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ABSTRACT

We discuss recent theorems proving that artificial neural networks are capable of approximating an arbitrary mapping and its derivatives as accurately as desired. This fact forms the basis for further results establishing the learnability of the desired approximations, using results from non-parametric statistics. These results have potential applications in robotics, chaotic dynamics, control, and sensitivity analysis (physics, chemistry, and engineering). We discuss an example involving learning the transfer function and its derivatives for a chaotic map.
The Jacobian matrix $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$ . . . is the matrix that relates small changes in the controller output to small changes in the task space results and cannot be assumed to be available a priori, or provided by the environment. However, all of the derivatives in the matrix are forward derivates. They are easily obtained by differentiation if a forward model is available. The forward model itself must be learned, but this can be achieved directly by system identification. Once the model is accurate over a particular domain, its derivatives provide a learning operator that allows the system to convert errors in task space into errors in articulatory space and thereby change the controller.
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ABSTRACT

We give conditions ensuring that multilayer feedforward networks with as few as a single hidden layer and an appropriately smooth hidden layer activation function are capable of arbitrarily accurate approximation to an arbitrary function and its derivatives. In fact, these networks can approximate functions that are not differentiable in the classical sense, but possess only a generalized derivative, as is the case for certain piecewise differentiable functions. The conditions imposed on the hidden layer activation function are relatively mild; the conditions imposed on the domain of the function to be approximated have practical implications. Our approximation results provide a previously missing theoretical justification for the use of multilayer feedforward networks in applications requiring simultaneous approximation of a function and its derivatives.
Relevant Application Areas:

1. Robotics

2. Chaotic Dynamics

3. Control

4. Sensitivity Analysis (Physics, Chemistry, Engineering)
Intuition suggests that networks having smooth hidden layer activation functions ought to have output function derivatives that will approximate the derivatives of an unknown mapping. However, the justification for this intuition is not obvious. Consider the class of single hidden layer feedforward networks having network output functions belonging to the set

$$\Sigma(G) = \{ g : \mathbb{R}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \beta_j G(\tilde{x}^T \gamma_j) \};$$

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^r, \beta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma_j \in \mathbb{R}^{r+1}, j = 1, \ldots, q, q \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $x$ represents an $r$ vector of network inputs ($r \in \mathbb{N} \equiv \{1, 2, \ldots\}$), $\tilde{x} \equiv (1, x^T)^T$ (the superscript $T$ denotes transposition), $\beta_j$ represents hidden to output layer weights and $\gamma_j$ represents input to hidden layer weights, $j = 1, \ldots, q$, where $q$ is the number of hidden units, and $G$ is a given hidden unit activation function. The first partial derivatives of the network output function are given by

$$\frac{\partial g(x)}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \beta_j \gamma_{ji} DG(\tilde{x}^T \gamma_j), \quad i = 1, \ldots, r,$$

where $x_i$ is the $i$th component of $x$, $\gamma_{ji}$ is the $i$th component of $\gamma_j$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$ ($\gamma_{j0}$ is the input layer bias to hidden unit $j$), and $DG$ denotes the first derivative of $G$. 
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1. MATHEMATICAL BACKGROUND

Let $U$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^r$, and let $C(U)$ be the set of all functions continuous on $U$. Let $\alpha$ be an $r$-tuple $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r)^T$ of non-negative integers (a "multi-index"). If $x$ belongs to $\mathbb{R}^r$, let $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_r^{\alpha_r}$. Denote by $D^\alpha$ the partial derivative

$$\partial |\alpha| / \partial x^\alpha = \partial |\alpha| / (\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \ldots \partial x_r^{\alpha_r})$$

of order $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_r$. For non-negative integers $m$, we define $C^m(U) = \{ f \in C(U): D^\alpha f \in C(U) \text{ for all } \alpha, |\alpha| \leq m \}$ and $C^\infty(U) = \cap_{m \geq 1} C^m(U)$. We let $D^0$ be the identity, so that $C^0(U) = C(U)$. Thus, the functions in $C^m(U)$ have continuous derivatives up to order $m$ on $U$, while the functions in $C^\infty(U)$ have continuous derivatives on $U$ of every order. We shall be interested in approximating elements of $C^m(U)$ using feedforward networks. When $U \neq \mathbb{R}^r$, the fact that network output functions (elements of $\Sigma(G)$) will belong to $C^m(\mathbb{R}^r)$ necessitates considering their restriction to $U$, written $g \mid_U$ for $g$ in $\Sigma(G)$. Recall that $g \mid_U(x) = g(x)$ for $x$ in $U$ and is not defined for $x$ not in $U$, thus $g \mid_U \in C^m(U)$, as desired.)
DEFINITION 2.1: Let $U$ be a subset of $\mathbb{R}^r$, let $S$ be a collection of functions $f: U \to \mathbb{R}$ and let $\rho$ be a metric on $S$. For any $g$ in $\Sigma(G)$ (recall $g: \mathbb{R}^r \to \mathbb{R}$) define the restriction of $g$ to $U$, $g|_U$ as $g|_U(x) = g(x)$ for $x$ in $U$, $g|_U(x)$ unspecified for $x$ not in $U$.

Suppose that for any $f$ in $S$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $g$ in $\Sigma(G)$ such that $\rho(f, g|_U) < \varepsilon$. Then we say that $\Sigma(G)$ contains a subset $\rho$-dense in $S$. If in addition $g|_U$ belongs to $S$ for every $g$ in $\Sigma(G)$, we say that $\Sigma(G)$ is $\rho$-dense in $S$. \hfill \Box

DEFINITION 2.2: Let $m, l \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq m \leq l$, and $U \subset \mathbb{R}^r$ be given, and let $S \subset C^l(U)$. Suppose that for any $f$ in $S$, compact $K \subset U$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $g$ in $\Sigma(G)$ such that $\max_{1 \leq \alpha \leq m} \sup_{x \in K} | D^\alpha f(x) - D^\alpha g(x) | < \varepsilon$. Then we say that $\Sigma(G)$ is $m$-uniformly dense on compacta in $S$. \hfill \Box

When $\Sigma(G)$ is $m$-uniformly dense on compacta in $S$, then no matter how we choose an $f$ in $S$, a compact subset $K$ of $U$, or the accuracy of approximation $\varepsilon > 0$, we can always find a single hidden layer feedforward network having output function $g$ (in $\Sigma(G)$) with all derivatives of $g|_U$ on $K$ up to order $m$ lying within $\varepsilon$ of those of $f$ on $K$. This is a strong and very desirable approximation property.
The space $L_p(U,\mu)$ is the collection of all measurable functions $f$ such that
$$\|f\|_{p,u,\mu} = \left( \int_U |f|^p \, d\mu \right)^{1/p} < \infty, 1 \leq p < \infty,$$
where the integral is defined in the sense of Lebesgue. When $\mu = \lambda$ we may write either $\int_U f \, d\lambda$ or $\int_U f(x) \, dx$ to denote the same integral. We measure the distance between two functions $f$ and $g$ belonging to $L_p(U,\mu)$ in terms of the metric $\rho_{p,u,\mu}(f,g) = \|f - g\|_{p,u,\mu}$. Two functions that differ only on sets of $\mu$-measure zero have $\rho_{p,u,\mu}(f,g) = 0$. We shall not distinguish between such functions.

The first Sobolev space we consider is denoted $S^m_p(U,\mu)$, defined as the collection of all functions $f$ in $C^m(U)$ such that $\|D^\alpha f\|_{p,u,\mu} < \infty$ for all $|\alpha| \leq m$. We define the Sobolev norm $\|f\|_{m,p,u,\mu} = (\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^\alpha f\|_{p,u,\mu}^p)^{1/p}$. The Sobolev metric is
$$\rho^m_{p,\mu}(f,g) = \|f - g\|_{m,p,u,\mu}, \quad f, g \in S^m_p(U,\mu).$$

Note that $\rho^m_{p,\mu}$ depends implicitly on $U$, but we suppress this dependence for notational convenience. The Sobolev metric explicitly takes into account distances between derivatives. Two functions in $S^m_p(U,\mu)$ are close in the Sobolev metric $\rho^m_{p,\mu}$ when all derivatives of order $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m$ are close in $L_p$ metric.
We also consider the Sobolev spaces

\[ W_p^m(U) \equiv \{ f \in L_1, \text{loc}(U) \mid \partial^\alpha f \in L_p(U, \lambda), 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m \}. \]

This is the collection of all functions having generalized derivatives belonging to \( L_p(U, \lambda) \) of order up to \( m \). Consequently, \( W_p^m(U) \) includes \( S_p^m(U, \lambda) \), as well as functions that do not have derivatives in the classical sense, such as piecewise differentiable functions.

The norm on \( W_p^m(U) \) generalizes that on \( S_p^m(U, \lambda) \); we write it as

\[ \|f\|_{m, p, U} = (\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{p, U, \lambda}^p)^{1/p} \quad f \in W_p^m(U). \]

For the metric on \( W_p^m(U) \) we suppress the dependence on \( U \) and write

\[ \rho_p^m(f, g) = \|f - g\|_{m, p, U} \quad f, g \in W_p^m(U). \]

Two functions are close in the Sobolev space \( W_p^m(U) \) if all generalized derivatives are close in \( L_p(U, \lambda) \) distance.
Our results make fundamental use of one last function space, the space $C_\infty^r(\mathbb{R}^r)$ of rapidly decreasing functions in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^r)$. $C_\infty^r(\mathbb{R}^r)$ is defined as the set of all functions in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^r)$ such that for all multi-indices $\alpha$ and $\beta$, $x^\beta D^\alpha f(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$, where $x^\beta = x_1^{\beta_1} x_2^{\beta_2} \cdots x_r^{\beta_r}$ and $|x| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq r} |x_i|$. Note that $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^r) \subset C_\infty^r(\mathbb{R}^r)$.

Desired results:

1.) $\Sigma(G)$ is $m$-uniformly dense on compacta in $C_\infty^r(\mathbb{R}^r)$, $S_p^m(U, \lambda)$

2.) $\Sigma(G)$ is $\rho_p^m, \mu$-dense in $S_p^m(\mathbb{R}^r, \mu)$

3.) $\Sigma(G)$ is $\rho_p^m$-dense in $W_p^m(U)$
2. APPROXIMATION RESULTS

THEOREM 3.1: Let $G \neq 0$ belong to $S^m(\mathbb{R}, \lambda)$ for some integer $m \geq 0$. Then $\Sigma(G)$ is $m$-uniformly dense on compacta in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^r)$. \qed

DEFINITION 3.2: Let $l \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ be given. $G$ is $l$-finite if $G \in C^l(\mathbb{R})$ and $0 < \int |D^l G| d\lambda < \infty$. \qed

LEMMA 3.3: If $G$ is $l$-finite then for all $0 \leq m \leq l$ there exists $H \in S^m(\mathbb{R}, \lambda), H \neq 0$, such that $\Sigma(H) \subset \Sigma(G)$. \qed

$l$-finite activation functions $G$ with $\int D^l G \, d\lambda \neq 0$ have $\int |D^m G| \, d\lambda = \infty$ for all $m < l$, and for $m > l$ all $l$-finite activation functions $G$ have $\int D^m G \, d\lambda = 0$ (provided $D^m G$ exists).

It is informative to examine cases not satisfying the conditions of the theorems. For example, if $G = \sin$ then $G \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, but for all $l, \int |D^l G| \, d\lambda = \infty$. If $G$ is a polynomial of degree $m$ then again $G \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, but for $l \leq m$ we have $\int |D^l G| \, d\lambda = \infty$, although $\int |D^l G| \, d\lambda = 0$ for $l > m$. Consequently, neither trigonometric functions nor polynomials are $l$-finite.
COROLLARY 3.4: If $G$ is $l$-finite, then for all $0 \leq m \leq l$, $\Sigma(G)$ is $m$-uniformly dense on compacta in $C^r_\mu(\mathbb{R}^r)$. □

COROLLARY 3.5: If $G$ is $l$-finite, $0 \leq m \leq l$, and $U$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^r$, then $\Sigma(G)$ is $m$-uniformly dense on compacta in $S^m_p(U, \lambda)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$. □

COROLLARY 3.6: If $G$ is $l$-finite and $\mu$ is compactly supported, then for all $0 \leq m \leq l$ $\Sigma(G) \subset S^m_p(\mathbb{R}^r, \mu)$ and $\Sigma(G)$ is $\rho^m_{p,\mu}$-dense in $S^m_p(\mathbb{R}^r, \mu)$.

COROLLARY 3.8: If $G$ is $l$-finite, $0 \leq m \leq l$, $U$ is an open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^r$ and $C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^r)$ is $\rho^m_p$-dense in $W^m_p(U)$ then $\Sigma(G)$ is also $\rho^m_p$-dense in $W^m_p(U)$.

These results rigorously establish that sufficiently complex multilayer feedforward networks with as few as a single hidden layer are capable of arbitrarily accurate approximation to an unknown mapping and its (generalized) derivatives in a variety of precise senses. The conditions imposed on $G$ are relatively mild; the conditions required of $U$ have practical implications.
Figure 1. Feedforward Network
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- G activation unit
- + addition unit

Note: biases not shown
Figure 2. Derivative Network

- O input unit
- X multiplication unit
- G activation unit
- + addition unit
- D activation derivative unit

Note: biases not shown
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Recently, multiple input, single output, single hidden layer, feedforward neural networks have been shown to be capable of approximating a nonlinear map and its partial derivatives. Specifically, neural nets have been shown to be dense in various Sobolev spaces (Hornik, Stinchcombe and White, 1989). Building upon this result, we show that a net can be trained so that the map and its derivatives are learned. Specifically, we use a result of Gallant (1987b) to show that least squares and similar estimates are strongly consistent in Sobolev norm provided the number of hidden units and the size of the training set increase together. We illustrate these results by an application to the inverse problem of chaotic dynamics: recovery of a nonlinear map from a time series of iterates. These results extend automatically to nets that embed the single hidden layer, feedforward network as a special case.
3. LEARNING RESULTS

SETUP. We consider a single hidden layer feedforward network having network output function

\[ g_K(x, \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \beta_j G(x^T \gamma_j) \]

where \( x \) represents an \( r \times 1 \) vector of network inputs (including a "bias unit"), \( \beta_j \) represents hidden to output layer weights, \( \gamma_j \) represents input to hidden layer weights, \( K \) is the number of hidden units,

\[ \theta = (\beta_1, \gamma_1, \beta_2, \gamma_2, \ldots, \beta_K, \gamma_K) \]

and \( G \) is the hidden unit activation function.

We assume that the network is trained using data \( \{y_t, x_t\} \) generated according to

\[ y_t = g^*(x_t) + \epsilon_t \quad t = 1, 2, ..., n \]

\( x_t \) denotes the observed input and \( \epsilon_t \) denotes random noise. The number \( K_n \) of hidden units employed depends on the size \( n \) of the training set. The network is trained by finding \( g_{K_n}(x, \hat{\theta}) \) that minimizes

\[ s_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left[ y_t - \sum_{j=1}^{K_n} \beta_j G(x_t^T \gamma_j) \right]^2 \]

subject to the restriction that \( g_{K_n}(x, \hat{\theta}) \) is a member of the estimation space \( G \).
REGULARITY CONDITIONS:

Input space. The input space $X$ is the closure of a bounded, open subset of $\mathbb{R}^r$.

Parameter space. For some integer $m$, $0 \leq m < \infty$, some integer $p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, and some bound $B$, $0 < B < \infty$, $g^*$ is a point in the Sobolev space $W_{m+[r/p]+1, p, x}$ and $\|g^*\|_{m+[r/p]+1, p, x} < B$.

Activation function. The activation function $G$ belongs to $C^m(\mathbb{R})$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (d^m/du^m)G(u)\, du < \infty$. See Section 3 of Hornik, Stinchcombe and White (1989).

Estimation space. $g_{K_n}(x, \hat{\theta})$ is restricted to $G = \{g: \|g\|_{m+[r/p]+1, p, x} \leq B\}$ in the optimization of $s_n(g)$.

Training set. The empirical distribution of $\{x_t\}_{t=1}^n$ converges to a distribution $\mu(x)$ and $\mu(O) > 0$ for every open subset $O$ of $X$.

Error process. The errors $\{e_t\}$ are independently and identically distributed with common probability law $P$ having $\int_{\mathcal{E}} eP(de) = 0$ and $0 \leq \int_{\mathcal{E}} e^2P(de) < \infty$. $\int_{\mathcal{E}} e^2P(de) = 0$ implies $e_t = 0$ for all $t.$
Independence. The probability law $P$ of the errors does not depend on $(x_t)_{t=1}^\infty$; that is, $P(A)$ can be evaluated without knowledge of $(x_t)_{t=1}^n$, 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t,$$ etc.
THEOREM 1. Under the Regularity Conditions

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \| g^* - g_{K_n}(\cdot, \hat{\theta}) \|_{m, \infty, \chi} = 0 \]

provided \( \lim_{n \to \infty} K_n = \infty \) almost surely. In particular,

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma[g_{K_n}(x, \hat{\theta})] = \sigma(g^*) \]

provided \( \sigma \) is continuous with respect to \( \| \cdot \|_{m, \infty, \chi} \). \( \Box \)
4. EXAMPLE: LEARNING CHAOTIC MAP

Our investigation studies the ability of the single hidden layer network

\[
g_K(x_{t-5}, \ldots, x_{t-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \beta_j g(\gamma_{5j} x_{t-5} + \cdots + \gamma_{1j} x_{t-1} + \gamma_{0j})
\]

with logistic squasher

\[
G(u) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-u)}
\]

to approximate the derivatives of a discretized variant of the Mackey-Glass equation (Schuster, 1988, p. 120)

\[
g(x_{t-5}, x_{t-1}) = x_{t-1} + (10.5) \left[ \frac{(0.2)x_{t-5}}{1 + (x_{t-5})^{10}} - (0.1)x_{t-1} \right].
\]

The values of the weights \(\hat{\beta}_j\) and \(\hat{\gamma}_{ij}\) that minimize

\[
s_n(g_K) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [x_i - g_K(x_{t-5}, \ldots, x_{t-1})]^2
\]

were determined using the Gauss-Newton nonlinear least squares algorithm. Our rule relating \(K\) to \(n\) was of the form \(K \propto \log(n)\) because asymptotic theory in a related context (Gallant, 1989) suggests that this is likely to be the relationship that will give stable estimates.
Figure 1. Superimposed nonlinear map and neural net estimate

K = 3, n = 500

Note: Estimate is dashed line, x = (x, 0, 0, 0, 0)
Figure 2. Superimposed derivative and neural net estimate

$k = 3, n = 500$

$\frac{\partial}{\partial x-s}g(x)$

Note: Estimate is dashed line, $x = (x-s, 0, 0, 0, 0)$
Figure 3. Superimposed nonlinear map and neural net estimate

$K = 7, n = 2000$

Note: Estimate is dashed line, $x = (x-s, 0, 0, 0, 0)$
Figure 4. Superimposed derivative and neural net estimate
K = 7, n = 2000

Note: Estimate is dashed line, x = (x-s, 0, 0, 0, 0)
Figure 5. Superimposed nonlinear map and neural net estimate

$K = 11, n = 8000$

Note: Estimate is dashed line, $x = (x-5, 0, 0, 0, 0)$
Figure 6. Superimposed derivative and neural net estimate

K = 11, n = 8000

\( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x) \)

Note: Estimate is dashed line, \( x = (x-s, 0, 0, 0, 0) \)
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