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ABSTRACT
The Space Station Freedom Solar Array Program is required to provide a 75 kW power module that uses eight solar array (SA) wings over a four-year period in low Earth orbit (LEO). Each wing will be capable of providing 23.4 kW at the 4-year design point. LMSC is providing the flexible substrate SAs that must survive exposure to the space environment, including atomic oxygen, for an operating life of fifteen years. Trade studies and development testing, important for evolving any design to maturity, are presently underway at Lockheed Missiles & Space Company, Inc. (LMSC) on the flexible solar array. The trade study and development areas being investigated include solar cell module size, solar cell weld pads, panel stiffener frames, materials inherently resistant to atomic oxygen, and weight reduction design alternatives.

INTRODUCTION
Producibility and ease of replacement of damaged portions of the approximately 14.2 ft long SA panel during fabrication are the primary reasons for investigation of solar cell module size and dual n-type solar cell weld pad designs. Design optimization in both of these areas will reduce the labor hours associated with fabrication and rework (replacing broken solar cells) of the SA panels. Stiffener frame selection will be based on a cost/weight analysis. Candidate materials that are inherently resistant to atomic oxygen are being investigated as a backup to the baseline thin-film SiO2 protection for the Kapton® substrate.

Alternative designs considerations to reduce SA wing weight include thinner solar cells, changing containment box and mast canister material from aluminum to graphite epoxy, using motors specifically designed for each task, and a combination of containment box and cradle latching mechanisms for launch and assembly.

The development test program will support the preliminary and final designs. Several subcontractors will support the above effort in the development of the designs for the solar cell assembly, the bypass diode, the Kapton film coated for AO protection, the collable longeron wing extension mast canister, the motor drive assembly (MDA), and the flat collector circuit.

Design requirements are shown in Figure 1. The fifteen-year on orbit operation life requirement and the large size of the SSF array wings present the major design challenges. Several other challenges are depicted in Figure 2.

MISSION DESIGN POINT:
- 4 YEARS AFTER LAUNCH
- BASE OF ARRAY POWER AT DESIGN POINT, AVE:
  - 23.4 kW/WING
- NO. OF ARRAY WINGS INSTALLED:
  - 8
- TOTAL POWER AT BASE, 4-YR DESIGN POINT AVG:
  - 187.2 kW
- ALTITUDE RANGE, NOMINAL:
  - 180 TO 250 NMI
- ALTITUDE RANGE, OFF-NOMINAL:
  - 150 TO 270 NMI
- ORBITAL INCLINATION:
  - 28.5 DEG
- SOLAR BETA ANGLE:
  - 0 TO 52 DEG
- MINIMUM ORBITAL PERIOD:
  - 91.12 MIN
- MAXIMUM ECLIPSE PERIOD:
  - 36.37 MIN
- ORBITAL REPLACEMENT UNITS PER WING:
  - 3 (ONE MAST CANISTER UNIT, 2 BLANKET CONTAINMENT BOXES)
- NOMINAL ARRAY VOLTAGE:
  - 160 VDC
- MINIMUM DEPLOYED NATURAL FREQUENCY:
  - 0.1 HZ
- AUTOMATIC FULL RETRACTION AND REAPPLICATION OF STOWED BLANKET COMPRESSION PRELOAD OPERATING LIFE ON ORBIT:
  - 15 YEARS
- FLIGHT HARDWARE SHALL BE SHUTTLE-LAUNCHED

Fig. 1 Space Station Array Design Requirements

BASELINE DESIGN
In the early 1970s basic design decisions were made on how to best approach the design of solar arrays capable of producing hundreds of kilowatts. Low weight, low launch volume, adequate deployed structural stiffness, fifteen-year temperature cycle life for the extended array, and adaptability of low-cost automated assembly were the key considerations. Current
The baseline SSF extended array configuration is shown in Figure 3. Figure 4 illustrates the panel elements. The mechanical design consists of two blanket boxes made of aluminum honeycomb panels, shown in Figure 5. Motor drive assemblies release the latches and relatch when required. The blanket tension and guidewire mechanisms are housed in the underside of the containment box, and three tensioned guidewires per blanket control the location of the blankets during extension and retraction. The tension mechanism applies 75 lbs of tension to each blanket.
The stiffener frame provides rigidity to the panel to aid in joints, cracked possible failure modes include poor contacts due to bad weld circuit. A rework can be made based on the failure mode. However, if redundancy is built into the cell and the flexible pad solar cells allow one weld per cell per assembly.

Each blanket contains 82 live panels and two dummy panels. The Kapton coverlays are held together with 0.030 in. diameter hinge pins through a 14.2 ft. piano hinge, and stiffener frames on each panel assure proper zero gravity fold-up, while steel leaf springs are attached to the frames at the panel hinge lines to assure retraction memory of the blanket. The cells are large-area wrap-through silicon cells 8 cm x 8 cm x 200μm (0.008 in.) protected by 0.005 in. CMX cover glass. Parallel gap welding is used to bond cells to the printed copper interconnect. Approximately 400 series connected solar cells provide 160 Vdc nominally at four years. An electrical circuit is comprised of two series connected SA panels. Each panel is therefore one half circuit and is 15.3 in x 14.2 ft.

**TRADE STUDIES**

The large size of the SSFSAs panels makes them difficult to manufacture as one unit. If rework were required on a panel, 200 solar cells would be tied up until disposition. Trade studies are underway to select an effective module size for fabrication. Our baseline is a 100 cell half panel, with the copper circuitry brazed in the center. The PAEP panels were made in 40 cell modules. This size is considerably easier to handle. An eight-cell module is also being investigated that would tie up the smallest number of cells in case repair was necessary, but would significantly increase the number of piece parts.

The baseline SSF solar cell has ten weld pads used for electrical connection with external circuits. A dual weld pad design is proposed for the SSF solar cell to simplify repairs in manufacturing SAs. The dual-pad design builds redundancy into the cell, making reweld possible and cell replacement less skill-dependent. Baseline repair methods, statistical data, and repair rate analysis were obtained from other programs. Single weld-pad solar cells allow one weld per cell per assembly. However, if redundancy is built into the cell and the flexible circuit, a rework can be made based on the failure mode. Possible failure modes include poor contacts due to bad weld joints, cracked or broken cells in a module assembly, and cracked cells in a panel assembly.

The stiffener frame provides rigidity to the panel to aid in retraction of the arrays. The baseline material, beryllium, is currently being traded off against graphite/epoxy and a graphite/thermoplastic. Beryllium is stable in the AO environment. Three major concerns are: (1) handling (Be has low impact resistance and is notch sensitive), (2) safety (Be is toxic), and (3) lack of flight history of Be in this application. Graphite thermoplastic is possibly stronger in the transverse direction than Gr/E, permitting the use of a unidirectional ply for construction. However, this composite would need to be protected against AO, has no flight or manufacturing history, and would provide reduced stiffness across the cross-braced joint region.

Kapton with \(13000\text{Å}\) SiO\(_2\) sputter-coated on both sides is the baseline SA panel substrate. It is transparent, flexible, AO resistant, and survives processing and handling for flexible printed circuitry fabrication. The potential formation of pin holes and the low flexibility of the material are concerns; therefore, alternate materials are being studied under the PAEP contract. These include: (1) DuPont 92-1, a proprietary material; (2) KJ 36, a multilayer with siloxynylidime clad to Kaption-both sides; (3) Kaption F, a multilayer laminate of FEP/Kaption/FEP; and (4) K/T/K, a laminate of Kaption/FEP/Kaption. Characteristics are provided in Figure 6.

![Fig. 5 Space Station Freedom Containment Box Isometric Drawing](image)

Tension mechanism out of the box. The mast stiffness and blanket tension mechanism control extended wing natural frequency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MATERIAL</th>
<th>FLIGHT EXPERIENCE</th>
<th>EXPECTED AO SURVIVABILITY</th>
<th>AVAILABILITY</th>
<th>MANUFACTURABILITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kapton</td>
<td>BAEEE, NSTS*</td>
<td>DELICATE PROCESS</td>
<td>COMMERCIAL</td>
<td>NO PROBLEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO(_2) Coated Kapton</td>
<td>NSTS 15 YR</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>COMMERCIAL</td>
<td>NO PROBLEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-1</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>15 YR</td>
<td>NEW PROCESS</td>
<td>NO PROBLEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KJ-36</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>15 YR</td>
<td>NO PILOT RUN DIFFICULT</td>
<td>NO PROBLEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaption</td>
<td>NSTS</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>COMMERCIAL</td>
<td>BONDABILITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaption</td>
<td>K/T/K (SiO(_2) Coated)</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>DIFFICULT, CREASES</td>
<td>BULKY, EFFECTS OF CREASES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ASSUME SPACE STATION FLUENCE OF 5X10\(^{17}\) ATOMS/CM\(^2\) FOR 20 YRS

**NATIONAL SPACE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM (SHUTTLE)**

Currently, weight reduction possibilities are being considered in seven areas of the SA wing design. Reducing the thickness of the baseline solar cell from 0.008 in. to 0.004 in or 0.002 in could save 52-78 lbs per wing assembly, but will require resizing of the SA based on the reduced thin cell current output. Replacing the material of the containment box and the mast canister from aluminum to graphite epoxy could save 8 lbs and 13 lbs respectively. Common MDAs are less costly, but 28 lbs could be saved if there were unique MDA designs for latching, positioning, and extension. If the deployment time required were greater than 15 minutes, the extension motor would weigh less. The positioning mechanism mass could be lowered 30 lbs with manual positioning and 15 lbs with one short positioning out and in. Combining the containment box and launch cradle latching mechanisms could save 100 lbs on the wing, but only 25 lbs for the complete system.

**DEVELOPMENT TEST PLAN**

Proof of concept, manufacturability, and lower overall program risk are among the reasons for performing development testing on hardware. Early identification of tooling and manufacturing needs allows time to design and fabricate necessary tools; develop and plan effective manufacturing processes; integrate facilities, tooling, and materials; and develop necessary skills.

The planned blanket, box, and wing component-level testing and the wing assembly evaluation testing are depicted in Figure

*Fig. 6 Comparison of Kapton Substrates*
7. The blanket components such as the solar cells, bypass diodes, coated Kapton, and flat collector circuit, undergo many development tests at the vendors subcontracted to LMSC. The solar cells and diodes will undergo weld development at LMSC. The reverse breakdown characteristics of the cell will be tested at LMSC, and verification of bypass diode operation with module shadowing will also take place.

The containment box latching/preload, guidewire, and tension mechanisms all undergo functional and environmental testing. The structural properties of the box cover and base are evaluated, and the preload foam will be subjected to creep, compression, and environmental testing. The blanket/box assembly testing is shown in Figure 8.

**Fig. 7 Space Station Freedom Development Test Flow**

**Fig. 8 Space Station Freedom Solar Array Blanket/Box Assembly Testing**

To evaluate the SA wing, stowed-alignment fit checks, deployment, stowage, functional, acoustic, and first-motion thermal-vacuum tests will be performed. Four tests are included in the deployment/stowage fit checks: (1) distance and duration, (2) tension mechanism verification, (3) guidewire mechanism verification, and (4) latching/preload mechanism verification.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

Currently, five 40-cell modules electrically connected per panel appears advantageous. The modules are of manageable size and do not tie up too many cells in case a repair is necessary, yet there is not an unwieldy amount of piece parts. Our circuitry has been designed to incorporate the twenty weld pads (ten double weld pads) now on the solar cell. Labor hours required for rework will decrease with this incorporation. Stiffener frame selection will be based on a cost/weight analysis. As a backup to the baseline thin-film SiO₂ protection for the Kapton substrate SA panels, several candidate materials that are resistant to atomic oxygen are being investigated.

Design changes to reduce the overall SA wing weight have been studied. These include a combination of containment box and cradle latching mechanisms for launch, thinner solar cells, changing containment box and mast-canister material from aluminum to graphite epoxy, and using motors specifically designed for each task. The development test program will provide confidence that the fifteen-year array operating life requirement in LEO can be met while emphasizing those aspects of the array wing design that are different from the SAFE array and from other LMSC flexible SA programs.
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