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ABSTRACT. Atmospheric propagation issues relevant to space-to-ground optical communications for near-earth applications are studied. Propagation effects, current optical communication activities, potential applications, and communication techniques are surveyed. It is concluded that a direct-detection space-to-ground link using redundant receiver sites and temporal encoding is likely to be employed to transmit earth-sensing satellite data to the ground some time in the future. Low-level, long-term studies of link availability, fading statistics, and turbulence climatology are recommended to support this type of application.

1. INTRODUCTION

The transfer of information from space to the surface of the earth by means of light is not a new idea. For thousands of years, visual observations of the position of the sun, the moon, and the stars have been used to obtain information about the time of day and the season of the year. Similar observations were used to obtain navigational information. Other observations, especially those made with magnifying optics in the last several hundred years, have gained information about the nature of extraterrestrial bodies transmitted from those bodies to earth through the atmosphere at optical frequencies.

From this body of experience (and other more casual observations), the qualitative features of the space-to-earth optical communication channel are known. For example, we know that the atmosphere can produce a significant amount of background light that can interfere with an optical signal. During the day, scattered sunlight is so bright that stars cannot be seen. Even at night, a full moon is bright enough that only brighter stars are visible, and scattered city light can have the same effect.

We also know that clouds can produce severe fades. Under thick clouds, no stars are visible. Even sunlight is perceived as a diffuse illumination rather than a distinct
disk, which implies that the information content of the optical signal is severely reduced. Under other conditions, less severe fades can be produced. Thin clouds or heavy haze can obscure faint stars and blur the disk of the sun, but still allow transmission of most of the optical information. This type of fade, whether severe or moderate, can persist for days.

Refractive turbulence, caused by small-scale temperature fluctuations in the atmosphere, can also cause fading of an optical signal. This effect, observed as twinkling of starlight, is much faster than aerosol-induced fading. The area affected by each fade is also much smaller. If the signal is averaged over a finite disk, such as the sun, moon, or a planet, the twinkling is much less than that observed from a point source, such as a star.

One property of the atmosphere that affects the quality of optical communication is not readily apparent to the unaided eye: optical radiation is directly absorbed by the atmosphere. Spectroscopic analysis of extraterrestrial sources has demonstrated that the amount of absorption depends on the wavelength of the light and on the composition of the atmosphere. For many wavelengths the amount of water vapor in the atmosphere is particularly important.

With the development of spaceflight and of the laser, the possibility of using this channel for ground-to-space and space-to-ground communications was recognized. Several potential advantages were cited. The first was the enormous bandwidth. Green light has a frequency of 600,000 GHz and even a 1% modulation bandwidth implies incredible data transfer rates. This capability was expected to become more and more attractive as the radio spectrum became more crowded and more regulated under increasing demand for telecommunication services.

Two aspects of optical communication links were especially attractive to military planners. One is the narrow beam width. A 10-cm antenna aperture produces a 10-μrad beam. Such a narrow beam is difficult to intercept and difficult to jam. The other is the immunity to conventional electromagnetic interference enjoyed by optical links.

However, the potential has not been realized. Requirements for data rates higher than those that can be provided by microwave links have not been identified. Also, spectrum crowding has not been a limiting factor. This may be due in part to the success of fiber-optic communications. Long distance telephone service, for example, is making heavy use of fiber-optic links rather than satellite-relayed radio links. Security of space-ground optical links has been overshadowed by laser reliability limitations. Early gas and ion lasers, such as HeNe, Ar+, and CO2, were built around low-pressure glass tubes and were not reliable enough for routine use in space. Early solid-state lasers, such as Nd:YAG and ruby, were optically pumped using flashlamps with similar
lifetime limitations. Early semiconductor diode lasers were extremely low power devices.

Each of these factors is beginning to change, and several groups are beginning to seriously consider optical communications in space. The first application will be space-to-space relay links; more conventional technology will be used to send data to the ground. In this application, the atmospheric effects can be ignored. This is a first step toward all-optical links. Another application of interest is for satellite-to-submarine communication. However, this is a very specialized application to solve a specific problem and is unlikely to lead to widespread civilian applications.

2. ATMOSPHERIC PROPAGATION EFFECTS

The atmosphere affects optical communications in four ways. (1) Molecular absorption. Because a portion of the transmitted energy is absorbed by the atmosphere, the energy available to the receiver is reduced. (2) Particulate scattering. A portion of the transmitted energy is scattered out of the field of view of the receiver, and the energy available to the receiver is also reduced by this process. If the scatterers are dense, as in thick clouds, multiple scattering can occur and the received pulses will be spread temporally in addition to being reduced in energy. (3) Refractive turbulence. The primary effect of turbulence is to produce a random modulation of the power reaching the receiver. If the transmitted beam is very narrow, it can also reduce the average power received by spreading the beam. (4) The scattering of background light into the field of view of the receiver.

2.1 Molecular Absorption

The only effect of molecular absorption on an optical communication link is to reduce the irradiance available to the receiver. In particular,

$$I = I_o \exp\left\{ - \int_0^L \alpha(z) dz \right\},$$

where $I$ is the irradiance at the receiver, $I_o$ is the irradiance that would have been observed if there were no absorption, $z$ is the position along the path between the transmitter and the receiver, $L$ is the path length, and $\alpha(z)$ is the absorption coefficient at position $z$. Note that the argument of the exponential in Eq. (2.1) is also known as optical depth or optical thickness.

Light is absorbed when the quantum state of a molecule is excited from one state to another that has a greater electronic, vibrational, or rotational energy. The closer the photon energy (proportional to optical frequency) is to the transition energy, the
greater the probability of absorption (absorption cross section). Each transition is characterized as an absorption line whose peak frequency, width, and total cross section must be known.

For a single, stationary molecule, the absorption cross section has the Lorentzian line shape:

\[ g_L(w) = \frac{T_2}{\pi} \frac{1}{[(\Omega - w)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{T_2}\right)^2]^{-1}} \]  

(2.2)

where \( w \) is frequency, \( \Omega \) is the center frequency of the transition, and \( T_2 \) is its duration. \( T_2 \) is of the order of 10 ns for an electronic transition, but it can be several orders of magnitude greater for vibrational or rotational transitions. The width of these lines is typically of the order of \( 10^{-5} \) nm.

In the atmosphere, thermal motion of molecules leads to Doppler broadening of the line shape: the velocity distribution of the molecules leads to a distribution of effective absorption frequencies due to the Doppler shift. In addition, molecular collisions perturb the energy levels within molecules and lead to pressure broadening of the line shape. These processes produce a Gaussian line shape:

\[ g_G(w) = \frac{2}{\Delta w} \left(\frac{\ln 2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{4 \ln 2}{(\Delta w)^2} \left(\frac{\Omega - w}{\Delta w}\right)^2\right) \]  

(2.3)

where \( \Delta w \) is the width of the line. In the atmosphere, the Gaussian line width is generally much larger than the underlying Lorentzian width for any transition.

Therefore, to calculate the total absorption coefficient at a particular frequency, one must calculate the line shape factor, including temperature and pressure effects, for all lines that are close enough in frequency to have an effect. This is combined with information about the total absorption cross section for each transition and the abundance of each molecular species involved to get the absorption coefficient for each transition, and the individual coefficients are added together.

There are a number of texts on spectroscopy and catalogs of line parameters. Perhaps the most complete compilation pertinent to atmospheric transmission is the high-resolution transmittance (HITRAN) program at the Air Force Geophysical Laboratory (AFGL). The original report lists line parameters (center frequency, line strength, air-broadened width, lower state energy, vibrational and rotational quantum numbers, electronic state identification, and isotopic identification) for more than 100,000 lines of the major atmospheric gases (water vapor, carbon dioxide, ozone, nitrous oxide, car-
bon monoxide, methane, and oxygen) between 1 \( \mu \text{m} \) and 1 mm. This data base has been periodically expanded and updated over the years\(^4\)-\(^9\) and the most recent version includes the transition probability, the Lorentzian line width, and the temperature dependence of the Gaussian line width for almost 350,000 lines of 28 gases over a spectral region from the ultraviolet to millimeter waves.

However, for typical optical communications, the source bandwidth and receiver bandwidth will be larger than the resolution bandwidth of the HITRAN calculation. A calculation with lower spectral resolution is better matched to this application and also requires fewer computer resources to make the calculations. Such a computer code has also been developed by AFGL. The LOWTRAN\(^{11-16}\) codes calculate molecular absorption from 0.25–28.5 \( \mu \text{m} \). They also calculate extinction due to molecular and aerosol scattering. These codes have been used extensively, and a number of comments on their use have been published\(^{17-24}\). A typical plot of LOWTRAN6 calculated space-to-ground transmission\(^{24}\) is presented in Fig. 2.1. Note that this includes the effects of molecular and aerosol scattering in addition to molecular absorption.

![Figure 2.1 - LOWTRAN6 calculation of space-to-ground transmission as a function of wavelength. (Ref. 24)](image)

Measurements of transmission are generally of one of three types. The first type is a laboratory measurement of the absorption of one particular atmospheric gas. Far too many such studies have been undertaken to mention here, but a few of the classical
investigations of absorption due to water vapor,25-27 carbon dioxide,28-30 ozone31-33 and various trace gases34-36 are listed in the references. Laboratory investigations of atmospheric gases are ongoing;37-40 perhaps the largest current effort is at the Institute of Atmospheric Optics in the U.S.S.R.41

The second type of measurement is an absorption measurement over a long, horizontal path in the open atmosphere. One of the classic measurements was made over a 1.8-km path.42 A typical transmittance curve from this work is presented in Fig. 2.2. Similar measurements have been made43-49 over horizontal paths of 300 m43 to 25 km.44 Comparisons of horizontal path data and LOWTRAN predictions have also been made,49-51 and the agreement is generally pretty good.

![Image of atmospheric transmittance for a 1.8-km horizontal path at sea level](image)

Figure 2.2 – Atmospheric transmittance for a 1.8-km horizontal path at sea level. (McCartney, Ref. 1, copyright 1976, John Wiley and Sons)

The third type of atmospheric absorption measurement uses observations of the solar spectrum. This type is probably the most closely related to space-ground communications because it is made through the entire atmosphere. A variety of measurements from different locations, different altitudes, and different spectral regions have been made.52-66 Figure 2.3 is a typical solar spectral curve.
Figure 2.3 – Spectral distribution curves related to the sun. The shaded areas indicate absorption at sea level due to the atmospheric constituents shown. (Ref. 67)

2.2 Particulate Scattering

2.2.1 Scattering by air molecules

Since the air molecules are much smaller than the wavelength of light, this is the Rayleigh scattering regime.\(^{68}\) In this regime, the only effect of the scattering on a communications link is extinction of the beam. As in the case of molecular absorption, we have

\[
I = I_0 \exp \left[ - \int_0^L \sigma_R(z) dz \right]
\]  

(2.4)
where \( I \) is the irradiance at the receiver, \( I_n \) is the irradiance that would have been observed if there were no molecular scattering, and \( \sigma_R(z) \) is the scattering coefficient at position \( z \).

The scattering coefficient for a gas with refractive index of \( n \) is given by:

\[
\sigma_R = \frac{8\pi^2(n^2 - 1)^2}{3N\lambda^4}
\]  

(2.5)

where \( N \) is the number of molecules of that gas per unit volume and \( \lambda \) is the optical wavelength. In the atmosphere, several gases (mainly nitrogen and oxygen) contribute, and the coefficients of each are added to obtain the final result. Tabulated values for various vertical paths are provided by Elterman. Plots of the optical thickness of the molecular scattering atmosphere for paths from space to various altitude are given in Fig. 2.4, where optical thickness is defined by

\[
T_m' = \int_0^l \sigma_R(z)dz.
\]

(2.6)

Figure 2.4 - Optical thickness of the molecular atmosphere as a function of altitude and wavelength for a vertical path, above any given altitude. (McCartney, Ref. 68, copyright 1983, John Wiley and Sons)
Molecular scattering is treated in the LOWTRAN computer codes.  

2.2.2 Scattering by haze and thin clouds

Haze consists of solid particles in the atmosphere (dust, sea salt, volcanic ash, combustion ash, tar resin particles, etc.). It is deposited into the atmosphere by micrometeors, volcanic eruptions, industrial operations, forest fires, growing forests, and the winds. Generally, atmospheric haze particles are between 0.01 and 10 μm. Under all but the most extreme conditions encountered in the atmosphere, very few scattered photons will reach the receiver, and the effect of haze is a linear extinction so that

$$I = I_o \exp\left[-\int_0^L \sigma_M(z)dz\right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.7)

where $I_o$ includes the effects of molecular scattering and absorption, and $\sigma_M$ is the Mie scattering extinction coefficient. \hspace{1cm} (71)

For our purposes, we define thin clouds as those for which Eq. (2.7) is valid. Thin cirrus and very light fogs generally fall into this category. As a rule of thumb, if the disk of the sun or moon can be seen, Eq. (2.7) will be a very close approximation.

The calculation of the Mie scattering coefficient is more difficult than that of the Rayleigh coefficient. \hspace{1cm} (71) Because of the importance of Mie scattering to many areas of optics, many tables of numerically calculated values have been published. In Refs. 72 through 81, tables of the scattering coefficient and angular scattering function for various atmospheric particles are presented. The quantity of interest here, the scattering coefficient is the integral over all angles of the angular scattering function. The Mie type of scattering calculation assumes spherical particles, but although water droplets are spherical, ice crystals and many types of dust particles are not. Thus, Mie calculations may have difficulty describing the angular distribution and polarization properties of the scattered light for certain atmospheric aerosols. However, they provide a very good approximation to the total extinction coefficient.

At any height in the atmosphere, the total extinction coefficient can be found by integrating the coefficient for each type of particle over the distribution of particles. Two particle size distribution forms have been suggested. These are the exponential\hspace{1cm} (82, 84) distribution

$$n(r) = ar^\alpha \exp(-br^\gamma)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.8)
where \( a, b, \alpha, \) and \( \gamma \) are positive constants and \( n \) is the number density of particles of radius \( r \), and the power law\(^{85, 87}\)

\[
n(r) = c \ r^{-\nu}\tag{2.9}
\]

where \( c \) and \( \nu \) are positive constants. Measurements tend to support the power-law distribution\(^{85, 87-93}\) with an exponent \( \nu \) of 3 to 4.

Vertical distributions of aerosol density have been obtained using a number of techniques. One technique uses direct sampling by an airborne instrument.\(^{91, 94-99}\) Another uses scattered light from searchlights\(^{100-104}\) or lidar\(^{105-111}\) to obtain aerosol profiles. Analysis of scattered sunlight has also been used to infer aerosol profiles.\(^{112-119}\)

Long-path measurements of the attenuation coefficient due to aerosols in the atmosphere have been made by a number of authors.\(^{42, 120-123}\) Typical attenuation coefficients for horizontal propagation at sea level are given in Fig. 2.5 for a variety of atmospheric conditions. Although the attenuation coefficient at sea level can be rather high, as the figure shows, it drops off quickly with altitude, as shown in Fig. 2.6. The results of vertical propagation measurements\(^{58-60, 112-119}\) support this type of decrease in attenuation with altitude. Several vertical profiles of aerosol distributions, typical of different geographical regions and seasons, have been included in the LOWTRAN codes.\(^{11-16}\) Therefore, these codes include a reasonable approximation of attenuation due to haze.

2.2.3 Scattering by thick clouds and fog

This type of scattering has several effects relevant to optical communications. First, the direct (unscattered) beam can be very strongly attenuated. Table 2.1 presents typical parameters for the various types of cloud and fog. The total vertical attenuation of the direct beam can be more than 1000 dB! These values are calculated from measured cloud thickness and drop distribution values and are not measured values. Indeed, measurement of a 1000 dB attenuation would be exceedingly difficult. However, laboratory\(^{127-131}\) and field\(^{132-135}\) tend to support these levels of attenuation. More than 100 dB has been observed for cumulus clouds.\(^{132}\)

Although the direct beam is very highly attenuated, some of the original laser energy is available to the receiver in the form of multiple scattered light. This can be used for communications, but it has several disadvantages. First, the scattered light is depolarized,\(^{68}\) and polarization coding of the signal cannot be used.
Figure 2.5 - Approximate variation of attenuation coefficients with wavelength at sea level for various atmospheric conditions (neglects absorption by water vapor and carbon dioxide). (Ref. 124)
Figure 2.6 - Vertical distribution of attenuation coefficient for New Mexico aerosol (Ref. 125)
Table 2.1. Typical ranges of values for cloud parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cloud type</th>
<th>Cloud base height (km)</th>
<th>Cloud thickness (km)</th>
<th>Scattering (km⁻¹)</th>
<th>$\tau$ in vertical direction</th>
<th>Scattering (dB/km)</th>
<th>Total vertical attenuation (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stratus</td>
<td>0.1-0.7</td>
<td>0.2-0.8</td>
<td>30-100</td>
<td>6-80</td>
<td>130-435</td>
<td>26-350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratocumulus</td>
<td>0.6-1.5</td>
<td>0.2-0.8</td>
<td>3-30</td>
<td>0.6-24</td>
<td>13-130</td>
<td>3-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nimbostratus</td>
<td>0.1-1.0</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>30-100</td>
<td>60-300</td>
<td>130-435</td>
<td>2660-1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altostratus/Cumulus</td>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>0.2-2</td>
<td>10-30</td>
<td>2-60</td>
<td>43-130</td>
<td>9-260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulus</td>
<td>0.5-1.0</td>
<td>0.5-5</td>
<td>10-40</td>
<td>5-200</td>
<td>43-170</td>
<td>22-870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulonimbus</td>
<td>0.5-1.0</td>
<td>2-12</td>
<td>30-100</td>
<td>60-1200</td>
<td>130-435</td>
<td>260-5200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirriform (Ice)</td>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>1.0-2.5</td>
<td>0.3-1.4</td>
<td>0.3-3.5</td>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>1-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fog</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0-0.15</td>
<td>0.1-20</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>0.4-87</td>
<td>0-13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, as light diffuses through the cloud by multiple scattering, the scattered beam becomes very broad and the scattered pulse is spread in time. This implies that the receiver must have a wide field of view, which greatly increases the background noise, in order to collect enough signal power. It also implies that the data rate must be low enough to prevent intersymbol interference due to the pulse spreading. These two effects are related; the widest-angle-light reaching the receiver tends to have experienced the longest time delay. Therefore, one can allow higher data rates by restricting the field-of-view of the receiver, but the signal level is reduced dramatically. The pulse shape is generally approximated by the function

$$I(t) = At \exp(-\alpha t)$$ (2.10)

where $I$ is the received irradiance, $t$ is time, and $A$ and $\alpha$ are condition-dependent parameters. Pulse widths of 0.1 µs to as long as 20 µs are common in field experiments.132, 135 - 138

The effects of thick clouds are probably too severe to allow operation of high-data-rate optical communications. For this reason, the most important parameter for thick
clouds is the probability of occurrence, which translates into link availability. This information can be inferred for the United States and for U.S. possessions and some military bases from the Surface Airways Observations data base. This data base includes hourly averages (three-hourly from 1965–1981) of ceiling height, horizontal visibility, weather type, total opaque sky cover, and total opaque sky cover. Since the records date from 1948, the statistical uncertainties in measured probabilities should be small.

2.3 Refractive Turbulence

Turbulence in the clear air produces random fluctuations in the temperature of the air. These small (0.1 to 1 K) fluctuations produce correspondingly small (0.1 to 1 ppm) fluctuations in the refractive index of the air. Although the deviation of the refractive index at any point in space and time is small, a laser beam will typically propagate through a large number of refractive index inhomogeneities, and the cumulative effect can be quite large. These inhomogeneities produce distortions of the optical phase front, which can also lead to beam wandering, beam spreading, pulse spreading, depolarization, and scintillation or intensity fluctuations. Although some of the other effects are discussed here, it is scintillation that will have the greatest effect on practical communication links.

The statistics of the refractive index fluctuations are best described by the refractive index structure function, defined by

\[ D_n(r) = \langle [n(r_1) - n(r_2)]^2 \rangle \]  

(2.11)

where \( n \) is the refractive index, \( r \) is the separation between the two points \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) and the angle brackets denote an ensemble average. Under typical conditions, turbulence will be nearly isotropic, and

\[ D_n(r) = 0 \text{ for } r < l_0 \]

\[ D_n(r) = C_n^2 r^{2/3} \text{ for } l_0 < r < L_0 \]

\[ D_n(r) = C_n^2 L_0^{2/3} \text{ for } L_0 < r \]  

(2.12)

where \( r \) is the magnitude of the separation, \( l_0 \) is the inner scale of turbulence (typically 1 to 10 mm), \( L_0 \) is the outer scale of turbulence (typically 1 to 100 m), and \( C_n^2 \) is the structure parameter of turbulence and is a measure of the strength of refractive turbulence.
A number of measurements of the vertical profile of turbulence have been made. Techniques used include in situ sensors on aircraft and balloons,\textsuperscript{140, 141} acoustic sounding,\textsuperscript{144–152} radar sounding,\textsuperscript{152–162} and optical scintillation techniques.\textsuperscript{163–175} From data like these, the following model for $C_n^2$ has been developed:\textsuperscript{176}

$$C_n^2 = \{[(2.2 \times 10^{-53})h^{10}(W/27)^2] e^{-h/1090} + 10^{-16}e^{-h/1500}\} \exp[s(h, t)],$$

(2.13)

where $h$ is the height in meters above sea level. The model is valid for $3 \text{ km} < h < 24 \text{ km}$. The variable $s$ is a zero-mean, homogeneous, Gaussian random variable with a covariance function given by

$$<s(h + h_1, t + \tau)s(h, t)> = A(h_1/100)e^{-\tau/5} + A(h_1/2000)e^{-\tau/80},$$

(2.14)

where

$$A(h/L) = \begin{cases} 1 - |h/L|, & |h| < L \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(The interval $\tau$ is measured in minutes.) From (2.14), it follows that $<r^2> = 2$ and $<\exp(s)> = e = 2.7$. These numbers may be substituted into (2.13), after the expected value $<C_n^2>$ is found, to determine the behavior of the mean profile. Finally, the function $W$ in (2.13) is defined by

$$W = \frac{1}{15 \text{ km}} \int_{5 \text{ km}}^{20 \text{ km}} v^2(h) dh \frac{1}{2}$$

(2.16)

where $v$ is the wind speed at height $h$. To extend this model down to local ground level, we should add the surface layer $C_n^2$ dependence, $z^{-4/3}$ during the day and $z^{-2/3}$ at night.

The depolarization by refractive turbulence has been calculated.\textsuperscript{177, 178} Under fairly severe turbulence conditions, the depolarized power was calculated to be $-160 \text{ dB}$ of the polarized power. A measurement with $-45 \text{ dB}$ of sensitivity failed to detect any depolarization.\textsuperscript{178} Therefore, depolarization effects can be neglected in optical communication link analyses.

The pulse spreading due to turbulence has also been calculated.\textsuperscript{179–182} Typical calculated values range from $0.001 \text{ ps}$ to $1 \text{ ps}$, corresponding to coherence
bandwidths of $10^3$ GHz to $10^6$ GHz. A coherence bandwidth of 77,000 GHz has been measured for the space-to-ground path using stellar source.\textsuperscript{183} Therefore, pulse-spreading effects of the turbulent atmosphere can safely be neglected.

Distortions of the transmitted or received phase front produce a number of effects. These are most easily discussed in terms of the transverse correlation length of the field $\rho_o$.\textsuperscript{184-188} For a point source in space propagating to the ground, we have

$$ q_o = [2.91 k^2 \text{ sec} \beta \int_0^L dh \, C_n^2(h)]^{-3/5} \quad (2.17) $$

where $k$ is the optical wavenumber, $\beta$ is the zenith angle of the propagation path, $h$ is the height above the ground, and $L$ is the altitude of the receiver. In other work, the quantity $r_o$ has been used, where $r_o = 2.1 \, q_o$.\textsuperscript{189-192} This finite correlation of the field has several effects. Increasing the diameter of an optical heterodyne antenna on the ground beyond $q_o$ will not increase its signal-to-noise ratio. The beam width of ground-to-space beam can be no narrower than the diffraction limit of a $r_o$-size aperture. The field-of-view of a space-to-ground-link receiver must also be at least $2.44 \, \lambda/r_o$ to receive all the available signal energy. For visible light, $r_o$ is typically of the order of 10 cm,\textsuperscript{184, 192, 193} and only heterodyne communications from space to ground will be seriously affected. Beamwidths and fields of view down to about 10 $\mu$rad can be used. Note that if angle-of-arrival fluctuations are eliminated by the tracking system, $r_o$ can be replaced by a value about 3.4 times as large in these limits.

For propagation in the other direction,

$$ q_o^1 = L \left[2.91 k^2 \text{ sec}^{8/3} \beta \int_0^L dh \, C_n^2(h) h^{5/3}\right]^{-3/5} $$

$$ = \frac{10 \text{ km}}{L} \text{ sec} \beta \, q_o \quad (2.18) $$

which implies that optical heterodyne receivers for ground-to-space links can be very large, direct detection receivers for ground-to-space links can have very narrow fields-of-view, and space-to-ground beams can be very narrow.

Therefore, for direct detection, the only significant effect of atmospheric turbulence is scintillation, or intensity fluctuations. As long as the coherence length $q_o$ is greater than the Fresnel zone size $[(\lambda h_o \text{ sec} \beta)^{1/2}$ where $h_o$, the effective height of the atmosphere, is about 10 km], these fluctuations will have a lognormal probability density function\textsuperscript{194}.
\[ p(l) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_{\text{in}}l} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_{\text{in}}^2} (\ln l + 1/2 \sigma_{\text{in}}^2)^2\right) \]  

(2.19)

where \( l \) is the irradiance at a point and \( \sigma_{\text{in}}^2 \) is the variance of the logarithm of irradiance. Under conditions of high turbulence levels and/or low elevation angles, \( \varrho_0 \) will not be greater than the Fresnel zone, and saturation effects become apparent in the scintillation. In the saturation regime, the point irradiance fluctuations are not lognormal. \(^{194,195}\) Many attempts to describe the probability density function of irradiance in this regime \(^{196-210}\). One of the more successful of these attempts is described in Refs. 207–210. It predicts that the total power collected by an aperture will be lognormally distributed as long as that aperture is larger than a Fresnel zone, and data \(^{209}\) support this prediction. Since direct detection optical communication links will probably use receivers larger than a Fresnel zone, the lognormal distribution can be used for signal fading statistics.

For the uplink, \( \varrho_0 \) will generally be larger than a Fresnel zone and the log-irradiance variance (spherical wave) is given by the Rylov expression \(^{211}\)

\[ \sigma_{\text{in}}^2 = 0.56 k^{7/6} \sec^{11/6} \beta \int_0^L dh \ t_n^2(h) h^{5/6}. \]  

(2.20)

A typical value would probably be of the order of 0.1 for near-zenith propagation. The variance of the actual irradiance fluctuations is given by

\[ \sigma^2 = \exp(\sigma_{\text{in}}^2) - 1 \]  

(2.21)

for the lognormal distribution.

For the downlink, the Rylov variance (plane wave), is given by \(^{211}\)

\[ \sigma_{\text{in}}^2 = 2.24 k^{7/6} \sec^{11/6} \beta \int_0^L dh \ t_n^2(h) h^{5/6}. \]  

(2.22)

The actual log-irradiance variance will be below this value when this value exceeds 1 and, in fact, will never be much larger than about 1.5, owing to the effects of saturation. This saturation phenomenon has been extensively studied. \(^{212-216}\) Figure 2.7 is a plot of log-irradiance data. The space-to-ground propagation geometry is approximated by the plane wave case in the figure. Note that these results assume a small re-
ceiver aperture. For a communications receiver, the aperture is likely to be larger than a Fresnel zone and the log-irradiance variance will be significantly reduced by the aperture averaging effect.\textsuperscript{218 - 220} For unsaturated scintillations, the variance will be approximately the Rytov variance times the square of the ratio of the Fresnel zone size to the aperture diameter.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure2.7.png}
\caption{Measured vs. predicted (Rytov) long-intensity variance for spherical wave (1), plane wave (2), and white light (3). (Refs. 211, 217)}
\end{figure}

2.4 Background Light

The effect of background light is to add noise to direct detection systems. In optical heterodyne links, the local oscillator field will be made much stronger than any background source, and background light has no effect. However, in direct detection systems, shot noise from background radiation can be a significant source of noise. The power spectral density of shot noise is given by

\[ N = \frac{2\eta e^2 P}{h\nu} \quad (2.23) \]

where \( \eta \) is the quantum efficiency of the detector, \( e \) is the electronic charge, \( P \) is the total optical power on the detector, \( h \) is the Planck constant, and \( \nu \) the angular frequency of the light. Clearly, the signal-to-noise ratio, and hence bit-error rate, will be unacceptable if the amount of background light reaching the detector is too large.

Sources of background light include direct, reflected, and scattered sunlight; thermal emission from the earth, atmosphere, moon, and planets; starlight; zodiacal light; auroral displays; direct, reflected, and scattered artificial light; bioluminescence; light-
ning; and meteor trails. However, some generalizations can be made. For the visible and near-infrared portions of the spectrum, sunlight will be the dominant background source during the day. Assuming that care is taken to ensure that the sun is never in the field of view of the receiver, the sunlight reflected from the earth will be the major contributor to the background for an uplink, and the sunlight scattered from the atmosphere will be the major contributor for a downlink. At night, moonlight, starlight, or artificial light may be the dominant source. Whichever it is, it will almost certainly be below daytime levels. Farther into the infrared, thermal emission of the earth or of the atmosphere will be the dominant background source day or night.

A general picture of the background sources important for a downlink operating during the day can be obtained from Fig. 2.8. This presents the spectral irradiance of the background light in units of microwatts of optical power per square centimeter of collector aperture area per steradian of receiver field of view per micrometer of receiver optical bandwidth for the direct sun, a sunlit cloud, clear-sky-scattered sunlight, and an atmosphere at a temperature of 300 K. Note that the direct sun is so bright that one would take care that it not be within the receiver field-of-view. Also, the presence of clouds has such a devastating effect on the operation of the link that communication through clouds is unlikely. Therefore, the sunlit cloud is unlikely to be an important background source for the uplink.

The intensity and polarization of scattered sunlight in the clear sky depend on a number of factors, including wavelength, position of the sun, direction of observation, and aerosol content of the atmosphere. Various effects and combinations of effects have been considered in the literature. References 221–238 are a representative sample of these studies; the list is by no means exhaustive. The thermal emission power also depends on a number of factors, including wavelength, atmospheric temperature, and direction of observation. A representative list of studies of this background source is provided in Refs. 221, 239–247.

For the uplink case, the earth in the vicinity of the transmitter is the background, and extensive measurements of background levels have been made by earth-imaging satellites. The Coastal Zone Color Scanner on Nimbus-7 observes the ocean at 443 nm, 520 nm, 550 nm, 670 nm, 750 nm, and 11.5 μm.248–252 The NOAA satellites have global coverage in the visible (0.58 to 0.68 μm), near infrared (0.73 to 1.10 μm), mid-infrared (3.55 to 3.93 μm), and thermal infrared (10.5 to 11.3 μm and 11.5 to 12.5 μm) spectral regions.253–256 Global coverage with continuous spectral coverage is provided by the Landsat series.257–260
Figure 2.8 – Idealized spectral radiance of the sun (6000 K) emitting atmosphere (300 K) sunlit cloud, and sunlight scattering clear sky. (Ref. 221)
3. SURVEY OF OPTICAL COMMUNICATION ACTIVITIES

Details of the first atmospheric optical communication systems are lost in prerecorded history. Certainly, visual observation of hand motions, beacon fires, and other signaling devices was used for communication very early in the development of civilization.

In 1880, Alexander Graham Bell transmitted speech through the atmosphere using reflected sunlight. This was probably the earliest system that did not rely on the human visual system, with its low frequency response, as a receiver. Shortly thereafter systems were developed that used artificial light sources instead of reflected sunlight. The major applications for these systems were military. They were used by both sides in World Wars I and II. More recently, very similar systems, using light-emitting diodes or semiconductor diode lasers, have been used for communications. These systems tend to operate over very short ranges (typically of the order of 1 km or less). It is generally understood that the link will not be available during severe weather (e.g., snow).

The history of space-to-ground optical communications is much shorter. However, a number of experiments have been performed or planned (Sec. 3.2). In addition, there have been a number of theoretical studies relevant to optical communication in the atmosphere (Sec. 3.1).

3.1 Theoretical Studies

The general principles of optical communication are well known and have been the subject of books by Ross, Pratt, Gagliardi and Karp, Kaszovsky, and others. These treatments include background effects. They are also valid in the presence of molecular absorption and scattering and of scattering by haze and thin clouds. These processes have little effect beyond a reduction of the signal power reaching the detector. Fog and thick clouds do have some effect on the type of receiver one might use, but turbulence has a much larger effect and most of the theoretical studies have investigated receiver structures and performance in the presence of turbulence.

Receiver structure and performance have been evaluated for systems that use scattered light to communicate over the horizon and also for the more general scatter channel that includes space-to-ground communication through clouds. The major difference between the scattering channel and other channels through the atmosphere is the strong dependence, in the former, of performance on receiver field-of-view. Much of the signal energy is not collected by a receiver with a very narrow field-of-view. If the field-of-view is expanded more energy is collected and the signal-to-noise ratio improves. However, pulse spreading increases with increasing field-of-view, and this
can cause intersymbol interference and performance degradation. Therefore, a tradeoff exists between signal-to-noise ratio and intersymbol interference.

The effects of intersymbol interference can be partially mitigated by signal processing within the receiver. A great deal of work has been done on this technique, called equalization, for non-optical communication channels. Typically a tapped-delay-line filter is used on the receiver signal. A similar manipulation of received photocounts can be applied to an optical communication system that counts photons at the receiver. This problem, typical of a wide-field-of-view photon-counting receiver for a communication link through clouds, has been addressed by several authors.

More theoretical work has been done on receiver structures and performance for propagation through refractive turbulence. The effects of turbulence on the binary communication channel for heterodyne detection were examined by Fried and Schmeltzer and by Heidbreder and Mitchell. From the results, it became apparent that spatial diversity techniques could be very useful in overcoming some of the deleterious effects of turbulence. Kennedy and Hoversten presented the structures and error bounds for M-ary orthogonal signaling and heterodyne detection for fading on multiple, independent paths. Halme extended those results to arbitrary correlation of the paths. Churnside and McIntyre considered the same problem with a more realistic model for the fading statistics.

The direct detection case has also been studied. Peters and Arguello considered a single channel and polarization modulation, assuming no background radiation or dark current. Solimeno et al. considered on-off signaling with a single detector. Background noise was considered, but in an unrealistic manner. Spatial diversity results for independent direct detection channels were presented by Hoversten et al. These results were extended to include correlation of the fading by Teich and Rosenberg. Webb and Marino returned to the single-channel case and performed a more careful analysis. Churnside and McIntyre developed a more sophisticated receiver structure making use of spatial diversity.

An example of the results of a receiver performance calculation for a photon-counting receiver is presented in Fig. 3.1. This is a plot of the bit-error-rate, or error probability $P(E)$ as a function of the average number of signal photons received per channel when a 1 was sent for on-off signaling. This case assumes four independent channels and an average of one background or dark current photocount per channel. The fluctuations in the signal power at each channel are assumed to be lognormal, with a log-intensity variance of 0.25. Curve 1 represents the maximum a posteriori receiver structure. Curve 2 represents an approximation to the optimum fixed maximum likelihood receiver structure. Curve 3 represents an averaged threshold adap-
tive receiver structure. Curve 0 represents the performance that could be obtained in the absence of turbulence; the deleterious effects of turbulence are clear. The difference that receiver structure can have on performance is also clear.

![Graph showing bit error rate P(E) as a function of the number of signal photons per bit interval for a four-channel receiver in the atmosphere. The curves represent four different receiver structures. (Ref. 287)]

Figure 3.1 - Bit error rate P(E) as a function of the number of signal photons per bit interval for a four-channel receiver in the atmosphere. The curves represent four different receiver structures. (Ref. 287)

To our knowledge, there are no significant efforts in the theory of optical propagation through the atmosphere at the present time. The work on probability density functions in (Sec. 2.3) is very pertinent to this issue, however. The direct detection theories all assume a lognormal probability density function. If a consensus emerges on a more precise description of probability density function, it would be useful to repeat this type of receiver structure and performance study using the new density function in place of the lognormal.
3.2 Experimental Programs

To the best of our knowledge, there has been only one test of space-to-ground optical communications, and it was a failure. The transmitter, designated GT-7, was developed by RCA. This device measured 7.5 x 13 x 20 cm, weighed 2.35 kg, and was designed for hand-held operation by an astronaut. It consisted of four semiconductor diode lasers with a combined peak power of 24 W into 3 mrad. It was capable of transmitting a 100 Hz acquisition tone and an 8-kHz voice channel. It was carried into earth orbit on Gemini VII in 1967. Three ground stations were each equipped with a receiving telescope and an argon ion laser beacon. The astronauts sighted the beacon at Kauai, Hawaii, on December 11, 1967, and the one at White Sands Missile Range on December 12, 1967, but no signals were received by either ground station. The beacon was visible for only a few minutes each orbit, and this was not enough time to find and lock onto the receiver station with the hand-held GT-7.

The Air Force Space Laser Communications (LASERCOM) Program started with system concept and component design in the early 1970's at the Air Force Avionics Laboratory. The initial objective was to test a 1-gigabit/s space-to-ground link with a bit error rate of $<10^{-6}$ using a Nd:YAG laser transmitter. McDonnell Douglas Astronautics was chosen as the primary contractor. The program was transferred to the Air Force Space and Missile Systems Organization (now Space Division) in 1975, and a space demonstration was scheduled for a 1979 launch but was canceled because of budgetary cuts at the DoD level. A smaller, aircraft-to-ground demonstration was scheduled for 1980 at White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.

The laser transmitter for this experiment used a K:Rb-lamp-pumped, mode-locked, frequency-doubled (to 0.53 μm wavelength) Nd:YAG laser. This device demonstrated 330 mW of power at 5 x 108 pulses per second, with a pulse width of 400 picoseconds. An external TiTa03 electro-optic modulator was used to produce a combination of binary pulse position and pulse polarization modulation to obtain two bits of information per pulse. The transmitter used a 20-cm-diameter telescope with a beamwidth of 5 μrad. The ground receiver used a 60-cm-diameter telescope with a field-of-view of 100 μrad. The detectors were dynamic crossed-field photomultipliers, which were followed by receiver electronics to resolve the time delay and polarization of the incoming pulses. The experiment also used a 1.06-μm laser uplink for command and control communications to the transmitter and for use as a tracking beacon by the transmitter.

Unlike the Gemini VII experiment, the LASERCOM experiment was a complete success. The system even continued to operate through some clouds, despite the fact that it was designed as a clear air system. No further work on space-to-ground optical communications was done under the program. However, this work was used as a...
basis for an operational space-to-space link. In the future, satellites of the Defense Satellite Program (DSP) will transfer data through a 1.2 megabit/s crosslink. This link will use a GaA/As–diode–laser–pumped Nd:YAG laser operating at 1.06 μm, pulse-position modulation, and 8-inch-diameter telescopes. The development of diode–laser–pumped Nd:YAG lasers\(^{291-296}\) has produced a much more attractive laser transmitter than the original flashlamp–pumped lasers. The former are smaller, lighter, more efficient, and much more reliable than the latter.

The European Space Agency (ESA) has had a number of activities in the area of optical communications for space applications since 1977.\(^{297}\) It is interested in inter-satellite links for commercial voice, television, and data transmission between two geostationary satellites and in inter-orbit links for data transmission from a low-earth-orbiting satellite to a geostationary data relay satellite.

ESA programs include research into the basic technology for CO\(_2\) laser systems,\(^{298-301}\) Nd:YAG laser systems,\(^{302}\) and diode laser systems.\(^{303-308}\) ESA also has a Payload and Spacecraft Demonstration and Experiment Programme (PSDE) to perform various telecommunication experiments in space. Although the final configuration of the PSDE has not been determined, one similar to that of Fig. 3.2 seems likely. It consists of two PSDE spacecraft, with optical terminals, in geostationary orbit and an optical terminal aboard a low-earth-orbiting satellite, such as the French SPOT 4. Note that space–to–ground optical communications are included in this scenario. The first of the PSDE satellites is scheduled to be launched in mid–1992. The optical communication system will be a diode laser system similar to the following:\(^{297}\) "The radiation of four single-mode GaAlAs laser diodes emitting around 850 nm is multiplexed to accommodate the transmission of four 120-megabit/s channels. This Wavelength Division Multiplexing technique is used to circumvent the laser output power limitation of today's available laser diodes in order to provide a return–link capacity of 500 megabit/s with moderate antenna diameters. Each laser diode is on–off keyed in the NRZ–or 4–PPM format by injection current modulation. The assumed average output power of each laser diode is 50 mW. The direct–detection receiver consists of four APD's, one for each channel. For the acquisition, a powerful laser diode array beacon sent from the GEO terminal will be used with a CCD matrix as acquisition sensor. For tracking, some 10% of the received power is directed to a monopulse tracking detector in form of a quadrant avalanche photodiode or, as recently suggested, in form of a subarray of the acquisition CCD matrix. The telescopes are of the Cassegrain type, with a gimbal as course pointing element. The diameters of the telescopes have been determined to be 35 cm on DRS and 20 cm on LEO, respectively."
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The ATR Optical and Radio Communications Research Laboratories of Japan are also working on optical intersatellite links. These laboratories, founded in 1986, are working on technology development of diode lasers, detectors, optical integrated circuits, filters, modulation/demodulation schemes, and tracking systems. An experimental optical communication subsystem is also under consideration as one of the payloads for the Japanese Engineering Test Satellite VI scheduled for a 1992 launch. In another program, optical communications are expected to be a major experimental item aboard a large satellite that is to be assembled at a space station and put into geostationary orbit in 1996. Although the eventual application of this technology is expected to be space-to-space links, early tests are likely to be between one space terminal and a ground station, and space-to-ground communications will necessarily be investigated.

The NASA Advanced Communications Technology Satellite was also to have carried an optical communication terminal in geostationary orbit. Two different experi-
mental systems were to have used a common telescope. The first was the Air Force Laser Intersatellite Transmission Experiment, which was a coherent diode–laser transmitter operating at 220 megabits/s. The second was the NASA Direct Detection Laser Transceiver, a direct detection diode–laser transmitter and receiver package. Initial operation of these systems was to have been between geostationary orbit and the ground. A low earth-orbiting satellite was to have been launched later to allow experiments on inter-orbital links. The entire optical communication program has been canceled because of budgetary limitations.

Finally, we briefly mention the Navy's satellite-to-submarine communication program. The ocean is virtually opaque to electromagnetic waves except for extremely-low-frequency waves and blue-green light. For this reason, the Navy is interested in satellite-to-submarine communications using blue-green lasers. Low data rates are envisioned, but a high link availability is required. Most of the work to date has been on component technology development. A laser source at the frequency of minimum oceanic absorption that is also suitable for space deployment is one area of active investigation. Another is a receiver filter that has a narrow optical passband but a wide field-of-view. However, these investigations are narrowly focused on the specific problem, and are unlikely to contribute significantly to nonmilitary applications.

4. OPTICAL COMMUNICATION APPLICATIONS

The interest in coherent optical communications follows a dominant trend in communication systems research. Communication systems with higher carrier frequencies are inherently capable of operating at higher antenna gain and modulation bandwidth. Optical frequencies \((-10^{14} \text{ Hz})\) are several orders of magnitude higher than the operating carrier frequencies of the conventional radio frequency (RF) communication systems \((-10^9 \text{ Hz})\) in use today. The promise of large antenna gain and enormous modulation bandwidth, which become available at optical frequencies, provides the basic reason for the compelling interest shown by commercial, civilian, and military establishments in the development of a coherent optical communication system.

For similar modulation depths, the gain in the available bandwidth will be about \(10^4\)-fold for optical communication systems. Also, for a given transmitter antenna size, the angular beamwidth is inversely proportional to the carrier frequency and the spatial power density at the receiver. This implies, for example, that the power density at the receiving aperture will be \(10^6\) times larger for an optical system with a 0.1-m antenna operating at \(10^{14}\) Hz than for a system with 10-m antenna operating at \(10^9\) Hz. Optical systems with bit rates higher than 1 gigabit/s without multiplexing have been achieved; with various types of multiplexing, a \(10^5\) gigabit/s data rate is possible.

Optical systems also promise to be smaller in size and weight and have lower power consumption, compared with RF systems having similar performance character-
istics. A decrease in the equipment size is very desirable because it will result in lower costs for putting communication satellites in space. In the case of other space missions, the advantage of size and weight will leave more room for scientific payloads and allow for more flexibility in spacecraft design.

Since optical transmitters experience relatively much lower diffractive spreading, optical communications provide excellent means for the design of secure systems with low probability of intercept and intentional or unintentional jamming. Tight laser beams also provide extensive opportunities for frequency reuse.

In short, laser communication technology has the potential to provide (1) an enormous data bandwidth for significantly improved channel performance, (2) a significant advantage in weight, size, and power consumption over RF systems, and (3) non-interacting multiple access link geometries that are amenable to extensive frequency reuse, and (4) secure systems with low probability of interception and jamming.

The commercial interest in laser communications is focused on the development of a high-quality multiplexed voice, video, and data transmission network. A network of satellites and ground stations is envisioned to move information efficiently on a global scale. However, there is strong competition from the relatively mature fiber optic communication technology. And has forced the commercial sector to lose some of its enthusiasm for the development of a global satellite communication network.

The civilian interests are based on the need to develop high throughput real-time data transfer mechanisms for remote sensing or earth resource satellites in low earth orbits. The data from low earth-orbiting satellites will, perhaps, be first transmitted to strategically placed geosynchronous satellites for subsequent transfer to appropriate ground station. Other applications include communication links to science probes in deep space for planetary and extra-planetary exploration.
5. OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS IN THE ATMOSPHERE

The atmospheric channel for optical communication is characterized by (1) attenuation due to scattering and absorption by molecules and other particulate matter, (2) diffractive and turbulent beam spreading, (3) log-normal fading due to scintillation, (4) a coherence bandwidth of 1010 Hz or greater, (5) a long coherence time (≈ 1 ms), and (6) a significant wavefront distortion, which, among other things, limits the power-collecting capability of a diffraction limited receiver.\textsuperscript{182,184,211,312}

Shapiro and Harney\textsuperscript{313} developed an expression for the received power $P_R(t)$ in terms of the transmitted power $P_T(t)$ and relevant atmospheric propagation effects. Neglecting the propagation delay, we have

$$P_R(t) = P_T(t)(D_R^2/\pi\theta_0^2Z^2) \exp[-\tau] \exp[2u(t)], \quad (5.1)$$

where $(D_R^2/\pi\theta_0^2Z^2)$ represents free space propagation loss in terms of receiver diameter $D_R$, transmitter beam divergence $\theta_0$, and path length $Z$; $\epsilon$ is the efficiency of the optical system, $\tau$ is the optical depth for the propagation path, and $u(t)$ is the time-varying aperture-averaged log-amplitude fluctuation due to turbulence. $\exp[2u(t)]$ is, then, the time-varying aperture-averaged irradiance fluctuation at the receiver.

Eq. (5.1) disregards the effect of fluctuations in the angle-of-arrival at the receiver. In heterodyne systems this leads to a loss in the mixing efficiency. For direct detection schemes, the focused signal moves randomly in image plane affecting energy collection capabilities of the system at the detector. It has been shown that incorporation of tilt correction techniques into the receiver system can result in improvements as high as 8 dB.\textsuperscript{314}

5.1 Scintillation

Scintillation causes fades and surges in received signal power. These fades may be as long as 10 ms, which is long enough to wipe out an entire message packet. The fade level $F$, in dB, is defined as\textsuperscript{315}

$$F = -10 \log[I(t)/I_m], \quad (5.2)$$

where $I_m$ is the mean and $I(t)$ is the instantaneous irradiance observed. Yura and McKinley\textsuperscript{315} developed various results to compute the fraction of the time that a fade exceeds some given value. For the worst case scintillation, fades exceeding 3 dB occur
more than 50% of the time and fades exceeding 10 dB occur more than 10% of the time. For more reasonable values of scintillation strength, fades exceeding 10 dB occur only 1% of the time.

An obvious strategy to counter scintillation effects is to incorporate sufficient excess margin into the optical link. With this approach for an earth-space link, a margin of 20 dB will be necessary for the system to work properly 99.9% of the time under worst case scintillation. However, this costly solution to the problem can be avoided by employing temporal diversity. These methods include simple repetition of the message, coding, and interleaving. If coding is used, a careful matching of coding schemes to the channel can provide substantial improvement in performance.\textsuperscript{316}

5.2 Opaque Clouds

Another aspect of the problem that is not readily apparent from Eq. (5.1) is the non-zero probability of opaque cloud cover. Presence of thick clouds, in general, will have a catastrophic effect on the availability of an optical communication link. Though scattered laser light is available for communication, the system has to be designed to have (1) a wide field-of-view to collect enough power, which greatly increases the background noise, and (2) a low data rate to avoid intersymbol interference due to pulse spreading. Also, polarization coding of the signal cannot be used as the scattered light is depolarized. An optical communication system designed to employ the scattered beam, then, quickly loses its advantages over the conventional systems.

An optical space network (OSN) for optical communications can be designed to avoid the clouds by employing spatial and temporal diversity. We need to identify sites for the installation of optical receiver/transmitter stations where the clouds have a low probability of occurrence. Several such sites with uncorrelated weather patterns may need be operated simultaneously to obtain desired link availability.

Cloud cover exhibits a number of cycles—nocturnal, diurnal, seasonal, and long range. A large number of databases, statistical studies, and computer models are available in the literature that describe and simulate cloud behavior. However, it is not clear how much of the information is useful or relevant to optical communications. A concrete view of the OSN is essential to help identify information that may be worthwhile for our purposes. We discuss two representative configurations of an OSN and see how spatial diversity can be employed to develop robust communication links.

5.2.1 Dispersed direct link

The network may be designed to have six to nine receiving stations roughly equidistant from each other, and placed around the globe near the equatorial region. The interstation distance would be roughly 5000 km, and the individual sites would be chosen
for their high probability of clear weather. Since the characteristic scale of a weather pattern or a climatic zone are of the order of a few hundred kilometers, the adjacent stations would lie in different climatic regions and thus have uncorrelated cloud cover statistics. The described arrangement of the receiving sites would ensure that at least three of them are able to intercept the signal at all times. It would be necessary to work out joint cloud cover statistics for two or more consecutive sites for link availability. The probability of an outage for this configuration is low because (1) several stations would be monitoring the signal jointly or the spacecraft would have the ability to point to one of several stations by choosing the optimum site for cloud-free optical communication, and (2) the stations would lie in different climatic zones and hence their weather patterns are uncorrelated. Since the receiving sites are far apart, there would be no initial need to obtain high-resolution data on cloud cover. Later, to examine and validate a short list of likely sites, high-resolution site-specific data would be required. The temporal resolution of the data has to be high for short-term outage statistics to be computed accurately. For validation purposes we would need to do a cloud-free line-of-sight (CFLOS) and cloud-free arc (CFARC) analysis to compute outage probabilities for single sites as well as jointly for two or more sites.

5.2.2 Clustered direct link

For geophysical and/or geopolitical reasons, the OSN may consist of only three or four locations around the globe, chosen for their optimally cloud-free skies. In this configuration, a cluster of two or more autonomous receiving stations could be built on each location. Note that this geometry also includes the case in which a single geosynchronous satellite, which accepts messages from other satellites, planes, and ground stations, is linked to a single cluster of receiving stations. Let us consider an extreme situation in which the receiving stations are only a few tens of kilometers apart from each other at each of the selected regions. For a major portion of the time the spacecraft can point to only one of these clusters, handing off the signal beam to the next cluster as it rises above the horizon.

We would need to do most of the studies listed in Sec. 5.2.1 to determine the suitability of sites for ground stations. However, spatial resolution on sky cover in this case needs to be very high (about an order of magnitude better than the distance between the ground sites). The stations would all be in the same climatic zone, and hence their weather patterns would be correlated. An ultra-high temporal resolution may be necessary to extract meaningful statistics. The outage times when all the ground stations are unable to receive would happen more often and would be of longer duration, compared with the dispersed configuration discussed in Sec. 5.2.1 for the same number of receivers on ground.
5.3 Weather Models and Simulations

Almost all data and statistics currently available on cloud cover are not readily amenable to the study of optical communications through the atmosphere. The next best thing to do is to use available weather data, which are incomplete and insufficient, as a guide to develop computer models and simulations that mimic real-time dynamic behavior of clouds. An early model for cloud cover was developed by scientists at SRI International. Work at AFGL, which is based on the SRI model, has produced considerably more sophisticated computer simulations of cloud dynamics. The computer programs developed by AFGL may be used to compute cloud-free line-of-sight (CFLOS) or cloud-free arc (CFARC) probabilities for any site. It is also possible to compute joint CFLOS and CFARC probabilities for two or more sites. These statistics, needless to say, are of great importance to the development of an OSN.

Shaik\textsuperscript{317} proposed a simple heuristic weather model that can be used to compute link availability statistics. The model can be used to predict joint probability for the percentage time for which weather is such that the extinction loss through the atmosphere is less than some threshold for at least one of the ground stations. If \( \omega_n(\tau) \) is the cumulative distribution function (CDF) giving percentage weather for \( n \) sites for which the optical depth of the atmosphere is less than or equal to \( \tau \), then the model states that

\[
\omega_n(\tau) = 1 - \left[ q \exp(-b(\tau - \tau_o)) \right]^n, \quad (\tau \geq \tau_o), \tag{5.3}
\]

where \( \tau_o \) is an empirical constant representing the minimum possible optical depth of the atmosphere associated with an average clear day, \( b \) is a site-dependent parameter to model the slope of the CDF curve, and \( q \) is the probability of non-clear skies and is assumed, for simplicity, to be the same for all sites. The probability of non-clear skies, for example, in the southwestern United States is less than 0.4.\textsuperscript{318} For a single site with \( q = 0.4, \tau_o = 0.6 \) (2.6 dB extinction loss), and \( b = 0.05 \), the probability that the optical depth \( \tau \leq 1.0 \) (4.3 dB) is \( \omega_1(\tau = 1) = 0.61 \). If there are three such independent and identically distributed sites, we have \( \omega_3(\tau = 1) = 0.94 \). In other words, if a system is designed to absorb extinction loss of 4.3 dB, a three-site receiving network will be functional 94% of the time. However, it is not very clear how the independence of weather patterns at various sites can be ensured. It is known, as noted earlier, that the scale size of weather patterns is of the order of a few hundred kilometers, and this measure may be used to find sites with uncorrelated weather. Joint observation of weather parameters for the probable sites will be necessary to make a more accurate determination.
5.4 Other Diversity Techniques

We have discussed path or site diversity in some detail in Sec. 5.3 because it appears to be one of the most important techniques for the design of robust optical communication links. We have also touched on temporal diversity to overcome short-term turbulence-induced fades. Brandinger and Engelbrecht suggested a number of other diversity techniques. Among them are (1) frequency diversity, (2) transmitter power diversity, and (3) transmission delay or temporary data storage diversity.

Apart from strong molecular scattering and absorption lines, the effect of the atmosphere on optical frequencies does not change much over the entire range. For example, the refractive index of the atmosphere changes by 10%, and the effect of changing humidity is quite small for all optical frequencies. It may be concluded that the use of frequency diversity cannot provide acceptable engineering gain in system design.

The use of transmitter power diversity can be a feasible solution to counter the loss through the atmosphere, especially for the uplink configuration. The power output of ground lasers may be adjusted so as to maintain a constant power level at the spacecraft receiver. However, the transmitter configurations on spacecraft at present must use maximum attainable laser power, leaving little room for the use of power diversity.

Temporary storage diversity is an attractive alternative, but only when real-time operation is not necessary.

6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The general trend toward higher and higher frequencies and the potential bandwidth, weight, size, and power characteristics of optical links make the eventual application of optical communications inevitable. The first civil applications will probably be satellite crosslinks, following the example of the military. The first applications involving atmospheric propagation will probably be space-to-ground data links. The most promising link would use direct detection. Multiple receiver stations would be used to ensure a high probability of cloud-free propagation. To reduce the effects of scintillation, encoding of the signal would be employed.

Based on these conclusions, we do not recommend a large program in atmospheric near-Earth optical communications at NASA. However, we recommend that NASA begin to assemble the knowledge base that will allow evaluation of specific optical systems as the need arises. In particular, several investigations should be initiated now. The first is a study of link availability based on the probability of one of several potential receiver sites having a cloud-free line of sight to a particular section of the sky. The second is a study of the fading statistics for large direct-detection receivers in the
turbulent atmosphere. The last is an investigation into the climatology of turbulence levels that would aid in site selection. These three areas are recommended for long-term, low-level-of-effort of study.
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