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Summary

The control point form of algebraic grid generation presented here provides the means that are needed to generate well-structured grids for turbomachinery flow simulations. It uses a sparse collection of control points distributed over the flow domain. The shape and position of coordinate curves can be adjusted from these control points while the grid conforms precisely to all boundaries. An interactive program called TURBO, which uses the control point form, is being developed. Basic features of the code are discussed and sample grids are presented. A finite-volume LU implicit scheme is used to simulate flow in a turbine cascade on the grid generated by the program.

Introduction

An interactive grid generation program called TURBO is being developed to generate well-structured grids for flow field simulations in turbomachinery. This program, which uses the control point form of algebraic grid generation [1], provides the means with which a balance can be achieved between the constraints from the geometry and desired grid structure.

Stator vanes and rotor blades of turbomachinery typically have a large camber, substantial thickness, and high solidity. These vanes and blades define highly constrained geometric regions for the flows in turbomachinery. Flow properties change rapidly in several subregions in which grid clustering is needed to resolve flow characteristics. The orthogonality desired in highly cambered blades conflicts with the periodicity constraints that are required by many flow solvers, and similarly the need to cluster the grid conflicts with the smoothness desired [2]. One of the best approaches to a sensible compromise between these conflicting demands would be to generate the grid interactively using a method that allows easy local control over the grid distribution.

The control point array is a sparse grid-type arrangement of locations in physical space with an index for each direction. In two dimensions, it will be denoted by \((C_v)\). As an algebraic method, the control point form provides explicit control of the physical grid shape and spacing through the dynamic movement of the control points. Figure 1 shows an example of a two-dimensional control point array.

A finite-volume lower-upper implicit scheme [4,5] is used to simulate a flow in an annular turbine cascade [6] on the grid generated by the interactive program.

Control Point Formulation

The control point array is a sparse grid-type arrangement of locations in physical space with an index for each direction. In two dimensions, it will be denoted by \((C_v)\). As an algebraic method, the control point form provides explicit control of the physical grid shape and spacing through the dynamic movement of the control points. Figure 1 shows an example of a two-dimensional control point array.

A fundamental part of the control point formulation is the construction of curves. This construction represents algebraic coordinate generation in a single direction wherein two opposing boundaries are connected by the newly created curves (figure 2). With the restriction to only a single curve, the opposing boundaries are each represented by a point. The first and last points lie on opposing boundaries and are the fixed end points of the curve. The remaining points are in the interior of the sequence and are used to control the shape of the curve. To enforce the successive assumptions of the desired direction in a smooth manner, a continuous direction field is obtained.
by interpolation. The independent variable for the interpolation is simply the curve parameterization. Altogether, the interpolated result defines the field of vectors that are tangent to the desired curve and is simply stated as an interpolation of the first parametric derivatives. This determines a smooth first derivative of the entire curve. The desired curve is then obtained by a parametric integration.

Let \( C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_M \) be the given sequence of \( M \) points in space as shown in figure 2 (e.g., \( C_{12}, C_{22}, \ldots, C_{52} \) for \( j = 2 \) in figure 1); let \( r \) be the curve parameterization; let \( E_j(r) \) be the position at \( r \) along the desired curve; let \( r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{M-1} \) be the successive parametric locations to interpolate the directions of \( (C_{2j} - C_{1j}), (C_{3j} - C_{2j}), \ldots, (C_{Mj} - C_{M-1,j}) \); and let \( \psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_{M-1} \) be the corresponding interpolation functions which successively separate each direction by assuming a nonzero value at the associated location while vanishing at the remaining locations for interpolation. With the notation, the desired curve is given by

\[
E_j(r) = C_{1j} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} G_\alpha(r) \left[ C_{\alpha+1,j} - C_{\alpha j} \right] \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, M
\] (1)

where

\[
G_\alpha(r) = \int_1^r \psi_\alpha(\mu)d\mu
\] (2)

To apply the method, the interpolation functions must be chosen. With local functions, the alteration of a control point results in an alteration of the constructed curve that is restricted to a local region about the point. The remaining regions are unaltered. Therefore local sections can be manipulated in an independent manner. The simplest local interpolants are the piecewise linear functions that do not vanish over at most two intervals defined by \( r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_{M-1} \). To further simplify the form of the multisurface transformation, the height of each interpolant shall be adjusted so that each interpolant integrates to unity. Then, the evaluation of the transformation at \( r_{M-1} \) will reduce to \( C_{Mj} \) by means of a telescopic collapse of terms. The development and application of such local controls is given in reference 3.

Similarly, for the index value of \( i \), a curve can be constructed:

\[
F_i(t) = C_{1i} + \sum_{\beta=1}^{N-1} H_\beta(t) \left[ C_{i,\beta+1} - C_{i\beta} \right] \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N
\] (3)

where \( t \) is the curve parameterization and \( H_\beta(t) \) is the integration of the multisurface interpolants. The tensor product form depends only upon \( C_{ij} \) and is given by

\[
T(r,t) = E_j(r) + \sum_{\beta=1}^{M-1} H_\beta(t) \left[ E_{\beta+1}(r) - E_\beta(r) \right] \quad (4)
\]

or alternatively by

\[
T(r,t) = F_i(t) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} G_\alpha(t) \left[ F_{\alpha+1}(t) - F_\alpha(t) \right] \quad (5)
\]

These two expressions are equivalent. The tensor product matches \( E_j \) or \( F_i \) at the extremities of \( i \) and \( j \).

When boundaries are to be specified, the corresponding data appear at the extremities of the values for \( r \) and \( t \). Since the coordinate transformations are generally expressed in the form of a vector \( P(r,t) \) for the desired positions of all points in physical space, it is convenient to express the boundary specifications in terms of the position vector. Thus, the boundaries are denoted by \( P(1,t), P(N-1,t), P(r,1), \) and \( P(r,M-1) \). To include the boundaries, the multisurface transformation is performed again as above, but now with the actual boundaries inserted. This results in a modification of \( T \) for the \( r \) and \( t \) directions, respectively. In each such directional construction, the actual boundaries appear as end conditions for the corresponding variable while the remaining boundaries are solely generated by the control points. Thus, by subtracting \( T \) from the sum of both directional constructions, the actual boundaries become end conditions for each variable. This process follows a Boolean sum format and upon simplification becomes

\[
Q(r,t) = T(r,t) + \alpha_1 \left[ 1 - G_1(r) \right] \left[ P(1,t) - F_1(t) \right]
\]

\[
+ \alpha_2 G_{N-1}(r) \left[ P(N-1,t) - F_{N-1}(t) \right]
\]

\[
+ \alpha_3 \left[ 1 - H_1(t) \right] \left[ P(r,1) - E_1(t) \right]
\]

\[
+ \alpha_4 H_{M-1}(t) \left[ P(r,M-1) - E_{M-1}(t) \right]
\] (6)

where each of the four terms following the tensor product \( T(r,t) \) represents a transfinite conformity to a boundary when each \( \alpha_i \) is 1. By setting any \( \alpha_i \) to 0, the corresponding boundary becomes available for free form modeling by means of the control points. In the order of appearance, the boundaries are for \( r = 1, r = N-1, t = 1, \) and \( t = M-1 \). Further details are given in reference 1.

**Finite-Volume LU Implicit Scheme**

An unconditionally stable implicit scheme that has error terms at most of order \( (\Delta t)^2 \) in any number of space dimensions can be derived by lower-upper (LU) factorization [4,5]. Splitting ensures the diagonal dominance of lower and upper factors and makes use of the built-in implicit dissipation.
The LU implicit scheme only requires the inversion of sparse triangular matrices, which can be done efficiently without using large storage.

Grid Generation Using TURBO

The basic interactive process of TURBO is illustrated with a rather simple geometry in figure 3. When fully developed, TURBO will be a menu-driven code that operates by use of the mouse only without using any keys on the keyboard. The first graphic display in a TURBO session is a view which shows an initial control net overlaid on an initially computed grid. If needed, with a stroke of a key, the user can call upon the graphical help system of TURBO at any time. The display tells users where they are in the interactive process and what options are currently available. The help system at this stage is shown in figure 4. The double circles in the middle (one red circle and one blue circle on the screen) tell the user that the process is at the “BASIC VIEW.” Various activities one can engage in from the “BASIC VIEW” are displayed in smaller circles. The arrows on the lines indicate possible directions to go from the “BASIC VIEW” to one of the interactive features, and letters in the squares and rectangles indicate actions needed. Currently available options are listed on the left-hand side of the screen. At the “BASIC VIEW,” for example, three options are listed: (1) Q, to quit from the TURBO session, (2) N, to scroll the graphic display from the control net and grid combination to the grid only, and then to the control net only, and (3) W, to read in previously created control net data.

After the initial grid is obtained, a user may want to have a closer look at the grid. Pressing the V-key gets the user to the “MODIFY VIEW” feature shown in figure 4. The help system now has two circles around the “MODIFY VIEW,” and provides options to expand, to translate, and to rotate the graphic object on the screen. With these options the user can expand and examine the grid closely to identify the area where the grid structure needs improvement. Pressing the R-key returns the process back to the “BASIC VIEW” with the expanded graphic object.

Selection and translation of control points are accomplished by clicking the keys on the mouse and translating it. After the control net is modified, a new grid is computed by simply pressing a key (C-key for compute). Then the program computes a new grid based on the modified control net and returns the process back to the “BASIC VIEW.”

Other features of TURBO can be accessed and used in a similar fashion. They include (1) insertion and deletion of control lines (“MODIFY CONTROL NET” feature in figure 4), (2) rubber banding (or rubber sheeting) which allows the movement of several control points (or a group of control points) simultaneously, and (3) a dynamic change of the total number of control points and grid points.

Flow Simulation

As a means of demonstrating the usefulness of this grid generation technique, the finite-volume LU implicit scheme [5] was used to simulate a flow in a turbine cascade on the grid generated by TURBO. The computed loading diagram is compared with measured data [6] in figure 7. It shows good agreement. Static pressure contours in the flow field of the stator vane are presented without comparison in figure 8 because of insufficient experimental data. The overall pressure distribution is reasonable.
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Figure 1.—Control net—an example in two dimensions.

Figure 2.—Construction of a curve.

Figure 3.—Basic interactive process.
Figure 4.—Graphical help system.

Figure 5.—Grid generation for a cambered stator vane.
Figure 6.—Grid generation for a compressor rotor blade.

(a) Grid generated by GRAPE.
(b) Attached control net.
(c) Modified control net.
(d) Modified grid.
Figure 7.—Loading diagram.

Figure 8.—Static pressure contour.
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**Abstract**

The control point form of algebraic grid generation presented here provides the means that are needed to generate well-structured grids for turbomachinery flow simulations. It uses a sparse collection of control points distributed over the flow domain. The shape and position of coordinate curves can be adjusted from these control points while the grid conforms precisely to all boundaries. An interactive program called TURBO, which uses the control point form, is being developed. Basic features of the code are discussed and sample grids are presented. A finite-volume LU implicit scheme is used to simulate flow in a turbine cascade on the grid generated by the program.