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Empirical Research on the Software Process

Individual level
LIFT experiment
8 experienced programmers designing the control structure for a set of elevators during an intense 2 hr. session

Team level
Object server exp.
Videotaped team meetings from a 7 mo. effort to design and build a tool to support object oriented programming

Project level
Field study
Detailed interviews with key members of 18 large development projects to model their decision-making and communication process
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Results of the Field Study

- Observations about commonality/difference of projects
- Identification of five areas of organizational breakdown (within that sixteen specific problems)
- Implications for process modeling
- Mapping of problems onto lower-level phenomena

"You need to understand, this project isn't the way we develop software at our company."
## Characteristics of Projects Studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Stage of Life Cycle</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Terminated</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Development</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Development</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Development</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Development</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Development</td>
<td>150+</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Development</td>
<td>350+</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Development</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>50k+</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>100k+</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary of Results from MCC Field Study*

- Analysis of three significant problems
- Layered behavioral model of software processes
- Conclusions and implications

* Paper appearing in this months CACM
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Analysis of Three Significant Problems in Software Design for Large Systems

Application Knowledge Acquisition
Fluctuating and Conflicting Requirements
Communications Breakdowns

Effect on productivity and quality through behavioral processes
Layered Behavioral Model of Software Processes

- Business Milieu
- Company
- Project
- Team
- Individual

Cognition and Motivation
Group Dynamics
Organizational Behavior
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Implications of Field Study Results

- For Software Technology
  - Environment support needed for:
    - Knowledge integration
    - Change facilitation
    - Broad communication and coordination
  - Beginnings of an empirical model to measure improvement for a tool/practice

- For Project Management
  - Expertise is the primary determinant, new ways of effectively organizing should be pursued
  - Key role players identified and described:
    - superconceptualizer, diagnostician, gatekeeper, boundary spanner
  - Coordination by shared model of process, product

- For Software Process Models
  - Difference between prescriptive and actual processes
  - Current process models do not reflect:
    - learning, technical communication, requirements negotiation, and customer interaction
  - Framework for an "ideal" process model emerging

- For Further Empirical Research on Professional Software Engineering
  - Much more to do
  - Focus on "variation" and its effect on the difference in productivity and quality outcomes among people, situations, and their interaction
The Software Project as an Ecological System

Internal Processes of Interest
- Assimilation of knowledge
- Communication and coordination
- Managing change
- Issue resolution and decision making
- Technical design
- Organizational bureaucracy

Changing World
External Technology
Project
Application Knowledge
Specific Needs of Customers
Contracting Mechanisms
Five Crucial Problem Areas in Large Software Projects*

External Context

- Change & Uncertainty

Project

- Communication
- Communication and Coordination
- Technology Transfer
- Design Evolution and Analysis

Application Knowledge

* see STP-390-86p
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Overall Conclusion

The Greatest Leverage Is in Supporting the Intersection of:

The Technical Task

• Assessing customer needs
• Assimilating application knowledge
• Negotiating requirements, technology, and resources
• Identifying and exploring design assumptions/alternatives
• Decomposing and recomposing functionality
• Defining and controlling component interfaces

The Management Task

• Strategically managing system features and attributes
• Assessing and controlling risks
• Ensuring developers work from the same models
Results of the "LIFT" Study

• Observations on relative effort distribution
• Observations about individual differences
• Identification of six process breakdowns
• A cognitive model of design problem solving
Information Model of Design Exploration

Problem Models
- essential aspects

Exploration Process
- commitments
- assumptions
- scenarios of use
- test cases
- simulations
- trade-off analysis

Solution Models
- issues
- constraints
- evaluation criteria
- discarded alternatives
- focal points
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Individual Differences in Software Design Strategies

- Domain-Specific Strategies
  - Exemplar driven
  - Method (process) driven
  - Computational paradigm driven
  - Trial and error driven

- General computation strategies

Levels:
- Experienced
- Intermediate
- Novice
- Beginner
Results of the Team Design Study*

- Identification of conflict behavior as key to achieving shared models
- Observations on the limitations of "documents"
- Observation of ombudsman to facilitate communication between customer and design teams
- Observations on the effect of midnight prototype creation
- Videotape identified as history capture mechanism

* being completed at U.T. - D. Walz, 1988
Future SSEs Should Contain Facilities For

1) Focus on Productivity and Quality
   - Statistical QC
   - Reduce waste and redundancy
   - Institutionalized reuse process yields component parts (via standards)

2) Process Engineering
   - Introduction of good practices, tools, etc.
   - Process definition, tailoring, monitoring, analysis, and improvement
   - Embodiment in education programs

3) Process Efficiency through Teamwork and Communication
   - Revocation of Brook's Law
   - High performance teamwork
   - "Groupware"

4) Flexible Organization Evolution
   - Coordinated technology, policy and organizational structure
     around process management concerns
   - Commitment to improve (facilitation of change)
   - Capture of corporate domain knowledge (via issue-oriented domain analysis)
   - Negotiation-based requirements technology

5) Liveware Support
   - Variety of "experts" (stakeholders)
   - Significant variation in abilities
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In a study of 38 U.S. and Japanese Companies a wide variety of software management strategies were observed (Cusumano, 1987). It was concluded that Japanese firms are significantly ahead in applying a disciplined and flexible factory approach, as evidenced by:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bugs/1000 SLOC</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projects late</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reuse</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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