither epoxy nor its component element carbon can be readily found on the lunar surface. We intend to produce, not fiberglass, but rather an analog to fiberglass. We are not the only group doing this; Goldsworthy’s group at the Space Studies Institute is pursuing development of a similar material.³

Strictly speaking, the proposed composite is three phase: glass, metal, and vacuum. The microstructure should look like a mass of sticky cotton. The threads will be glass, the glue will be metal, and the spaces between threads vacuum. The metals will be sticky because they will have free surfaces, created and maintained in high vacuum.⁴ The threads will stick together where they touch; they will be unsupported between intersections.

The incentive for studying a three phase material is potential reduction of matrix volume fraction. To obtain Lunar metal requires more processing than to obtain lunar regolith; the less metal required, the less labor and capital required to make the composite. Should it be necessary, a more conventional matrix could be obtained by increasing the metal fraction. At the limit, the glass fibers would no longer touch each other significantly often, and would act as an extender for lunar derived metal (at some cost in energy: the metal would have to be vaporized, or at least melted, before mixing with the glass).

The tensile strength of this material should depend on the cross-sectional area fraction which is glass (and the resistance to delamination). The compressive strength should depend on the force required to initiate bending in fibers between junctions (which must therefore be rather tightly spaced). The ultimate compressive stress may be fairly low (5E3psi), but this does not preclude its use in beams. The corresponding stress for commercial pine beams is much lower (250 psi).⁵

A long shot, but an interesting one, is a glass / vacuum composite. It is possible that
newly drawn glass fibers will vacuum weld without metal coating. If so, the strength of the glass / glass bonds could be increased by heat treatment. While this composite would probably not be as strong as a glass / metal / vacuum composite, it may be comparable to wood in strength. If so, it would still be useful for light duty applications.

It would have the immense advantage of being fabricable entirely from Apollo 16 regolith, with no preprocessing whatsoever. Glass / metal / vacuum composite production costs appear likely to be dominated by metal mining and extraction. While metal related costs could be greatly reduced by using byproducts of a lunar oxygen process as composite feedstock, these byproducts may at times be unavailable. If composite production is run as a solo process, costs might be significantly reduced by electing to produce a glass / vacuum composite.

**Previous Work**

As part of a Universities Space Research Association (USRA) supported course on Advanced Mission Design, a group at Clemson specified a method of converting Apollo 16 bulk regolith into glass fibers. The method is based on the commercial Tel disk process, and is shown in Figure 1. It relies on introducing molten regolith into a rapidly rotating shallow bowl (called a disk) with perforated sides. Centrifugal force extrudes glass through the perforations as fibers, which break free from the disk upon reaching a length of several centimeters. The fibers are thinly metal coated by vapor deposition before landing on collection surfaces. Glass mat accumulating on the collection surfaces should form a glass / metal / vacuum composite of the kind discussed above.

Predictions of the composite's properties must be experimentally tested before they can be accepted. The Clemson group has, during June and July of 1986, done preliminary work required to fabricate and test composites of this kind.
Figure 1. Disk fiberization.
Preparation for Laboratory Work

The rotating disk process is not suitable for laboratory use. Accordingly, a method called the "textile process," shown in Figure 2, was selected. The textile process relies on a glass reservoir with a nozzle (called a bushing) in its bottom. Glass flowing through the bushing is caught and wound onto a reel at high speed (about 3 meters/second). It can be metal coated while between the bushing and the reel.

The laboratory equipment is designed to pull about 30 kilometers of a 30E-6 meter diameter fiber from the reservoir, metal coat it, and wind it around a sample collecting reel in the form of cigarette sized samples. The entire operation should take about 3 hours. Samples will be subject to material properties tests.

The overall textile process system consists of three parts: a glass melting furnace, capable of 1600° C and containing a platinum reservoir, a computer controlled takeup reel, capable of producing 6 test samples of about 6 cm length by 0.6 cm diameter, and a calcium vapor generator, capable of vaporizing up to 100 grams of calcium over a six hour interval at about 850° C. All components are to operate in vacuum of 8E-5 torr.

Clear bottle glass is to be used for equipment checkout and first approximation composite property determination. Apollo 16 regolith simulant (assembled from bulk chemicals) will be used after the system is seen to work well with bottle glass.

Calcium is selected as the metal for glass coating because it can be made to sublime at a fairly low temperature in vacuum and could be extracted from lunar regolith.

Laboratory work

Of these three components, only the reel has been successfully and completely tested in vacuum.

The calcium vapor generator has been tested, and molecular flow of calcium in what appears to
Glass reservoir

Vapor generator

Vapor generator

Glass winder reel

Figure 2. Textile Process
be adequate volume has been demonstrated. However, the generator itself was severely damaged during the test run, and repair proved uneconomical. The calcium vapor nozzle was apparently cool enough to permit condensation inside the orifice; when the orifice became plugged, vapor escaped through gaps around the endcaps in enough volume to damage heating coils and surrounding refractory. It should be noted that this escape posed no hazard to any person, and that the vacuum chamber was not damaged in any way. A calcium vapor generator not susceptible to these failures has been conceptually designed.

The furnace reaches rated temperature (1200°C) in air and in vacuum. The glass melts properly in air. We have succeeded in drawing fibers from Apollo 16 regolith simulant.

First, we learned that a crucible bottom of pure platinum becomes wetted by molten glass; this inhibits fiber formation. We reduced wetting to acceptable levels by alloying the platinum with a few percent gold. We next found that our empirical formula for nozzle design required adjustment for the small flow rates we wanted. Our data lead us to believe that a nearly stationary boundary layer of about 0.5 mm forms on platinum surfaces. This reduces effective bushing diameter by about 1 mm. Accordingly, we added 1 mm to our calculated bushing diameter in an attempt to increase flow to the desired amount. This change produced the desired flow for bottle glass.

After establishing that the furnace/reel combination could fiberize bottle glass, pulling rate was increased until 30E-6 meter diameter fibers were obtained. The reel was next moved from side to side (translated) by the translation motor under computer control.

This equipment was used to determine flow rate for bottle glass at several temperatures. From this, and a knowledge of bottle glass viscosity, it should be possible to determine the geometric constant D⁴/L in our empirical flow formula for the crucible.

We next attempted to fiberize Apollo 16 simulant. A frit of glassy fragments had been prepared at Clemson. The crucible was filled
with this frit and heated in air to 1250°C, at which point the melted simulant's viscosity permitted fiberization. We were able to produce fibers of 30E-6 meter diameter with a pulling rate of about 9 feet per second.

To our knowledge, this is the first time simulated lunar material has been fiberized using the textile process. While the fibers produced have not been formally tested, they seem somewhat stronger and more rigid than bottle glass. Produced on a large scale, they could be used with epoxy matrix to make standard fiberglass.

Fibers were pulled at three different temperatures to establish flow rate. From this it should be possible to deduce a viscosity vs. temperature relation for the lunar simulant.

Conclusion

To date, the project has taken up one semester of planning and nine weeks of laboratory work. The laboratory work will not be complete this summer; we intend to return to Clemson, think and plan some more, and complete the laboratory work in 1987.
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Footnotes

a Ideal fiberglass should contain, by weight percent:
SiO₂: 60; Al₂O₃: 5; CaO/MgO: 35; FeO/TiO₂: 0.
Lunar regolith at the Apollo sites 11, 12, 14, 15, and 16 has average weight percents of:
SiO₂: 45.6; Al₂O₃: 17.8; CaO/MgO: 21.0;
FeO/TiO₂: 14.5 (from data in Rose).
It is a bit long on SiO₂ and Al and Fe/Ti oxides.

b In fact the ultimate tensile strength of common bottle glass, made into fibers, is 200 kpsi, as compared to 224 kpsi for bridge wire steel. From Table 1-115, Bolz.

c A beam supported at both ends and loaded by a weight at midspan is in compression above the neutral axis and in tension below the neutral axis. While more complicated loadings are usually encountered, typically some sections of any beam are in compression and others in tension. Ropes, in contrast, are in tension throughout, and bricks in compression throughout.

d A third method is to alter the ceramic material so that free surface energy is drastically increased, or so that cracks tend to branch when propagated. Both strategies increase the critical crack length, but neither can be made from "minimally processed material," and are accordingly outside the scope of this project.

e The main drawback of fiberglass is not its ultimate tensile strength, but rather its flexibility. Glass fibers are as strong as steel (those with perfect surfaces are considerably more so), but are also more flexible. Glass typically has a Young's Modulus (E) of 11 Mpsi, whereas steel has an E of about 30 Mpsi. The same load will produce about three
times the deformation for a glass as a steel structure, if the geometries are identical.

Pressure at the lunar surface is 1E-14 torr. The vacuum chamber used this summer (Chamber P, Bldg. 33, NASA Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX) could provide a vacuum of 8E-5 torr with textile process equipment inside, but this vacuum is thought to be sufficient to permit vacuum welding of newly created free surfaces.

From an application of Rankine's formula, estimating phi using Ritter's Rational Formula, as described in Eshbach, pg. 528 ff. Ultimate compressive strength of 160E3 psi, and E of 10.4E6 psi assumed are for silica glass, as given in Table 1-120, Bolz. An l/d ration of 20 is assumed.

Composition of Apollo 16 regolith by weight percent is: SiO2: 44.9; AL2O3: 26.7; CaO/MgO: 21.6; FeO/TiO2: 6.1. Variations by weight percent are: SiO2: 0.7; AL2O3: 2.9; CaO/MgO: 3.9; FeO/TiO2: 2.4.

Lunar oxygen production processes break up selected metal oxides into oxygen and metal byproducts. If the selected metals are not desirable in glass (iron, titanium, and excess aluminum oxides, for example) regolith discarded from the process may be better suited to glass formation than raw regolith. Any metal byproducts will be useful as matrix.

The furnace is custom designed. It is a hexagonal cylinder, 6" wide sides (outer dimensions), 1.5" thick outer walls, 4.5" top, 3.0" bottom, kaowool (alumina silicate) construction. An additional molybdenum foil infrared shield (from GTE Sylvania) is used in vacuum. Heating is by 6 bayonet glowbars, 12 inch height, 5 ohms nominal resistance (from 12R). A PID controller is used to control temperature. Normal power consumption at 1200°C is about 2 kw.
The computer is an NCR Mk. IV with 256K bytes of memory running LMI Forth under NCR DOS 2.1. The interface board is a Metrabyte PIO board, which uses an Intel parallel I/O chip to send step and direction information. The stepper motor control board was fabricated by group members.

The reel is rotated by a dc motor, and moved transversely by a stepper motor. It has been tested at 408 rpm, corresponding to a 3.4 meter/second pulling rate.

The calcium generator was custom designed. It consisted of a containment vessel and a furnace. The containment vessel consisted of a pipe with endcaps and a 3.8 cm long, .63 cm inner diameter nozzle. The furnace was wrapped around the pipe and consisted of ceramic and Canthol, with molybdenum radiation shielding. The furnace was controlled by a PID controller, and was rated at 1 kw.

Lunar regolith simulant is metastably crystalline below about 1200° C. It has been fiberized at Clemson at about 1500° C. Bottle glass can be fiberized at about 1100° C, and its behavior is well understood.

Ball Aerospace, of Denver, Colorado, adapted our dc electric motor for vacuum use by baking out volatiles and relubricating with low vapor pressure grease.

Calcium has a vapor pressure of 1 torr at about 840° C, and 10 torr at about 950° C.

The gold was applied in a thin surface layer by sputtering, and alloyed with the crucible platinum by heating in air at 1200° C for an hour.

The regolith simulant had the following composition (weight percent):
SiO₂: 45; Al₂O₃: 27; CaO: 16; MgO: 6.48; FeO: 5.47; TiO₂: trace; MnO₂: 0.18; K₂O: 0.11; Na₂O: 0.11.
\[ \text{flow} = \frac{K(D^4h)}{(l \cdot \text{Viscosity})}. \]

Flow: volume of glass per unit time passing through bushing; \( K \): dimensional constant; \( D \): bushing diameter; \( h \): height of glass in reservoir (head); \( l \): length of bushing. Note that flow is proportional to the fourth power of bushing diameter.

The damage consisted of Canthol fusion, alloying between Canthol and calcium vapor, reactions between calcium and ceramic, and welding of the endcaps to the pipe. Use of other heating methods and a different container geometry should eliminate the causes of this failure.
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Turbo Prolog is a recently-available, compiled version of the programming language Prolog (Programming in Logic), originally developed at the University of Marseilles in the period from 1972 to 1974. Turbo Prolog is designed to provide not only a Prolog compiler, but also a program development environment for the IBM Personal Computer family.

An evaluation of Turbo Prolog was made, comparing its features to other versions of Prolog and to the community of languages commonly used in artificial intelligence (AI) research and development. Three programs were employed to determine the execution speed of Turbo Prolog applied to various problems: (1) a program which computes the factorial of a given integer was used to test the execution speed of Turbo Prolog with a purely computational problem, (2) the "Towers of Hanoi" was used to evaluate the speed of Turbo Prolog in executing a simple but intensely-recursive problem, and (3) the NASA benchmark planning problem (the "monkey and bananas" problem) was used to test the speed of Turbo Prolog with a problem used by NASA in its own evaluation tests.

The results of this evaluation demonstrated that Turbo Prolog can perform much better than many commonly-employed AI languages for numerically-intensive problems and can equal the speed of development languages such as OPS5+ and CLIPS, running on the IBM PC family of computers, with the NASA benchmark program. Applications for which Turbo Prolog is best suited include those which (1) lend themselves naturally to backward-chaining approaches (e.g., theorem proving), (2) require extensive use of mathematics, (3) contain few rules, (4) seek to make use of the windowing/color graphics capabilities of the IBM PC, and/or (5) require linkage to programs in other languages (e.g., C, Pascal, FORTRAN, or Assembler) to form a complete executable image.
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Introduction

Two of the tasks of the Artificial Intelligence (AI) Section of the Technology Development and Applications Branch, Mission Support Directorate, Johnson Space Center, are (1) the evaluation and development of AI software for building expert systems and (2) the evaluation of AI languages. A recently-available product (May, 1986), Turbo Prolog\textsuperscript{1} offers both a new version of an AI language and a programming environment for building expert systems. The goals of the project described in this report were (1) the evaluation of Turbo Prolog as an AI language and (2) the production of benchmark programs, written in Turbo Prolog, which permit Turbo Prolog’s execution speed to be directly compared with that of alternatives already evaluated by the AI Section\textsuperscript{2}.

In order to achieve the first goal, time was devoted to a study of Turbo Prolog in the context of other versions of Prolog and the development of simple programs using this language. Two simple tests of Turbo Prolog’s execution speed were made using the computation of factorials and the Towers of Hanoi. The final benchmark program was of the standard type used by the AI Section in evaluating the speed of a number of expert system development tools\textsuperscript{3}. The problem is one of proceeding to a prescribed goal by means of subgoals which must be achieved first. Initial conditions are supplied and approximately thirty rules specify the manner in which the subgoals and the final goal may be satisfied. This benchmark has been written and implemented in a variety.
of languages on a variety of computers. By comparing the speed with which this benchmark program executes when written in Turbo Prolog with the same benchmark in different programming languages running on the same computer, a measure of Turbo Prolog's efficacy as a language for the development of expert systems can be had.

This report begins by discussing the history of Prolog and continues by presenting the major features of Turbo Prolog, emphasizing those which set it apart from other versions of the language. Finally, the benchmark timing results are presented and some conclusions are drawn regarding the use of Turbo Prolog as a tool in the development of expert systems.

A Brief History of Prolog

The origins of Prolog (Programming in Logic) can be traced back to the 1965 publication of the Resolution Principle by J. A. Robinson. During the early 1970's a number of workers attempted to implement languages that embodied logic. Kowalski's development of predicate calculus in 1972 added a powerful tool to the kits of those seeking to produce languages that were oriented toward theorem proving. It was the collaborative efforts of R. A. Kowalski and Alain Colmerauer during the year Kowalski spent at the University of Marseilles that led to the development of Prolog's specifications in 1972. Colmerauer and his coworkers at Marseilles quickly began to implement these specifications and produced the first interpreters in 1973. With the detailed publication of Prolog's specifications in and of its implementation in 1975, other university groups began to use the "Marseilles" Prolog and began to develop their own Prolog versions. It was the publication of Programming in Prolog by Clocksin and Mellish in 1981 that brought some order to the proliferation of dialects of Prolog. By 1984, with the appearance of the second edition of Clocksin and Mellish, most users of Prolog were accustomed to a common syntax and grammar for the language.

The announcement by Japan in 1982 that Prolog would be the
language for their "fifth-generation" project catapulted Prolog, until that
time a predominantly European institution, into international prominence.
Until recently most U.S. AI practitioners have eschewed the use of Prolog
in favor of Lisp, in large measure due the the availability of powerful
development environments for Lisp machines. The advent of Turbo Prolog
may well serve to introduce Prolog into the "mainstream" of computing in
the U.S. It provides a powerful and inexpensive (<$100) development
environment for Prolog utilizing an extremely popular personal computer
family--the IBM PC/XT/AT).

Features of Turbo Prolog

Naturally, the feature that sets Turbo Prolog (and, for that
matter) all Prologs apart from other AI languages is its
backward-chaining nature. Most commonly used expert system
development tools are implemented with forward-chaining, although
some, like KEE and ART, can employ backward-chaining also. At first
glance Turbo Prolog seems to have embraced the syntax and functionality
of the "standard" set by Clocksin and Mellish. Syntactically, this is
more "almost" correct. Important differences exist, however, which are
pitfalls for the experienced Prolog programmer. One essential difference
(from which flows many "subdifferences") is the typed nature of the
Turbo Prolog compiler. In this instance Turbo Prolog resembles FORTRAN
or Pascal--each domain's type must be declared, either in the "domain"
section or in the declaration of a predicate. This single feature sets
Turbo Prolog apart from other versions of Prolog and from most other AI
languages in general. It is both a weakness and a strength. There is no
doubt that much of the speed and error checking power of the compiler is
due to domain typing. Experienced AI programmers are not accustomed to
a requirement that domains be typed. It is common to have functions, for
example, whose arguments may change from integer to real as a result of
a clause. In Turbo Prolog this means that each possible argument type
must be declared at the time the program is written. Additional "deltas"
with other Prolog versions also exist. For example, "=" is not the
unification operator of Clocksin and Mellish, rather it is more like the "is"
operator; commas do not act as operators; the programmer cannot define
his own infix operators; the result of an arithmetic operation depends on
the type(s) of the arguments; operators cannot be passed as functors; and
missing are the standard predicates arg, functor, clause, univ, and op.
Turbo Prolog unfortunately lacks a virtual database support and database
predicates are not executable.

Figure 1 shows the structure of a Turbo Prolog program. The
elements that are enclosed in brackets are optional. The program section
is used if this program is to be linked to others (written in Prolog, C,
FORTRAN, Pascal, or Assembler) to form an executable whole. The
directives section is used to issue orders to the compiler (for example,
invoking the trace facility or declaring the amount of memory to be
allocated to the code). The domains section is used to declare the types
of all predicate arguments (it may be omitted if there are no compound
predicates and the type declaration can be included in the predicate
section). Global domains are used for those predicates that will be
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FIGURE 1: The Structure of a Turbo Prolog Program
accessed by other programs linked to the present one and the database section is used to identify those predicates that will be changed by "assert" during program execution. The predicate section contains a list of all predicates and their arguments and the global predicate section serves the same function as the global domains section. Clauses are listed in the clause section. Goals may be declared in the program itself. If the goal section is missing, Turbo Prolog prompts the user for a goal in the dialogue window.

In "giving" up some of the familiar features of other Prologs, the user of Turbo Prolog does gain a great deal. Unlike most AI languages, Turbo Prolog contains a complete set of arithmetic and trigonometric operators. In addition, there are about thirty "new" standard predicates that allow the programmer to access the full range of power of the IBM PC family. For example, Turbo Prolog contains a complete set of graphics commands for the PC, including windowing and the ability to mix text and graphics in the same window. Sound and color are both supported as well as input/output via files, devices, or ports. Turbo Prolog allows the programmer to link a prolog program to other programs written in C, FORTRAN, Pascal, or Assembler. The programmer (as well as the user of a developed application) has full access to DOS, BIOS, and the built-in Turbo editor. Perhaps the "nicest" thing provided by Turbo Prolog is a powerful development environment, based on the PC, that is extraordinarily inexpensive compared with those used by most AI programmers. The development environment provides four windows (the user controls the size and foreground/background color of each window): editor, dialogue, trace, and message. A banner menu is provided allowing the user to select editor, run (compiles and runs), compile (allows the user to compile to an object or executable file), options (selects whether the compilation is to an object or executable file), setup (allows the user to configure the windows, define directories, and perform other useful "housekeeping" tasks) and quit (which returns the user to DOS). The editor is a "full-window" editor and uses the commands of Wordstar. The compiler, like that of Turbo Pascal, stops when an error is encountered, returns to the programmer to the editor, and places the cursor at the location of the error. The powerful trace facility allows the user to examine every call and return for the entire program or for selected
clauses. All-in-all, Turbo Prolog is a pleasant way to quickly develop executable code.

**Benchmarks**

Three benchmarks were chosen to measure the speed of execution of a Turbo Prolog program in performing three very different tasks. To test Turbo Prolog's execution speed with arithmetic operations, a simple program was used to compute the factorial of an integer (Appendix A contains the source code for this program). The program was run on an IBM PC and an IBM PC/AT to compute the factorial of 170 (the result of this computation is near the capacity of the PC). The time required for this computation is shown in Table 1. The Towers of Hanoi problem provides another benchmark program which is intensively recursive and makes large demands on the stack (Appendix B contains the source code for this program). The times required for the execution of this program with different numbers of disks are also included in Table 1.

The final benchmark was chosen to permit the speed of Turbo Prolog to be directly compared to that of other expert system tools in the execution of a rule-based expert system. The problem tackled was a variation of the well-known “monkey and bananas” problem\(^2\). This particular variation was developed by the AI Section as a means of comparing a large number of expert system development tools\(^2,3\). The general problem is prototypical of a number of planning problems in which many subgoals must be identified and reached in order for the “main” goal to be achieved.

The monkey and bananas program, as implemented in Turbo Prolog (the source code for the program is contained in Appendix C) consists of 34 “rules” in the form of clauses or subclauses. A total of 22 predicates were used. Table 2 contains the time required for execution of this program on both the IBM PC and IBM PC/AT. The table also contains the accumulated timing tests obtained by the AI Section through the end of July, 1986. It should be noted that there is some ambiguity in determining the execution speed of a Turbo Prolog program. After compilation is complete, but before execution begins, Turbo Prolog
TABLE 1: Execution Times for Two Benchmarks Using Turbo Prolog (See Appendices for Source Code)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Execution Times (s)</th>
<th>IBM PC</th>
<th>IBM PC/AT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Factorial of 170</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Towers of Hanoi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Disks</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Disks</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Disks</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Disks</td>
<td>9.55</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Disks</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

checks the program's clauses against the given goal(s). Those clauses which will be called in order to reach the given goal(s) are selected through this "preprocessing". Only after this is accomplished is the goal actually executed. This means that the internal time function can only be accessed after the preprocessing is complete. Since the user is normally concerned with the total "run" time, which includes both preprocessing and execution, it is this run time which is reported. A footnote gives the measured execution times for the program running on both machines tested.

Conclusions

Turbo Prolog may be, in the view of at least one evaluator, not so much another version of Prolog, as a new language in itself. Turbo Prolog has proven to be exceptionally easy to begin to use and Borland has "encased" it in an superb development environment. The syntax of the language and its standard predicates depart significantly from the Prolog "standard"; this may pose a barrier to the experienced Prolog programmer,
Table 2: Timing Tests of Expert System Tools for the NASA "Monkey and Bananas" Benchmark

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOOL(VERSION)</th>
<th>MACHINE</th>
<th>TIME(S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ART(V2.0)</td>
<td>SYMBOLICS</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART(V2.0)</td>
<td>TI EXPLORER</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART(V2.0 BETA)</td>
<td>LMI</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART**</td>
<td>SYMBOLICS</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART(V BETA 3)</td>
<td>VAX</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLIPS(V3.0)</td>
<td>SUN</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLIPS(V3.0)</td>
<td>VAX</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLIPS(V3.0)</td>
<td>HP9000</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLIPS(V3.0)</td>
<td>IBM PC/AT</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLIPS(V3.0)</td>
<td>IBM PC</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExperOPSS(V1.04)</td>
<td>MACINTOSH</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEE(V2.1.66)**</td>
<td>SYMBOLICS</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEE(V2.2.66)</td>
<td>SYMBOLICS</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPSS(VAX V2.0)</td>
<td>VAX</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPSS(FORGYVPS2)</td>
<td>SYMBOLICS</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPSS+(V2.0003)</td>
<td>IBM PC/AT</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPSS+(V2.0002)</td>
<td>MACINTOSH</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPSS+(V2.0002)</td>
<td>IBM PC</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPSS83</td>
<td>VAX</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPSS83</td>
<td>IBM PC/AT</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPSS83</td>
<td>IBM PC</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TURBO PROLOG</td>
<td>IBM PC/AT</td>
<td>6.73***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TURBO PROLOG</td>
<td>IBM PC</td>
<td>20.43***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SOURCES (EXCEPT TURBO PROLOG): NASA MEMOS FM7(86-51) AND FM7(86-117)

**IMPLEMENTED USING BACKWARD-CHAINING RULES

***"RUN TIME", EXECUTION TIMES are 0.21S AND 0.65 FOR PC/AT AND PC
but others (especially fans of Turbo Pascal) will appreciate the "unique" features of Turbo Prolog. Those predicates which are missing from Turbo Prolog are either seldom used or their function can be achieved in other ways. The superb programming environment (convenient editor, powerful trace facility, compiler, built-in mathematical functions, and access to IBM PC features such as graphics, windows, color, sound, and I/O through ports or files) coupled with its inexpensive cost makes Turbo Prolog an attractive tool for those who have not tackled an AI language before. For those developing expert systems, Turbo Prolog may prove to be well-suited for fast prototyping of "small" rule bases or for those applications that lend themselves to backward-chaining approaches (for example, theorem proving). Surprisingly, Turbo Prolog executes the NASA benchmark as fast as popular expert system development tools like OPS5+ or NASA's own CLIPS.

Appendices

The appendices mentioned in the body of this paper are not included with the published report due to their length. Copies of these appendices may be obtained directly from the author or from his NASA/JSC colleague, Robert T. Savely (NASA/Johnson Space Center, Mail Code FM72, Houston, TX 77058).
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ABSTRACT

Plasma density and temperature profiles were measured for plasma electrons which were generated by a plasma source and ionization from a 1 kev electron beam. Electron plasma parameters were measured with a cylindrical Langmuir probe which was moved perpendicular to the axis of the beam and field.

Electron densities decreased exponentially from the beam center and the decay constant varied with magnetic field in accordance with the Bohm theory of cross field diffusion. This enhanced diffusion effect due to instabilities generated by the electron beam is orders of magnitude larger than that due to particle collisions.
INTRODUCTION

When a large magnetic field is applied to a group of electrons the electron motion along the field is not effected but the motion transverse to the field is constrained to circular motion about the magnetic field lines. This constraint limits the transverse conductivity of a plasma. The electrons and ions both orbit about the field lines, but the ion radii are much larger because of their larger mass. The ions in a plasma then are not as easily magnetized as the electrons and their transverse conductivity is less effected by the magnetic field.

The usual way in which electrons diffuse across the field lines is through collisions. As the electrons orbit about the field they eventually collide with other particles and undergo a random walk type of trajectory. This is not a very efficient process because of the relatively large mean free paths for the electrons. A much more efficient way to
enhance the transverse diffusion of electrons is to have them interact with plasma waves. Bohm\(^1\) showed that plasma instabilities can have high frequency plasma oscillations associated with them which interact strongly with the plasma electrons. This interaction enhances the transverse electron diffusion by orders of magnitude over that due to collisions alone. Bohm also postulated that the form of the diffusion coefficient due to plasma oscillations (called the Bohm diffusion coefficient) should vary with magnetic field as \(1/B\). The collision dominated diffusion coefficient on the other hand varies as \(1/B^2\), and so, in principle, the two diffusion mechanisms can be differentiated by varying the magnetic field.

The approach taken in this project was to inject an electron beam into a plasma parallel to a magnetic field. The electron beam tends to interact with the plasma and generate plasma oscillations which in turn enhance the transverse diffusion. According to Szuszczewicz\(^2\), the
plasma density should decrease exponentially from the beam center and the decay constant should vary as the inverse square root of the diffusion coefficient. By measuring the plasma density transverse to the beam for two different values of magnetic field, the field variation of the diffusion coefficient can be found. For Bohm diffusion the exponential decay constant varies directly as the square root of the field while for collision dominated diffusion it varies with the first power of field.

EXPERIMENTAL CONFIGURATION

A 3' by 8' vacuum chamber was configured with an electron gun on one end and a plasma source on the other. Both were aligned to produce beams centered on the axis of the chamber. The plasma source utilized argon gas and resulted in a chamber pressure of 0.2 millitorr. A hot
filament in the source emitted electrons which were accelerated and ionized the argon atoms. Equal numbers of electrons and ions were extracted through an exit grid so as to produce a plasma density in the chamber the order of $10^7$/cc. The electron gun was operated at 1 kev energy and 3-5 ma of current, well below the threshold for initiating any beam plasma discharge. A set of magnetic coils provided an axial magnetic field of 19 and 38 gauss. A cylindrical Langmuir probe was moved in one half inch intervals across the beam region. The function of the probe was to measure the plasma electron density and temperature. The electron density measurements were plotted on semilog graph paper and are shown in figure 1.

DISCUSSION

As seen from figure 1, the density profile can be used to identify the center region of the electron beam. The
ionization produced by the electron beam falls off exponentially from the center region and far from the beam, the background plasma density falls off nearly linearly to the chamber walls. For both values of the magnetic field used the background plasma density from the plasma source was nearly equal. The electron density data shows that as the field increased to 38 gauss the electron current to the probe was decreased. The ion current to the probe was the same for both magnetic field values so this decrease in electron density is a real effect due to the magnetic field constraining electron collection. The data also illustrates the effect of a larger magnetic field in compressing the electron beam diameter. Although the raw probe data is not shown here, the probe characteristic curves indicated that electron current exhibited strong saturation effects far from the central beam region, while near the beam region they did not. The likely explanation for this behavior is that strong plasma oscillations in the central region result
in Bohm diffusion and a large transverse conductivity to the probe. Outside this region the plasma oscillations are absent or of a low amplitude and the transverse conductivity to the probe is dominated by collisions and is much smaller and the electron current saturates. The slope of the density data in figure 1 increases by a factor of 1.5 as the magnetic field doubled. This implies that the slope varies as the square root of the field, the diffusion coefficient varies as the inverse of the field and Bohm diffusion dominates the transverse motion of the electrons.
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FIGURE CAPTION

FIGURE 1: Semilog plot of electron plasma density vs relative position of the Langmuir probe for two values of magnetic field.
"HIGH TEMPERATURE ELECTROLYZER/FUEL CELL POWER CYCLE: PRELIMINARY DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS"
A model of a high temperature electrolyzer/fuel cell, hydrogen/oxygen, thermally regenerative power cycle is developed and used to simulate system performance for varying system parameters. Initial estimates of system efficiency, weight, and volume are provided for a one KWe module assuming specific electrolyzer and fuel cell characteristics, both current and future. Specific interest is placed on examining the system responses to changes in device voltage versus current density operating curves, and the associated optimum operating ranges.

The performance of a solar-powered, space-based system in low earth orbit is examined in terms of the light-dark periods requiring storage. The storage design tradeoffs between thermal energy, electrical energy, and hydrogen/oxygen mass storage are examined. The current technology module is based on the 1000°C solid oxide electrolyzer cell and the alkaline fuel cell. The "Future Technology" system examines benefits involved with developing a 1800K electrolyzer operating with an advanced fuel cell.
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INTRODUCTION

The renewed interest in space-based activities, both NASA-related and "Star Wars" related, has led to the examination of numerous types of power systems which might be appropriate for space applications [Refs. 1,2]. The energy source for these power systems is envisioned as being either nuclear or solar energy. Both sources supply thermally-driven dynamic systems, with solar able to use photovoltaic systems to directly produce electricity.

The possibility of thermally-driving a fuel cell power system is attractive from several engineering aspects, as it combines some of the better features of both photovoltaic (PV) and dynamic systems. Like the PV system, a fuel cell power cycle can produce electricity without the rotating motor-generator mechanical components. Moreover, the fuel cell power cycle is capable of higher efficiencies than PV systems; much closer to the higher dynamic system thermal efficiencies. As the fuel cell cycle is thermally-driven, it can operate during a complete light-dark orbit using stored thermal energy, similar to the dynamic system operating concept. A hydrogen-oxygen fuel cell is proposed because of high efficiency and because using water as the working fluid with space missions has several inherent advantages, including crew compatibility (non-toxic, life supporting) and possible use as a reaction fuel.
HIGH TEMPERATURE ELECTROLYZER/FUEL CELL CYCLE CONCEPT

The development of the basic relations for the high temperature electrolysis/fuel cell system is based on the water dissociation energy reaction:

\[
H_2 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightleftharpoons H_2O
\]  

The dissociation energy or energy of reaction (\(\Delta H_f\)) is a mild function of reaction temperature, as seen in Figure 1. For an isothermal reaction, this \(\Delta H_f\) can be thought of as "ideally" consisting of two parts or types of energy input (or output, depending on the direction of reaction):

\[
\Delta H_f = \Delta G_f + T^*\Delta S_f
\]  

where,

\(\Delta G_f\) is the Gibb's free energy, the available work (ideal work)

\(T^*\Delta S_f\) is the isothermal heat transfer (ideal heat transfer)

As is seen in Figure 1, the ideal heat and work in the water reaction are strong functions of the reaction temperature. This property of the water reaction is what permits operation of a thermally regenerative fuel cell cycle. At high temperatures, a small amount of work is available from the hydrogen-oxygen association reaction.

The High Temperature Electrolyzer/Fuel Cell (HTE) system consists of an electrolyzer operating at high temperature, \(T_H\), a regenerative heat exchanger, and a fuel cell operating at low temperature, \(T_C\). The electrolyzer is run...
Figure 1. DISSOCIATION ENERGY ($\Delta H^o_F$) AND GIBBS'S FREE ($\Delta G^o_F$) ENERGY AS A FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE FOR WATER.
from the electrical output of the fuel cell, plus a high temperature heat input. The cycle net work output consists of the fuel cell's electrical work which exceeds the electrolyzer requirements; this net work is graphically illustrated as the difference between ΔG's at two temperatures on Figure 1. The basic HTE heat engine schematic is shown in Figure 2 with heat input at high temperature where water is electrolyzed into hydrogen and oxygen, a regenerative heat exchange between the water and hydrogen-oxygen, and a fuel cell producing electrical energy while rejecting heat.

HTE CYCLE INVESTIGATIONS

The HTE power cycle was described thermodynamically as early as 1959 [Refs. 3,4,5]. These early analyses dealt with the cycle conceptually and defined ideal cycle efficiency and its relation to Carnot efficiency. While work on high temperature electrolyzers has continued to be of interest, the primary thrust has been directed towards producing hydrogen as a fuel [Refs. 6,7]. However, the energy situation in the 70's did stimulate interest in solar energy and thus, in thermally regenerative electrochemical systems [Ref. 8]. Those studies which did examine HTE cycles concluded that these cycles were not of practical interest since no high temperature electrolyzer existed [Refs. 8,9]. More recently, it has been suggested that the high temperature electrolyzers under development may be appropriate for the HTE cycle [Refs. 10, 11].

The present approach to development of high temperature electrolyzers and fuel cells involves the use of a solid oxide electrolyte (SOE). In the USA, the Department of Energy sponsors much of the solid oxide fuel cell development work [Refs. 12-15], while the German emphasis is on a SOE nicknamed "Hot
Figure 2. SCHEMATIC OF THERMALLY-DRIVEN REGENERATIVE FUEL CELL HEAT ENGINE
E1ly" [Refs. 16,17]. The solid oxide cell being developed has a 30-micron thick solid electrolyte consisting of a Yttria-stabilized Zirconia. Currently, the cell stacks are being tested in the 1300°K range. Single cells have been tested for hundreds of hours without degradation and with near-theoretical open-circuit voltage.
DESCRIPTION OF WORK

The objective of this work is to examine the high temperature electrolyzer/fuel cell power cycle for preliminary design and sizing considerations. The examination includes looking at both individual devices and the overall system characteristics and performance.

Scope of Work

A model of the HTE cycle is developed and used to simulate system performance for varying system parameters. Initial estimates of system efficiency, weight, and volume are provided for a 1 KWe module assuming specific electrolyzer and fuel cell characteristics, both current and future. Specific interest is placed on examining the system responses to changes in device voltage versus current density operating curves, and the associated optimum operating ranges. "Current Technology" is based on the 1000°C solid oxide electrolyzer cell and the alkaline fuel cell. The "Future Technology" system examines benefits involved with developing a 1800K electrolyzer operating with an advanced fuel cell.

The performance of a solar-powered, space-based system in low earth orbit is examined in terms of the light-dark periods requiring storage. The storage design tradeoffs between thermal energy, electrical energy, and hydrogen/oxygen mass storage are examined.

A computer program was developed to simulate the HTE cycle. The basic equations and definitions used with the cycle and each device have been previously described [Refs. 10, 11]. Figure 3 illustrates the logic used in the program to simulate the HTE cycle.
Figure 3.
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Current and Future Technology

The description of the fuel cell and electrolyzer devices was in terms of performance and physical measurements. For the computer model, the device cell performance was indicated by the polarization curve (voltage versus current density), plus the cell current efficiency. The physical measurements (volume, mass) of the devices were examined on a unit cell-area basis.

1. Polarization Curves

The polarization curves of cell voltage versus current density are presented in Figure 4 for both the current and future technology fuel cells and electrolyzers. The current technology fuel cell (alkaline) polarization curve was taken from an alkaline cell developed for NASA [Ref. 18]. The future fuel cell is a projection of what an acid (SPE-type) cell would exhibit with greatly reduced electrode-electrolyte resistance and concentration effects. These polarization relations (linearized) are (with V in volts, J in ma/cm²):

Future FC: $V = 1.16 - 0.000667J$

Current FC: $V = 1.14 - 0.0045J \ (0<J<20)$

$V = 1.05 - 0.00275J \ (20<J<40)$

$V = 0.995 - 0.0006J \ (40<J)$

The current technology electrolyzer cell polarization curve was taken from data on the solid oxide electrolyte (SOE) under development [Ref. 19]. The projected technology 1500K and 1800K SOE cell curves are based on similar internal resistances and equivalent approach to ideal open circuit voltage.
Figure 4.
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The equations for these electrolyzer cells are:

Current SOE (1273K): \( V = 0.92 + 0.000889J \)

Future SOE (1500K): \( V = 0.86 + 0.001J \)

Future SOE (1800K): \( V = 0.77 + 0.001J \)

2. Physical Measurements

The values for the current and future technology fuel cell and electrolyzer volume and mass per unit cell-area presented in Table 1. The values for the current technology fuel cell and electrolyzer are taken from developmental work on the NASA Space Station project [Ref. 20]. The future technology values are for SPE-type fuel cells and SOE electrolyzer cells.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CURRENT TECHNOLOGY</th>
<th>MASS (kg/m² of cell area)</th>
<th>VOLUME (m³/m² of cell area)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>o Fuel Cell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Alkaline</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Acid</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Electrolyzer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Alkaline</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Solid Oxide (1000 °C)</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUTURE TECHNOLOGY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>o Fuel Cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Electrolyzer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Solid Oxide (1500 K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Solid Oxide (1800 K)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
STORAGE OPTIONS

In solar powered systems, energy storage is necessary for operation during sunless periods. For a solar system in a 270 nautical mile high equatorial orbit, the 95 minute orbital period would consist of 59 minutes of sun (TS) and 36 minutes of dark (TD). Thus, the HTE cycle has to collect enough energy during the sun period both to operate the system and to charge the storage system.

Three storage options are examined for their effect on overall system efficiency and design:

1) Thermal storage - collected high temperature thermal energy is stored before it is input to the HTE cycle;
2) Chemical storage - H2 and O2 are stored within the HTE cycle; and
3) Electrical storage - electrical energy output from the HTE cycle is stored prior to delivery.

These three options are shown schematically as located in the overall system in Figure 5.

For purposes of comparing these three storage options, the following assumptions are made concerning the HTE cycle and overall system conditions:

- Output - 25 kWe continuously delivered to the load during sun and dark periods.
- Input - 1354 W/m² solar flux with a 70 percent collection efficiency provides the collected thermal energy input.
- HTE cycle - Electrolyzer at 1273K and the fuel cell at 373K, with actual cycle efficiency of 33 percent (=1/2 of ideal efficiency).
Figure 5.
System Efficiencies

The overall system efficiency is based on the ratio of the electrical energy delivered to the solar energy input:

\[ \eta_{os} = \frac{\text{Electrical Energy Delivered}}{\text{Solar Energy Intercepted}} \]

The overall system is assumed to consist of three subsystems and their associated efficiencies: collection, HTE cycle, and storage subsystems. The overall system efficiency can be expressed in terms of the three subsystem efficiencies as:

\[ \eta_{os} = \eta_{coll} \eta_{stor} \eta_{cyc} \]

Since for all storage options the collection subsystem is unchanged, it is convenient to write

\[ \eta_{sys} = \eta_{stor} \eta_{cyc} = \frac{\text{Electrical Energy Delivered}}{\text{Thermal Energy Collected}} \]

where, \( \eta_{stor} = \frac{\text{Energy From Storage}}{\text{Energy Input to Storage}} \)

\[ \eta_{cyc} = \frac{\text{Electrical Output}}{\text{Thermal Input}} \]

The power delivered \( (P_{del}) \) is required both during sun and dark \( (TS+TD) \), while the solar energy collected must be done during sun \( (TS) \). So,

\[ \eta_{sys} = \frac{\text{Energy Delivered}}{\text{Energy Collected}} = \frac{P_{del} \cdot (TS + TD)}{P_{col} \cdot TS} \]

where \( P_{col} \) is the rate of energy collection.

It is also seen that the thermal energy collected can be thought of as
being used in two ways:

\[ \text{Energy Collected} = \text{Energy used directly} + \text{Energy stored} \]

The energy used directly will provide power during the sun period and will only involve the HTE cycle:

\[ P_{\text{del} \cdot \text{TS}} = \eta_{\text{cyc}} \cdot (\text{Energy Used Directly}) . \]

The energy stored will provide power during the dark period and will involve both storage and HTE cycle subsystems:

\[ P_{\text{del} \cdot \text{TD}} = \eta_{\text{stor}} \eta_{\text{cyc}} \cdot (\text{Energy Stored}) \]

So, substituting yields:

\[ P_{\text{col} \cdot \text{TS}} = \frac{P_{\text{del} \cdot \text{TS}}}{\eta_{\text{cyc}}} + \frac{P_{\text{del} \cdot \text{TD}}}{\eta_{\text{stor}} \eta_{\text{cyc}}} \]

and

\[ \frac{P_{\text{col}}}{P_{\text{del}}} = \frac{1}{\text{TS}} \left( \frac{\text{TS}}{\eta_{\text{cyc}}} + \frac{\text{TD}}{\eta_{\text{stor}} \eta_{\text{cyc}}} \right) \]

which can be substituted back into the \( \eta_{\text{sys}} \) equation to give the general expression to be used for the storage-system efficiency relationship:

\[ \eta_{\text{sys}} = \frac{\eta_{\text{cyc}} \cdot (\text{TS+TD})}{\text{TS} + \frac{\text{TD}}{\eta_{\text{stor}}}} \quad (3) \]

In the sections below, each of the three storage options is examined for efficiency and subsystem sizing effects.
1. Thermal Storage

The thermal storage option consists of placing the thermal storage between the collection and HTE cycle subsystems, as shown in Figure 6. During sun periods, the HTE cycle is driven directly from the energy collected to produce 25 kWe. During dark periods, the HTE cycle is driven from storage to produce 25 kWe. Thus, the HTE cycle should be sized to produce 25 kWe.

The system efficiency from Eq. 3, with thermal storage being 90 percent efficient, is 31.7 percent. This gives an overall system efficiency of 22.2 percent with a required collector area of 133.9 m².

While operating at 1300K, the thermal storage unit must be sized to accept 50.5 kWhR of thermal energy input at a rate of 51.4 kW. The unit must also discharge 45.5 kWhR of thermal energy at 75.8 kW.

2. Electrical Storage

The electrical storage configuration is shown in Figure 7, with the storage located between the HTE cycle and the electrical load. The HTE cycle operates only during the sun period, and must supply both the load and the energy to charge the electrical storage during this period. The energy which must be delivered by the HTE cycle is given by:

\[
\text{HTE Energy Delivered} = P_{del} \cdot TS + \frac{P_{del} \cdot TD}{\eta_{stor}}
\]

And since this energy must be delivered during sun, TS, then the HTE cycle must be sized to produce power at:

\[
\text{HTE Power} = \frac{\text{HTE Energy Delivered}}{TS} = P_{del} \left( 1 + \frac{TD}{TS \eta_{stor}} \right)
\]
Figure 6.
Figure 7.
Thus, even with 100 percent efficient electrical storage, the HTE cycle would have to be sized to produce 40.3 kWe.

Assuming 80 percent electrical energy storage efficiency, the HTE cycle is sized at 44.1 kWe, while the system efficiency (from Eq. 3) is 30.1 percent. The overall system efficiency is 21.1 percent and the needed collector area is 140.9m².

The input to the electrical storage system at 80 percent storage efficiency is 18.8 kWhR in order to provide the required 25 kWe dark period output. Since storage charging is done during the sun period, the rate of charging is 19.1 kWe.

3. Chemical Storage

The chemical storage concept involves operating the HTE cycle during the sun period to produce enough excess H₂ and O₂ to run the fuel cell during the dark period. Figure 8 presents the H₂ and O₂ storage configuration which illustrates the sun and dark period mass flow paths. The electrolyzer must produce at a rate (TD+TS)/TS greater than when operating continuously, so the HTE cycle devices must be sized at 1.61 times larger than the 25 kWe continuously operating cycle. Thus, a 40.3 kWe sized HTE cycle is required.

Assuming a fuel cell voltage efficiency of 95 percent, 4.6 kg of H₂O (or 0.5 kg Hz and 4.1 kg of O₂) must be stored. Thus, volume storage for 250 g moles of H₂, 125 g moles of O₂ and 4.6 liters of liquid water will be needed.

The storage efficiency for chemical storage is associated with the mechanical work done to store the H₂ and O₂. It is assumed that the storage work is done in isothermally compressing the H₂ and O₂ from 1 atmosphere to
Figure 8.
the storage pressure \( (P_{stor}) \):

\[
W_{stor} = 3 \, RT \cdot \ln \frac{P_{stor}}{2}
\]

A definition of storage efficiency, similar to previous options, must be derived from HTE cycle considerations, since this chemical option storage is integral to the cycle itself. The cyclic efficiency with chemical storage will be less than the "normal cycle" efficiency due to the work done to pressurize the electrolysis products for storage. Thus, the "integral storage cycle" efficiency \( \eta_{\text{cyc stor}} \) can be defined:

\[
\eta_{\text{cyc stor}} = \frac{\text{Net work output per orbit}}{\text{Thermal input per orbit}}
\]

\[
= \frac{P_{del} \cdot (TD + TS) - N_{stor} \cdot W_{stor}}{P_{del} \cdot (TD + TS)}
\]

where \( N_{stor} \) is the number of moles of water stored.

Noting that \( \eta_{\text{cyc stor}} \) combines the previous definition of \( \eta_{\text{cyc}} \) and \( \eta_{\text{stor}} \):

\[
\eta_{\text{cyc stor}} = \eta_{\text{cyc}} \cdot \eta_{\text{stor}} = \eta_{\text{cyc}} \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{N_{stor} \cdot W_{stor}}{P_{del} \cdot (TD + TS)} \right)
\]

So we now have a "standard" definition for this chemical storage efficiency:

\[
\eta_{\text{stor}} = 1 - \frac{N_{stor} \cdot 3/2 \cdot RT \cdot \ln P_{stor}}{P_{del} \cdot (TD + TS)}
\]

Since \( N_{stor} = 250 \) g moles for the present situation:

\[
\eta_{\text{stor}} = 1 - 0.00816 \ln P_{stor},
\]

Assuming a storage pressure of 20 atmospheres gives a 97.6 percent storage
efficiency, and the resulting combined cycle-storage system efficiency is 32.2 percent. This in turn leads to an overall system efficiency of 22.5 percent, requiring a collector area of 131.9 m².

Summary of Storage Options

All three storage options possess individual attributes, such as reliability, weight, volume, etc., which are not addressed here. The actual selection of a storage option would have to take these and many more factors into consideration before an engineering selection could be made. It should also be noted that the time profile of the electrical load to be met will directly influence option performance via the storage required for a given profile.

However, with the conditions stated for the system options described, a summary comparison of the three options is presented in Table 2. The system efficiencies and resultant collector areas are seen to be very similar for the options, but the HTE cycle size which must be installed is much less for the thermal storage option. Thus, thermal storage was chosen as the option to be used with the system parametric studies below.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>THERMAL ENERGY</th>
<th>CHEMICAL ENERGY</th>
<th>ELECTRICAL ENERGY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STORAGE EFFICIENCY (%)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTE CYCLE SIZE (KWe2)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVERALL SYSTEM EFFICIENCY (%)</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLLECTOR AREA (m2)</td>
<td>133.9</td>
<td>131.9</td>
<td>140.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PARAMETRIC ANALYSES

The parametric analyses are structured to provide results for two separate purposes: the determination of optimum operating conditions, and the investigation of system sensitivities to parametric variations around the optimum conditions. The optimizations and sensitivities are done for both current and future technology devices, with different combinations of the devices used to examine the effect of developing a particular device.

Important Parametric Variables

In addition to defining the technology values and establishing the system configuration, it is necessary to identify the major variables important to system operation. These variables can be identified by looking at the cycle efficiency equation,

$$\eta_{\text{cyc}} = \frac{W_f - W_e}{Q_{\text{hot}}} = \frac{\eta_c \cdot \Delta G_f - \Delta G_e / \eta_e}{\Delta H_e - (\Delta G_e / \eta_e) + Q_{\text{hx}}}$$

and by substituting using many relations which are defined and described in the subsection below:

$$\eta_{\text{cyc}} = \frac{V_f \cdot \eta_{\text{cf}} \cdot n \cdot F - V_e \cdot n \cdot F / \eta_{\text{ce}}}{\Delta H_e - V_e \cdot n \cdot F / \eta_{\text{ce}} + (1 - \varepsilon_{\text{hx}})(h_{\text{Th}} - h_{\text{Tc}})}$$

It is seen that two major variable types influence the cycle efficiency: device voltage ($V_f, V_e$) and device current efficiency ($\eta_{\text{cf}}, \eta_{\text{ce}}$). These variables are the one expected to be of importance, and both voltage and current efficiency are known to be functions of the device operating current density. As is shown below, the optimizations and sensitivities were performed against these identified important variables.
Optimization Scheme

The generalized function to be optimized is assumed to be related to the fuel cell and electrolyzer areas in the additive manner:

\[ BT = BF \cdot AF + BE \cdot AE, \]  

where \( BT \) represents the total system parameter (mass, volume, area, cost, etc.), \( BF \) and \( BE \) represent parametric values (or functions) on a unit area basis, and \( AF \) and \( AE \) represent the fuel cell and electrolyzer cell areas, respectively.

The optimization of the generalized function is performed assuming that the system is operating with a given net power \( (P_{net}) \) and with given fuel cell and electrolyzer operating curves \( (V \text{ versus } J) \). The areas to be used depend on the current density, and resulting device efficiency, desired for the overall system. Thus, the three variables chosen as independent are:

\( N \) - The molar fuel flow rate which determines the overall current developed and used

\( J_F \) - The fuel cell current density: \( J_F = \frac{I_F}{AF} \)

\( J_E \) - The electrolyzer current density: \( J_E = \frac{I_E}{AE} \)

Now writing the generalized function, Eq. 4, in terms of the three variables,

\[ BT = BF \cdot \frac{I_F}{J_F} + BE \cdot \frac{I_E}{J_E} \]

where \( I_F = I_0 \cdot \eta_{cf} \) and \( I_E = I_0 \cdot \eta_{ce} \)
with $I_0 = n \cdot F \cdot \dot{N}$, $n = \#$electrons per reaction

$F = \text{Faraday's constant}$

and $\eta_{cf}$ and $\eta_{ce}$ are the current efficiencies for the fuel cell and electrolyzer, respectively. So, the area function becomes,

$$BT = \frac{BF \cdot n \cdot F \cdot \eta_{cf} \cdot \dot{N}}{J_f} + \frac{BE \cdot n \cdot F \cdot \dot{N}}{\eta_{ce} \cdot J_e} = f(J_f, J_e, \dot{N})$$

and defining $C_1 = n \cdot F \cdot \eta_{cf}$ and $C_2 = n \cdot F \overline{\eta_{ce}}$

then, $BT = \frac{BF \cdot C_1 \cdot \dot{N}}{J_f} + \frac{BE \cdot C_2 \cdot \dot{N}}{J_e}$

(5)

where it is assumed current efficiency $(\eta_{cf}, \eta_{ce})$ is a constant for the conditions given.

A constraining relation can be defined for the given net power level,

$$P_{\text{net}} = P_f - P_e$$

$$= I_f \cdot V_f - I_e \cdot V_e$$

where the $V_f$ and $V_e$ are the operating voltages for the fuel cell and electrolyzer, both of which are functions of their respective current densities. Substituting for the currents as before:

$$P_{\text{net}} = n \cdot F \cdot \eta_{cf} \cdot \dot{N} \cdot V_f - n \cdot F \cdot \dot{N} \cdot V_e \overline{\eta_{ce}}$$

$$= C_1 \cdot \dot{N} \cdot V_f - C_2 \cdot \dot{N} \cdot V_e$$

So, the constraint relation can be written:

$$(J_f, J_e, \dot{N}) = P_{\text{net}} - C_1 \cdot \dot{N} \cdot V_f + C_2 \cdot \dot{N} \cdot V_e = 0$$

(6)
where $V_f = f(J_F)$ and $V_e = f(J_E)$.

Extremum by Lagrangian Multiplier

The extremum of the BT function, Eq. 5, may now be found subject to the constraint, Eq. 8, by using the Lagrangian multiplier approach. This approach yields four equations and four unknowns $(J_F, J_E, \dot{N}, \lambda)$ with three equations arising from the external condition,

$$\frac{\partial V_f}{\partial x_i} - \lambda \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

$$x_1 = (J_F, J_E, \dot{N})$$

and the constraint equation itself,

$$\phi = 0.$$

Substituting into the three extremal condition equations, Eq. 9, yields:

$$\frac{B_F}{J_F^2} - \lambda \frac{\partial V_f}{\partial J_F} = 0$$

$$\frac{B_E}{J_E^2} + \lambda \frac{\partial V_e}{\partial J_E} = 0$$

$$\frac{B_F \cdot C_1 + B_E \cdot C_2}{J_F} - \lambda (-C_1 \cdot V_f + C_2 \cdot V_e) = 0$$

and the constraint equation, Eq. 6, provides the fourth equation for the four unknowns:

$$P_{net} - (C_1 \cdot V_f + C_2 \cdot V_e) \cdot \dot{N} = 0$$

Thus, the mathematical problem is to solve four non-linear equations for the four variables. Note that the $V_f$ and $V_e$ functions must be inserted into these equations before solving. Also note that if the BF and BE terms are
functions of area, the areas would have to be converted to \( N \) and \( J \) functions as outlined earlier.

**Unconstrained Optimization Approach**

It should also be noted that the optimization problem could be solved as an unconstrained problem by combining the generalized function and the constraint equation by eliminating a variable through substitution. This leaves the following expression in two variables to be optimized:

\[
BT(JF, JE) = \frac{P_{\text{net}}}{C_1 \cdot VF - C_2 \cdot VE} \left( \frac{BF \cdot C_1 + BE \cdot C_2}{JF} \right) \frac{ED}{JE}
\]

where \( VF, VE, BF \) and \( BE \) are known explicit functions in \( JF \) and \( JE \).

**Specific Solutions: Linear Polarization Curves**

Both the non-linear equation approach and the unconstrained optimization approach were used by application of an algorithm described in [ref. 21]. However, for the case where the voltage-current density polarization curves can be described by linear relations of the form:

\[
V_f = V_{fo} - a \cdot JF
\]

\[
V_e = V_{eo} + b \cdot JE
\]

then the Lagrangian method yields the exact solution:

\[
JF = \frac{C_1 \cdot V_{fo} - C_2 \cdot V_{eo}}{2 \cdot C_1 \cdot a + 2 \cdot C_1 \cdot (a \cdot b \cdot BE/ BF) V_e}
\]

\[
JE = \frac{C_1 \cdot V_{fo} - C_2 \cdot V_{eo}}{2 \cdot C_1 \cdot (a \cdot b \cdot BF/BE) V_e + 2 \cdot C_2 \cdot b}
\]

with \( N \) given by substitution back into Eq. 8. This approach using linear
polarization curves was utilized in this investigation in order to accommodate the large number of parametric variations investigated.

Example Results

The optimization of the HTE cycle to minimize mass, volume, and/or cell area was performed using the exact linearized procedure. The HTE cycles examined were grouped into three areas:

- **Current Technology** - cycle composed of current fuel cell (alkaline) and a current solid oxide cell electrolyzer operating at 1000°C;

- **Near Term Technology** - three cycles composed of:
  a. Current fuel cell and solid oxide electrolyzer at 1500°C,
  b. Advanced fuel cell (SPE-type) and current solid oxide electrolyzer at 1000°C,
  c. Advanced fuel cell and solid oxide electrolyzer at 1500°C;

- **Future Technology** - cycle composed of future fuel cell and solid oxide electrolyzer operating at 1800°C.

The characteristics of these devices are described in Table 1 and Figure 4. The results discussed below were generated assuming a 1 kWe cycle output.

The generalized system parameter, BT, was optimized for a range of fuel cell to electrolyzer parametric ratios (BF/BE) for the three basic technology cases. The results of these optimizations is presented graphically in Figure 25-33.
9. Also shown in Figure 9 is the effect of device current efficiency on the value of BT. Note that the current efficiency variation causes a large variation in the system parameter, especially for the current technology case.

More detail illustrating this system sensitivity to current density efficiency is presented in Figure 10. The minimum total cell area for the HTE cycle is shown as a function of the fuel cell current efficiency and the electrolyzer current efficiency for a current technology system.

In the analysis of 'off-optimum' operating conditions, the 'brute force' method described earlier was used to generate a range of operating conditions. Figure 11 presents an example of this approach using current technology devices. The variation around the minimum total area can be seen, as well as the individual fuel cell and electrolyzer areas. Figure 12 presents another aspect of data from these same 'brute force' simulation runs. It is seen that the electrolyzer operating point is relatively constant, no matter at what condition the fuel cell is operating.
Figure 9.

GENERALIZED OPTIMUM SYSTEM PARAMETER, BT

LEGEND

- Curr.Tech.
- Near Term Tech.
- Future Tech.
- Fut.Tech. 98% Curr.Effic.
- Fut.Tech. 96% Curr.Effic.
- Fut.Tech. 94% Curr.Effic.

TOTAL SYSTEM VALUE, BT (per KW)

RATIO OF FUEL CELL TO ELECTROLYZER PARAMETER, BF/BE
Figure 10.

Effect of Current Efficiency

LEGEND
- FC CE=94%
- FC CE=96%
- FC CE=98%
- FC CE=100%

Electrolyzer Current Efficiency (%) vs. Total Cell Area (m²/KWe)
Figure 12.
CURR.TECHNOLOGY Fuel Cell and Electrolyzer Operating Points

LEGEND
- Electrolyzer Current Density
- Electrolyzer Voltage

Electrolyzer Current Density (ma/cm²)
Fuel Cell Current Density (ma/cm²)

0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70
0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70
0.92 0.93 0.94 0.95 0.96 0.97 0.98 0.99
Table 3.

SUMMARY OF SYSTEM DESIGN PARAMETERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CYCLE EFFIC. (1) (%)</th>
<th>TOTAL CELL AREA (m²)</th>
<th>TOTAL VOLUME (m³)</th>
<th>TOTAL MASS (kg)</th>
<th>GROSS POWER (kW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CURRENT TECH.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(98% curr. effic.)</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>116.2</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1606.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEAR-TERM TECH.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(98% curr. effic.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Curr. FC/1500K EU</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>506.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Fut. FC/Curr. EU</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Fut. FC/1500K EU</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>89.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUTURE TECH.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(99% Curr. Effic.)</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>43.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[1] 95% Heat Exchanger Effectiveness
[2] Optimized Parameter
Figure 13.
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SUMMARY

The HTE cycle was examined using simulations which identified optimum system operating conditions under various parametric situations (i.e., minimum cell area, volume, mass, etc.). These optimum conditions were found for systems composed of fuel cell and electrolyzer technology representing current, near term, and future developmental efforts. A summary of general HTE cycle design parameters, fuel cell and electrolyzer devices only, is presented in Table 3 and is based on an area minimization.

The Table 3 data represents a 1 kWe module, and it can be seen that while current technology HTE cycles could be constructed with reasonable efficiencies, the mass, volume, and cell areas needed are extremely large. Near term developments would lead to significant mass, area, and volume reductions, while future technology developments will also provide significant increases in cycle efficiency and device sizing requirements (gross power).

Comparison to Other Cycles

The data presented in Table 3 allows a comparison between the HTE cycle and other power cycles. A comparison of overall system mass-to-power ratios is presented in Figure 13. The graph itself is adapted from [Ref. 2], with the entire system mass being included for each system type, including the HTE cycles with solar collectors and radiators [Ref. 9]. The current technology HTE curve is almost coincident with the left axis, but near term technology HTE's are in the cross-hatched area along with PV systems. Future technology HTE's are shown as similar to the best solar dynamic, and even approaching
nuclear dynamic systems.

Another comparison can be made in terms of efficiency and operating temperature. In Figure 14, various dynamic systems are represented, with the HTE cycles presented by time of technology development. It should be noted that while the HTE cycle appears to be 'under' the curves of the Brayton and Stirling cycles, it can be thought of as being to the 'side' of them, meaning the HTE operates at higher temperature. This type of interpretation is possible when it is realized that the HTE cycle does not have reciprocating or revolving mechanical parts which operate at these high temperatures.

Further Developments

Fuel cells and the electrolyzer exist to build a HTE today. However, it would be large, heavy and costly. The cycle efficiency is reasonable, but it was found that the current technology cycle is very sensitive to device current efficiency. Two and three percent current efficiency losses correspond to doubling and tripling the cell areas needed. Thus, a current technology HTE cycle would represent a formidable engineering challenge.

In the near term, the fuel cell and/or the electrolyzer can be improved. The solid oxide electrolyzer existing today might be able to be modified to work at 1500°K, but it would probably take a major developmental effort to gain the fuel cell improvements described in Figure 4 and Table 1. It appears reasonable that a near term HTE, as represented on Figures 13 and 14, could be demonstrated with two to five years of development. Such a HTE system would exhibit comparable mass and efficiency to current PV and solar dynamic systems.
The future HTE systems are envisioned as operating at 1800°K electrolyzer heat input, with an advanced SPE-type fuel cell at 100°C (373°K). Both the electrolyzer and fuel cell will require major developmental efforts to achieve the desired performance. However, a HTE power cycle operating at these conditions will be as-good-as or better than other envisioned solar cycles, while still retaining its 'no moving parts' attraction.
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ABSTRACT

Chromosome aberration analysis is a promising complement to conventional radiation dosimetry, particularly in the complex radiation fields encountered in the space environment. Manual aberration analysis is time and labor intensive, and requires subjective evaluations by trained personnel. In-flight chromosome studies would therefore require either the transmission of images to ground facilities or automated scoring routines that would not require a major commitment of flight personnel. In either case, the quality of the digitized image and the amount of data storage required is of great importance.

In this project, the capabilities of a recently developed automated karyotyping system were evaluated both to determine current capabilities and limitations and to suggest areas where future development should be emphasized. Cells exposed to radiomimetic chemicals and to photon and particulate radiation were evaluated by manual inspection and by automated karyotyping. It was demonstrated that the evaluated programs were appropriate for image digitization, storage, and transmission. However, automated and semi-automated scoring techniques must be advanced significantly if in-flight chromosome aberration analysis is to be practical. A degree of artificial intelligence may be necessary to realize this goal.

----------------------
NASA Colleague: Gerald Taylor, Ph.D., S.D. 3
INTRODUCTION

While short LEO (Low Earth Orbit) missions have not produced crew radiation exposures at levels of concern, other projected missions involve the possibility of high, even life-threatening exposures. Lunar and deep space missions beyond the earth's magnetosphere involve the risk of solar flare radiation, and some earth orbits involve appreciable time in the Van Allen radiation belts. Even extended low earth orbit missions such as those envisioned for Space Station personnel involve some accumulated exposure to Inner Van Allen Belt radiations, due primarily to repeated passage through the South Atlantic Anomaly. Space radiation environments are generally complex and qualitatively different from terrestrial radiation fields that have produced the bulk of our knowledge on the biologic effects of radiation. While there is at present a great deal of interest in the biological effects of the high energy heavy nuclei found in the galactic cosmic ray flux, it appears at present that protons in the range of (approximately) 10 to 1000 MeV are the limiting form of radiation for most contemplated deep space and Low Earth Orbit (LEO) missions. In terms of flux, energy deposition, and presumed biological effect, protons are the single most important component of galactic cosmic rays, solar flare radiation, and Inner Van Allen belt radiation (Haffner, 1967). While such exposures are of only moderate concern for the welfare of Space Station crew, it is important for future programs to determine as much as possible about the biological effects of space radiations administered in the environment of a spacecraft.
The Space Station represents an invaluable resource for the conduct of these types of radiobiological experiments. Potentially confounding effects such as weightlessness are the default condition, and access to the energetic protons of the inner Van Allen Belt can be achieved with little energy expenditure. Small experimental packages could be subjected to repeated passages through the belt if "piggybacked" on transfer vehicles operating between LEO and GEO. A relatively small delta vee could subject a dedicated probe to a pre-programmed exposure to belt protons prior to retrieval. The effects of various types of shielding and differential responses between physical dosimeters and biological systems under flight conditions could be studied much more readily from the station than from a facility on the ground. Of particular interest are the responses of human cells, such as lymphocytes, exposed deliberately in_vitro or incidently in_vivo. Chromosome aberration analysis is one of the principle techniques used to quantitate the response of such systems.

Chromosome aberration studies have long been used to determine the extent of radiation exposure in cases in which conventional dosimetry systems were either not in place or not appropriate for the mode of irradiation (e.g. Collins 1980, Brewen 1982, Evans 1979, Lloyd 1979). At present, the most labor-intensive and potentially subjective aspect of the procedure is the manual evaluation of chromosome spreads. A trained cytological technician must examine each spread and make what are in many cases subjective decisions as to whether or not an observed feature represents a true anomaly.
Many cells must be examined to obtain reliable statistics on a single sub-lethal radiation exposure, the exact number being dependent on the dose and the degree of precision required, among other things. (A one Gray (100 rad) dose produces an expected yield of dicentric and acentric aberrations on the order of 1 per 20 cells, while the "spontaneous" background rate is about one per hundred cells (Lloyd 1980).) While the Space Station is a very good place to perform relevant experiments, it would be a misuse of resources to dedicate one or more crew members to extensive manual cytological examinations if a reasonable alternative were available. One alternative is to digitize microscopic images of cell preparations for transmission and reconstruction on the ground, where conventional cytological analysis could be pursued. A related option is to use appropriate software to process digitized images in flight. The latter option is particularly attractive for situations in which data links to the ground are at a premium, as might be the case in a deep space mission. Both alternatives require that the digitized be of adequate quality for analysis and that data storage and transmission requirements not be excessive.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Slide Preparation

Slides of human lymphocytes arrested at metaphase after various radiation and chemical treatments had been prepared previously (Prichard, 1986). Blood samples had been subjected to one of three radiation treatments: 1). Control - no radiation, 2). Gamma - 0.662
MeV gamma rays from a Cs-137 irradiator, and 3). Proton - 40 MeV protons from the University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston Cyclotron Facility. Venous blood had been drawn into a heparinized 15 ml vacutainer tube, which was then shaken and placed into a 35 to 37 degree transfer case until treatment and/or culture. Aliquots were given the appropriate radiation treatment and returned to the sample case. Another aliquot was cultured for a predetermined interval, irradiated, then returned to the incubator. One half ml of treated whole blood was placed in a culture tube along with 4.5 ml of modified RPMI culture medium and stimulated with the mitogen PHA. The culture tubes were placed in a 37 C incubator for the desired incubation period (72 hours or 48 hours, depending on circumstances). One hour before harvest, Colcemide (final conc. = 0.1 ug/ml) was added to arrest dividing cells at metaphase. The medium was spun down to separate the cellular mass from the supernatant plasma and all but the lower half centimeter of plasma was pipetted off and replaced by hypotonic KCl. The solution was, mixed and allowed to stand for 30 minutes, after which three ml of fixative solution was added, the solution mixed, and spun down. After three successive washes, slides were prepared by allowing several drops of the fixative containing suspended cells to fall ca. one meter onto a clean slide still wet from storage in 4 C distilled water. The slides were then air dried, stained with Giemsa, and coverslipped after a zero point disk had been placed in the center to facilitate mapping.
Metaphase Spread Selection

The previously prepared slides were mapped at low power with a Zonax micro-computer driven microscope and the locations of chromosome spreads were stored on a floppy disk to permit rapid examination under high power objectives. Spreads which under high power oil lenses seemed suitable for metaphase aberration analysis were logged by map number. A set of spreads were selected for automated and manual analysis.

Image Digitization

An analog image of the microscope field was generated by a videocamera mounted on the microscope. The program Chromexec, by Perceptive Systems, Inc, and associated hardware permitted a variety of contrast adjustment routines prior to digitization of the image. The digitized image consisted of a 256 x 256 array of 8 bit bytes. When cameras and monitors of appropriate quality were used, the visual quality of the image was judged by the author to be quite adequate for gross abnormality or karyotype analysis. This judgement was confirmed by a panel of experienced cytogeneticists assembled to review the quality of the image and other features of the system (Pathak, 1986). However, a four-fold reduction in pixel number produced obvious degradation of the image, thus confirming the appropriateness of the 256 x 256 array size. The time required to recall a mapped spread, adjust focus and gray levels, and to digitize, document, and store an image was found to be on the close
order of two minutes. Most of this time could be eliminated by the application of macros and program features not available on the tested version of the program.

Automated vs Manual Image Analysis

In manual scoring of metaphase spreads, the trained cytotechnician visually scans the microscopic images and identifies gross abnormalities such as rings, dicentrics, gaps, chromatid breaks, and chromosome breaks. In the sort of scoring traditionally done for radiation exposure assessment, finer distinctions requiring banding and full karyotyping are generally not performed. A trained cytologist can perform this sort of evaluation on a good spread in a minute or two. The automated karyotyping feature of the Chromexec program produces a full karyotype of a digitized spread in about four minutes, provided that few of the chromosomes touch or overlap. While the program produces a display that is much easier to score than an unsorted spread, and makes possible a much more refined class of analyses, the current version of the program consumes considerably more time than a manual scoring. Furthermore, the advantage of the manual scorer becomes greater as the spread gets "messier", i.e., more touches and overlaps.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The advantage in speed currently held by manual scoring can be reduced on one or both of two fronts. In the first case, it is recognized that the contest is unfair, in that the manual scorer is
not required to separate and sort chromosomes, but rather to detect anomalies. If this were the goal of the scoring program, the competition would be more direct. Pattern recognition routines such as those under development in other fields would be one approach to this effort. The other strategy would be to take full advantage of the karyotyping abilities of the existing program and develop dosimetric techniques based on the more sophisticated chromosome analysis procedures. This approach would be especially attractive if it could be shown that reliable dosimetry could be performed by intensive study of a small number of cells, rather than by the superficial investigation of a large number of cells, as is the current practice.

In any event, it has been shown that even with the current state of the art, it is possible to digitize, store, and transmit cytogenetically useful images. Even if no further advances are made in the area of automated scoring, it is seen that the unique radiobiological environment represented by the Space Station could be exploited by sending digitized images to the ground for analysis.
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ABSTRACT

Astronauts can be exposed during spaceflight to organic chemical contaminants in the spacecraft cabin atmosphere. Toxic exposures may cause lesions in the cellular DNA which are subsequently expressed as sister-chromatid exchanges (SCE). Analysis of SCE is a sensitive short-term assay technique to detect and quantitate exposures to DNA-damaging (mutagenic) substances. The increase in SCE incidence over baseline (control) levels is generally proportional to the concentration of the mutagen and to the duration of exposure.

The BHK-21 baby hamster kidney cell line was the in vitro test system used for this study. Test organics were added to the culture media for 18 hours, in concentrations ranging from one to 20 parts per million (ppm). Acetaldehyde and carbon disulfide were chosen for this study since they have occurred as atmospheric contaminants in many of the STS flights, and have been reported to have toxic and mutagenic effects in various test systems. Glutaraldehyde was chosen because few data are available on the mutagenicity of this common fixative, which is carried on STS flights for use in biological experiments. Acetaldehyde was a very strong inducer of SCE at concentrations of 2 ppm and above. Glutaraldehyde and carbon disulfide failed to induce SCE.
INTRODUCTION

Control of potentially toxic exposures to organic chemical contaminants in spacecraft cabin atmospheres is a vital concern to astronauts, who are exposed during spaceflight to a recirculating atmosphere containing trace amounts of numerous organic chemicals.

Analysis of sister-chromatid exchanges (SCE) is a sensitive short-term assay technique to detect and quantitate exposures to mutagenic substances (Perry & Evans, 1975; Latt & Schreck, 1980). The increase in SCE over baseline (control) levels is generally proportional to the concentration of the mutagen and to the duration of exposure. BHK-21, an established baby hamster kidney cell line, was chosen as the in vitro test system. BHK-21 cells are readily maintained in culture, are well-known in the biological literature, and are highly sensitive to toxic or mutagenic substances present in their growth media. BHK-21 cells are also capable of metabolically activating promutagens into mutagenically active compounds.

Acetaldehyde and carbon disulfide were selected from a list of more than 70 volatile organics detected during STS flights since they have occurred as contaminants in about half of the STS flights, and have been reported to have toxic or mutagenic effects in various test systems. Glutaraldehyde was chosen because relatively few data are available on the mutagenicity of this common biological fixative, which is carried on STS flights for use in biological experiments. This study proposed to test the genotoxic effects of acetaldehyde, glutaraldehyde,
and carbon disulfide — i.e., their ability to alter or damage cellular DNA or chromosomes. Discovery of significant genetic toxicity of any of these compounds would be an important factor in determining the appropriate spacecraft maximum allowable concentration (SMAC) limit.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

BHK-21 baby hamster kidney cells were obtained from the Biochemistry Department of the M.D. Anderson Hospital, Houtson, Texas. Cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) and maintained in a 37°C incubator in a humidified atmosphere of 5% carbon dioxide and 95% air.

Cell population doubling times were calculated from cell counts done on a Coulter model Zf electronic particle counter.

The following SCE studies were carried out: (1) Negative controls, to determine the baseline SCE levels and the optimum exposure period for the test chemicals, (2) Positive controls, using 10 to 500 micromolar concentrations of cyclophosphamide, a known mutagen which requires metabolic activation in order to produce SCE, and (3) Tests with acetaldehyde, glutaraldehyde, and carbon disulfide, in 1 to 20 parts per million (ppm) concentrations, to test for induction of SCE.

SCE staining was done by the standard "fluorescence-plus-Giemsa" techniques currently used to resolve SCE for light microscopy (Kato, 1974; Perry & Wolff, 1974). Cells were exposed to bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) for two cell cycles (approximately 18 hours for BHK-21 cells), followed by metaphase arrest of the mitotic cells with colcemid. Exposure to BrdU
for two S-phases results in metaphase chromosomes in which one sister chromatid contains DNA with BrdU bifilarly substituted for thymidine, the other chromatid unifilarly substituted. This condition is the basis for differential staining techniques in which one chromatid is lightly stained (unifilar BrdU) and the other darkly stained (bifilar BrdU).

BHK-21 cells were exposed in darkness (in aluminum foil-wrapped flasks) for 18 hours to either BrdU (5 ug/ml) alone (controls) or to BrdU plus either 1 ppm, 2 ppm, 5 ppm, 10 ppm, or 20 ppm of one of the test organics. Colcemid (0.1 ug/ml) was added for the final two hours. The cells were harvested by mitotic shake-off and centrifuged (5 minutes at 200 x g); then washed once in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and re-centrifuged. The cells were incubated in hypotonic solution (20% FCS) for 15 minutes at 37°C. The cells were finally centrifuged, resuspended in about 0.5 ml of hypotonic solution, and fixed with a 3:1 mixture of methanol:acetic acid (4°C). Chromosome spreads were prepared by dropping the cell suspension onto cleaned, wet microscope slides. The slides were air-dried and then stained with Hoechst 33258 (5 ug/ml in pH 6.8 phosphate buffer) for 20 minutes. They were then exposed for 1 hour to ultraviolet light (the germicidal UV lamp in the tissue culture hood), incubated in a 62°C water bath for 2 hours, and stained with 3% Giemsa in pH 6.8 buffer for 25 minutes. Slide preparations were air-dried and coverslipped.

SCE were scored by counting the number of times the polarity of light- vs. dark-staining segments was reversed, each marking a symmetrical interchange of material between sister chromatids. The number of SCE in 30 well-differentiated spreads was counted, averaged, and expressed as
the number of SCE per cell. Statistical significance was determined using a t-test (p < .05, with 58 degrees of freedom).

RESULTS

Control BHK-21 cells grew rapidly in culture, with population doubling times of 9 to 10 hours. Exposure to BrdU for 18 hours gave the best proportion of completely-differentiated SCE spreads, and this exposure time was used throughout the study. BHK-21 metaphase spreads contained complements of 44 chromosomes (metacentric, submetacentric, and telocentric, with a single large metacentric X chromosome), consistent with published karyotypes of the BHK-21 cell line.

Exposure of BHK-21 cells to cyclophosphamide (Table 1; Fig. 1) showed a very strong exponential dose-response to CP, with 10 μM and higher concentrations inducing significant numbers of SCE. CP was used as a positive control to confirm the ability of the BHK-21 cells to metabolically activate a known mutagen.

Exposure of BHK-21 cells to acetaldehyde (Table 2; Fig. 2) also showed a strong exponential dose-response. Concentrations of 2 ppm (17.7 μM) acetaldehyde and above induced significant numbers of SCE. Cells exposed to 20 ppm (177 μM) acetaldehyde had too many SCE to accurately count (about 65 SCE per cell).

BHK-21 cells exposed to 1 to 10 ppm glutaraldehyde (14.5 to 145 μM) did not show increased SCE rates (Table 3). Neither did cells exposed to 1 to 20 ppm carbon disulfide (16.6 to 166 μM) (Table 4). However, BHK-21 cells exposed to 20 ppm glutaraldehyde (290 μM) were severely inhibited in cell division.
### TABLE 1: SISTER-CHROMATID EXCHANGES IN BHK-21 CELLS EXPOSED TO CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE FOR 18 HOURS IN VITRO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cyclophosphamide concentration (μM)</th>
<th>SCE per cell (mean ± s.d.)</th>
<th>t-test versus Control</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (Control)</td>
<td>4.47 ± 2.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.93 ± 2.19</td>
<td>t-value</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.16 ± 2.10</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>p &lt; .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>8.07 ± 2.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>p &lt; .0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>13.97 ± 4.68</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.21</td>
<td>p &lt; .0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2: SISTER-CHROMATID EXCHANGES IN BHK-21 CELLS EXPOSED TO ACETALDEHYDE FOR 18 HOURS IN VITRO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acetaldehyde concentration ppm (μM)</th>
<th>SCE per cell (mean ± s.d.)</th>
<th>t-test versus Control</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (Control)</td>
<td>7.16 ± 2.36</td>
<td>t-value</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (17.77)</td>
<td>6.73 ± 1.91</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.667</td>
<td>p &lt; .0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (35.54)</td>
<td>9.90 ± 3.33</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.625</td>
<td>p &lt; .0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (88.85)</td>
<td>14.87 ± 4.29</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.877</td>
<td>p &lt; .0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 (177.7)</td>
<td>26.06 ± 7.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: BHK-21: SCE induction by cyclophosphamide, 18 hours in vitro. SCE/cell versus concentration (micromolar). Exponential regression: \( Y = A \times e^{BX} \) with \( A = 5.707 \), \( B = 0.003 \), and correlation coefficient, \( r = 0.960 \).
BHK-21: SCE/CELL VERSUS ACETALDEHYDE CONCENTRATION (PPM)

Figure 2: BHK-21: SCE induction by acetaldehyde, 18 hours in vitro. SCE/cell versus concentration (ppm).
Exponential regression: \( Y = A \times e^{BX} \) with \( A = 6.932 \), \( B = 0.137 \), and correlation coefficient, \( r = 0.983 \).
### TABLE 3: SISTER-CHROMATID EXCHANGES IN BHK-21 CELLS EXPOSED TO GLUTARALDEHYDE FOR 18 HOURS IN VITRO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glutaraldehyde concentration ppm (uM)</th>
<th>SCE per cell (mean ± s.d.)</th>
<th>t-test versus Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (Control)</td>
<td>5.30 ± 1.78</td>
<td>t-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (14.5)</td>
<td>5.70 ± 1.29</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (29.0)</td>
<td>6.06 ± 2.00</td>
<td>1.554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (72.5)</td>
<td>5.20 ± 2.00</td>
<td>0.204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 (145.0)</td>
<td>5.90 ± 2.11</td>
<td>1.190</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 4: SISTER-CHROMATID EXCHANGES IN BHK-21 CELLS EXPOSED TO CARBON DISULFIDE FOR 18 HOURS IN VITRO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon Disulfide concentration ppm (uM)</th>
<th>SCE per cell (mean ± s.d.)</th>
<th>t-test versus Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (Control)</td>
<td>6.57 ± 1.65</td>
<td>t-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (16.59)</td>
<td>6.13 ± 2.01</td>
<td>0.928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (33.17)</td>
<td>6.20 ± 1.99</td>
<td>0.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (82.94)</td>
<td>6.03 ± 1.84</td>
<td>1.199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 (165.9)</td>
<td>6.10 ± 2.29</td>
<td>0.910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 (331.7)</td>
<td>6.13 ± 1.83</td>
<td>0.980</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

BHK-21 cells are a rapidly-growing, easily maintained permanent cell line, and are sensitive to the effects of genotoxic agents in their growth medium. BHK-21 cells are able to metabolically activate indirect mutagens such as cyclophosphamide, presumably through the activity of mixed-function oxidase (MFO) enzymes. The exponential dose-response of BHK-21 cells to cyclophosphamide, with a minimum effective concentration of 10 µM, is apparently equal to the dose-response of several hepatoma cell lines, which are known to possess MFO enzymes (Abe et al., 1983). Cyclophosphamide is a powerful anticancer agent, which acts by alkylating DNA.

Acetaldehyde is a direct-acting mutagen which has been identified as a cross-linker of DNA (Ristow & Obe, 1978). It is an inducer of SCE in relatively low concentrations — about 40 micromolar for human lymphocytes and fibroblasts in culture (Veghelyi & Ostovics, 1978) and about 35 micromolar for BHK-21 cells. Acetaldehyde was a much stronger inducer of SCE than cyclophosphamide (Fig. 3); this effect may be attributable in part to the direct-acting mechanism of acetaldehyde in producing SCE. The mutagenic potential of acetaldehyde should be considered in conjunction with its toxic and irritating properties in setting exposure limits (current SMAC 30 ppm). However, acetaldehyde should not present toxicity problems in spacecraft cabin atmospheres, since the source of acetaldehyde is attributed to offgassing from plastic materials in the cabin, and measured acetaldehyde concentrations...
Figure 3: BHK-21: Comparison of SCE induction by acetaldehyde and cyclophosphamide, 18 hours in vitro. SCE/cell versus concentration (micromolar).
have been low (maximum 0.31 ppm, average approximately 0.15 ppm).

Glutaraldehyde is a common fixative which is carried on STS flights for use in biological experiments. It is a highly irritating compound, with low SMAC limits (0.098 ppm), but was negative for induction of SCE in BHK-21 cells exposed for 18 hours to from 1 to 20 ppm glutaraldehyde. Likewise, it was found to be negative for SCE induction in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells in 0.6 to 2.5 uM concentrations, with and without S-9 mix (rat liver microsomal enzymes added to provide metabolic activation) by Slesinski et al. (1983). They found that 5 uM glutaraldehyde produced excessive mitotic inhibition to carry out the SCE test. BHK-21 cells were much less sensitive to the antimitotic effects of glutaraldehyde than were CHO cells; concentrations of 20 ppm (290 uM) halted mitosis and prevented spreading of the chromosomes. Glutaraldehyde is a strong cross-linking agent of proteins and most likely interacts with proteins in the cell membrane and cytoplasm, making interactions with DNA unlikely (Slesinski et al., 1983).

Carbon disulfide has been found in minute concentrations in spacecraft cabin atmospheres (about 0.001 ppm when present) apparently from offgassing from plastic materials in the cabin. It is a potent neurotoxin, with SMAC limits of 0.96 ppm. Carbon disulfide failed to induce SCE in BHK-21 cells following 18-hour exposures to 1 to 20 ppm concentrations (16 to 331 uM).
CONCLUSIONS

The BHK-21 baby hamster kidney cell line is a useful model system for in vitro genetic toxicology research. BHK-21 cells are capable of metabolically activating indirect mutagens such as cyclophosphamide.

Neither glutaraldehyde nor carbon disulfide induced sister-chromatid exchanges during 18-hour exposures to 1 to 20 ppm concentrations of these chemicals. No modification of SMAC limits for glutaraldehyde or carbon disulfide are suggested by these preliminary results.

Acetaldehyde strongly induced sister-chromatid exchanges in BHK-21 cells, in concentrations as low as 2 ppm (35 uM). These findings are consistent with similar SCE studies in the literature which confirm that acetaldehyde is a strong inducer of SCE even at relatively low concentrations. The SMAC limits for acetaldehyde should be considered for toxicological review in light of the significant mutagenic potential of this compound.
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This project investigated the role of affirmative actions as an interventionist Organization Development (OD) strategy for insuring equal opportunities at the NASA/Johnson Space Center. In doing so, an eclectic and holistic model is developed for the recruiting and hiring of minorities and females over the next five years. The strategy, approach, and assumptions for the model are quite different than those for JSC's five year plan.

The study concludes that Organization Development utilizing affirmative action is a valid means to bring about organizational change and renewal processes, and that an eclectic model of affirmative action is most suitable and rational in obtaining this end.
AFFIRMING EQUAL OPPORTUNITY:

A Futuristic Plan

Affirming, or reaffirming, equal opportunity among racial, ethnic and minority groups at NASA is obviously a clearcut goal. This has not been simply rhetorical statements used as a means to mollify minorities and females. Or, for that matter, to give the appearance of being in compliance with Federal law. It is clear that the NASA-JSC has made progress (through uneven) in the area of affirmative action and equal opportunity. The policy statement for the last five year plan reads as follows:

The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Johnson Space Center (JSC) is dedicated to achieving its technical mission with a fully integrated work force. JSC's policy is to provide equal employment opportunity for all persons regardless of race, color, religion, sex, age, national origin, or handicap...

JSC will provide sufficient resources to administer an effective Affirmative Action Program, including making center facilities accessible to handicapped individuals. All JSC employees, managers and supervisors at all levels are expected to support affirmative actions to insure that the objectives of equal employment become a reality.1
It is not unreasonable to expect that NASA will have a similar policy statement for the next five years, inclusive of FY87 to FY91. It is important to mention that under the 1964 Civil Rights Act, and as recently as May of 1986, the U.S. Supreme Court indicated that on-the-job racial preferences in hiring and promoting are still constitutional in order to remedy the effects of past discrimination. Hence, the policy statement should note that there will be equal promotional as well as equal employment opportunity.

It should be noted that a broad-based view of affirmative action must examine conceptual, social, economic and organizational components of affirmative action, each of which are worthy of brief discussion here.

**Conceptual**

Affirmative action is designed to provide minorities, females and the handicapped with the same preferential treatment *(ceteris paribus)* that white males traditionally and historically have enjoyed. It should not be felt that affirmative action as a legal component can remedy in a short time institutionalized racial discrimination that dates back to, and was part of, the U.S. Constitution that was ratified in 1789.
It is at the societal level where racial discrimination is the most pervasive and deeply rooted. Social values are developed early in life at the familial level and reinforced by peer groups, public schools, co-workers, friends, and, inter alia, institutions of higher education. Social values influence our cultural values, which often results in ethnocentrism and stereotypical attitudes and behavior. It is from attitudinal predispositions that one begins to divide races, sexes and ethnic groups into hierarchical social classes based upon positions and roles of the group in question.

Racial and gender discrimination tend to be individual as well as institutional, and while individuals may assert that they harbor no ill feelings or prejudicial attitudes toward minorities and females, it is the institutions or collective entities noted above that are less innocuous. These institutions educate and train whole generations of people in, subliminally and overtly, in the development of racial attitudes and discriminatory practices.
Economic

Affirmative action assists in closing the economic gap between minorities and non-minorities, on the one hand, and males and females on the other hand. One of the most glaring inequalities in America has been economic in nature, due to past and the on-going effects of present discrimination. Statistics tend to indicate that the income gap between the Black and white family in America is increasing rather than decreasing. In the seventies Black family income had reached 62% of that for its white counterpart. In 1982, Black family income had dropped to 55% of that for white families.  

Affirmative action programs that seek to be effective must be aggressive not only in hiring minorities and females in institutional settings, but in promoting them as well. It is clear that as one goes up the organizational ladder, the pyramidal structure tends to have less and less minorities and females as the apex is approached. Affirmative action has been less successful in this respect, even at NASA-JSC.

Organizational

Effective affirmative action programs result in better racial and human relations between various groups of people as well as understanding of cultural relativism. Such an integrative
approach can result in better informal human relations as well. Affirmative action is, contrary to its critics, a democratic principle designed, in part, to insure more equal representation in the workplace. Ideally, ethnic, racial and gender representation in the workforce and organizational settings should be comparable to the more general representation of these groups as a whole in society. While this cannot always be done, there are alternative ways and means of setting affirmative action hiring goals, which will be addressed later in this study. The use of T-Groups, outside consultants, other Organization Development (OD) strategies, and the role of the Equal Opportunity Programs Office must continue to be catalysts of change in organizational settings.

The JSC Five-Year Plan

Strategy
The Johnson Space Center strategy for the next five years is: 1) to continue with proven approaches through a) college recruiting, and b) the co-op program; 2) to begin focusing more attention on the Asian /Pacific Islander group by a) determining where they are, and b) conducting targeted recruiting activities; and 3) to closely monitor plans and
accomplishments for all groups by a) close coordination between the EO office and Personnel office, and b) regular feedback to management and employees. 3

Approach
This strategy will be pursued through the following approach:

1) recruitment of the "highest quality" (my quotations) candidates will be number one priority,

2) continuing progress in all minority/female areas (groups),

3) a special emphasis upon non-minority females and Asian/Pacific Islanders, and

4) an annual increase of approximately 20 minority/female positions. 4

Assumptions
The following assumptions, both implicit and explicit, are built into the JSC five year plan:

1) minority scientists and engineers will be hired in their field if NASA does not hire them,

2) census and other data are correct and accurate in reporting data regarding the status of minorities,

3) minority scientists and engineers are not underemployed even when hired in their respective fields,

4) racial discrimination is not a factor in minorities and women finding
jobs in their fields,
5) a constant workforce strength, and
6) a 5.5% turnover for non-minority males and a 4.5% turnover for minorities and females.

The strategy, approach, and assumptions discussed here relate primarily to the recruitment of minorities and females in the areas of science and engineering. Science and engineering are easily the largest occupational categories at NASA Johnson Space Center. Table I reflects the breakdown of various scientific and engineering representation models based upon the workforce in the population at-large, compared with data developed by the Assistant Administrator for the Office of Equal Opportunity Programs at NASA headquarters in Washington, D.C. These data reveal statistics broken down by race, ethnicity, and gender.

As of January 1986, minorities and females made up 18.5% of the scientists and engineers at JSC. Non-minority females make up the largest category with 148 (7.5%) employees. Black males, along with Hispanic males, constitute the second largest numbers with 76 and 75 workers respectively (3.8% each). Other than non-minority females, Black female scientists and engineers make up 21 (1.1%), Hispanic females


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MODEL</th>
<th>NM</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>BLACK</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>HISPANIC</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>A/PI</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>AM. IND.</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ON-BOARD STRENGTH</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS OF 1-28-86</td>
<td>81.5 %</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>.9%</td>
<td>.4%</td>
<td>.5%</td>
<td>.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1611</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CENSUS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82.4%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>.6%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>.3%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>.4%</td>
<td>.2%</td>
<td>.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1629</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>△ON-BOARD</td>
<td>+18</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>+61</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COLLEGE GRADS.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(80-81 YEAR)</td>
<td>73.5%</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>.3%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>.9%</td>
<td>.2%</td>
<td>.05%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1493</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>△ON-BOARD</td>
<td>-118</td>
<td>+166</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-43</td>
<td>+57</td>
<td>+10</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>60 COLLEGE/40 CEN.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HARRIET JENKINS</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>.8%</td>
<td>.5%</td>
<td>.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1384</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>△ON-BOARD</td>
<td>-227</td>
<td>+129</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>+9</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>+11</td>
<td>+6</td>
<td>+8</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCE:** JOHNSON SPACE CENTER 1986
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10 (.5%), Asian/Pacific Islanders 8 (.4%), and American Indian females 1 (.1%). Proportionately speaking to the S&E total workforce at JSC, minority women tend to be the most underrepresented. In fact, based upon JSC's data, minority women constitute a total of only 2.1% of the workforce compared to 7.5% non-minority females. As a whole, women in the aggregate make-up 9.6% of the scientists and engineers.

The JSC fares better in some of the minority and female categories than the other representative models and worse in other categories vis a' vis these models. However, the workforce groups at JSC appears to be comparable or at a higher percent for most of the models. The exception tends to be with non-minority females and Asian/Pacific Islanders. It is these two groups, of course, that have been targeted for the next five year plan.

The initial proposal put forth by Harriet G. Jenkins (Assistant Administrator) seems to allow for the fact that minority females are grossly underrepresented at the JSC vis a' vis other groups. This model allows for anywhere from one to 11 new hires over the next five years for the various categories of minority females and 129 new hires for non-minority females.
Table II reflects JSC's counter-proposal to Jenkins' initial plan. One thing that is immediately clear is that JSC's counter-proposal would reduce the non-minority male workforce by only 5.1% whereas Jenkins' plan would reduce this group of workers by more than twice this percent (11.5%).

### TABLE II

**COUNTER JSC MINORITY AND FEMALE RECRUITMENT PLAN**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Current-1986</th>
<th>Five Year Projection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMM</td>
<td>81.5%</td>
<td>76.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMF</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HM</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>.5%</td>
<td>.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/PI M</td>
<td>.9%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/PI F</td>
<td>.4%</td>
<td>.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAM</td>
<td>.5%</td>
<td>.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAF</td>
<td>.1%</td>
<td>.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Personnel Department, Johnson Space Center, 1985
minority groups and specifically minority females would not fare as well under JSC's counter-proposal. Obviously, non-minority males and females would make the biggest gains under this proposal. Ironically, Asian/Pacific Islander males would have been hurt the most under the initial JSC counter-proposal.

Table III reveals data from Tables I and II above as well as Jenkins' second proposal, followed by JSC's recommended proposal. Jenkins' second proposal reduces her original number of non-minority females from an increase of 124 (14% of the workforce) to 68 (11% of the workforce) with almost all other categories maintaining roughly the same percents, except for non-minority males which would experience a 4.5% increase. The final recommended proposal that NASA-JSC has settled on is a partial compromise between Jenkins' second proposal and the JSC's counter-proposal. This is applicable to the non-minorities, Blacks and Hispanic males in particular, and to a lesser extent with American Indians, though this latter category is almost statistically insignificant because of the low number and percent that they constitute of the total workforce.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan</th>
<th>Nonminority</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>A/F</th>
<th>Am. Ind.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harriott's First Proposal (would require 62% M/F new hires)</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-227</td>
<td>+129</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>+9</td>
<td>+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSC Counter Proposal (would require 35% M/F new hires)</td>
<td>76.4%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-100</td>
<td>+64</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harriott's Second Proposal (would require 42% M/F new hires)</td>
<td>74.5%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-138</td>
<td>+58</td>
<td>+9</td>
<td>+7</td>
<td>+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSC Recommended Proposal (would require 36.8% M/F new hires)</td>
<td>75.8%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-112</td>
<td>+58</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>+7</td>
<td>+4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCE:** **JOHNSON SPACE CENTER 1986**
Under the JSC recommended proposal, non-minority males and females are the biggest beneficiaries. This proposal increases the percent of non-minority males 5.8% over the Assistant Administrator's first proposal, through the percent of women hired will actually be 3% less. It is interesting to note that, although JSC has emphasized recruiting Asian/Pacific Islander's over the next five years, the JSC recommended proposal will cut the total number hired from 69 in Jenkin's first proposal to 26, a reduction of 43 new recruits. This is a reduction of almost two-thirds (62.5%). At the same time, while the five year plan will double the number of Asian/Pacific Islanders, this is not the case with the other targeted group, non-minority females. Their number will decrease from the 129 proposed in Jenkin's proposal to 68 in the JSC proposal, a reduction of 61 non-minority females since the numbers and percent for the other minority groups will remain generally the same. It appears that the increase in the numbers and percent for non-minority males will be at the expense of non-minority females and Asian/Pacific Islanders--the targeted groups.

Table IV reflects the annual EO hiring goals for scientists and engineers over a 5 year period and over a 10 year period. Depending upon the plan and workforce model
used, this would mean hiring anywhere from 28% to 62% minorities and females over a five year period and from 22% to 38% over a ten year period.

TABLE IV
ANNUAL EO HIRING GOALS FOR SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5 Year Approach</th>
<th>Census Plan</th>
<th>College Grad Plan</th>
<th>60/40 Plan</th>
<th>Harriet Jenkins Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 10 Year Approach | 22% | 38% | 31% | 31% |

Source: Johnson Space Center 1986

Obviously, the plan that is most favorable toward minorities and females is Jenkins' plan and the college graduation plan. These plans project the most optimistic approach regarding equal opportunity and affirmative action. The least favorable approach regarding minorities and females is the census plan, which has projections that would more than cut in half affirmative action hiring over the next five years.
relative to Jenkins' plan, and project less than two-thirds the number hired over a ten year period, compared to Jenkins' plan.

Weaknesses of the Five Year Plan

The five year plan suffers from a number of weaknesses which could be remedied through the development of an alternative plan that would be more eclectic and holistic in nature. Before turning to such a plan, though, it is prudent to examine the weaknesses of the five year plan at the JSC.

Perhaps unwittingly, an unintended consequence of the five year plan recommended by the JSC is that it will create greater disparities in numbers and percents between non-minority males/females and Asian/Pacific Islanders, on the one hand, and Blacks, Hispanics and American Indians, on the other hand. By doing this, "affirmative action" will be bridging the gap between the dominant group (non-minority males) and minority female groups while at the same time developing larger inequities between non-minority females and minority and minority female groups. The primary goal of affirmative action is to allow those groups that historically
have been victims of discrimination to "catch-up" with white males, who traditionally have enjoyed preferential treatment.

The rationale for this pattern of recruitment and hiring, of course, lies in the argument that minority and minority female groups are not producing scientists and engineers in the population at-large. There are, however, several problems associated with this rationale. One, it must be assumed that census figures are correct since they are used to make projections. Two, such a rationale does not take into account the intensity and length of time of discrimination against minorities and minority-female groups. And three, while various representative models are drawn upon in order to make projections for the various minority groups, the recommended plan ultimately involves arbitrary figures.

Each of these points deserve cursory review. Utilization of census figures, or data arrived at using census figures, have come under sharp attack in the past. Critics argue that the methodological approach used to arrive at total minority populations most often undercount the group in question. Cultural as well as social reasons often come into play which census-takers ignore in making estimates. Hence, the use of these data for making projections tend to be unreliable.
because of statistical and reporting errors based upon fallacies and inaccurate assumptions.

The second point, regarding **intensity** and **length of time** of discrimination, is not addressed in terms of a concrete formula. That is to say, there is no rational compensatory mechanism which addresses or allows for the effects of past discrimination in the plan. This same problem applies to the third point regarding an arbitrary figure. While the use of the various representative model have some value for establishing ballpark figures, there is a need for providing a **formula** or model using a holistic and more comprehensive approach.

Another weakness of the five year plan is that it attempts to recruit minorities and females based upon statistical data (even assuming the data is correct) which does not account for institutional barriers which may limit the number of minorities and females entering into, and graduating from, schools of science and engineering. **Prima facie** as well as invidious and more subtle forms of discrimination occur not only in the job sector, but in higher education as well. Colleges and universities with science and engineering programs and majors, like other entities in higher education,
have not been exempt from discriminatory practices. As noted in Chapter II, part of the 1964 Civil Rights Act was designed to specifically address discrimination in institutions of higher learning. Since affirmative action involves, in part, a compensatory component, it would seem that a five year plan would need to address the institutionalization of discrimination and the negative impact thereof.

How will the more pervasive forms of racial and gender discrimination be addressed involving women who are females, on the one hand, and minorities on the other hand in the five year plan? Asian/Pacific Islander women and Hispanic women, for instance, are two categories of people who face dual discrimination as minorities and as women. What recruitment formula would best reflect their social status and representation in the population? Dual discrimination represents a more vexing problem that should be addressed in affirmative action plans in a manner that reflects their "double-negative" status in the profession as well as society as a whole. Black females, historically the most severely discriminated against double minority, will make only a miniscule gain in the five year plan. Their representation in the JSC workforce would rise from a mere 1.1% in 1986 to 1.4% in 1991. This situation is similar for other females at
The approach of the JSC affirmative action plan is one which states that it will seek the "highest quality" candidates as the number one priority over the next five years. However, the phrase "highest quality" is left undefined and subject to interpretation. While it has been stated by JSC that the EO goals are flexible, it is not clear how flexible these goals are. If equal opportunity means, at the JSC, that when there are two equally qualified candidates, one a non-minority male and the other a minority male, the latter will get the job, then such thinking would be in line with the traditional approach and conceptual underpinnings of affirmative action. In juxtaposition to this scenario, if a non-minority female (targeted group) and a minority female (facing dual discrimination) with equal credentials apply for a single slot, which candidate should get the position? Again, it seems that such instances beg for a more definitive formula for recruiting and hiring when a more complex recruiting situation exists.
Affirming Equal Opportunity:
An Eclectic Model

Strategy
The strategy of the five year plan is well designed except for emphasizing Asian/Pacific Islanders. An alternative viable strategy would address concentrating and emphasizing all minority and female groups in recruitment and hiring as opposed to selectively recruiting in sub-areas of these categories.

Approach
The approach for this model would emphasize: 1) quality as determined by candidates graduating at or near the top of their class, 2) targeting those minority and female groups that historically as well as currently are impacted by discrimination, 3) putting forth a special effort to recruit and hire candidates who encounter dual discrimination, and 4) constructing an objective and rational model for determining the number and percent of minorities and females to be hired, involving a compensatory variable that is congruent with the letter and spirit of affirmative action.
Assumptions

The holistic (eclectic) model developed here, in contradistinction to the five year plan, would inculcate the following premises:

1) a higher percent of minorities and women should be hired than the actual percent graduating from schools of science and engineering,

2) a holistic approach is a more representative and equitable means of addressing the "misrepresentation" of minorities and women,

3) a compensatory variable/percent is a valid means of addressing the historical and institutional discrimination against minorities and women,

4) a holistic model is more compatible and consistent with OD, and

5) developing a statistical mean based upon a diversity of statistics and sources is a more valid means for establishing hiring goals.

Limitations of the Model

1) The model is developed for NASA-JSC, though it may be applicable to other centers.

2) The data for the variables differ in years, which may affect the mean.

3) Sources for the data differ, which could affect the mean.

4) The model provides examples for Blacks and women engineers only, but would utilize the same formula for the other
minority and minority female groups.

Rationale for the Model

The formula or equation for the model constitutes the following variables: 1) population at-large, 2) college graduates, 3) NASA employees, 4) compensatory adjustment, and 5) percent of minority-female S&E's employed. These variables combine representative numbers in the population at-large and NASA-JSC with the actual numbers graduating from college and those employed in science and engineering. This approach is more consistent with affirmative action plans, which usually attempt to design programs which reflect the representation in the community—in this case the national population and Federal government. This approach for the model attempts to achieve parity with representative figures in the social structure and professionals in government. Affirmative action is turned on its head when attempts are made to use indigenous institutional data for on-board minority and female projections as the sine qua non for recruiting and hiring.

Variables

Population at-large (PAL). The percent of the minority or female group as represented in the general population (e.g.,
Blacks make-up 12% of the U.S. population).

College graduates (CC). The percent of the minority or female group that graduates from colleges and universities in a given year in science and engineering.

NASA employees (NASA). The percent of minority and female scientists and engineers currently on-board at NASA-JSC.

S&E's in workforce (S&E's). The percent of minorities and females in science and engineering in the active labor force.

Compensatory adjustment (CA). This variable constitutes a combination of historical, social, institutional and workforce-related structural factors. Historical as meaning a way to compensate for past discrimination. Social as used here relating to societal values that contribute to and influence the continuing pervasiveness of discrimination. Institutional relates, in the context used here, to organizational cultures that influence discriminatory attitudes. Structural relates to employment factors in the labor force that discriminate against minorities and females. The compensatory adjustment would weigh one percent for minorities and females and two for minority females.
The proposed model of recruitment and hiring for Blacks than, would consist of the following data:

**FIGURE I**

**BLACK REPRESENTATION MODEL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>PAL</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>3.6% = 21.9% - 4 = 5.5% +1 = 16.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>S&amp;E's</td>
<td>2.4% (Mean) (CA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>NASA</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The model consists of simply taking the four variable percents of PAL, CG, NASA and S&E's and totaling them (21.9), divided by the total number of variables (4) in order to arrive at the mean (5.5%). The mean is added to the compensatory adjustment (CA) variable of plus 1.0% in order to arrive at the final affirmative action recruitment and hiring goal of 6.5% over the next five years for Blacks.

Similarly, the proposed model for females over five years would use the same formula (model) but with the following numbers.
FIGURE II
FEMALES REPRESENTATION MODEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>PAL</td>
<td>51.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>S/E'S</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>NASA</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

27.3% = 114.3 - 4 = 28.6% + 1.0% = 29.6% (Mean) (CA)

It should be kept in mind here that the figure of 29.6% is inclusive of both non-minority females as well as minority females, and the sum total represents females in all of the representative racial and ethnic groups at NASA. Contrary to the JSC plan, the above model projects a recruitment and hiring rate of more than twice the percent of the former plan—29.6% compared to 13.7%. The particular models computed for the female minorities would, as noted earlier, include a CA of plus 2.0 percent.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In summary, the purpose of this study has been to lay out the JSC policy of equal opportunity, discuss a broad-based view
of affirmative action which includes the conceptual, social, economic and organizational underpinnings, examine the JSC five year affirmative action plan, inclusive of the strategy, approach, assumptions, goal projections and weaknesses of the five year plan, and to offer an alternative five year plan.

The alternative five year plan can be viewed as both eclectic and holistic. The strategy, approach, and assumptions for this model are quite different. As with any field of research, normative values of the investigator have a bearing on research findings. Hence, as with the JSC five year plan, the strategy, approach and assumptions of the "interventionist" come into play. However, it may be argued that the OD interventionist brings a more neutral and objective approach for investigating structural and functional aspects of an organization. This is one of the main advantages of OD. The proposed model, as with any model or theoretical treatise, has limitations, and these have been delineated as well. Nevertheless, it has been argued that an eclectic model which takes into account the "best of all worlds" is a more fruitful and prudent avenue for setting affirmative action goals. Of utmost significance, the holistic model takes into account social and institutional factors that impact upon the level and degree of
discrimination. Given the long history and rising tide of racial and sexual discrimination in America, the model proposed here is a modest and reasonable alternative to the JSC plan.

The following conclusions can be reached as a result of this study:
1) OD is a legitimate means of using affirmative action to bring about organizational change and renewal, 2) JSC has come quite a ways in the recruitment and hiring of minorities and women from FY70 to FY85, 3) a higher percent of minorities and females can and should be hired at JSC than that percent actually graduating from colleges and universities, 4) the political environment has made it harder to sustain effective affirmative action programs and easier to rationalize doing away with such programs, 5) affirmative action is still a viable tool for integrating the workforce in the public as well as private sector, 6) while there are certain negative perceptions of affirmative action, the positive and advantageous factors outweigh the negative and disadvantageous variables, and 7) there are more structured methods of arriving at affirmative action goals which are less capricious and less arbitrary.
NOTES


3. This is part of the Johnson Space Center's proposed modifications affirmative action goals and timetables as of May, 1986. No pages given.

4. Ibid. No page number given.
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The Continuous Stellar Tracking Attitude Reference (CSTAR) system
is an in-house project for Space Station to provide high accuracy,
drift free attitude and angular rate information for the GN&C system.
The outputs of the solid state star trackers are processed to provide
attitude information; rate data is then derived from the attitude. Rate
derivation is based on discrete time polynomial approximation
techniques. This gives simple algorithms which allow for interpolation
by other users. Attitude rate is modeled as a constant with low
amplitude, low frequency sinusoids superimposed.

The rate processor is parameterized to account for the effects of
random errors, sample rate, data processing rate and perturbation
frequency. The baseline system may be characterized as follows: the
three sigma attitude accuracy is 0.01 degrees, the three sigma rate
accuracy is 0.0001 degrees per second, the sample rate is 100 Hertz, the
sampled signal is bandlimited to 0.5 Hertz, and the data processing
rate is 10 Hertz. The above system requires a differentiator of length
127. This will track rate perturbations of frequencies less than 0.01
Hertz with low systematic errors.
INTRODUCTION

The Orbiter uses rate gyroscopes and accelerometers as sensing devices to provide data for position and attitude control. These instruments need to be periodically updated by inertial reference information from star trackers, because their accuracy drifts with time. An alternative to this traditional system is being developed for Space Station as an in-house project of the Avionics System Division at Johnson Space Center. This project is called CSTAR for Continuous Stellar Tracking Attitude Reference. Drift free, high accuracy attitude information is supplied by using solid state star trackers to continuously track stars.

The CSTAR system requires multiple fields-of-view (f.o.v.) to provide three-axis information with minimal possibility of obscuration. The three sigma accuracy requirements for attitude and attitude rate on Space Station are presently set at 0.01 degrees and 0.0001 degrees per second respectively. This data is to be provided at a rate of 10 Hertz. The current, two f.o.v. breadboard CSTAR system is capable of meeting the three sigma attitude accuracy requirement at the 10 Hertz rate. The breadboard CSTAR uses a modified charge injection device (CID) television camera. Attitude rate is to be derived from the attitude information to provide backup for the rate gyroscopes. The attitude rate processor at the sensor level is to meet the accuracy and sampling rate requirements in a reasonable frequency range. It is not intended to be a sophisticated, adaptive type processor which meets the very specific needs of individual users. Examples of these types of processors may be found in the references [1,2].
THEORY

An attitude rate processor must perform the operation of differentiation on the attitude data. This may be treated as a numerical analysis problem in the discrete time domain where the samples are equally spaced. Shannon's sampling theorem is of prime importance [3,4]. The system will not be able to accurately reproduce or process any data which has frequency components greater than one half of the sampling frequency. The steady state transfer function of a differentiator is given by

\[ H(e^{j\omega T}) = j\omega, \]  
where \( T \) is the sample period [5].

This means that the magnitude response is proportional to frequency with a phase shift of ninety degrees for frequencies from zero to \( 1/2T \) Hertz; this response repeats periodically.

Discrete time differentiation is amenable to polynomial approximation techniques where the coefficients are derived from the data points [6]. The signal component of the attitude data is assumed to be a ramp with one or more sinusoids superimposed on it. The ramp slope is proportional to the dc component of the rate; the sinusoids are assumed to be of relatively low frequency. The ramp portion of the signal can be correctly differentiated by an approximation of order one or greater. A sinusoid cannot be represented by a finite sum of polynomials. No matter how high the order of the approximation, it will not exactly reproduce the derivative of a sinusoid.

Lagrange approximation techniques yield a curve fit in which the order of the approximation is equal to the number of data points used
for the fit [7]. An example of this type of fit is given by the Stirling approximation for the derivative [8]. A sixth order approximation yields the following transfer function:

\[ H(z) = \frac{(z^3 - 9z^2 + 45z - 45z^{-1} + 9z^{-z} - z^{-3})}{60} \]

This transfer function may be made causal by multiplying by \(z^{-3}\). A plot of the magnitude response of the Stirling differentiator and the ideal differentiator is shown in Figure 1. The frequency in Hertz is given by \(N/(1024T)\) where \(N\) is the frequency value on the plot. The Stirling differentiator closely approximates the ideal response in the lower frequency range; higher frequencies are attenuated. This should not be of concern, because the sample rate can always be chosen so that the frequencies of interest are not significantly attenuated. From a noise standpoint, the attenuation of higher frequencies may be desirable.

In practice, numerical differentiation is a more difficult operation than numerical integration [9,10]. The data to be differentiated are made up of a signal component and a noise component. The noise is assumed to be zero mean, uncorrelated, white Gaussian noise. This assumption is confirmed by analysis of the experimental data obtained from the CSTAR breadboard system. When this random noise is differentiated, the derived rate can have a very large noise component added to it. The Stirling differentiator shown in Figure 1 does not sufficiently attenuate the random noise to meet the rate accuracy requirements. A least squares type of approximation is more appropriate for this application [11].
In a least squares curve fit, the order of the approximation, \( m \), is equal to or less than the number of data points to be fit, \( L \). The length of the digital filter which realizes the approximation is \( L \). In this application, the spacing between the data points is uniform and is equal to the sample period, \( T \). The point at which the approximation is made is also a variable. For example, it may be placed in the middle of the data points or at the end. Errors in the approximation are due to both the random errors in the original signal, and the systematic errors introduced by the approximation itself. The above parameters affect how the random errors are reduced, and how large the systematic errors are. Random errors are decreased by the following:

1). Increasing the filter length, \( L \).
2). Increasing the sample period, \( T \).
3). Using a lower order approximation, \( m \).
4). Smoothing to the center.

The systematic errors are reduced by the following:

1). Decreasing the filter length for a given sample period.
2). Decreasing the sample period for a given length.
3). Using a higher order approximation.
4). Smoothing to the center.

It can be seen from the above statements that, except for smoothing to the center, the selection of parameters to reduce random errors is in direct conflict with the need to choose parameters to decrease the systematic errors. Although smoothing to the center is desirable, it is not possible in a causal system without introducing time delay.
The variance reduction factor (VRF) for the approximation of the derivative is given by the following:

\[ VRF = \frac{K}{L^2} \] for large values of \( L \).

\( K \) is a constant which depends on the order of the approximation and the point at which the data is smoothed. If \( L \) is not large, the exact expression will give a VRF which is smaller than the one obtained from the above equation. The systematic errors will be considered in relation to the steady state frequency response of the digital filter which implements the differentiator. This type of treatment lends itself to the analysis of signals which are other than sinusoids. A Fourier decomposition of an arbitrary function will yield its sinusoidal components. Superposition can be used to obtain the systematic error for the function.

The derivation of the coefficients in terms of the data points for a second order least squares curve fit, smoothed to the center follows:

The function evaluated at the \( j \)th point from the center point is

\[ f(j) = p_0 + p_1 j + p_2 j^2 \]

Differentiating this gives

\[ \frac{d[f(j)]}{dj} = p_1 + 2p_2 j \]

The coefficients may be calculated from the following set of simultaneous equations:

\[
P_0 \sum_{k=-N}^{N} k - 1 + p_1 \sum_{k=-N}^{N} k + p_2 \sum_{k=-N}^{N} k^2 = \sum_{k=-N}^{N} x_{k-N}
\]

\[
P_0 \sum_{k=-N}^{N} k^2 + p_1 \sum_{k=-N}^{N} k^3 + p_2 \sum_{k=-N}^{N} k^4 = \sum_{k=-N}^{N} k^2 x_{k-N}
\]

\[
P_0 \sum_{k=-N}^{N} k^3 + p_1 \sum_{k=-N}^{N} k^4 + p_2 \sum_{k=-N}^{N} k = \sum_{k=-N}^{N} k^3 x_{k-N}
\]

where \((2N + 1) = L; N \) is an integer. Let \( S_m(N) = \sum_{k \geq 0} k^m \), the
simultaneous equations reduce to
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
L & 0 & 2S_z(N) \\
0 & 2S_z(N) & 0 \\
2S_z(N) & 0 & 2S_+(N)
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
p_c \\
p_i \\
p_j
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\
N & N-1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & (N-1) & -N \\
N^2 & (N-1)^2 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & (N-1)^2 & N^2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x_{k+N} \\
x_k \\
\vdots \\
x_{k-N}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Expressions for \( S_m \) are given by
\[
S_1 = \frac{N(N + 1)}{2} \\
S_2 = \frac{N(N + 1)(2N + 1)}{6} \\
S_3 = \frac{L(L + 1)}{4} \\
S_+ = \frac{L(L + 1)(2L + 1)(3L^2 + 3L + 1)}{30}
\]

The simultaneous equations give simple solutions for the polynomial coefficients in terms of the data points. The approximation of the derivative at the endpoint is obtained by setting \( j = N \). The same transfer function is obtained if the simultaneous equations are set up to smooth to the endpoint directly. Such an evaluation involves the inversion of a 3x3 matrix, which is not necessary in the above derivation. It is also useful to have all of the coefficients available so that users may obtain rate estimates for times which are not sample points.

The sampling rate and the rate at which the data points are processed need not be the same [12]. Time decimation requires that the
sampled signal is bandlimited to prevent aliasing at the processing rate. Bandlimiting the original signal will eliminate some of the high frequency components. This reduces the standard deviation of the random noise. A shorter length filter is then required to achieve the same overall variance reduction. The systematic errors may thereby be improved.

**RESULTS**

It is desirable to look at variance reduction in a normalized fashion. All of the calculations and graphs are done in terms of the ratio of the rate standard deviation, $\sigma_R$, to the position standard deviation, $\sigma_A$. The ratio will be denoted by $R$ for convenience. For the Space Station requirements specified, $R = 0.01$. Figure 2 shows the differentiator length as a function of the sample period for a second order approximation smoothed to the endpoint with $L$ determined by the equation below.

$$L = \left\lfloor \frac{192}{(R^2 T^2)} \right\rfloor^{1/2}$$

A differentiator of length 577 is required for a sample rate of 10 Hertz and $R = 0.01$. For a sample rate of 1 Hertz and $R = 0.25$, the differentiator length required is reduced to 15.

The magnitude response of a second order, length 15 differentiator smoothed to the endpoint is presented in Figure 3, along with the ideal response. The frequency in Hertz is given by $N/(1024T)$ where $N$ is the frequency value on the plot. The magnitude response agrees well with the ideal at very low frequencies; frequencies above this range are
over emphasized in comparison to the ideal response. High frequencies are attenuated; this is consistent with the desire to reduce the variance of the Gaussian noise. A sine wave with amplitude of one and frequency of 1/5400 Hertz (this is roughly the rate at which the Space Station will orbit the Earth) was differentiated by the above filter. A plot of the differentiated sine wave, both ideal and filtered, is given in Figure 4. The agreement between the ideal and the filtered waveforms is excellent with only the first 14 samples showing any major deviation.

The systematic error for a given differentiator was related to the difference between the magnitude response of the ideal transfer function and that of the filter. This treatment ignores the phase response which makes it inexact. At low frequencies, the phase shift of the filter from ninety degrees is very small. This justifies the use of only the magnitude response at low frequencies. At high frequencies, the phase shift is large and adds significantly to the systematic error. The magnitude error at these frequencies is also very large, and operation at these frequencies should be avoided on that basis alone. Plots of the systematic error versus frequency for second order differentiators smoothed to the end point are given in Figures 5 and 6. In each case, the sampling rate and the processing rate are the same: 10 Hertz and 1 Hertz respectively.

If the data is sampled at one rate, and then processed at another rate, the sampled signal must be bandlimited so that there is no aliasing at the lower rate. Bandlimiting also produces the desirable
effect of reducing the standard deviation of the Gaussian noise. Fifth order digital Butterworth filters with prewarping are used for bandlimiting [13,14]. Table 1 gives the standard deviation, after bandlimiting, of a set of 10,000 normally distributed randoms numbers with original standard deviation of 1. Reducing the standard deviation of the random noise via bandlimiting reduces the length of the differentiator needed to meet a certain set of specifications. This, in turn, improves the systematic errors.

Several schemes for sampling and processing at different rates are presented in Table 2. The systematic errors for each of these processing schemes are given in Figures 7 through 10. In all of the graphs, T represents the sample period, and \( \tau \) represents the period at which the data is processed. Figures 11 through 16 present a comparison of the systematic errors of the appropriate schemes for various processing rates and values of R.

CONCLUSIONS

The above analysis shows that it is difficult to achieve differentiators which have the desired data bandwidth, reduce random errors, and accurately process signals with other than very low frequency components. The length 577 differentiator which meets the current Space Station requirements for accuracy and bandwidth will have systematic errors comparable to the random errors for frequencies greater than 0.001 Hertz; this is assuming a sine wave of amplitude one. For bandlimiting \( R = 0.01 \). If \( R \) is increased to 0.1 in scheme D,
the usable frequency range edges up to about 0.01 Hertz. This decrease in the value of R could represent a relaxation of the Space Station requirements and/or the improvement of the CSTAR attitude processor accuracy. Bandlimiting below 0.5 Hertz should provide greater variance reduction, and, therefore, shorter length differentiators with lower systematic errors.

The digital filters needed for bandlimiting and differentiation can be implemented in a straightforward manner with currently available processors. Using Texas Instruments' TMS320 family, the number of machine cycles per iteration of the filter is about equal to the length of the differentiator (a nonrecursive filter), and twice the order of the Butterworth lowpass filter (a recursive structure). Some overhead must be added for inputting and outputting data, setting up registers, etc. The TMS32025C has a cycle time of 100 nanoseconds with very low power consumption. Other processors should give comparable results.
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TABLE 1
REDUCTION OF THE STANDARD DEVIATION WITH BANDLIMITING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\omega_0 T/2\pi$</th>
<th>SIGMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$3.46 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$5.66 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$1.01 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$1.43 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$2.00 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$3.14 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
<td>$4.45 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
<td>$6.27 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2
SCHEMES FOR BANDLIMITING AT DIFFERENT SAMPLING AND PROCESSING RATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCHEME</th>
<th>CUTOFF FREQUENCY</th>
<th>SAMPLING RATE</th>
<th>PROCESSING RATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>5 HERTZ</td>
<td>100 HERTZ</td>
<td>10 HERTZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.5 HERTZ</td>
<td>100 HERTZ</td>
<td>1 HERTZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.5 HERTZ</td>
<td>10 HERTZ</td>
<td>1 HERTZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.5 HERTZ</td>
<td>100 HERTZ</td>
<td>10 HERTZ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Prolonged weightlessness is man in space flight results in a slow progressive demineralization of bone accompanied by an increased calcium output in the urine resulting in negative calcium balances. This possibly irreversible bone loss may constitute a serious limiting factor to long duration manned space flight. In order to seek and test preventative measures an appropriate ground-based animal model simulating weightlessness is necessary. Use of the mature Beagle in limb immobilization has been documented as an excellent model for orthopedic research since this animal most closely simulates the phenomenon of bone loss with regards to growth, remodelling, structure, chemistry and mineralization. The purpose of this project is to develop a research protocol for the study of bone loss in Beagles during and after (recovery) cast immobilization of a hindleg; research will then be initiated.
INTRODUCTION

Loss of bone mineral in astronauts is a predictable major consequence of spaceflight (1). Mechanical unloading during weightlessness is the most obvious factor which may play a role in this phenomena since bone is dynamically responsive to the function demand placed on it (2). Two derangements of calcium metabolism occur during spaceflight: negative calcium balance and loss of bone mass. During 84 days spaceflight mineral content from astronauts' os calcis declined 4-5%, or about a loss of 100 mg calcium lost (3). There is some question whether this bone mineral loss is reversible post flight (4). Unchecked losses of body calcium and bone mass, coupled with normally-occurring age-related osteopenia, may eventually result in bone fracture, kidney stones or ectopic calcium deposition thereby compromising the health of crewmembers and mission success.

There is a need for a ground-based animal model for the study of the effect(s) of simulated weightlessness on bone loss. Several models have been proposed and evaluated by this laboratory (5). Experimentally induced immobilization bone loss in the beagle dog affords the best experimental model from the viewpoint of similarity of this specie's skeleton to that of man both in its static architecture and dynamic response(s) to environmental stresses.

This project will monitor the degree and rate of bone loss with limb hypodynamia and more importantly the degree and rate of possible bone recovery following reambulation.

OBJECTIVES

1) To immobilize right hindleg of mature beagles in order to simulate hypogravity or weightlessness for varying periods of time.

2) To measure regional and total bone loss over time in the immobilized limb, using dual-photon absorptiometry.

3) To measure time course and extent of possible bone recovery in the remobilized dog limb.

4) To monitor bone loss and possible recovery using histological and morphometrical techniques.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

The use of mature beagles in orthopedic research has several advantages over other animal models viz: the skeleton of adult man and the beagle are nearly identical in composition and manner of remodelling; well documented normative values for numerous physiological systems, including
bone histomorphometric data, are available; there are proven experimental models available for studies on specific types of bone loss; the time required for intervention studies is shorter than in man because of beagles' shorter life span and faster rate of bone turnover; beagles are available from commercial, inbred, controlled colonies (6-11).

Six 15-month old, young adult, male Beagles from a commercial closed inbred colony (Laboratory Research Enterprises, Kalamazoo, Mich.) will be used for this study. At this age the dogs are fully mature and all bone physes have closed. The dogs will be purchased free of endo- and ectoparasites and fully immunized, including rabies. They will have been partially muted and socialized. Each dog will have been marked by a distinctive ear tattoo and medical and genetic histories available from the supplier. They will be shipped by air freight and upon arrival be housed individually in the University of Houston laboratory animal facility in dog runs and examined by the staff veterinarian. The dog runs are temperature and humidity controlled and a 12 hr. light-dark cycle will be maintained. Each dog will be weighed shortly after arrival and at periodic intervals throughout the course of the experiment. They will be fed standard laboratory dog chow (Purina Canine Maintenance Diet) and top water ad libitum. The University of Houston animal facilities are fully accredited by A.A.A.L.A.C. and meet all N.I.H. standards.

The right hindleg of each animal will be immobilized in a nylon net pouch attached to a commercial dog jacket (Alice King Chatham Medical Arts, Los Angeles). The pouch will be closed by a zipper and adjusted by laces so that the lower hindleg containing the tibia is flexed back along the upper foreleg containing the femur. The result will be to shorten the leg so it cannot be used for ambulation. Bone density measurements (DP3 Dual Photon Spine Scanner, Lunar Radiation Corp., Madison, Wisc.) will be taken prior to immobilization and every 4 weeks thereafter. Trabecular bone of the distal femur and proximal tibia will be compared with shaft cortical regions. When bone loss is detected, at various times after immobilization, the hindleg will be remobilized by removing the constraining pouch and the dog allowed to ambulate freely on all four limbs. Bone density measurements will be taken periodically during the remobilization period. It is anticipated that the extent of bone loss and the extent and speed of possible reversal after remobilization will be directly related to the duration of constraint and to the duration of remobilization, resp. While it is difficult to predict, bone loss in our young adult dogs may be seen as early as four weeks of constraint followed by possible bone loss reversal at about 8-10 weeks after mobilization (12,13). During bone density measurements the constraining pouch will be removed and the animals will be tranquilized with xylazine (Ropum) in order to insure the animal remains still during the procedures. At the end of the experiment animals will be sacrificed by an overdose of Nembutol. Bones of the control and experimental limbs will be used for histological and morphometry analyses including cross-sectional and medullary areas, measurements of resorption and oppositional rates (11).
ANTICIPATED OUTCOMES

Once a phenomenon is understood, at least in part, it can be manipulated, the aim of experimental therapeutics. Completion of these experiments will provide normative, base-line data on the extent and rate of bone loss during limb immobilization (employed as an analog of weightlessness) and, more critically, whether full bone recovery may be expected after remobilization. Our results will enable future studies on techniques for preventing bone loss in immobilization and promoting subsequent recovery. These techniques may include the effect of exercise, dietary calcium and/or phosphorus substances and the use of specific prophylactic drugs.
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