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Abstract

Kinematic sensitivity vectors and matrices for open-loop, \( n \) degrees-of-freedom manipulators are derived. First-order
sensitivity vectors are defined as partial derivatives of the manipulator's position and orientation with respect to its
geometrical parameters. Considered is the four-parameter kinematic model, as well as the five-parameter model in case
of nominally parallel joint axes. Sensitivity vectors are expressed in terms of coordinate axes of manipulator frames.
Second-order sensitivity vectors, the partial derivatives of first-order sensitivity vectors, are also considered. It is shown
that second-order sensitivity vectors can be expressed as vector products of the first-order sensitivity vectors.

1. Introduction

Sensitivity Theory plays an important role in Systems Theory and in Control Engineering. Its major part, Sensitivity
Analysis, is studying the effects of small variations of system parameters on its dynamic behavior and performance
criteria. This information can be used for identification of the system's mathematical model, and for the optimal
design of the system's controller. Sensitivity theory is also concerned with methods of efficient generation of
sensitivity functions in real-time, which can be used for adaptive control. The main results of Sensitivity theory with
application in control were obtained in the early sixties. An excellent survey of the Sensitivity Theory at that time is
given by two of its important contributors, Kokotovic and Rutman [9]. More recent overviews of the Sensitivity
Theory are given by Cruz [3] and Frank [5].

Bearing in mind the substantial influence of the Sensitivity Theory on the development of Control Theory, the
question can naturally be raised, whether it can play a similar role in Robotics. In fact, there are many areas in
Robotics where sensitivity functions are implicitly used. An example is Robot Calibration, which has been
established as an important discipline of Robotics [12], and which can be considered as a counterpart of System
Identification, a discipline of System Theory. Finally, one of the most important quantities in Robotics, the
manipulator Jacobian matrix, is a sensitivity matrix of the robot position and orientation with respect to joint angles.

The terms "sensitivity", or "kinematic sensitivity" is explicitly used in Robotics by Togai [13]. He has
proposed the kinematic sensitivity matrix as a new quantitative measure for the capability for accurate positioning and
orienting of a manipulator. This measure is proposed as an attribute complementing Yoshikawa's robot
manipulability [15]. Asada and Hara [1] have also defined and analyzed the sensitivity of the actuator torque of the
direct-drive arm with respect to the inertial loads. Both papers are dealing with sensitivity only partially, in the
context of other problems, and without particular attention given to the problem of computing sensitivity functions
in the general case.

This paper considers sensitivity vectors and matrices more generally, although restricted to Robot Kinematics.
Sensitivity vectors are defined as partial derivatives of the manipulator's position and orientation with respect to its
geometric parameters: link twists, link distances, link offsets and joint angles. Their explicit expressions are derived
in terms of link coordinate axes. The case of nominally parallel joint axes, i.e. the five parameter model proposed by
Hayati [6-8], is also considered. The sensitivities with respect to link twists about \( y \)-axes are derived for this case.
Sensitivity vectors, or more precisely, the first-order sensitivity vectors, are then used to derive second-order
sensitivity vectors, which are second-order partial derivatives of the manipulator position and orientation with respect
to link parameters. It is shown that second-order sensitivity vectors can be entirely expressed in terms of first-order
sensitivity vectors. The appendix supplied at the end of the paper reviews the basic formulas from robot kinematics
which are used in the derivation of kinematic sensitivities. It also presents an efficient recursive algorithm for
computation of link coordinate axes, for both the four and the five parameter models of forward kinematics.
2. Sensitivity vectors and matrices

Position and orientation of an n-DOF manipulator are characterized by its position vector \( \mathbf{p} = [p_1, p_2, p_3]^T \) and orientation matrix \( \mathbf{R} = [R_{ij}]_3 \). These quantities can be referred to any link with respect to any coordinate system. We will consider position and orientation of the n-th link, called the wrist, with the 0-th link, called the base, as a reference coordinate system, i.e. \( \mathbf{p} = r_0 \mathbf{p} \) and \( \mathbf{R} = r_0 \mathbf{R} \).

The manipulator geometry is defined by its link parameters. We will use the modified four-parameter Denavit-Hartenberg model as proposed by Craig[2], in which the link parameters are: \( a_i \) (link distances), \( \alpha_i \) (link twists), \( d_i \) (link offsets) and \( \theta_i \) (joint angles). Therefore vector \( \mathbf{p} \) and matrix \( \mathbf{R} \) are functions of these parameters:

\[
\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}(a, \alpha, d, \theta), \quad \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}(a, \alpha, d, \theta) \tag{1}
\]

where:
\[
a = [a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}]^T, \quad \alpha = [\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}]^T, \quad d = [d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_{n-1}]^T \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = [\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{n-1}]^T.
\]

In order to study variations of \( \mathbf{p} \) and \( \mathbf{R} \) caused by small variations of link parameters we have to consider their partial derivatives \( \partial \mathbf{p}/\partial c \) and \( \partial \mathbf{R}/\partial c \), where \( c \) stands for any of the link parameters. The first partial derivative, \( \partial \mathbf{p}/\partial c \), we call the positional sensitivity vector. The orientation sensitivity is not so straightforward. The derivative \( \partial \mathbf{R}/\partial c \) does not give convenient information about the variation of the manipulator's orientation. It would be more appropriate to express it in terms of three angles instead of a nine-element orientation matrix. Therefore we represent a small change of the manipulator's orientation through three infinitesimal orthogonal rotations \( \Delta \mathbf{R} = [\Delta \phi_1, \Delta \phi_2, \Delta \phi_3]^T \) about the axes of the base coordinate system. This can be written:

\[
\mathbf{R}(c + \Delta c) = \Phi(\Delta \phi) \mathbf{R}(c), \tag{2}
\]

where
\[
\Phi(\Delta \phi) = \text{rot}(e_3, \Delta \phi_3) \text{rot}(e_2, \Delta \phi_2) \text{rot}(e_1, \Delta \phi_1). \tag{3}
\]

The definition of the rot operator is given in the Appendix (see (A-4)). For sufficiently small \( \Delta \phi_i \), (3) becomes [11]:

\[
\Phi(\Delta \phi) = \mathbf{I} + \Lambda(\Delta \phi) \tag{4}
\]

where \( \mathbf{I} \) is the \( 3 \times 3 \) identity matrix and \( \Lambda(\Delta \phi) \) is a skew-symmetric operator (see (A-5)). Thus

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial c} = \lim_{\Delta c \to 0} \frac{\mathbf{R}(c + \Delta c) - \mathbf{R}(c)}{\Delta c} = \lim_{\Delta c \to 0} \frac{\Lambda(\Delta \phi)}{\Delta c} \mathbf{R}(c) = \Lambda(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial c}) \mathbf{R}(c), \tag{5}
\]

where
\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial c} = \lim_{\Delta c \to 0} \frac{\Delta \phi}{\Delta c}
\]

we denote as the orientation sensitivity vector. The relation between the orientation sensitivity vector and the partial derivative of the orientation matrix is given by:

\[
\Lambda(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial c}) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial c} \mathbf{R}^T. \tag{6}
\]

Sensitivity vectors for various link parameters can be joined together to form the sensitivity matrices:

\[
S_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \alpha_0} \ldots \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \alpha_{n-1}} , \quad S_{\theta} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \theta_0} \ldots \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \theta_{n-1}} , \quad S_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial \alpha_0} \ldots \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial \alpha_{n-1}} , \quad S_{\theta} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial \theta_0} \ldots \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial \theta_{n-1}} \tag{7}
\]
3. Derivation of sensitivity vectors

Positional sensitivity vectors with respect to parameters \( a_j \) and \( d_j \) can be directly obtained if we express the manipulator position \( \mathbf{p} \) explicitly in terms of these parameters. Such an expression is given in the Appendix. Since coordinate axes are independent of these parameters, (A-8) is giving:

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial a_j} = \mathbf{x}_j, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial d_j} = \mathbf{z}_j. \quad (8)
\]

Hence

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{S}_p^a &= [\mathbf{x}_0 \times \mathbf{x}_1 \ldots \mathbf{x}_{\ast-1}] \\
\mathbf{S}_p^d &= [\mathbf{z}_1 \mathbf{z}_2 \ldots \mathbf{z}_n]
\end{align*}
\quad (9)
\]

In order to derive positional sensitivity vectors with respect to \( \alpha_j \) and \( \theta_j \), we first find partial derivatives of coordinate axes with respect to these parameters. Combining (A-3) and (A-7) we can write:

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_i}{\partial \alpha_j} = \Lambda (\mathbf{e}_j)^i R, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_i}{\partial \theta_j} = \Lambda (\mathbf{e}_j)^i R. \quad (10)
\]

Now applying (10) and (A-6) to (A-8) and assuming \( j < i \), we obtain:

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_i}{\partial \alpha_j} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_i}{\partial \alpha_j} \left( \Lambda (\mathbf{e}_i)^\mathbf{j} R \right) = \mathbf{y}_j, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_i}{\partial \theta_j} = \mathbf{y}_j. \quad (11)
\]

Thus:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_i}{\partial \alpha_j} &= \begin{cases} -\mathbf{x}_i \times \mathbf{x}_j & j < i \\ 0 & j \geq i \end{cases} \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_i}{\partial \theta_j} &= \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_i \times \mathbf{x}_j & j < i \\ 0 & j \geq i \end{cases}
\end{align*}
\quad (12)
\]

In a similar way we can obtain other partial derivatives:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_i}{\partial \alpha_j} &= \begin{cases} \mathbf{z}_i \times \mathbf{x}_j & j < i \\ 0 & j \geq i \end{cases} \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_i}{\partial \theta_j} &= \begin{cases} -\mathbf{z}_i \times \mathbf{x}_j & j < i \\ 0 & j \geq i \end{cases}
\end{align*}
\quad (13)
\]

Applying now (11) and (12) to (A-8) we get:

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \alpha_j} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_i}{\partial \alpha_j} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_i}{\partial \alpha_j} \mathbf{d}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{d}_i) \times \mathbf{x}_j. \quad (14)
\]

Finally, substituting (A-9) into (13) gives us:

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \alpha_j} = \mathbf{x}_j \times \mathbf{r}_j. \quad (15)
\]

Similarly we get:

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \theta_j} = \mathbf{z}_j \times \mathbf{r}_j, \quad (16)
\]

thus:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{S}_\alpha^p &= [\mathbf{x}_0 \mathbf{r}_0 \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{r}_1 \ldots \mathbf{x}_{\ast-1} \mathbf{r}_{\ast-1}] \\
\mathbf{S}_\theta^p &= [\mathbf{z}_1 \mathbf{r}_1 \mathbf{z}_2 \mathbf{r}_2 \ldots \mathbf{z}_{\ast-1} \mathbf{r}_{\ast-1} \mathbf{r}_0] \quad (17)
\end{align*}
\]

In order to get orientation sensitivity vectors we first differentiate \( \mathbf{R} \) with respect to \( \alpha_j \) and \( \theta_j \):
\[ \frac{\partial R}{\partial a_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial a_j} \left( \gamma_j R^L \right) = \gamma_j R \Lambda(e_{i-j}) R^L \] (17)

Substituting (17) into (6) gives us:

\[ \Lambda(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial a_j}) = \Lambda(x_j), \]

which yields:

\[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial a_j} = x_j. \]

In a similar way we obtain:

\[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial d_j} = z_j. \]

Since \( R \) does not depend on \( a_j \) and \( d_j \) it follows that:

\[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial a_j} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial d_j} = 0. \] (18)

The result we can summarize as:

\[ S_\alpha^\phi = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \quad S_\phi^\phi = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ S_\alpha^\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_m \end{bmatrix} \quad \quad S_\phi^\phi = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & z_2 & \cdots & z_m \end{bmatrix} \] (19)

By comparing (19) with (9) we notice \( S_\alpha^\phi = S_\alpha^\alpha \) and \( S_\phi^\phi = S_\phi^\phi \). We also notice that sensitivity matrices \( S_\alpha^\phi \) and \( S_\phi^\phi \) constitute the manipulator Jacobian \( J_\alpha \) in the form which is originally given by Whitney [14].

4. Parallel joint axes

As pointed out by Hayati [6-8], if two consecutive joint axes are nominally parallel, small axis missalignment can cause large variations in link parameters. This invalidates standard calibration algorithms based on Denavit-Hartenberg's four-parameter model for forward kinematics. Therefore he has proposed a fifth parameter, \( \beta \), which is an additional rotation of the link about its y-axis.

In order to study sensitivities respect to the new parameter, we assume the general case in which all links are described by five parameters. In this case, expressions (A-3) expand to (A-11), which gives:

\[ \text{rot}(e_{i-1}) \Lambda(e_2) \text{rot}(e_3) \text{rot}(e_4) = \Lambda(\text{rot}(e_{i-1}) e_2 + \sin(e_{3,4}) e_3) \]

Consequently:

\[ \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial \beta_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_j} \left( \gamma_j R \right) = \gamma_j R \Lambda(\cos(e_j) + \sin(e_j) e_3) \]

Consequently:

\[ \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial \beta_j} = \Lambda(\cos(e_j) y_j + \sin(e_j) z_j) x_i. \] (20)
Comparing (20) with (A-12) we see that the argument of the A-operator is $v_j$. Hence:

$$\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial \beta_j} = \begin{cases} -x_i \times v_j & j < i \\ 0 & j \geq i \end{cases}$$

Similarly we get:

$$\frac{\partial z_i}{\partial \beta_j} = \begin{cases} -z_i \times v_j & j < i \\ 0 & j \geq i \end{cases}$$

These partial derivatives we use to obtain the positional sensitivity vector with respect to $\beta_j$:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \beta_j} = v_j \times \rho_j, \quad \rho_j = \mathbf{r}_j - a_j \mathbf{x}_j = \mathbf{r}_{j+1} + d_{j+1} \mathbf{z}_{j+1}.$$ 

Using the method shown in the preceding section, we also find the orientation sensitivity vector with respect to $\beta_j$:

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \beta_j} = v_j.$$ 

Since the five-parameter model is used with nominally parallel joints, that is $\alpha_j = 0$, $v_j$ will be identical to $y_j$ (see (A-12)). This finally gives:

$$S^p_\beta = \left[ y_0 \times \rho_0 y_1 \times \rho_1 \ldots y_{n-1} \times \rho_{n-1} \right] \quad S^\varphi_\beta = \left[ y_0 \ y_1 \ldots \ y_{n-1} \right]$$

5. Second-order sensitivity vectors

The second-order sensitivity vectors we define as partial derivatives of the first order sensitivity vectors obtained in third section. From (8) or (9) it is clear that:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{p}}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \alpha_j} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{p}}{\partial \alpha_i \partial d_j} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{p}}{\partial d_i \partial \alpha_j} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{p}}{\partial d_i \partial d_j} = 0.$$ 

In addition, from (14) and (15) we get:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{p}}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \alpha_j} = \begin{cases} x_i \times x_j & i < j \\ 0 & i \geq j \end{cases}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{p}}{\partial \alpha_i \partial d_j} = \begin{cases} x_i \times z_j & i < j \\ 0 & i \geq j \end{cases},$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{p}}{\partial \alpha_j \partial \alpha_i} = \begin{cases} z_i \times x_j & i \leq j \\ 0 & i > j \end{cases}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{p}}{\partial \alpha_j \partial d_i} = \begin{cases} z_i \times z_j & i < j \\ 0 & i \geq j \end{cases}.$$ 

In order to obtain the other second-order sensitivities, we find first the derivatives of $\mathbf{r}_i$. Starting from (A-9), and knowing that $x_i$ and $z_i$ are independent from the link distances and link offsets, we can directly write:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_j}{\partial \alpha_i} = \begin{cases} 0 & i < j \\ x_i & i \geq j \end{cases}, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_j}{\partial d_i} = \begin{cases} 0 & i \leq j \\ x_i & i > j \end{cases}.$$
For the link twists we have:

\[
\frac{\partial \chi_j}{\partial \alpha_i} = \sum_{k;j=l}^n \left( \frac{\partial \chi_{k,l}}{\partial \alpha_i} a_{k,l} + \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial \alpha_i} d_k \right).
\]

(21)

Applying (11) and (12), and assuming \(i < j\), (21) becomes:

\[
\frac{\partial \chi_j}{\partial \alpha_i} = \sum_{k;j=l}^n [((x_i \times x_{k,l}) a_{k,l} + (x_i \times z_k) d_k] = x_i \times \sum_{k;j=l}^n (x_{k,l} a_{k,l} + z_k d_k) = -r_j \times x_i,
\]

(22)

For \(i = j\) we write (see (A-9)):

\[
\frac{\partial \chi_j}{\partial \alpha_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_j} \left( x_j a_j + z_{j,l} d_{j,l} + r_{j,l} \right) = \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \alpha_j} a_j + \frac{\partial z_{j,l}}{\partial \alpha_j} d_{j,l} + \frac{\partial r_{j,l}}{\partial \alpha_j}
\]

Applying (11), (12) and (22), we get:

\[
\frac{\partial \chi_j}{\partial \alpha_i} = - (z_{j,l} d_{j,l} + r_{j,l}) \times x_j.
\]

Since \(x_j \times x_j = 0\) we have:

\[
\frac{\partial \chi_j}{\partial \alpha_i} = - (z_{j,l} d_{j,l} + r_{j,l}) \times x_j = -r_j \times x_j, \quad i = j.
\]

(23)

Combining (22) and (23) we finally obtain:

\[
\frac{\partial \chi_j}{\partial \alpha_i} = \begin{cases} -r_j \times x_j, & i \leq j \\ -r_i \times x_i, & i > j \end{cases}
\]

(24)

Similarly we obtain:

\[
\frac{\partial \chi_j}{\partial \alpha_i} = \begin{cases} -r_j \times x_i, & i \leq j \\ -r_i \times x_i, & i > j \end{cases}
\]

Using now (16) we get:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{r}}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \alpha_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_i} \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial \alpha_j} \right) = \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \alpha_i} + \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial \alpha_i} \times x_j + \frac{\partial r_j}{\partial \alpha_i} \times x_j.
\]

(25)

Assuming \(i < j\) and applying (11) and (24), (25) becomes:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{r}}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \alpha_j} = - (x_j \times x_i) \times r_j - x_j \times (r_j \times x_i).
\]

(26)

Since \((a \times b) \times c = a \times (b \times c) - b \times (a \times c)\) for any three vectors \(a, b\) and \(c\) (see (A-6)), (26) becomes:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{r}}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \alpha_j} = x_j \times (x_j \times r_j),
\]

For \(i \geq j\) (25) yields:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{r}}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \alpha_j} = x_j \times (x_i \times r_i).
\]
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Thus:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \alpha_j} = \begin{cases} 
(x_i \times (x_j \times r))_{i<j} \\
-(x_i \times r_j) \times x_j_{i \geq j}
\end{cases}
\]

Similarly we can obtain other second-order derivatives:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \theta_i \partial \alpha_j} = \begin{cases} 
(z_i \times (x_j \times r))_{i<j} \\
-(x_i \times r_j) \times x_j_{i \geq j}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \theta_j \partial \alpha_i} = \begin{cases} 
(z_i \times (z_j \times r))_{i<j} \\
-(x_i \times r_j) \times z_j_{i \geq j}
\end{cases}
\]

Derivatives with reversed order of differentiation can be obtained using Schwarz's theorem for mixed derivatives. For example:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \theta_j} \bigg|_{i<j} = \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \theta_j \partial \alpha_i} \bigg|_{j>i}
\]

\[
= -(x_j \times r_j) \times x_i = x_i \times (z_j \times r)
\]

The results for second-order positional sensitivity vectors are summarized in Table 1. The second-order orientation sensitivity vectors can be obtained by differentiating corresponding first order sensitivity vectors, which are given in (19). The results are summarized in Table 2.

It is interesting to note that second order sensitivity vectors can be expressed in terms of first-order sensitivity vectors. Comparing the results from the tables we can, for example, write:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \theta_j} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \alpha_i} \times \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta_j}_{i<j} \\
- \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \alpha_i} \times \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta_j} \bigg|_{i \geq j}
\end{cases}
\]

This observation can be summarized as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \xi_j} = \begin{cases} 
0_{i<j} \\
- \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \alpha_i} \times \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \xi_j} \bigg|_{i \geq j}
\end{cases}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \xi_i \partial \eta_j} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \xi_i} \times \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \eta_j} \bigg|_{i<j} \\
- \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \xi_i} \times \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \eta_j} \bigg|_{i \geq j}
\end{cases}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \xi_i \partial \eta_j} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \xi_i} \times \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \eta_j} \bigg|_{i<j} \\
0_{i \geq j}
\end{cases}
\]

where c can be symbolically replaced by a or d, while \(\xi\) and \(\eta\) can be replaced by \(\alpha\) or \(\theta\).
Table 1. SECOND-ORDER POSITIONAL SENSITIVITY VECTORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( s_i )</th>
<th>( d_i )</th>
<th>( a_i )</th>
<th>( \theta_i )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( s_i )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d_i )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_i )</td>
<td>( z_i \times z_j )</td>
<td>( z_i \times z_j )</td>
<td>( z_i \times (z_j \times r) )</td>
<td>( z_i \times (z_j \times r) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta_i )</td>
<td>( z_i \times z_j )</td>
<td>( z_i \times z_j )</td>
<td>( z_i \times (z_j \times r) )</td>
<td>( z_i \times (z_j \times r) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Upper value is for \( i < j \), lower value is for \( i \geq j \))

Table 2. SECOND-ORDER ORIENTATION SENSITIVITY VECTORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( s_i )</th>
<th>( d_i )</th>
<th>( a_i )</th>
<th>( \theta_i )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( s_i )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d_i )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_i )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( z_i \times z_j )</td>
<td>( z_i \times z_j )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta_i )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( z_i \times z_j )</td>
<td>( z_i \times z_j )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Upper value is for \( i < j \), lower value is for \( i \geq j \))

6. Conclusion

Kinematic sensitivity vectors and matrices with respect to link parameters have been defined and derived for open-loop, \( n \) DOF manipulators. Sensitivity vectors are expressed in terms of coordinate axes of manipulator links. A recursive algorithm for efficient computation of coordinate axes has been also presented. Second-order sensitivity vectors are also derived. It is shown that the second-order sensitivity vectors can be expressed as vector products of the first-order sensitivity vectors. The results obtained can be used for numeric and symbolic computation of kinematic sensitivities for a particular manipulator type.
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Appendix

The orientation $\mathbf{R}$ and position $\mathbf{p}$ of an $n$-DOF manipulator are given by:

$$
\mathbf{R} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} i_{i}^{i+1} \mathbf{R}, \quad \mathbf{p} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} i_{i}^{i+1} \mathbf{R} i_{i}^{i+1} \mathbf{p}_{i}
$$

(A-1)

where $i_{i}^{i+1} \mathbf{R}$ and $i_{i}^{i+1} \mathbf{p}_{i}$ are the relative orientation matrix and position vector of the $i$-th link, and

$$
\mathbf{R} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} i_{i}^{i+1} \mathbf{R}.
$$

(A-2)

If we suppose the four-parameter model originally proposed by Denavit and Hartenberg [4] and modified by Craig [2], then:

$$
i_{i}^{i+1} \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{rot}(e_{i}, \alpha_{i}) \mathbf{rot}(e_{3}, \theta_{i}), \quad i_{i}^{i+1} \mathbf{p}_{i} = e_{i} \mathbf{a}_{i} + \mathbf{rot}(e_{i}, \alpha_{i-1}) e_{3} \mathbf{d}_{i},
$$

(A-3)

where $e_{i} = [1 \ 0 \ 0]^T$, $e_{2} = [0 \ 1 \ 0]^T$ and $e_{3} = [0 \ 0 \ 1]^T$.  
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The rotation operator used in (A-3) can be expressed in general form as rotation by angle $\phi$ about unit vector $k = [k_1, k_2, k_3]^T$, $|k| = 1$:
\[
\text{rot}(k, \phi) = I + \sin \phi \wedge(k) + (1-\cos \phi) \wedge(k)^2,
\]
where $I$ is the $3 \times 3$ identity matrix and $\wedge(k)$ is the skew-symmetric operator:
\[
\wedge(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -k_3 & k_2 \\ k_3 & 0 & -k_1 \\ -k_2 & k_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\]
Note that operator $\wedge$ has the following interesting properties:
\[
\begin{align*}
\wedge(a)^T &= -\wedge(a) \\
\wedge(a+b) &= \wedge(a) + \wedge(b) \\
\wedge(\sigma a) &= \sigma \wedge(a) \\
\wedge(\sigma a) &= \wedge(\sigma a) \wedge(\sigma b) \\
\wedge(\sigma a) &= \wedge(a) \wedge(Ba)B
\end{align*}
\]
where $a$ and $b$ are arbitrary vectors, $k$ is a unit vector, $c$ is scalar and $B$ is an orthogonal $3 \times 3$ matrix.
Using these properties, it can be shown:
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \text{rot}(k, \phi) = \wedge(k) \text{rot}(k, \phi).
\]
If we denote the coordinate axes of the $i$-th link by: $x_i = \hat{x}_i$, $y_i = \hat{y}_i$ and $z_i = \hat{z}_i$, i.e. $i^R = [x_i, y_i, z_i]$,
\[
x_i = \hat{e}_i, \quad y_i = \hat{e}_j, \quad z_i = \hat{e}_k,
\]
then we can express $p$ in terms of these vectors:
\[
p = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i-1} a_i + z_i d_i.
\]
Similarly we can express the distance of the $i$-th link from the last, $n$-th, link:
\[
r_i = r_{i+1} + x_i a_i + z_i d_i = \sum_{j=i}^{n} x_{j-1} a_j + z_j d_j, \quad i = n-1, n-2, \ldots, 1, \quad r_n = 0, \quad p = r_1.
\]
Note that we have assumed here coordinate assignments as proposed by Craig [2], where the $z$-axis of the $i$-th frame,
$z_i$, is colinear with the $i$-th joint axis, and the origin of the $i$-th link is lying on the axis.
Vectors $x_i$, $y_i$ and $z_i$ can be computed recursively. Using (A-2), (A-3) and (A-4) we can obtain:
\[
\begin{align*}
v_i &= \cos(\alpha_i) y_{i-1} + \sin(\alpha_i) z_{i-1}, \\
y_i &= c_i v_i - s_i x_i, \\
x_i &= s_i v_i + c_i x_i, \\
z_i &= \cos(\alpha_i) z_i - \sin(\alpha_i) y_i, \\
i = 1, \ldots, n
\end{align*}
\]
In the five-parameter model proposed by Hayati [6-8], relative orientations and positions of links become:
\[
i^J R = \text{rot}(\hat{e}_i, \alpha_i) \text{rot}(\hat{e}_j, \beta_i) \text{rot}(\hat{e}_k, \theta_i), \quad i^J \quad p_i = e_i a_i + \text{rot}(\hat{e}_j, \alpha_i) \text{rot}(\hat{e}_k, \beta_i) e_j d_i.
\]
This will result in a similar set of recursive relations for coordinate axes:
\[
\begin{align*}
v_i &= \sin(\alpha_i) z_i + \cos(\alpha_i) y_i, \\
x_i &= s_i v_i + c_i u_i, \\
w_i &= \cos(\alpha_i) z_i - \sin(\alpha_i) y_i, \\
y_i &= c_i v_i - s_i w_i, \\
u_i &= \cos(\beta_i) x_i - \sin(\beta_i) w_i, \\
z_i &= \sin(\beta_i) x_i + \cos(\beta_i) w_i, \\
i = 1, \ldots, n.
\end{align*}
\]
Note, for $\beta_i = 0$, $u_i = x_i$, and (A-12) reduces to (A-10).