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SECTION I: OVERVIEW OF PROJECT GOALS

This project was designed to investigate the usefulness of the myoelectric signal as a control signal in robotics applications. More specifically, the neural patterns associated with human arm and hand actions were studied in an attempt to determine the efficacy of using these myoelectric signals to control the manipulator arm of a robot. The advantage of this approach to robotic control was the use of well-defined and well-practiced neural patterns already available to the system, as opposed to requiring the human operator to learn new tasks and establish new neural patterns in learning to control a joystick or mechanical coupling device.

Examples are readily available of the high-level skill possessed by humans in controlling their own limbs, despite the fact that this control requires mastering a neuromuscular-skeletal complex with a myriad of degrees of freedom. The virtuosity of the concert pianist or the dexterity of the neurosurgeon, are but two examples from a world of possibilities. Mechanically recreating the kind of dexterity exhibited in the above-mentioned examples was clearly beyond the scope of the proposed research. However, evidence of electromyographically (EMG) controlled limb behavior with a minimal, but sufficient, level of dexterity was available — in the area of prosthetics design and appli-
cation (Childress, 1973; 1982; Rubenstein, 1984). Thus, not only was there an intuitively logical basis for the proposed research, but part of the answer was already known. That is, under the right circumstances, neural signals can be utilized in the control of artificial, and perhaps, external limbs.

A basic premise of prosthetics research, and the research presented here, was that the patient/subject utilized an endogenous neural pattern in concert with the musculoskeletal complex to control the artificial limb (Childress, Holmes, & Billock, 1974). The myoelectric signals could be tapped from related muscles, or those muscles generally considered to be the "prime movers" or agonists of a particular limb action. It was hoped that a steep learning curve in control could be avoided by tapping into the neural circuits of the non-pathological nervous system, and using the same agonist/antagonist muscle relationships (as known by their myoelectric signals) practiced and mastered over the years.

Thus, it was an accomplished fact that the neural signal could be used to control an artificial limb. What was critical in the current investigation was determination of the usefulness of established neural patterns for controlling an external device with multiple degrees of freedom. Such a determination required answering the following
questions. Could the myoelectric signals used for limb control be consistently reproduced? How susceptible was the recorded electromyographic pattern to changes in remote degrees of freedom?

SECTION II:

LESSONS OF PROSTHETICS AND ELECTROMYOGRAPHIC RESEARCH

It has long been recognized that an internal process, such as muscle contraction, could be monitored through an associated external measure—recording of an electrical signal which accompanies the contraction process. The functionality of such a measure carries with it some limits and cautions. A brief discussion of the human neuromuscular system and some limitations to our interpretation of system function is useful in understanding the approach taken in this investigation.

The functional unit of the muscular system, the motor unit (MU), is composed of a neuron and the muscle fibers (cells) that it innervates (Figure 1). Muscle contraction is ultimately the result of an electrical signal transmitted from nerve to MU. During gross motor task voluntary muscular action any number of MUs may be recruited. The number of MUs involved relates to the force requirements of the task. The greater the force, the larger the number of MUs involved (Burke, 1981). It is possible to monitor muscular activity by measuring the electrical signal which is a
Figure 1. A motor unit. (Adapted from Muscles Alive (p. 7) by J. V. Basmajian, 1979, Baltimore: The Williams and Wilkins Company.)
byproduct of contraction; for like force output, the greater
the number of active MUs, the greater the magnitude of the
electrical signal recorded. Thus we derive a relationship
between the magnitude of force, and the magnitude of
electrical signal. This relationship is not linear under
all circumstances, but under the controlled conditions of
constant velocity muscle contraction it is interpretable

The organization of the human musculoskeletal system is
such that limb behavior is controlled by agonist and an-
tagonsit muscular pairs. In a one-degree-of-freedom task
such as elbow flexion in the transverse (i.e. horizontal)
plane, flexion of the forearm about the elbow is controlled
by those muscles crossing anterior to the joint. Extension
is controlled by muscles crossing posterior to the joint.
Lack of motion is the result of either no active muscular
force, or the cocontraction of agonist and antagonist muscle
pairs such that the net torque created by their contraction
is zero. Under constant velocity conditions the electrical
activity emanating from either muscle group may be inter-
preted as a reasonably direct indication of active flexion
or extension (depending on the activated muscle group)
(Bigland & Lippold, 1954). So far the story is reasonably
straightforward. However, numerous factors interact to
confound the interpretation of the myoelectric signal as an indicator of muscle force or position.

Muscle force is modulated through MU recruitment and activation frequency (i.e. rate coding) (Bigland-Ritchie, 1981). Since these two factors also determine myoelectric activity it is logical to expect a relationship between muscle force and myoelectric activity. However, the nature of this relationship cannot be explicitly described for all circumstances.

Difficulties arise in relating muscle force and myoelectric activity because they are derived through different means. Mechanical calculations of muscle moments (Muscle moment = muscle force times perpendicular distance to the point of force application from the point of rotation) obtained with an inverse dynamics approach assume that the sum of agonist and antagonist muscle activity for all muscles crossing the joint of interest has been included (e.g. Dul, Townsend, Shiavi, & Johnson, 1984). (Note: The validity of this assumption has been questioned but it is commonly used.) These calculations also presumably account for the potential force production of the series elastic component of the muscle. EMG data reflects only myoelectric activity from the contractile element of the muscle of interest (Winter, 1979) and usually only the agonist muscle(s) versus an agonist/antagonist pair. Thus
EMG/force relationships may vary because the internal estimate of muscle force (i.e. myoelectric activity) and the external estimate of muscle force (i.e. mechanical calculations) are obtained in different ways.

In addition, muscle force production depends upon factors independent of myoelectric activity such as movement velocity and muscle length (Bigland-Ritchie, 1981). As movement velocity increases potential force production decreases (Hill, 1938). As muscle length decreases, potential force production decreases (Gordon, Huxley, & Julian, 1966). EMG records reflect these factors but not in direct proportion to muscle force changes (Bigland & Lippold, 1954). Faster movements create greater integrated EMG records, but less force. Concentric muscle contractions (i.e. decreasing muscle length) which have less potential force production (Winter, 1979), produce greater integrated EMG records than eccentric contractions (i.e. increasing muscle length). So the demands of the task may influence the EMG/force relationship.

Physiological differences also hamper the interpretation of EMG as muscle force. Under fatiguing conditions accompanied by decreased force generation (this was not a factor in collection of these data but may be a factor in the application of these data) the EMG record will increase (Asmussen, 1979; Edwards, 1981). This increase, normally
attributed to increased MU recruitment thus increased force production, may be caused by synchronization of MU firing or changes in action potential size associated with fatigue (Bigland-Ritchie, 1981). Temperature changes also alter the action potential size and influence the EMG record (Bigland-Ritchie, 1981). Thus increased EMG activity may not indicate increased force production.

The size of the myoelectric signal varies with the size of the MU potential which may be influenced by fiber type (Bigland-Ritchie, 1981). MUs composed of mostly fast twitch muscle fibers produce larger electrical responses than MUs composed of mostly slow twitch muscle fibers. This may not seem important to EMG/force relationships since faster MUs are usually recruited for high force short duration tasks and slower MUs are recruited for lower force longer duration tasks (Henneman, 1974). However, muscles differ in their dependence upon rate coding and recruitment for force generation. For example, in the adductor pollicus and first dorsal interosseous muscles of the hand all MUs are recruited at 30-50% of the maximum voluntary contraction (MVC), but in the biceps brachii new MUs are recruited at forces greater than 85% of the MVC (Bigland-Ritchie, Kukulka & Woods, 1980). In addition, under conditions of high force generation increases in activation may exceed the tetanic fusion frequency of the muscle. As a consequence the EMG
record increases disproportionately to the force produced (Bigland-Ritchie, 1981). Thus the use of different strategies for force production may create different EMG/force relationships.

Morphological differences such as distribution of MU types throughout a muscle create additional problems (Bigland-Ritchie, 1981). Slower MUs tend to be less superficial than faster MUs (Burke, 1981). Surface electrodes (invasive electrodes were unrealistic in our current investigation and in prosthetic design) when properly secured directly over the muscle belly pick up EMG activity at the surface from a small part of the muscle. Thus signals removed from the recording site may not be fully detected. If slower MUs have been selectively recruited, the EMG record and the actual force generated would be disproportional. In addition, since surface electrodes are sensitive to all electrical signals within a given range signals from active muscles removed from the primary site may interfere with a clean recording from the muscle of interest.

In addition to the aforementioned factors which make the interpretation of EMG activity as muscle force or position difficult, there are methodological considerations. Selection of surface electrodes may influence the EMG/force relationship; monopolar electrodes tend to show linear
relationships, bipolar tend to show nonlinear relationships (Moritani & DeVries, 1978). Since surface electrodes are sensitive to a variety of signal sources and pick up a global signal, proper positioning of the electrode relative to the active muscle is imperative. This becomes a substantive issue when the electrical activity of deep versus superficial muscles is of prime concern. As will be pointed out in discussion of the data, the inability to accurately monitor deep muscles hindered the recording of activity related to certain gross motor movements (e.g. differentiation of forearm pronation/supination from wrist flexion/extension; and internal rotation of the humerus at the shoulder from external rotation). Movement artifact also is of concern. Electrodes must be sufficiently secured so that external surface shape changes, due to underlying muscle movement, do not disrupt the integrity of the electrode contact.

So to name EMG activity as muscle force and thus an indicator of position would be a misnomer. In fact the reported relationships between EMG and muscle force vary from linear (Bigland & Lippold, 1954; Stevens & Taylor, 1972), to quasilinear (Lawrence & DeLuca, 1983), to non-linear (Bigland-Ritchie, Kukulka & Woods, 1980), to logarithmic (Perry & Bekey, 1981). Given the influences of task conditions and methodology perhaps Lawrence and DeLuca
(1983) summed it up best: the EMG/force relationship is determined by the muscle under investigation. For this study this means that comparison of the EMG data as a representation of force or position is confined: myoelectric activity from two muscles of the same subject, from the same muscle of two different subjects, and from two different muscles of two different subjects can not be compared in terms of force or position.

SECTION III: METHODS AND INSTRUMENTATION

The project, conducted in two phases, involved simultaneous collection of EMG signals and the corresponding limb displacement data. These data were collected by an opto-electronic imaging system with synchronized analog signal recording capabilities, in Phase I, and by a Sperry IT microcomputer equipped with digital oscilloscope software (CODAS), in Phase II. Investigations were limited to one and two-degree-of-freedom movements of the upper extremity. Table 1 contains a listing of the movement conditions studied.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Musculature</th>
<th>Special Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elbow flexion/extension</td>
<td>biceps brachii, triceps brachii, anterior deltoid</td>
<td>sagittal plane (across speeds; accelerated movement w/ isometric)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow flexion/extension</td>
<td>biceps brachii, triceps brachii</td>
<td>transverse plane (w/ and w/out cocontraction; across speeds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder flexion/extension</td>
<td>biceps brachii, anterior deltoid</td>
<td>sagittal plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder abduction/adduction</td>
<td>middle deltoid, posterior deltoid, pectoralis major, trapezius</td>
<td>frontal plane (across speeds; w/ and w/o cocontraction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder internal/external rotation</td>
<td>infraspinatus, teres major, anterior deltoid, pectoralis major</td>
<td>transverse plane (w/ and w/out cocontraction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasping</td>
<td>forearm flexors, forearm extensors</td>
<td>a w/ &amp; w/out cocontraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist flexion/extension</td>
<td>forearm flexors, forearm extensors</td>
<td>b sagittal plane (accelerated movement w/ isometric)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forearm pronation/supination</td>
<td>supinator, pronator teres, biceps brachii</td>
<td>a w/ &amp; w/out cocontraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thumb abduction/adduction</td>
<td>adductor pollicus</td>
<td>b w/ &amp; w/out cocontraction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Movement Tasks and Conditions (cont'd)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Musculature</th>
<th>Special Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fifth digit (pinky) abduction/adduction</td>
<td>abductor digiti minimi</td>
<td>(^b)transverse plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaching</td>
<td>biceps brachii triceps brachii anterior deltoid latissimus dorsi posterior deltoid</td>
<td>sagittal plane (w/ &amp; w/out cocontraction; across speeds)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. All tasks were conducted in both phases unless otherwise noted.
\(^a\)Conducted only in Phase I.
\(^b\)Conducted only in Phase II.

Phase I Position-time Data

A SELPOT II opto-electronic imaging system was used to collect position-time data for limb displacements. The SELSPOT system is a video camera system sensitive to infra-red light. Small infra-red (950 nm) light emitting diodes (LED) are used to mark joint centers so that rigid body motion may be recorded. A dedicated PDP 11/23 LSI computer coordinated the data collection tasks and synchronized the simultaneous acquisition of displacement data with analog inputs. Using a two-camera system, the 3-dimensional coordinates for any LED marked point in space could be determined.
Phase II Position-time Data

Position-time data were collected using a goniometer (i.e. two dowel rods attached to a potentiometer) interfaced with a Sperry IT microcomputer equipped with analog to digital conversion capabilities and CODAS, digital oscilloscope software. The goniometer detected changes in joint angle as changes in voltage. This signal was stored on disc and displayed in real-time. Position-time data were available for only one joint during each task and due to the size of the potentiometer, unavailable for smaller joints (i.e. first carpometacarpal and fifth metacarpophalangeal joints).

Electromyographic Data (Phases I and II)

The Motion Control Myolab II (Model ML-200) equipped with a preamplifier (Model ML-220) was used to monitor the EMG signal. Surface electrodes were attached to the skin directly over the motor point(s) of the muscle(s) under investigation. The detected EMG signals were amplified and filtered (Preamplifier filter bandwidth = 9 Hz - 27 kHz; Myolab filters = second order high frequency filter (roll-off = 1000 Hz) and third order low frequency filter (roll-off = 50 Hz)). The conditioned analog (i.e. EMG) signals were converted to digital signals and stored on disc. An analog representation of the signal, either the integrated EMG or the raw EMG, was viewed during the task in Phase II but unavailable until after the task in Phase I. The simul-
Simultaneous collection of EMG and limb displacement data were synchronized through the use of a PDP 11/23 LSI computer in Phase I, and a Sperry IT microcomputer system in Phase II.

SECTION IV: ANATOMICAL AND MOVEMENT REFERENCES

All anatomical references are given with respect to the three cardinal planes of motion and three orthogonal axes about which segmental rotations occur. Figure 2 shows the sagittal, frontal, and transverse planes with the corresponding axes. The reference positions for all movements are depicted in Figure 3.

SECTION V: TASK DEFINITIONS AND DATA

Elbow Flexion/Extension

1.0 Anatomical Considerations

Multiple muscles cross the elbow joint, the moment arms of which create varying influences on the flexor and extensor torques at the elbow. Three of these muscles act as primary elbow flexors during concentric contraction; brachialis, the brachioradialis and the biceps brachii, (Figure 4a,b,c). The biceps brachii, a two-joint muscle which crosses the elbow and shoulder, is the most superficial muscle of the upper arm. Except under circumstances of high load, the role of the biceps at the shoulder is generally small. However, since the biceps attaches to the radius its role at the elbow is directly influenced by forearm position. Thus the biceps brachii is defined as an
Figure 2. Cardinal planes of motion and orthogonal axes. (Adapted from Kinesiology Fundamentals of Motion Description (p. 80) by D. L. Kelley, 1971, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.)
Figure 3. Standing positions: (a) the anatomical position; (b) the fundamental position.

(Adapted from Kinesiology Fundamentals of Motion Description (p. 70) by D. L. Kelley, 1971, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.)
Figure 4. Primary elbow flexors: (a) brachialis, (b) brachioradialis, (c) biceps brachii. (Adapted from Kinesiology: Scientific Basis of Human Motion (p. 86, 119-120) by K. Luttgens and K. F. Wells, 1982, Philadelphia: Saunders.)
elbow flexor and forearm supinator. With the forearm in the semi-prone (or neutral) position the biceps has its greatest mechanical advantage.

The brachialis, a single-joint muscle, is considered the primary elbow flexor. The brachialis is in large part covered by the biceps brachii and only in the lower third and medial aspect of the upper arm may the brachialis be palpated directly. With an insertion on the ulna, the mechanical advantage of the brachialis is independent of forearm position (e.g., magnitude of pronation or supination).

The brachioradialis, a two-joint muscle crossing the elbow and wrist, originates just above the humeral epicondyles and inserts at the distal end of the radius. The bulk of the brachioradialis lies along the forearm. Because of the small moment arm created by the tendon of the brachioradialis as it crosses the elbow joint, its role is predominantly one of elbow stabilization.

The triceps brachii, a two-joint muscle (Figure 5) crossing the shoulder and elbow, acts as the agonist in forearm extension against resistance. The triceps is not a prime mover at the shoulder but the influence of shoulder position on triceps activity must be kept in mind.

Control of limb behavior is the result of interaction among those muscles crossing the joint; their levels of
Figure 5. Triceps brachii; lateral and long heads. (Adapted from Kinesiology: The Science of Movement (p. 75) by J. Piscopo and J. A. Baley, 1981, New York: Wiley.)
activation and any mechanical biases operating on the muscles. Thus, a complete description of elbow joint control must consider not only activation of the agonist muscles but also (1) angle at the shoulder, (2) forearm position, (3) elbow angle, and (4) external force considerations (e.g., effects of gravity).

1.0.1 Position at the Glenohumeral Joint (Shoulder Angle)

Consideration must be given to the degree of flexion or extension present at the glenohumeral joint (shoulder) due to the two-joint involvement of the biceps and triceps brachii. The nature of a two-joint muscle will influence the excursion ratio of that muscle during performance of the task. A full range of motion (ROM) may be impossible to achieve if simultaneous flexion or extension of multiple joints is required. In such a case, it is often helpful to maintain muscle stretch across one joint while the muscle affects the action at the next joint.

In the present study, the excursion ratio of the biceps brachii is more of an academic concern than one of practical importance. Although a two-joint muscle, examination of the proximal attachments of the biceps reveals that its function will be affected in small measure by any change in the degree of shoulder flexion. The attachments for both the long and short heads of the biceps are on the lateral and anterior aspects of the glenohumeral structure. Thus
shoulder position within the range of FSP to 90° of flexion would not appear to appreciably change either the amount of stretch in the biceps or the relationship of the line of pull to the axis of rotation at the elbow joint. In conditions of light load (e.g., arm supported in a 90° shoulder flexed position) shoulder angle should not have a significant influence on biceps activity. However, under dynamic conditions, or non-support of an extended arm, the biceps may be involved in stabilization of the shoulder joint.

1.0.2 Degree of Forearm Supination or Pronation

Consider the three primary elbow flexors; biceps brachii, brachialis, and the brachioradialis. The distal attachment of the brachialis is on the ulna. Forearm position will not affect the action of this muscle as pronation and supination are related to changes in position of the radius about the ulna. However, both the biceps brachii and the brachioradialis have attachments on the radius so that their strength in elbow flexion will be affected by forearm position.

Numerous studies have used the elbow joint as the investigative site for studying muscle interactions (Basmajian & Latif, 1957; Doss & Karpovich, 1965; Hagberg, 1981; Hagberg & Ericson, 1982; Liberson, Dondey & Maxim, 1962; Lloyd, 1971; Rodgers & Berger, 1974; Singh & Karpovich, 1966; Wakim, Gersten, Elkins, & Martin, 1950).
The most thorough of these was the investigation of elbow flexor strength undertaken by Basmajian & Latif (1957). In this study the level of electrical activity of the biceps brachii (long and short heads), brachialis, and brachioradialis was identified under conditions of flexion, extension, and isometric contraction at angles of 135° and 90°. During slow flexion of the forearm under load, the short head of the biceps, the brachialis and the brachioradialis showed the greatest EMG activity with the forearm in the semi-prone position. During quick flexion under load, the supinated position displayed the highest level of EMG activity in all muscles except the brachioradialis. During position maintenance tests at 135° and 90° the supinated position was preferred for biceps strength, but the semi-prone or prone position was preferred for brachioradialis strength. Finally it was observed that during elbow flexion maximal EMG activity occurred in all three muscles with the forearm in the semi-prone position.

If biceps activity is of primary concern, then the prone forearm position is contraindicated. This position substantially reduces the involvement of the biceps in quick and slow flexion (Basmajian & Latif, 1957). The semi-prone position is best suited to the study of the integrated activity of the three elbow flexors.
1.0.3 Angle at the Elbow Joint

Isometric strength at the elbow has been studied throughout the range of 60° to 150° (Lloyd, 1971; Singh & Karpovich, 1966, 1967; Wakim, et al., 1950). With little question the greatest strengths are exhibited between 80° and 115° (Singh & Karpovich, 1966, 1967).

1.0.4 Effects of External Forces

Textbook definitions of muscle function define the muscles crossing anterior to the elbow as forearm flexors, and those muscles passing posterior to the joint axis as forearm extensors. This definition is true only under conditions of concentric contraction and a freely moving distal segment (i.e., the forearm is not fixed). With free motion in the sagittal plane, e.g., forearm rotation about the bilateral axis, the anterior muscles (brachialis, biceps brachii, and brachioradialis) are responsible for forearm flexion. If gravity is the only resistance offered to the flexion, then forearm extension is also controlled by the anterior muscles. The "flexors" control the extension through an eccentric contraction, or a lengthening under tension. Thus, even though the triceps brachii is the defined forearm extensor, the triceps acts as an extensor only against resistance. For sagittal plane motion, gravity
is an external force acting on the limb which is controlled eccentrically by the forearm flexors.
1.1 Elbow Flexion Flexion/Extension Data

1.1.1 Elbow Flexion/Extension: Sagittal Plane

Special conditions: Slow, moderate and fast speeds

Phase I EMG: biceps brachii, anterior deltoid
Phase II EMG: biceps brachii, triceps brachii

Phase I description: Initial position; arm hanging relaxed at the side. The movement was forearm flexion and extension. Thus the forearm was flexed to a 90° angle with the humerus and returned to the FSP position.

Phase II description: Same as Phase I except forearm was moved through entire ROM at the elbow (i.e. from FSP to 30° angle with the humerus and back to FSP).

Phase I figures: D1 a,b,c; slow: D2 a,b,c; moderate. Top strip chart (1Y) = displacement representing a change in elbow angle. Peaks (e.g. 850 mm) indicate maximum flexion; valleys (e.g. 250 mm) indicate maximum extension. Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the biceps. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the anterior deltoid.

Phase II figures: D3 a,b,c,d; moderate: D4 a,b,c,d; fast. EMG data from the biceps and triceps is displayed in the top graphs of D3a,c, and D4a,c. Bottom graphs (D3a,c; D4a,c) = displacement representing a change in the elbow angle (peaks indicate maximum flexion; valleys indicate maximum extension). Top graph = raw EMG data from the triceps (D3b,d) and biceps (D4b,d). Bottom graph = EMG data from the triceps (D3b,d) and biceps (D4b,d).

Observations:

Phase I: The biceps was monitored as prime mover for forearm flexion. As seen in other sagittal plane movement trials the biceps pattern correlated well with the position-time curve for the forearm. In anticipation of performing multi-segmented tasks, the deltoid was monitored for a response to forearm action. For example, in a reaching task, the deltoid might be used as the source for a shoulder flexion control signal. How contaminated might that signal
be by distal limb behavior?

Under conditions of slow movement, the deltoid showed undifferentiated activity (Figures D1a,b,c). This pattern was interpreted to be little more than noise. Under faster movement conditions however, a definite deltoid pattern became evident (Figures D2a,b,c). In this case, the deltoid peak which occurred at the end of forearm extension, may be a stabilizing activation evoked to control arm swing created by the momentum of the forearm returning to FSP.

Phase II: The function of the biceps/triceps pair were established for elbow flexion/extension to show the lack of dependence upon the triceps during elbow extension in a gravitational environment. As in Phase I, the biceps activity pattern correlated well with elbow flexion and extension at both movement speeds (Figures D3a,c, D4a,c). A slight peak in tricep activity just prior to joint reversal (i.e. from flexion to extension) was probably responsible for decreasing the speed of flexion in preparation for extension. At the moderate movement speed (Figure D3a,c) tricep activity also peaked at maximum extension (i.e. where the displacement graph flatlines along the baseline). This activity was probably evoked by hyperextension of the elbow joint, which was beyond the range of detectable goniometer signals. There also was a slight peak in bicep activity at this time which may have corresponded with a stretching of
the biceps due to elbow hyperextension. The correspondence between the raw and IEMG tricep data (Figures D3b,d) was better than that between the raw and IEMG bicep data (Figures D4b,d).
Figure D1. Elbow flexion/extension in the sagittal plane.

Note scale magnitudes. At slow speeds, deltoid has minimal activity. At fast speeds, it is used to stabilize the shoulder at elbow extension.
Figure D2.
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Figure D3a. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium
SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension
Figure D3b. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium  SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure D3c. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium  SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension
Figure D3d. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium  SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure D4a. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Fast  SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension
Figure D4b. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Fast  SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure D4c. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Fast  SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension
Figure D4d. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Fast  SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension
1.1.2 Elbow Flexion/Extension: Sagittal Plane

**Special conditions:** Accelerated movement between joint reversals and isometric contraction at joint reversal (Phase II only)

**EMG:** biceps brachii and triceps brachii

**Description:** Initial position; arm hanging relaxed at the side. The movement was forearm flexion and extension through the entire ROM at the elbow joint (i.e. from FSP to 30° angle with the humerus and back to FSP).

**Figures:** D5 a,b.

Top graph = EMG data from the biceps and triceps. Bottom graph = displacement representing a change in elbow angle (peaks indicate maximum flexion; valleys indicate maximum extension).

**Observations:**

As in the previous sagittal plane movement trials, the bicep activity pattern correlated well with the change in joint angle (Figures D5a,b): increased activity with elbow flexion; decreased activity with elbow extension. The gradual tapering off of bicep activity at maximum flexion reflected the isometric contraction. In this accelerated movement task the tricep appeared to play an active role during the later part of forearm extension evidenced by a rise in activity which peaked just before maximum extension and gradually tapered off with the isometric contraction. Bicep activity also increased slightly prior to maximum elbow extension to slow the limb as joint reversal was approached. There was actually slight elbow flexion and then extension before the limb was held in an isometric contraction at maximum extension (Figure D5a).
These data showed the importance of agonist/antagonist muscle pairs in controlling a limb and holding it in a certain position. The muscles worked together to slow the limb, reverse its direction and initiate movement in the opposite direction. In addition to holding a limb in position at the extremes of its ROM, agonist activity must be coordinated with antagonist activity (Figures D5a,b). This coordinated effort will be seen again throughout these data.
Figure D5a. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION - QUICK ACCELERATED MOVEMENT
WITH HELD POSITION
Robot Movement in the Sagittal Plane

MOVEMENT SPEED: Fast  SAMPLING RATE: 333 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension
Figure D5b. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION - QUICK ACCELERATED MOVEMENT
Robot Movement in the Sagittal Plane

MOVEMENT SPEED: Fast
SAMPLING RATE: 333 Samples/Sec

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension
1.1.3 Elbow Flexion/Extension; Transverse Plane

Special conditions: With and without cocontraction

Phases I & II EMG: biceps brachii and triceps brachii

Phase I description: Upper arm was abducted 60°-80° from FAP. Elbow was placed coincident with the axis of rotation of a mechanical arm which moved in the transverse plane. A light grasp was maintained on the handle at the distal end of the mechanical arm, resulting in a supinated forearm position. Movement was initiated from a 90° elbow position; the forearm was extended to approximately 120° and returned to the starting position.

Phase II description: The same as Phase I except the movement began from an extended forearm position (elbow angle = 130°). The forearm was flexed to form a 30° angle with the humerus and then returned to the starting position.

Phase I figures: D6 a,b,c; no cocontraction; D7 a,b,c; cocontraction; D8 a,b; cocontraction. Top strip chart (7Z) = displacement representing a change in elbow angle. Peaks (e.g. 500 mm) indicate maximum flexion; valleys (e.g. 250 mm) indicate maximum extension. Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the triceps. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the biceps.

Phase II figures: D9 a,b,c; D10 a,b,c; D11 a,b,c; cocontraction. EMG data from the biceps and triceps is displayed in D9b, D10b, D11b and the top graphs of D9a, D10a, and D11a. Displacement representing a change in the elbow angle (peaks indicate maximum flexion; valleys indicate maximum extension) is displayed in D9c, D10c, D11c and the bottom graphs of D9a, D10a, and D11a.

Observations:

Phase I: The triceps is the agonist for extension and in the transverse plane acts as the prime mover. EMG activity rose (1A) during extension, leveled off at peak extension, and slowly declined as the forearm was slowed and ultimately reversed by the biceps (2A). Triceps activity reliably, coincided with the extension movement phase.

The biceps is the agonist for flexion. During the
initial extension phase, biceps activity would not neces-
sarily be expected. The low-level biceps activation ob-
served may have been induced by a passive stretch resulting
from the act of extension. A steep rise in biceps activity
was expected prior to full extension as biceps activation is
required to slow extension and reverse forearm direction.
The greatest biceps activation was observed coincident with
forearm reversal (Figures D6a,b,c).

Although the triceps and biceps showed the expected
phase relationships with the displacement pattern, the EMG
patterns showed large variations from trial to trial.

Trials performed under conditions of cocontraction
(Figures D7a,b,c, D8a,b) showed no significant change in the
EMG phase relations. The baseline level of EMG was somewhat
elevated and variability from trial to trial persisted.

Phase II: Data collected on the same transverse plane
elbow flexion/extension task during Phase II was quite
different than that collected during Phase I. Bicep
activity did increase with forearm flexion and decrease with
forearm extension (Figures D9, D10, D11). However, tricep
activity appeared to be unrelated to elbow extension, even
in the trial involving cocontraction.

The lack of relationship between tricep activity and
elbow extension may have been related to the sampling rate
(i.e. 40 samples/second). Signals need to be sampled at a
frequency at least twice as great as the highest frequency in the sampled signal (Winter, 1979). If the sampling rate is too slow, aliasing errors produce a false signal. For these data, any frequency greater than 20 Hz. was not adequately represented in the EMG record. Thus, the data from Phase II demonstrated that sampling rate must be selected in accordance with the range of potential signal frequencies to be detected. Violation of this principle would result in inadequate limb control.
Figure D6. Elbow flexion/extension in the transverse plane.
Figure D7.
Elbow flexion/extension in the transverse plane.
Figure D8. Elbow flexion/extension in the transverse plane.
Figure D9a. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE TRANSVERSE PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium  SAMPLING RATE: 40 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension
Figure D9b. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE TRANSVERSE PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium  SAMPLING RATE: 40 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure D9c. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE TRANSVERSE PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium  SAMPLING RATE: 40 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension
Figure D10a. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE TRANSVERSE PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium  SAMPLING RATE:  40 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension
Figure D10b. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE TRANSVERSE PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium  SAMPLING RATE: 40 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure D11a. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE TRANSVERSE PLANE with Cocontraction

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium  SAMPLING RATE: 40 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
 Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion
 Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension
Figure D11b. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE TRANSVERSE PLANE
with Cocontraction

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium  SAMPLING RATE: 40 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure D11c. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE TRANSVERSE PLANE with Cocontraction

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium  SAMPLING RATE: 40 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude  -- Elbow Flexion
Decreasing Signal Magnitude  -- Elbow Extension
1.1.4 Elbow Flexion/Extension; Transverse Plane

Special conditions: Slow and moderate speeds (Phase I only)

EMG: biceps brachii and triceps brachii

Description: Upper arm was abducted 60°-80° from FAP (fundamental anatomical position). Elbow was placed coincident with the axis of rotation for a mechanical arm which moved in the transverse plane. A light grasp was maintained on the handle at the distal end of the mechanical arm, resulting in a supinated forearm position. Movement was limited to an approximate 30° range. Two speeds were assessed: (1) approximately 80°/second (slow), (2) approximately 140°/second (moderate).

Figures: D12 a,b,c.
Top strip chart (8Y) = displacement representing a change in elbow angle. Peaks (e.g. 400 mm) indicate maximum flexion; valleys (e.g. 80 mm) indicate maximum extension. Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the biceps. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the triceps.

Observations:
At slow speeds, EMG activity was less distinctive. Although the triceps continued to bear good phase relations with extensor movements, biceps activity was less definitive (Figures D12a,b). At moderate speeds, however, a much more distinctive pattern emerged (Figure D12c). Two points can be made. First, at slow speeds it was biceps activity which appeared quite undifferentiated by movement phase. This lack of a movement related activation pattern may have been due to the difficulty of monitoring the activation of multiple muscles responsible for elbow flexion. Without external resistance, slow-speed flexion may not have required biceps involvement as much as brachialis involvement.
As previously described, monitoring the brachialis was problematic due to its position under the biceps. It was because of this kind of 'load sharing' problem that cocontraction movements were also studied.

The second point to be made is that at moderate speeds, arm reversal from extension to flexion appeared to be controlled by bursts of biceps activity. Rather than continuous activation, the EMG level rose sharply near reversal, and subsided during the flexion phase to a relatively low baseline level by the time of full flexion. The strategy in the moderate speed movement appeared to be one of ballistic control. The EMG burst resulted in reversing the extension, and supplying sufficient torque to allow the flexion movement to continue ballistically. At reversal from flexion to extension, a steep rise was seen in triceps activity, but with more slowly declining EMG levels over the course of the extension. The extension phase, though no different in duration from flexion, showed a more continuous EMG activation in the triceps.
Figure D12. Elbow flexion/extension in the transverse plane.
Humeral Movement—Shoulder Joint Complex

2.0 Anatomical Considerations

Movement at the shoulder is the result of integrated action among four articulations: (1) glenohumeral, (2) sternoclavicular, (3) acromioclavicular, and (4) scapulothoracic (Imman, Saunders, & Abbot, 1944; Engin, 1980). The glenohumeral articulation was of primary interest in the present study. However, some consideration must be given to the other joints because of the multi-articular muscle involvement and the subsequent effect on obtaining clean data for upper arm movements. Complications arising from the architecture of the shoulder complex will be discussed below.

The glenohumeral articulation is an enarthrodial (ball-and-socket) joint created by the upper arm (humerus) and the scapula. Three degrees of freedom are possible at the glenohumeral joint (Figure 6): (1) flexion/extension in the sagittal plane, about a bilateral axis, (2) abduction and adduction in the frontal plane about an anterior-posterior axis, and (3) internal/external rotation in the transverse plane about a polar (i.e. vertical) axis. Prime movers for each degree of freedom are listed below:
Figure 6. Degrees of freedom at the glenohumeral joint: (a) sagittal plane flexion/extension, (b) frontal plane abduction/adduction, (c) internal external rotation in the transverse plane. (Adapted from Biomechanics: A Qualitative Approach for Studying Human Movement (p. 105, 108, 110) by E. Kreighbaum and K. M. Barthels, Minneapolis: Burgess.)
### Action | Prime movers
---|---
**Flexion** | Deltoid (anterior portion)  
| | Pectoralis major (clavicular portion)  
| | Biceps brachii  
**Extension** | Latissimus dorsi  
| (against resistance) | Teres major  
**Abduction** | Deltoid (middle portion)  
| | Deltoid (anterior portion)  
| | Supraspinatus  
**Adduction** | Latissimus dorsi  
| (against resistance) | Teres major  
**Internal rotation** | Deltoid (anterior portion)  
| | Subscapularis  
| | Teres major  
**External rotation** | Infraspinatus  
| | Teres minor  
**Elevation of the shoulder girdle** | Trapezius (parts I & II)  

**Note.** See Figures 8 and 9.

### 2.0.1 Integrated Movement

In elevation of the humerus, both in flexion and abduction, movement at the glenohumeral joint is accompanied by movement at the scapulothoracic joint. During the first 30°-60° of elevation, movement at the two joints is somewhat individually patterned. Once above 30°-60°, however, a consistent 2:1 movement relationship between glenohumeral
Figure 7. Anterior view of chest and upper arm muscles: (a) superficial muscles, (b) deep muscles. (Adapted from Kinesiology: The Science of Movement (p. 72) by J. Piscopo and J. A. Baley, 1981, New York: Wiley.)
Figure 8. Posterior view of back and upper arm muscles: (a) superficial muscles, (b) deep muscles. (a) Adapted from Kinesiology: The Science of Movement (p. 71) by J. Piscopo and J. A. Baley, 1981, New York: Wiley; (b) Adapted from Kinesiology: Scientific Basis of Human Motion (p. 89) by K. Luttgens and K. F. Wells, 1982, Philadelphia: Saunders.)
and scapulothoracic movement is observed. For every 15° of humeral elevation, 10° is the result of glenohumeral movement; 5° is the contribution of scapular rotation. Because of the multi-articular interactions, isolation of movements for the purpose of recording EMG is difficult. And, in any 'natural' movement, multiple muscle activations occur for the purpose of either executing the intended movement, or stabilizing other articulations in the shoulder complex. Additionally, the superficial vs deep topographical relationship among shoulder-complex muscles increases the difficulty of obtaining clean EMG data from prime movers in certain actions. Examples of this difficulty are addressed in the discussion of specific data sets.

2.0.2 External Force Considerations

As previous described relative to elbow flexion and extension, gravity plays the role of forcing extension and adduction when the limb is flexed or abducted. In this case, extension and adduction are controlled by the eccentric contractions of the humeral flexors and abductors.
2.1 Shoulder Joint Movement Data

2.1.1 Shoulder Flexion/Extension; Sagittal Plane

Phases I & II EMG: biceps brachii and anterior deltoid

Phase I description: Initial position; arm hanging relaxed at the side. The movement was flexion of a straight arm to shoulder level (i.e. 90° angle with the trunk) then extension to return to FAP.

Phase II description: Same as Phase I.

Phase I figures: D13 a,b,c;
Top strip chart (1Y) = displacement representing a change in shoulder angle. Peaks (e.g. 1050 mm) indicate maximum flexion; valleys (e.g. 250 mm) indicate maximum extension. Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the biceps. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the anterior deltoid.

Phase II figures: D14 a,b,c,d;
EMG data from the anterior deltoid and the biceps are displayed on the top graph of D14a. The bottom graph of D14a shows displacement representing a change in shoulder angle (peaks indicate maximum flexion; valleys indicate maximum extension). EMG data for the anterior deltoid and the corresponding raw data are shown in D14b,c. Shoulder angle displacement data for D14c is shown in D14d.

Observations:

Phase I: The anterior deltoid and the biceps brachii showed similar rising slopes in conjunction with raising the arm. The deltoid is a prime mover in this action. The biceps is a two-joint muscle having some influence on shoulder flexion, but its moment arm does not make it a primary flexor. Nevertheless, a consistent pattern of biceps activity was seen in shoulder flexion.

The return from flexion to FAP showed different slopes between the two monitored muscles. The deltoid showed a much closer phase relation with the displacement pattern.
The biceps maintained a relative plateau until extension was almost completed.

**Phase II:** As in Phase I anterior deltoid activity and bicep activity increased as the arm was raised to shoulder level (Figure D14a). As the arm was returned to FAP the EMG activity slopes of the two monitored muscles appeared similar. The raw EMG data (Figures D14b,c) from the anterior deltoid corresponded well with its processed data.
Figure 13. Shoulder flexion/extension in the sagittal plane.

SS0096: BICEPS, ANTERIOR DELTOID

SS0097: DELTOID (A)

SS0098: SHOULDER FLEXION 1A=BICEPS 2A=DELTOID (A)
Figure 14a. SHOULDER FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium  SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Flexion
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Extension
Figure 14b. SHOULDER FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium  SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure 14d. SHOULDER FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium  SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion to Neutral
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension
2.1.2 Shoulder Abduction/Adduction; Frontal Plane

**Special conditions:** Slow (0.3 Hz) and fast (1.2 Hz) movements (Phase I only)

**EMG:** middle deltoid and posterior deltoid

**Description:** Subject was seated, facing the cameras, with the right arm hanging relaxed at the side. The arm was moved through a 90° ROM. The arm was abducted 90° then returned (adduction) to the starting position. Subjects were asked to perform the movement at two different speeds, slow and fast. The speeds were self-selected.

**Figures:** D15 a,b,c; D16 a,b,c;
Top strip chart (1Y) = displacement representing a change in wrist position as it moved through an arc in the frontal plane. Peaks (e.g. 1200 mm) indicate maximum abduction (a position in which the wrist is at the same horizontal level as the shoulder). Minimums (e.g. 500 mm) indicate a return to the FSP (wrist is vertically in line with the shoulder). Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the middle deltoid. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the posterior deltoid.

**Observations:**

In the slow speed trials (Figures D15a,b,c), both the middle and posterior deltoids contributed to the abduction movement. There was a consistent lagging of peaks between the two muscle sections. The middle deltoid rose to its peak half way through the abduction (approximately 45°). The posterior deltoid showed a slope similar to the middle deltoid, but it maintained its maximal activation longer (i.e. through maximum abduction).

Because the movement was performed in the frontal plane, gravity provided the force necessary to return the arm to its initial position. Control of the adduction, therefore, was due to the eccentric contraction of the
middle and posterior deltoïds. As the position graph showed a return to FSP, the EMG activity too showed a decline. Thus the EMG activation patterns displayed close parallels with the position-time data for the movement. One should be reminded that when working in a gravitational field, the agonists of a movement may control the movement in both directions — first concentrically, then eccentrically. When this is the case, the antagonists are not needed for limb control. In weightless conditions, antagonist muscles would need to be monitored for a control signal to return the arm to FSP.

The middle and posterior deltoïd activation patterns were similar across the two speeds selected (Figures D16a,b,c). The ballistic strategy observed in forearm flexion/extension tasks was also observed in the arm abduction/adduction movement. In this case, the envelope of middle deltoïd activity reached its peak midway through the displacement pattern, then dropped off more sharply, to reach a baseline level before the arm returned to FSP. This pattern may be explained by a strategy that involves generating a high acceleration of the limb early in the movement, then letting inertia carry the limb to its reversal position. Gravity will return the arm to FSP without muscular effort, and control of the limb at the end of the movement (before the arm hits the side of the body) appears
to be by small EMG bursts that occur just before reaching
the minimum position, particularly in the posterior deltoid.
Figure D15. Shoulder abduction/adduction in the frontal plane.
Figure D16. Shoulder abduction/adduction in the frontal plane.
2.1.3 Shoulder Abduction/Adduction; Frontal Plane

Special conditions: Slow (.5 Hz) and fast (1.2 Hz) movements (Phase I only)

EMG: middle deltoid and trapezius

Description: Subject was seated, facing the cameras, with the right arm hanging relaxed at the side. The arm was moved through a 90° ROM. The arm was abducted 90° then returned (adduction) to the starting position. Subjects were asked to perform the movement at two different speeds, slow and fast. The speeds were self-selected.

Figures: D17 a,b,c; D18 a,b;
Top strip chart (1Y) = displacement representing a change in wrist position as it moved through an arc in the frontal plane. Peaks (e.g. 1200 mm) indicate maximum abduction (a position in which the wrist is at the same horizontal level as the shoulder). Minimums (e.g. 500 mm) indicate a return to FSP (wrist is vertically in line with the shoulder). Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the middle deltoid. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the trapezius.

Observations:

In the slow speed trials (Figures D17 a,b,c) the EMG envelope for the middle deltoid was consistent with the pattern seen in previous tests. Peak activity occured prior to maximum abduction, and declined with a slope similar to that of the displacement. The trapezius showed a pattern similar to that of the posterior deltoid; a rise to peak activity coincident with maximum displacement. This pattern of activity might have been expected as the trapezius acts as a stabilizer of the clavicle and scapula, from which the arm is suspended. Thus, the middle deltoid (along with deep muscles, e.g., subscapularis) initiate the abduction and the posterior deltoid or trapezius acts somewhat later in the
motion when the resistance moment arm lengthens and the torque about the shoulder increases.

In the fast speed trials (Figures D18a,b) a similar ballistic strategy was discerned from the EMG activation patterns. In these trials, the secondary middle deltoid burst was even more pronounced in controlling the adduction due to gravity (Figures D18a,b at 1.0 and 2.0 sec). The trapezius also showed some evidence of a secondary burst (Figures D18a at 2.0 sec) but with less consistency.
Figure D17. Shoulder abduction/adduction in the frontal plane.
Figure D18. Shoulder abduction/adduction in the frontal plane.
2.1.4 Shoulder Abduction/Adduction; Frontal Plane

**Special conditions:** With and without cocontraction (Phase II only)

**EMG:** middle deltoid and pectoralis major

**Description:** Initial position; right arm hanging relaxed at the side. The arm was abducted to form a 80° angle with the trunk, then returned (adduction) to the starting position.

**Figures:** D19 a; D20 a,b,c;
Top graph = EMG data from the anterior deltoid and the pectoralis major (D19a, D20a). Bottom graph = displacement representing a change in shoulder angle in the frontal plane (peaks indicate a return to FSP; minimums indicate maximum abduction). Raw EMG data from the middle deltoid (D20c) and the corresponding processed EMG data (D20b) also are displayed.

**Observations:**

Without cocontraction the middle deltoid EMG activity peaked just prior to or at maximum abduction (Figure D19a). This activity pattern was similar to those observed in the Phase I shoulder abduction/adduction tasks across speeds. Pectoralis major EMG activity appeared to be absent. Since the pectoralis functions as an adductor of the humerus, this result was expected. In a gravitational environment gravity is the force which acts to adduct the humerus, and this action is controlled through eccentric contractions of abductors (e.g., the deltoid).

With cocontraction, the EMG activity pattern of the middle deltoid was quite different. Similar to the results of Phase I activity peaked half way through arm abduction. As suggested by the Phase I results, another abductor
(i.e. the posterior deltoid) may control movement of the limb after this point. Middle deltoid activity also peaked half way through adduction. This peak may have been related to the cocontraction task, or an effort to slow the effects of gravity. Regardless, it did not reverse the direction of the movement as evident in Figure D20a. Pectoralis major activity rose to a slight peak as the arm returned to FSP. This activity may have been related to active adduction performed against the resistance of the trunk. The raw EMG data appeared to correlate well with the processed data (Figure D20b,c).
Figure D19a. SHOULDER ABDUCTION & ADDUCTION IN THE FRONTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium  SAMPLING RATE: 40 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Shoulder Adduction
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Shoulder Abduction
Figure B20a. SHOULDER ABDUCTION & ADDUCTION IN THE FRONTAL PLANE
with Cocontraction

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium  SAMPLING RATE:  33.3 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Shoulder Adduction
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Shoulder Abduction
Figure D20c. SHOULDER ABDUCTION & ADDUCTION IN THE FRONTAL PLANE with Cocontraction

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium   SAMPLING RATE: 33.3 Samples/Sec/Channel
2.1.5 Internal/External Rotation of the Humerus; Transverse Plane, Vertical Axis

Special conditions: With and without cocontraction; intersegmental shoulder angles of 0° and 45°

Phase I & II EMG: teres major and infraspinatus

Phase I description: Position 1: The subject's forearm was flexed 90° at the elbow. With this forearm position, the subject was placed such that the longitudinal axis of the humerus was colinear with the axis of rotation for a mechanical arm. That is, the elbow was fixed on top of, and coincident with, the rotary axis of the mechanical arm. In this position, drawing the hand toward the body was indicative of internal humeral rotation, and swinging the hand away from the body marked external humeral rotation. The intersegmental angle between the humerus and the line of the trunk was as close to 0° as possible. Position 2: The humerus was flexed approximately 45° creating a 45° intersegmental angle with the trunk. To maintain hand contact with the mechanical arm, the intersegmental angle at the elbow was relaxed to an angle greater than 90°.

Phase II description: Subject was seated in a chair. Forearm was flexed to form a 90° angle with the humerus at the elbow joint. From this position, drawing the hand toward the body was indicative of internal humeral rotation, and swinging the hand away from the body marked external humeral rotation. Due to the nature of the movement and the size of the goniometer, monitoring changes in joint angle were not possible.

Phase I figures: D21 a,b,c; trunk 45° no cocontraction; D22 a,b; trunk 0° no cocontraction; D23 a; trunk 45° cocontraction; D24 a,b,c; trunk 0° cocontraction. Top strip chart (7Z) = displacement representing a change in rotation angle. Peaks (e.g. 700 mm) indicate maximum internal rotation; valleys (e.g. 250 mm) indicate maximum external rotation. Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the teres major. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the infraspinatus.

Phase II figures: D25 a,b,c; no cocontraction: D26 a; no cocontraction: D27 a; cocontraction: D28 a,b; cocontraction. EMG records of infraspinatus and teres major activity are displayed in D25c, D27a, D28a,b and the top graph of D26a. The bottom graph of D26a shows the corresponding raw EMG data for the infraspinatus. The EMG records of both muscles are displayed separately in D25a,b (Top graphs = infraspinatus activity; Bottom graphs = teres major activity).
Observations:

Phase I: The infraspinatus is an external rotator of the humerus at the glenohumeral joint. The teres major is an internal rotator. In theory, these two muscles should display peak EMG activation patterns that are out of phase with one another. However, a couple of a priori problems existed. First, the two muscles are difficult to distinguish superficially. Even though anatomical texts display a reasonable spatial distinction between the muscles, they lie next to one another. As mentioned in the anatomical considerations section, even reasonably close proximity between muscles compromises our ability to record separate EMG patterns. In an attempt to maximize the distance, the electrodes for the teres major were placed as lateral as possible - but this induced difficulties in recording movement artifact created by scapular movement.

The second problem arose out of muscle function. The prime mover for internal rotation is the subscapularis. However, the subscapularis is a deep muscle and not accessible for surface EMG recording. The teres major, although identified as an internal rotator, may be active in that function only against resistance (Basmajian, 1979).

In Figure D21a,b,c, the shoulder intersegmental angle was 45°, and humeral rotation was performed without cocontraction. A clear phasic pattern was displayed by both the
teres major and the infraspinatus. Unfortunately, the phasic activity of the two muscles was identical. This failure to distinguish different phasic patterns suggested an inability to distinguish the two muscles in electrode placement. Had the two muscles been properly identified, and if the problem was lack of teres major activity due to low resistance, then the teres major should show no EMG activity. From the figures, it appeared that only the external rotatory activity was monitored. Additionally, the infraspinatus appeared active only at the extremes of the ROM for external rotation.

Figure D22a,b are from trials in which the shoulder intersegmental angle was 0°. No change in the EMG activation pattern was observed other than a reduction in signal amplitude. This position was tested to give some indication of the changes that might be expected in the EMG patterns during coordinated, multi-segmented movement. In this case, it appeared that the EMG pattern was minimally altered by shoulder flexion.

Figures D23a and D24a,b show trials in which internal and external rotary movements were monitored under conditions of cocontraction. Again, little change in the phasic pattern was observed; although the pattern was less sharply distinguished than in cases of relaxation.

Phase II: The results of Phase II were a bit more
promising than those of Phase I. The reason for the difference may have been the muscular definition of the subject.

Limb displacement was not monitored in Phase II so it could not be related to muscle activity. However, the relationship between the phases of muscle activity could be observed. As the initial movement of external rotation was made the EMG activity of the infraspinatus rose to a sharp peak with little if any coincident teres major activity (Figures D25a,b,c). This pattern was also observed as the second external rotation movement was executed. However, the infraspinatus activity also peaked with teres major activity during internal rotation.

With cocontraction certain rotation movements did display the expected phasic activity: infraspinatus active for external rotation, teres major quiet; teres major active for internal rotation, infraspinatus quiet (Figures D26a, D27a, and D28a,b). However, these patterns were not at all consistent. The problems mentioned in the Phase I discussion of these data also played a role in Phase II. These internal/external humeral rotation activation patterns were not considered distinctive enough to provide precise control for an external limb or robot arm.
Figure 21. Internal/external rotation of the humerus in the transverse plane about a vertical axis.
Figure 22. Internal/external rotation of the humerus in the transverse plane about a vertical axis.

Figure 23. Internal/external rotation of the humerus in the transverse plane about a vertical axis.
Figure 24. Internal/external rotation of the humerus in the transverse plane about a vertical axis.
Figure 25b. INTERNAL & EXTERNAL ROTATION AT THE SHOULDER
Transverse Plane

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow  SAMPLING RATE  333 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure 25c. INTERNAL & EXTERNAL ROTATION AT THE SHOULDER Transverse Plane

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow SAMPLING RATE 333 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure 26a. INTERNAL & EXTERNAL ROTATION AT THE SHOULDER
Transverse Plane

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow SAMPLING RATE 333 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure 27a. INTERNAL & EXTERNAL ROTATION AT THE SHOULDER
Contraction in the Transverse Plane

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow SAMPLING RATE: 66.6 Samples/Sec/channel
Figure D28b. INTERNAL & EXTERNAL ROTATION AT THE SHOULDER
Cocontraction in the Transverse Plane

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow  SAMPLING RATE: 333 Samples/Sec/Channel
2.1.6 Internal/External Rotation of the Humerus; Transverse Plane, Vertical Axis

Special conditions: With and without cocontraction; Intersegmental shoulder angles of 45° and 0° (Phase I only)

EMG: anterior deltoid and pectoralis major

Description: Position 1: The subject's forearm was flexed 90° at the elbow joint. With this forearm position, the subject was placed such that the longitudinal axis of the humerus was colinear with the axis of rotation for a mechanical arm. That is, the elbow was fixed on top of, and coincident with, the rotary axis of the mechanical arm. In this position, drawing the hand toward the body was indicative of internal humeral rotation, and swinging the hand away from the body marked external humeral rotation. The intersegmental angle between the humerus and the line of the trunk was as close to 0° as possible. Position 2: The humerus was flexed approximately 45° creating a 45° intersegmental angle with the trunk. To maintain hand contact with the mechanical arm, the intersegmental angle at the elbow was relaxed to an angle greater than 90°.

Figures: D29 a,b, trunk 45° no cocontraction: D30 a,b, trunk 0° no cocontraction: D31 a,b, trunk 45° cocontraction: D32 a,b, trunk 0° cocontraction. Top strip chart (7Z) = displacement representing a change in humeral rotation angle. Peaks (e.g. 700 mm) indicate maximum internal rotation; valleys (e.g. 250 mm) indicate maximum external rotation. Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the anterior deltoid. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the pectoralis major.

Observations:

Little success was achieved in identifying the teres major as an internal rotator of the humerus. The anterior deltoid was considered a possible source for internal rotation signals as it is considered to operate in all humeral flexion tasks and during inward rotation (Luttgens & Wells, 1982). One caution, however, is that the line of pull of the anterior fibers may allow them to act only under
circumstances of maximum external rotation.

Like the deltoid, certain parts of the pectoralis major are considered to act during internal rotation. In particular, the clavicular portion of the pectoralis major acts to flex, horizontally flex, and inwardly rotate the humerus. However, again we are faced with a situation in which the muscle may act to inwardly rotate the humerus only against resistance (Scheving & Pauly, cited in Basmajian, 1979).

In Figures 29a,b and 30a,b internal/external rotation of the humerus was performed with 45° and 0° of trunk flexion respectively. Both the anterior deltoid and the pectoralis major displayed similar activity patterns, however both patterns showed peaks corresponding with maximum external rotation. Since both muscles are described as conditional inward rotators of the humerus, the peak activity displayed at the extreme end of external rotation may have been caused by a passive stretch. The degree of shoulder flexion did not appear to affect the myoelectric activity of the anterior deltoid or the pectoralis major.

When the internal/external humeral rotation movement at 45° of shoulder flexion was accompanied by cocontraction (Figures 31a,b) the activity level of both muscles increased. The anterior deltoid activity still peaked with maximum external rotation, but the pectoralis major showed a slight peak with internal rotation. Pectoralis major
activity also peaked as the first external rotation movement was initiated. A change in the shoulder flexion angle to 0° did not appear to alter the activity of the pectoralis major (Figures 32a,b). However, the anterior deltoid activity pattern clearly corresponded with internal rotation of the humerus. The anterior deltoid appeared to initiate internal rotation from the maximal externally rotated position and to continue acting as an internal rotator until maximal internal rotation was reached.
Figure 29. Internal/external rotation of the humerus in the transverse plane about a vertical axis.
Figure 30. Internal/external rotation of the humerus in the transverse plane about a vertical axis.
Figure 31. Internal/external rotation of the humerus in the transverse plane about a vertical axis.
Figure 32. Internal/external rotation of the humerus in the transverse plane about a vertical axis.
A gripping motion, generally performed with a slight degree of wrist extension, requires flexion across the interphalangeal and metacarpal joints. The muscles responsible for the gripping action are located in the forearm, with long tendons running distally to the fingers. More specifically, these finger and wrist flexors originate from the medial epicondyle of the humerus. This flexor group includes the flexor digitorum profundus, flexor digitorum superficialis, flexor pollicis longus, flexor carpi ulnaris, flexor carpi radialis, and the palmaris longus. As a group, these muscles are responsible for creating the grip. Recording EMG activity during the grip comes not from any individual muscle, but is a global signal from the flexor group (Figure 9).

Those muscles responsible for release of the grip, or extension of the wrist and fingers have a common origin on the lateral epicondyle of the humerus. This extensor group includes the extensor carpi radialis brevis, extensor carpi radialis longus, extensor carpi ulnaris, and the extensor digitorum (Figure 10). Like the flexor group, the EMG extensor signal comes from a group of muscles rather than any single muscle.
Figure 9. Anterior view of the left forearm and hand muscles: (a) deep muscles, (b) superficial muscles. (Adapted from Kinesiology: The Science of Movement (p. 82) by J. Piscopo and J. A. Baley, 1981, New York: Wiley.)
Figure 10. Posterior view of the right forearm and hand.
(Adapted from Structure and Function in Man (p. 160) by S. W. Jacob and C. A. Francone, 1974, Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders Company.)
This global EMG recording is a function of methodology and anatomy. The body is a volume conductor of electrical signals. Given the close spatial arrangement of the flexor (or extensor) muscles, surface electrodes are generally incapable of distinguishing among the muscles — presuming of course that only a select number of the flexors were to act during the movement. Thus the EMG record may contain activity from numerous muscles used to perform a similar function.
3.1 Wrist Flexion/Extension and Grasping Data

3.1.1 Grasping

**Special conditions:** With and without cocontraction; supported and unsupported forearm (Phase I only).

**EMG:** flexor and extensor groups

**Description:** The forearm was held in a flexed position such that the elbow angle approximated 90°. The fingers were held straight and the thumb moved in opposition to the fingers in a pincer movement. The EMG signal was recorded from locations approximating the flexor group (distal to the medial epicondyle) and the extensor group (distal to the lateral epicondyle, anterior surface of the forearm).

**Figures:** D33 a,b,c;
Top strip chart (5Y) = displacement representing a change in grip opening. Peaks (e.g. 740 mm) indicate maximum closure of the grip. Minimum values (640 mm) indicate maximum opening of the grip. Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the flexors. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the extensors.

**Observations:**
In Figure D33a, extensor activity seemed well correlated with opening the grip. The flexor activity seemed poorly differentiated. In response to the poor flexor recording, the electrodes were moved to a location more medial on the forearm. Figures D33b,c although still somewhat noisy, showed much greater definition in activation of the flexors versus the extensors. Trials 33b and 33c showed good phasic patterns for the two antagonist muscle groups.

While good correlation between muscle activation and position data was evident, one must be reminded that the grasping motion was being done in isolation. Previous tests
have already alluded to the difficulty of identifying specific muscle action in multi-segmented, coordinated action.
Figure 33: Grasping
3.1.2 Wrist Flexion/Extension; Sagittal Plane

Special conditions: Accelerated movement with an isometric contraction at joint reversals; Flexion only with isometric contraction at joint reversal; (Phase II only)

EMG: flexor and extensor groups

Description: Task 1: Initial position; subject seated with arm relaxed at the side in FAP. From this position the wrist was rapidly extended, approximately 45°, held in an isometric contraction at full extension, then rapidly flexed, approximately 45° and held in an isometric contraction at full flexion. This action was repeated.
Task 2: From the same initial position the wrist was flexed approximately 45°, held in an isometric contraction at full flexion, then returned to FAP. This action was repeated several times.

Figures: D34 a,b; flexion/extension; D35 a,b,c,d; flexion only. The EMG record for both the flexors and extensors is shown in the top graphs of D34a,b. The bottom graphs of these figures = displacement representing a change in the wrist angle (peaks indicate maximum flexion; valleys indicate maximum extension). EMG activity of the wrist flexors is shown in the top graphs of D35a,c and the bottom graphs of D35b,d. Displacement representing a change in the wrist angle is shown in the bottom graphs of D35a,c (peaks indicate maximum flexion; valleys indicate a return to FAP) Raw EMG wrist flexor data is shown in the bottom graphs of D35b,d.

Observations:

Wrist flexion EMG activity correlated well with the wrist flexion movement (Figures D34a,b). There was a sharp peak in activity, as the wrist was rapidly flexed, which tapered off as the wrist was held in an isometric contraction at maximum flexion. During rapid wrist extension, there was an increase in EMG wrist extensor activity, but there also was low level flexor activity. Both muscle groups had elevated activity during the isometric con-
traction in the maximally extended position.

In the flexion only task, EMG activity of the wrist flexors clearly peaked as the wrist was rapidly flexed, and gradually tapered off with the isometric contraction and return to FAP (Figures D35a,c). The raw EMG wrist flexor data showed distinctive bursts of activity with rapid flexion (Figures D35b,d). In both tasks, a rapid wrist flexion movement clearly demonstrated a relationship with the displacement graph. Thus, perhaps this movement could be used as a "trigger movement", a movement performed by the robot operator which elicits a different yet similar movement in the robot. For example, since the grasping data and the forearm pronation/supination data to be presented later, did not clearly demonstrate phasic activity in all cases, perhaps a rapid wrist flexion movement by the robot operator could be used to create a grasping movement or forearm pronation/supination in the robot.
Figure 34a. WRIST FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE
Accelerated with Hold

MOVEMENT SPEED: Fast SAMPLING RATE: 300 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension
Figure 34b. WRIST FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE
Accelerated with Hold

MOVEMENT SPEED: Fast  SAMPLING RATE: 300 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension
Figure 35b. WRIST EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE
Fast with no Hold

MOVEMENT SPEED: Fast  SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure 35c. WRIST EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE
Fast with no Hold

MOVEMENT SPEED: Fast  SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion to Neutral
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension
Figure 35d. WRIST EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE
Fast with no Hold

MOVEMENT SPEED: Fast  SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion to Neutral
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension
3.1.3 Wrist Flexion/Extension; Transverse Plane

Special conditions: Fast and slow movement speeds (Phase II only)

EMG: flexor and extensor groups

Description: Initial position; subject seated with arm flexed to create a 90° intersegmental angle at the elbow. The bilateral axis for wrist flexion was placed co-linear with the goniometer axis of rotation (i.e. the wrist was fixed on top of the rotary axis of the goniometer). In this position, hand movement toward the body was indicative of wrist flexion and hand movement away from the body marked wrist extension. The entire movement consisted of wrist flexion (approximately 60°), then extension to neutral position and approximately 45° beyond that position.

Figures: D36 a; fast; D37 a,b; slow.

The EMG record for both the flexors and extensors is shown in D37a and the top graph of D36a. Figure D37b and the bottom graph of D36a = displacement representing a change in the wrist angle (peaks indicate maximum flexion; valleys indicate maximum extension).

Observations:

Since both of these movements were conducted in the transverse plane, increased wrist extensor activity and decreased wrist flexor activity were expected with wrist extension. Decreased wrist extensor activity and increased wrist flexor activity were expected with wrist flexion. Movement at both speeds clearly reflected these patterns, despite the slower sampling rates used (Figures D36a, D37a, b). (It is possible that the muscles were firing at frequencies that were adequately detected by the sampling rates.) Perhaps wrist flexion/extension movements in the horizontal plane would be better trigger movements for forearm pronation/supination.
Figure 36a. WRIST FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE TRANSVERSE PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Fast  SAMPLING RATE:  80 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Wrist Flexion
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Wrist Extension
Figure 37a. WRIST FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE TRANSVERSE PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow  SAMPLING RATE: 40 Samples/Sec/Channel
Radioulnar Pronation/Supination

4.0 Anatomical Considerations

Pronation and supination are movements that result from the rotation of the radius about a fixed ulna (refer to Figure 11). The effect of pronation is to put the hand in a palm-down position, whereas supination places the hand in a palm-up position.

The muscles responsible for supination and pronation are listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Prime Mover</th>
<th>Assisted by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supination</td>
<td>Supinator</td>
<td>Biceps brachii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pronation</td>
<td>Pronator quadratus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pronator teres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is important to note the topographical arrangement of the pronator and supinator muscles. Although the pronator teres is a superficial muscle of the forearm, it lies in proximity to the flexor muscles responsible for the grip. Obtaining a clean EMG signal from the pronator teres, distinct from the flexor group is hampered by this arrangement. The pronator quadratus is a deep muscle in the distal forearm, and thus inaccessible for direct EMG recording from surface electrodes.

The supinator is also a deep muscle of the forearm (proximal end). Although the prime mover for supination of
Figure 11. Pronation and supination of the forearm. (Adapted from Kinesiology Fundamentals of Motion Description (p. 75) by D. L. Kelley, 1971, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.)
the forearm, it is covered in large part by the extensor muscle group. Thus the EMG signal from the supinator is compromised by any concurrent activity from the wrist and finger extensors. The difficulty of separating flexion and extension signals from pronation and supination will be pointed out during discussion of the data.
4.1 Pronation/Supination Data

4.1.1 Forearm Pronation/Supination Movement About the Long Axis of the Forearm (flexed to 90°).

Special conditions: With and without cocontraction; (Phase I only)

EMG: supinator and pronator teres

Description: The forearm was flexed to create a 90° inter-segmental angle at the elbow. To facilitate obtaining position information about pronation and supination, a ruler was placed in the hand and the LEDs were attached to each end of the ruler. The vertical displacement of the upper LED was plotted as indicative of rotation. The subject started in a fully supinated position, rotated to full pronation and returned to the starting position. This sequence was repeated throughout the trial. The EMG signal was recorded from locations approximating the supinator and the pronator teres.

Figures: D38 a,b; Top strip chart (2Y) = displacement representing a change in rotation angle. Peaks (e.g. 800 mm) indicate a neutral forearm position. The small valley between peaks represents the move from a neutral forearm position to maximum pronation. Minimum values (e.g. 600 mm) indicate maximum supination. Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the supinator. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the pronator teres.

Observations:

In Figure D38a,b the supinator appeared active at full supination but its activity dropped off quickly as the forearm was pronated. The pronator teres peaked at the extremes of pronated motion, but showed little activity the first 90° of rotation. While the pronator showed a peak, the supinator also showed a small peak in activity (1.4 sec). This supinator peak may have less to do with activity of the supinator and reflect flexor activity. At the extremes of
supination, the supinator showed a strong peak. But like the pronator, supinator activity was observed mostly at the extremes of motion.
Figure 38. Pronation/supination of the forearm.
4.1.2 Forearm Pronation/Supination: Movement About the Long Axis of the Forearm (flexed to 90°)

**Special conditions:** With and without cocontraction; (Phase I only).

**EMG:** supinator and biceps brachii

**Description:** The forearm was flexed to create a 90° intersegmental angle at the elbow. To facilitate obtaining position information about pronation and supination, a ruler was placed in the hand and the LEDs were attached to each end of the ruler. The vertical displacement of the upper LED was plotted as indicative of rotation. Subject started in a fully supinated position, rotated to full pronation and returned to the starting position. This sequence was repeated throughout the trial. The EMG signal was recorded from locations approximating the supinator and the belly of the biceps brachii.

**Figures:** D39 a,b; 
Top strip chart (2Y) = displacement representing a change in rotation angle. Peaks (e.g. 800 mm) indicate a neutral forearm position. The small valley between peaks represents the move from a neutral forearm position to maximum pronation. Minimum values (600 mm) indicate maximum supination. Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the supinator. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the biceps brachii.

**Observations:**

The biceps is known to assist in supination due to its angle of pull on the radius. If the supinator is accurately marked by the recording electrodes, then supinator and biceps activity should coincide.

In Figure D39a,b the in-phase relationship between activation of the supinator and the biceps brachii is shown. Again, the activation is predominant at the extremes of motion.

While supinator activity may be accessible to surface
recording, the problem of contaminating the signal with finger and wrist flexor activity persists. Also, we see that biceps activation may be induced not only in the control of the forearm flexion angle, but also in forearm rotation.
Figure 39. Pronation/supination of the forearm.
5.0 Anatomical Considerations

Numerous muscles exist within the human hand, providing great dexterity. Since many of these small muscles lie deep within the hand, investigation of their functions through surface electrodes was not feasible. However, a few of the hand muscles, such as the adductor pollicus and the abductor digiti minimi are more superficial. These muscles and their corresponding movements were investigated for two reasons: it was thought that they may be used to trigger other movements (See Section 3.1.2); and later during the project it was discovered that the robot would have the capability to move each finger.

The adductor pollicus muscle, which spans from the small bones of the wrist and the third metacarpel to the first phalanx of the thumb (Figure 12) is the sole muscle responsible for thumb adduction during low force contractions (Bigland-Ritchie, 1981). This characteristic makes it a very suitable muscle for investigation. Since the adductor is a small muscle which lies within close proximity of the abductor pollicus brevis and the flexor pollicus brevis, EMG activity from these muscles may also be detected with surface electrodes. However, if the thumb remains extended and the movement takes place such that
Figure 12. Anterior view of the adductor muscles of the thumb and abductor muscles of the fifth digit on the right hand. (Adapted from Structure and Function in Man (p. 163) by S. W. Jacob and C. A. Francone, 1974, Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders Company.)
gravity is the force initiating thumb abduction, the activity from these two muscles would be minimized.

The abductor digiti minimi (ADM) originates from the pisiform bone of the wrist and the flexor carpi ulnaris tendon, and inserts at the base of the proximal phalanx of the fifth digit (i.e. the pinky) (Figure 12). The ADM is not the only abductor of the pinky, but the other abductors (i.e. the interossei dorsales and opponens digiti minimi) are deeper muscles. Since the flexor digiti minimi brevis lies within close proximity to the ADM, an investigation with surface electrodes may also detect pinky flexion. However, as mentioned for thumb movement, if the pinky remains extended during an abduction task, EMG activity from the flexor muscle would be minimized.
5.1 Finger Movement Data

5.1.1 Thumb Adduction/Abduction

Special conditions: With and without cocontraction (Phase II only)

EMG: adductor pollicus

Description: Initial position; subject seated with forearm fully supinated and flexed to create a 90° inter-segmental angle at the elbow; thumb held fully extended and abducted. The movement included the full ROM (maximum thumb adduction (i.e. without thumb flexion), then maximum thumb abduction). The thumb remained extended throughout the entire ROM.

Figures: D40 a; no cocontraction; D41 a; cocontraction. The EMG record for the adductor pollicus is shown in both D40a and D41a. Unfortunately measurement of thumb displacement was not possible given the nature of the movement and the size of the joint compared to the size of the goniometer.

Observations:

There appeared to be a on/off pattern to the adductor pollicus activity during both tasks (Figures D40a, D41a). During the data collection process it was observed that the rise and peak in adductor pollicus activity was coincident with thumb adduction and the fall in activity with thumb abduction. This EMG activity seemed fairly distinctive, however thumb adduction without thumb flexion is not a natural movement, but one which takes some concentration and practice. Thus thumb adduction/abduction may have potential for controlling a robot, but needs further investigation.
Figure D40a. ADDUCTOR POLLICUS ABDUCTION & ADDUCTION
"Thumb"

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium  SAMPLING RATE: 200 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure D41a. ADDUCTOR POLLICUS ABDUCTION & ADDUCTION
"Thumb" with Cocontraction

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium SAMPLING RATE: 200 Samples/Sec/Channel
5.1.2 Pinky Abduction/Adduction; Transverse Plane; Phase II

**Only**

**EMG:** abductor digiti minimi

**Description:** Initial position; subject seated with forearm fully pronated and flexed to create a 90° inter-segmental angle at the elbow; pinky held fully extended and adducted, in contact with the fourth digit. The movement included the full ROM (maximum pinky abduction, then pinky adduction to a point where it contacted the fourth digit). The pinky remained extended throughout the entire ROM.

**Figure:** D42 a;

The EMG record for the abductor digiti minimi is shown in D42a. Unfortunately measurement of pinky displacement was not possible given the nature of the movement and the size of the joint compared to the size of the goniometer.

**Observations:**

As with the thumb adduction/abduction task, there appeared to be an on/off EMG activity pattern for the abductor digiti minimi (Figure D42a). During the data collection process it was observed that the rise and peak in activity coincided with pinky abduction and the fall in activity with pinky adduction. This movement may be more practical for controlling a robot, as it is not as difficult as thumb adduction without thumb flexion.
Figure D42a. ABDUCTOR DIGITI MINIMI ABDUCTION & ADDUCTION
"Pinky"

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium SAMPLING RATE: 100 Samples/Sec/Channel
Reaching Movements

6.0 Anatomical Considerations

Since a two degree-of-freedom reaching movement performed in the sagittal plane involves flexion and extension of the shoulder and elbow joints, many of the anatomical considerations have been discussed in previous sections. However the action of two-joint muscles, those which cross two joints and have important functions at both, (Basmajian, 1979) needs particular mention. Working alone these muscles can not function as a one-joint muscle because they pull directly from one end to the other with all parts of the muscle contracting (Basmajian, 1979).

The two-joint muscles directly involved in the reaching task are the biceps brachii and the triceps brachii. The biceps brachii, which crosses the glenohumeral and elbow joints, may function as an agonist in elbow or shoulder flexion, but is strongest as an elbow flexor. Maximal bicep activity may be expected in a countercurrent movement (Basmajian, 1979) (i.e. shoulder flexion and elbow flexion). However in a concurrent movement such as elbow extension and shoulder flexion, little if any bicep activity may be expected providing gravity is not the force responsible for elbow extension. In order for elbow extension to occur without the force of gravity acting, the biceps must relax, thus it can not provide shoulder flexion.
The triceps brachii also crosses the glenohumeral and elbow joints, and may function as an agonist in shoulder extension or elbow extension against resistance but is strongest as an elbow extensor. Similar to the biceps, maximal triceps activity would be expected in a counter-current movement such as shoulder and elbow extension against resistance. Likewise little triceps activity would be expected in a concurrent movement such as shoulder extension and elbow flexion.

Since these data were collected on a sagittal plane reaching motion in a gravitational environment, the aforementioned activation patterns may not have been evident. However in transverse plane reaching tasks or a nongravitational environment the activation patterns of two-joint muscles should be apparent and would need to be considered for robot control.
6.1 Reaching Movement Data

6.1.1 Reaching (Forearm Flexion, then Shoulder Flexion); Sagittal Plane

Special conditions: Slow and moderate speeds

Phase I EMG: biceps brachii and anterior deltoid.
Phase II EMG: biceps brachii, triceps brachii, anterior deltoid, and posterior deltoid.

Phase I description: Initial position; subject seated, right arm hanging relaxed at the side. Right side was facing the cameras. LEDs marked the wrist, elbow and shoulder. The subject was asked to perform a reaching motion in which forearm flexion preceded shoulder flexion. The midpoint of the movement was when the arm was fully extended at shoulder level. From this midpoint, the movement was characterized by simultaneous extension of the humerus and flexion of the forearm until the humerus was approximately in line with the trunk. Then, the forearm was extended until the arm was fully extended along the side of the body.

Phase II description: Initial position; subject standing in FSP, right arm relaxed at the side. The subject was asked to perform the reaching motion, similar to that of Phase I: elbow flexion to approximately 90°, followed by simultaneous shoulder flexion and elbow extension. The midpoint of the movement was the same as Phase I: a fully extended arm held at shoulder level. From this position the movement was completed just as it was in Phase I.

Phase I figures: D43 a; D44 a; D45 a; position-time data with EMG: D43 b; D44 b; D45 b; stick-figures of reaching. Top strip chart (1Y) = displacement representing a change in vertical position of the wrist. Peaks (e.g. 1000 mm) occur when the wrist is at shoulder level. Minimum values (e.g. 450 mm) occur when the arm is suspended at the side of the body. Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the biceps brachii. The biceps was monitored as the prime forearm flexor. Third strip chart (2Y) = displacement data representing a change in the vertical position of the elbow. Maximum values (e.g. 960 mm) occur when the upper arm has been raised to shoulder level in the sagittal plane. Minimum values correspond to an upper arm position parallel to the trunk. Fourth strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the anterior deltoid. The anterior deltoid was monitored as the prime mover in humeral flexion.

Phase II figures: D46 a,b,c,d,e,f,g; D47 a,b,c,d,e,f. EMG activity for the biceps and triceps is displayed in the
top graphs of D46a,b and D47a,b. The bottom graphs of D46a and D47a display displacement representing a change in the angle at the elbow (peaks indicate maximum flexion; valleys indicate maximum extension). The bottom graphs of D46b and D47b display anterior and posterior deltoid activity. The top graphs of D46c,d and D47c display EMG activity from the biceps and anterior deltoid. The bottom graphs display triceps, posterior deltoid, and triceps and posterior deltoid activity respectively. Figures D46e and D47d display posterior deltoid activity in the top graph and anterior deltoid activity in the bottom graph. Raw EMG data is displayed in the top graphs of D46f and D47e, for the biceps, and in D46g and D47f for the triceps. The corresponding processed EMG signal is displayed in the bottom graphs for each of the aforementioned figures.

Observations:

Phase I: Biceps activity: As seen in Figure D43a, biceps activity rose with the increasing magnitude of forearm flexion. From approximately .6 to 1.8 seconds biceps activity held a relative plateau, then declined. The biceps activation pattern suggested that a flexion angle was maintained at the elbow during the .6 to 1.8 second period. However, this was not the case. When the elbow rose to shoulder level, indicated by the peak in 2Y at 1.2 seconds, the forearm was in an extended position and the wrist, elbow, and shoulder were colinear. With no flexion at the elbow one might expect that there would be no EMG activity from the biceps. As can be seen across reaching trials, this was not the case. Thus the peak plateau in biceps EMG activity did not correlate well with the forearm flexion/extension pattern evidenced in single joint movements. The fact that the biceps displayed a high
activation level while the forearm was extended at the midpoint of the reach, pointed out the bi-articular nature of the biceps. This high-level activation may have had more to do with shoulder activity than elbow activity.

Anterior deltoid (2Y) activity corresponded well to the flexion/extension pattern at the shoulder. Peak deltoid activity occurred just prior to maximum shoulder flexion, and declined with a slope similar to the slope of the displacement curve. As shown with single-segment tasks (shoulder flexion only) the EMG activity of the anterior deltoid corresponded well with the position-time data.

Phase II: The activity pattern of the biceps was very similar to that displayed in Phase I; peak activity was reached during initial forearm flexion, and the activity remained elevated throughout the rest of the reaching task which included forearm extension at the elbow. Bicep activity did not return to a baseline level until the movement was completed and the arm was fully extended at the side (Figures D46a, D47a). These results provide further evidence for the bi-articular nature of the biceps.

There was a gradual rise in tricep activity (Figures D46a, D47a) which peaked prior to maximum extension at the elbow, when the entire arm was fully extended with an approximate 90° angle of shoulder flexion. (Note. There were no records of changes in the shoulder angle for these
Since the triceps is a two-joint muscle functional in forearm and shoulder extension against resistance, this peak may have been related to either shoulder or forearm action. However, since eccentric activity of the biceps would control forearm extension in the sagittal plane, this peak was probably related to the effort to slow shoulder flexion as the humerus reached its reversal point.

Raw EMG data for both the biceps (Figures D46f, D47e) and triceps (Figures D46g, D47f) appeared noisy. Thus the processed data, displayed in the bottom graphs of the same figures, may not have provided the true muscle signal if the noise evident in the raw data were included. These data showed the importance of a clean signal. A robot driven by this raw data would not produce very accurate limb movements.

Anterior deltoid activity rose to a single peak, which occurred after the peak in biceps activity (Figures D46b,c,d, D47b,c). Since humeral displacement was not recorded, it was estimated from the displacement graph of the elbow that as in Phase I, anterior deltoid activity correlated well with shoulder flexion/extension. EMG activity from the posterior deltoid, a shoulder extensor, also was monitored. However, the large spikes evident in Figures D46d,e and D47d indicated artifact. Thus posterior deltoid activity analysis was conducted with caution. The data may have
indicated that the posterior deltoid activity was initiated by a stretch during shoulder flexion, and/or functioned during shoulder extension to pull the humerus behind the trunk, as viewed from the sagittal plane.
Figure D43a. Elbow flexion then shoulder flexion reaching movement in the sagittal plane.

Figure D43b. Stick figure of elbow flexion then shoulder flexion reaching movement.
Figure D44a. Elbow flexion then shoulder flexion reaching movement in the sagittal plane.

Figure D44b. Stick figure of elbow flexion then shoulder flexion reaching movement.
**Figure D45a.** Elbow flexion then shoulder flexion reaching movement in the sagittal plane.

**Figure D45b.** Stick figure of elbow flexion then shoulder flexion reaching movement.
Figure D46a. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE
Elbow Movement Emphasized

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow    SAMPLING RATE: 250 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension
Figure D46b. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE
Elbow Movement Emphasized

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow    SAMPLING RATE: 250 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure D46c. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE
Elbow Movement Emphasized

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow  SAMPLING RATE: 250 Samples/Sec/Channel
**Figure D46d. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE**

Elbow Movement Emphasized

**MOVEMENT SPEED:** Slow  **SAMPLING RATE:** 250 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure D46c. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE
Elbow Movement Emphasized

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow  SAMPLING RATE: 250 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure D46f. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE
Elbow Movement Emphasized

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow  SAMPLING RATE: 250 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure D46g. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE
Elbow Movement Emphasized

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow  SAMPLING RATE: 250 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure D47a. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE
Elbow Movement Emphasized

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow  SAMPLING RATE: 250 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension
Figure D47c. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE
Elbow Movement Emphasized

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow SAMPLING RATE: 250 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure D47d. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE
Elbow Movement Emphasized

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow       SAMPLING RATE: 250 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure D47e. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE
Elbow Movement Emphasized

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow   SAMPLING RATE: 250 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure D47f. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE
Elbow Movement Emphasized

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow  SAMPLING RATE: 250 Samples/Sec/Channel
6.1.2 Reaching (Forearm Flexion then Shoulder Flexion); Sagittal Plane

Special conditions: With and without cocontraction (Phase I only)

EMG: biceps brachii and triceps brachii

Description: Initial position; subject seated, right arm hanging relaxed at the side. Right side was facing the cameras. LEDs marked the wrist, elbow and shoulder. The subject was asked to perform a reaching motion in which forearm flexion preceded shoulder flexion. The midpoint of the movement was when the arm was fully extended at shoulder level. From this midpoint, the movement was characterized by simultaneous extension of the humerus and flexion of the forearm until the humerus was approximately in line with the trunk. Then, the forearm was extended until the arm was fully extended along the side of the body.

Figures: D48 a,b,c; D49 a,b; D50 a,b,c;
Top strip chart (3Y) = displacement representing a change in vertical position of the wrist. Peaks (e.g. 70 mm) occur when the wrist is at shoulder level. Minimum values (e.g. 20 mm) occur when the humerus is in line with the body and the forearm is at a 90° angle with respect to the humerus. Second strip chart (2Y) = displacement data representing a change in the vertical position of the elbow. Maximum values (e.g. 55 mm) occur when the upper arm has been raised to shoulder level in the sagittal plane. Minimum values (20mm) occur when the longitudinal axis of the humerus is parallel to the longitudinal axis of the trunk. Third strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the biceps brachii. The biceps was monitored as the prime forearm flexor. Fourth strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the triceps brachii. The triceps was monitored to determine its role in control of the extension phase and its level of activation during shoulder flexion.

Observations:
Without cocontraction, biceps activation seemed substantially reduced when compared with previous trials (Figures D43, D44, D45). Peak activation in the biceps now occurred during the recovery phase; that is, while the humerus was being returned to the side. This late biceps
peak may have been related to controlling the tendency for gravity and inertia of the forearm to cause extension at the elbow. Although this late biceps peak was consistent in its phase relationship with the movement, this was clearly a much different pattern than that observed on other trials with other subjects. These kinds of intersubject differences point to potential difficulties in the design of an algorithm intended to merge the influences of multiple muscles in movement control and maintain its applicability across multiple subjects.

The triceps was not the agonist in shoulder extension since gravity was operating and there was no resistance. So the shoulder flexors controlled extension eccentrically. The triceps peak observed in these trials coincided with maximum shoulder flexion and may pertain more to counter-torque slowing flexion, than to any attempt at extension control. This peak may also have been a function of an activation induced by stretch.

When the reaching action was performed under conditions of tension (intentional cocontraction) activation levels were generally increased, and biceps activity was evident much earlier in the action. As seen in Figure D48a,b,c the biceps was active in the early stages of shoulder flexion. This pattern more closely approximated that seen in earlier trials. However, the "cost" in variability was high. Note
for example the variations in the triceps pattern across Figures D50a, b, c. While it may have been useful to "set" the muscles to something other than the minimum level of activation when working without resistance, control of the tension level was quite variable and not conducive to a consistent control signal.
Figure D48. Elbow flexion then shoulder flexion reaching movement in the sagittal plane.
Figure D49. Elbow flexion then shoulder flexion reaching movement in the sagittal plane.
Figure D50. Elbow flexion then shoulder flexion reaching movement in the sagittal plane.
6.1.3 Reaching (Forearm Flexion then Shoulder Flexion); Sagittal Plane

Special conditions: With and without co-contraction (Phase I only)

EMG: anterior deltoid and latissimus dorsi

Description: Initial position; subject seated, right arm hanging relaxed at the side. Right side was facing the cameras. LEDs marked the wrist, elbow and shoulder. The subject was asked to perform a reaching motion initiated from a flexed forearm position, humerus aligned with the trunk. The midpoint of the movement was when the arm was extended at shoulder level. From this midpoint, the movement was characterized by simultaneous extension of the humerus and flexion of the forearm until the humerus was approximately in line with the trunk.

Figures: D51 a,b; D52 a,b,c;

Top strip chart (3Y) = displacement representing a change in vertical displacement of the wrist. Peaks (e.g. 60 mm) occur when the wrist is at shoulder level. Minimum values (e.g. 20 mm) occur when the humerus is in line with the body and the forearm is at a 90° angle with respect to the humerus. Second strip chart (2Y) = displacement data representing a change in the vertical position of the elbow. Maximum values (e.g. 55 mm) occur when the upper arm has been raised to shoulder level in the sagittal plane. Minimum values (20 mm) occur when the longitudinal axis of the humerus is parallel to the longitudinal axis of the trunk. Third strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the anterior deltoid. The anterior deltoid was monitored as a prime mover in shoulder flexion. Fourth strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the latissimus dorsi. The latissimus dorsi was monitored to determine its role in control of the extension phase and its level of activation during shoulder flexion.

Observations:

The anterior deltoid (1A) exhibited a good phase relationship with the flexion/extension pattern of the shoulder. This was expected as the anterior deltoid was shown in single-segment tasks to correlate well with shoulder flexion. The latissimus dorsi, on the other hand,
is a shoulder extensor under conditions of resistance. Again, when assisted by gravity, shoulder extension was controlled by the eccentric contraction of the agonist, or anterior deltoid. Under these no-resistance conditions, it was hard to envision the latissimus dorsi having a controlling influence on shoulder extension. The peak latissimus dorsi activity occurred at the peak of shoulder flexion. This activity most likely related to movement artifact or passive stretch.

Under conditions of cocontraction tension levels increased, and once again there was increased variability. Note the changes in EMG patterns across trials D52a,b,c. We experimented with cocontraction trials to see if a reasonable control signal could be evoked from superficial muscles that were perhaps not prime movers, unless under conditions of resistance. While signal strength was increased under these circumstances, the variability in signal pattern also increased substantially. This variability eliminated cocontraction as a functional strategy in finding a reliable control signal for limb positioning.
Figure D51. Elbow flexion then shoulder flexion reaching movement in the sagittal plane.
Figure D52. Elbow flexion then shoulder flexion reaching movement in the sagittal plane.
6.1.4 Normal Reaching Movement; Sagittal Plane

**Special conditions:** Slow and moderate speeds (Phase II only)

**EMG:** biceps brachii, triceps brachii, anterior deltoid and posterior deltoid.

**Description:** Initial position; subject in FSP, right arm hanging relaxed at the side. Subject was asked to perform a normal reaching motion; simultaneous forearm flexion, shoulder flexion, and forearm extension to reach the midpoint of the movement where the arm was fully extended and at an approximate 90° angle with the trunk, as viewed from the sagittal plane; the movement continued with simultaneous forearm flexion, shoulder extension and forearm extension to return to FSP.

**Figures:** D53 a,b; D54 a,b; D55 a,b,c,d,e; D56 a,b,c,d,e. EMG records from the biceps and anterior deltoid are displayed in the top graphs of D53a,b, D54a,b, D55b, and D56b. Displacement representing a change in angle is displayed in the bottom graphs of D53a and D54a, for the shoulder, and in D55a and D56a for the elbow (peaks indicate maximum flexion; valleys indicate maximum extension). EMG records from the biceps and triceps are displayed in the bottom graphs of D53b, and D54b, and in the top graphs of D55a,c and D56a,c. EMG records from the triceps and posterior deltoid are displayed in the bottom graphs of D55b and D56b. EMG records from the anterior deltoid and posterior deltoid are displayed in the bottom graphs of D55c and D56c. Raw EMG data is displayed in the top graphs of D55d, and D56d, for the biceps, and in D55e and D56e for the triceps. The corresponding processed EMG signal is displayed in the bottom graphs of each of the aforementioned figures.

**Observations:**

This normal reaching motion had some similarities to that conducted in section 6.1.1, however, there also were some differences. Bicep activity peaked during the first forearm flexion (i.e. prior to the midpoint of the movement) then gradually tapered off in the slow movement trials (Figures D55a, D56a), but displayed a double peak in the
moderate speed movement trials (Figure D53a, D54a). This second peak was probably related to forearm flexion that took place after the midpoint of the movement, during shoulder extension (Figures D53a, D54a). Tricep activity again displayed a slight rise (Figures D53b, D54b, D55a, D56a), which may have been used to decrease the speed of shoulder flexion, or perhaps hyperextend the elbow joint (more evident in Figures D55a, D56a, as peak triceps activity occurred at maximal elbow extension). As in section 6.1.1 (Phase II) the raw data for both the biceps and triceps did not correspond well with the processed signal (Figures D55d,e, D56d,e). These results clarify the importance of obtaining a clean signal.

Anterior deltoid activity correlated well with shoulder flexion as expected (Figures D53a, D54a). Once again it was evident that elevated bicep activity may have been related to shoulder flexion. Posterior deltoid activity displayed a very different pattern from that displayed in Phase II of section 6.2.1 (Figures D55b,c, D56b,c). This activity, which was very distinct and almost completely out of phase with the anterior deltoid activity, may reflect the effort to decrease the speed of shoulder flexion.
Figure D53b. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure D54a. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium  SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Shoulder Flexion
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Shoulder Extension
Figure D54b. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium  SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure D55a. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow  SAMPLING RATE: 250 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension
Figure D55b. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow SAMPLING RATE: 250 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure D55d. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow  SAMPLING RATE: 250 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure D55e. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow    SAMPLING RATE: 250 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure D56a. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow  SAMPLING RATE: 250 Samples/Sec/Channel

Goniometer Key:
Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension
Figure D56c. SHOULDER & ELBOW – REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow  SAMPLING RATE: 250 Samples/Sec/Channel
Figure D56e. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

MOVEMENT SPEED: Slow SAMPLING RATE: 250 Samples/Sec/Channel
SECTION VI: SUMMARY

The specific goals of this project were to establish the "goodness" of the human myoelectric signal for use as a control signal, and to determine a mathematical relationship between the human myoelectric signal and the corresponding limb displacement for a variety of conditions in a one-degree-of-freedom movement. The investigation was seen as a two phase process. In the project reported here we completed the first of these phases.

The first phase examined previously established EMG/force relationships for isometric and constant velocity muscle contractions. Data collection was supposed to focus upon a simple one-degree-of-freedom movement (i.e. elbow flexion/extension). Collected data were to be processed and analyzed with emphasis on assessment of the quality of the EMG signal as a potential control signal. However, the first phase investigated numerous tasks ranging from a simple elbow flexion/extension task to a more complex reaching task. These data were qualitatively analyzed and assessed in reference to use as potential control signals.

Collectively the data from the elbow flexion/extension tasks, conducted in both the sagittal and transverse planes under a variety of conditions, showed the expected phase relationships. Agonist muscles (i.e. elbow flexors) showed a lot of activity during sagittal plane elbow flexion, and
little activity during elbow extension as gravity acted to return the forearm to its original position. Antagonist muscles (i.e. elbow extensors) showed little if any activity during sagittal plane elbow flexion/extension. In the transverse plane elbow flexion/extension task, which lacked the influence of gravity, the flexors were active during elbow flexion and the extensors during elbow extension. However, there were large variations within the data of one subject and the problem of 'load sharing' among muscles seemed apparent. In addition, the importance of sampling rate and its effect upon the data became evident. Thus, the elbow flexion/extension data appeared to be adequate for a potential control signal in some but not all cases.

Shoulder movement data was collected across a variety of conditions for all 3 degrees-of-freedom at the gleno-humeral joint: (1) flexion/extension; (2) abduction and adduction; and (3) internal/external rotation. In isolated flexion/extension and abduction/adduction tasks in the sagittal and frontal planes respectively, the agonist and antagonist muscle activity demonstrated good phasic relationships and corresponded to the movements. Unfortunately neither of these tasks were performed in the transverse plane, so unlike a nongravitational environment, the effect of gravity was evident. However, at least under the conditions tested, these two degrees of freedom appeared
to have potential for control signals. The internal and external rotation task data did not demonstrate a clear distinction between muscles defined as internal and external rotators. Thus myoelectric signals from this degree of freedom may not be attainable for the purposes of control.

Similarly data from the pronation/supination task did not show a clear distinction between the pronator and the supinators, except at the extremes of the range of motion. So EMG data from this movement would not be attainable for control.

Data from the forearm flexor and extensor groups demonstrated good phasic relationships with each of the corresponding movements: grasping and wrist flexion/extension. However, these movements were isolated, and the muscles are all within close proximity of each other. Thus if these movements were combined with each other or other hand and forearm movements, the distinction evident in isolated tasks may be lost. Thus precise control would be lost. However, use of these movements in isolation may provide 'trigger tasks' (i.e. a specific isolated movement used to trigger a different movement).

Thumb and 'pinky' movements were investigated as potential trigger movements. EMG data from both of these movements appeared to correspond well with the observed movement. Thus for movements which did not provide
differentiation between agonist and antagonist EMG signals, there were potential trigger movements.

The most complex movement investigated was a two-degree-of-freedom reaching task performed in the sagittal plane. For each subject, the EMG data appeared to correspond well with the displacement data. However, the action of two-joint muscles became evident. For example the biceps was activated for both elbow and shoulder flexion. If a two-joint muscle signal were to be used for a control signal, there were need to be some means of determining which movement is elicited by activation of that muscle. Also, comparison of the reaching movement data across subjects showed few similarities. Perhaps the problems associated with control of a one-degree of-freedom robot from EMG signals need to be dealt with before control of a more complex movement is undertaken.

In summary the first phase of this project established that myoelectric signals from simpler movements, under some conditions, have potential for control signals. Myoelectric signals from movements conducted by agonists and antagonists which are far from the surface, or in close proximity have little potential for control signals (i.e. with surface electrodes). In addition, it would be easier to establish control signals from muscles which have only one function or those which span only one joint as opposed to muscles which
have multiple functions and span multiple joints.

Future research is necessary to analyze these data quantitatively. The results of these analyses will lead to the second phase in exploration of the efficacy of using the human myoelectric signal as a control signal for a robot: determination of a relationship between the myoelectric signal and limb displacement.
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SELSPOT Data Collection - Cover Sheet

Investigator: ________________________________
Study: ________________________________
Date: ________________________________
Reference File: ________________________________ Disk: ___
Calibration File: ________________________________ Disk: ___

Subject Data:

Name: ________________________________ phone __________
Age ________ Height ________ Weight ________

Segments Lengths: Forearm ________ Thigh ________
Upper Arm ________ Shank ________
Trunk ________ Foot ________
Other: ________________________________

LED Setup
1. Wrist
2. Elbow
3. Shoulder

Body Diagram

[Diagram showing body segments]

Analog:
EMG, Biceps
Anterior Deltoid

SSS Form #3
4/87
Calibration Data

Calibration File: Newcal 518
Creation Date: 5/4/84
Reference File: New855 518
Creation Date: 
Investigator: [Signature]
Study: NASA

PROMS: 20343 Aim Analog?
AIM Alt: 

C3.VI: Field of View

| Cam1 | Cam2 |
| X   | Y   |

No. of Frames used in calibration:

| Cam1 | Cam2 |
| Average Distance: |

| Cam1 | Cam2 |
| X    | Y    |

Camera Set-up: radius, tilt, height

| Cam1 | Cam2 |

Diagram:

File Titles:

Comments: .95 scale factor

SSS: Form #1
3/87
Reference Creation

Reference File: New Ref. 518
Creation Date: 5/19/84

Investigator: Leman / 11/84
Study: NASA

Reference Description:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LED #</th>
<th>X (in mm)</th>
<th>Y (in mm)</th>
<th>Z (in mm)</th>
<th>Cam1</th>
<th>Cam2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>588</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>588</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>588</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>588</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analog:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ch.</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Offset</th>
<th>Scale Factor</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>mV</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference Diagram: (mark and number LED locations)

Reference plane:
- front
- back

For hanging reference:
- Front track
- Back track

SSS Form #1
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## SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Files</th>
<th>Note: Forearm in Neutral Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>550093 .RAW DISK</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550093 .POS DISK</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550093 .POF DISK</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>550094 .RAW DISK</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550094 .POS DISK</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550094 .POF DISK</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>550095 .RAW DISK</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550095 .POS DISK</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550095 .POF DISK</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>550096 .RAW DISK</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550096 .POS DISK</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550096 .POF DISK</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>550097 .RAW DISK</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550097 .POS DISK</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550097 .POF DISK</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>550098 .RAW DISK</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550098 .POS DISK</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550098 .POF DISK</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>550099 .RAW DISK</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550099 .POS DISK</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550099 .POF DISK</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>550100 .RAW DISK</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550100 .POS DISK</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550100 .POF DISK</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SSS Form #4
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| TRIAL | FILES     | DISK | GAIN A | GAIN B | COMMENTS                        |
|-------|-----------|------|--------|--------|---------------------------------
| 9     | SS0101    | RAW  | 19     |        | TR#9 Elbow Flexion A: Bicep     |
|       | SS0101    | POS  | 19     |        | B: Delt. East                   |
|       |           | POF  |        |        |                                  |
| 10    | SS0102    | RAW  | 20     |        | TR#10 Shoulder Flexion          |
|       | SS0102    | POS  | 20     |        | A:10 B:2 Fast                  |
|       |           | POF  |        |        |                                  |
| 11    | SS0103    | RAW  | 20     |        | TR#11 Shoulder Flexion          |
|       | SS0103    | POS  | 20     |        | A: Bicep B: Delt. East          |
|       |           | POF  |        |        | Possibly lost weight lift      |
| 12    | SS0104    | RAW  | 20     |        | TR#12 Shoulder Flexion          |
|       | SS0104    | POS  | 20     |        |                                  |
|       |           | POF  |        |        |                                  |
| 13    | SS0105    | RAW  | 21     |        | TR#13 Elbow Flex - Shoulder Flex|
|       | SS0105    | POS  | 21     |        |                                  |
|       |           | POF  |        |        |                                  |
| 14    | SS0106    | RAW  | 21     |        | TR#14 Elbow Flex - Shoulder Flex|
|       | SS0106    | POS  | 21     |        | A:10 B:2                        |
|       |           | POF  |        |        |                                  |
| 15    | SS0107    | RAW  | 22     |        | TR#15 Elbow Flex - Shoulder Flex|
|       | SS0107    | POS  | 22     |        | A:10 B:2                        |
|       |           | POF  |        |        |                                  |
| 16    | SS0108    | RAW  | 22     |        | TR#16 Elbow Flex - Shoulder Flex|
|       | SS0108    | POS  | 22     |        | Closed Fist / Natural Motion    |
|       |           | POF  |        |        |                                  |
NASA DATA

SUBJECT: Rich Siebert

INVESTIGATOR(S): Trudy & Clarke

DATE: 11/13/47

MOVEMENT:
- Initial position: Flexed
- Direction of 1st movement: Flexion to Extention
- Definition of 1 repetition: Flex to Ext

MOVEMENT DIAGRAM:

DATA FILE NAME: ELF 43. DAT

MYOLAB I

CH A
- Muscle Grp: Flex
- Gain: 1
- Screen CH: 1.85
- Force V: 1.74
- BL: 

CH B
- Muscle Grp: Biceps
- Gain: 2
- Screen CH: 1.70
- Force V: 1.70
- BL: 

MYOLAB II

CH A
- Muscle Grp: Biceps
- Gain: 4.5
- Screen CH: 1.70
- Force V: 1.70
- BL: 

CH B
- Muscle Grp: 
- Gain: 
- Screen CH: 
- Force V: 
- BL: 

GONIOMETER

JT1: Goni
- DOF Measured: 1
- Screen CH: 3
- Force V: 1.70
- BL: 9.2

JT2:

SAMPLING RATE: 2000 samples/sec

MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST

NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET: 8

NUMBER OF SETS: 3

INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 409600

COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 3

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:
- Only trials which appear to give good raw data readings
- Goni slipped 1st set
NASA DATA

SUBJECT: Russell
INVESTIGATOR(S): Russell / Tracy / Clarke

DATE: 10/30/87

MOVEMENT: Robot Movement - Elbow Flex/Ext
a) Initial position: Ext
b) Direction of 1st movement: Flex
c) Definition of 1 repetition: Flex - Ext

MOVEMENT DIAGRAM:

DATA FILE NAME: Quick flex.dat

MYOLAB I

MUSCLE GRP | GAIN | SCRN CH | FSV | BL
---|---|---|---|---
CH A | | | | |
CH B | Bicep | 4 | 2 | 1.708/1 | .078

MYOLAB II

MUSCLE GRP | GAIN | SCRN CH | FSV | BL
---|---|---|---|---
CH A | Tricep | 4 | 1 | 1.708/1 | -1.462
CH B | | | | |

GONIOMETER

DOF MEASURED | SCRN CH | FSV | BL
---|---|---|---
JT1: Elbow Flex/Extension | 3 | 1.708/1 | .761
JT2:

[SAMPLING RATE: 2000] ÷ [NUMBER OF INPUT CHANNELS ACTIVATED 6] =
SAMPLING RATE: 333 samples/sec MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST

NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET: 6
NUMBER OF SETS: 2

INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 204808
COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 39623

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

HOLD in FLEXED position - accelerated movement to EXTENDED position and hold, etc.
SELSOT Data Collection - Cover Sheet

Investigator: ________________________________
Study: NASA HORIZONTAL FLEX/EXT

Date: ________________________________

Reference File: ________________________________ Disk: ___
Calibration File: ________________________________ Disk: ___

Subject Data:

Name: __________________________________ phone ________________
Age _________ Height _________ Weight _________

Segments Lengths: Forearm _________ Thigh _________
Upper Arm _________ Shank _________
Trunk _________ Foot _________

Other: ______________________________________

_________________________
LED Setup

1. ________________________________
2. ________________________________
3. ________________________________
4. ________________________________
5. ________________________________
6. ________________________________
7. ________________________________
8. ________________________________

Analog:
1. TRICEPS
2. BICEPS

Body Diagram

SSS Form #3
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Calibration Data

Calibration File: Horiz. 679
Creation Date: 
Reference File: Horiz. 679
Creation Date: 

Investigator: ________________ Study: ________________

PRDMS: ________________ Analog? ________________
AIM Alt: ________________ 

C3.VI: Field of View

No. of Frames used in calibration:

Average Distance:

Camera Set-up: radius Cam1 ___ Cam2 ___
angle, θ Cam1 ___ Cam2 ___
tilt Cam1 ___ Cam2 ___
height Cam1 ___ Cam2 ___

Diagram:

File Titles:

Comments:

SSS: Form #1
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## Reference Creation

**Reference File:** Helix 1.629  
**Creation Date:**  
**Disk:** NaCo 4

### Reference Description:

**LED Coordinates (in mm) Detected Light Level Aperature**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LED #</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>Cam1</th>
<th>Cam2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cam2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Cam1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Analog:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ch.</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Offset</th>
<th>Scale Factor</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MV</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reference Diagram: (mark and number LED locations)

- Reference plane: 
  - front
  - back

For hanging reference:  
- Front track________________________
- Back track________________________

SSS Form #1  
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRIAL</th>
<th>FILES</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SSA0200 .RAW</td>
<td>DISK W/SoY 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSA0200 .POS</td>
<td>DISK W/SoY 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSA0200 .POF</td>
<td>DISK 10X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SSA0201 .RAW</td>
<td>DISK W/SoS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSA0201 .POS</td>
<td>DISK SSA02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSA0201 .POF</td>
<td>DISK SSA02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SSA0202 .RAW</td>
<td>DISK W/SoS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSA0202 .POS</td>
<td>DISK W/SoS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSA0202 .POF</td>
<td>DISK SSA02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SSA0203 .RAW</td>
<td>DISK W/SoS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSA0203 .POS</td>
<td>DISK W/SoS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSA0203 .POF</td>
<td>DISK W/SoS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SSA0204 .RAW</td>
<td>DISK Y/SoA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSA0204 .POS</td>
<td>DISK Y/SoA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSA0204 .POF</td>
<td>DISK Y/SoA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SSA0205 .RAW</td>
<td>DISK Y/SoA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSA0205 .POS</td>
<td>DISK Y/SoA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSA0205 .POF</td>
<td>DISK Y/SoA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SSA0206 .RAW</td>
<td>DISK W/SoS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSA0206 .POS</td>
<td>DISK W/SoS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSA0206 .POF</td>
<td>DISK W/SoS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>SSA0207 .RAW</td>
<td>DISK W/SoS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSA0207 .POS</td>
<td>DISK W/SoS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSA0207 .POF</td>
<td>DISK W/SoS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SSS Form #4
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### SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records

**Investigator:**

**Date:** 6/10/87

### TRIAL FILES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRIAL</th>
<th>FILES</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>$SS0215$ .RAW DISK 16</td>
<td>Her flyout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$SS0215$ .POS DISK</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$SS0215$ .POF DISK</td>
<td>$H = 7.40, B = 13.40$ (GOOD TRIAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>$SS0216$ .RAW DISK 17</td>
<td>Her flyout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$SS0216$ .POS DISK</td>
<td>reconstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$SS0216$ .POF DISK</td>
<td>(GOOD TRIAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>$SS0217$ .RAW DISK 18</td>
<td>Her flyout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$SS0217$ .POS DISK</td>
<td>reconstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$SS0217$ .POF DISK</td>
<td>(GOOD TRIAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>$SS0218$ .RAW DISK 18</td>
<td>Her flyout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$SS0218$ .POS DISK</td>
<td>reconstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$SS0218$ .POF DISK</td>
<td>(GOOD TRIAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$SS0219$ .RAW DISK 18</td>
<td>Her flyout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$SS0219$ .POS DISK</td>
<td>reconstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$SS0219$ .POF DISK</td>
<td>(OK TRIAL)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**SSS Form #4**
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NASA DATA

SUBJECT: Pam Russell

INVESTIGATOR(S): P. Russell + L. Clarke

Elbow flexion/extension

MOVEMENT: In Horizontal Plane
a) Initial position: Extended at (≈ 90°)
b) Direction of 1st movement: Flexion
c) Definition of 1 repetition: Flex to Extension

MOVEMENT DIAGRAM:

DATA FILE NAME: ELB_FLEX.DAT

MYOLAB I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MUSCLE GRP</th>
<th>GAIN</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH A</td>
<td>Tricep</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>854/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH B</td>
<td>Bicep</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>854/1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MYOLAB II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CH A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CH B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GONIOMETER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOF MEASURED</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JT1:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>854/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT2:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SAMPLING RATE: 200 samples/sec

MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST (MED) SLOW

NUMBER OF CHANNELS: 5 per channel = 200/5 = 40 samples/sec

NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET: 8

NUMBER OF SETS: 3

INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 102400

COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 80013963

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Note: Waist Position is supinated - Rotation is at shoulder.
NASA DATA

SUBJECT: Dave Penn
DATE: 10-8-87

INVESTIGATOR(S): Terri Truly, Pam Russell

MOVEMENT: Elbow flexion/extension

a) Initial position: Stretched in extended position (right)
b) Direction of 1st movement: Flexed in (left)
c) Definition of 1 repetition: Flex/extend

MOVEMENT DIAGRAM:

DATA FILE NAME: ELBFE.DAT

MYOLAB I

CH A
- BICEP
- GAIN 6
- SCRN 1
- FSV .213/1
- BL -.144

CH B
- TRICEP
- GAIN 4-6
- SCRN 2
- FSV .213/1
- BL .626

MYOLAB II

CH A

CH B

GONIOMETER

JT1: ELBOW
- F/E
- SCRN CH 3/4 = 5
- FSV .254/1
- BL 2.353

JT2:

SAMPLING RATE: 200 samples/sec

MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST MED SLOW

per channel = 200/5 = 40 samples/sec

NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET: 10

NUMBER OF SETS: 3

INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: H=8 102400

COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 16893

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

No reading on tricep for 2nd and 3rd sets - but tricep reading was good when performed tricep extension.
**NASA DATA**

**SUBJECT:** Tom Russell  
**DATE:** 10/9/44

**INVESTIGATOR(S):** P. Russell L. Clarke

**MOVEMENT:** Elbow flexion/extension w/ contraction

**MOVEMENT DIAGRAM:**
- a) Initial position: Extension
- b) Direction of 1st movement: Flexion
- c) Definition of 1 repetition: Flex to Ext

**DATA FILE NAME:** Elb Fecb, DAT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MYO LAB I</th>
<th>MUSCLE GRP</th>
<th>GAIN</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH A</td>
<td>Tricep</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>354/1</td>
<td>642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH B</td>
<td>Bicep</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>354/1</td>
<td>642</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MYO LAB II</th>
<th>DOF MEASURED</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH A</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 = 5</td>
<td>354/1</td>
<td>2.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>354/1</td>
<td>2.353</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GONIOMETER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JT1:</th>
<th>SCR N CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 = 5</td>
<td>354/1</td>
<td>2.353</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**JT2:        | SCR N CH | FSV | BL |
|--------------|---------|-----|----|

**SAMPLING RATE:** 200 samples/sec  
**MOVEMENT SPEED:** FAST  
**NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET:** 6

**NUMBER OF SETS:** 3

**INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE:** 102400

**COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE:**

**ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:**
Flex/Ext w/ contraction
SELSOT Data Collection - Cover Sheet

Investigator: ________________________________
Study: NASA - Horzontal Session/Left
Date: ________________________________
Reference File: ________________________________ Disk: ___
Calibration File: ________________________________ Disk: ___

Subject Data:

Name: ________________________________ phone __________
Age _________ Height _________ Weight _________

Segments Lengths:  Forearm _________ Thigh _________
                      Upper Arm _________ Shank _________
                      Trunk _________ Foot _________

Other: ________________________________

______________________________

LED Setup

1. ________________________________
2. ________________________________
3. ________________________________
4. ________________________________
5. ________________________________
6. ________________________________
7. ________________________________
8. ________________________________

Analog:

Bleeps

Trucks

Sample Filtering

SSS Form #3
4/87
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Files</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>550151</td>
<td>RAW DISK 8 5/4/87 TR#1: W/ contraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>550152</td>
<td>RAW DISK 8 5/4/87 TR#2: FAST S/ED W/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td>CO-CONTRACTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>550153</td>
<td>RAW DISK 8 TR#1: LC: MOD S/ED W/ CO-CONTRACTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>550154</td>
<td>RAW DISK 8 TR#2: LC: FAST S/ED W/ CO-CON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>550155</td>
<td>RAW DISK 8 TR#3: LC: FAST S/ED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>550156</td>
<td>RAW DISK 10 TR#4: LC: MOM 0-10: MOD S/ED W/ CO-CONTRACTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>550157</td>
<td>RAW DISK 10 TR#5: LC: MOM 0-10: MOD S/ED W/ CO-CONTRACTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>550158</td>
<td>RAW DISK 10 TR#6: LC: MOM 0-10: VERTICAL MOTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SSS Form #4
4/87
Appendix B
SELSPOT Data Collection - Cover Sheet

Investigator: ____________________________

Study: ____________________________

Date: ____________________________

Reference File: ____________________________ Disk: ___

Calibration File: ____________________________ Disk: ___

Subject Data:

Name: ____________________________ phone ____________

Age _______ Height _______ Weight _______

Segments Lengths: Forearm _______ Thigh _______

Upper Arm _______ Shank _______

Trunk _______ Foot _______

Other: ____________________________

LED Setup

1. Wrist

2. Elbow

3. Shoulder

4. _______

5. _______

6. _______

7. _______

8. _______

Analog:

EMG - Biceps

ANTERIOR DELTOID

Body Diagram

3 shoulder

2

1

SSS Form #3
4/87
Calibration Data

Calibration File: Newcal.518
Creation Date: 9/7/74
Reference File: Newex.515
Creation Date: __________

Investigator: __________ Study: MASA __________

PROMS: 200 Hz Aim __________ Analog? __________
AIM Alt: __________

C3.VI: Field of View

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cam1</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No. of Frames used in calibration:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cam1</th>
<th>Average Distance:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cam1: 5.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cam2</td>
<td>Cam2: 7.571</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Camera Set-up: radius, tilt, height

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cam1</th>
<th>Cam2</th>
<th>Cam1</th>
<th>Cam2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diagram:

File Titles:

Comments:

- .95 scale factor
### Reference Creation

**Reference File:** New Ref. 516  
**Creation Date:** 5/1/84

**Investigator:** [Name]  
**Study:** [Study Name]

---

## Reference Description:

### LED Coordinates (in mm) Detected Light Level Aperature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>Cam1</th>
<th>Cam2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Cam1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Cam2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Analog:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ch.</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Offset</th>
<th>Scale Factor</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MV</td>
<td>- .95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reference Diagram:

(mark and number LED locations)

**Reference plane:**
- Front
- Back

For hanging reference:
- Front track
- Back track

SSS Form #1  
4/87
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRIAL</th>
<th>FILES</th>
<th>Note: Forearm in Neutral Position</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RAW DISK 12</td>
<td>Gain A = Bicep = 10</td>
<td>Fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK 12</td>
<td>Gain B = Delt = 4</td>
<td>Fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>RAW DISK 15</td>
<td>Elbow Flexion</td>
<td>Slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK 15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>RAW DISK 18</td>
<td>TK #3 Elbow Flexion</td>
<td>Slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK 18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RAW DISK 18</td>
<td>G.A = 10, G.B = 3</td>
<td>Slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK 18</td>
<td>TK #4 Shoulder Flexion</td>
<td>Slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>RAW DISK 18</td>
<td>TK #5 Shoulder Flexion</td>
<td>Slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK 18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>RAW DISK 18</td>
<td>TK #6 Shoulder Flexion</td>
<td>Slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK 18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>RAW DISK 19</td>
<td>Gain A = 8, B = 5</td>
<td>Fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK 19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>RAW DISK 19</td>
<td>TK #8 Elbow Flexion</td>
<td>Fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK 19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SSS Form #4
4/87
NASA DATA

SUBJECT: Pam Russell
INVESTIGATOR(S): Russell/Trudy/Charlie

DATE: 10/30/87

MOVEMENT: Shoulder Flex + Extension - Sagittal Plane
a) Initial position: Anatomical
b) Direction of 1st movement: Flexion
   Definition of 1 repetition: Flexion/Extension

MOVEMENT DIAGRAM:

DATA FILE NAME: 5DFX EXS.DAT

MYOLAB I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MUSCLE GRP</th>
<th>GAIN</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH A</td>
<td>Ant. Delt</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.854/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH B</td>
<td>Biцеп</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.854/1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MYOLAB II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MUSCLE GRP</th>
<th>GAIN</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH A</td>
<td>Raw Ant. Delt</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GONIOMETER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JT1:</th>
<th>DOF MEASURED</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SHD Flexion/Extension</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.708/1</td>
<td>3.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

JT2:

[SAMPLING RATE: 2000] ÷ [NUMBER OF INPUT CHANNELS ACTIVATED 5] = 
SAMPLING RATE: 400 samples/sec  MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST MED SLOW

NUMBER OF REPLICATIONS/SET: 6
NUMBER OF SETS: 3

INITIALIZE DATA FILE SIZE: 204800
COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 96366

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:
SELSPOT Data Collection - Cover Sheet

Investigator: ____________________________
Study: NASA Shoulder - abduction/adduction

Date: ____________________________
Reference File: ____________________________ Disk: ___
Calibration File: ____________________________ Disk: ___

Subject Data:

Name: J. Jensen ____________________________ phone ____________________________
Age ________ Height ________ Weight ________

Segments Lengths: Forearm ________ Thigh ________
Upper Arm ________ Shank ________
Trunk ________ Foot ________

Other: ____________________________

LED Setup

1. WRIST
2. ELBOW
3. SHOULDER
4. ____________________________
5. ____________________________
6. ____________________________
7. ____________________________
8. ____________________________

Body Diagram:

Analog:
MIDDLE DECTLID - PROX END OF HUMERS
POSTERIOR DECTLID
- later tracks - ANTERIOR DECTLID

SSS Form #3
4/87
Reference Creation

Reference File: NS519.Ref
Creation Date: 5-18-84
Disk: 13
Investigator: NASA/Genen/Clark
Study: No 3A

Reference Description: Cube/Black Darpo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LED #</th>
<th>X (in mm)</th>
<th>Y (in mm)</th>
<th>Z (in mm)</th>
<th>Detected Light Level</th>
<th>Aperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Cam1 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Cam2 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analog:
Ch. Units Offset Scale Factor Description
1 MV 0.95
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

Reference Diagram: (mark and number LED locations)

Reference plane:
front_______
back _______

For hanging reference: Front track
Back track

SSS Form #1
4/87
Calibration Data

Calibration File: NSCAL 518
Creation Date: 5-15-87
Reference File: NSCAL 565
Creation Date: 5-15-87
Investigator: John S. Smith
Study: N656

PROMS: 200 Hz AIM
AIM Alt: 1

C3.VI: Field of View

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Cam1} & \text{Cam2} \\
\text{x} & 50 & 40 \\
\text{y} & 40 & 40 \\
\end{array}
\]

No. of Frames used in calibration:
Cam1: 100
Cam2: 100

Average Distance:
Cam1: 5.238
Cam2: 7.279

Camera Set-up:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{radius} & \text{angle, } \theta & \text{tilt} \\
\text{height} & \text{Cam1} & \text{Cam2} \\
\text{Cam1} & \text{Cam2} & \text{Cam2} \\
\end{array}
\]

Diagram:

File Titles:

Comments:

SSS: Form #1
3/87
# SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records

**Investigator:** __________________________  **Date:** 5-14-87

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRIAL</th>
<th>FILES</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SS0041</td>
<td>RAW DISK 13, Gain A: 3, Gain B: 3, Trial 1, Shoulder Ab, Add, Slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SS0081</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SS0042</td>
<td>RAW DISK 13, Gain A: 3, Gain B: 3, Title unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SS0087</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SS0083</td>
<td>RAW DISK 13, Gain A: 3, B: 3, Trial 3, Shoulder Ab, Add, Slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SS0083</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SS0044</td>
<td>RAW DISK 14, Gain A: 2, B: 2, Trial 4, Shoulder Ab, Add, Fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SS0084</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SS0085</td>
<td>RAW DISK 14, Gain A: 2, B: 2, Trial 5, Shoulder Ab, Add, Fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SS0085</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SS0096</td>
<td>RAW DISK 14, Gain A: 2, B: 2, Trial 6, Shoulder Ab, Add, Fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SS0096</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SS0087</td>
<td>RAW DISK 15, Gain A: 2, B: 2, (Middle Anterior Delt), Trial 7, Shoulder Ab, Add, 1/2 Trap, Slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SS0087</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>SS0088</td>
<td>RAW DISK 15, Gain A: 2, B: 2, Trial 8, Shoulder Ab, Add, 1/2 Trap, Slow, w/desorientation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SS0088</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SSS Form #4*
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# SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investigator:</th>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study:</th>
<th>TRIAL FILES</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15 Gain A 2 B 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS0089</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td>Trial 9 Shoulder A, A, w/Trap Slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS0098</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td>w/Contraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SS0090 RAW DISK 16 Gain A 2 B 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS0090</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td>Trial 16 Shoulder A, A, w/Trap Fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>SS0091 RAW DISK 16 A 2 B 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS0091</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td>Trial 11 Shoulder A, A, w/Trap Fast w/Contraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>SS0092 RAW DISK 16 A 2 B 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS0092</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td>Trial 11 Shoulder A, A, w/Trap Fast w/Contraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SSS Form #4**
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NASA DATA

SUBJECT: Todd Mitchell

INVESTIGATOR(S): P. Russell & L. Clark

DATE: 10-16-87

MOVEMENT: SHOULDER ABD/ADD
a) Initial position: Anatomical
b) Direction of 1st movement: ABD/ADD

MOVEMENT DIAGRAM:

DATA FILE NAME: SDABAD.DAT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MYOLAB I</th>
<th>MUSCLE GRP</th>
<th>GAIN</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH A</td>
<td>MID-DELTA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.884</td>
<td>-.754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH B</td>
<td>PECT</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.644</td>
<td>-.604</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MYOLAB II</th>
<th>DOF MEASURED</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GONIOMETER</th>
<th>DOF MEASURED</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JT1:</td>
<td>SHOULDER ABD/ADD</td>
<td>3/4 = 5</td>
<td>.654</td>
<td>2.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT2:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SAMPLING RATE: 280 samples/sec

MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST MED SLOW

NUMBER OF REPEETITIONS/SET: 8

NUMBER OF SETS: 3

INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 102400

COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 24533

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Maximal rather slow
## NASA DATA

**SUBJECT:** Todd Mitchell  
**DATE:** 10-16-87  
**INVESTIGATOR(S):** P. Russell + L. Clarke

### MOVEMENT:
**Shoulder ABduction/ADDuction w/ co-contraction**  
- **Initial position:** Abduction & Anluminal Position  
- **Direction of 1st movement:** Abduction  
- **Definition of 1 repetition:** ABD/ADD

### MOVEMENT DIAGRAM:
- [Diagram showing movement angles and positions]

### DATA FILE NAME:
**SDA/BADC.DAT** (Includes raw: Auto Signal)

### MYOLAB I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MUSCLE GRP</th>
<th>GAIN</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH A</td>
<td>ANT-DELt</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>1.76E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH B</td>
<td>PECT. MUSCLE</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4 (3)</td>
<td>1.76E-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MYOLAB II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MUSCLE GRP</th>
<th>GAIN</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH A</td>
<td>ANT-DELt</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>1.76E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH B</td>
<td>X (raw data signal)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>1.76E-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GONIOMETER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOF MEASURED</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JT1: 3H ADD/ADD</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>.554</td>
<td>2.949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT2:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SAMPLING RATE:
**200** samples/sec  
**MOVEMENT SPEED:** FAST MED SLOW  
**15 per channel:** 33.33 samples/sec

### NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET:
8

### NUMBER OF SETS:
3

### INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE:
**102400**

### COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE:
**28470**

### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:
- Raw data signal from pec major  
- Movement in the frontal plane
SELSPOT Data Collection - Cover Sheet

Investigator: ______________________________________

Study: NASA - Lat / Ext rotation

Date: ____________________

Reference File: ___________________________ Disk: ___
Calibration File: ___________________________ Disk: ___

Subject Data:

Name: ________________________________ phone __________
Age ________ Height ________ Weight ________

Segments Lengths: Forearm ________ Thigh ________
Upper Arm ________ Shank ________
Trunk ________ Foot ________

Other: ________________________________

-----------------------------

LED Setup

1. ________________________________
2. ________________________________
3. ________________________________
4. ________________________________
5. ________________________________
6. ________________________________
7. ________________________________
8. ________________________________

Body Diagram


SSS Form #3
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Calibration Data

Calibration File: Mouse 202
Creation Date: 7/25/79
Reference File: Mouse 4
Creation Date: 

Investigator: Circle     Study: NAS

PROMS:  _____________________ Analog? _____________________
AIM Alt: _____________________

C3.VI: Field of View

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cam1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cam2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No. of Frames used in calibration:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cam1</th>
<th>Cam2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>3.9x7</td>
<td>3.418</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Distance:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cam1</th>
<th>Cam2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>3.9x7</td>
<td>3.418</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Camera Set-up: radius Cam1 _______ Cam2 _______
angle, θ Cam1 _______ Cam2 _______
tilt Cam1 _______ Cam2 _______
horizontal Cam1 _______ Cam2 _______

Diagram:

```
\[
\begin{align*}
    r &= \_\_\_\_ \\
    \theta &= \_\_\_\_ \\
    d &= \_\_\_\_ \\
\end{align*}
\]

Cam __  Cam __
```

```
\[
\begin{align*}
    r &= \_\_\_\_ \\
    \theta &= \_\_\_\_ \\
    d &= \_\_\_\_ \\
\end{align*}
\]

Cam __  Cam __
```

File Titles:

Comments:

SSS: Form #1
3/87
Reference Creation

Reference File: HBSF1.627
Creation Date: 5/22/87
Disk: Phys 4

Investigator: Charles Taylor
Study: N357

Reference Description:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LED #</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>Cam1</th>
<th>Cam2</th>
<th>Aperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>244</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>244</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analog:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ch.</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Offset</th>
<th>Scale Factor</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference Diagram: (mark and number LED locations)

Reference plane:
front ________
back _______

For hanging reference: Front track___________
Back track___________

SSS Form #1
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### SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records

**Investigator:** Clairee  
**Study:** Interoceptive/External Rotation  
**Date:** 2/22/87  
**Subject:** Mitch Frad

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Files</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SSO220 .RAW DISK</td>
<td>TR#1 Interoceptive/External Rotation, Gain = 1X, A: 4, B: 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SSO221 .RAW DISK</td>
<td>TR#2 Interoceptive/External Rotation, A: 4, B: 4.5, Gain = 1X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SSO222 .RAW DISK</td>
<td>TR#3 Interoceptive/External Rotation, 13.7°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SSO223 .RAW DISK</td>
<td>TR#4 Interoceptive/External Rotation, 13.7°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SSO224 .RAW DISK</td>
<td>TR#5 Interoceptive/External Rotation, 13.7°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SSO225 .RAW DISK</td>
<td>TR#6 Interoceptive/External Rotation, Cocontraction, A: 4, B: 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SSO226 .RAW DISK</td>
<td>TR#7 Interoceptive/External Rotation, Cocontraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>SSO227 .RAW DISK</td>
<td>TR#8 Interoceptive/External Rotation, Cocontraction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Sampling time: 6 seconds

Starting Position: Away from camera, such that movement begins towards camera.  

**SSS Form #4**  
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### SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records

**Investigator:** Charles  
**Study:** NASA Int/Ext Relation  
**Date:** 4/6/87

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRIAL</th>
<th>FILES</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90°</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>S50228</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td>14 Tr# 9 Int/Ext Relation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110°</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>S80228</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td>Contraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trunk at 45°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90°</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>S50229</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td>14 Tr# 10 Int/Ext Relation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135°</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>S80229</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td>Contraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trunk at 45°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90°</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>S50230</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td>19 Tr# 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110°</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>S80230</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td>A = Anterior Delt, B = Pectoralis Major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td>45° f = 6.5 B = 11 Gain = 1X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90°</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>S50231</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td>19 Tr# 12 Int/Ext Relation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110°</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>S80231</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td>45°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td>🎲MG ?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90°</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>S50232</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td>22 Tr# 13 Int/Ext Relation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110°</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>S80232</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td>45°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gain 10 X A = 6.5 B = 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90°</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>S50233</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td>22 Tr# 14 Int/Ext Relation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110°</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>S80233</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td>45°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td>A = Ant Delt B = Post</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90°</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>S50234</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td>22 Tr# 15 Int/Ext Relation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110°</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>S80234</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td>60° Contraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td>A = 9.0 B = 5.0 Gain = 1X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90°</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>S50235</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td>22 Tr# 16 Int/Ext Relation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110°</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>S80235</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td>Contraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td>0° A = 4.0 B = 5.0 Gain = 1X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SSS Form #4**  
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRIAL</th>
<th>FILES</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Mitchell Fl.</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>SSO236</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td>New 5 TR #7 Int/Ext Rotation</td>
<td>Art Detl. Post Major Concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSO236</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSO236</td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>SSO237</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td>New 6 TR #7 Int/Ext Rotation</td>
<td>Art Detl. Post Major Concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSO237</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSO237</td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>SSO238</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td>New 6 TR #7 Int/Ext Rotation</td>
<td>Art Detl. Post Major Concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSO238</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSO238</td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>SSO239</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td>New 6 TR #7 Int/Ext Rotation</td>
<td>Art Detl. Post Major Concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSO239</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSO239</td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY.
NASA DATA

SUBJECT: Rich Smith

INVESTIGATOR(S): J. Clarke, T. Hardy

MOVEMENT: Internal/External Rotation of Shoulder

MOVEMENT DIAGRAM:
  a) Initial position: Neutral
  b) Direction of 1st movement: External Rotation
  c) Definition of 1 repetition: Internal Rotation

DATA FILE NAME: SHDINEX.DAT

MYOLAB I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CH</th>
<th>MUSCLE GRP</th>
<th>GAIN</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH B</td>
<td>Enfer. 2</td>
<td>1 = 2</td>
<td>1.706/1</td>
<td>-1.356</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MYOLAB II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CH</th>
<th>MUSCLE GRP</th>
<th>GAIN</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH A</td>
<td>Texas Mi</td>
<td>2 = 6</td>
<td>85%/1</td>
<td>-1.675</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GONIOMETER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JT1:</th>
<th>DOF MEASURED</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JT2:</th>
<th>DOF MEASURED</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[SAMPLING RATE: 2000 samples/sec] / [NUMBER OF INPUT CHANNELS ACTIVATED] = \rightarrow
SAMPLING RATE: 333 samples/sec

MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST MED SLOW

NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET: 6

NUMBER OF SETS: 2

INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 224800

COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 204800

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Graphs: stds/mckrot
NASA DATA

SUBJECT: Rich Seibert  
INVESTIGATOR(S): Clarke / Truly

DATE: 11/20/47

MOVEMENT: Shoulder internal/external rotation
  a) Initial position: Neutral
  b) Direction of 1st movement: external
  c) Definition of 1 repetition: in 1

MOVEMENT DIAGRAM:

DATA FILE NAME: SHDINEX Q. DAT

MYOLAB I
  MUSCLE GRP GAIN SCR N CH FSV BL
  CH A
  CH B

MYOLAB II
  MUSCLE GRP GAIN SCR N CH FSV BL
  CH A
  CH B

GONIOMETER
  DOF MEASURED SCR N CH FSV BL
  JT1: Raw Infraspinatus 2 5 .213 .024
  JT2:

[SAMPLING RATE: 2000] ÷ [NUMBER OF INPUT CHANNELS ACTIVATED 6] = 7
(SAMPLING RATE: 333 samples/sec MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST MED SLOW)

NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET: 8
NUMBER OF SETS: 2 2nd set = 6 reps.

INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 204,800
COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 204,400

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:
**NASA DATA**

**SUBJECT:** Rich Herbert  
**INVESTIGATOR(S):** Clarke / Trudy

**MOVEMENT:** Shd internal ext. rotation - Cocontraction  
**MOVEMENT DIAGRAM:**

- Initial position:  
- Direction of 1st movement:  
- Definition of 1 repetition:  

**DATA FILE NAME:** SHD INEX3.DAT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MYOLAB I</th>
<th>MUSCLE GRP</th>
<th>GAIN</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH B</td>
<td>Infra</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 = 2</td>
<td>1.708/1</td>
<td>.875</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| MYOLAB II |                |      |         |     |    |
|           | Torso Mj      | 4    | 2 = 6   | 1.708/1 | .945 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GONIOMETER</th>
<th>DOF MEASURED</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JT1:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT2:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
400 \div \frac{\text{NUMBER OF INPUT CHANNELS ACTIVATED}}{6} = 7
\]

- **SAMPLING RATE:** 66.6 samples/sec  
- **MOVEMENT SPEED:** FAST MED SLOW

- **NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET:** 48
- **NUMBER OF SETS:** 1

- **INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE:** 204800
- **COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE:** 003

- **ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:** Movement done with cocontraction
NASA DATA

SUBJECT: Rich Seibert

INVESTIGATOR(S): Trudy / Clarke

MOVEMENT: Shoulder Internal/Ext Rotation - Contraction
a) Initial position: Neutral
b) Direction of 1st movement: external
c) Definition of 1 repetition: ext to int to

DATA FILE NAME: SHDINEX4.DAT

MOVEMENT DIAGRAM:

INITIAL CURVE:
[Diagram of movement curve]

DATA FILE NAME:
MYOLAB I
MUSCLE GRP GAIN SCRN CH FSV BL
CH A
CH B
MYOLAB II
CH A
CH B

GONIOMETER
JT1:
JT2:

[DOF MEASURED SCRN CH FSV BL]

[SAMPLING RATE: \( \frac{2000}{3} \) \( \text{samples/sec} \)]

[NUMBER OF REPLICATIONS/SET: 6]

[NUMBER OF SETS: 2]

[INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 204800]

[COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 204800]

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Movement of Contraction
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRIAL FILES</th>
<th>DISK Type</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 S50220</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td>1/30</td>
<td>Trial 1</td>
<td>Internal/Ext</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 S50221</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td>1/30</td>
<td>Trial 2</td>
<td>Internal/Ext</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A = 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 S50222</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td>1/30</td>
<td>Trial 3</td>
<td>Internal/Ext</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 S50223</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td>1/30</td>
<td>Trial 4</td>
<td>Internal/Ext</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 S50224</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td>1/30</td>
<td>Trial 5</td>
<td>Internal/Ext</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 S50225</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td>1/30</td>
<td>Trial 6</td>
<td>Internal/Ext</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 S50226</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td>1/30</td>
<td>Trial 7</td>
<td>Internal/Ext</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 S50227</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
<td>1/30</td>
<td>Trial 8</td>
<td>Internal/Ext</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Sampling frequency adjusted.
Appendix C
SELSPOT Data Collection - Cover Sheet

Investigator: ________________________________
Study:  NASA Supination / Pronation

Date: ________________________________

Reference File: ________________________________  Disk: ____
Calibration File: ________________________________  Disk: ____

Subject Data:

Name: ____________________________________ phone __________
Age ________  Height ________  Weight ________

Segments Lengths:  Forearm ________  Thigh ________
                   Upper Arm ________  Shank ________
                   Trunk ________  Foot ________

Other: ____________________________________

LED Setup

1. Bottom of Ruler
2. Top of Ruler
3. ______________
4. ______________
5. ______________
6. ______________
7. ______________
8. ______________

Analog:

Abdominal / Erector / Flexor / Grip

Body Diagram

SSS Form #3
4/87
SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records

Investigator: Jensen/Clarke       Date: 5/19/87
Study: Nasa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRIAL</th>
<th>FILES All start from Supinated position</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>L1 12.519</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSO109      RAW DISK 23        A=Supination  B=Forearm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSO109      POS DISK 23          Tr#1 (4sec) Supination/Forearm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>110         POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SSO110      RAW DISK 23          Tr #2 Sup/Pre 3sec A=9 B=9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSO110      POS DISK 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>110         POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SSO1110     RAW DISK 23          Tr#3 Sup/Prevation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSO1110     POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>110         POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SSO112      RAW DISK 24          Tr#4 Supination/Bicep</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSO112      POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>112         POF DISK              Note: Holding Forearm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SSO113      RAW DISK 24          Tr#5 Sup/Bicep w/out</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSO113      POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>113         POF DISK              (4sec) Concentration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SSO114      RAW DISK 24          Tr#6 Supination/Bicep</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSO114      POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>114         POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SSO115      RAW DISK 4           Tr#7 Grasping A=Flex B=Ext 4sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSO115      POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>115         POF DISK              ( Unsupported Forearm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>SSO116      RAW DISK 4           Tr#8 Grasping A=Flex B=Ext</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSO116      POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>116         POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hand: Open

SSS Form #4
4/87

#7 & 8 Questioned placement of Flexor EMG
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRIAL</th>
<th>FILES</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>550117</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550117</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>550118</td>
<td>RAW DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550118</td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Calibration Data

Calibration File: C11.519
Creation Date: 5/11/74
Reference File: N14.519
Creation Date: 5/18/74

Investigator: ___________________________ Study: ___________________________

PROMS: ___________________________ Analog: ___________________________
AIM Alt: ___________________________

C3. VI: Field of View

Cam1
Cam2
X
23
24

Y
21
21

No. of Frames used in calibration:

Cam1: 100
Cam2: 100

Average Distance:

Cam1: 4.58
Cam2: 4.58

Camera Set-up: radius

Cam1: 153 cm
Cam2: 153 cm

angle, \( \theta \)

Cam1: 90°
Cam2: 90°

height

Cam1: 93.6 cm
Cam2: 93.6 cm

Diagram:

File Titles:

Comments:

Close range and - .95 scale factor

SSS: Form #1
3/87
Reference Creation

Reference File: Date: Disk: 

Investigator: Study: 

Reference Description: 

LED Coordinates (in mm) Detected Light Level Aperature

Cam1 Cam2

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

Analog:

Ch. Units Offset Scale Factor Description

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

Reference Diagram: (mark and number LED locations)

Reference plane:
front ________
back ________

For hanging reference: Front track Back track

SSS Form #1
4/87
NASA DATA

SUBJECT: Peter Russell
INVESTIGATOR(S): Russell

DATE: 11-10-87

MOVEMENT: WRIST EXTENSION fast without a hold
a) Initial position: Neutral
b) Direction of 1st. movement: Extension
c) Definition of 1 repetition: Extension - Neutral

MOVEMENT DIAGRAM:

DATA FILE NAME:  $E^T 2. DAT$

MYOLAB I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MUSCLE GRP</th>
<th>GAIN</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH A</td>
<td>FLEXORS</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>.864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH B</td>
<td>FLEXORS</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>.854</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MYOLAB II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOF MEASURED</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WEIST EXTENSION</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.708</td>
<td>1.460</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GONIOMETER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JT1:</th>
<th>JT2:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

SAMPLING RATE: 2000 samples/sec

MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST MED SLOW

2000/5 = 400 samples/sec/channel

NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET: 6

NUMBER OF SETS: 3

INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 20,4800

COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 354,21

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:
NASA DATA

SUBJECT: P. Russell

INVESTIGATOR(S): Russell

DATE: 11-10-87

MOVEMENT: Wrist flexion/extension - accelerated and decelerated

MOVEMENT DIAGRAM:

a) Initial position: Neutral
b) Direction of 1st movement: Extend
c) Definition of 1 repetition: Ext/Flx/Neutral

DATA FILE NAME: WRSTE FE

DATA FILE NAME:

MYOLAB I

MUSCLE GRP | GAIN | SCRN CH | FSV | BL
---|---|---|---|---
CH A | EXTENDERS | 6-7 | 1 | 1.708 | -72.0

CH B | FLEXORS | 5-6 | 2 | 1.708 | -68.3

MYOLAB II

CH A

CH B

GONIOMETER

DOF MEASURED | SCRN CH | FSV | BL
---|---|---|---
JT1: | WRIST/FLX/EXT | 3 | 1.708 | 1.376

JT2:

[SAMPLING RATE: 900 \( \div \) [NUMBER OF INPUT CHANNELS ACTIVATED 3 \( \div \).]]

SAMPLING RATE: 300 samples/sec MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST MED SLOW

NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET: 6 (SPACE OF BLANK DATA AFTER 1ST SET)

NUMBER OF SETS: 3

INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 604800

COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 68213

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:
NASA DATA

SUBJECT: P. Russell

INVESTIGATOR(S): P. Russell, T. Teule

DATE: 10/24/87

MOVEMENT: WRIST FLEXION/EXTENSION HORIZ. PLANE

a) Initial position: extended

b) Direction of 1st movement: flex

c) Definition of 1 repetition:

DATA FILE NAME: WHXEXT4DT2

MYOLAB I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MUSCLE GRP</th>
<th>GAIN</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH A</td>
<td>flexo</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.457/-222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH B</td>
<td>extens</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.854/-0.078</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MYOLAB II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOF MEASURED</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JT1:</td>
<td>flexo</td>
<td>3=5</td>
<td>1.708/2.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT2:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NASA DATA

SUBJECT: P. Russell

INVESTIGATOR(S): P. Russell, T. Tang

DATE: 10/25/87

MOVEMENT: WRIST FLEXION/EXTENSION - HORIZ. PLANE

a) Initial position: extended
b) Direction of 1st movement: flexion/extension
c) Definition of 1 repetition: flexion/extension

DATA FILE NAME: WFX EXH DAT

MYOLAB I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CH A</th>
<th>MUSCLE</th>
<th>GRP</th>
<th>GAIN</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>flexes</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>-113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>extensor</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MYOLAB II

CH A

CH B

GONIOMETER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JT1:</th>
<th>DOF MEASURED</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>flexion/extension</td>
<td>3 = 5</td>
<td>10708</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

JT2:

SAMPLING RATE: 300 samples/sec MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST

Sampling rate/channe = 40 samples/sec

NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET: 8

NUMBER OF SETS: 3

INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 102400

COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 8063

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Horizontal plane

Set 2 - data did not look good - reset gain on channel A
Appendix D
SELSPOT Data Collection - Cover Sheet

Investigator: ________________________________
Study: NASA Supination Pronation
Date: ________________________________
Reference File: ________________________________ Disk: ___
Calibration File: ________________________________ Disk: ___

Subject Data:
Name: ____________________________ phone ____________
Age ________ Height ________ Weight ________

Segments Lengths: Forearm ________ Thigh ________
Upper Arm ________ Shank ________
Trunk ________ Foot ________

Other: ________________________________

LED Setup
1. Bottom of Ruler
2. Top of Ruler
3. ________________________________
4. ________________________________
5. ________________________________
6. ________________________________
7. ________________________________
8. ________________________________

Analog:
Pronated
Supinated

Body Diagram

SSS Form #3
4/87
## SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records

**Investigator:** Jensen / M. Moses  
**Date:** 5/19/87

### Trial Files

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Files</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1     | raw_disk, pos_disk, pof_disk | LED1: Bottom 2: Top  
A: Supination  B: Pronation  
Gain A: 7  B: 7 |
| 2     | raw_disk, pos_disk, pof_disk | TR#2 Sup./Prc 3 sec  A: 7  B: 7 |
| 3     | raw_disk, pos_disk, pof_disk | TR#3 Sup./Prc note: |
| 4     | raw_disk, pos_disk, pof_disk | TR#4 Supination/Bicep  
(3 sec)  
Note: Holding Forearm |
| 5     | raw_disk, pos_disk, pof_disk | TR#5 Sup./Bicep  
(4 sec)  
Concentration |
| 6     | raw_disk, pos_disk, pof_disk | TR#6 Supination/Bicep  
(4 sec) |
| 7     | raw_disk, pos_disk, pof_disk | Flexion, Extension  
TR#7 Supination A: Flex 3 sec  
(1 sec) |
| 8     | raw_disk, pos_disk, pof_disk | TR#8 Supination D: Flex 3 sec  
Supported  Note: |

**SSS Form #4**  
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### SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records

**Investigator:** ________________  **Date:** 5/19/87

**Study:** ________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRIAL</th>
<th>FILES</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>.RAW DISK 5</td>
<td>TR#1, Gangng, Concentration/Suppressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.POS DISK</td>
<td>All journeys straight/moved together</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.POF DISK</td>
<td>A:6 B:3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 10    | .RAW DISK 5 | TR#10 Gangng, Concentration/Suppressed |
|       | .POS DISK | A:6 B:3 |
|       | .POF DISK | A:6 B:3 |

---

**SSS Form #4**
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Appendix E
NASA DATA

SUBJECT: P. Russell
INVESTIGATOR(S): Pam Russell, Matt Tands

DATE: 10/13/82

MOVEMENT: Thumb ADD/ABD w/ cocontraction
a) Initial position: ABDucted
b) Direction of 1st movement: ABDucted
c) Definition of 1 repetition: A8/AD

DATA FILE NAME: TADABC.DAT

MYOLAB I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MUSCLE GRP</th>
<th>GAIN</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH A</td>
<td>ABDucted</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MYOLAB II

| CH A | | | |
| CH B | | | |

GONIOMETER

| JT1: | |
| JT2: | |

SAMPLING RATE: 207 samples/sec

MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST MED SLOW

NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET: 8

NUMBER OF SETS: 3

INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 10240

COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 8704

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:
apply resistance to thumb
SUBJECT: P. Russell  
DATE: 10/15/87 

INVESTIGATOR(S): P. Russell  

MOVEMENT: Thumb ADD/ABD  
a) Initial position: abducted  
b) Direction of 1st movement: adduction  
c) Definition of 1 repetition: adduction

DATA FILE NAME: TAP AB .DAT

MYOLAB I  
CH A  
GAIN 5  
SCRN CH  
FSV 3 41 71 - 3 3

CH B  

MYOLAB II  
CH A  
CH B  

GONIOMETER  
JT1: 
JT2:

SAMPLING RATE: 200 samples/sec  
MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST  
NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET: 8

NUMBER OF SETS: 3

INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 10 2400

COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 7 362

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:
only adducting to index finger
(if flex thumb - get a small signal)
NASA DATA

SUBJECT: P. Russell

INVESTIGATOR(S): P. Russell, J. Tanis

MOVEMENT: Pinky ABD/ADD
   a) Initial position: ADD/ABDUCTION
   b) Direction of 1st movement: ADDUCTION
   c) Definition of 1 repetition: ABD/ADD

MOVEMENT DIAGRAM:
   HAND FORZ
   PAIR DOWN
   3-FINGERS HELD TOGETHER

DATA FILE NAME: PABA00.DAT

MYOLAB I
   MUSCLE GRP | GAIN | SCRN CH | FSV | BL
   CH A
   CH B

MYOLAB II
   MUSCLE GRP | GAIN | SCRN CH | FSV | BL
   CH A
   CH B

GONIOMETER
   DOF MEASURED  | SCRN CH | FSV | BL
   JT1:
   JT2:

SAMPLING RATE: 200 samples/sec  MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST MED SLOW
5 paralysis 200/2 = 100 samples/sec

NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET: 3
NUMBER OF SETS: 3

INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 102,400
COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 6,200

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:
Appendix F
SELSPOT Data Collection - Cover Sheet

Investigator: ____________________________________________

Study: NASA Shoulder - Abduction/Adduction

Date: ________________________________

Reference File: ____________________________ Disk: ___

Calibration File: ____________________________ Disk: ___

Subject Data:

Name: ________________________________ phone __________________

Age _________ Height _________ Weight _________

Segments Lengths: Forearm _________ Thigh _________

Upper Arm _________ Shank _________

Trunk _________ Foot _________

Other: __________________________

______________________________

LED Setup

1. Wrist __________________________

2. Elbow ________________________

3. Shoulder ______________________

4. ______________________________

5. ______________________________

6. ______________________________

7. ______________________________

8. ______________________________

Analog:

MIDDLE DEKTID - PROX END OF HUMERAL

POSTERIOR DEKTID

- laterals - anterior deltoid

______________________________

Body Diagram

SSS Form #3
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### LED Coordinates (in mm) Detected Light Level Aperature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>Cam1</th>
<th>Cam2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Analog:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ch.</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Offset</th>
<th>Scale Factor</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MV</td>
<td></td>
<td>.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reference Diagram:

Reference plane:
- front
- back

For hanging reference:
- Front track
- Back track

SSS Form #1
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Calibration Data

Calibration File: NSCAL.518
Creation Date: 5.19.84
Reference File: NSCAL.785
Creation Date: 5.19.84

Investigator: [Name]
Study: [Study]

FROMS: 200 Hz
AIM
Analogs?

C3.VI: Field of View

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cam1</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Cam2</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No. of Frames used in calibration:
Cam1 100
Cam2 100

Average Distance:
Cam1 5.235
Cam2 5.239

Camera Set-up: radius
angle, Ø
tilt
height
Cam1 93.6
Cam2 93.6

Diagram:

File Titles:

Comments:

SSS: Form #1
3/87
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRIAL</th>
<th>FILES</th>
<th>Gain</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550101 .RAW DISK 19</td>
<td></td>
<td>TR1 9 Elbow Flexion A: B icy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550101 .POS DISK 19</td>
<td></td>
<td>B: B icy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550101 .POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>550102 .RAW DISK 20</td>
<td></td>
<td>TR10 Elbow Flexion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550102 .POS DISK 20</td>
<td></td>
<td>A: B icy, B: B icy, Fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550102 .POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>550103 .RAW DISK 20</td>
<td></td>
<td>TR11 Elbow Flexion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550103 .POS DISK 20</td>
<td></td>
<td>A: B icy, B: B icy, Fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550103 .POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>550104 .RAW DISK 20</td>
<td></td>
<td>TR12 Elbow Flexion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550104 .POS DISK 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550104 .POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>550105 .RAW DISK 21</td>
<td></td>
<td>TR13 Elbow Flexion - Shoulder Flex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550105 .POS DISK 21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550105 .POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>550106 .RAW DISK 21</td>
<td></td>
<td>TR14 Elbow Flexion - Shoulder Flex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550106 .POS DISK 21</td>
<td></td>
<td>A: B icy, B: B icy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550106 .POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>550107 .RAW DISK 22</td>
<td></td>
<td>TR15 Elbow Flexion - Shoulder Flex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550107 .POS DISK 22</td>
<td></td>
<td>A: B icy, B: B icy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550107 .POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SSS Form #4
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NASA DATA

SUBJECT: Pam Russell
INVESTIGATOR(S): Russell / Truly / Clarke

DATE: 10/30/87

MOVEMENT: Reach

a) Initial position: Ext.
b) Direction of 1st movement: Flex - Shoulder + Elbow
*c) Definition of 1 repetition: Flex - Ext - Flex - Ext

DATA FILE NAME: Reach . DAT

MOVEMENT DIAGRAM:

DATA FILE NAME: Reach . DAT

MYOLAB I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MUSCLE GRP</th>
<th>GAIN</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH A</td>
<td>Ant Delt</td>
<td>1 = 1</td>
<td>.854</td>
<td>.690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH B</td>
<td>Bicep</td>
<td>2 = 2</td>
<td>.427</td>
<td>.019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MYOLAB II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MUSCLE GRP</th>
<th>GAIN</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH A</td>
<td>Tricep</td>
<td>3 = 6</td>
<td>.213</td>
<td>.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GONIOMETER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOF MEASURED</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JT1: Shoulder Flex / Ext</td>
<td>4 = 3</td>
<td>1.706</td>
<td>4.707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT2:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[SAMPLING RATE: 2000 samples/sec] ÷ [NUMBER OF INPUT CHANNELS ACTIVATED 5] = 7

SAMPLING RATE: 2000 samples/sec

MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST (MED) SLOW

NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET: 6
2 Full Sets

NUMBER OF SETS: 3
3rd Set = 5 reps

INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 204800

COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 204800

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:
* Shoulder Flex,
  Elbow - Flex,
  Elbow Ext
  Elbow Flex,
  Shoulder Flex
SELSPOET Data Collection - Cover Sheet

Investigator: Circile
Study: Wrist - Reaching
Date: 7/10/87

Reference File: Newref.7/10
Calibration File: Newcal.09/10

Disk: NASA 23
Disk: NASA 23

Subject Data:

Name: Steve Siders
Age: 25
Height: 
Weight: 

Segments Lengths: Forearm 
Upper Arm 
Trunk 

Thigh 
Shank 
Foot 

Other: 

LED Setup

1. Shoulder
2. Elbow
3. Wrist
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. 
8. 

Analog:

Bicep / Tricep
Ant. Deli / Lat. Dorsi

Body Diagram

SSS Form #3
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Calibration Data

Calibration File: NewCAL.710
Creation Date: 8/14/67
Reference File: Newref.710
Creation Date: 8/14/67

Investigator: Clarke
Study: NASA - Reaching

PROMS: 200 Hz
Analog?

AIM Alt:

C3.VI: Field of View

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cam1</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cam2</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No. of Frames used in calibration:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cam1</th>
<th>Cam2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Distance:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cam1</th>
<th>Cam2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>0.536</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Camera Set-up: radius Cam1 _____ Cam2 _____
angle, θ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>tilt</th>
<th>Cam1</th>
<th>Cam2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>height</th>
<th>Cam1</th>
<th>Cam2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diagram:

\[ r = \quad \theta = \quad d = \]

Cam __   Cam __

\[ r = \quad \theta = \quad d = \]

Cam __   Cam __

Comments:

SSS: Form #2
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Reference Creation

Reference File: NEWREF
Creation Date: 
Disk: NASA 23

Investigator: Clarke
Study: NASA Reach

Reference Description:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LED</th>
<th>Coordinates (in mm)</th>
<th>Detected Light Level</th>
<th>Aperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>54.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>54.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>54.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>54.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analog:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ch.</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Offset</th>
<th>Scale Factor</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference Diagram: (mark and number LED locations)

Reference plane:
front     
back

For hanging reference:  Front track     
Back track

SSS Form #1
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## SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records

**Investigator:** [Handwritten]  
**Study:** [Handwritten]  
**Date:** 5/12/87

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRIAL FILES</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 550286 .RAW DISK NASA5 TR#1 Reaching - Closed Fist</td>
<td>A: Biceps = 8  Gain = 1X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 550284 .RAW DISK NASA5 TR#2 Reaching</td>
<td>A: 4  B: 7 60 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 550288 .RAW DISK NASA5 TR#3 Reaching</td>
<td>A: Biceps - 4  90 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 550289 .RAW DISK NASA5 TR#4 Reaching</td>
<td>Didn't Stop!  S/N was off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 550290 .RAW DISK NASA5 TR#5 Reaching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 550291 .RAW DISK NASA6 TR#6 Reaching Cocontraction</td>
<td>A: 2  B: 4  90 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 550292 .RAW DISK NASA6 TR#7 Reaching w/ Cocontr</td>
<td>A: Biceps  B: Triceps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 550293 .RAW DISK NASA6 TR#8 Reaching w/ Cocontr</td>
<td>A: Biceps  B: Triceps  Cocontraction not as hard</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY**

**SSS Form #4 4/87**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Files</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Investigators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>SS0294 RAW DISK</td>
<td>Reaching Retract</td>
<td>A = B, T = T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SS0295 RAW DISK</td>
<td>TR #10 Reaching Retract</td>
<td>A = B, T = T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>SS0296 RAW DISK</td>
<td>Reaching</td>
<td>A = A, Delta = 1, Gain = 1X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>SS0297 RAW DISK</td>
<td>Reaching</td>
<td>Smoother Reach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>SS0298 RAW DISK</td>
<td>TR #13 Reaching</td>
<td>A = 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td>B = 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>SS0299 RAW DISK</td>
<td>TR #14 Reaching</td>
<td>A = 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td>B = 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>SS0300 RAW DISK</td>
<td>TR #15 Reaching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>SS0301 RAW DISK</td>
<td>Reaching - Cocontraction</td>
<td>A = Ant. Delta = 1.5, Gain = 1X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
<td></td>
<td>B = Lat. Dorsi = 5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRIAL</th>
<th>FILES</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>350302</td>
<td>RAW DISK 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>350303</td>
<td>RAW DISK 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>350304</td>
<td>RAW DISK 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>350305</td>
<td>RAW DISK 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POS DISK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>POF DISK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SSS Form #4
4/87
**NASA DATA**

**DATE:** 11/13/82

**SUBJECT:** Rick Salient

**INVESTIGATOR(S):** Clarke, T. Irish

**MOVEMENT:** REACHING

  a) Initial position: EXTENDED
  b) Direction of 1st movement: ELBOW FLEXION/SHOULDER ADDITION with ELBOW EXTENSION
  c) Definition of 1 repetition: ELBOW EXTENSION/SHOULDER EXTENSION with ELBOW FLEXION

**DATA FILE NAME:** REACH2. DAT

### MYOLAB I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CH</th>
<th>MUSCLE</th>
<th>GRP</th>
<th>GAIN</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH A</td>
<td>Tricep</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>-874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH B</td>
<td>Bicep</td>
<td>10 x 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1708</td>
<td>-898</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MYOLAB II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CH</th>
<th>MUSCLE</th>
<th>GRP</th>
<th>GAIN</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH A</td>
<td>Anterior Del</td>
<td>1 x 9</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1708</td>
<td>-200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH B</td>
<td>Posterior Del</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>-470</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GONIOMETER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JT1:</th>
<th>DOF</th>
<th>MEASURED</th>
<th>SCRN CH</th>
<th>FSV</th>
<th>BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JT2:</td>
<td>RAW Tricep</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>285</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RAW Bicep</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>-312</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SAMPLING RATE:** 2000 samples/sec  MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST MED SLOW

**NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET:** 6

**NUMBER OF SETS:** 2

**INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE:** 100 K

**COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE:** 

**ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:**

- **Set 1:** RAW DATA NO GOOD
  - Posterior Del out of scale

- **Set 2**