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ABSTRACT

The design of the Soil Transport Implement (STI) for SKITTER is the subject of this report. The purpose of STI is to provide a protective layer of lunar soil for the lunar modules. STI's objective is to cover the lunar module with a layer of soil approximately two meters thick within a two week period. The amount of soil required to cover the module is roughly 77 dump truck loads or three million earth pounds. STI employs a spinning disk to accomplish its task. STI is an autonomous, teleoperated system. The design incorporates the latest advances in composite materials and high strength, light weight alloys to achieve a high strength to weight ratio. The preliminary design should only be used to assess the feasibility of employing a spinning wheel as a soil transport implement. A mathematical model of the spinning wheel was used to evaluate the performance of this design.
PROBLEM STATEMENT

Modules that are landed on the surface of the moon are subjected to cosmic radiation and alternating extreme high and low temperatures. A method is, therefore, needed to cover these modules with the moon's soil to provide protection. The design of a soil transport implement (STI) for SKITTER was proposed to perform the task. The implement must include a spinning wheel as its main mode for moving the soil.

STI is required to perform specific tasks as well as meet certain criteria. The implement must be able to move seventy-seven dump truck loads of soil, the amount of soil needed to cover one module. It is necessary for STI to complete its task within a two week period. Also, due to the abrasive nature of lunar soil, the spinning wheel is subjected to extreme wear. The design should, therefore, facilitate easy replacement of the wheel. The implement will be an autonomous, teleoperated machine. Furthermore, the implement must be able to endure long periods of disuse and still remain in operational condition.

Since the moon's gravity is one-sixth that of the earth, the time required for dust to settle is considerably longer. Measures must be taken to prevent dust from contaminating mechanical and electrical components. Lastly, the total weight of STI cannot exceed 1,000 earth pounds.
SYSTEM OVERVIEW

STI has a triangular base and top whose sides are 7 feet long. Its overall height is 9 feet including the leg and footpad. The volume of the frame is approximately 190 cubic feet. The total weight of STI is 954 earth pounds. The robotic arm can be extended 5.25 feet. Since the robotic arm of STI can be raised, STI will fit in a crate with the dimensions of 8 ft. wide, 8 ft. deep, and 9 ft. high. The wheel has a three foot diameter and four pitching blades on each side of the wheel. To completely cover the module requires the consumption of roughly 800 KW-hr of energy. STI can cover the module in 105 hours. The amount of fuel required is 470 pounds. Since weight is limited, the amount of fuel that STI can carry is 200 pounds. To complete the task, requires two refuelings. Three legs and footpads are attached to the underside of the frame. The feet provide a stable platform for parking STI when it is not in use. STI will be controlled by an on board computer using teleoperation technology. The computer will incorporate a power management system to limit peak power requirements. Cameras needed to operate STI will be attached in such a way to provide maximum visibility.
DETAILED COMPONENT DESCRIPTION
FRAME DESIGN

The frame is the main structural component of STI. All of the hardware necessary to make STI autonomous is attached to or contained within the frame. The frame is similar in construction to the Warren-truss with a triangular top and bottom. The top and bottom are equilateral triangles whose sides are seven feet long. The total height of the frame is nine feet, giving a total volume of approximately 190 ft$^3$. The front side of the frame contains the sub-frame. The function of the sub-frame is to store and dispense the replacement soil pitching wheels. In order to attach the necessary hardware to the frame, a base plate is connected to the bottom triangle. Since weight is a critical factor, the structural two-force members are made of APC-2 PEEK/Carbon Fibre, a light weight, high strength composite material. The sleeve joints used to connect the members are made of magnesium die cast alloy AZ91B. The base plate is constructed of a half inch magnesium alloy honeycomb structure sandwiched between two thin sheets of magnesium alloy for added strength.

The total load which the frame must support is 1000 earth pounds. In addition, it must resist a maximum moment of 1378 ft. lb. generated by the robotic arm and wheel assembly. Since the motor/wheel assembly
rotates about the arm's axis, a gyroscopic torque is generated. The frame must be designed to withstand all of these moments.

Structural analysis reveals that the APC-2 PEEK/Carbon Fibre tubing which make up the two-force members require an outside diameter of 3 in. and an inside of 2 in. Correspondingly, the magnesium sleeve joints are made to accommodate the design. APC-2 PEEK/Carbon Fibre is used for the frame's two-force members because of its outstanding mechanical properties. APC-2 PEEK/Carbon Fibre is 30% lighter than aluminum; has high impact toughness; has good creep and fatigue resistance; is capable of high temperature applications up to 143 °C (290 °F); has excellent hot/wet strength; and is easily repairable. For tension and compression, the fiber is arranged with a zero degree orientation. With a zero degree fiber orientation, APC-2 PEEK/Carbon Fibre has a tensile strength at 23 °C (73 °F) of 392 ksi and a tensile modulus of 25.5 ksi. The compressive strength at the same temperature is 152 ksi. However, these values are initial test data; so a greater factor of safety was used in the design of the frame.
SUB-FRAME DESIGN

The sub-frame is an essential component of the frame. As the soil pitching wheel wears, it may become necessary to replace it. The sub-frame is the storage compartment for replacement wheels. The wheels are held in place by guide rods which do not allow the wheels to rotate in the sub-frame. The wheels are only allowed to translate in a direction parallel to the guide rods. The circular front facing of the sub-frame contains a retention spring mechanism that holds the wheels in the sub-frame until dispensed for use.

The sub-frame is constructed of two component materials: magnesium die cast alloy AZ91B and APC-2 PEEK/Carbon Fibre. The front and rear circular facing are made of the magnesium alloy. The guide rods are made of the Carbon Fibre with a 0/45/45/0 degree fiber orientation. With this orientation the rods can best withstand the bending generated by the replacement wheels.

The front facing contains the retention spring mechanism (Fig. 6). The mechanism has a u-shaped cross section. On the inner side of the cross section, grooves allow a retention clip forced by a compression spring to translate radially inward and outward. In their extended position, the retention clips act to hold the wheels in the sub-frame. When a force
strong enough (ie. the force of the robotic arm extracting a wheel) to
compress the spring, the retention clips will be forced radially outward and
a wheel will be released. Tension springs connected between the front
facing and a forcing plate in the rear force the next wheel to advance to the
front when a wheel is extracted.
ROBOTIC ARM DESIGN

One of the major components of STI is the manipulating arm. The overall sweeping pattern of the wheel is ultimately determined by the kinematics of the arm. The arm was designed to provide maximum maneuverability of the pitching wheel with minimal overlapping of duty that SKITTER can perform.

The arm allows limited three degrees of freedom movement. Since the lunar soil is very abrasive, the pitch direction of the wheel is restricted in order to prevent structural damage to SKITTER. From the frame STI, the arm can be extended 5.25 feet. When SKITTER is in the normal position, the tibia and femur of each leg are perpendicular. In the normal position the arm will allow digging up to 3 feet deep.

The arm consist of a hollow 6 in. magnesium tube. The tube will be coated with a viscoelastic material for passive damping of the arm vibrations. Three actuators are intrinsically incorporated into the magnesium tube. Magnesium die cast alloy-AZ91B is used for structural components of the arm. AZ91B has one of the best strength to weight ratios. The magnesium links of the arm are coated with Scotchdamp SJ2015X Type 110. Scotchdamp is a viscoelastic material which dampens the vibrations caused by the wheel. The Scotchdamp is covered by a very
thin constraining layer of magnesium.

Next to the wheel, the arm is the most dynamic component of STI. Major design considerations include: static and dynamic loading, kinematic analysis, and vibration damping. The components of the arm include two links, three actuators, and mounting assembly for the frame and motor connection.

The links have lengths of 3.25 ft. and 1.5 ft. The links are hollow tubular structures capable of withstanding forces imposed by the soil pitching wheel. The inner and outer diameters of the links are 5.5 in. and 6.0 in. respectively.

The three actuators of the arm provide great flexibility for maneuvering around objects and facilitate the changing of replacement wheels. The actuator at the base of the frame (shoulder pivot) allows rotation around the z-axis of 18 degrees on either side of the center position (Fig. 7). The 36 degrees sweep angle enables STI to cover a wide angle without subjecting SKITTER's legs to the abrasive spray from the wheel of lunar soil.

The second actuator is located directly in front of the base actuator which provides rotation about the x-axis. This actuator raises and lowers the arm. The range of motion of the actuator is 180 degrees from the
downward normal position to the vertical wheel exchange position.

The third actuator, located near the motor assembly, allows 135 degrees rotation about the y-axis. This enables the soil pitching wheel to rotate 90 degrees clockwise and 45 degrees counterclockwise from its vertical position. The 90 degrees rotation is necessary for maneuvering into a position which allows for the extraction of a replacement wheel. The plus or minus 45 degrees rotation provides aiming flexibility for STI.
WHEEL DESIGN

The wheel is the tool used to move the lunar soil. When driven by a 3 hp motor, the wheel can move 5,590 ft$^3$ of soil a distance of 102 ft in one hour. The rim of the wheel is made of titanium for abrasion resistance, thermal stability, and impact toughness. Each wheel assembly consists of a wheel with blades and a dust shield. The incorporation of the wheel and hood makes for easy wheel replacement. The total weight of the wheel assembly is approximately 90 lbs.

The wheel assembly (Fig. 4) is constructed of four major parts: the rim, the hub, the midsection, and the shield. The titanium rim has four blades on each side for pitching soil. The rim's outside and inside diameters are 36 in. and 28 in. respectively. The hub and the midsection are made of magnesium die cast alloy AZ91B. The midsection is constructed of a honeycomb center sandwiched between two thin plates. Both the midsection and the hub are insulated from each other by a ceramic coating to prevent heat conduction from the wheel to the motor. The dust shield is made of magnesium alloy and should be designed with the same effective life as that of the wheel. The blades on each wheel extend 1.5 in. outward from the plane of the wheel and are 4 in. long. The blades are oriented 30 degrees from the normal to the curve at that point (Fig. 4). The blade is
angled in order to more easily cut through the soil. The angle of the blade's leading edge should be equal to the internal angle of friction of the lunar soil. The full scoop volume is 0.313 ft$^3$, but the effective volume is about half of that. The effective volume is the actual volume that reaches the target.

In order to estimate the soil distribution delivered by the wheel, a mathematical model was developed. The first assumption is that the soil particles do not interact with each other. The soil was divided into discrete particles for the purpose of calculating their trajectories. The initial velocity and angle of each particle are calculated according to the position of each particle relative to the blade. The distance each particle travels is then calculated and added to the distribution (see Appendix).

Friction between the soil and spinning wheel is calculated in the Appendix. A coefficient of friction of 0.8 was assumed. The power dissipated due to friction was estimated. The power necessary to accelerate to soil was also calculated. It was determined the power due to friction was much smaller than that for acceleration. Therefore, in subsequent calculations, the power loss due to friction was neglected (see Appendix). Also, a calculation was performed to determine if moving the soil in multiple smaller steps was more efficient than one big step. The
calculations show that the same total energy is required for both multiple and single steps (see Appendix). Therefore, a plan for covering the module would be to start from STI's outer range and work inward in a sweeping fashion to cover the module. The total energy required to cover the module was calculated using a sweeping area with a 4 in. depth. The total energy required is approximately 800 kw-hrs and would require 105 hrs. or 8 days working 50% of the time (see Appendix). Because STI can only carry 200 lbs. of fuel, it requires two refuelings to cover a module.

As the wheel moves the soil, friction/heat will be generated. Since the moon lacks atmosphere, convection is impossible. The heat, therefore, must be transferred away by radiation or conduction. Conducting the heat would be detrimental to the motor; so radiation is the only alternative. A heating analysis was performed on the wheel assuming the worst case (see Appendix). The analysis assumes that the entire 3 hp from the motor is converted to heat and absorbed by the wheel. Also, the background temperature is set at 200 °C (392 °F) and the wheel radiates with a low emissivity from only one side. Under these conditions, the temperature of the wheel never exceeded 680 °C (1250 °F), which is well below titanium's melting point of 1500 °C. Therefore, heating is not a problem the spinning wheel.
POWER SOURCE

Power will be supplied from oxygen-hydrogen fuel cells. These fuel cells were chosen because of their favorable energy density and proven reliability as power sources for space applications. Also, the fuel cells provide dc current which is needed to power the brushless dc motors used to drive the wheel and actuators. The oxygen-hydrogen fuel cell provides 1660 w-hrs per pound of reactant.

A fuel consumption analysis was performed for STI and it was determined that to complete the task would require 470 lbs. of fuel. The analysis was based on the assumption that STI would be limited to a peak horsepower of 15 hp at any time. Because this is a large amount of fuel for STI to carry, it was decided that STI will have fuel storage capacity of 200 lbs. This will require STI to refuel twice. The 200 lb. value was chosen because it is the maximum amount of fuel STI can carry while not exceeding the imposed 1000 lb. limit.

The fuel cell is 14 in. high x 15 in. wide x 40 in. long. and weighs 250 lbs. The fuel cell will be attached to the base plate at the rear of the frame to help counterbalance the moment generated by the robotic arm and wheel assembly. Since the oxygen-hydrogen fuel is cryogenically stored, it may be used as a refrigerant to cool the motors. Refrigerant lines carrying
the fuel will be routed through the motors' casings to conduct the heat away.
**BRUSHLESS DC MOTORS**

Brushless dc motors will be used to drive the wheel and actuators. Brushless dc motors can provide high torque at low rpm. The speed of these motors may be accurately controlled electronically. Brushless dc motors are not subjected to the same kind of wear as brush motors which makes them ideal for use in areas where maintenance is almost impossible, such as the moon. In addition, brushless dc motors have no commutator bars to become oxidized and can, therefore, sit idle for years with no loss of performance. This is of important concern because STI will have long periods disuse. Brushless dc motors generate less radio frequency interference than other types of motors which is advantageous in situations where machines are teleoperated. There is no brush friction in brushless dc motors which reduces the amount of heat generated. Lastly, because of its design, a brushless dc motor can be made smaller than a conventional brush motor and still improve control.

A 3 hp motor with a speed range of 60 - 350 rpm will be used to turn the wheel. Three fractional horsepower motors will be used to drive the actuators of the robotic arm. The housing of all the motors will be made of magnesium die cast alloy AZ91B to help reduce the weight. The housings will incorporate refrigerant lines from the fuel cell to provide cooling.
**CONTROLS**

STI will be controlled by teleoperation. Signals will be sent and received by a transmitter/receiver device which relays the signal to STI's onboard computer. The computer will regulate all of STI's functions. Visual feedback will be provided by cameras mounted on STI. At the present, the design assumes that all operations will be performed in daylight. Therefore, no provisions are made for lighting.

The onboard computer will keep the peak power consumption to a minimum. Actuators will then only be allowed to operate one at a time.
ATTACHMENT TO SKITTER

SKITTER provides for three ball socket attaching points. The frame of STI will be attached rigidly to SKITTER at these points. This will be the only connection between SKITTER and STI.

REPLACING BLADES

Wear of the soil pitching wheel is to be expected because of the abrasive nature of the lunar soil. In order to facilitate changing the wheel and reduce downtime of STI, a dispenser for the replacement wheel was incorporated into the design of the frame.

The drive shaft of the motor for the pitching wheel will incorporate a quick connect mechanism actuated by a small servo. The quick connect mechanism is similar to the quick connector used for compressed air hoses. The female portion of the connection is attached to the motor shaft and the male portion is integrated into the design of the wheel's shaft. The removal of the worn wheel is achieved by simply disengaging the quick connector, rotating the wrist of STI's arm, and discarding the wheel. To engage a new wheel, the motor is rotated so that the shaft is directed vertically upward. The arm is then raised to mate male and female
connections. The servo locks the connection together, and the arm pulls the new wheel from the dispenser.

**PARKING**

Attached to the base of STI's frame are three legs, one at each apex of the triangular base. The legs are one foot high with a circular magnesium footpad. The footpads have a surface area of 1 ft$^2$. The legs are made of a 3 in. outer dia./2 in. inner dia. tube of APC-2 PEEK/Carbon Fibre.

During periods of disuse, it will be necessary to park STI. The legs and footpads provide the support platform that STI will rest on. When parked, the robotic arm will be resting on the ground.
FAILURE AND HAZARDS
FAILURE

WHEEL FAILURE

There are two possible ways in which the wheel may fail. First, the wheel may fracture upon striking a large rock. This problem has been reduced by the use of a titanium alloy for the outer rim of the wheel. The blades for pitching the soil are also made of titanium. The titanium alloy is a high strength, high impact toughness metal. The honeycomb structure of the midsection of the wheel has also increased the wheel's strength in bending and torsion.

Overheating beyond the melting temperature is the second way that the wheel may fail. However, as analysis shows (with the worst possible case assumed), the melting temperature of titanium will never be reached. Furthermore, the titanium outer rim is insulated from the midsection and hub of the wheel by a ceramic coating, thereby, preventing overheating of the midsection. By choosing the proper materials, the possibility of failure due to overheating has been practically eliminated.

MOTOR FAILURE

To insurce that the motor does not fail due to overheating, refrigerant lines have been integrated in the motor housing to cool the motor. The motors are, thus, cooled by conduction and radiation.
HAZARDS

In the design of STI, two major hazardous areas that were considered were damage to SKITTER and damage to the module. Damage to SKITTER has been eliminated by placing a protective shield over the spinning wheel. This prevents stray rocks or particles from being thrown upward into the underside of SKITTER and STI's frame. The motion of the arm (Fig. 7) is restricted so that the trajectory of the thrown soil does not come near SKITTER's legs.

The problem of damage to the module was solved in the design of the blades of the wheel. It was given that the largest rock that can be thrown at the module is about the size of a baseball (dia. = 3 in.). With this in mind, the blades were designed with a width of 1.5 in. The largest rock that can be thrown with this design would be a 3 in. diameter rock, and the module is safe.
CONCLUSIONS

According to the analyses, STI was able to complete its task consuming less power than the maximum allowed amount of 15 hp. Initially, the goal was for STI to accomplish the job in fourteen days working twenty-four hours a day. However, analysis of the design showed that the job could be done in 105 hrs. (4.375 days). It was then decided that STI would take eight days to perform its task working 50% of the time. The extra time will allow for downtime due to blade changing, repositioning by SKITTER, and any necessary resting for heat radiation.

The use of the titanium alloy eliminated the possibility of failure caused by overheating. The high strength titanium with good impact toughness reduced the concern of lunar rocks damaging the wheel easily. Including in the design the automation of wheel replacement eliminates the need for other implements to change the wheel. The honeycomb midsection provided extra structural strength while keeping the weight down to a minimum. Positioning the blades on the wheel at a 30 degree angle from the radius proved to provide the optimum soil distribution at all speeds and distances. All of these factors were advantageous to the design of STI.

With the present design, in order to meet the 1000 lb. weight limit, STI
can only carry one extra replacement wheel. Also, accomplishing the task requires STI to consume more fuel than it can carry. This requires STI to refuel, thereby, making it not a completely autonomous system. With the present brushless dc motor technology, the range at which the soil can be thrown is limited by the maximum horsepower output of the motor.
RECOMMENDATIONS

The present design of STI meets the imposed limit of 1000 lbs. However, only one extra wheel can be carried by STI, and STI must refuel twice to complete its task. It may be possible to reduce the weight of the wheels by using a thinner wheel or by designing a smaller diameter wheel. Also, the frame size may be decreased to decrease the weight even further. If the weight of STI can be reduced, it will, then, be able to carry more fuel and possibly perform its task without the need to refuel. This will make STI a truly autonomous system.

Wear of the wheel will be critical in determining the feasibility of this design. An evaluation of wear should conducted to determine the life of the wheel and dust shield. Designing the dust shield so that both the shield and wheel wear out simultaneously can further reduce the weight of STI.

Measures should be taken to protect all of the components of STI that are sensitive to dust contamination. Motors, actuators, and electrical devices are the components most susceptible to dust contamination. Strategically placed brushes may be incorporated into the design to remove excess dust from critical areas using the flexibility and movement of the robotic arm.

More detailed analysis is required to determine the exact size and
weight of the actuators and motors required for the robotic arm. Further structural analysis is needed to insure the frame integrity.
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APPENDIX
Trajectory Analysis

\[ V_x = \omega r \cos \phi \cos (\theta + \phi) \]
\[ V_y = \omega r \cos \phi \sin (\theta + \phi) \]
\[ r = \frac{R - d + j \Delta x}{\cos \theta} \]
\[ \theta = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{I \Delta x}{R - d + j \Delta x} \right) \]
\[ \theta_{\text{max}} = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{R - d}{R} \right) \]
\[ \Delta x = \frac{R - d}{I_{\text{max}}} \tan(\theta_{\text{max}}) \]

\[ V_x = \frac{\omega (R - d) \cos \phi \cos \left( \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{I \Delta x}{R - d + j \Delta x} \right) + \phi \right)}{\cos \left( \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{I \Delta x}{R - d + j \Delta x} \right) \right)} \]
\[ V_y = \frac{\omega (R - d) \cos \phi \sin \left( \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{I \Delta x}{R - d + j \Delta x} \right) + \phi \right)}{\cos \left( \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{I \Delta x}{R - d + j \Delta x} \right) \right)} \]

\[ D \approx \frac{2 V_x V_y}{g} \]
\[ D_{\text{max}} = \frac{(\omega R)^2}{g} \]
Soil Distribution

$R = 1.5$, $w = 4\pi$, $D = 0$
Soil Distribution

$R = 1.5, \, w = 4\pi, \, D = 10$
Soil Distribution

\[ R = 1.5, \ w = 4\pi, \ D = 20 \]
Power Due To Friction

\[ \theta_m = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2Rd - d^2}}{R - d} \right) \]

\[ l = \sqrt{2Rd - d^2} \]

\[ V = lhd \]

Normal force of soil
\[ F_n = \frac{1}{2} l d^2 \rho g \]

Tangential force
\[ F_T = \mu F_n \] (let \( \mu = 0.8 \))

Power
\[ P_f = -T \omega \]
\[ T = F_T \cdot \Gamma_d \]
\[ \Gamma_d = R - \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{\theta_m - \theta}{\theta_m} \right) d \]
\[ P_f = -\int_0^{\theta_m} F_T \cdot \omega \cdot d\theta \]

\[ F_T(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} l d^2 \rho g \mu \left( \frac{\theta_m - \theta}{\theta_m} \right) \] (approximation)

\[ P_f = -\int_0^{\theta_m} \frac{1}{2} l d^2 \rho g \mu \left( \frac{\theta_m - \theta}{\theta_m} \right) (R - \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{\theta_m - \theta}{\theta_m} \right) d) \cdot d\theta \]

\[ P_f = \frac{1}{2} l d^2 \rho g \mu \omega \int_0^{\theta_m} \left( R - \frac{2}{3} \theta d \right) d\theta \]

\[ P_f = \frac{1}{2} l d^2 \rho g \mu \omega \left[ \frac{1}{2} R - \frac{3}{4} d \right] \]

\[ P_f' = P_f \cdot N \]
Power to Accelerate the Soil

\[ V = \frac{R^2 h}{2} (2 \theta_m - 5 \sin 2\theta_m) \]

\[ \bar{v}_o \approx \omega (R - \frac{d}{2}) \]

Energy

\[ E = \frac{1}{2} mV^2 \]

\[ E = \frac{1}{2} \rho V \left[ \omega (R - \frac{d}{2}) \right]^2 \cdot N \]

Power

\[ P_k = E \cdot \text{rps} \]

\[ P_n = \frac{1}{2} \rho V \left[ \omega (R - \frac{d}{2}) \right]^2 \cdot N \cdot \text{rps} \]

Soil Flow Rate

\[ q = \rho V \cdot N \cdot \text{rps} \]
Two hop calculation

\( R = 0.4572 \text{ m (12')} \)
\( d = 0.0508 \text{ m (2')} \)
\( h = 0.0381 \text{ m (13')} \)
\( N = 4 \)
\( \rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3 \)

\( D_1 = 152.4 \text{ m} = 500 \text{ ft} \)
\( D_2 = 76.2 \text{ m} = 250 \text{ ft} \)
\( g = 1.673 \text{ m/s}^2 \)

\[
\frac{500 \text{ ft}}{500 \text{ ft}} \]

\[
\omega_1 = \frac{VDg}{R^2} = \sqrt{\frac{(152.4)(1.633)}{0.4572}} = 34.5 \text{ rad/s} \]

\[
\text{rps} = \frac{\omega}{2\pi} = 5.49 \text{ rps} \]

\[
\tan \theta_0 = \frac{0.4572}{0.4572} = 27.26^\circ \]

\[
\theta_0 \approx 20^\circ \text{ or } 20\text{m} \]

\[
V = R^2 \text{h} \left( \frac{20^\circ - \sin(20^\circ)}{2} \right) \]

\[
V = 5.46 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^3 \]

\[
P_1 = \frac{1}{2} \rho V (\omega(R-d))^2 \pi \text{ N \cdot rps} \]

\[
P_1 = 1.332 \text{ kW} \text{ or } 1.781 \text{ hp} \]

\[
E_{\text{day}} = P \cdot \text{604,800 sec} = 806 \text{ MJ/day} \]

\[
Q_{\text{day}} = V \cdot N \cdot \text{rps} \cdot 604,800 = 7262 \text{ m}^3 \]

\[
\omega_2 = 24.4 \text{ rps} \]

\[
\text{rps} = 3.88 \]

\[
P_2 = 0.471 \text{ kW} \]

\[
E_{\text{day}} = 2.84.9 \text{ MJ} \]

\[
Q_{\text{day}} = 2.566.5 \text{ m}^3 \text{day} \]

\[
T_2 = 2.825 \text{ days} \]

\[
E_2 = E_{\text{day}} \cdot 2.825 \]

\[
E_2 = 806 \text{ MJ/1d} \]

Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Energy</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 hop</td>
<td>7262m³</td>
<td>806 MJ</td>
<td>1 day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 hop</td>
<td>7262m³</td>
<td>806 MJ</td>
<td>2.825 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY
Total Energy Calculation

77 dump trucks
20 tons per truck
2000 lbs per ton
3,080,000 lb
6,776,000 kg
\( \rho = 1000 \frac{kg}{m^3} \)

\( V_T = 6776 \ m^3 \)
\( d = 1.1016 \ m \) \( \therefore A_T = 66.693 \ m^2 \)

\( D = \sqrt{\frac{2A}{\pi}} + 100 \)
\( D = 206 \ m \)

\( \Delta E_T = E \cdot d \cdot \pi \cdot dr \cdot \delta \)

\[ E_T = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} E \cdot d \cdot r \cdot dr \cdot \delta = 2.78 \times 10^7 \ J \cdot s \]

\[ E_T = 772.5 \ kW \cdot hr \]
# Time Calculation

\[ R = 0.4572 \]
\[ d = 0.1016 \]
\[ V_c = 3.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m/s} \]
\[ V_{vel} = 4.43 \text{ m/s} \]
\[ \omega = 15.59 \text{ rad/s} \]
\[ k = 4 \]
\[ D = 3.1 \text{ m} \]
\[ P_a = 2.237 \text{ W} \]

\[
A_n = \int \int_{r_i}^{r_o} r \, dr \, d\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} (r_o^2 - r_i^2)
\]

\[
V_n = A_n \times 1016
\]

\[ Q_n = V_{vel} \cdot N \cdot \frac{\omega}{2\pi} = 0.044 \text{ m}^3 \]

\[ T_n = \frac{V_n \cdot H_{pr}}{Q} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>r_o</th>
<th>r_i</th>
<th>V_n</th>
<th>(H_{pr})</th>
<th>T_n (hrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>1726</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>1519</td>
<td>479</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>1272</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ 105 \text{ hrs} \]
\[ 4.5 \text{ days} \]
Wheel Heating

Worst Case

Heat Capacity = $HC = \rho \cdot V \cdot C_p$

$A = \pi \left( 12^2 - 14^2 \right) = 402.124 \text{ in}^2$

$= 2594 \text{ m}^2$

$V = A \cdot t = 201.062 \text{ m}^3$

$= 0.003294 \text{ m}^3$

$\rho = 4500 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3}$

$C_p = 523 \frac{\text{J}}{\text{kg} \cdot \text{K}}$

$HC = (4500) (3.294 \times 10^{-3}) (523)$

$= 7,752.4 \frac{\text{J}}{\text{K}}$

Power = 2237 W

$q = A \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \sigma \cdot F_{12} \cdot (T^4 - T_0^4)$

$T = \left( \frac{4q}{A \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \sigma \cdot F_{12} + T_0^4} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$

$T_{\text{max}} = 949.2 \text{ K}$
Maximum Bending Stress - used for calculating arm dimensions

Maximum bending moment

\[ m = Fd = \left( \frac{2001 \text{lb}}{6} \right) \left( 5.25 \text{ ft} \right) \left( \frac{12 \text{ in}}{4 \text{ ft}} \right) = \]

\[ m = 2100 \text{ inlb} \]

for magnesium alloy - A261A-F - the maximum tensile strength at 260°C = 16.0 Ksi

for bending \[ \sigma_{\text{max}} = \frac{2100 \text{ inlb}}{\pi \left( C_0^4 - C_1^4 \right)} \]

16000 \text{ lb/in}^2 = \frac{2100 \text{ inlb}}{\pi \left( C_0^4 - C_1^4 \right) \text{ in}^4}

Deflection

\[ V = \frac{PL^3}{3EI} \]

\[ \lim = \frac{(33.33 \text{ lb})(5.25 \text{ in})^3}{3 \left[ \pi \left( C_0^4 - C_1^4 \right) \right] 6.5 \times 10^6 \text{ lb/ft}^2} \]

\[ C_0^4 - C_1^4 = 3.149 \times 10^{-4} \]

With the material we are using and the torque requirements, we choose a magnesium tube for the arm with OD = 6" ID = 5.5".
**Actuator Torque Requirements:**

For shoulder swing actuator:

The maximum allowable resistance force of lunar soil will be 40 lbs force.

\[ M = F d \]

\[ M = (40 \text{ lbs})(5.25 \text{ ft})(12 \text{ in}) \]

\[ = 2520 \text{ in lba} \]

\[ M = 1.5(2520 \text{ in lba}) = 3780 \text{ in lba} \]

For shoulder rotation actuator:

The maximum force for bending will be caused by the 200 lbs (25 lbs on moon) arm. A factor of safety of 1.5 is used.

\[ M = F d \]

\[ M = (1.5)(200 \text{ lbs})(5.25 \text{ ft})(12 \text{ in/ft}) \]

\[ = 3150.00 \text{ in lba} \]

For wrist actuator:

The maximum force will be caused by the motor and wheel. The combined weight is 130 lbs. A factor of safety of 1.5

\[ M = F d = (1.5)(\frac{130}{6} \text{ lbs})(5.25 \text{ ft})(12 \text{ in/ft}) \]
Power Requirements for Actuators:

Small RPMs were chosen in order to minimize the inertia of the arm and to cut power requirements for shoulder swing, actuator:

\[ HP = \left( \frac{1 \text{ RPM}}{63000} \right) \times 3780 \text{ in lbs} = 0.06 \text{ Hp} \]

For shoulder rotation actuator

\[ HP = \left( \frac{3 \text{ RPM}}{63000} \right) \times 3150 \text{ in lbs} = 0.150 \text{ Hp} \]

For wrist actuator

\[ HP = \left( \frac{3 \text{ RPM}}{63000} \right) \times 2047.5 \text{ in lbs} = 0.098 \text{ Hp} \approx 0.1 \text{ Hp} \]
Weight Analysis

Frame: Density of AFC - 2 PEEK/Carbon Fibre = .0294 lb/in³

6 - 7 H members (3” outer dia., 3” inner dia.)
3 - 8 H members (4” outer dia., 4” inner dia.)
2 - 10.63 H members (5” outer dia., 1” inner dia.)

Volume = \[\pi(1.5^2 - 1^2) \left[ (84)(6) + (96)(3) \right] + \pi(1^2 - .5^2)(127.56) \]
= 2410.73 in³

Weight = 2410.73 (0.0294) = 100.69 lbs

Subframe: 4 - 6 H members (2” outer dia., 1” inner dia.)
5 - circular facing (205.16 lbs/in²)

Volume = \[\pi(1^2 - .5^2)(72)(4) = 678.58 \text{ in}³\]
Weight = (678.58) (.0294) + 5 (205) = 70 lbs

Joints: (made of magnesium die cast alloy)
Weight = (5 lbs/joint)(6 joints) = 30 lbs

Robotics Arm: 24 lbs. (made of magnesium alloy)

Motor + Wheel: 150 lbs.

Fuel Cells: 250 lbs.

Extra Wheel: 90 lbs.

Actuators: 75 lbs.

Parking Footpads: 2 - H members
3 - circular footpads (1 m²) \[S = 15 \text{ lbs}\]
Controls: electrical components, on-board computer, camera, refrigerant lines, any necessary hardware.

Weight = 150 lb. (Estimated guess)

Total Weight: 954 lbs
APC-2 AROMATIC POLYMER COMPOSITES
PEEK/CARBON FIBRE

APC-2 PEEK/carbon fibre, aromatic polymer composites, have opened up new horizons for lightweight structural materials.

The benefits of engineering with composites utilising high performance fibres of carbon, boron, and so on have been known for some years.

APC-2, a thermoplastic system, benefits from an engineering approach to fabrication processes and the economics of rapid, automated and controlled part production that this brings.

APC-2 is based on carbon fibre and Victrex® PEEK aromatic polymer from ICI. Compared with other types of thermoplastic matrix PEEK has a higher operating temperature and is unaffected by solvents.

But the real secret of APC-2 is the specially developed interface science which, together with a process designed to ensure thorough impregnation of the carbon fibres, results in negligible void content and maximises the matrix dominated properties. By providing effective stress transfer, the PEEK matrix allows the full properties of carbon fibre to be obtained.

APC-2 PEEK/CARBON FIBRE

* 30% lighter in weight than aluminium.
* uses rapid, automated, economic fabrication processes.
* high impact toughness and excellent damage tolerance.
* good creep and fatigue resistance.
* high temperature performance—Tg 143°C (290°F).
* excellent hot/wet strength.
* low water absorption and excellent solvent resistance.
* outstanding fire resistance, negligible smoke generation.
* easily repairable.

APC-2—the most cost-effective route to second generation advanced composites.
**APC-2**
PEEK/CARBON FIBRE COMPOSITE

---

**DATA SHEET 3b:**

Provisional Property data of aromatic polymer composite, APC-2/Hercules Magnamite® IM-6 carbon fibre

This data is from initial testing of a development material and should not be used as design data.

### PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon fibre volume fraction</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon fibre weight fraction</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon fibre areal weight</td>
<td>150 g/m²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Test Method</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0° Tensile strength</td>
<td>ASTM D-3039</td>
<td>23°C (73°F)</td>
<td>2700 MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0° Tensile modulus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>176 GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0° Tensile strain to failure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.48 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0° Compressive strength</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1050 MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0° Flexural strength</td>
<td>IITRI Test</td>
<td>23°C (73°F)</td>
<td>2170 MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0° Flexural modulus</td>
<td>ASTM D-790</td>
<td>23°C (73°F)</td>
<td>151 GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90° Flexural modulus</td>
<td>Span-to-depth ratio 60:1</td>
<td>23°C (73°F)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90° Tensile strength</td>
<td>ASTM D-3039</td>
<td>23°C (73°F)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90° Tensile modulus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90° Tensile strain to failure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90° Flexural strength</td>
<td>ASTM D-790</td>
<td>23°C (73°F)</td>
<td>160 MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90° Flexural modulus</td>
<td>Span-to-depth ratio 25:1</td>
<td>23°C (73°F)</td>
<td>9.3 GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short beam shear strength</td>
<td>ASTM D-2344</td>
<td>23°C (73°F)</td>
<td>116 MPaº</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Specimens do not fail in shear.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MODE I INTERLAMINAR FRACTURE TOUGHNESS (G_II)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight-sided double cantilever beam test. 23°C(73°F)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DAMAGE TOLERANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impact Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in lb/in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values quoted for properties of Fiberite’s Aromatic Polymer Composites are results of tests on representative samples and do not constitute a specification.

Information contained in this publication (and otherwise supplied to users) is based on our general experience and is given in good faith, but we are unable to accept responsibility in respect of factors which are outside our knowledge or control. Freedom under patents, copyright and registered designs cannot be assumed.

For further information, please contact:

USA
Fiberite Corporation
645 North Cypress
P O Box 787
Orange
California 92666
Tel: (714) 639-2050
Fax: (714) 639-2053
Telex: 0230-757-103
Fiberite Corporation
ICI Americas Inc
Advanced Materials Business Group
Wilmington
Delaware 19897
Tel: (302) 575-8173
Fax: (302) 656-8779
Telex: 835309

EUROPE
Fiberite Europe GmbH
Erkelenzer Strasse, 20
D-4050 Mönchengladbach
West Germany
Tel: (02161) 58929
Fax: (02161) 570657
Telex: 8529202 TRIB
Fiberite Europe
Imperial Chemical Industries PLC
Advanced Materials Business Group
P O Box 6
Welwyn Garden City
Hertfordshire
AL7 1HD
Great Britain
Tel: (0707) 337669/337922
Fax: (0707) 335556
Telex: 264251
Phillips Petroleum has developed proprietary technology to combine long fiber reinforcement with a thermoplastic matrix. The current product line features two basic types of products, both utilizing polyphenylene sulfide as the matrix resin. One contains reinforcement in the form of a fiber mat specially designed for processing by a rapid compression molding process, often referred to as thermoplastic stamping. The other contains reinforcement in fabric form specifically designed for laminating and thermoforming. Unidirectional carbon fiber/PPS prepreg tape is also available and is the subject of a companion brochure.

Mat Reinforced Stampable Sheet
These materials are supplied in sheet form up to 30 inches wide and 70 mils thick. Typical fiber content is 40 wt.%, but can be varied. Both glass and carbon fiber reinforced products are available. This product family includes the following composites:
- **AG10-20**: 20 wt.% chopped glass mat/80 wt.% PPS.
- **AG20-40**: 40 wt.% continuous glass mat/60 wt.% PPS.
- **AC10-20**: 20 wt.% chopped carbon mat/80 wt.% PPS.

Fabric Reinforced Composites
These materials are supplied in thin sheet form ready for lamination and thermoforming. Sheets are available in 30 inch widths with a nominal thickness of 0.012 inch. Typical fiber contents are in the 40-60 wt.% range. Both glass and carbon fabric reinforced products are
Table. This product family includes the following designs:

- 10: Plain weave glass fabric PPS/Prepreg.
- 12: Plain weave carbon fabric/PPS Prepreg.

**Bar Stock**

Molded stampable sheet is available for making prototype or production parts. Bar stock is available in pieces 4 inches in diameter and 2½ inches flat slab stock is made to order.

**Properties**

Chemical Properties

Typical mechanical properties for mat and fabric forced materials are shown in Table I and compared to other competitive materials in Table II. Retention of these properties at elevated temperatures is very good. In general, 50-60% of the properties listed are retained at °F.

---

**Table I**

Properties of Random Mat and Fabric Reinforced Polyphenylene Sulphide Composites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiber Type</th>
<th>Glass Mat</th>
<th>Glass Fabric</th>
<th>Carbon Fabric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Strength, (10^3 psi)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexural Strength, (10^3 psi)</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexural Modulus, (10^6 psi)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation, %</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive Strength, (10^3 psi)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Izod Impact, ft-lb/in</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unnotched</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat Deflection Temp. °F at 264 psi</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Gravity, g/cc</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Conductivity, ohm⁻¹ cm⁻¹</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table II**

Material Property Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Ryton PPS</th>
<th>Aluminum</th>
<th>Cast Gray Iron</th>
<th>Polyester RMC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reinforcement</td>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Glass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Gravity, g/cc</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>2.57-2.96</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Str., 10^3 psi</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Mod., 10^6 psi</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation, %</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flex. Mod., 10^6 psi</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flex. Str., 10^3 psi</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp. Str., 10^3 psi</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Izod Impact, ft-lb/in Notched</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unnotched</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat Deflection Temp. @ 264 psi °F</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous Heat Resistance °F</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* a. not applicable
  b. not available

---

*ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY*
Scotchdamp
SJ2015X Viscoelastic Polymer
Types 110, 112, 113, 830
Material Damping Properties

Description:
SJ2015X designates a family of high energy
dissipative polymers which when properly
incorporated into a constrained layer damping
system can afford excellent control of resonance-
induced vibration problems.

Material damping properties are expressed as a
complex number in which the dynamic shear
modulus ($G'$) is the real portion while the dimension-
less quantity $\eta$ (loss factor) is associated with the
imaginary portion. Both these quantities interact to
provide the polymer damping capacity. Density of all
these polymers is 0.96 g/cm$^3$ or 0.035 lbm/ft$^3$.

Discussion:
Both the dynamic shear modulus and the loss factor
of these polymers are temperature and frequency
dependent. When selecting a polymer for a
constrained layer damping treatment, these
variations in temperature and frequency must be
taken into account. As a general rule, good
constrained layer damping performance can be
achieved when the polymers components are
chosen to insure that the inservice operating
temperature-frequency requirements lie within the
range of the desired frequency scale.
The performance of most damping devices are
highly dependent on the interaction between the
device and the system to which it is applied. A
constrained layer control system is no different than
a typical damping device and its ability to provide
the desired performance is affected by parameters
other than temperature and frequency. Namely the
geometry, stiffness, mass, and resonance mode
shape at the structure to which the control system
is applied will affect the performance. For more
details concerning the proper choice of constrained
layer configuration, contact the Structural Products
Department.

Data Interpretation:
To determine the damping properties at the desired
temperature and frequency from the following data
curves, proceed as follows:
1. Locate the desired frequency on the right vertical
scale.
2. Follow the chosen frequency line to the desired
temperature isotherm.
3. From this intersect, go vertically up and down
until crossing both the modulus and loss factor
curves.
4. Read these modulus and loss factor values from
the appropriate left vertical scale.

See sequenced example numbered below:

Caution:
The isotherms constant
temperature lines are
separated by non-uniform
spacings. Hence a linear
extrapolation of
temperature not explicitly
shown cannot be used to
dotain other temperature
data.

*Note: The material damping properties are
in the "reduced temperature format". This format
is being considered by the American National
Standards Institute for acceptance as a standard
form of expressing the damping properties of linear
polymers. Further information concerning this
proposed standard is available from the ANSI S2-73
committee or the Structural Products department
of 3M.
Fig. 1. Efficiencies of energy converting devices.

Fig. 2. Power vs. weight ratios for fuel cells, batteries, and engine generator sets.
ALKALINE REGENERATIVE FUEL CELL CONCEPT

- Efficient
- Reliable
- Low-weight
January 14, 1988

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. James W. Brazell

FM: ME 4182 Design Group D

RE: Design Group's Progress for the Week of January 11 - 15

Group Members: William Dixon, William Fan, Joey Lloyd, Nam-Anh Pham, Mike Stevens.

Project Description: The Design of a Soil Transport Implement for Skitter.

Group's Progress:

(1) A weekly time for group meetings was established.

(2) The group discussed preliminary design considerations concerning the development of machinery for lunar uses. These considerations included the moon's lack of atmosphere, surface, and gravity.

(3) Several references have been located which provide information about the lunar environment.
January 21, 1988

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. James W. Brazell

FM: ME 4182 Design Group D

RE: Design Group's Progress for the Week of January 18 - 22

Group Members: William Dixon, William Fan, Joey Lloyd, Nam-Anh Pham, Mike Stevens.

Project Description: The Design of a Soil Transport Implement for Skitter.

Group's Progress:

(1) Preliminary designs for the implement were discussed.

(2) We decided on the main areas that must be taken into consideration for our design. They include the following: fuel cells, motors, heat sinks, bearings & lubricants, abrasive resistant materials, temperature, pressure, gravity, and dust.

(3) A rough draft of the problem statement was sketched out.

(4) The group planned to meet with civil engineering professors next week to talk about soil mechanics.
January 28, 1988

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. James W. Brazell

FM: ME 4182 Design Group D

RE: Design Group's Progress for the Week of January 25 - 29

Group Members: William Dixon, William Fan, Joey Lloyd, Nam-Anh Pham, Mike Stevens.

Project Description: The Design of a Soil Transport Implement for Skitter.

Group's Progress:

(1) The problem statement was finalized.

(2) Each member got his computer account and we started learning how to use the Apollo system.

(3) The group is still in the brainstorming stage of the design. We want to come up with as many different ideas as possible before we start narrowing down our design.

(4) Bill Dixon went to talk to Dr. Myers about abrasive resistant materials.

(5) The different design considerations were divided among the members to be researched on further.
February 4, 1988

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. James W. Brazell

FM: ME 4182 Design Group D

RE: Design Group's Progress for the February 1 - 5

______________________________

Group Members: William Dixon, William Fan, Joey Lloyd, Nam-Anh Pham, Mike Stevens.

Project Description: The Design of a Soil Transport Implement for Skitter.

Group's Progress:

(1) A magnesium alloy is being looked into as a possibility for the abrasive resistant material to be used in our design. The alloy was suggested by Dr. Myers.

(2) The mechanical properties of the moon's soil is being looked into. Then an analysis will be done to determine the soil's trajectory when it is thrown by the spinning blade.

(3) Bill Dixon has been learning Auto-CAD and has been able to do some preliminary drawings on the system.

(4) The problem statement was revised.
February 11, 1988

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. James W. Brazell
FM: ME 4182 Design Group D
RE: Design Group's Progress for the Week of February 8 - 12

---

Group Members: William Dixon, William Fan, Joey Lloyd, Nam-Anh Pham, Mike Stevens.

Project Description: The Design of a Soil Transport Implement for Skitter.

Group's Progress:

1. A simple mathematical model of a rotating disc was made to analyze the trajectory and distribution of thrown soil. From this analysis, the proper speed and blade angle can be determined to achieve the desired height and distance of the thrown soil.

2. Further research is being made on abrasive resistant materials for the spinning disc. A silicon compound is presently being considered.

3. Preparation was made for the midterm oral presentation.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. James W. Brazell

FM: ME 4182 Design Group D

RE: Design Group's Progress for the Week of February 15 - 19

Group Members: William Dixon, William Fan, Joey Lloyd, Nam-Anh Pham, Mike Stevens.

Project Description: The Design of a Soil Transport Implement for Skitter.

Group's Progress:

(1) A preliminary power consumption analysis was done on the spinning disc.

(2) Bill and William worked on the IBM CAD system.

(3) An attempt was made to finalize the design of the implement.

(4) A report outline was drafted and various deadlines were set for the different parts of the project.
February 25, 1988

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. James W. Brazell

FM: ME 4182 Design Group D

RE: Design Group's Progress for the Week of February 22 - 26

Group Members: William Dixon, William Fan, Joey Lloyd, Nam-Anh Pham, Mike Stevens.

Project Description: The Design of a Soil Transport Implement for Skitter.

Group's Progress:

(1) Mike and Bill contacted Fuel Cells Div. of United Technologies and Gates Energy to ask about fuel cells.

(2) A commercial search was done on composite and ceramic materials.

(3) Research was done on the types of motors and actuators needed for our design.

(4) With the design approaching its final stage, some definite design parameters were set.
March 3, 1988

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. James W. Brazeel

FM: ME 4182 Design Group D

RE: Design Group's Progress for the Week of February 29 - March 4

Group Members: William Dixon, William Fan, Joey Lloyd, Nam-Anh Pham, Mike Stevens.

Project Description: The Design of a Soil Transport Implement for Skitter.

Group's Progress:

(1) We started construction on a scale model of the implement. The model is presently 90% complete.

(2) Several companies were contacted regarding brushless dc motors. All of the companies contacted only manufactured motors with fractional horsepower output. Further research will be done to find out more about motors with more than 1 hp output.

(3) Several members of the group worked on the rough draft of the final report.
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