

# **Manual Control Aspects of Orbital Flight**

*Edited by  
Adam R. Brody  
Sterling Software  
Palo Alto, California*

*Stephen R. Ellis  
Ames Research Center  
Moffett Field, California*

*Proceedings of a  
NASA/Industry Workshop  
held at Ames Research Center,  
Moffett Field, California  
February 22, 1990*



National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration

**Ames Research Center**  
Moffett Field, California 94035-1000

## PREFACE

On February 22, 1990, several scientists and engineers from NASA and industry assembled at NASA Ames Research Center (ARC) to participate in the first workshop concerning manual control aspects of orbital flight. The goals of the workshop were to:

1. Exchange ideas among interested parties
2. Identify current and future research needs
3. Pave the way for future communication and collaboration, especially between ARC and Johnson Space Center

Workshop participants presented papers describing their research and/or their association's positions on various issues. Topics ranged from orbital trajectory displays to real-time simulation with timelines ranging from immediate to 10–20 years into the future. This document is a summary of that workshop. We wish to thank all of the attendees and offer the hope that readers will benefit from this summary.

Adam R. Brody, Sterling Software

Stephen R. Ellis, NASA Ames Research Center

## AGENDA

Stephen R. Ellis, ARC	Opening Remarks
Adam R. Brody, Sterling Software; ARC	Manual Control Aspects of Orbital Flight
Michael L. Veres, JSC	Orbital Maneuvering Vehicle Remote Piloting Operations
Craig S. Hartley, Martin Marietta	Results from Teleoperated Free-Flying Spacecraft Simulations in the Martin Marietta Space Operations Simulator Lab
Gary Gershzohn, MDAC	A Manned Maneuvering Unit Proximity Operations Planning and Flight Guidance Display and Control System
Arthur J. Grunwald, Technion Stephen R. Ellis, ARC	Interactive Orbital Proximity Operations Planning System
Jeff Soller, UC-Berkeley	Simulated Annealing in Orbital Flight Planning

## SUMMARY

This publication provides a brief description of several laboratories' current research in the general area of manual control of orbital flight. This research began in the 1960's in preparation for the Gemini and Apollo programs, but documentation for any work performed over the last twenty years is virtually nonexistent. With an operational-space-station era (and its accompanying increased traffic levels) approaching, now is an opportune time to investigate issues such as docking and rendezvous profiles and course-planning aids. The tremendous increase in the capabilities of computers and computer graphics has made extensive study possible and economical. It is time to study these areas, from a human factors and manual control perspective, in order to preclude the occurrence of problems analogous to those that occurred in the airline and other related industries.

There is much work to be done by NASA and its contractors. Some facilities already exist which can be used to pursue this endeavor. Funding is necessary for refurbishment and modification of these facilities as well as for the creation of new simulators with increased capabilities and applications. To accomplish the goals of acquiring a better understanding of the manual control aspects of orbital flight, NASA should be prepared to participate actively and to operate in a leadership role.

**MANUAL CONTROL ASPECTS OF ORBITAL FLIGHT**

Adam R. Brody  
NASA Ames Research Center  
Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000

Studies of spacecraft rendezvous and docking operations began in the Gemini program in preparation for the two dockings required to send a crew to the moon and return them safely to Earth. However, the goal of getting to the moon before the end of the decade was of greater concern than mission optimization so little or no time or money was expended in researching human factors implications of operational aspects such as braking gates or control modes. Also, with sixteen operational dockings over a six year period (12 Apollo, 3 Skylab, 1 ASTP) in the United States space program, economies of scale were not yet available to justify extensive research into decreasing the time or fuel necessary for a successful docking. By analogy, the Wright brothers did not "waste" time developing glide slopes or rules of thumb to follow; they just wanted to get off the ground. With an operational space station era approaching in which orbital maneuvering vehicle (OMV), orbital transfer vehicle (OTV), shuttle orbiter, and other traffic will play a major role, a concerted research effort now could help avoid many potential problems later in addition to increasing safety, fuel economy, and productivity. A knowledge of manual control capabilities associated with piloted spaceflight could help save a life if the operational flight envelope can be safely enlarged to include faster dockings than currently envisioned, for example. Current and future research is designed to acquire the appropriate information.

**REFERENCES**

- Brody, A. R. (1987). Spacecraft flight simulation: A human factors investigation into the man-machine interface between an astronaut and a spacecraft performing docking maneuvers and other proximity operations. Unpublished master's thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, see also NASA CR-177502.
- Brody, A. R. (1989). The effect of initial velocity on manually controlled remote docking of an orbital maneuvering vehicle to a space station. 27th Aerospace Sciences Meeting, Reno, NV, January 9-12, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics 89-0400.
- Brody, A. R. (1989). Remote operation of an orbital maneuvering vehicle in simulated docking maneuvers. Proceedings of the Third Annual Workshop on Space Operations Automation and Robotics (SOAR '89), NASA/Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX, July 25-27, NASA CP-3059, pp. 471-475.
- Brody, A. R. (1990). Evaluation of the "0.1% rule" for docking maneuvers. Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets. Vol. 27, No. 1, pp. 7-8.
- Brody, A. R.; and Ellis, S. R. (1990). Factors influencing manual ability to recover from anomalous thruster input during a simulated docking maneuver. 28th Aerospace Sciences Meeting, Reno, NV, January 8-11, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics 90-0519.

**N91-20149**

**ORBITAL MANEUVERING VEHICLE REMOTE PILOTING OPERATIONS**

Michael L. Veres  
Rockwell Space Operations Company  
Houston, TX 77058-2777

**ABSTRACT**

The subject of this paper is the remote piloting of spacecraft. The Orbital Maneuvering Vehicle (OMV) is used as a case in point. Some challenges to remotely piloted operations are listed. Approaches to solving operational problems are discussed for the two major participants in the loop tasks involved. These tasks are the remotely piloted docking task, and the task of ensuring that the rendezvous is successfully completed. Resulting changes to the OMV system are outlined. The outcome of these changes and the approaches taken in the OMV program are presented. Comparisons are drawn between philosophies and techniques used for STS rendezvous and proximity operations, and those currently envisioned for OMV. Finally, a few "lessons learned" are summarized.

**RESULTS FROM TELEOPERATED FREE-FLYING SPACECRAFT SIMULATIONS  
IN THE MARTIN MARIETTA SPACE OPERATIONS SIMULATOR LAB**

Craig S. Hartley  
Martin Marietta Astronautics Group  
Denver, CO 80201

To augment the capabilities of the space transportation system, NASA has funded studies and development programs aimed at developing reusable, remotely piloted spacecraft and satellite servicing systems capable of delivering, retrieving, and servicing payloads at altitudes and inclinations beyond the reach of the present Shuttle Orbiters. Since the mid 1970's researchers at the Martin Marietta Astronautics Group Space Operations Simulation (SOS) Laboratory have been engaged in investigations of remotely piloted and supervised autonomous spacecraft operations. These investigations have been based on high fidelity, real-time simulations and have covered a wide range of human factors issues related to controllability. Among these are (1) mission conditions including thruster plume impingements and signal time delays; (2) vehicle performance variables including control authority, control harmony, minimum impulse, and cross coupling of accelerations; (3) maneuvering task requirements such as target distance and dynamics; (4) control parameters including various control modes and rate/displacement deadbands; and (5) display parameters involving camera placement and function, visual aids, and presentation of operational feedback from the spacecraft. This presentation will include a brief description of the capabilities of the SOS Lab to simulate real-time free-flyer operations using live video, advanced technology ground and on-orbit workstations, and sophisticated computer models of on-orbit spacecraft behavior. It will then provide sample results from human factors studies in the five categories cited above. Reprints of key papers written by lab personnel will also be available.

**REFERENCES**

Hartley, C. S.; Cwynar, D. J.; Garcia, K. D.; and Schein, R. A. (1986). Capture of satellites having rotational motion. Proceedings of the Human Factors Society 30th Annual Meeting, pp. 875-879.

Hartley, C.; Cwynar, D.; Ray, R.; and Garcia, K. (1984). Ground control considerations for remotely piloted spacecraft. Proceedings of the Human Factors Society 28th Annual Meeting, pp. 40-44.

## A MANNED MANEUVERING UNIT PROXIMITY OPERATIONS PLANNING AND FLIGHT GUIDANCE DISPLAY AND CONTROL SYSTEM

G. R. Gershzohn, R. J. Sirko, K. Zimmerman, A. D. Jones  
McDonnell Douglas Space Systems Company  
Huntington Beach, CA 92647

This task concerns the design, development, testing, and evaluation of a new proximity operations planning and flight guidance display and control system for manned space operations. A forecast, derivative Manned Maneuvering Unit (MMU) has been identified as a candidate for the application of a color, highway-in-the-sky display format for the presentation of flight guidance information.

A Silicon Graphics 4D/20-based simulation is being developed to design and test display formats and operations concepts. The simulation includes real-time color graphics generation to provide realistic, dynamic flight guidance displays; real-time graphics generation of spacecraft trajectories; MMU flight dynamics and control characteristics; control algorithms for rotational and translational hand controllers; orbital mechanics effects for rendezvous and chase spacecraft; inclusion of appropriate navigation aids; and measurement of subject performance.

The flight planning system under development provides for selection of appropriate operational modes, including minimum cost, optimum cost, minimum time, and specified ETA; automatic calculation of rendezvous trajectories, en route times, and fuel requirements; and provisions for manual override. Man/machine function allocations in planning and en route flight segments are being evaluated.

Planning and en route data are presented on one screen composed of two windows: (1) a map display presenting a view perpendicular to the orbital plane, depicting flight planning trajectory and time data attitude display presenting attitude and course data for use en route and (2) an attitude display presenting local vertical-local horizontal attitude data superimposed on a highway-in-the-sky or flight channel representation of the flight planned course. Both display formats are presented while the MMU is en route. In addition to these display formats, several original display elements are being developed, including a three-degree-of-freedom flight director for attitude commanding, a different flight director for translation commands, and a pictorial representation of velocity deviations.

## INTERACTIVE ORBITAL PROXIMITY OPERATIONS PLANNING SYSTEM

Arthur J. Grunwald and Stephen R. Ellis  
NASA Ames Research Center  
Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000

An interactive graphical planning system will be presented for on-site planning of proximity operations in the congested multispacecraft environment about the space station. The system shows the astronaut a bird's-eye perspective of the space station, the orbital plane, and the co-orbiting spacecraft. The system operates in two operational modes: a viewpoint mode, in which the astronaut is able to move the viewpoint around in the orbital plane to range in on areas of interest; and a trajectory design mode, in which the trajectory is planned. Trajectory design involves the composition of a set of waypoints which will result in a fuel-optimal trajectory which satisfies all operational constraints, such as departure and arrival constraints, plume impingement constraints, and structural constraints. The main purpose of the system is to present the trajectory and the constraints in an easily interpretable graphical format. Through a graphical interactive process, the trajectory waypoints are edited until all operational constraints are satisfied. A series of experiments was conducted to evaluate the system. Eight airline pilots with no prior background in orbital mechanics participated in the experiments. Subject training included a stand-alone training session of about 6 hours duration, in which the subjects became familiar with orbital mechanics concepts and performed a series of exercises to familiarize themselves with the control and display features of the system. They then carried out a series of production runs in which 90 different trajectory design situations were randomly addressed. The purpose of these experiments was to investigate how the planning time, planning efforts, and fuel expenditure were affected by the planning difficulty. Some results of these experiments are presented.

### REFERENCES

- Ellis, Stephen R.; and Grunwald, Arthur J. (1989). The dynamics of orbital maneuvering: design and evaluation of a visual display aid for human controllers. Proceedings of the AGARD FMP Symposium on Space Vehicle Flight Mechanics. November 13-16, 1989, Luxembourg, 29-1-29-13.
- Grunwald, Arthur J.; and Ellis, Stephen R. (1988). Interactive orbital proximity operations planning system. NASA TP-2839, NASA Ames Research Center.
- Grunwald, Arthur J.; and Ellis, Stephen R. (1988). Interactive orbital proximity operations planning system. Proceedings of the 1988 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics. Peking, China, IEEE CAT 88CH2556-9, 1305-13-12.

## SIMULATED ANNEALING IN ORBITAL FLIGHT PLANNING

Jeffrey Soller  
University of California at Berkeley  
Berkeley, CA 94720

Simulated annealing is used to solve a minimum fuel trajectory problem in the space station environment. The environment is unique because the space station will define the first true multivehicle environment in space. The optimization yields surfaces which are potentially complex, with multiple local minima. Because of the likelihood of these local minima, descent techniques are unable to offer robust solutions. Other deterministic optimization techniques were explored without success. The simulated annealing optimization is capable of identifying a minimum-fuel, two-burn trajectory subject to four constraints. Furthermore, the computational efforts involved in the optimization are such that missions could be planned on board the space station. Potential applications could include the on-site planning of rendezvous with a target craft or the emergency rescue of an astronaut. Future research will include multiwaypoint maneuvers, using a knowledge base to guide the optimization.

## REFERENCES

- A. Grunwald, S. R. Ellis, "Interactive Orbital Proximity Operations Planning System," NASA TP-2839, 1988.
- S. Kirkpatrick, "Optimization by simulated annealing," *Science*, May 13, 1983, pp. 671-679.
- P. J. M. van Laarhoven, E. H. Aarts, "Simulated Annealing: Theory and Applications," D. Reidel Publishing Co., 1987.
- P. J. M. van Laarhoven, "Theoretical and Computational Aspects of Simulated Annealing," Stichting Mathematisch Centrum, 1988.
- M. Lundy, A. Mees, "Convergence of an annealing algorithm," *Mathematical Programming*, 34 (1986), pp. 111-124.

## INTERESTED PARTIES

Adam Brody\*  
MS 262-2  
NASA Ames Research Center  
Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000

John Cuseo  
Martin Marietta Astronautics  
P. O. Box 179  
Denver, CO 80201

Steve Ellis\*  
MS 262-2  
NASA Ames Research Center  
Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000

Michael Foale  
Astronaut Office  
MC CB  
NASA Johnson Space Center  
Houston, TX 77058

Gary Gershzojn\*  
Flight Crew Integration/Space  
Station Division  
McDonnell Douglas Space Systems  
5301 Bolsa Ave.  
Huntington Beach, CA 92647

William Gerstenmaier, Head  
OMV Operations Office  
MC DF12  
NASA Johnson Space Center  
Houston, TX 77058

Art Grunwald\*  
Aeronautical Engineering  
Technion  
Haifa, Israel

Craig Hartley\*  
Martin Marietta Astronautics  
Group  
P. O. Box 179, H-4372  
Denver, CO 80201

Rick Hieb\*  
MC CB  
NASA Johnson Space Center  
Houston, TX 77058

Frank Hughes, Chief  
Space Station Training Branch  
MC DS2  
NASA Johnson Space Center  
Houston, TX 77058

Paul Kramer  
Avionics Systems Division  
MC EH  
NASA Johnson Space Center  
Houston, TX 77058

Greg Lange  
MC TJ2  
NASA Johnson Space Center  
Houston, TX 77058

Marv LeBlanc  
MC DH41  
Section Head, Flt. Planning and  
Pointing  
NASA Johnson Space Center  
Houston, TX 77058

Jay Legendre  
MC SP-34  
NASA Johnson Space Center  
Houston, TX 77058

Ed Pavelka, Chief  
Operations Division  
MC DH11  
NASA Johnson Space Center  
Houston, TX 77058

Don Pearson  
MC DM-431  
NASA Johnson Space Center  
Houston, TX 77058

Lisa Rockoff  
McDonnell Douglas Astronau-  
tics Co.  
MC 17-B  
5301 Bolsa Ave.  
Huntington Beach, CA 92647

Robert Savely  
MC FM72  
Mission Planning & Analysis Div.  
NASA Johnson Space Center  
Houston, TX 77058

Dan Shapiro  
ADS  
1500 Plymouth  
Mountain View, CA 94043

Robert J. Sirko, Manager\*  
R & D  
Space Station Division  
McDonnell Douglas Astronau-  
tics Co.  
5301 Bolsa Ave.  
Huntington Beach, CA 92647

Jeffrey Soller\*  
Department of Industrial  
Engineering and Operations  
Research  
University of California, Berkeley  
Berkeley, CA 94720

Dale G. Stuart  
TRW Space & Technology Group  
One Space Park  
Redondo Beach, CA 90278

David G. Stuart  
TRW Space & Technology Group  
R11/2337  
One Space Park  
Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Mike Veres\*  
Rockwell Space Operations  
Company  
600 Gemini Avenue  
Houston TX 77058-2777

Dennis Webb, Chief  
MC DE  
Space Station Systems Division  
NASA Johnson Space Center  
Houston, TX 77058

Charlie Young  
MC DF-12  
NASA Johnson Space Center  
Houston, TX 77058

\*Asterisks indicate conference attendees.



# Report Documentation Page

1. Report No. NASA CP-10056		2. Government Accession No.		3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle Manual Control Aspects of Orbital Flight			5. Report Date December 1990		
			6. Performing Organization Code		
7. Editor(s) Adam R. Brody (Sterling Software, Palo Alto, CA) and Stephen R. Ellis			8. Performing Organization Report No. A-90286		
			10. Work Unit No. 506-47-31		
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000			11. Contract or Grant No.		
			13. Type of Report and Period Covered Conference Publication		
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, DC 20546-0001			14. Sponsoring Agency Code		
			15. Supplementary Notes Point of Contact: Stephen R. Ellis, Ames Research Center, MS 262-2, Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000 (415) 604-6147 or FTS 464-6147		
16. Abstract <p>This publication provides a brief description of several laboratories' current research in the general area of manual control of orbital flight. This research began in the 1960's in preparation for the Gemini and Apollo programs, but documentation for any work performed over the last twenty years is virtually nonexistent. With an operational-space-station era (and its accompanying increased traffic levels) approaching, now is an opportune time to investigate issues such as docking and rendezvous profiles and course-planning aids. The tremendous increase in the capabilities of computers and computer graphics has made extensive study possible and economical. It is time to study these areas, from a human factors and manual control perspective, in order to preclude the occurrence of problems analogous to those that occurred in the airline and other related industries.</p> <p>There is much work to be done by NASA and its contractors. Some facilities already exist which can be used to pursue this endeavor. Funding is necessary for refurbishment and modification of these facilities as well as for the creation of new simulators with increased capabilities and applications. To accomplish the goals of acquiring a better understanding of the manual control aspects of orbital flight, NASA should be prepared to participate actively and to operate in a leadership role.</p>					
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Proximity operations Manual spaceflight Orbital maneuvering			18. Distribution Statement Unclassified-Unlimited  Subject Category - 12		
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified		20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified		21. No. of Pages 12	22. Price A02