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ABSTRACT

A great deal of experimentation and analysis has been performed to quantify penetration thresholds of components which will experience orbital debris impacts. Penetration had been found to depend upon mission specific parameters such as orbital altitude, inclination, and orientation of the component; and upon component specific parameters such as material, density and the geometry particular to its shielding. Experimental results are highly dependent upon shield configuration and cannot be extrapolated with confidence to alternate shield configurations. Also, current experimental capabilities are limited to velocities which only approach the lower limit of predicted orbital debris velocities. Therefore, prediction of the penetrating particle size for a particular component having a complex geometry remains highly uncertain.

This paper describes the approach developed to assess on-orbit survivability of the solar dynamic radiator due to micrometeoroid and space debris impacts. Preliminary analyses are presented to quantify the solar dynamic radiator survivability, and include the type of particle and particle population expected to defeat the radiator bumping (i.e., penetrate a fluid flow tube). Results of preliminary hypervelocity impact testing performed on radiator panel samples (in the 6 to 7 km/sec velocity range) are also presented. Plans for further analyses and testing are discussed. These efforts are expected to lead to a radiator design which will perform to requirements over the expected lifetime.

NOMENCLATURE

- \( m \) particle mass, g
- \( N \) flux of particles in particles/(year m\(^2\))
- \( P \) probability of no penetration
- \( T \) time of exposure to micrometeoroid or debris impact, years
- \( t \) thickness of plate (single plate model), cm
- \( t_1 \) thickness of first plate (double plate model), mm
- \( t_2 \) thickness of second plate (double plate model), mm
- \( S \) spacing between target plates (double plate model), cm
- \( V \) normal impact velocity, km/sec
- \( \delta_p \) particle density, g/cm\(^3\)
- \( \delta_t \) target density, g/cm\(^3\)
- \( \delta_y \) yield stress of second plate (double plate model), lb/in.\(^2\)

INTRODUCTION

A program is in progress to better understand the environmental threat due to micrometeoroids and space debris to a particular component in low earth orbit— the solar dynamic power module radiator on Space Station Freedom. The prediction of survivability in low earth orbit from micrometeoroids and space debris impacts is challenging due to uncertainties in (1) the determination of the size, mass and velocity of a particle which will penetrate a particular component, and (2) the prediction of the actual debris environment that the component will encounter in terms of type of particle (size and mass), population of particles in
orbit (currently and over the life of a component), and flux of particles (by altitude, velocity, direction, and size).

The objective of the program described herein is to reduce the first of these uncertainties for the solar dynamic radiator. The second of these uncertainties has received much attention in the design of Freedom and is documented in the literature (12).

This paper describes the approach used to assess survivability of the radiator due to this environmental threat, including preliminary analyses of survivability, results of preliminary penetration threshold testing, and plans for future testing.

BACKGROUND

Solar dynamic (SD) power modules provide for the growth power requirements of Freedom. The solar dynamic radiator (SDR) acts as the thermal sink for the Closed Brayton Cycle for the SD power system. Figures 1 and 2 illustrate the SD radiator components and baseline configuration. The multipanel radiator is automatically deployed using a motorized, scissor-arm and cable mechanism. Heat is rejected by pumping a single-phase heat transfer fluid (n-heptane) through the radiator panels, which are plumbed in parallel by flexible hoses. Each radiator panel is configured with inlet and outlet flow manifolds. Flow tubes are connected to manifolds by perpendicular take-offs and run the length of the panel. The SDR contains a redundant flow path, which is to be used in the event of a failure of the primary flow path. The current design calls for 18 active tubes per panel, alternating with 18 secondary (redundant) tubes. The SD power system and radiator are described in detail in Refs. 1 to 3.

As can be seen in Fig. 1, the SD radiator is composed of several parts which include the deployment structure, the deployment mechanism, the radiator panels and the plumbing which connects the fluid flow components to the radiator and connects the radiator panels to each other. Impact of a large enough particle could certainly damage the structure and must be considered at some point in the program. However, the current effort has concentrated on the design and analysis for protection of the fluid passages. A puncture of one of the passages in a pumped liquid radiator renders the entire system inoperative (thus
necessitating a redundant system. As a result this aspect of protection is of primary concern.

The heat rejection system requirements call for a 0.95 probability of no loss of heat rejection due to penetration. The SDR is configured with multiple panels (in which the primary and secondary) are embedded in a parallel flow path arrangement. Full heat rejection capability in the second fluid loop is available should the primary fluid loop be penetrated; thus, the probability of no loss of heat rejection due to penetration is reduced to 0.77 for each of the two redundant systems.

PRELIMINARY ANALYSES

The flow tubes are shielded by a bumpered configuration to reduce vulnerability to penetration by micrometeoroids and space debris in the low-earth-orbit environment (Fig. 2). The fluid system also includes panel manifolds (Figs. 1 and 2), flex hoses (Fig. 3), and conventional, 1.0 in. i.d. hard tube plumbing (in the base structure and first elements of the deployment mechanism). Each of these components presents a different target, and thus a different penetration configuration, for impact of a particle. Available analytical techniques from the literature are all based on empirical data from tests of two simple configurations: single sheet impacts and multiple, parallel sheet impacts. The SDR design incorporates shielding geometries which, in some cases, pose complex projectile paths for penetration of a particle into the fluid loop. For instance, projectiles traveling towards a panel flow tube at an angle that is not perpendicular to the plane of the panel can encounter the panel face-sheets, honeycomb, and some portion of the bumbered extrusion. To justify applications of the available analytical techniques to the more complex penetration geometries is not attempted; however, the equations were used to obtain an initial evaluation for the purpose of establishing the overall SDR vulnerability and to identify areas where design changes were required. In this limited role the analysis was highly effective.

PRELIMINARY TESTING

The unique geometry of the SDR flow tubes necessitated a preliminary set of hypervelocity impact tests to assess the applicability of empirical data for simpler geometries. The NASA Johnson Space Center (JSC) Hypervelocity Impact Research Laboratory (HIRL) was selected for this initial phase of solar dynamic radiator system tests.

Test Facility

The JSC HIRL contains three two-stage, light-gas launchers. A single gun was used in this study which has a 4.3 mm launch tube bore and is capable of launching 3.2 mm diameter aluminum spheres and 73 mg nylon slugs at over 7 km/sec. Additional details of the capabilities of the HIRL are described in other reports (2-3).
The hypervelocity impact tests were supported by a Cordin Model 330 IR high-speed framing camera. It operates at 1 M frames/sec with a 5 ns exposure time (10). The camera provides data on projectile velocity and integrity, and ejected particle pattern and velocity. It also serves as an important diagnostic tool to confirm that a shot is clean; that just the projectile and no secondary particles (such as fragments of sabot, shear plate, or other gun debris) hit the target, or to provide clues to the problem if the shot is not clean.

**Test Procedure**

Twelve hypervelocity impact tests were conducted on target specimens representative of the solar dynamic radiator panels (Fig. 2). Each test specimen was approximately 5.1 cm wide by 6.0 cm long by 1.8 cm thick and was bisected by a single bumpered flow tube. Test conditions are given in Table I. The objective for these preliminary tests was to assess the response of the radiator panels to hypervelocity impact as a function of projectile diameter and impact angle. In particular, it was hoped the tests would help determine the "ballistic limit" of the flow tubes within the panels; that is, the particle size that just causes failure (penetration) of the flow tubes.

Because orbital debris is expected to have the density of aluminum in the particle size range tested (11,12), aluminum projectiles were used in the impact tests. Aluminum (Al2017) spheres with diameters of 1.0 mm, 1.25 mm, and 1.6 mm were launched at impact velocities expected on-orbit, but are currently the highest velocities available experimentally in the particle range of interest. Some method is needed to scale the experimental results to velocities experienced on-orbit. Average impact velocities for orbital debris and meteoroids are 10 and 20 km/sec, respectively (11-13). For simplified hazard assessments using the preliminary laboratory test results, scaling to higher impact velocities expected on-orbit can be accomplished by empirical penetration equations for single and dual-sheet aluminum structures published in the literature (14,15). These empirical models have limited applicability to this particular case since the geometry of the radiator panels is more complicated than the bases of the empirical models (given the internal honeycomb and nonparallel aluminum plates contained within the radiator panel elements). Therefore, later phases of the radiator system testing could involve tests at several impact velocities.

**TABLE I. - HYPERVELOCITY IMPACT TEST DATA FOR SOLAR DYNAMIC RADIATOR PANELS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Diameter, mm</th>
<th>Velocity, km/s</th>
<th>Impact angle, degree</th>
<th>Direction relative to flow axis</th>
<th>Mass, mg</th>
<th>Miss distance to middle flow tube, mm</th>
<th>Bumper damage comments</th>
<th>Flow tube damage comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A873</td>
<td>A12017-T4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A875</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A876</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A877</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A880</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A882</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A883</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A894</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A895</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A891</td>
<td>A12017-T5</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>Parallel</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Perforated</td>
<td>Pinched</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A892</td>
<td>A12017-T5</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>Parallel</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Perforated</td>
<td>Pinched</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A897</td>
<td>A12017-T4</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All spherical projectiles with density 2.796 g/cc.

**TEST RESULTS**

Figure 4 shows the results of a 1.0 mm aluminum sphere impacting at a normal angle (0°) at 6.85 km/sec. Although the projectile missed striking the flow tube directly, it left a "spall bubble" on the inside of the flow tube bumper and the expanding debris cloud from the projectile flight path to the middle of the flow tube. Since the nominal o.d. of the flow tube is 3.4 mm (0.134 in.), miss distances greater than 1.7 mm do not intersect the flow tube. Only two shots (A882 and A892) had original trajectories that would have impacted the flow tube.

Figure 5 shows the results of a 1.6 mm aluminum sphere impact at a normal (0°) impact angle. This impact was nearly centered over the flow tube (1.2 mm miss distance on a 1.7 mm radius flow tube). The projectile broke up when it impacted the top of the flow tube bumper, and the expanding debris cloud from the initial impact penetrated one side and deformed the other side of the flow tube bumper. Although the impact left a hole in the side of the flow tube, it did not penetrate into the flow tube itself. However, the next phase of the testing will involve tests at several impact velocities.
as shown in Fig. 6, a very large hole was opened into the flow tube bumper wall for the same-size projectile (1.6 mm) at a 45° impact, which if better centered on the flow tube (miss distance was 2.4 mm), would most likely have penetrated into the flow tube as well.

With these experimental results, a preliminary hazard assessment can be performed for the radiator panel flow tubes. The critical particle size causing failure of the second sheet (d) is inversely proportional to both projectile velocity and the square root of particle density by the Cour-Palais penetration function for a dual-wall aluminum structure (15), i.e.:

\[ d = V^{-1.5} \delta^{-0.5} \]  

Given that a 1.59 mm aluminum particle at 6.9 km/sec will penetrate a flow tube, it was calculated that a 1.09 mm debris particle (with average velocity of 10 km/sec, density of 2.8 g/cc) and a 1.30 mm meteoroid particle (average velocity of 20 km/sec, density of 0.5 g/cc) will also cause failure. (It should be noted that the calculation was based on the results of a single data point. Future testing will examine the penetration limit in more detail.)

There are two independent flow loops for the solar dynamic radiator system. Each of eight radiator panels in the solar dynamic system is 8.05 m long and contains 18 lengths of primary flow tubes (3.4 mm o.d.) in each cooling loop for a total area of both primary and secondary loops of 24.78 m². A preliminary estimate of the number of impacts from orbital debris and meteoroids on the radiator panel tubes that are large enough to cause failure over a 10 year period is given in Table II. These numbers were calculated using the currently baseline space station orbital debris (11) and meteoroid (12) environments. Table II shows that the probability of no-failure of either of the two loops from orbital debris and meteoroids is 0.67 over 10 years (i.e., there is one chance in three that one of the two loops will fail in 10 years). This was calculated from the individual probability of no-failure of the primary and secondary loops which are both 0.816 over 10 years (i.e., 0.816² = 0.67). The chance that both primary and secondary loops will not fail from meteoroids and debris over 10 years is 0.966.

Figure 7 illustrates the high-speed camera film from HIRL shot A891 (oblique 45° impact of a 1.39 mm aluminum sphere at 6.94 km/sec). A large trash particle visible in the high-speed film following the projectile in shot A891 did not result in much target damage as indicated in Fig. 6 (it barely penetrated the face sheet). The high-speed camera film from shot A877 (normal impact of a 1.0 mm aluminum sphere at 6.85 km/sec) is given in Fig. 8. This was a clean
TABLE II. - METEOROID/DEBRIS IMPACTS ON SOLAR DYNAMIC RADIATOR PANEL TUBES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiator case</th>
<th>Surface area, m²</th>
<th>Critical particle flux, impacts/m²-year</th>
<th>Number of critical impacts over life</th>
<th>Probability of no-failure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Meteoroid</td>
<td>Debris</td>
<td>Meteoroid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single loop</td>
<td>12.39</td>
<td>7.27E-4</td>
<td>9.14E-4</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Either loop</td>
<td>24.78</td>
<td>7.27E-4</td>
<td>9.14E-4</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(without redundancy)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both loops</td>
<td>24.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(with redundancy)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on current debris model in Ref. 11 and meteoroid model in Ref. 13.

FIGURE 6. - PHOTOGRAPHIC DOCUMENTATION OF JSC HIRL SHOT A891.
(1.59 mm Al 2017-T6 PROJECTILE, 6.94 KM/SEC, 45° IMPACT ANGLE).
shot as shown in the film and by the target record (Fig. 4).

Two additional (and unexpected) observations were made during post-test analyses. Firstly, the aluminum honeycomb appears to provide indirect protection for the flow tube. In a number of test cases, the honeycomb channelled the particle through the panel. This would tend to reduce the number of oblique impacts that would reach the flow tube after passing through the honeycomb. Secondly, the foam adhesive which binds the honeycomb to the flow tube extrusion also had a similar beneficial effect in providing additional protection from oblique impacts.

FUTURE TESTING AND ANALYSES

As previously discussed, the equations for penetration analysis were based on results from tests of simple configurations and may not be applicable to more complex geometries such as those of the panel flow tubes and flex hose. As a result, hypervelocity impact testing of these two components are planned at the JSC HIRL facility to determine the size of particle which can be expected to not produce penetration in low earth orbit and thereby the probability of survival.

The preliminary set of tests (described herein) on the panel flow tubes indicated the flow tube is extremely difficult to hit due to the small target size (i.e., 3.4 mm o.d.). As a result, samples were designed which would increase target size but maintain a realistic penetration path. The samples designed for these tests are shown in Fig. 9. Sample A provides a large target for impacts of the flow passage from the side, in order to test the bumper wall effectiveness. Two wall thicknesses will be tested on this sample design. Sample B is designed to increase the target area for impacts directly above the flow passage (and normal to the facesheet) since this was the most difficult angle in preliminary testing. Sample C is a segment of the actual panel design. The test plan is to conduct most of the testing on the easier to hit samples A and B and then to utilize those results to define final penetration limit tests against the actual panel design.

These results can be analyzed to determine the panel flow passage vulnerability through adjustments for particle density and velocity to account for the differences in test conditions and the low-earth-orbit micrometeoroid and debris environment. Testing will be conducted at several approach angles since the penetration path differs for different angles. Testing will also be performed using projectiles with a density nearer to typical micrometeoroid density (0.5 gm/cc) as well as with aluminum projectiles.

These tests are planned for the early 1990 time period. Similar testing of the braided portion of the flex hose are planned for the 1992 time period. The results of these tests will be used in a more rigorous calculation of system reliability. Based on the results of these tests and analyses the design will be modified as required to meet the overall protection requirements.
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

1. A series of 12 hypervelocity impact tests have been performed on representative solar dynamic radiator panel elements. The tests were performed with aluminum spheres having diameters of 1.0 to 1.6 mm, velocities of 5 to 7 km/sec, and impact angles up to 45°. The tests showed that the radiator panel tubes would be penetrated by 1.6 mm diameter particles impacting at laboratory velocities (~7 km/sec).

2. Using current Space Station environment models (11-13), the panel tubes in the baseline solar dynamic radiator system have a 0.966 reliability (with redundancy) from failure by meteoroid and debris impacts over 10 years. However, if the radiator system is replaced after a single loop failure, the calculated radiator probability of no-replacement due to impact failure drops to 0.67 over 10 years.

3. The design of the SD heat rejection system will be modified as necessary to meet the overall protection requirements.
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