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ABSTRACT

An orbit for the classical Cepheid AW Per has been derived. Phase residuals from the light curve are consistent with the light-time effect from the orbit. The companion has been studied using IUE spectra. The flux distribution from 1300 to 1700 Å is unusual, probably an extreme BpS star, comparable to a B7V or B8V star. The flux of the composite spectrum from 1200 Å through V is well matched by F7b and B8V standard stars with ΔMv = 3.2. The mass function from the orbit indicates that the mass of the Cepheid must be greater than 4.7 M☉ if it is the more massive component. A B7V companion is compatible with the 1 σ lower limit (3.5 M☉) from the mass function. This implies that the Cepheid has the same mass, but the large magnitude difference rules this out. It is likely that the companion is itself a binary.
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1 ORBIT

An orbit for the Cepheid AW Per has been derived (Evans, Welch, and Scarfe, Ref. 1), with a period of 13100 ± 1000 days, a semi-amplitude of 1.14 ± 0.06 km sec⁻¹, and a mass function of 1.17 ± 0.50 M☉. This orbit is compatible with a light-time effect interpretation of the phase residuals in an O-C diagram. The large physical separation between the Cepheid and its companion make AW Per an excellent candidate for a geometric distance determination with the Hubble Space Telescope.

2. COMPANION

Because of the temperature difference between the Cepheid and its hot main sequence companion, IUE spectra provide 1000 Å of uncontaminated flux in which to study the companion. Although the spectrum roughly matches the flux distribution of a B8V star from the IUE Spectral Atlas from 1750 to 2000 Å, it differs significantly in detail. Figure 4 shows the comparison between long and short wavelength spectra of AW Per and the B8V standard 18 Tau. Specifically, AW Per has excess flux at 1600 Å. We have investigated a number of possible causes for the spectral peculiarities. No known reddening law can produce the flux distribution. The closest analogy is ApS stars, which show extra absorption at 1400 Å probably due to Sr autowavegation, and flux redistribution resulting in excess flux at 1600 Å. We have not found a comparison star which is an extreme example as AW Per, but the ApS star 56 Aq displays qualitatively similar features as compared with a normal B9V star. In searching unsuccessfully for a more exact match with AW Per B, the companion, the spectra of 29 stars were examined, including ApSs, BpSs, HgMn, He weak stars (especially those in clusters), and even BABs, which has excess flux at 1800 Å.

In order to investigate whether AW Per B is a main sequence star, a more luminous evolved star with nearly the same mass as the Cepheid, we have compared the luminosity of the companion in the SFP region (1200 to 2000 Å) with that of the hot companion of SU Cyn (B7.5 HgMn). This has been done by selecting observations at phases when the Cepheids are nearly the same temperature, and reddening them. The spectra were then scaled so that the Cepheids have the correct difference in absolute magnitude Mv according to the period-luminosity-color relation of Caldwell (Ref. 2), making small corrections for the difference from mean light at the time of observation and the contribution from the companion.

Figure 2 shows this comparison between AW Per and SU Cyn. AW Per B is approximately twice as bright as SU Cyn B. For comparison, Figure 3 shows spectra of B7V (19 III) and B8V (18 Tau), scaled so that they have the magnitude difference V given by the ZAMS calibration of Schmidt-Kaler (Ref. 8). The difference in the ultraviolet luminosity between AW Per B and SU Cyn B is consistent with what would be produced by two stars with main sequence luminosity and a difference of one spectral subclass. Attributing the luminosity difference to a small difference in spectral type is plausible, particularly considering the peculiarities in the spectrum of AW Per B.

The AW Per composite spectrum has been compared with standard star spectra from 1200 Å through V. The flux distribution is well matched by an F7b supergiant (45 Dra) and a B8V star with a magnitude difference ΔMv of 3.0/1.0

3. DISCUSSION

The mass function from the orbit is 1.17 ± 0.60 M☉. This puts a lower limit on the mass of the companion of 1.7 M☉, with a 1 σ lower limit on the mass of the companion of 3.5 M☉, assuming the Cepheid is the more massive star. Using the mass compilation of Popper (Ref. 6), the mass of B7V stars are 3.2 and 10 M☉ respectively. If the companion is a 4.0 M☉ single star, this implies that sin i = 90°, and the Cepheid is also a 4.0 M☉ star. However, the bolometric magnitude difference between the two stars (from the flux
distribution) of $2^{m}5$ to $2^{m}2$ is too large to be consistent with
two stars of nearly equal mass.

These mass estimates for the Cepheid can be compared
with evolutionary and pulsation masses computed from the
following sources: $B$- $V$ temperature calibration: Cox (Ref. 3),
eq 1; evolutionary masses ($Y = 0.28, Z = 0.02$ for all calcu-
lations) Becker, Iben and Tuggle (Ref. 1); pulsation masses
Faulkner (Ref. 5). Masses have been computed for both a
long distance scale (Caldwell) and a short distance scale (Schmidt,
Ref. 7). Both evolutionary masses (6.8 $M_{\odot}$ (Caldwell) and
6.4 $M_{\odot}$ (Schmidt) are much larger than 4.0 $M_{\odot}$. The same
is true of the pulsation mass for the long distance scale (5.4
$M_{\odot}$). Only the pulsation mass for the short distance scale
(4.0 $M_{\odot}$) is compatible with the mass implied by a single
companion.

On the other hand, if the companion is itself a double
star, the inclination may be lower, and the mass of the Cepheid
may be larger. This is to be investigated with a high disper-
sion IUE spectrum by Bohm-Vitense, Evans, and Welch.
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Figure 1 The comparison between AW Per (solid) and a B8V star (dots).
The B8V star (18 Tau) has been scaled. The contribution from the
Cepheid can be seen for wavelengths longer than 2600 $\AA$. All wave-
lengths are in $\AA$; all fluxes are in ergs sec$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ $\AA^{-1}$.
Figure 2. The comparison between AW Per B (solid) and SU Cyg B (dots). The spectra have been scaled according to the difference in absolute magnitude between the Cepheids. See text for discussion.

Figure 3. The comparison between a B7V star (top) and a B8V star (bottom). The spectra have been scaled according to the ZAMS absolute magnitude.