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INTRODUCTION

Over the past three years we have been developing a finite element based procedure for the solution of high speed viscous compressible flows. The approach followed has been to compute steady state solutions via a false transient, using an explicit time stepping scheme, and to attempt to improve the solution quality by incorporating adaptive mesh procedures. This strategy has been shown to be very successful in the context of the compressible Euler equations and also for some simple compressible viscous flows. The main thrust of our work over the past twelve months has been to work on the extension of the approach to the solution of some realistic compressible viscous flows. When flows at high Reynolds number are investigated, it soon becomes apparent that explicit techniques have to be supplemented if they are to deal effectively with the large variations in element size and aspect ratio which characterise the computational grids necessary for adequate resolution of the primary flow features. For this reason, our basic Taylor-Galerkin solution algorithm has been re-written in an explicit/implicit form. A structured grid is laid down in the vicinity of solid walls and the remainder of the flow field is covered by an unstructured assembly of triangles. In the unstructured grid region the basic explicit solver is employed, while the implicit version is used in the structured portion of the grid. The solution of the implicit equation system is achieved by directional splitting, using a block tridiagonal solver. Using this approach, solutions have been computed for the problems of (i) flow past a flat plate, M-3, Re-1000 (ii) shock/boundary layer interaction, M-2, Re-296000 (iii) flow over a compression corner, M-11.68, Re-246000 (iv) uniform flow past a circular cylinder, M-6.34, Re-39770. A summary of the results produced is included in this report and demonstrates the numerical performance of the scheme.

IMPLICIT/EXPLICIT SOLUTION SCHEME

A description of the scheme will be given for the Euler equations. The extension [1,2] to the Navier-Stokes equations follows directly, but the algebra becomes rather messy. The form of the scheme was suggested by the original work of Lerat et al [3,4]. The governing equations are assumed in the form

\[ \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_j} = 0 \]

where, in the usual notation, \( U \) denotes the vector of conserved variables and \( F_j \) denotes the flux component in direction \( x_j \) of a Cartesian coordinate system. Using a Taylor series expansion about time \( t - \Delta t \), gives

\[ U^{n+1} = U^n + \Delta t \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} \bigg|^{n} + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} \left[ (1-\theta) \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} \bigg|^{n} + \theta \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} \bigg|^{n+1} \right] \]
and, using the governing equation, we can write

\[ \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = - \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j} \]

\[ \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ A_i \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j} \right] \]

The time stepping scheme then becomes

\[ \Delta U - \theta \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ A_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\Delta U) \right] = - \Delta t \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ A_j \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_i} \right] \]

The solution of this equation system is achieved in a two-stage manner:

STAGE 1... explicit predictor

\[ \Delta U_{exp} = - \Delta t \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ A_j \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_i} \right] \]

This stage is performed using the two step Taylor-Galerkin code that has formed the basis of our previous work.

STAGE 2... implicit corrector

The implicit corrector is only employed on the structured grid and the equation system is solved by directional splitting in the form

\[ \Delta U^* - \theta \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left[ (A_i)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (\Delta U^*) \right] = \Delta U_{exp} \]

\[ \Delta U - \theta \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \left[ (A_2)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} (\Delta U) \right] = \Delta U^* \]
To reduce the computational cost, these equations are formed using the finite difference approach. Note however that at steady-state the solution satisfies

$$\Delta U^e x = 0$$

APPLICATIONS

The results produced by applying the above algorithm to a series of viscous flow problems will now be shown.

(i) flow over a flat plate, $M=3$, $Re=1000$. A regular mesh of $56^250$ points and $5600$ elements was employed (see Figure 1). Points were clustered near the leading edge and near the plate. Isothermal wall conditions were applied on the plate with symmetry conditions ahead of the nose of the plate. The use of a regular mesh means that the implicit scheme can be applied everywhere or only locally. Figures 2 and 3 show the density and pressure contours when 15 layers near the plate are treated implicitly with a Courant number C-5. A comparison between the behaviour of the fully implicit, mixed implicit/explicit and fully explicit schemes is made in Figures 4-7 in terms of the convergence behavior, exit density and $x_1$ velocity profiles and plate pressure distribution. Figures 8-10 show the comparison between the results of Carter [5] and the computations performed at ALB, LaRC for the same quantities. It may be observed that the rates of convergence of the fully implicit and the mixed explicit/implicit schemes are approximately equal, while the fully explicit algorithm shows a much slower convergence behaviour with peculiar oscillatory behaviour (which may be caused by an inappropriate artificial viscosity model for highly stretched grids).

(ii) shock/boundary layer interaction, $M=2$, $Re=296000$. The computation corresponds to the experiments of Hakkinen et al [6] and the Reynolds number is based upon the distance of the shock impingement point from the leading edge of the plate. The shock is of sufficient strength to cause separation of the developing laminar boundary layer. The first mesh employed consists of a regular grid of $32^245$ points, equally spaced in the $x_1$ direction and exponentially stretched in the $x_2$ direction. The grid is shown in Figure 11 and the computed pressure and Mach number contours produced by the fully implicit procedure are shown in Figures 12 and 13. Adaptive remeshing was now performed, producing the mesh of 323 points shown in Figure 14. On this grid the solution was advanced at C-5 in the 21 layers nearest the plate and the final pressure and Mach number contours are given in Figures 15 and 16. The computed variation in the static pressure and the skin friction along the plate are shown in Figures 17 and 18 respectively. The results obtained on the second mesh have been found to agree well with the computed results of MacCormack [7] and Dawes [8]. Details of the velocity profiles in the vicinity of the separation point can be seen in Figure 19.

(iii) flow over a compression corner, $M=11.68$, $Re=246000$. The intention was to compute the configuration tested by Holden [9] but it has been recently discovered that the computed compression corner angle was 14.5 degrees which differed from the 15 degrees in the actual experiment. Consequently, this problem is currently being recomputed for the
correct geometry and with an improved mesh. We present the results for the incorrect geometry as an example of the computational performance. An initial solution was produced on a regular mesh, equally spaced in the $x_1$ direction and exponentially stretched in the $x_2$ direction. The computed solution on this mesh was used to start the adaptive refinement process. The final mesh employed is shown in Figure 20 and the solution was advanced with C-5 in the 24 structured layers along the wall. Density and pressure contours are shown in Figures 21 and 22 and the distributions of pressure and skin friction along the wall are given in Figures 23 and 24. The computed region of separated flow is smaller than that observed experimentally which suggests insufficient mesh resolution in the vicinity of the corner.

(iv) undisturbed flow past a cylinder, M=6.34, Re=39770. The problem was initially solved inviscidly and the mesh adapted for the bow shock. A structured mesh was then constructed in the vicinity of the cylinder and consisted of 15 layers of elements. The final mesh employed had 4312 points. The solution was advanced with C-5 in the structured grid region and explicitly over the remainder of the grid. The final mesh and the computed contours of density and Mach number are shown in Figures 25-27. The computed distributions of pressure and heating rate over the cylinder surface are displayed in Figures 28 and 29 and are in good agreement with the results produced for this problem at ALB, LaRC.

CONCLUSIONS

A mixed explicit/implicit algorithm for the solution of problems involving high speed compressible viscous flows has been presented. Initial tests with the method have proved to be encouraging and the approach is being tested and extended further. In particular, the need for employing a structured grid and the finite difference discretisation in the implicit region is being reviewed with the objective of producing an implicit method for unstructured grids.
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