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1.0 INTRODUCTION

Past studies of Space Station propulsion and environmental control/life support (ECLS) systems have focused on examining proven concepts for each system primarily in terms of development risk for the IOC station and up/down logistics. From these studies it can be generally concluded that system development risk increases as logistic requirements decrease. In the ECLS system this increased risk results from the advanced technologies needed for increased closure, whereas in propulsion it is associated with going from a storable $N_2H_4$ system to a cryogenic or water electrolysis $H_2/O_2$ system.

For the most part, synergistic operation of the propulsion and ECLS system has not been considered and, therefore, benefits from synergism have not been illuminated. Specifically, 1) what level of ECLS closure is optimum when considering propulsion synergy, 2) what concept modifications or changes to the $N_2H_4$ reference design are needed to accommodate synergistic operation and, 3) what are the logistic benefits of synergistic operation which have not been quantified. To scope the problem, Boeing under the direction of the Lewis Research Center initiated the Space Station Propulsion - ECLSS Interaction Study, NAS 3-23353. The results of this work are reported herein.

1.1 Study Objective

The primary objective of this study is to determine the benefits that the ECLSS system could experience by using its effluents to augment or even supplement the propulsion system. The potential benefits which both the ECLS and propulsion systems could experience include: reductions in logistic weight and volume; fixed weight and volume; power requirements; and overall system cost. Four different ECLS systems with various levels of closure are analyzed for their use in conjunction with cold or warm gas thrusters. Missions and available technologies used in this study are applicable for the time frame 1985-2003.

1.2 Scope of Work

The work performed in this study consists of four primary tasks. These tasks, which are shown in figure 1-1, define ECLS requirements for four different systems, propulsion requirements for orbit maintenance, collection and storage requirements, and compare each of the ECLS-propulsion system combinations against a baseline system for the time frame 1992-2003. For those ECLS systems that do not ordinarily supply enough impulse for propulsion, modifications are made to generate additional impulse. All
Figure 1-1. Task Flow Diagram
system combinations will be compared against an ECLS system using OPEN CO₂ loop with Electrochemical Depolarized CO₂ Collection (EDC) in conjunction with a modular hydrazine propulsion system as the baseline case.

1.3 Mission Profile
Analyses assume, 1) a 28° - 270-nm orbit Space Station with an eight-man crew and with a docked orbiter for 14 of every 90-day resupply period, 2) NASA two-sigma atmosphere for sizing the propulsion system (ref. 1), 3) NASA nominal atmosphere for determining resupply requirements, 4) results from Space Station Propulsion Requirements Study, Tasks 1, 2, & 3, NASA 3-23353 and, 5) that non-propulsive venting of ECLS effluents is not acceptable but propulsive thrusting using ECLS effluents is acceptable.
2.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL AND LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEFINITION

The major functions of the Environmental Control and Life Support (ECLS) system include atmospheric pressure and composition control, temperature and humidity control, atmospheric revitalization, water management, waste management, and EVA support. In accomplishing the processes associated with these functions, effluents are produced which must be stored and periodically returned to earth or vented propulsively. The type and quantity of effluents depends primarily upon the methods used to accomplish atmosphere revitalization.

2.1 Atmosphere Revitalization Subsystems

The primary functions of the Atmosphere Revitalization system include oxygen generation by water electrolysis, regenerable carbon dioxide removal and concentration, and carbon dioxide reduction. The first two of these functions play a vital part in maintaining the breatheable atmosphere within the limits prescribed in Table 2-1, whereas the third function (CO₂ reduction) has a major influence on ECLSS fixed weight and volume, and logistic requirements. All three functions impact the amount and chemical makeup of the ECLSS effluents. The following three sections provide a synopsis of how each subsystem functions.

2.1.1 Oxygen Generation

There are a variety of methods that may be used to generate oxygen from water. These include: 1) water electrolysis, 2) sulfur-iodine thermochemistry, 3) photosynthesis and, 4) thermal decomposition through solar radiation. Based upon constraints such as power, mass, volume, cost, and potential production rates water electrolysis is the preferred method. A functional schematic of a static feed water electrolysis system (SFWES) is shown in figure 2-1. This unit generates oxygen for atmospheric makeup and hydrogen, as a by-product, from water supplied from the water management subsystem of the ECLS system.

The SFWES consists of three main components; the electrochemical module, a coolant control assembly (CCA), and a pressure control assembly (PCA). The module consists of a series of electrochemical cells connected electrically in series with fluid inlet and outlet passages in parallel. The CCA and PCA provide the necessary supporting functions to regulate the temperature and operating pressures of the system. In addition, the SFWES must have an inlet water pump and accumulator and a constant current power supply to apply conditioned power to the electrolysis module.
Table 2-1. ECLSS Atmosphere Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Operational</th>
<th>90-day degraded*</th>
<th>21-day emergency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ partial pressure</td>
<td>mmHg</td>
<td>3.0 maximum</td>
<td>7.6 maximum</td>
<td>12 maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>°F</td>
<td>65 - 75</td>
<td>60 - 85</td>
<td>60 - 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dew point**</td>
<td>°F</td>
<td>40 - 60</td>
<td>35 - 70</td>
<td>35 - 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilation</td>
<td>ft/min</td>
<td>15 - 40</td>
<td>10 - 100</td>
<td>5 - 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂ partial pressure***</td>
<td>psia</td>
<td>2.7 - 3.2</td>
<td>2.4 - 3.8</td>
<td>2.3 - 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total pressure****</td>
<td>psia</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>10 - 14.7</td>
<td>10 - 14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace contaminants</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>24 hour industrial standard</td>
<td>8 hour industrial standard</td>
<td>8 hour industrial standard</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Degraded levels meet "fail operational" reliability criteria
** In no case shall relative humidities exceed the range of 25 - 75%
*** In no case shall the O₂ partial pressure be below 2.3 psia, or the O₂ concentration exceed 26.9%. Controlled within ± 0.11 psia
**** Diluent is N₂. Controlled within ± 0.2 psia
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Figure 2.1. Static Feed Water Electrolysis Subsystem (SFWE)

Figure 2.2. SFWE Cell Functional Schematic
2.1.1 Continued

Figure 2-2 is a functional schematic of a SFWE cell. When not operating, both the water feed cavity and the cell matrix have an electrolyte solution consisting of equal concentrations of water in potassium hydroxide. When electrical power is supplied to the electrodes, a current flows and water is electrolyzed in the cell matrix, causing $\text{H}_2$ to form at the cathode and oxygen at the anode. This depletion of water in the cell matrix solution creates a concentration gradient relative to the water feed cavity causing water vapor to diffuse into the cell matrix to make up the water usage. Concurrently, the water from the water feed cavity is replenished statically from the external water supply tank. (Ref. 2)

2.1.2 Carbon Dioxide Removal and Collection

Both expendable and regenerable methods for $\text{CO}_2$ removal have been developed. Expendable chemical canisters such as LiOH, though acceptable for short missions with few crew members, become increasingly unacceptable as mission duration and/or number of crew increase. This has led to the development of regenerable methods such as non-hydrophobic molecular sieves, the solid amine-water desorbed (SAWD) concept and the electrochemical desorbed concentrator (EDC). Only the SAWD and EDC have been considered in the present study. Non-hydrophobic molecular sieves were considered not cost effective for the required 3.0 nm Hg $\text{CO}_2$ maximum partial pressure, and expendable chemical canisters are too heavy.

Solid Amine-Water Desorption

The SAWD process uses as an absorbent a solid amine material known as amberlite (RA-45) which is a weak base ion exchange resin that is deposited on a high surface area granular substrate. In absorbing $\text{CO}_2$, the amine first combines with water vapor from the atmosphere to form a hydrated amine, then with the $\text{CO}_2$ to form a bicarbonate. The amine is regenerated by heating with steam, breaking the bicarbonate bond and replacing the absorbed $\text{CO}_2$ with water.

A typical SAWD system is shown in Figure 2.3. This is a two canister system, with one absorbing while the other is desorbing. While the $\text{CO}_2$ saturated canister is isolated from the cabin air and desorbed, cabin air is routed to the absorbing canister for $\text{CO}_2$ removal. An electrically heated steam generator built into the head of the canister produces low pressure steam for the desorbing process. The steam heats the bed and
2.1.2 Continued

at first pushes ullage air out of the canister at a low flow rate, which is returned to
the cabin. As the steam advances into the bed, a wave of high purity (99%) CO₂ is
evolved, resulting in a sharp increase in effluent flow rate. A sensor detects this
increase and diverts the CO₂ into a storage system. A back pressure regulator in the
CO₂ outlet controls the saturation temperature of the steam and thereby the desorption
temperature of the bed. After desorption is completed, the process air flow is re-directed through the bed, cooling it and making it ready for an absorption period.
The steam used for desorption is evaporated into the process air stream and then
condensed out in the cabin humidity control heat exchanger.

Electrochemical Desorbed Concentrator

The EDC concept is shown schematically in Figure 2-4. CO₂ is removed from the
atmosphere by passing atmospheric air through a module consisting of electrochemical
cells. Each cell consists of two electrodes separated by a process matrix containing
an aqueous solution of cesium carbonate (Cs₂CO₃). As shown in Figure 2-5, cabin
air is passed through the cavity adjacent to the cathode, and Hydrogen, from the SFWES,
is passed by the anode. As a result, a fuel-cell reaction occurs, transferring some of
the hydrogen through the electrolyte matrix to combine with oxygen from the air stream
to form water vapor which shows up in the effluent air stream. Concurrently, an
electrical current is generated across a load connected between the anode and cathode.
The reaction and the power available from the reaction is controlled by this load
impedance.

As the H₂ - O₂ fuel cell reaction occurs, a corresponding transfer of CO₂ occurs from
the air side of the matrix to the H₂ side. The rate of this transfer depends upon the
partial pressure of CO₂ in the air stream and the cell current density. Unused hydrogen
exits the cell mixed with the transferred CO₂ and is then stored or further processed
in the CO₂ reduction system.

2.1.3 Carbon Dioxide Reduction

Two concepts have been studied to perform CO₂ reduction: the Sabatier process,
and the Bosch process. The primary advantage of using a CO₂ reduction process is
that it reduces the amount of effluent generated by the oxygen generation and CO₂
collection units. It does this by breaking down and combining the CO₂ with H₂ to
form water vapor and carbon or methane depending on the reduction process. The
water vapor is then sent back into the ECLS system thus enabling a higher level of
closure.
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Figure 2-3. SAWD Concept Schematic
Figure 24. EDC CO2 Removal Subsystem Schematic
Figure 2-5. EDC Single Cell Functional Schematic
Sabatier Process

The Sabatier process takes a mixed stream of $\text{H}_2$ and $\text{CO}_2$ and passes it through a heated catalyst bed to produce methane ($\text{CH}_4$) and water vapor. Typically, a single pass through the bed will convert at least 99 percent of the $\text{H}_2$ in the stream. Normally there is excess $\text{CO}_2$ in the process, leaving an effluent gas stream of mixed $\text{CO}_2$ and $\text{CH}_4$ which is stored. Water vapor is condensed, separated from the gas stream and pumped to the potable water tank.

Figures 2-6 and 2-7 show a schematic of a Sabatier subsystem and a cross section of a typical reactor respectively. The reaction occurs at 860 to 1060°C (477 to 588°C) and produces enough heat to make the reaction self-sustaining after a start-up heater is used to initiate it. Excess heat is removed either by liquid cooling or by compartment air. An activated charcoal absorption bed ahead of the catalyst bed is used to remove any trace contaminants from the incoming gas stream. The preferred catalyst is 20% Ruthenium on an alumina substrate.

Bosch Process

The Bosch process takes a gas mixture of $\text{H}_2$ and $\text{CO}_2$, heats it to between 1460 and 1810°C (811 and 1006°C) and then passes it through a steel wool catalyst to produce carbon and water vapor. Each pass over the steel wool typically converts only 5 to 10 percent of the reactants. As a result, a recycling system with close control on the $\text{H}_2$/$\text{CO}_2$ mixture in the system is required to obtain complete reduction of the $\text{CO}_2$. Effluents consist of water vapor, a small amount of $\text{H}_2$, and carbon deposited on the steel wool. The water vapor after cooling is pumped to potable water tanks. Only about 60% of the water produced in the reduction process is needed to close the water cycle. Storage capacity is required to handle the excess water. The $\text{H}_2$ after cooling is compressed and stored. The steel wool reactor with deposited carbon is periodically replaced and stored.

Figure 2-8 is a simplified flow schematic of a Bosch system. Two expendable reactor beds are used so that one may be operated while the other is cooled prior to change out. A compressor circulates the gases through a regenerative heat exchanger and heater to preheat the gases entering the reactor bed. During each pass through the reactor, some of the gases are converted to water vapor, and carbon which is deposited in the steel wool matrix. Upon leaving the reactor the gases pass through
Figure 2-6. Sabatier Subsystem

Symbols:
- Check valve
- Manual valve
- Electric valve
- Regulator
- Orifice
- Combustible gas sensor
- Temperature sensor
- Pressure sensor
- Sample port
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Compressor
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*Carbon collected in reactors and replaced every 90-days

Figure 2-8. Bosch Subsystem
2.1.3 Continued

a regenerative heat exchanger, transferring heat to the incoming gas stream, and then
through a liquid cooled condenser separator before returning to the compressor. Feed
gases are introduced into the loop at the compressor inlet.

2.2 ECLSS Options Analyzed

Four ECLSS systems were analyzed for (1) gaseous and liquid effluents, (2) fixed weight
and volume of major atmosphere revitalization subsystems, (3) power required by major
atmosphere revitalization subsystems, and (4) equivalent weight penalties for power
and thermal cooling. They are:

- Open Loop system using water electrolysis (WES) for O2 generation, and
electrochemical depolarizer concentrator (EDC) for CO2 concentration. Useable
effluent includes CO2 and H2.

- Open Loop system using water electrolysis for O2 generation, and solid amine
water desorbed (SAWD) concentrator for CO2 concentration. Useable effluent
includes CO2 and H2.

- Closed Loop - Sabatier system using water electrolysis for O2 generation, EDC
for CO2 concentration and Sabatier carbon dioxide reduction. Useable effluent
includes CO2 and CH4.

- Closed Loop - Bosch system using water electrolysis for O2 generation, EDC
for CO2 concentration and Bosch carbon dioxide reduction. Useable effluent
includes H2 and H2O.

It should be emphasized that the weights, volumes, powers, and equivalent weights
for power and thermal cooling in this section are for only the major subsystems of
the atmosphere revitalization system i.e., EDC or SAWD, Sabatier or Bosch, WES.

Subsystems common to all the analyzed systems such as:

- Waste water collection, pretreatment and storage
- Waste water recovery and post-treatment
- Product water collection and storage
- Trace contaminant
- Temperature and humidity control
- Atmosphere pressure and composition control
2.2 Continued

are not included in these data. Also not included, at least in this section, is storage tankage unique to an ECLSS option. This tankage and associated compressors are included in section 5.0, where total comparisons of ECLSS-Propulsion combinations are made.

Figures 2-9 through 2-12 show material balances for each of the four ECLS systems. Input materials, material flows within the system, and output materials are shown in units of lbm/day.

There are two important aspects of these figures that are of interest. The first is that the inputs to the system are equal to the outputs from the system (i.e. the system is balanced). The second is the type and quantity of effluents being generated by each system. If the effluent can be used in another Space Station subsystem or even one of the free-flying platforms, then it can alleviate the need to be returned to earth. The quantity is important since it determines how much can be used in another subsystem or how much has to be brought back to earth. Obviously, if the effluent needs to be brought back down in the Shuttle, the lesser the amount the cheaper the cost to the ECLS system.

Figure 2-9 shows the baseline Open Loop system material balance in which an EDC is used for CO₂ removal. Input materials are 8.5 lbm/day of water, 8.8 lbm/day of water in the food, 10.9 lbm/day of dry food, 1.9 lbm/day of N₂, and 0.35 lbm/day of urine pretreat chemicals. Output materials are 2.4 lbm/day of (station leakage) N₂ and O₂, 6.3 lbm/day of brine, 2.2 lbm/day of fecal waste and 19.5 lbm/day of CO₂ and H₂.

The system requires the electrolysis of 24.3 lbm/day of water to generate 21.6 lbm/day of O₂, of which 15.2 lbm/day are required for crew respiration and O₂ leakage make-up. The remaining 6.4 lbm/day of O₂ plus 2.7 lbm/day of H₂ are used in the EDC CO₂ concentration process. EDC outputs consist of 7.2 lbm/day of water vapor which is condensed and returned to the WES and 19.5 lbm/day of CO₂ and H₂ which cannot be further used in the ECLS system.
Figure 2.9. Open CO₂ Loop Using EDC ECLSS Material Balance
Figure 2-10. Open CO₂ Loop Using SAWD ECLSS Material Balance
Figure 2-10 shows the Open Loop system material balance using a SAWD. It produces the same amount of CO₂ and H₂ effluent as the Open CO₂ Loop system using EDC. However, in the SAWD system the CO₂ and H₂ effluents are separate.

The SAWD system requires 13.2 lbm/day of water resupply which is 4.7 lbm/day more than the EDC system. This is caused by the difference between how the hygiene and potable water supplies can be used. Excess potable water can be used for hygiene, but the opposite is not true. Hence, the SAWD system generates an excess of 4.7 lbm/day of hygiene water which cannot be further used by the ECLS system.

Figure 2-11 shows the Closed Loop - Sabatier system material balance. The Sabatier subsystem reduces a portion of the 17.6 lbm/day of CO₂ and 1.9 lbm/day of H₂ leaving the EDC unit. Effluents consist of 8.5 lbm/day of water, 3.8 lbm/day of CH₄, and 7.2 lbm/day of unreduced CO₂. No resupply water is required and no excess water is generated. The CO₂/CH₄ effluent cannot be further used in the ECLSS. If stored, the storage weight and volume penalties are significantly reduced from those of the Open Loop system because of the lower mass and higher density effluents.

Figure 2-12 shows the Closed Loop - Bosch system material balance. The system generates 5.9 lbm/day of excess water, 0.3 lbm/day of gaseous hydrogen and 4.8 lbm/day of solid carbon. The solid carbon (and filters) must be returned every resupply period, while the excess hydrogen must be continuously eliminated or stored and periodically eliminated from the Space Station. The Bosch system, like the Sabatier, has zero water resupply requirements.

The weight, volume, power and thermal cooling for major components of the ECLS systems are shown in Appendix A Figures A-1 through A-5. The schematics on each of the figures illustrates inputs and outputs of each unit operation, mass flow rates in lbm/day, thermal (heat rejection) loads, and power requirements. Station numbers indicate entrance and exit points. The table in the upper right corner of each figure summarizes the fixed weight and volume and resupply requirements of the major components. Resupply requirements include water plus tankage when required (i.e. open loop systems) or, in the case of the Bosch system, the weight of the filters and canisters to store the carbon.
The shown fixed weight and volume, weight penalty for power, and weight penalty for thermal cooling are based on (1) light-side operation of the systems, (2) the daily material balances shown in Figures 2-9 through 2-12 after the continuous internal material flows were adjusted for light-side operation, (3) relationships given in Appendix A Tables A-1 and A-2, and in Figure A-6. Appendix A expands further on these figures and tables and their relationships.

Table 2-2 summarizes the weight, volume, and expendable penalties for each ECLS system considered and how they compare to the baseline system. As stated previously, the baseline system in this study is the Open CO\textsubscript{2} loop using EDC in conjunction with a hydrazine propulsion system.

The first column of Table 2-2 correlates the ECLS system with the appropriate figure in Appendix A. The second and third columns show the absolute fixed weights of the main components in each ECLS system and then how that weight compares to the baseline system. The fixed weights include the weight of the water electrolysis unit, EDC (or SAWD) unit, Sabatier (or Bosch) unit when applicable, equivalent weight for power, and equivalent weight for the thermal heat. Of the four ECLS systems, the baseline system is the lightest while the Bosch is the heaviest.

Columns four and five show the absolute fixed volume of each system and their corresponding differences with the baseline system. The fixed volumes include the same components as the fixed weights excluding the equivalent power and thermal heat. Again, the baseline ECLS system is the smallest with the Bosch system being the largest. A special note should be mentioned and that is if the accumulator tank required to store the effluents were included, then for both cases of fixed weight and fixed volume, the Open CO\textsubscript{2} loop using SAWD would be the heaviest and largest system.

Columns six and seven show the resupply weight required for each system and the corresponding comparison with the baseline ECLS system. The resupply weight includes the required water and tankage or, in the case of the Bosch system, the required canisters and filters to collect and store the accumulated carbon. The Sabatier system is the only one that does not require any resupply water or canisters.
Table 2-2. ECLSS Options Weight and Volume Penalties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECLSS components</th>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Fixed and equivalent weight lbs</th>
<th>Δ lbs fixed weight</th>
<th>Fixed volume ft³</th>
<th>Δ ft³ fixed volume</th>
<th>Resupply lbs</th>
<th>Δ lbs resupply</th>
<th>Resupply volume ft³</th>
<th>Δ ft³ resupply</th>
<th>Available effluent, lbm/day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDC CO₂ collection only</td>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAWD CO₂ collection only</td>
<td>A-2</td>
<td>2275</td>
<td>+345</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>+5.2</td>
<td>1307</td>
<td>+466</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>+7.4</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDC CO₂ collection SABATIÈR CO₂ reduction</td>
<td>A-3</td>
<td>2339</td>
<td>+409</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>+20.5</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>-841</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>-13.5</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDC CO₂ collection BOSCH CO₂ reduction</td>
<td>A-4</td>
<td>3085</td>
<td>+1155</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>+127</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>-481</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>+31.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Oxygen supplied for all options by a static feed, solid polymer electrolytic O₂ cell (Hamilton Standard)
2. Delta weight and volume is relative to the baseline ECLSS using EDC only, no CO₂ reduction (Figure A-1)
3. 90-day resupply cycle
2.2 Continued

Columns eight and nine show the resupply volumes of those water tanks or canisters and filters discussed previously and their differences compared to the baseline system. Obviously, the Sabatier has no resupply volume requirements and hence has the smallest volume requirements of the four systems.

Finally, column ten shows the generated effluent that if not stored and brought back to Earth could be used for some other purpose (i.e., propulsion). These effluents consist of either CO₂, CH₄, H₂, and/or H₂O. Figures 2-9 through 2-12 show the combinations and quantities of effluents for each system.

2.3 ECLS Systems Summary

Four ECLS systems have been looked at:

1. Open CO₂ loop using EDC, which is considered the baseline for this study;
2. Open CO₂ loop using SAWD;
3. Sabatier CO₂ reduction using EDC;
4. Bosch CO₂ reduction using EDC;

to determine how they function as stand-alone systems on-board the Space Station. The main components of each system have been examined and their functional capabilities determined. For each system it was determined the type and quantity of effluents generated. Of these effluents, some can be used for other functions on the Space Station. By using these effluents in other Space Station subsystems, it is possible to reduce the amount and frequency of bringing ECLS by-products back to earth. The next section examines the Space Station propulsion system requirement and determines how the ECLS waste gases can reduce and at times eliminate propulsion resupply requirements by supplementing or replacing the primary fuel.
3.0 PROPULSION REQUIREMENTS FOR ORBIT MAINTENANCE

Space Station propulsion requirements (Ref. 11) include thrust and total impulse requirements for drag makeup, desaturation of momentum storage devices, back-up support for attitude control, and cancellation of docking disturbances. In the reference 11, the latter three functions require a small amount of propellant compared to that needed for orbit maintenance. Hence, only drag makeup requirements are considered when examining the impact of ECLSS effluents on propulsion.

3.1 Impulse Requirements

The Space Station propulsion system 90-day impulse requirements are determined using two types of atmospheric models. The first is a 2-sigma model, shown in figure 3-1A, which encompasses 97.5% of the "estimated" possible atmospheres that could occur over an 11-year solar cycle. The 2-sigma atmospheric model is used to size the tanks, thrusters, lines, etc...of the propulsion system.

The second is a zero-sigma (or nominal) atmospheric model, shown in figure 3-1B, which encompasses 50% of the "estimated" possible atmospheres that could occur over an 11-year solar cycle. The nominal atmospheric model is used to determine the expected resupply schedule over the 10-year mission of the station. Both figures 3-1A and 3-1B show how the density models fluctuate over 11-year and annual cycles. Daily and orbital fluctuations due to light and dark side variations and geomagnetic disturbances have been averaged out.

The aerodynamic drag used in determining impulse requirements is a function of the coefficient of drag, atmospheric density, velocity (squared), and the effective cross sectional area of the station. For a given altitude only the atmospheric density changes significantly over time (figure 3-1A). The velocity (24931 ft/sec) is for 270 n.m. altitude.

The effective cross sectional area is based on the average frontal area of the station over one orbit. Since, the solar arrays are Sun-pointing, their area, projected along the velocity vector, changes cyclically. Figure 3-2 illustrates these effects. At point A, the arrays are "flat" to the wind and the array area is reduced by the cosine of the beta angle only. At point B, the arrays remained essentially fixed in inertial space while the body has rotated between the arrays. Effective array area at point B has been reduced to zero. Figure 3-3 illustrates the array angle of attack history from which an average 47.5 degree angle of attack was determined. The cross sectional
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area of the station is determined with the orbiter docked for 14 days of every 90-day resupply period. This results in a total effective cross sectional area of 31775 ft$^2$. Tables 3-1a and b and 3-2a and b show the drag and required 90-day impulse values for the 2-sigma and nominal atmospheres of an 8- to 12-man, 28$\frac{1}{2}$° - inclination, 270-n.m., Sun-pointing array, earth-oriented core Space Station.

Figure 3-4 illustrates the difference in magnitude between the 2-sigma and nominal atmospheres. During the initial and latter years of the Space Station 10-year mission, the 2-sigma impulse model is as much as three times the requirement as the nominal impulse model. This plays a significant role in determining which propulsion and ECLS system is chosen as will be made clear further in the text.

The 1992 2-sigma 90-day impulse requirement is used throughout this study in sizing all aspects of the propulsion system as well as imparting the resupply scheme. The nominal 90-day impulse requirements are used solely to determine the expected 90-day fuel consumption over the 10-year mission of the station.

Possible thrusting strategies are examined using the 1992 2-sigma, 90-day impulse requirements to better understand what thrust levels are most appropriate for propulsion systems using resistojets, warm gas thrusters, or combustion jets. These are shown in table 3-3. Thrust levels and burn times assume no loss of altitude between thrusting periods. Actual thrust levels and/or burn times would be larger for longer thrusting frequencies. For example, a 45-day thrusting frequency results in approximately a 16 nmi (20 kw) drop in altitude. Hence, a reboost would require either a higher thrust level, longer burn time, or some combination of the two than is shown in table 3-3.

### 3.2 Available Specific and 90-Day Impulses

Specific impulse performance data is generated using a Chemical Equilibrium Computer program (CEC) (Ref. 12) for the different propellant combinations produced by the Open Loop (EDC and SAWD), Sabatier and Bosch ECLS systems.

Figures 3-5 through 3-7 show the variation of specific impulse versus chamber pressure for CO$_2$/H$_2$ and CO$_2$/CH$_4$ resistojets and specific impulse versus mixture ratio for O$_2$/CH$_4$ and O$_2$/H$_2$ combustion jets. For the resistojet curve a maximum chamber
### Table 3-1A. Drag Data (2a Atmosphere)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>.115</td>
<td>.081</td>
<td>.053</td>
<td>.030</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>.013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1998</th>
<th>1999</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>.013</td>
<td>.038</td>
<td>.074</td>
<td>.108</td>
<td>.115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 8 - 12 man station
- 28° inclination
- 270 nmi altitude
- Orbiter docked 14/90 days
- Mean drag (11 years) = .055 lbf

---

### Table 3-1B. Drag Data (Nominal Atmosphere)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>.0360</td>
<td>.0266</td>
<td>.0187</td>
<td>.0116</td>
<td>.0087</td>
<td>.0068</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1998</th>
<th>1999</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>.0055</td>
<td>.0058</td>
<td>.0095</td>
<td>.0197</td>
<td>.0332</td>
<td>.0360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 8 - 12 man station
- 28° inclination
- 270 nmi altitude
- Orbiter docked 14/90 days
- Mean drag (11 years) = .0166 lbf
### Table 3-2A. 90-Day Required Impulse (2σ Atmosphere)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90-day</td>
<td>894,000</td>
<td>630,000</td>
<td>412,000</td>
<td>233,000</td>
<td>148,000</td>
<td>101,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-day</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-day</td>
<td>62,000</td>
<td>101,000</td>
<td>295,000</td>
<td>575,000</td>
<td>840,000</td>
<td>894,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 8 - 12 man station
- 28½° inclination
- 270 nmi altitude
- Orbiter docked 14/90 days

*Mean impulse (11 years)* = 428,000 lbf-sec

*Per 90-day resupply cycle*

### Table 3-2B. 90-Day Required Impulse (Nominal Atmosphere)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90-day</td>
<td>280,000</td>
<td>207,000</td>
<td>145,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>67,700</td>
<td>52,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-day</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-day</td>
<td>42,800</td>
<td>45,100</td>
<td>73,900</td>
<td>153,000</td>
<td>258,000</td>
<td>280,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 8 - 12 man station
- 28½° inclination
- 270 nmi altitude
- Orbiter docked 14/90 days

*Mean impulse (11 years)* = 129,000 lbf-sec

*Per 90-day resupply cycle*
Figure 3-4. 90-Day Required Impulse for 2σ and Nominal Atmospheres
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thrust level (lb ( f ))</th>
<th>Thrust duration (sec)</th>
<th>Thrusting frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Per orbit*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.11</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.17</td>
<td>3792**</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.54</td>
<td>1200 (20 min)</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>600 (10 min)</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5688 (1.58 hrs)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3792</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>5688</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>3792</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>5688</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>3792</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>370</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*One orbit = 1.58 hours

**Sunlit portion or 2/3 of orbit

- 1992 90-day impulse = 894,000 lb \( f \)-sec
- \( Z \) - sigma atmosphere
- Altitude = 270 nmi
- 8- to 12-man station
Figure 3-5. CO₂/H₂ and CO₂/CH₄ Ideal Specific Impulse Performance
Figure 3-6. $O_2/CH_4$ Ideal Specific Impulse Performance

- $O_2/CH_4$
- $P_C = 250$ psia
- $€ = 250:1$
- $T_{inlet} = 540^\circ R$
- Combustion jet
Figure 3-7. $O_2/H_2$ Ideal Specific Impulse Performance

- $GO_2/GH_2$
- $P_c = 250$ psia
- $E = 250:1$
- $T_{inlet} = 540^oR$
- Combustor
3.2 Continued

...temperature based on the dissociation values of CO₂ (2760°R) and CO₂CH₄ (1800°R) is used. From these curves, the available 90-day total impulse of the effluents from each ECLSS is determined (Table 3-4).

For each ELCS system option there exists one or more propellant combinations that can be created. In Table 3-4 six propellant combinations are used from the four ECLS systems. The effluents (propellants) generated by the different ECLS systems are fixed based on the crew, hence the mixture ratios shown in Table 3-4 can only change if the crew size changes or if additional water is electrolyzed to generate more propellant. This latter option is discussed in section 3.5. For those propellant combinations that are used in warm gas thrusters or resistojets, temperature ranges are used based on the characteristics of the propellants. For example, CO₂ will solidify in a nozzle unless it is heated to at least 760°R. Likewise, CO₂ begins to dissociate at approximately 2760°R. It is these temperature ranges which dictates the specific impulse of the propellants and, coupled with the available mass, the 90-day specific impulse.

In the case of the Open CO₂ loop ECLS system using SAWD and the Bosch CO₂ reduction system, two propellant combinations were used. In both cases excess water is generated. If, in the case of the SAWD system, the excess water is electrolyzed and combined with the available H₂ from the EDC unit a mixture ratio of 1.72 is obtained. This propellant could then be combusted while injecting the available CO₂ into the nozzle downstream of the combustion chamber. This provides about 73,000 lbf·sec of additional impulse over the CO₂/H₂ at 2760°R.

In the case of the Bosch, the excess water could be electrolyzed and combined with the available H₂ from the Bosch unit. This would provide a mixture ratio of 5.9:1 and generates an additional 75,000 lbf·sec of impulse every 90-days.

Figures 3-8 through 3-11 compare the available impulse from the basic ECLSS options; against the impulse values required over the 11-year cycle for the 2-sigma model (Table 3-2A). Maximum and minimum available impulses are shown for each option based on alternative thrusting concepts e.g. warm gas and resistojet.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECLS system</th>
<th>Propellant</th>
<th>Mixture ratio</th>
<th>Thruster type</th>
<th>Available mass (lbm) 90-days</th>
<th>Inlet temperature (°R)</th>
<th>Specific impulse (lbf-sec) (lbm)</th>
<th>90-day impulse (lbf-sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open CO₂ loop - EDC</td>
<td>CO₂/H₂</td>
<td>9.26</td>
<td>Warm gas Resistojet</td>
<td>1755</td>
<td>760+ 2760++</td>
<td>143 280</td>
<td>251,000 491,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open CO₂ loop - SAWD</td>
<td>CO₂/H₂</td>
<td>9.26</td>
<td>Warm gas Resistojet</td>
<td>1755</td>
<td>760+ 2760</td>
<td>143 280</td>
<td>251,000 491,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O₂/H₂ - CO₂*</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>Combustor</td>
<td>2178</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>564,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SABATIER CO₂ reduction</td>
<td>CO₂/CH₄</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>Warm gas Resistojet</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>760+ 1800+++</td>
<td>106 203</td>
<td>105,000 201,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOSCH CO₂ reduction</td>
<td>Steam/H₂</td>
<td>19.67</td>
<td>Resistojet</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>90,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O₂/H₂</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Resistojet</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>2760</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>157,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 8 crew members
- \( P_c = 260 \text{ psia} \)
- \( E^- = 250:1 \)
- All propellant combinations containing CO₂ are heated to 760°R (300°F) to avoid solidification in nozzle
- ++ CO₂ dissociates at \( \sim 2760°R \)
- +++ CH₄ dissociates at \( \sim 1800°R \)
- * CO₂ injected into nozzle downstream of combustion chamber
Figure 3-8 shows how under 2-sigma atmospheric conditions the CO\textsubscript{2}/H\textsubscript{2} from an Open Loop ECLS system using an EDC can reduce and even eliminate, for some years, propellant requirements and the need to store/return-to-earth ECLS system effluents. For example, in 1992, 90-day impulse requirements for the primary propulsion system can be reduced by 400,000 lbf-sec from about 900,000 to 500,000 lbf-sec. This represents a saving of about 1670 lb\textsubscript{m} every 90-days for a hydrazine propulsion system. This saving is equivalent to a longer resupply period for the system when it is sized for non augmented operation. In 1994 through 2000, 90-day impulse requirements can be completely met with the available CO\textsubscript{2}/H\textsubscript{2} impulse from a resistojet operating at temperatures between 2760\degree R and 760\degree R or by a warm gas thruster operating at 760\degree R. It should be noted that for the years between 1995 to 2000 some storage/return-to-earth of CO\textsubscript{2}/H\textsubscript{2} will be required if the reboost impulse is not increased by deliberately performing reboost inefficiently since the minimum available impulse is greater than the required impulse. Another alternative would be to allow the station to drop to a lower altitude thus requiring a higher impulse. This method would in turn enable the Shuttle to bring up larger payloads.

Under nominal atmospheric conditions, shown in figure 3-4, the available impulse from the Open CO\textsubscript{2} loop ECLS system using EDC is sufficient to meet all the 90-day impulse requirements (table 3-2B). Consequently it provides too much impulse for all but three years. Hence, some type of inefficient thrusting would be required or, as mentioned above, the station could be flown at a lower altitude.

Figure 3-9 shows similar information for the Open CO\textsubscript{2} Loop ECLS system using SAWD. The Open CO\textsubscript{2} Loop system using SAWD generates 4.7 lb\textsubscript{m}/day of excess water which must be either stored/returned-to-earth or used for propulsion. Since the propulsive capabilities of the effluents from the EDC and SAWD systems are the same when the excess water is returned to earth, only the case of using the water to provide O\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2} for propulsion was considered for the maximum available impulse shown in Figure 3-9. This maximum impulse is based on electrolyzing the water into O\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}, combining the stored products with stored H\textsubscript{2} from water electrolysis for crew O\textsubscript{2}, combusting the combined products in an O\textsubscript{2}/H\textsubscript{2} gas burner, injecting stored CO\textsubscript{2} effluent into the combusted stream, and then expanding the total mixture through thrusters. The resulting impulse is approximately 73,000 lbf-sec higher than the CO\textsubscript{2}/H\textsubscript{2} resistojet at 2760\degree R.
Figure 3-8. 90-Day Impulse Capability of Open CO₂ Loop Using EDC Effluents

- Additional propellant required
- Excess propellant available must be stored and returned or dissipated via inefficient thrusting
  - Uses resistojet at 2760°F
  - **Propellant heated to 760°F to avoid solidification of CO₂ in nozzle**
- Varies with input power
  - \( P_e = 250 \text{ psia} \)
  - \( E_c = 250:1 \)
Figure 3-9. 90-Day Impulse Capability of Open CO₂ Loop Using SAWD Effluents

- Additional propellant required
- Excess propellant available must be stored and returned or dissipated via inefficient thrusting
- Excess H₂O electrolyzed and added with available H₂ to form mixture ratio of 1.72:1. Excess CO₂ is injected into nozzle downstream of combustion chamber
- ** Propellant heated to 760°F to avoid solidification of CO₂ in nozzle
- Varies with input power
- $P_c = 250$ psia
- $E = 250:1$

O₂/H₂ - CO₂ 90-day available impulse

CO₂/H₂ 90-day available impulse

Year


90-day required impulse (lb-hr/sec) (20 atmosphere)
3.2 Continued

The subject system, though interesting, especially for the higher impulse years and when $\text{O}_2/\text{H}_2$ primary propulsion is used, has significant problems not encountered by the Open CO$_2$ Loop system using EDC. These problems center on purity requirements for electrolysis water, higher power requirements for electrolysis and gas compression, gas storage weight and volume penalties, complex combustion - thruster system, and on an inability to reduce available impulse as easily as the Open CO$_2$ Loop system using EDC. However, in contrast to the CO$_2$/H$_2$ resistojet concept of the Open Loop - EDC, the $\text{O}_2/\text{H}_2$-CO$_2$ combustion jet concept does not require continuous or very long duration thrusting and can counteract much larger disturbances.

Figure 3-10 continues the comparison of required versus available impulse, for the Closed Loop Sabatier system. As can be seen, this system does not provide the available impulse of either Open Loop system. A maximum available 90-day impulse of about 210,000 lbf-sec is all that can be attained using the CO$_2$/CH$_4$ effluents in a resistojet at 1800°F.

As shown, the maximum available impulse is less than the required impulse for all but 4 years of the 10-year 2-sigma cycle. During these deficient years primary propulsion is required to augment CO$_2$/CH$_4$ impulse capability. Two types of hydrazine thrusters were considered for this function. The first was a continuous low thrust level augmented catalytic thruster (ACT) using $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$/CO$_2$/CH$_4$. The second was a periodically fired higher thrust level (25 lbf) catalytic thruster using $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$/CO$_2$/CH$_4$.

For the maximum impulse year of 1992, the ACT approach would require 2475 lbm of $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$ every 90 days plus about 0.6 KW, and the catalytic thruster approach would require 3590 lbm of $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$ every 90 days. This is in contrast to a straight $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4$ system in which 4096 lbm is required every 90 days and 990 lbm of CO$_2$/CH$_4$ must be compressed, stored and returned-to-earth every 90 days.

Only during the years 1997 through 1999 is there a small excess of CO$_2$/CH$_4$. This excess can be eliminated by once again operating the reboost cycle slightly inefficiently or allowing the station to drop to a lower altitude.

When compared to the nominal atmospheric model, the CO$_2$/CH$_4$ effluent can meet almost all of the impulse requirements over the 10-year mission. During the middle years there is excess CO$_2$/CH$_4$ available, however, this again can be eliminated by the techniques mentioned above.
Additional propellant required
Excess propellant available must be stored and returned or dissipated via inefficient thrusting

- Uses resistojet at 1800°R
- Propellant heated to 760°R to avoid solidification of CO₂ in nozzle

** Varies with input power
- Pₐ = 250 psia
- ε = 250:1

Figure 3-10. 90-Day Impulse Capability of Sabatier Effluents
Figure 3-11 shows the 90-day impulse capability for the Closed Loop - Bosch system. Like the Closed Loop - Sabatier, the Bosch maximum available impulse is significantly less than for Open Loop systems (233,000 lbf·sec versus 500,000 lbf·sec). It does supply enough impulse, however, to eliminate propellant resupply during the years 1995 through 1999 under the 2-sigma atmosphere.

Maximum impulse is generated by electrolyzing the 5.9 lbm/day excess water effluent, combining the resulting O₂ and H₂ with the 0.3 lbm/day excess H₂ effluent and then combusting/expanding the mixture in thrusters operating at a mixture ratio of 5.9:1. Minimum impulse is generated by using the excess water and H₂ in 960°R inlet temperature steam/H₂ thrusters.

For the nominal atmospheric conditions the Bosch effluents can meet all but three years of the 90-day impulse requirements between 1992 and 2003. During some of the middle years there is more than a sufficient amount of impulse available using a 960°R inlet temperature. Again this could be eliminated by the previously mentioned techniques.
Figure 3-11. 90-Day Impulse Capability of BOSCH Effluents
3.3 Enhanced Total Impulse

In each of the four basic systems just discussed, no modification to the system material balance was made to improve propulsive performance. Of these four systems none could meet the 2-sigma impulse requirement during the initial and latter years of space station 10-year missions. However, all could meet or nearly meet the nominal impulse requirements. Hence, only during a peak period in which a 2-sigma atmosphere was experienced, would a system with significant enhanced impulse capability be required.

In the system which is now discuss, a modification to the material balance is made for improved propulsive performance. This modification to the Closed Loop - Sabatier system involves no change in hardware concepts and only an increase in the water electrolysis unit sizing. In the modified Closed Loop Sabatier system, water in excess of that required for crew \( \text{O}_2 \) is electrolyzed to provide the additional \( \text{H}_2 \) needed to reduce all the \( \text{CO}_2 \) to \( \text{CH}_4 \), and to provide the \( \text{O}_2 \) for an \( \text{O}_2/\text{CH}_4 \) combustion jet.

Figure 3-12 shows the material balance of an ECLS system using Sabatier \( \text{CO}_2 \) reduction. This system requires 5.8 lbm/day of resupply water or 522 lbm every 90 days. For every 6.4 lbm/day of \( \text{CH}_4 \) generated, 10.4 lbm/day of \( \text{O}_2 \) is also generated. This corresponds to a mixture ratio of 1.625:1 and a total mass of 1512 lbm of \( \text{O}_2 \) and \( \text{CH}_4 \) generated every 90-days. At a chamber pressure of 250 psia and an expansion ratio of 250:1 a specific impulse of 341 lbf-sec/lbm is attainable or a total impulse of 515,600 lbf-sec every 90-days. Figure 3-13 illustrates this enhanced impulse capability. At mixture ratios less that 1.625:1 the \( \text{CO}_2 \) is no longer completely broken down. As the mixture ratio drops, more \( \text{CO}_2 \) is available until such a time when combustion is no longer possible. During these situations as long as the gases are heated to at least 760°R then warm gas thrusters or resistojets can be used.

For mixture ratios above 1.625:1 excess \( \text{H}_2 \) is generated and hence the combustible mixture becomes \( \text{O}_2/\text{CH}_4/\text{H}_2 \). In order to meet the maximum 2-sigma impulse requirement of 894,000 lbf-sec, 1256 lbm of water needs to be electrolyzed every 90-days or 13.95 lbm/day. Figure 3-14 shows the material balance of such a system. In this system 17.65 lbm/day of \( \text{O}_2 \) are generated versus 6.4 lbm/day of \( \text{CH}_4 \) and 0.9 lbm/day of \( \text{H}_2 \). This correlates to a mixture ratio of \( \text{O}_2 \) to \( \text{CH}_4/\text{H}_2 \) of 1.72:1 or a specific impulse of 399 lbf-sec/lbm. The effluent generated is 2241 lbm every 90-days. Figure 3-13 illustrates this maximum impulse capability.

To achieve impulses between 515,600 lbf-sec and 894,000 lbf-sec it is simply a matter of electrolyzing additional water. The mixture ratio will change and so will the amount
of generated effluent. The maximum power requirements of such a system is approximately 4kW to electrolyze the additional water. This much power solely for propulsion is excessive, however it only occurs during periods in which extra power may be available. During the initial years, all experiments may not be up and running until approximately eight resupply periods into the station life. During the latter years, more efficient solar dynamic collectors may be available instead of solar arrays.

This type of technique of modifying the ECLS system to generate additional effluent for higher impulse, though complicated, seems a credible concept and deserves further consideration and analysis.

3.4 Propulsion Systems Summary

Space Station propulsion system 90-day impulse requirements have been defined for a 2-sigma and nominal atmosphere. The first is used to design and size the propulsion system while second is used to estimate the expected propellant consumption and resupply schedule. For whichever propulsion systems is baselined for the station, in this case hydrazine, any of the four ECLS systems considered can generate sufficient quantities of effluent to satisfy nearly all of the nominal atmospheric 90 day impulse requirements. The next stage in this study is to try and determine which ECLS system, if any, benefits the most by reducing its fixed weight and volume and logistic weight and volume. This next section explains, in brief, the different methods of collecting and storing the effluents generated by the various ECLS systems whether they be used for propulsion or returned to earth.
Figure 3-13. 90-Day Impulse Capability of a Sabatier System with Excess O₂ Generation for Enhanced Performance
There are four options for the disposal of ECLSS gaseous effluents having a major impact on logistics: (1) vent them overboard (currently unacceptable), (2) collect, store and return them to earth at 90-day resupply periods, (3) collect and near continuously (on a daily basis or less) use effluents propulsively for drag makeup, and (4) collect, store and periodically use effluents propulsively for reboost.

For each of the above acceptable options, compression or compression and storage is required. Thus, before equipment can be sized, maximum compressor outlet pressure (equal to maximum tank pressure) must be selected. Selections of pressure were based on 1) the specific purpose of the effluent; 2) impact of pressure on compression power and tank weight/volume; and 3) engineering judgement. Thus for option 2, in which large quantities of gaseous effluents are compressed and stored for return to earth, a 3000 psia pressure was selected to provide for reasonable tank volumes and to achieve tank weight savings when highly non-ideal gases such as CO₂ are stored; for option 3, in which effluents are used on a daily basis or less in a 250 psia thruster, a 400 psia compressor outlet pressure was selected to account for line losses; and for option 4 in which gases are stored for only 10 days prior to use in a 250 psia thruster or gas generator, a 1000 psia pressure was selected to provide for reasonable tank volume weights.

For compression, a multistage compressor was used with intercooling between stages. Its characteristics and overall efficiency of 50% are based on a four-stage, flight-type, high pressure ratio, O₂ compressor designed by AirResearch. This design, though for somewhat larger flows than needed in this analysis, could be adapted to required flow requirements by returning proportional amounts of outlet flow to the compressor inlet.

The resulting tank volume and weights for ECLSS options are shown in tables 4-1 and 4-2 respectively. The tank weights are based on (1) the use of 2219 aluminum for O₂ storage, and 6 AL-4V titanium for the storage of all other gases, (2) spherical tanks, and (3) a factor of safety of 2.0 on the ultimate stress. At the bottom of table 4-1 is the respective flow rates, in lbm/day, of the various gas combinations from each of the ECLSS systems considered.

Alternatives to using metal tanks would be the use of composite tanks with aluminous or inconel/liners and either a graphite or carbon overwrap. In addition to be lighter and cheaper to mass produce, they are also safer, since they do not explode at high pressures. This is important when storing high pressure gases such as oxygen and hydrogen.
### Table 4-1 Storage and Accumulator Tank Volumes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRESSURE (psia)</th>
<th>SABATIER CO₂/CH₄</th>
<th>OPEN CO₂ LOOP (EDC) CO₂/H₂</th>
<th>OPEN CO₂ LOOP (SAWD)</th>
<th>BOSCH</th>
<th>Combustion Jet (Sabatier)</th>
<th>Combustion Jet (Sabatier)</th>
<th>Combustion Jet (EDC)</th>
<th>Combustion Jet (EDC)</th>
<th>Combustion Jet (Bosch)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14.7*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000**</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000***</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow Rate (lbm/day)</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>5.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4-2 Storage and Accumulator Tank Weights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRESSURE (psia)</th>
<th>SABATIER CO₂/CH₄</th>
<th>OPEN CO₂ LOOP (EDC) CO₂/H₂</th>
<th>OPEN CO₂ LOOP (SAWD)</th>
<th>BOSCH</th>
<th>Combustion Jet (Sabatier)</th>
<th>Combustion Jet (Sabatier)</th>
<th>Combustion Jet (EDC)</th>
<th>Combustion Jet (EDC)</th>
<th>Combustion Jet (Bosch)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14.7*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000**</td>
<td>139.4</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000***</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>3975</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>3453</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 90-day storage and return of water only
** 10-day storage for use in propulsion only, 33% contingency added
*** 90-day storage and return of ECLS effluent not used for propulsion
**** All tanks containing O₂ use 2219 Alum, all other tanks use 6AL-4V Titanium
5.0 LOGISTICS

There are nineteen ECLS propulsion concepts that are described and analyzed in this section. Tables 5-1 a, b, and c summarize the fixed weight and volume, power and its equivalent weight, and logistics weight and volume up/down of these eighteen options for 1992 90-day impulse requirements. Table 5.1a summarizes the propulsion systems, table 5-1c summarizes the ECLS systems and table 5-1c summarizes the combination of the propulsion and ECLS systems. The fixed weight and volume, power and its equivalent weight have been discussed in previous sections. This section will deal primarily with logistics and the input it plays in trying to choose the best systems.

Logistics is a key factor in the evaluation and selection of an ECLSS and/or propulsion system due to shuttle constraints, difficulties/penalties associated with transferring equipments and fluids to/from the Shuttle, and transportation costs. In this study, only logistic weight and volume requirements for each of the eighteen options were defined so that future analyses regarding Shuttle constraints, equipment/fluid transfer and cost could be made.

For all options, the propulsion logistics weight up consist of the propellant (hydrazine or water), tanks, and thrusters. The thrusters are assumed to be replaced with the propulsion modules. The weight down consists of the emptied propellant tanks and the thrusters. The volume up and down consists of either four hydrazine modules (figure 5-1) or the water tank(s).

Also for all options, the ECLS logistics weight up consists of resupply water, water tank, and effluent storage tank(s) when the effluent is to be returned to earth. The logistics weight down consists of the water tank, effluent storage tank(s) and effluent when the effluent is not used for propulsion. The logistics volume up and down includes the water tank(s) and effluent storage tank(s) when the effluent is not used for propulsion.

To simplify the following discussion regarding logistics, alpha-numeric designations for options instead of the system combination name will be used. These are given in the first column of Tables 5-1a, b, and c.
Table 5-1a ECLS - Propulsion System Comparisons (Propulsion)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECLS</th>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Propulsion</th>
<th>Fixed weight, lb</th>
<th>Volume, ft³</th>
<th>Power required, kW</th>
<th>Power &amp; Thermal Equiv. Wt., lbm</th>
<th>Fixed &amp; Equiv. Wt., lbm</th>
<th>Logistics</th>
<th>Weight up, lbm</th>
<th>Weight down, lbm</th>
<th>Volume up, ft³</th>
<th>Volume down, ft³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>CAT*</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>4,590</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>RES**</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>3,524</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1C</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄CO₂/CO₂</td>
<td>992</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1,113</td>
<td>3,350</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄CO₂/CO₂</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>1,670</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1E</td>
<td>COMB***</td>
<td>O₂H₂**</td>
<td>1,967</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>1,175</td>
<td>3,142</td>
<td>2,189</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1F</td>
<td>COMB</td>
<td>O₂H₂/CO₂</td>
<td>1,901</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>1,009</td>
<td>2,910</td>
<td>1,877</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open CO₂ loop</td>
<td>2A</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄CO₂/CO₂</td>
<td>992</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1,113</td>
<td>3,350</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄CO₂/CO₂</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>1,670</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2C</td>
<td>COMB</td>
<td>O₂H₂/CO₂</td>
<td>1,901</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>1,009</td>
<td>2,910</td>
<td>1,870</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SABATIER CO₂ Reduction</td>
<td>3A</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>4,590</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3B</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>3,524</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3C</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄CO₂/CH₄</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>4,071</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3D</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄CO₂/CH₄</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>2,862</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3E</td>
<td>C + C ****</td>
<td>N₂H₄CO₂/CH₄</td>
<td>998</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>1,661</td>
<td>2,775</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3F</td>
<td>COMB</td>
<td>O₂CH₂/CH₂</td>
<td>1,164</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>1,147</td>
<td>2,311</td>
<td>1,487</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOSCH CO₂ Reduction</td>
<td>4A</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄H₂</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>4,550</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4B</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄H₂</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>3,462</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4C</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄/Steam/CH₂</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>2,954</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4D</td>
<td>COMB</td>
<td>O₂H₂/CH₂</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>2,451</td>
<td>1,925</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Catalytic Thuster (CAT)**
** Resistojet (RES)**
*** Combustion Jet (COMB)**
**** Catalytic Thuster and Combustion Jet (C + C) + 10-day thrusting interval

[1] **Fixed weight and volume = Propellant tanks + effluent tanks + thrusters + O₂ unit (when applicable)**
**Power = Resistojet + compressor + O₂ unit (when applicable)**
**Equivalent weight = Propellant tanks + compressor + O₂ unit**
**Logistics weight up = Propellant tanks + thrusters**
**Logistics weight down = Propellant tanks + thrusters**
**Logistics volume up and down = Hydrazine module or water tanks**
**Hydrazine systems consist of four modules:**
- 12 thrusters per module  
- 6-25 lb thrusters  
- 6 resistojets (< 1 lb)
**FOOTNOTES:**
(1) Effluent stored at 3000 psia for 90 days
(2) Propellant stored at 1000 psia for 10 days
(3) Propellant compressed to 400 psia, continuous thrusting, no storage tanks
(4) CO₂ storage only, H₂ redistributed to propulsion
# Table 5-1b ECLS - Propulsion System Comparisons (ECLSS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYSTEM</th>
<th>ECLS Options</th>
<th>Propulsion</th>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Power</th>
<th>Logistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thruster</td>
<td>Propellant</td>
<td>Fixed weight, lbm</td>
<td>Volume, ft³</td>
<td>Power required, kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAT*</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>7,227</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open</td>
<td>RES**</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>7,227</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄/CO₂/H₂</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄/CO₂/H₂</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(EDC)</td>
<td>COMB***</td>
<td>O₂/H₂**</td>
<td>1,003</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMB</td>
<td>O₂/CO₂</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open CO₂</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄/CO₂/H₂</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>loop</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄/CO₂/H₂</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SAWWD)</td>
<td>COMB</td>
<td>O₂/CO₂</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SABATIER</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>1,093</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ Reduction</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>1,093</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄/CO₂/CH₄</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄/CO₂/CH₄</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3E</td>
<td>C + C****</td>
<td>O₂/CO₂/CH₄</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3F</td>
<td>COMB</td>
<td>O₂/CO₂</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOSCH</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄/H₂</td>
<td>1,475</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>4.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ Reduction</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄/H₂</td>
<td>1,475</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>4.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4C</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄/Steam/H₂</td>
<td>1,457</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>4.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4D</td>
<td>COMB</td>
<td>O₂/H₂</td>
<td>1,457</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>4.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FOOTNOTES:**
- (1) Effluent stored at 3000 psia for 90 days
- (2) Propellant stored at 1000 psia for 10 days
- (3) Propellant compressed to 400 psia, continuous thrusting, no storage tanks
- (4) CO₂ storage only, H₂ redistributed to propulsion

* Catalytic Thruster (CAT)*
** Resistojet (RES)**
*** Combustion Jet (COMB)***
**** Catalytic Thruster and Combustion Jet (C + C)* + 10-day thrusting interval

**[2]**
- Fixed weight and volume = O₂ unit + EDC (or SAWWD) + SABATIER (or BOSCH) (when applicable) + storage tanks + water resupply tanks
- Power = O₂ unit + EDC (or SAWWD) + SABATIER (or BOSCH) + compressor (when returning effluent to earth every 90 days)
- Equivalent weight = EDC (or SAWWD) + SABATIER (or BOSCH) + compressor + thermal cooling
- Logistics weight up = Resupply water + water tank + storage tanks (when used to return effluent)
- Logistics weight down = Water tank + storage tank(s) + effluent (when not used for propulsion)
- Logistics volume up and down = Water tank + storage tank(s)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECLS</th>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Propulsion</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Logistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thruster</td>
<td>Propellant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equipment volume, ft³</td>
<td>Power, kW</td>
<td>Fixed &amp; Equiv. Wt., lbm</td>
<td>Weight up, lbm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open</td>
<td>1A CAT*</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>1B RES**</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>5.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop (EDC)</td>
<td>1C CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₂/CO₂/H₂</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1D RES</td>
<td>N₂H₂/CO₂/H₂</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>5.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1E COMB***</td>
<td>O₂/H₂**</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>8.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1F COMB</td>
<td>O₂/H₂</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>7.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open CO₂ loop (SAWD)</td>
<td>2A CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₂/CO₂/H₂</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>5.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2B RES</td>
<td>N₂H₂/CO₂/H₂</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>6.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2C COMB</td>
<td>O₂/H₂</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>8.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SABATIER CO₂ Reduction</td>
<td>3A CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3B RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>5.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3C CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₂/CO₂/CH₄</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>4.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3D RES</td>
<td>N₂H₂/CO₂/CH₄</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>4.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3E C + C***</td>
<td>O₂/CH₂</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>6.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3F COMB</td>
<td>O₂/CH₂/H₂</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>8.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOSCH CO₂ Reduction</td>
<td>4A CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₂/H₂</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4B RES</td>
<td>N₂H₂/H₂</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>5.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4C RES</td>
<td>N₂H₂/Steam/H₂</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4D COMB</td>
<td>O₂/H₂</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>7.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Catalytic Thruster (CAT)*
** Resistojet (RES)
*** Combustion Jet (COMB)*
**** Catalytic Thruster and Combustion Jet (C + C)* + 10 -day thrusting interval

Propulsion - Disk 13 - A6
Figure 5-1 Modular Propulsion System—Four Required for Station
In option 1A, the CO$_2$/H$_2$ effluent generated by the ECLS system is compressed to 3000 psia, stored for 90-days and then returned to earth, since there is zero commonality between the ECLS and propulsion systems. Because of this lack of commonality, the propulsion logistic weight requirements "up" are largely due to the amount of hydrazine resupply (see table 5-2) and the ECLS logistics weight "up" and "down" are largely due to the weight of a 90-day storage tank with (logistics "down") or without (logistics "up") 171 lbm of gaseous H$_2$ and 1584 lbm of CO$_2$. Corresponding volumes are also large. It should be reiterated that the propulsion propellant requirements in this study are only for reboost and do not include altitude control, collision avoidance, CMG desaturation, docking disturbances, or emergencies. Although, should these be included, they would require approximately 500,000 lbf·sec of impulse. Half of this would be for altitude control and would need replenishing every 90-days. The remainder is contingency which is used infrequently (if at all).

It should be noted that in these cases (1A, 1B, 3A and 3B respectively), where no commonality exists between the ECLS and propulsion system and the effluent is returned to earth, where the ECLSS effluent and effluent storage tanks are charged to ECLSS logistics. For all other cases the effluent storage tanks are included in the propulsion system fixed weight and volume.

Option 1B is similar to 1A except that resistojets are used in place of the 25-lbf catalytic thrusters (CAT) in 1A. More power is used (.62KW) but also considerably less logistics "up" is required per 90 days (1000 lbm). This results directly from the difference in specific impulse between an ACT and a CAT (290 sec vs. 220 sec).

In option 1C the ECLSS effluent of CO$_2$/H$_2$ is compressed to 1000 psia, stored for 10 days and then used propulsively in the 25 lbf $N_2H_4$ catalytic thrusters. Since no combustion occurs between the CO$_2$/H$_2$ and $N_2H_4$ a weighted total impulse was used based on the total impulse of the available CO$_2$/H$_2$ and the total impulse of the $N_2H_4$ used to meet 90-day impulse requirements. This method was used for all options where the ECLSS effluent did not combust with the primary propellant. It is a simple method, providing sufficient accuracy for a scoping study such as this one. By using the CO$_2$/H$_2$ effluent, the logistics up/down weights per 90-days are dramatically reduced from those of option 1A or 1B.

For option 1C, the logistic "up" mass is reduced by over 6000 lbm and the logistic "down" mass by close to 9000 lbm. Similar dramatic changes occur in volume "up/down". The reason for this dramatic change is directly attributable to the change from the 90-day storage/return-to-earth in 1A and 1B to the 10-day storage with no return to earth of effluents in 1C.
Option 1D is similar to 1C except that it uses continuous resistojet (290 sec Isp) thrusting rather than periodic catalytic (220 sec Isp) thrusting. This increases the power required for thrusting, reduces the power required for compression (400 psia vs. 1000 psia), eliminates effluent storage tanks, and reduces propellant requirements. Consequently the power is higher (.66KW), the fixed weight lower by 1000 lbm, the logistics "up" mass lower by 1600 lbm and the logistics "down" mass about the same. Volumes "up/down" are also lower by about 25%.

In Options 1E and 1F, a centralized O₂/H₂ propulsion system rather than a modular N₂H₄ system is used to meet 1992 impulse requirements. In 1E only O₂/H₂ is used whereas in 1F an O₂/H₂/CO₂ propellant is used. In both cases the propulsion system is tied to the ECLSS: i.e. by the use of O₂ and H₂ from the ECLSS Water Electrolysis Subsystem (WES) in 1E, and by the use of O₂ and H₂ from the WES in combination with CO₂ from the EDC in 1F. Of the two options, 1F is superior in all the categories of interest, i.e. fixed weight/volume, power, and logistic weight/volume both up and down. This results from the use of ECLSS CO₂ as a propellant during the thrusting periods at 10 day intervals. By so doing, the 90-day CO₂ storage/return-to-earth requirement and associated tankage in 1E is converted to a 10-day storage requirement and associated tankage.

For both cases fixed weight/volume and logistic weight/volume are considerably less than options 1A and 1B. They, however, do not exhibit the same across the board superiority when compared with 1C and 1D. In comparison with 1C and 1D, their fixed plus equivalent weights are considerably higher due to the weight penalty associated with greater WES power. Option 1E, furthermore, has a considerably greater logistic "down" mass than either 1C or 1D because of its 90-day CO₂ storage/return-to-earth requirement and a somewhat higher logistics "up" mass than 1D.

Options 2A through 2C are similar to options 1C, 1D and 1F respectively. The only difference being in the ECLS portion of the system combinations where a SAWD with its greater water usage, rather than an EDC CO₂ concentrator, is used. This results in somewhat greater logistics "up" weight (10 to 15%), about the same logistic "down" weight, about the same logistic up/down volumes, and slightly greater power (20%) than those for options 1C, 1D and 1F.
Options 3A and 3B are similar to 1A and 1B in that the effluent from the ECLSS is not used propulsively. They instead use a modular N₂H₄ system for propulsion with no tie-in to the ECLSS. They are different from 1A and 1B in that they use a Sabatier subsystem to reduce about 60% of the CO₂ to CH₄ and H₂O vapor. The effluent mixture of unreacted CO₂ and CH₄ is then, based upon the study groundrules for effluent not used in propulsion, compressed to 3000 psia, stored and returned to earth every 90-days. Because of this, logistic up-down weight and volume is considerably greater than it is for systems in which the CO₂, CO₂/H₂, or CO₂/CH₄, are used propulsively in combination with a primary propellant (N₂ H₄ or O₂/H₂). However, its logistics requirements and fixed plus equivalent weights are still very much less than those of 1A and 1B primarily because there is less effluent to store.

Option 3C as in 1C or 2A use the effluent from the ECLSS in the propulsion system, thereby reducing 90-day propellant resupply requirements and eliminating the need to store/return-to-earth ECLSS effluents every 90-days. As in 1C, the effluent is compressed to 1000 psia and stored for 10-days before being mixed with decomposed N₂H₄ and expelled propulsively.

The total impulse of the available CO₂/CH₄ is less than the total impulse of the available CO₂/H₂ in 1C or 2A, thus N₂H₄ resupply is greater (3934 lbm vs. 3238 lbm). However, since the ACT thrusters operate only when the ECLS air revitalization system (ARS) operates no storage tanks are required. Instead the CO₂/CH₄ effluent from the Sabatier subsystem is continuously compressed whenever the ARS is operating to 400 psia and routed to the ACT thrusters.

Option 3D has the same relationship to 3C, as 1D has to 1C, i.e. identical ECLSS and propulsion systems which primarily differ because of the types of thruster used. In 3D, as in 1D, they are very low thrust N₂H₄ augmented catalytic thrusters (ACT) operating whenever the ARS of the ECLSS is operating, whereas in 3C they are 25 lbf N₂H₄ catalytic thrusters (CAT). Option 3D therefore does not require the storage tanks used in 1C or 3C for 10-day effluent storage. Because of this, and because the ACT has considerably greater specific impulse than CAT when it uses resistance heating to heat both the decomposed N₂H₄ and the ECLSS effluents (2460°R for N₂H₄/CO₂/H₂ and 1800°R for N₂H₄/CO₂/CH₄ as in 1D, 2B and 3D, the 90-day logistics "up" mass is about 1200 lbm less than 3C, the logistic "down" mass about 15% less, and the logistic up/down volume about 15% less.
Options 3E and 3F examined a different approach to supplying additional impulse for propulsion. In this approach the Closed Loop - Sabatier which normally does not require water resupply, does require water resupply every 90-days. This excess water, i.e. water not needed for crew O₂, is used to generate the O₂ oxidizer, and the H₂ needed to reduce all the CO₂ to a CH₄ fuel for either an O₂/CH₄ combustion jet (3E) or an O₂/CH₄/H₂ combustion jet (3F).

In Option 3E, 522 lbm of water are used every 90-days to reduce all the crew generated CO₂ to CH₄. The resulting propellants of O₂ and CH₄ are then separately stored at 1000 psia prior to their usage in a combustion jet at 10-day intervals. This results in a specific impulse of 340 seconds (O₂/CH₄ of 1.625:1) and a 90-day impulse of 516,000 lbf·sec.

For the additional impulse of 378,000 lbf·sec needed to meet 1992 impulse requirements either a separate N₂H₄ system was used (Option 3E) or an extension of the above described combustion jet system was used (Option 3F).

In 3F, O₂ and H₂ from the electrolysis of water in excess of that required to provide for the complete reduction of CO₂ is after storage at 1000 psia used in an O₂/CH₄/H₂ combustion jet at 10-day intervals. This results in a specific impulse of 390 seconds (O₂/CH₄/H₂ of 2.42:1).

Each of the options is superior to their counterparts, i.e. 3E of all N₂H₄ or N₂H₄ combination options provides for the lowest logistic penalties and 3F of all O₂/H₂ or O₂/H₂ combination options provides for the lowest logistic penalties. Both require considerably more power than the thirteen options not using water electrolysis for supplying propellants. They furthermore have somewhat greater fixed plus equivalent weights due to the combustion jet system and the weight penalty for water electrolysis power.

The final four options 4A, 4B, 4C and 4D in use a Closed Loop - Bosch ECLSS in conjunction with either a N₂H₄ propulsion system using either H₂ or steam/H₂ injection or an O₂/H₂ propulsion system (4D) using both the excess water and H₂. Approximately 0.3 lbm/day of H₂ and 4.8 lbm/day of H₂O are available from the Bosch for augmenting the primary propulsion systems used in these options.
In Option 4A, the excess H₂ from the Bosch is stored at 1000 psia between the 10-day firing intervals, then used along with the primary N₂H₄ propellant in catalytic thrusters (CAT). Option 4B also only uses the excess H₂ from the Bosch to augment the primary N₂H₄ propulsion system. However, in 4B, as for all other cases using a combination propellant system with augmented catalytic thrusters (ACT), no storage of H₂ is required (thrusting is continuous when ARS operates), the specific impulse of the propellants is greater than for CAT, and the power requirement is greater than for CAT. As a consequence, logistic requirements are less for 4B vs. 4A but fixed weight plus equivalent weight for power and thermal cooling is greater.

Because Options 4A and 4B only make propulsive use of the small amount of H₂ available (excess H₂O is returned to earth), their N₂H₄ resupply requirements are nearly the same as 1A, 1B, 3A, and 3B. Overall, options 4A and 4B are comparable to options 3A and 3B logistically and in the use of power.

In Option 4C, the excess H₂ and water from the Bosch are used as propellants in augmented catalytic thrusters. Thus again as in 4B no storage of augmenting propellants is required. By using the H₂O and H₂ for augmenting primary N₂H₄ propulsion, a reduction in resupplied N₂H₄ of about 500 lbm from that needed in option 4B is realized. As a consequence of this propellant resupply and the elimination of water storage/return-to-earth, all logistic penalties are lower for 4C vs. 4B.

Option 4D utilizes the excess water and H₂ from the BOSCH to augment an O₂/H₂ water electrolysis propulsion system. In this system the excess water is electrolyzed with water resupplied from the ground for propulsion and then added with the excess H₂. This combination yields a mixture ratio of 7.2:1 and generates a specific impulse of approximately 402 lbf·sec/lbm. During the 1992 2-sigma impulse year 1666 lbm of water are required for resupply and 2.83 kW of power for electrolysis and compression of the gases.

This option has the second lowest logistics requirements of all the options considered. Only option 3F is lower. However, option 3F has a higher power requirement by approximately 1.1 kW.

Tables 5-2 and 5-3 provide an overview of hydrazine and/or water resupply for propulsion, and the maximum resupply interval for each of the 19 options just discussed over the years from 1992 through 2002.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECLS Options</th>
<th>Propulsion</th>
<th>Thruster</th>
<th>Propellant</th>
<th>YEAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open CO₂ Loop (EDC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>4,060</td>
<td>2,860</td>
<td>1,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>3,080</td>
<td>2,170</td>
<td>1,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>2,920</td>
<td>1,720</td>
<td>732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>1,390</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1E COMB</td>
<td>O₂/H₂**</td>
<td>1,950</td>
<td>1,330</td>
<td>825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1F COMB</td>
<td>O₂/H₂CO₂***</td>
<td>1,640</td>
<td>1,030</td>
<td>523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabatier CO₂ Reduction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>4,060</td>
<td>2,860</td>
<td>1,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>3,080</td>
<td>2,170</td>
<td>1,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>3,590</td>
<td>2,390</td>
<td>1,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D RES</td>
<td>N₂H₂</td>
<td>2,480</td>
<td>1,530</td>
<td>754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3E C+C</td>
<td>O₂/H₂</td>
<td>2,240***</td>
<td>1,040***</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3F COMB</td>
<td>O₂/H₂CO₂***</td>
<td>1,260</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosh CO₂ Reduction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>4,030</td>
<td>2,830</td>
<td>1,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4B RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>3,030</td>
<td>2,120</td>
<td>1,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4C RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>2,540</td>
<td>1,630</td>
<td>879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4D COMB</td>
<td>O₂/H₂</td>
<td>1,666</td>
<td>998</td>
<td>447</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Based on a 20 atmosphere model, 270 nmi altitude, 8- to 12-man station
* Hydrazine
** Water
*** Includes 522 lbm of water
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open CO₂</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>.76</td>
<td>.70</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>.64</td>
<td>.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ Loop</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>.76</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.49</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(EDC)</td>
<td>1C</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>CO₂/H₂</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1E</td>
<td>COMB</td>
<td>O₂/H₂**</td>
<td>.48</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1F</td>
<td>COMB</td>
<td>O₂/H₂-CO₂**</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SABATIER</td>
<td>3A</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>.88</td>
<td>.59</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td>.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ Reduction</td>
<td>3B</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>.76</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.49</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>CO₂/CH₆</td>
<td>.61</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>CO₂/CH₂</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>.49</td>
<td>.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3E</td>
<td>COMB</td>
<td>O₂/CH₆**</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3F</td>
<td>COMB</td>
<td>O₂/CH₆-H₂**</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOSCH</td>
<td>4A</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>.99</td>
<td>.70</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>.64</td>
<td>.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ Reduction</td>
<td>4B</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>.48</td>
<td>.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4C</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4D</td>
<td>COMB</td>
<td>O₂/H₂</td>
<td>.41</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* With respect to the baseline 90-day resupply system for 1992
* Requires hydrazine resupply only
** Requires water resupply only
*** Requires hydrazine and water resupply
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From Table 5-2 it can be seen that these propellant resupply requirements range from a maximum of 4060 lbm every 90-days to zero for periods from 3 to 7 years. It should be further noted that Options 3E and 3F do not have to use, as indicated in Table 5-3, a N₂H₄/CO₂/CH₄ ACT system in the low impulse years. They could, by the use of no more than about 500 lbm of water every 90 days and inefficient thrusting, eliminate the need for the above ACT system.

Table 5-3 presents another way of looking at propulsion logistic "up" characteristics; namely the maximum resupply interval. The shown resupply intervals for N₂H₄ systems and for O₂/H₂ systems are with respect to the 90-day resupply requirement of option 1A, which is the baseline for this study. For systems 3E and 3F, the maximum resupply interval, if an ACT system was not used, as discussed above, would be about 340 days for each year from 1994 through 2000.

Table 5-4 shows the total power requirements (as defined on Tables 5-1a and b) for the years 1992 through 2002. This summarizes the power requirements for the propulsion system and major components of the ECLS atmospheric revitalization subsystem. During the lower impulse years, high performance propulsion systems are not required, hence the power requirements decrease. Option 3F requires the highest power requirements during the high impulse years. This is a result of electrolyzing additional water to reduce the CO₂ to CH₄ and condensate. During the low impulse years option 4D requires the highest amount of power. This is a result of the Bosch generating less excess effluent, hence water still needs electrolyzing during low impulse years, unlike option 3F.

Option 3A, which is a non-integrated propulsion-ECLS system, has the lowest power requirements. Option 1A, which is also a non-integrated system, requires that the large amounts of CO₂ and H₂ be compressed compared to the lesser amount of CO₂ and CH₄ in Option 3A.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECLS</th>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Propulsion</th>
<th>Thruster</th>
<th>Propellant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open CO₂ Loop (EDC)</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>5.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1C</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>5.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1E</td>
<td>COMB</td>
<td>O₂/H₂**</td>
<td>8.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1F</td>
<td>COMB</td>
<td>O₂/H₂-CO₂***</td>
<td>7.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SABATIER CO₂ Reduction</td>
<td>3A</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3B</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>5.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3C</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>4.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3D</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>4.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3E</td>
<td>C + C</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>6.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3F</td>
<td>COMB</td>
<td>O₂/CH₄/H₂**</td>
<td>8.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOSCH CO₂ Reduction</td>
<td>4A</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4B</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>5.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4C</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>N₂H₄*</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4D</td>
<td>COMB</td>
<td>O₂/H₂</td>
<td>7.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>5.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>5.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1E</td>
<td>8.62</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>8.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1F</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>7.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>4.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>5.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>4.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3E</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>6.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3F</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>8.09</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>8.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4B</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>5.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4C</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>5.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4D</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>7.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Uses RES - N₂H₄/CO₂/H₂ system
** Uses RES - N₂/H₂/CO₂/CH₄ system
*** See Tables 5-1a and b for definition of power requirements
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CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER WORK

The primary objective of this NASA/LERC sponsored study was to determine the benefits that exist by utilizing the effluents generated by the Space Station Environmental Control and Life Support (ECLS) system for use in the propulsion system. In order to accomplish this study, the effort was divided into four tasks. Task one included defining four different ECLS systems and their levels of closure, plus determining their material balance and amount of effluent generated by each. Task two included determining the propulsion requirements for the years 1992 through 2002 and then evaluating the amount of impulse supplied by the various effluents to reduce the basic propulsion requirements. Task three included defining various collection and storing techniques and determining tank weights and volumes for the different ECLS effluents and propulsion gases. Finally, task four included the comparison of the various ECLS propulsion system options on the basis of logistics, fixed weights and volumes, and power requirements. The results of these four tasks are summarized in the following sections. An additional section is included to discuss recommendations for future work in areas where additional or new work should be performed.

6.1 ECLSS Definitions and Requirements

Four primary ECLS systems were considered in this study. They were:
1) Open CO₂ loop using EDC
2) Open CO₂ loop using SAWD
3) Sabatier CO₂ reduction using EDC
4) Bosch CO₂ reduction using EDC.

Mass balances were performed on each of the four systems. In addition, the Sabatier system was modified to provide additional impulse for the 2-sigma, high impulse years. Modifications were made in the form of electrolyzing additional water in order to further reduce the CO₂ to CH₄ and condensate.

The open CO₂ loop using SAWD generated the largest amount of usable effluent (1755 lbₘ/90-days) of the unmodified ECLS systems, while the Bosch CO₂ reduction ECLS system generated the least amount of usable effluent (558 lbₘ/90-days). In terms of system weight the ECLS system using Bosch is the heaviest at 3085 lbₘ and the open CO₂ loop ECLS system using EDC is the lightest at 1930 lbₘ. It is noted that these weights are only for the major components of the systems.
6.2 Propulsion Definition and Requirements

Propulsion system requirements for the study were defined as only the reboost requirements and do not include attitude control, docking disturbances, desaturation, or emergency contingencies. Two atmospheric models were used in sizing the propulsion system and determining expected resupply requirements. They were a 2-sigma and a nominal atmospheric model. The 2-sigma was used to size the propulsion system while the nominal atmospheric model was used to determine expected propellant consumption over the life of the station.

Available impulse capabilities were determined for the various generated effluents. These impulse capabilities were compared against the required impulse for the 2-sigma and nominal atmospheric models over the expected 10-year life of the station. The Open CO₂ loop ECLS system using EDC provided the greatest amount of impulse capability, while the Sabatier CO₂ reduction ECLS system using EDC provided the least. However, none of the unmodified systems provided enough impulse to satisfy the 2-sigma impulse requirements for all ten years. In the case of the nominal atmospheric model, the open CO₂ loop ECLS systems using EDC and SAWD satisfied the impulse requirements for all ten years (compare figure 3-4 with figures 3-8 and 3-9). In most years there was more than enough available impulse and hence some method of inefficient thrusting or flying the station at a lower altitude could be used to balance the excess effluent against the requirements.

The Sabatier and Bosch CO₂ reduction systems meet all, but a few, of the 90-day impulse requirements for the nominal atmospheric model (compare figure 3-4 with figure 3-10 and 3-11). In those years when additional impulse capability will be required many different options exist to make up the difference. In the case of the Sabatier system, two options are available. The first is to resupply hydrazine and run the CO₂/CH₄ effluent into a chamber to combine with the decomposed N₂H₄ after it has run through a catalyst bed. The mixture can then be expelled through a typical DeLavel nozzle or through a resistojet. The latter case reduces the amount of N₂H₄ to be resupplied, but increases, the overall system power consumption.
The second option is to resupply water and electrolyze it in the Sabatier ECLS system. The additional hydrogen allows for further reduction of CO$_2$ and enables the excess O$_2$ to be combined with the CH$_4$ and remaining CO$_2$. Depending on the amount of additional impulse required and hence additional water, all of the CO$_2$ can be reduced to condensate and CH$_4$, thus enabling an O$_2$/CH$_4$ combustion jet. Based on an eight man crew this condition occurs after an additional 522 lbm of water have been electrolyzed.

In the case of the Bosch CO$_2$ reduction system there are four options that exist. Of these four, only two make a significant impact in reducing propulsion logistic requirements. The first is to run the excess water and hydrogen in a resistojet to generate an impulse in the range of 90,000 to 160,000 lb·sec. The major disadvantage to this is the required purity of the water in order to avoid throat blockage with residue. The second option is to electrolyze the excess water and combine it with the excess H$_2$ to form a mixture ratio of 5.5:1. This technique supplies additional impulse of 233,000 lb·sec every 90 days.

6.3 Collection and Storage Techniques
Three techniques are used in collecting and storing generated ECLS effluents. The first is to collect the gases, compress them to 3000 psia and return them to earth every 90 days. The second technique is to collect and compress the gasses to 1000 psia for ten days and then expel the gases through an engine thus generating impulse capability for reboost. The third technique is to compress the gases continuously or near continuously on a per orbit basis, to 400 psia. The gases can then be heated and ejected through a resistojet in order to offset the drag force.

6.4 ECLS-Propulsion Options Considered
There were nineteen different ECLS-propulsion options considered in this study. Though others exist, these nineteen were assessed to be the most practical. Options 3F and 4D were determined to have the most significant impact on reducing logistic requirements for both the ECLS and propulsion systems.

Option 3F is a Sabatier CO$_2$ Reduction ECLS system combined with a combustion jet propulsion system. In order to meet the 1992 2-sigma atmospheric requirement, extra water is resupplied and electrolyzed to reduce the CO$_2$ to CH$_4$ and water condensate. The impulse requirement forced the final gas mixture to be O$_2$/CH$_4$/H$_2$. 
The excess hydrogen is a result of all the CO₂ being completely reduced before sufficient impulse capability is generated. Though this system has the lowest logistics requirement of the options considered, it has other questionable attributes. One example is the by-products generated by combustion of O₂ and CH₄. Approximately 8% solid carbon is formed from this chemical reaction.

Option 4D used a Bosch CO₂ reduction ECLS system in conjunction with an O₂/H₂ combustion jet. In order to meet the 1992 2-sigma impulse requirement, additional water (1666 lbm) has to be resupplied. This water is electrolyzed and combined with the excess hydrogen and electrolyzed water from the Bosch ECLS system. As the impulse requirement drops, less water is required for resupply until the excess water and hydrogen satisfies all requirements.

All of the options considered, excluding the baseline system, reduce the logistics requirement of both the ECLS and propulsion systems. Regardless of which ECLS system is eventually used on the station, an appropriate propulsion system can be integrated effectively. It can be seen from Table 5-1C that some propulsion systems work better with some ECLS systems than with others. For example, in the Bosch ECLS system, options 4A and use a hydrazine propulsion system in conjunction with hydrogen. Unfortunately, there is an insignificant amount of H₂ to augment N₂H₄ very well and thus there is little reduction in the overall logistics of the system. Option C uses hydrazine in conjunction with steam and hydrogen. Though there is more mass, steam does not effectively augment hydrazine. Option 4D, on the other hand, uses O₂/H₂ combustion, and hence can utilize the excess hydrogen and water more efficiently.

6.5 Recommendations for Further Work

It was not the purpose of this study to recommend one system combination over another. Rather, it is to suggest alternatives depending on which ECLS system is chosen. Factors which were not considered, but play a significant role in eventually choosing an ECLS-propulsion system combination include the cost of developing either system, maintenance cost to keep it operating, and assembly cost to bring the system up and put it together. However, none of these costs (or delta costs) are as significant as the life cycle resupply cost which can run into the billions if dealing with large quantities of fluids.
The one system which, based on data presented in this study, shows the most potential independent of the atmospheric model, is the Bosch ECLS - \(O_2/H_2\) combustion jet propulsion system. Studies which should be conducted to confirm the viability of this type of system include:

1) Test bed analysis of an integrated system.
2) Development of a space qualified, long life compressor.
3) Development of an \(O_2/H_2\) thruster which can operate over a wide mixture ratio.
4) Compatibility testing of storage tanks for high pressure oxygen and hydrogen.
5) Testing of a static feed water electrolysis unit at various pressures (i.e., \(150\) to \(3000\) psia)

Additional studies include testing multifuel resistojets (i.e., \(CO_2/CH_4/N_2H_4\)) to determine impulse capability and contamination and testing of an \(O_2/CH_4\) and \(O_2/CH_4/H_2\) combustion jet. More general studies include integrating not only the ECLS and propulsion systems, but the material laboratory, shuttle, regenerative fuel cell, co-orbiting platforms and electrophoresis experiments as well.
APPENDIX A
ECLSS MASS FLOW, WEIGHT, AND VOLUME SIZING

As mentioned in Section 2.0, only the major components of the ECLS system's atmospheric revitalization subsystem are considered in this study. These major components, which vary with each of the four ECLS systems considered are shown in Figures A-1 through A-4. The schematics on each of the figures illustrates inputs and outputs of each unit operation, as well as their mass flow rates, in lbm/day, thermal (heat rejection) loads, and power requirements. Station numbers indicate entrance and exit points. The table in the upper right corner of each figure summarizes the fixed weight and volume and resupply requirements of the major ECLS components. Storage requirements (not shown) are addressed in Section 4.0 and their effects on the ECLS system shown in Table 5-lb.

Table A-1 shows the electrical and thermal equivalent weight penalties for both continuous and light side operations. The EDC and O₂ units both operate during the light side of the orbit while requiring regulated VDC. The Sabatier unit also operates on the light side only and requires both liquid and air heat removal. The Bosch has light side operation only and uses AC/DC power. Both the compressor power and resistojet power are based on continuous operation where the former uses regulated VAC and the latter regulated VDC.

Table A-2 summarizes the weight, volume, and expendable penalties for each ECLS system considered and how they compare to the baseline system.

The fixed weight, volume, power, and heat rejection estimates for the EDC and SAWD CO₂ collection subsystems are as published by Life Systems, Inc. (Ref. 5). The Bosch and Sabatier CO₂ reduction subsystems parameters were obtained from Hamilton Standard Technology option data sheets (Ref. 6). Equivalent weight penalties and heat rejection were provided by Life Systems (Ref. 7).

Figure A-1 shows a working schematic of the major components for the Open CO₂ loop systems using EDC. It should be noted that this system, as well as the other three, are partially to completely closed. Hence, choosing a staring point for the station numbers is somewhat arbitrary.
Figure A-1 Electrochemical Depolarized CO\textsubscript{2} Collection No CO\textsubscript{2} Reduction (Baseline) System
Figure A-2  SAWD CO₂ Collection  No CO₂ Reduction System
Figure A-3  Electrochemical Depolarized CO₂ Collection SABATIER CO₂ Reduction System
### Figure A-4  Electrochemical Depolarized CO\textsubscript{2} Collection  BOSCH CO\textsubscript{2} Reduction System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Station 1</th>
<th>Station 2</th>
<th>Station 3</th>
<th>Station 4</th>
<th>Station 5</th>
<th>Station 6</th>
<th>Station 7</th>
<th>Station 8</th>
<th>Station 9</th>
<th>Station 10</th>
<th>Station 11</th>
<th>Station 12</th>
<th>Station 13</th>
<th>Station 14</th>
<th>Station 15</th>
<th>Station 16</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate, lbs/day</td>
<td>22,800</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>4,800</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>22,800</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4,800</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal, BTU/hr (heat rejection)</td>
<td>1,520</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,276</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalent weight power, lbs</td>
<td>68/35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1,245</td>
<td>1,245</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal, kW</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalent weight thermal, lbs</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-day resupply, lbs</td>
<td>360</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Weight and volume penalties**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsystem</th>
<th>Fixed weight, lbs</th>
<th>Volume, ft\textsuperscript{3}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O\textsubscript{2} (SFSP)</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2} (EDC)</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOSCH</td>
<td>1,011</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalent weight, power</td>
<td>1,245</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalent weight, thermal</td>
<td>368</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed totals</td>
<td>3085</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resupply, lbs</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- Maximum = Light side operation only (60 minutes)
- Normal = continuous (24 hour) operation
- Light side operation
- 24 hour continuous operation
- Power generated by EDC
- BOSCH catalyst and canister, lbs/day
- AC/DC power
- 90-day resupply cycle
- Zero resupply
- Function of desired, when using reactolets
- Storage tanks addressed in section 4.0
### Table A-2 ECLS Subsystem Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsystem</th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Weight, Ib m</th>
<th>Volume, ft³</th>
<th>Power, watts</th>
<th>Thermal, watts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electrolytic O₂</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Figure A-5</td>
<td>Figure A-5</td>
<td>O₂ lbm/day 0.315 x 4038</td>
<td>O₂ lbm/day 0.315 x 835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDC</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.24(lbm CO₂/day)² + 13.57(lbm CO₂/day x 8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAWD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.1 (62 + 14.7 + N)</td>
<td>1.1 (4.2 + 68 + N)</td>
<td>(DC) (260 + 125.8 + N)/3.413 + 15.83 + N</td>
<td>(AC) (260 + 125.8 + N)/3.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOSCH</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>572.5 + 24.95 lbm CO₂/day</td>
<td>51.0 + 4.33 lbm CO₂/day</td>
<td>31.0 + 13.97 lbm CO₂/day</td>
<td>330 + 51.2 lbm CO₂/day (air)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SABATIER</td>
<td>9, 10</td>
<td>122 + 3.96 lbm CO₂/day</td>
<td>15.95 + 0.26 lbm CO₂/day</td>
<td>29.7 + 0.24 lbm CO₂/day</td>
<td>27 + 18.78 lbm CO₂/day (air)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. N = Number of crewmen
2. 2 four men units, each can process CO₂ at an 8 man continuous rate (17.6 lbm CO₂/day)
3. Continuous 24 hour rates (100% duty cycle)
4. Power delivered varies with efficiency of unit at various processing rates, i.e.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>lbm CO₂/day</th>
<th>Watts</th>
<th>DC volt</th>
<th>Cell amps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>540</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure A-5: Electrolytic Oxygen System Weight and Volume as a Function of Oxygen Generation Rate for Continuous Operation
As discussed previously, the hydrogen and part of the oxygen generated from water electrolysis combine in the EDC unit to form water vapor. The CO\textsubscript{2} collected by the EDC unit mixes with remaining H\textsubscript{2} and are sent to storage tanks. The air which enters the EDC unit is recirculated and the water vapor is used partly for crew drink and food preparation (potable water) and for electrolysis or personal hygiene (hygiene water). The coolant which is used for both the EDC unit and the electrolysis unit is returned to the thermal bus. This schematic shows the generated effluent being used for propulsion. If a continuous or near continuous thrusting sequence is used then the thruster shown in the schematic would be a resistojet. Less frequent thrusting sequences (i.e., 10 days) would require warm gas or combustion thrusters.

Figure A-2 shows a working schematic of the Open CO\textsubscript{2} loop system using SAWD. This system requires less water for electrolysis than the EDC system since the SAWD unit does not require O\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}. However, the SAWD unit requires more power to operate the blowers and move the air and water through the system. Coolant in this system is used in the O\textsubscript{2} unit and the SAWD unit and then returned to the thermal bus. The CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2} are stored in separate storage tanks for 10 days at 1000 psia. The AC blower for SAWD unit results in a 836 lb\textsubscript{m}/KW penalty.

Figure A-3 illustrates a Sabatier CO\textsubscript{2} reduction working schematic. This requires coolant for the O\textsubscript{2} unit, EDC unit, and Sabatier unit. This system operates the same as the Open CO\textsubscript{2} loop system using EDC, except that the CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2} are sent into the Sabatier unit to be reduced to water condensate and a CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{2} mixture, which is then sent to storage. The condensate combines with the water generated by the EDC unit to contribute to the available potable water for drink and food preparation and electrolysis.

The Bosch CO\textsubscript{2} reduction system working schematic is shown in Figure A-4. In this system, the CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2} generated from the EDC unit are heated through a heat exchanger with steam and then separated into condensate (14.4 lb\textsubscript{m}/day), carbon, and hydrogen.

The steam used by the Bosch unit is closed looped. The excess water generated by the Bosch system can be used both for the ECLS and propulsion system, since an excess
of 5.9 lb\textsubscript{m}/day of hygiene water is generated. Coolant is required for the Bosch unit, as well as the O\textsubscript{2} and EDC units which when after it has been used is sent back to the thermal bus.
The benefits of the utilization of the effluents of the Space Station Environmental Control and Life Support (ECLS) system are examined. Various ECLSS-propulsion system interaction options are evaluated and compared on the basis of weight, volume and power requirements. Annual propulsive impulse to maintain station altitude during a complete solar cycle of eleven years and the effect on station resupply are considered.