ACTIVE SPACECRAFT POTENTIAL CONTROL – AN ION EMITTER EXPERIMENT
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ABSTRACT

Charging of the outer surface or entire structure of a spacecraft in orbit can have a severe impact on the scientific output of the instruments. Typical floating potentials for magnetospheric satellites (from +1 to +50 V in sunlight) make it practically impossible to measure the very cold component of the ambient plasma. The Cluster spacecraft will be instrumented with ion emitters for charge neutralisation. The emitters will produce Indium ions at approximately 6 keV. The ion current will be adjusted in a feedback loop with instruments measuring the spacecraft potential (EFW and PEACE). A stand-alone mode is also foreseen as a backup.

The design of the active spacecraft potential control instrument (ASPOC), especially of the ion emitters, is presented in detail. The operating principle is field evaporation of Indium in the apex field of a needle. The advantages are low power consumption, compactness, and high mass efficiency.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Spacecraft in the Earth's magnetosphere and in the solar wind environment charge electrostatically in response to various charging currents. The most important currents are due to photo-emission caused by sunlight, plasma currents due to the environmental electrons and ions, and secondary electron currents caused by the impact of primary electrons and ions. In steady state a spacecraft will charge to an equilibrium potential where the sum of the currents vanish so that there is no net transfer of charge between the spacecraft and the environment. (See Refs. 1-2 for reviews.)

In the Earth's ionosphere and plasmasphere where the plasma densities are relatively high, the dominant currents are the plasma ion and electron currents. Spacecraft in these regions charge to negative potentials of a few volts because of the lower mass and consequently higher thermal velocities of the electrons as compared to the ions. Outside the plasmasphere where the plasma density is low, and as long as the electron temperature is not too high (less than a few keV), current balance to a spacecraft is usually between the plasma electron current and photo-emission, and spacecraft potentials are a few volts positive. In extremely low density plasmas such as in the
2. SCIENTIFIC OBJECTIVES AND CAPABILITIES

2.1 Reasons for Satellite Charge Control

The primary motivation for active spacecraft potential control (ASPOC) is to insure effective, complete measurement of the ambient plasma distribution functions. Additional benefits may be found in improved data for the long wire electric field measurement, and the electron beam probe for electric fields.

Typical floating potentials for magnetospheric satellites of up to +50 V obscure, or render impossible the measurement of the core of the ion distribution function, which has a thermal energy comparable to

\[ I_a = 2.7 \times 10^{-7} \, n \, \sqrt{T} \] \hspace{1cm} \text{(A)}

\[ V = 27.2 - 7 \ln (n \, \sqrt{T}) \] \hspace{1cm} \text{(B)}

where \( n \) is in electrons/cm\(^2\) and \( T \) in eV. These relations are shown in Fig. 1 which has contours of constant potential and constant plasma electron current as a function of electron density and electron temperature. Schmidt and Pedersen (Ref. 5) have suggested somewhat higher values for photo-electron current. If we use a value of 5 nA cm\(^{-2}\), then the equilibrium potentials must all be increased by 16 V.

Figure 1. Contours of calculated spacecraft potential as function of ambient plasma parameters.

Figure 2. Data from the SCATHA satellite, in sunlight and eclipse, at local midnight, near geosynchronous orbit.
the satellite potential. This problem was indicated by discrepancies in density calculations from satellites such as GEOS-1 (Ref. 7). The densities inferred from ion spectrometers, for example, did not agree with the total electron density measurements obtained from wave techniques. Measurements in eclipse, made on ATS-6, SCATHA, and DE-1 have shown the appearance of previously 'hidden' ion populations, invisible in sunlight (Ref. 8).

Figure 2, from Ref. 8, shows ion distribution functions for the outer plasmasphere. The 90 degree measurements (upper panel) show the low temperature plasma visible in an eclipse. The field-aligned component (lower panel) shows how deceptive the sunlight measurements can be — the high energy tail has a different temperature than the core of the plasma distribution. These unique measurements of the outer plasmasphere, at the equator, were possible only because the absence of the photo-current allowed the satellite potential to fall to near 0 V. Unfortunately, this situation is a rather rare occurrence, since it is only possible at local midnight, in the near-Earth regions.

Other fundamental problems occur in the measurement of the anisotropic distributions outside the plasmasphere, such as the field aligned flows which make up the polar wind (Ref. 9). The bulk of the distribution is lost due to the satellite potential, and it is not possible to obtain fundamental parameters such as density, temperature, and flow velocity for H+.

Figure 3. Representative spacecraft I-V characteristics, showing effect of active ion emission on S/C potential.

Also electron measurements gain by satellite potential control: Usually, the low energy portion of the electron spectra is contaminated by photo-electrons from the satellite surface, trapped by the positive satellite potential. Such effects can cause substantial errors in interpreting electron spectra, as illustrated by Ref. 1 in their critique of Jovian measurements of low energy electrons. The ambient distribution is distorted by the satellite sheath, so that high order moments of the distribution function are not determined correctly. Automatic calculation of these moments, in particular, is made more difficult. For example, Ref. 12 used moment techniques to analyze solar wind and Jovian plasma data. Their analysis required substantial work to obtain the satellite I-V characteristic, firstly to obtain the satellite potential, and secondly to correct for the effect. Even then, their magnetospheric (Jovian) moment calculations were questionable, because the calibration technique used solar wind plasmas, which differ in temperature, and hence second-order production, from the magnetosphere plasmas.

Deleterious effects of S/C charging also extend to electric field measurements. The double probe technique to measure small electric fields.

2.2 Technique of Spacecraft Potential Control

The basic approach to the active control of spacecraft potential involves the emission of charges from the S/C sufficient to balance the excess of charge accumulating on the vehicle from the environment. For the case of primary concern here, where photo-emission of electrons drives the S/C potential positive relative to the plasma potential, it is necessary to emit positive ions. One approach to the technique has, for example, been described in Ref. 3. This is illustrated by reference to Fig. 3. In the upper portion (a) the normal floating potential, indicated by "Vf", occurs where the photo-electrically emitted current equals the collected current from the ambient plasma (as shown above). If additional positive current (Is+) is emitted, this can be represented in the Figure by shifting the ambient current curve by an amount equal to Is (assumed to be constant). Such a curve is shown in the Figure and labelled "Is+s".

The intersection with the photo-emission curve occurs at a lower potential (Vs); hence this arrangement reduces the floating potential of the S/C. By adjusting the positive emission current, the spacecraft potential...
can thus be adjusted to near zero. Under other ambient plasma conditions, say a more tenuous plasma as in Fig. 3b, the normal floating potential $V_f$ would be greater than in the previous case.

Again, a curve $I_{a+s}$ shows the effect of positive ion emission on reducing the S/C potential. In this case, a slightly higher emission current would be needed to bring the S/C potential to the same value as the case in Fig. 3a.

### 2.3 Operational Modes

At the heart of the system for active control of the S/C potential is a device which emits a constant, but adjustable current of In$^+$ ions. The device itself is discussed in detail below. Here we describe how the device is used for potential control.

There are two basic operation modes. In one mode a measurement of the S/C potential is supplied to ASPOC by either the electric field experiment (EFW) or the electron analyser (PEACE) and this information is then used to adjust the emission current sufficient to reduce the S/C potential to within some predetermined value, as described in the discussion of Fig. 3. Referring to Fig. 1, we see that over most of the plasma regime of interest, photo-emission dominates the current balance to the spacecraft, and the required ion emission current to control the S/C potential would be the order of a few tens of mA. In the case of plasma current domination, the required emission current would be smaller.

The amount of current is determined by the on-board stored knowledge of the I-V characteristics of the spacecraft. From a considerable amount of previous experience (Refs. 4-6), the I-V characteristics of typical S/C are already well-known. But we also foresee the necessity for a measurement of this characteristic for each Cluster S/C at the beginning of the mission, and occasionally a re-measurement to account for changes in the photo-emission properties of the surface. (This measurement is simply carried out by measuring S/C potential as function of ion emission current, over some convenient range.) Note that it is also possible to obtain information on the S/C potential from low energy plasma experiments, if these experiments perform appropriate on-board processing of their data.

Apart from providing a highly improved environment for other experiments, scientific investigations of the photoelectric characteristics, dependence of the S/C potential on plasma parameters, and of S/C charging in different plasma environments can be carried out in this mode. In accordance with the scientific community the optimum ion current can be varied in a defined way for a short time to enable the co-operating plasma experiments to calibrate their response to S/C potential variations between the unregulated and the clamped, near-zero values.

In case no signal from EFW or PEACE is available, a second mode of operation involves setting the emission current to some predetermined value based on the S/C I-V characteristics, and perhaps a measure of the ambient plasma density and temperature. In this mode, the emission current must be set to a level to ensure that the S/C potential is not driven negative. The control of potential would not be as good in this case as in the first mode described, but could still be used to reduce the S/C potential to a few volts positive relative to the ambient plasma potential.

### 2.4 Precautions Against Interference With Other Experiments

#### 2.4.1 Electromagnetic Compatibility and Beam/Spacecraft Interaction

Any harmful effect on any other experiment by actively controlling the S/C potential is unlikely if the emission current is kept within reasonable limits. However, the necessity of operating the emitter in close consultation with all the other experimenters is acknowledged.

The emission of a charged particle beam into a plasma is a potential source of electromagnetic noise. The extent of this possibility for the case of ASPOC is not yet well understood, especially since a dedicated active potential control experiment using an ion beam has not yet been operated on a spacecraft. A first attempt will be undertaken on the Soviet Interball mission planned to be launched in 1990 (Ref. 13). This instrument basically includes the same liquid metal ion source and its routine operation is expected to shed more light on the understanding of the beam-plasma interaction.

Theoretical work on the triggering of activity by beams with current densities as low as in the proposed experiment and at 6 keV is practically non-existent in the literature. Ion beams with two orders of magnitude higher current densities were injected into the ionosphere and generated waves around the lower hybrid resonance frequency. Other experiments found increased emission in the magnetic field around the local electron gyrofrequency. In this case the electric field antenna did not measure any effect.

It is known that a small opening angle of a beam tends to increase the probability of wave generation. For this reason the beam focusing system is designed for a large opening angle of 15° half width.

#### 2.4.2 Chemical Contamination by the Ion Beam

Chemical interaction that could be considered as potential concerns to the spacecraft or instruments covers:

- Condensation of neutral Indium in the vicinity of the ion emitter,
- Return to the spacecraft of In$^+$ ions after one or more gyrations,
- Interaction of the ion beam with S/C surfaces.
The condensation of neutral Indium on cold surfaces is extremely unlikely. The vapour pressure of Indium is only $1.1 \times 10^{-19}$ Torr at the melting point and the total surface from which Indium evaporates is in the order of $1 \text{ mm}^2$ for the active, hot emitter and about $9 \text{ mm}^2$ for the surfaces at the environmental temperature. Furthermore, a baffle is provided by the beam focusing electrodes together with the orifice orifice. Neutrals that penetrate through the orifice are expected to drift freely into the ambient plasma. Their return to the S/C skin can only occur through two unlikely processes: the first possibility is that due to collisions the path of the neutrals is reflected back towards the spacecraft. The typical mean free path length is of the order of $>1000 \text{ m}$ if the spacecraft generated atmosphere in its close vicinity was a bad $10^{-6}$ Torr; it would be in excess of $10^3 \text{ m}$ if the pressure dropped below $10^{-5}$ Torr. Hence, collisions are extremely unlikely to occur. Another possibility would be introduced by photo-ionisation of Indium and attraction of these ions by negatively charged, non-conductive and shadowed surfaces. The photo-ionisation efficiency at 1 AU is in the order of $10^{-4}$. The particle density, inferred from the Indium partial pressure of $10^{-13}$ Torr at nominal operating temperature, is $n = 10^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$, which yields a negligible amount of In$^+$ to be produced in the neighbourhood of the S/C. A condensation of Indium, even after lengthy laboratory tests was never found inside the vacuum tank.

The return of the In$^+$ beam to the spacecraft can be assessed in the following way. The typical ion energy will be about 6 keV. Ions come back to the S/C whenever the beam injection takes place about perpendicular to the ambient magnetic field. In the Cluster orbit the magnetic field encountered in the near-apogee and -apogee segments of the orbit will reach respectively about 1000 nT and 10 nT. This yields for the Indium gyration period, s, and radius, $R_g$:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{s} & R_g & B \\
\text{[sec]} & \text{[km]} & \text{[nT]} \\
\text{perigee} & 7.5 & 120 & 1000 \\
apogee & 750 & 12000 & 10 \\
\end{array}
\]

2.4.3 Negative S/C Potential Problems. There are two basic constraints for active ion beam emission. It is not possible to operate the instrument at total pressures $>3 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr for extended time periods (>1 hour). When the uncontrolled S/C potential is negative with respect to the ambient plasma routine operation of ASPOC is not foreseen to avoid driving the S/C potential more negative.

Only the latter condition is relevant for the Cluster orbit. In feed-back mode the onboard software of the instrument will switch off the ion beam. In standalone mode the beam current is set to a pre-determined value derived from theoretical considerations and preceding in-flight tests. This value can be pre-programmed to vary according to the expected plasma environment along the trajectory including a safety margin to avoid beam-induced negative charging under abnormal conditions.

From an operational point of view negative charging is expected to occur in the following orbital phases:

- eclipse
- radiation belt crossing
- plasma density higher than $1000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, plasma-sphere and near-perigee parts of the orbit

3. TECHNICAL SOLUTION

3.1 Liquid Metal Ion Source (LMIS)

3.1.1 Operating Principle. The ion emitter is a "solid needle" — type liquid metal ion source, previously described in the literature using Indium as charge material (Refs. 14-17). A solid needle, usually made of Tungsten (W), with a tip radius between 2 and 15 $\mu$m is mounted in a heated reservoir with the charge material (Fig. 4). A potential of $5 - 10 \text{ kV}$ is applied between the needle and an extractor electrode. If the needle is well wetted by the metal, the electrostatic stress at the needle tip pulls the liquid metal towards the extractor electrode. This stress is counteracted by the surface tension forces of the liquid. One of the equilibrium configurations the liquid surface can assume is that of a Taylor-cone (Ref. 18) with a total tip angle of 98.6°. The apex of the Taylor-cone in practice reaches a diameter of 1 to 5 nm (Ref. 19). The field evaporation of positively charged metal atoms in the strong apex field leads to emission of a high brightness external ion beam from this cone apex with a beam brightness of the order of $10^6 \text{ A cm}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ at 10 keV beam energy.

Since the emission zone is in the liquid state, ions leaving the surface can be continuously replenished by hydrodynamic flow of liquid metal from the reservoir to the needle apex so that a stable emission can be maintained.

Due to the extremely high source brightness, the LMIS is particularly suited for formation of microfo-
cused ion beams and has found wide application in microelectronic technology: ion beam lithography, writing ion implantation (Ref. 20), ion microfabrication (Ref. 21) and microanalysis (Ref. 22). The basic technology is well developed on an industrial basis. Past space applications, however, have not been reported with exception of laboratory development of a field emission electrical propulsion system (FEEP; Ref. 23). The advantages of the LMIS principle are:

- low power consumption; mostly determined by the heater power to keep the Indium reservoir above 429 K;
- high mass efficiency;
- compactness and low mass; one individual emitter has a volume of 170 mm³ and a mass < 5.2 g.

Indium is chosen as ion source charge material because of its low vapour pressure. This prevents contamination of the source insulators and ambient S/C surfaces. On the other hand, the melting point is high enough that melting of an unheated source charge cannot occur even at the maximum expected elevated environmental temperature.

Laboratory tests (Ref. 24) revealed that the dominant emission component is the singly charged Indium species (115 AMU) with minor contributions from singly and doubly charged Indium clusters. According to measured spectra, the average charge number per emitted charged Indium atom is 0.976. At an emission current of 10 μA, the energy width is 150 eV, but a low intensity, low energy tail down to more than 500 eV below nominal beam energy can be expected.

3.1.2 Design of Emitter Module. Figure 5a shows the schematic design of an ion emitter module. One such module consists of an array of 5 individual ion emitters which are operated one at a time. The individual emitters (Fig. 5b) are of cylindrical geometry (1). The Indium and the needle (2) are kept at high tension (HT) by the HT supply (3). The LMIS’s are individually and indirectly heated by a thick film resistor embedded into a ceramic insulator tube. Al₂O₃ (4), (5) was chosen for superior high voltage insulation characteristics. This scheme enables the source to be heated from a grounded power supply (6) and the tip itself still being kept at the HT. The individual emitters are mounted in a slab of porous ceramic (7) with extremely low heat conduction (< 5.10⁻⁴ W K⁻¹ cm⁻¹). All emitters have a common extraction- and focusing lens arrangement consisting of a grounded extractor electrode (8), a focusing electrode (9) at beam potential and a second ground electrode (10). The three electrodes (8)-(10) constitute a unipotential lens with the tip apex located in one focal point. The divergent ion beam (opening angle ~30°) emitted from the tip is focused by this lens into a nominally parallel beam after passage through the ground electrode (10). All outer surface grounds (14) will be connected to S/C chassis ground. The cold secondary side of the HT supply, which is connected to the inner shield (12) and focusing system (8, 10) inside the emitter housing, will be floating.
4. INSTRUMENT DATA SUMMARY

The main instrument data are summarised in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electronics box</td>
<td>1080 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Emitter modules</td>
<td>320 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1400 g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electronics box</td>
<td>180 x 125 x 75 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emitter modules</td>
<td>60 mm Ø x 45 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall dimensions</td>
<td>180 x 125 x 120 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Power | 3.0 W |
| Telemetry rate | 100 bit/s |
| Design life time | 10 000 hours |
| Emission | In⁺ (115 AMU) at 5 - 6 keV, <50 µA |

Beam geometry
- Opening angle (half maximum) : ±15°
- Viewing direction : along spin axis

Table 2. Instrument Data Summary.

5. CONCLUSION

Charging of the outer surface or entire structure of a spacecraft in orbit can have a severe impact on the scientific output of the instruments. The ASPOC instrument is designed to control the surface potential of the Cluster spacecraft to near zero values for all of their operational life time. Apart from providing an improved environment, scientific investigations of the photoelectric characteristics and S/C charging can be carried out.

The instrument's small size, mass, and power consumption qualify it for the special requirements of the Cluster mission.
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