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ABSTRACT

Rocket engines using liquid oxygen (LOX) and hydrocarbon fuel as the propellants are being given serious consideration for future launch vehicle propulsion. Normally, the fuel is used to regeneratively cool the combustion chamber. However, hydrocarbons such as RP-1 are limited in their cooling capability. Another possibility for the coolant is the liquid oxygen. Combustion chambers previously tested with LOX and RP-1 as propellants and LOX as the coolant have demonstrated the feasibility of using liquid oxygen as a coolant up to a chamber pressure of 13.8 MPa (2000 psia). However, there has been concern as to the effect on the integrity of the chamber liner if oxygen leaks into the combustion zone through fatigue cracks that may develop between the cooling passages and the hot gas side wall.

In order to study this effect, chambers were fabricated with slots machined upstream of the throat between the cooling passage wall and the hot gas side wall to simulate cracks. The chambers were tested at a nominal chamber pressure of 8.6 MPa (1247 psia) over a range of mixture ratios from 1.9 to approximately 3.1 using liquid oxygen as the coolant. The results of the testing showed that the leaking LOX did not have a deleterious effect on the chambers in the region of the slots. However, there was unexplained melting in the throat region of both chambers, but not in line with the slots.

I. INTRODUCTION

Preliminary design studies1,2 for future space transportation systems have shown a need for high pressure booster engines using liquid oxygen (LOX) and a hydrocarbon fuel as the propellants. The candidate hydrocarbons for future launch systems are RP-1, propane, and methane.

Typically, the fuel in a rocket engine is used to regeneratively cool the combustion chamber. The disadvantage of RP-1, and even the lighter paraffinic hydrocarbon propane, is decomposition (coking) in the cooling passages and corrosion of the copper wall by trace amounts of sulfur-containing compounds. However, recent testing3 has shown that RP-1 and methane can be used as coolants at coolant side wall temperatures up to 304 °C (580 °F) and 499 °C (930 °F), respectively, without coking or corrosion if there are no sulfur-containing compounds present.

Because of the problems associated with hydrocarbon regenerative cooling, liquid oxygen is being considered as an alternative coolant. There are two concerns with LOX as a coolant: 1) its effectiveness as a coolant, and 2) its effect on the chamber liner if cracks develop. Analyses1,4 and experimental work5-7 have shown that oxygen can cool rocket engines at chamber pressures up to 27.6 MPa (4000 psia) and 8.6 MPa (1250 psia), respectively, and still maintain reasonable pressure drops. In the experimental work fatigue cracks developed in the throat regions at chamber pressures of 4.1 MPa (600 psia) and 8.6 MPa (1250 psia) and the leaking LOX coolant had no effect on the chamber wall. However, there was still some concern as to whether oxygen leaking through cracks between the injector and the throat, where the boundary layer has not been fully developed and there is still combustion occurring, would affect the integrity of the thrust chamber.

The objective of this program was to evaluate the effect of oxygen leaking into the combustion zone through cracks upstream of the thrust chamber throat, and to acquire more experience using liquid oxygen as a coolant. Two thrust chambers had slots machined upstream of the throat between the cooling passage wall and the hot gas side wall to simulate cracks. These LOX-cooled thrust chambers were tested at a nominal chamber pressure of 8.6 MPa (1247 psia) over a range of mixture ratios from 1.9 to approximately 3.1. This paper presents the results of those tests.
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II. APPARATUS

Injector

Figure 1 shows a 61-element triplet injector with 4 radial rings of elements and a central quad element that provided 3 oxidizer streams impinging on a straight fuel stream. The three innermost radial rings were arranged in an oxidizer-fuel-oxidizer (O-F-O) sequence to provide good propellant mixing, fuel vaporization, and mass flux distribution. The outermost radial ring consisted of F-O doublet showerheads to provide more fuel in the outer zone, which resulted in film cooling of the chamber wall. More details of the injector are given in table I.

Resonator

A water-cooled resonator, as shown in figure 2, was used in this investigation to provide stable combustion. It was composed of 16 acoustic cavities arranged evenly around its inside surface. The resonator was placed between the chamber and the injector. The cavities were in line with the chamber at its edge and were 3.63 cm (1.43 in.) long. The injector formed the inner wall of the cavities which was 2.54 cm (1.0 in.) long. This corresponded to a quarter wave tube to dampen the second tangential frequency of 9700 cycles/sec which was the expected frequency of the combustion oscillations driving the instability. The hydrogen-oxygen spark torch igniter was located in the resonator wall just downstream of the acoustic cavities.

Combustion Chamber

Figure 3 shows the dimensions of the combustion chambers used in this test program. The hot gas liners were fabricated from oxygen-free, high conductivity (OFHC) copper and contained 100 axial milled channels for the coolant passages. The passages were closed out with electroformed nickel. The details of the coolant channel dimensions are shown in figure 4.

Two combustion chambers were tested in this program. Chamber 702 is shown in figure 5 during a firing. To determine the effects of cracks occurring upstream of the throat, both chambers were fabricated with two machined slots 180° apart. The machined slots were not in the same circumferential location in the two chambers relative to the injector. Instead, the slots in chamber 702 were 45° off from those in chamber 703. The slots were 1.0 cm (.40 in.) long and .0127 cm (.05 in.) wide. On chamber 702, the machined slots were located 7.0 cm (2.75 in.) upstream of the throat, and on chamber 703 they were located 19.0 cm (7.5 in.) upstream of the throat, as shown in figure 6. Figure 7 shows a close-up view of one of the slots in chamber 702. The thrust chambers were instrumented with Chromel/Constantan thermocouples imbedded in the rib between coolant channels approximately 1.30 mm (.051 in.) from the hot gas wall. Both chambers had 20 thermocouples evenly spaced at 4 circumferential locations in 5 axial positions. As shown in figure 6, the axial positions were 24.13 cm (9.50 in.) upstream of the throat, 16.50 cm (6.50 in.) upstream of the throat, 4.44 cm (1.75 in.) upstream of the throat, at the throat, and at the machined slots. The LOX in the coolant passages used a separate feed system from the LOX flowing through the injector. The LOX coolant was countercurrent to the combustion gases and flowed at approximately the same flowrate as the LOX used as the oxidizer.

Test Facility

This program was conducted at the NASA Lewis Research Center Rocket Engine Test Facility, a 222 400-N (50 000 lbf) sea-level rocket test stand equipped with a water-cooled exhaust-gas muffler and scrubber. The scrubber cools the exhaust gases by spraying them with 1200 liters/sec (19 000 gal/min) of water. Details of the facility are shown in figures 5. Figure 5 shows the thrust stand above the exhaust-gas scrubber with chamber 702 mounted in place. Control room operations during testing included monitoring of the test hardware by means of two closed-circuit video cameras and one test-cell microphone. The output of one video camera and the microphone is recorded on video tapes for later playback. Two high speed photographic cameras record each firing at a rate of 400 frames/sec. Also, a 35 mm camera photographs the firings at 2 frames/second for still pictures.

During each test, data is recorded using a transient data acquisition and recording system that records data every .02 seconds and averages the data over 5 recordings, with the average reported every 0.1 seconds. The data is then transferred to a centrally located mainframe computer so that it can be easily accessed.

III. TEST PROCEDURE

The combustion chambers were tested at a nominal chamber pressure of 8.6 MPa (1247 psia) and over a mixture ratio (O/F) range of 1.91 to 3.10. Table II gives the test conditions for the test series. The hydrogen-oxygen torch igniter, inserted into the combustion area through a port in the resonator, was started prior to the main propellant flow and supplies the energy necessary to start the LOX/CH₄ combustion. Before RP-1 was brought into the injector, gaseous hydrogen was introduced through the RP-1 line and LOX through a separate line as the chamber pressure was brought up to 1.70 MPa (246 psia) to insure smooth ignition. After approximately 0.8 second, the chamber pressure was
ramped up to 8.6 MPa (1247 psia) in 0.3 second with RP-1 and LOX propellants. With the RP-1 valve to
the tank open, this chamber pressure was maintained for 0.6 second. Then the RP-1 valve was closed and a
nitrogen purge was brought on. The purge maintained the chamber pressure for another 0.4 second as it em-
tied the feed line of RP-1. Then the purge was dimin-
ished and the LOX propellant valve closed. The LOX
coolant valve was closed after the propellant valves to
cool the chamber after firing and to keep combustion
products from entering the coolant passages through
the machined slots.

Test cycles were programmed into a solid-state timer
that is accurate and repeatable to within ±0.001 sec.
Fuel and oxidizer flows were controlled by fixed-position
valves and propellant tank pressure. Coolant inlet pres-
sure was controlled by coolant tank pressure. Coolant
exit pressure was kept constant by a closed-loop con-
troller positioning a back pressure valve. After its use,
the coolant was vented to the atmosphere through a
prechilled vent and the coolant vent line was purged
after each test to insure that all the coolant had been
vented. The exhaust gases were directed into a water-
cooled scrubber where they were cooled by water sprays
flowing at 1200 liters/sec (19 000 gal/min), precipitat-
ing unburned RP-1. The water sprays also muffled
the noise from combustion. After use in cooling the exhaust
gases, the water was retained in a detention tank and
then cycled through a waste treatment plant where all
unburned RP-1 was trapped and disposed of properly.

Between runs, the injector was purged to keep the in-
jector clean of dirt. The processed data was available in
the control room from the mainframe computer within
minutes after a test. This access allowed for review of
the processed data prior to the next test.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chamber 702 was fired twice. The chamber was in-
spected after one run and a melted region was detected
just upstream of the throat and at the throat. Several
oxygen-rich zones were also detected from discoloration
of the copper wall. The zones, which appear as streaks,
began at the injector face and continued past the throat
region. The melted region was in line circumferentially
with an oxygen-rich streak from the injector but not in
line with either machined slot. During the second
run, the RP-1 flow decreased, for reasons not yet de-
determined. As a result, the mixture ratio increased from
about 2.39 at the beginning of the test to 3.72 at the
end, passing through the stoichiometric mixture ratio of
3.43. The chamber was inspected after the second run and there was indication of more melting in the same
location, which opened up several coolant passages (fig-
ure 8). The reason for the severe melting is presently
undetermined. The injector was not damaged, but in
one area, the injector elements were discolored, indi-
cating a hot spot. Chamber 702 was then taken off the
test stand and sectioned. Figure 7 shows the slot clos-
est to the melted region. As can be seen, there is no
distinguishable effect from the leaking LOX in the re-
gion of the slot. Figures 9 and 10 show the damage to
the throat area and upstream of the throat in relation
to a machined slot and an oxygen-rich streak.

Six complete runs and one partial run were conducted
with chamber 703. After the first three runs, the cham-
ber was inspected and no evidence of damage was de-
tected on the liner surface. The chamber was fired four
more times and then inspected again. A part of the
chamber liner had melted at the throat and just up-
stream of the throat (figure 11). Several oxygen-rich
streaks were also detected from discoloration of the cop-
er wall. The streaks began at the injector face and
continued past the throat. The melted region was in
line circumferentially with an oxygen-rich streak from
the injector but not with either machined slot (figure
12). Again, the reason for the melting is presently un-
determined. Chamber 703 was then taken off the test
stand and also sectioned. Again, there was no distin-
guishable effect on the chamber from the leaking LOX
at the machined slots.

Figure 13 shows the melted region at the throat for
the two chambers. The line on the outside of each sec-
tion represents the same circumferential location rela-
tive to the injector face. As can be seen, the melted
regions were not in the same circumferential location.

Injector Performance

Figure 14 is a plot of the C* efficiency (characteris-
tic exhaust velocity efficiency) versus the mixture ratio
tested. As expected, the C* efficiency increased after
melting occurred at the throat. The rise in efficiency
is a result of the additional mass flow coming into the
chamber at the throat that was not accounted for in
the C* calculations. The C* efficiency for the slotted
chambers is generally higher than the C* efficiency us-
ing identical hardware without machined slots6 for the
same reason. Figure 15 is a plot of the thrust level ver-
sus mixture ratio. This figure indicates that the thrust
level also increased after melting occurred at the throat
due to the increase in mass flow.

Effects of LOX Leaks on Chamber Integrity

Thermocouples were located on the chambers at the
 slot locations and 2.54 cm (1.0 in.) downstream of
the slots to determine the effect of LOX leaks on wall tem-
perature. The temperatures 2.54 cm (1.0 in.) down-
stream of the slots in chamber 702 (4.44 cm upstream
of the throat) are compared for the two chambers in
figure 16, which shows similar temperatures for the two chambers. Location B on chamber 703 had very high temperatures on runs 33 and 34 although no discernable melting occurred during these runs. The temperatures 2.54 cm downstream of the slots in chamber 703 (16.46 cm upstream of the throat) are compared for the two chambers in figure 18, which shows similar temperatures for the two chambers. Location B on chamber 702 had very high temperatures and was circumferentially near the melted throat region. This axial location, however, is upstream of the slots, indicating that these high temperatures at location B were not caused by the slots, but by the oxygen rich streak which began at the injector face. Figures 16 and 17 do not indicate any increase in temperature due to the slots in the area just downstream of the slots. Also, there was no deleterious effect on the chambers in the regions of the slots. However, there were melted regions at the throat but not in line with slots. The cause of the melted regions has not yet been determined.

Possible Causes for Chamber Melting

A number of theories have been postulated as to the cause of the melting in the throat region of the combustion chambers. The three most plausible theories are discussed here as well as possible methods to determine their validity.

The melting could be a result of an oxygen-rich zone, which appears as a streak, beginning at the injector face and continuing past the throat. The melted regions on both chambers were in line with streaks. However, in previous testing6 using the exact injector with similar chambers under similar operating conditions, the chambers had no melted regions after 26 cycles. To explore this theory further, a new injector will be tested using similar chambers with slots upstream of the throat.

A second possible cause of melting is blocked coolant passages. If several coolant passages are blocked, localized hot spots may result in those circumferential locations. The chambers were sectioned and all the channels were closely inspected for any blockage. The coolant passages had been properly machined and no blockage was found anywhere. Therefore, blocked coolant passages are not the cause of the melting.

The third possible cause of melting is the effect from machined slots upstream of the throat. The oxygen flowing through the slots may have reacted with the chamber wall at the throat, resulting in melting. However, from figure 7, one can see that the oxygen leaking from the slot did not react with the wall near the slot. Also, the slots were not directly in line with the melted region on either chamber. A similar chamber without slots will be tested using the same injector that was used in this program to determine if the slots were the cause of the melting.

V. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Two OFHC copper thrust chambers with identical geometry were tested with LOX/RP-1 as propellants and LOX as the coolant at a nominal chamber pressure of 8.6 MPa (1247 psia) over a mixture ratio (O/F) range of 1.91 to 3.10. To determine the effect of leaking LOX upstream of the throat, the thrust chambers were fabricated with slots machined between the cooling passage wall and the hot gas side wall, to simulate cracks. The results of these tests are as follows:

1. LOX leaks through slots in the cooling passage wall did not have a deleterious effect on the thrust chambers in the regions of the slots.

2. There was unexplained melting in the throat region of both chambers; however, the melting was not in line with the machined slots.

3. The cause of the melting has not yet been determined.
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TABLE I. - INJECTOR GEOMETRY OF 61-ELEMENT INJECTOR WITH TRIPLET OXYGEN-FUEL-OXYGEN TANGENTIAL LIQUID OXYGEN FANS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole diameter, mm (in.)</th>
<th>Flow area, mm² (in.²)</th>
<th>Total flow area, mm² (in.²)</th>
<th>Portion of total flow in outer zone, percent</th>
<th>Nominal chamber pressure, P₀, MPa abs (psia)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outer zone</td>
<td>Core zone</td>
<td>Center zone</td>
<td>Outer zone, 24 holes</td>
<td>25.715 (0.040)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.168 (0.046)</td>
<td>1.600 (0.063)</td>
<td>1.600 (0.063)</td>
<td>72.382 (0.112)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core zone, 36 holes</td>
<td>Center zone, 1 hole</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.011 (0.0031)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.168 (0.046)</td>
<td>1.702 (0.067)</td>
<td>1.397 (0.055)</td>
<td>25.735 (0.040)</td>
<td>163.74 (0.254)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fuel element

Oxidizer element

Table II. - Test Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Engine</th>
<th>Nominal chamber pressure, P₀, MPa abs psia</th>
<th>Mixture ratio, O/F</th>
<th>Coolant flow rate, kg/sec</th>
<th>lbm/sec</th>
<th>Run time, sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>8.329 (1208)</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>7.963 (1155)</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>8.274 (1200)</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>8.542 (1239)</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>8.598 (1247)</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>8.556 (1241)</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>8.618 (1250)</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>8.687 (1260)</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>8.777 (1273)</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Average for the entire run.

FIGURE I. - 61 ELEMENT TRIPLET INJECTOR.
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FIGURE 3. - COMBUSTION CHAMBER DIMENSIONS.
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Figure 4. Chamber wall and channel dimensions.

Figure 5. Chamber during test firing.
FIGURE 6. - SLOT AND THERMOCOUPLE LOCATIONS.

FIGURE 7. - CLOSE-UP VIEW OF MACHINED SLOT.
FIGURE 8. - CHAMBER 702 THROAT AREA.

FIGURE 9. - CHAMBER 702 AFTER TESTING.
FIGURE 10. - MELTING AT THROAT OF CHAMBER 702.

FIGURE 11. - CHAMBER 703 THROAT AREA.
FIGURE 12. - CHAMBER 705 AFTER TESTING.

FIGURE 13. - MELTED THROAT REGION OF BOTH CHAMBERS.
figure 14. - characteristic exhaust velocity efficiency for a chamber pressure of 8.6 MPA.

figure 15. - thrust level for a chamber pressure of 8.6 MPA (1250 PSIA).
FIGURE 16. - HOT GAS WALL TEMPERATURES, AT X = 4.44 CM.

FIGURE 17. - HOT GAS WALL TEMPERATURES AT X = 16.5 CM.
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